

We thank the Associate Editor for their review and comments on our revised manuscript. We have found them helpful and have implemented the two suggestions.

We confirm that our approach is suitable for contributions by non-professionals, as we have had first-hand experience of that. We add new text on lines 98-102:

Our experience is that our monitoring concept is technically straightforward and therefore suitable for citizen scientists and non-academics to maintain monitoring sites and undertake data collection with little training. In particular, this includes site staff at tourist mines and caves, and as demonstrated previously by Baker et al (2020), cavers and caving clubs.

We have also strengthened the text to stress the importance of a combined geophysical component. On lines 93-97 we include the reference suggested by the Associate Editor together with two specific examples of where geophysical methods have been used combined with percolation water hydrology.

The monitoring concept will benefit from combining the NGROS data with geophysical monitoring of the sites, as demonstrated by Campbell et al., 2017 and Leopold et al., 2020. Further examples of possible geophysical approaches, with an emphasis on karst geology, can be found in Ezersky et al., 2023.

We add the two new references to the reference list on lines 454 and 476.

Finally, on line 457 we take the opportunity to update one reference which is now published.