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Abstract. The explicit representation of cryospheric components in Earth System models has become more and more important over the last years. However, there are only few advanced coupled Earth System models that employ interactive icebergs, and most iceberg model studies focus on iceberg trajectories or ocean surface conditions.

Here, we present multi-centennial simulations with a fully coupled Earth System model including interactive icebergs to assess the effects of heat and freshwater fluxes by iceberg melting on deep ocean characteristics. The icebergs are modeled as Lagrangian point particles and exchange heat and freshwater fluxes with the ocean. They are seeded in the Southern Ocean, following a realistic present-day size distribution. Total calving fluxes and the locations of discharge are derived from an ice sheet model output which allows for implementation in coupled climate-ice sheet models.

The simulations show a cooling of deep ocean water masses in all ocean basins that propagates from the southern high latitudes northward. We also find enhanced deep water formation in the continental shelf area of the Ross Sea, a process commonly underestimated by current climate models. The vertical stratification is weakened by enhanced sea-ice formation and duration due to the cooling effect of iceberg melting. The deep water formation in this region is increased by up to 10%. By assessing the effects of heat and freshwater fluxes individually, we find latent heat flux to be the main driver of these water mass changes. The altered freshwater distribution by freshwater fluxes and synergetic effects play only a minor role. Our results emphasize the importance of realistically representing both heat and freshwater fluxes in the high southern latitudes.

1 Introduction

Icebergs play a crucial role in Earth’s climate system. Their calving from Greenland and the Antarctic continent contributes significantly to the mass balances of the two ice sheets. For Greenland, approximately 550 Gt year⁻¹, representing a third to half of its freshwater release, is due to discharge (Enderlin et al., 2018). For Antarctica, values for iceberg discharge range from over 2,000 Gt year⁻¹ (Jacobs et al., 1992) to more recent estimates of approximately 1,300 Gt year⁻¹ (Depoorter et al., 2013). Icebergs transport large amounts of fresh water, alter ocean salinity and temperature, and hence affect deep-
water and sea-ice formation (Grosfeld et al., 2001; Stern et al., 2015). In regions of iceberg melting, the freshwater release leads to a freshening of the upper ocean, increasing the oceans’ freezing temperature and enhancing stratification. Another direct effect is the cooling of the upper ocean layers by sensible and latent heat fluxes, increasing oceans’ density, and thus potentially decreasing stratification of the water column, which could counteract the effect of added freshwater. Despite their importance, icebergs are rarely represented in Earth System Models (ESM) in detail, and if accounted for, their effects on ocean conditions are often only parameterized (Devilliers et al., 2021). Freshwater fluxes from iceberg melting are distributed either homogeneously over a specific area or are treated as surface runoff, entering the ocean directly at coastal regions. The drawbacks of both methods are 1) the neglect of ocean dynamical effects on the icebergs and hence an unrealistic spatial distribution of freshwater release, 2) missing sensible and latent heat feedback from icebergs to the ocean and vice versa, and 3) neglecting iceberg size-dependent dynamics and impacts on the northward extent of the freshwater release and the associated cooling by giant icebergs (Rackow et al., 2017).

Early studies using global ocean models with implemented Lagrangian iceberg models showed a good representation of iceberg trajectories (Bigg et al., 1997; Gladstone et al., 2001). Later studies included interactive icebergs with heat and freshwater feedback into fully coupled ESMs of varying complexity. Jongma et al. (2009) used an Earth System model of intermediate complexity (Claussen et al., 2002). In a simulation with interactive icebergs, they found a decrease in sea ice concentration and associated warming in the Weddell Sea, compared to a control run with freshwater homogeneously distributed over the Southern Ocean. Simulations using more advanced models with somewhat higher resolutions of 1° x 1° for the ocean component were done e.g. by Martin and Adcroft (2010) and Stern et al. (2016). In comparison to a simulation with iceberg freshwater fluxes parameterized as surface runoff, Martin and Adcroft (2010) found a freshwater export via icebergs from coastal regions resulting in positive salinity anomalies and enhanced deep convection. They also found a decreased sea-ice cover. Using a more realistic size distribution, including larger km-scale icebergs, Stern et al. (2016) found a total decrease in sea ice concentration but cooling and freshening of the Weddell Sea. They argue to focus on large icebergs as these have the most significant effect on temperature and salinity changes. Rackow et al. (2017) add to this point by showing how the inclusion of even larger, giant icebergs impacts the meridional distribution of the iceberg meltwater input in their model simulations. Model simulations with even higher horizontal resolution of 0.25° x 0.25° were performed with ocean-only models (Marsh et al., 2015; Merino et al., 2016). They show the importance of icebergs for a realistic representation of Southern Ocean sea ice and its freshwater balance. However, heat fluxes from iceberg fusion were neglected.

So far, most studies have focused on surface conditions in the Southern Ocean. However, the effect of interactive icebergs on deep ocean water masses’ characteristics has received less attention due to the necessary long time scales and the associated high computational costs. This question seems especially important concerning the known deep ocean warm biases in models participating in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) (Rackow et al., 2019), which could affect long-term future and paleoclimate simulations e.g. by their ability to store heat in the abyssal ocean. Warm deep-ocean biases are common among complex Earth System models as found for FESOM by Sidorenko et al. (2019) and Streffing et al. (2022), and other climate models (e.g., Delworth et al., 2006, 2012; Jungclaus et al., 2013; Rackow et al., 2019; Sterl et al., 2012).
This study is the first to investigate the contribution of iceberg freshwater and heat fluxes on deep ocean properties in a complex Earth System model. We combine a fully coupled ESM with interactive icebergs, using a resolution as high as $\sim 1/3^\circ$ in Southern Ocean coastal areas, with a size distribution representing present-day iceberg observations in the Southern Ocean. We use the latest version of the Alfred Wegener Institute-Earth System Model (AWI-ESM) with an interactive Lagrangian iceberg model. The iceberg component runs as a submodel of the ocean–sea-ice model FESOM2 (Danilov et al., 2017; Koldunov et al., 2019; Scholz et al., 2019, 2022). In contrast to a previous version of this model introduced by Rackow et al. (2017), freshwater and heat fluxes are now interactive, providing a new level of coupled feedbacks. The initial position, number, and proportions of icebergs are derived from an ice-sheet model (ISM) output, allowing future applications in a coupled climate-ice sheet setup. The iceberg size distribution follows a $-3/2$ power law, derived from satellite observations for both open-ocean and near-coastal areas (Barbat et al., 2019; Tournadre et al., 2016). We show results of multi-centennial simulations under pre-industrial forcing with the multi-resolution "COREII" ocean mesh (e.g., Danabasoglu et al., 2016; Sein et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016a, b), allowing for relatively high resolution at high latitudes.

This study is organized as follows: section 2 describes new developments in the climate and iceberg model as well as the calving mechanism. Furthermore, the simulation setups are summarized. Section 3 analyses the model results from different simulations with respect to iceberg dynamics and the effects of heat fluxes and the differing freshwater flux distribution, as well as synergetic effects on deep ocean characteristics. We discuss our results in Sect. 4, and a conclusion is given in Sect. 5.

2 Methods and model description

The model used for this study is the AWI Earth System Model (AWI-ESM-2.1) with interactive icebergs. It consists of the AWI Climate Model (Rackow et al., 2018; Sidorenko et al., 2015), but comprises a newer version of the ocean model FESOM. It also uses dynamic vegetation (Reick et al., 2013). Its atmosphere component is the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts’ Model in Hamburg (ECHAM6) in its sixth generation (Stevens et al., 2013): A general circulation model run with the T63L47 setup, i.e., approximately a $1.9^\circ$ horizontal resolution and 47 layers in the vertical. The ocean model used here is version 2 of FESOM, the Finite-VolumE Sea ice-Ocean Model (FESOM2). In contrast to its predecessor (Wang et al., 2014), it now employs the finite volume method instead of finite elements which allows for higher computational efficiency (Danilov et al., 2017). The model uses unstructured meshes that enable efficient high-resolution modeling of highly dynamic regions while leaving a coarser resolution in other regions. The standard mesh used in this study is the "COREII" mesh, with a horizontal resolution of up to 20 km in the high latitudinal coastal regions.

2.1 The iceberg module

The iceberg component is a submodel of FESOM. However, bi-directional coupling between the ocean and the iceberg had yet to be implemented in the model. Studies using the interactive iceberg component were ocean-only simulations, in which the icebergs were treated as passive tracers that allowed diagnosing a meltwater field (Rackow et al., 2017), but lacking freshwater
and heat feedback to the ocean model. This work introduces the iceberg module as a fully coupled component within FESOM. Hence, freshwater and heat fluxes are bi-directionally coupled between icebergs and the ocean.

Initial iceberg positions and dimensions are obtained via fields of calving discharge from the ice sheet (see section 2.2 for details). The model is a Lagrangian iceberg model, i.e., all icebergs are represented by point particles. While these particles are zero-dimensional, each has a length, width, and height assigned to it. These physical quantities are altered during the simulation by thermodynamical processes. For simplification, each iceberg is assumed to have a quadratic base area and to be of cuboidal shape. Thermodynamics take into account the erosion by surface waves, buoyant convection, and basal and lateral basal melting, following work by Bigg et al. (1997), Gladstone et al. (2001), Jongma et al. (2009) and Martin and Adcroft (2010). A detailed description can be found in Rackow (2011) and Rackow et al. (2017). Interactions between icebergs are not modeled but are parameterized in a very simple manner to avoid an over-loading of ocean cells: If an iceberg is about to change from one grid element to another, the total iceberg area contained in this grid element is summed up. If the new iceberg leads to a larger total iceberg area than the actual element area, it does not move but stays in its previous grid element.

Different measures have been taken to speed up the iceberg module: The first is by implementing a "scaling approach" similar to Martin and Adcroft (2010). This approach reduces the number of simulated icebergs by dividing the icebergs into different size classes. For each class, a scaling factor is defined by which the number of simulated icebergs is reduced. Each simulated iceberg then represents multiple other icebergs. The calculated freshwater and heat fluxes are multiplied by the scaling factor to ensure mass and energy conservation (Appendix A). A second approach for speeding up the iceberg module is a variable coupling frequency between ocean and iceberg components. Initially, the coupling and hence the simulation of icebergs took place every FESOM ocean time step. Due to the relatively slow movements of the icebergs, a coupling three or four times a simulated day seems to be sufficient, instead of the one-on-one coupling that has been implemented previously.

Freshwater and heat fluxes from iceberg melting are added to the respective FESOM internal sea-ice fluxes. Hence, the iceberg feedback is applied to the ocean surface. Furthermore, it is distributed to all nodes that constitute the containing element. While there is temporal variability in the iceberg melt fluxes, the compensating reduction of Antarctica’s surface runoff is fixed over time. To account for this discrepancy and to ensure a consistent freshwater budget, the total salinity is balanced, so the iceberg setup has no net freshwater flux. However, the freshwater fluxes from iceberg melting are not considered part of the Antarctic surface runoff anymore, i.e., the influx is allowed to occur on the open ocean instead of directly along the coast and shelf regions. While the total salinity is balanced, oceans’ total internal energy is not, and there is a negative net heat flux due to iceberg melting. Hence, a new climatological equilibrium is expected to develop compared to the default model setup without interactive icebergs.

### 2.2 Iceberg seeding and experimental setup

The initial conditions of each iceberg need to be provided, including the location, velocity, dimensions, and scaling factors. Apart from the velocities, which are set to zero initially, all other parameters are deduced from the ice sheet model output. For our study, this is the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM) (Martin et al., 2011; Winkelmann et al., 2011). The model output provides
a continuous calving and discharge field (Fig. 1) with the highest calving rates of up to 100 Gt year\(^{-1}\) along the Filchner-Ronne and the Ross ice shelves as well as in the Amundsen Sea, which corresponds well with observations (Depoorter et al., 2013).

To generate discrete icebergs from the continuous field, the calving flux is integrated over each basin (Fig. 1a) to get the total amount of ice discharge. Next, this amount is divided by a reference iceberg height of 250 m to get a total calving area. Following Tournadre et al. (2016), individual iceberg areas are drawn from a power-law distribution. The initial size distribution is shown in Fig. 1b with the vast majority of icebergs being rather small (0.01-1 km\(^2\)) and only a few being larger than 100 km\(^2\). A maximum iceberg area of 400 km\(^2\) is assumed to avoid iceberg areas being larger than an ocean grid cell. Model icebergs are assumed to have a quadratic surface. The iceberg height is set to be equal to the length and width, respectively, but not larger than 250 m. This new feature compared to the previous iceberg model version (in which iceberg height was set to 250 m) is implemented to avoid instantaneous grounding of newly seeded icebergs in shallow water regions. In an iterative process, the dimensions are adjusted so that the total iceberg volume matches the integrated discharge. For each size class (Fig. 1a), a specific scaling value is set by which the number of icebergs in this size class is reduced (Appendix). The heat and freshwater fluxes released by this iceberg are then scaled up again accordingly. The icebergs are seeded into the nearest ocean grid cells along the coastline. These steps are done for each basin individually to ensure a consistent distribution along the coastline. The total calving flux of roughly 1,731 Gt year\(^{-1}\) is subtracted from the surface runoff to ensure a closed water balance (Fig. 1c).

To spin up the iceberg model, an equilibrated pre-industrial run has been continued with icebergs but with freshwater and heat feedback turned off (ICB\(_{\text{spinup}}\)). This spinup was run for 100 years, after which the total iceberg melt flux balances the calving flux (Fig. 1c). Several experiments were branched off from this spinup, a fully coupled run with icebergs (ICB), two partially coupled iceberg runs, one without latent heat fluxes from iceberg fusion (ICB\(_{\text{FW}}\)), and one without iceberg meltwater feedback (ICB\(_{\text{HF}}\)), respectively. The same iceberg setup has been used for these runs. Additionally, a control run without icebergs (CTL) has been run. All runs are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Experiments run within the scope of this study. EXP. ID indicates the name used for this experiment throughout this chapter; icb cpl. indicates whether icebergs are not coupled, only partially coupled (either heat fluxes or freshwater fluxes) or fully coupled (heat fluxes plus freshwater fluxes) or are turned off; cpl. frequency indicates the coupling frequency; run length indicate the length of the simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXP. ID</th>
<th>icb cpl.</th>
<th>scaling</th>
<th>cpl. frequency</th>
<th>run length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICB(_{\text{spinup}})</td>
<td>not coupled</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICB</td>
<td>fully coupled</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICB(_{\text{FW}})</td>
<td>only fresh water</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICB(_{\text{HF}})</td>
<td>only heat flux</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Results

This section presents the results of a pre-industrial run with interactive icebergs as well as only partially coupled runs with either freshwater or heat flux feedback, each running for 700 years. The results presented are averaged over the last hundred model years of the simulations.

3.1 Trajectories

Figure 2a illustrates iceberg trajectories for the fully coupled iceberg run ICB. Two main pathways can be recognized: One branching off the Antarctic Peninsula, where small and large icebergs follow the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, and one pathway in the Ross Sea with medium-sized icebergs. Large icebergs tend to stay along the coast, following the Antarctic Coastal Current. The general patterns resemble satellite observations for giant icebergs by Budge and Long (2018) and Stuart and Long (2011) (Fig. 2d). However, model icebergs travel further north compared to observations. In the Ross Sea, their pathways are confined by the Antarctic Convergence Zone (indicated as the zone between the 2°C and 5°C SST isotherms). The spatial patterns of freshwater and heat fluxes (Fig. 2b and c) match the trajectories and show melting hot spots near the
coast, inside the Weddell Sea, and at the tip of the Antarctic peninsula where, very locally, freshwater and heat fluxes of over 10 m year\(^{-1}\) and 10 Wm\(^{-2}\), respectively, are reached.

Figure 2. a) Sea Surface Temperature (SST) overlaid by iceberg trajectories with iceberg surface area shown on a logarithmic colorbar. The black dashed contour lines indicate the Antarctic Convergence Zone where SST falls from 5\(^{\circ}\)C to 2\(^{\circ}\)C; b) freshwater flux due to iceberg melting; c) heat flux due to iceberg melting; d) satellite observations from the QuikSCAT portion of the Antarctic Iceberg Tracking Database (Budge and Long, 2018; Stuart and Long, 2011) over the period from 1991 to 2022. All model results are averaged over model years 600-700.

3.2 Surface conditions

The anomalies for sea surface salinity (SSS), sea surface temperature (SST), and sea ice height are shown in Fig. 3 for ICB, ICB\(_{HF}\), ICB\(_{FW}\), and CTL with respect to the spinup. ICB, ICB\(_{HF}\), and ICB\(_{FW}\) show pronounced positive salinity anomalies.
in the shelf regions of the Weddell Sea (Fig. 3a and g). A similar salinity anomaly is detected in the Ross Sea sector in 
ICB_{HF}. However, the underlying dynamics are fundamentally different. In ICB and ICB_{FW} the surface runoff is most reduced 
compared to CTL, in areas that correspond to the coastal regions with the highest calving rates. In these areas, freshwater by 

iceberg calving is parameterized via the river routing scheme and eventually treated as river discharge in CTL and ICB_{HF}. 
As the icebergs do not melt entirely in their regions of origin but rather further north off the coast, the model experiences a 
relative freshwater export from near-coast shelf regions, which results in pronounced positive salinity anomalies in the shelf 
regions of the Weddell Sea in ICB and ICB_{FW}. In contrast in ICB_{HF} (in which the surface runoff is not altered compared 
to CTL), enhanced sea ice formation (Fig. 3i) leads to increased brine rejection. This can be recognized in the Weddell Sea 
shelf region and the Ross Sea. These regions of positive salinity anomaly match well to the pattern of increased sea ice 
height for ICB_{HF} (Fig. 3g and i). In contrast, no systematic increase in sea ice height can be recognized in this region in 
ICB and ICB_{FW} (Fig. 3c and f). Here, the increased salinity due to reduced near-coastal freshwater surface runoff inhibits 
additional sea ice growth. But sea ice growth is fostered in coastal regions of the Amundsen and Bellinghausen Seas, along the 
Antarctic Peninsula, and along the Wilkes Land coast (Fig. 3c). Here, freshwater and heat fluxes from iceberg melting are very 
high (Fig. 2b and c). Cooling patterns can be seen in the Weddell Sea and the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean (Fig. 3b 
and h), while warming is detected in the Amundsen and Bellinghausen Seas, as well as in the Ross Sea, leading to a dipole 
of warm/cold anomalies across the Antarctic Peninsula. The warming in the Amundsen and Bellinghausen Seas is linked to 
an increase in surface salinity that leads to enhanced vertical mixing and upward mixing of heat. In contrast to the similar 
cooling patterns in ICB and ICB_{HF}, a warming in the Weddell Sea can be recognized in ICB_{FW} (Fig. 3e). In this experiment, 
an increase in salinity also leads to enhanced vertical mixing and convective mixing of heat as in ICB and ICB_{HF}, but latent cooling from iceberg melting is missing to compensate for this surface warming. In general, the resulting responses for SST, 
SSS as well as sea ice height are dominated by the individual effects of heat and freshwater fluxes in ICB, revealing minor 
importance of synergetic effects on long time scales.

3.3 Deep ocean conditions

Changes in deep ocean temperature for the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Ocean basins are illustrated in Fig. 4. After 700 model 
years, a cooling in all three basins can be seen for ICB and ICB_{HF} with respect to the spinup run. The cooling of up to -0.2 K 
is most pronounced in the Pacific (Fig. 4b and h). While no cooling is recognizable in ICB_{FW}, the patterns of ICB and ICB_{HF} 
look very similar. The cooling signal extends from the surface layers of the Southern Ocean’s Atlantic section (Fig. 4a and 
g) to the deep southern mid-latitudes. A cold cell can be seen in the North Atlantic at around 1,000 m depth. The deep North 
Atlantic as well as the Arctic Ocean show a warming trend. However, this is partly due to a general background trend and 
internal model variability as it is also visible for the control run (Fig. 4j). In contrast to the Atlantic basin, the cooling in the 
Pacific and Indian Oceans extends over the whole basins (Fig. 4b, c, h and i). Most pronounced in the Southern Ocean, it 
spreads more northerly with depth. However, the upper ocean layers show a warming in the high latitudinal Pacific section of 
the Southern Ocean, corresponding to the warming of the Ross Sea (Fig. 3a, d, g). This effect is also visible in ICB_{FW}. Also 
here, CTL shows a slight warming (Fig. 4k), but to a much smaller magnitude than the other simulations.
A strong increase in salinity can be seen in ICB and ICB\textsubscript{HF} in the Atlantic and Pacific sectors from the surface to a depth of around 500 m (Fig. 5a,b and g,h). These salinity anomalies are mainly detected in the shelf regions of the Weddell and Ross Seas, indicating a link to the surface conditions (SSS anomalies in Fig. 3). However, ICB\textsubscript{FW} also shows positive SSS anomalies, especially in the Weddell Sea but the vertical extension is limited to mixed layer depths (Fig. 5d). The main driver for the positive salinity anomalies reaching deeper levels is therefore attributed to the latent heat flux from iceberg melting. The effect of altered spatial freshwater distribution, on the other hand, plays a minor role.

### 3.4 Impact of HF and FW on adjustment time-scales

The effect of temperature changes on seawater density is small compared to the effects of salinity in our experiments. The salinity increase leads to a positive density anomaly, and hence to a weakening of vertical stratification. This weakening is
especially pronounced over the continental shelf in the Ross Sea in ICB and ICB\textsubscript{HF}, and additionally along the coast of Wilkes Land in ICB\textsubscript{HF} (Fig. 6). ICB\textsubscript{FW} shows a strengthening of stratification around Antarctica except for the Weddell Sea.
This weakened vertical stratification in the Southern Ocean results in enhanced deep convection over continental shelves and enhanced formation of Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) (Fig. 7). The AABW in the Indo-Pacific sector (AABW-IP) is

Figure 5. a-c: Salinity anomalies for the upper 1,500 m of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Ocean sections of the Southern Ocean for ICB; d-f: Salinity anomalies for ICB_{FW}; g-i: Salinity anomalies for ICB_{HF}; j-l: Salinity anomalies for CTL. All results are averaged over model years 600-700 and anomalies are calculated with respect to the spinup.
increased by up to 10% in ICB and ICB_{HF}. The AABW-IP strengthening occurs within the first 200 model years and stays at a rather constant level afterward. ICB_{FW} and CTL show a weak trend. As changes in AABW formation in the Atlantic sector only represent a minor contribution, global AABW mainly follows the AABW-IP signature originating in the Ross Sea self-region as the main area, which is affected by destabilized stratification due to iceberg heat fluxes. The global ocean temperature decreases by approximately 0.01 K per century over the first 400 years in ICB and ICB_{HF}. This corresponds with a rough calculation considering the enthalpy of fusion for a discharge flux of around 1,700 Gt per year (Appendix). After 400 years, the cooling trend in ICB flattens, while it continues to decrease in ICB_{HF}. The altered freshwater distribution via iceberg transport hence buffers the cooling. No cooling trend is recognizable in CTL and ICB_{FW}.

4 Discussion

We have run multi-centennial simulations with a complex fully-coupled Earth System model including interactive icebergs. While the iceberg trajectories show generally good agreement with observations, there are also some discrepancies. There are no icebergs branching off near the Kerguelen Plateau in our simulations as seen in observations (Fig. 2) or as found by Rackow et al. (2017) in their ocean-only simulations with prescribed atmospheric forcing. This might be due to the coarse resolution of
the atmosphere and land surface. Steep orographic gradients are smoothed out which hinders the formation of katabatic winds. Instead, icebergs are mainly affected by polar easterlies and hence pushed onshore due to geostrophic balance. In general, large model icebergs tend to be too confined to coastal regions. This was already found by Rackow et al. (2017), and other studies (e.g., Merino et al., 2016). However, when being able to leave the coast the icebergs show a drift further north in our simulations than in observations but do not travel as long distances as in Rackow et al. (2017). However, the observational data used here only covers icebergs larger than $\sim 5 - 6$ km (Stuart and Long, 2011) and hence can miss substantial parts of the end of giant icebergs’ trajectories.

Although giant icebergs up to a side length of 20 km are included in this study, a suitable break-up parametrization is missing, as well as physical feedbacks besides freshwater and heat fluxes. These feedbacks may include effects on surface albedo, surface wind stress, and sea surface height. As the dynamics of small and large icebergs differ (Rackow et al., 2017), a break-up parametrization would affect trajectories and melt patterns (England et al., 2020). Furthermore, we used a uniform calving size distribution for all ocean basins. However, size distributions vary at different locations, and giant icebergs calve very rarely. Hence, they should be treated as statistically rare events similar to volcanic eruptions, for example by calving them

---

**Figure 7.** Time series for global ocean mean temperature (a), Antarctic Bottom Water in the Indo-Pacific basin (b) and globally (c) for ICB, ICB$_{HF}$, ICB$_{FW}$, and CTL. Shown is the 50 year rolling mean of the maximum stream function value at 30°S.
stochastically in ensemble simulations or by prescribing their time-mean effects via pre-computed melt climatologies (Stern et al., 2016; Rackow et al., 2017).

The effects on sea surface conditions support the findings of previous studies. Martin and Adcroft (2010) and Stern et al. (2016) also found warming in the Amundsen and Bellingshausen Seas as well as in the Ross Sea. This warming is explained by increased upward heat transport due to a destabilization of the upper ocean layer’s stratification. This weakened stratification stems from increased salinity due to northward freshwater export.

In our ICB and ICB<sub>HF</sub> experiments, the surface runoff is reduced by the amount of iceberg discharge. This leads to positive salinity anomalies in the Weddell Sea (especially pronounced in the Weddell Sea shelf region) and the Ross Sea shelf region (Fig. 3a and d). However, our simulation ICB<sub>HF</sub> also shows a strong increase in salinity despite unaltered surface runoff. Hence, increased sea-ice formation and duration also play an important role in Ross Sea’s freshwater budget. The latent heat fluxes associated with iceberg melt even seem to play a dominant role in salinity changes up to intermediate depths and the formation of deep water (Fig. 5 and 6). Furthermore, they lead to surface cooling in the Weddell Sea (Fig. 3b and h), which is also found by Stern et al. (2016). The altered spatial freshwater distribution alone leads to a warming of large areas of the Southern Ocean’s surface and subsurface waters (Fig. 3d) and thus buffers the cooling effect of iceberg melt. The iceberg-related heat fluxes are necessary to compensate for the surface warming and sustain the anomalous vertical heat transport.

A strengthening of AABW by up to 10% agrees well with findings by Jongma et al. (2009) and Martin and Adcroft (2010). ICB and ICB<sub>HF</sub> show similar strengthening of AABW in the Indo-Pacific basin, and the most pronounced weakening of stratification in the Ross Shelf region, indicating the importance of the latent heat effect. Deep-water formation along continental shelves is a process commonly underestimated in CMIP6 models, whereas open-water deep convection is highly overestimated (Heuzé, 2021). Though a realistic representation of AABW formation along continental shelves is not feasible in our model setup, our results aid to tackle this issue and emphasize the added value of a realistic representation of iceberg-related heat and freshwater fluxes in the Southern Ocean.

Our results indicate a cooling of deep-water masses in model runs with interactive icebergs. A pronounced cooling of the global deep ocean is recognized after around 200 years. The experiment that only considers heat fluxes from iceberg melting while using the default parameterized freshwater fluxes shows similar results as the fully coupled one including the iceberg-related meltwater. This result is not surprising as the same heat flux is applied to both simulations, leading to monotonous cooling. This cooling may aid to reduce deep ocean temperature biases as found for FESOM2 (Streffing et al., 2022; Sidorenko et al., 2019), and other climate models (e.g., Delworth et al., 2006, 2012; Jungclaus et al., 2013; Rackow et al., 2019; Sterl et al., 2012).

5 Conclusions

We have studied the effect of interactive icebergs on the surface and in particular deep-ocean water mass changes. We used a fully coupled ESM with higher resolution (up to ~ 1/3°) at continental shelf regions around Antarctica together with an interactive Lagrangian iceberg model (Rackow et al., 2017). The addition of the interactive iceberg model has a strong cooling...
impact at the surface (except in the Amundsen-Bellinghausen Seas) in our study, which can act to decrease typical warm
sea surface temperature biases in the Southern Ocean of climate models. This cooling combined with freshwater forcing
could considerably delay Southern Ocean greenhouse warming in climate projections (Schloesser et al., 2019). Furthermore,
it might also play a role in explaining the observed lack of a multi-decadal decrease in Antarctic sea ice (Rackow et al., 2022).
The region of strongest warming after the inclusion of interactive icebergs (Amundsen-Bellinghausen Seas) is in remarkable
agreement with the location of strongest observed warming around Antarctica. Therefore, our results could indicate a role for
increased iceberg-related meltwater and heat fluxes in the observed warming. Interestingly, the addition of the iceberg model
in our study leads to reduced deep-ocean temperatures in all ocean basins as well, where current climate models have been
shown to typically be too warm (Rackow et al., 2019). Originating in the upper layers of the Southern Ocean, the cooling effect
propagates northward. Our results suggest that the latent heat flux from iceberg melting is the main driver for this large-scale
cooling. Furthermore, our results show an increased salinity on the continental shelves around Antarctica due to northward
freshwater export by northward-drifting icebergs. This results in enhanced deep-water formation along continental shelves,
which is a process commonly underestimated by CMIP6 models that do not include a sophisticated treatment of iceberg-
related meltwater and heat fluxes. Our results thus emphasize the importance of realistically representing iceberg-related heat
and freshwater fluxes in the high southern latitudes not only for surface-related biases but also in order to reduce long-standing
biases in deep-water formation.

Icebergs play a crucial role in maintaining a suitable heat and freshwater balance in coupled climate models. Originating
from glaciers or ice shelves, icebergs transport vast amounts of fresh water into the surrounding ocean. When they melt, this
freshwater is released, significantly affecting the distribution of salinity and temperature in the ocean. Additionally, icebergs
serve as a sink for heat. As they melt, they withdraw heat from the surrounding ocean, resulting in local cooling. These two
effects, the freshwater input, and the cooling, alter water density and consequently affect the vertical mixing of water masses
and the stability of the water column. These changes have far-reaching consequences for the heat distribution within the ocean,
with implications for regional and global climate patterns.

In the current generation of coupled climate models, icebergs are not yet incorporated to simulate these processes accurately.
Including icebergs in ESMs enables for a more accurate representation and feedbacks of ocean circulation patterns, the transport
of heat, and the distribution of freshwater, contributing to improved understanding of past, present, and future climate change.
The iceberg model aids in closing a gap between climate and ice sheet modeling. It allows for applications in a coupled
climate-ice sheet model (like for instance used in Ackermann et al. (2020) or Niu et al. (2021)) enabling the simulation of
highly dynamic periods of abrupt climate change like Heinrich Events. Furthermore, the proposed enhanced configuration of
AWI-ESM2.1 with reduced biases at the surface and in the deep ocean is a good candidate for better climate projections, as it
includes a novel model component that can impact the timing of Southern Ocean greenhouse warming and Antarctic sea ice
decline and thus ultimately projections of ice sheet retreat and global sea level rise.
Code availability. FESOM2 is a free software and available from this site https://github.com/FESOM/fesom2. The version with interactive icebergs used in this study is available at this site https://github.com/ackerlar/fesom2/tree/icb_for_merge. ECHAM6, which is the atmosphere model of the MPI-ESM, is a property of the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology. Its model code is available at https://code.mpimet.mpg.de/login?back_url=https%3A%2F%2Fcode.mpimet.mpg.de%2Fprojects%2Fmpi-esm-users%2Ffiles after registration at this site https://code.mpimet.mpg.de/projects/mpi-esm-license. The version used in this study is available at https://gitlab.awi.de/paleodyn/Models/echam6/-/tree/6.3.05p2-awiesm-2.1_icb. The esm_tools version used in this study is available at https://github.com/esm-tools/esm_tools/tree/feat/levante_icebergs.

Appendix A: Size classes and scaling factors

Table A1. Scaling factors for iceberg experiments performed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area A [km²]</th>
<th>Scaling factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A &lt;= 0.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 &lt; A &lt;= 1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 &lt; A &lt;= 10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 &lt; A &lt;= 100</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 &lt; A &lt;= 1,000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &gt; 1,000</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix B: Power law and iceberg seeding

According to Tournadre et al. (2016), the size distribution for the seeding of model icebergs follows the power law \( f_x \), defined by:

\[
f_x(x, \alpha) = Cx^{-\alpha}, x > x_{min}
\]

with \( C = \frac{\alpha - 1}{x_{min}} x_{min}^{\alpha - 1} \), \( \alpha = 1.52 \) and \( x_{min} = 0.01 \) km².

The reference iceberg height \( h_{ref} \) for deriving the calving area flux \( A_{tot} \) from the calving volume flux \( V_{tot} \) is set to 250 m:

\[
A_{tot} = V_{tot}/h_{ref}
\]

The number of icebergs \( N \) is derived from the total calving area by subtracting with a reference iceberg area \( A_{ref} \), here the median of the power law distribution:

\[
N = A_{tot}/A_{ref}
\]

with \( A_{ref} = 2^{1/(k-1)} x_{min} \).
Appendix C: Estimation of heat budget

The global ocean cooling $\Delta T$ due to latent heat fluxes from iceberg melting $Q_l$ is estimated by:

$$\Delta T = \frac{Q_l}{c_{p,oce}m_{oce}} \approx 0.01 \text{ K century}^{-1}$$

(C1)

with the heat capacity of seawater $c_{p,oce} = 3850 \text{ kJ kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and the global ocean mass $m_{oce} = 1.4 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ kg}$. The latent heat flux from iceberg melting is given by $Q_l = m_{disch}h_L$ with the total discharge flux $m_{disch}$ of 1,731 Gt year$^{-1}$ and the enthalpy of fusion of ice $h_L$ with 334 kJ kg$^{-1}$.
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