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## S1 Coordinate system:

We use two different sets of coordinates:

- $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z})$ the regular cartesian coordinates
- $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \boldsymbol{n}\right)$, across the slope, downslope, and normal to the slope

5 In the following, physical quantities denoted by a star ${ }^{(*)}$ ) correspond to quantities expressed in the ( $s_{1}, s_{2}, \boldsymbol{n}$ ) coordinates. The angle between $\boldsymbol{z}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}$ is $\alpha$.


## S2 Momentum balance

## S2.1 Forces

In the following, $P$ is the air pressure, and $\rho$ is the air density.
The forces we are considering are:

- Pressure gradient force (PGF):
- in $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}) \mathbf{P G F}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{P})=-\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}+\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}\right)$
$-\operatorname{in}\left(s_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{n}\right): \mathbf{P G F}^{*}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial s_{1}} \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{1}}+\frac{\partial P}{\partial s_{2}} \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{2}}+\frac{\partial P}{\partial n} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}\right)$
- Buoyancy force (Gravity)
- in $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{z}):$ Gravity $=g \cdot \boldsymbol{z}$
$-\quad$ in $\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{2}}, \boldsymbol{n}\right):$ Gravity $=-g \cdot \sin (\alpha) \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{1}}-g \cdot \cos (\alpha) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$
- Coriolis force:
- in $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{z})$ : Coriolis $=f \cdot v \cdot \boldsymbol{x}-f \cdot u \cdot \boldsymbol{y}$, with $(u, v)$ the wind speed coordinates in $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$
- in $(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{n})$ : Coriolis $=f \cdot v^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{1}}-f \cdot u^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{2}}$ with $\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)$ the wind speed coordinates in $\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$
- Turbulence and frictional forces : F


## S2.2 Momentum balance

The momentum balance equation in $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{n})$ is:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{D v}{D t}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}+F_{x} \\ \frac{D w}{D t}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}-g+F_{z}\end{array}\right.$

Since $\left\{\begin{array}{l}v=v^{*} \cdot \cos (\alpha)-w^{*} \sin (\alpha) \\ w=w^{*} \cdot \cos (\alpha)+v^{*} \sin (\alpha)\end{array} \quad\right.$ And $\left\{\begin{array}{l}v^{*}=v \cdot \cos (\alpha)+w \cdot \sin (\alpha) \\ w^{*}=w \cdot \cos (\alpha)-v \cdot \sin (\alpha)\end{array}\right.$
$\frac{D v^{*}}{D t}=\frac{D v}{D t} \cdot \cos (\alpha)+\frac{D w}{D t} \cdot \sin (\alpha)$
30 Using: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\cos (\alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial s}-\sin (\alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\sin (\alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\cos (\alpha) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\end{array}\right.$
We end up with the following equations in $(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{n})$ coordinates:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{D v^{*}}{D t}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial s}-g \cdot \sin (\alpha)-f \cdot u^{*}+F_{s} \\ \frac{D w^{*}}{D t}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial n}-g \cdot \cos (\alpha)+F_{n}\end{array}\right.$

35
We introduce $P_{r}$ and $P^{\prime}$ as the background reference pressure and its perturbation, with $P=P_{r}+P^{\prime}$. Both variables are in hydrostatic equilibrium. They depend on time, horizontal and vertical coordinates.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{D v *}{D t}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial s}-\frac{\rho_{r}}{\rho} \cdot g \cdot \sin (\alpha)-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P^{\prime}}{\partial s}-\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho} \cdot g \cdot \sin (\alpha)-f \cdot u^{*}+F_{s}  \tag{4}\\
\frac{D w *}{D t}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial n}-\frac{\rho_{r}}{\rho} g \cdot \cos (\alpha)-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P^{\prime}}{\partial s}-\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho} \cdot g \cdot \cos (\alpha)+F_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

When the slope is small, we can approximate a hydrostatic equilibrium for $w^{*}$, meaning that:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{D w^{*}}{D t} \approx 0 \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial n}=-\rho \cdot g \cdot \cos (\alpha)\end{array}\right.$
As $P_{r}$ and $P^{\prime}$ are in hydrostatic equilibrium as well,
$45\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial n}=-\rho_{r} \cdot g \cdot \cos (\alpha) \\ \frac{\partial P^{\prime}}{\partial n}=-\rho^{\prime} \cdot g \cdot \cos (\alpha)\end{array}\right.$
We define $\rho_{r 0}$ and $P_{r 0}$ a constant density and a constant pressure in the horizontal dimensions which value remain close to $\rho$ and $P$. We integrate Eq. 6 with respect to the $n$ coordinate and we divide by $\rho_{r 0}$ :
$\frac{1}{\rho_{r 0}} \int_{n}^{h} \frac{\partial P^{\prime}}{\partial n} d n=-\frac{g \cdot \cos (\alpha)}{\rho_{r 0}} \int_{n}^{h} \rho^{\prime} d n$
where $h$ is a height above which $P=P_{r}$ and $P^{\prime}=0$. Therefore:
$50 \frac{1}{\rho_{r 0}} P^{\prime}(n)=-\frac{g \cdot \cos (\alpha)}{\rho_{r 0}} \int_{n}^{h} \rho^{\prime} d n$
Introducing the potential temperature $\theta=\left(\frac{P}{\rho R}\right)^{1-\kappa}\left(P_{0}\right)^{\kappa}$, with $P_{0}=1000 \mathrm{hPa}$, we use the logarithmic derivative:
$\frac{\Delta(\theta)}{\theta}=(1-\kappa) \frac{\Delta(P)}{P}-\frac{\Delta(\rho)}{\rho}$
In the case of a shallow circulation:
$\frac{\Delta(\theta)}{\theta}=-\frac{\Delta(\rho)}{\rho}$
55 We define $\theta_{r 0}$ as the potential temperature associated with $\rho_{r 0}$ and $P_{r 0}$ :
$\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{\rho_{r 0}} P^{\prime}=-\frac{g \cdot \cos (\alpha)}{\theta_{r 0}} \int_{n}^{h} \theta^{\prime} d n$
We derive the previous equation with respect to $s$ :
$\frac{1}{\rho_{r 0}} \frac{\partial P^{\prime}}{\partial s}=-\frac{g \cdot \cos (\alpha)}{\theta_{r 0}} \int_{n}^{h} \frac{\partial \theta^{\prime}}{\partial s} d n$

As $\rho_{r 0}$ remains close to $\rho$ :
$60 \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P^{\prime}}{\partial s} \approx-\frac{g \cdot \cos (\alpha)}{\theta_{r 0}} \int_{n}^{h} \frac{\partial \theta^{\prime}}{\partial s} d n$
Using the different developments and simplifications that we have made, we can rewrite Eq. (4) for the downslope coordinate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D v^{*}}{D t}=-\underbrace{\frac{1}{\rho}\left[\frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial s}+\rho_{r} \cdot g \cdot \sin (\alpha)\right]}_{\text {Large-scale }}+\underbrace{\frac{g \cdot \cos (\alpha)}{\theta_{r 0}} \int_{n}^{h} \frac{\partial \theta^{\prime}}{\partial s} d n}_{\text {Thermal wind }}-\underbrace{\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho} \cdot g \cdot \sin (\alpha)}_{\text {Katabatic }}-\underbrace{f \cdot u^{*}}_{\text {Coriolis }}+F_{s} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thermal wind THWD is then computed as follows:

THWD $=\frac{g \cdot \cos (\alpha)}{\theta_{r 0}} \int_{n}^{h} \frac{\partial \theta^{\prime}}{\partial s} d n$
65 Eq. (14) has been derived in what we call "sigma coordinates". From here we are unable to compute the large-scale acceleration because we don't have access to $p_{r}$ or to $\rho_{r}$. We will need another formula for this term.

From (1) and (3):
$\frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial s}+\rho_{r} \cdot g \cdot \sin (\alpha) \approx-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial x}$
Let $v_{r}$ be a wind speed such that $P_{r}$ and $v_{r}$ are in thermal-wind balance.
$70-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial x}=-f \cdot v_{r}$
Using the chain rule:
$v_{r}=-\left.\frac{1}{\rho f} \frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)\right|_{P_{r}}$
Thus, with $\Phi_{r}$ the geopotential associated to $P_{r}$
$v_{r}=-\left.\frac{\rho_{r} g}{\rho f}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)\right|_{P_{r}}=-\frac{\rho_{r} g}{\rho f}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{r}}{\partial x}\right) \approx-\frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi_{r}}{\partial x}\right)$
75 Using the definition of the potential temperature and the derivative with respect to $P$ :
$\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial P}=-\left.\frac{R}{f P_{r}}\left(\frac{\partial T_{r}}{\partial x}\right)\right|_{P_{r}}$
$P_{r} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial P}=-\left.\frac{R}{f}\left(\frac{P}{P_{0}}\right)^{\frac{R_{d}}{C_{p}}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{r}}{\partial x}\right)\right|_{P_{r}}$

If $P$ and $P_{r}$ are similar enough, which is a huge hypothesis, we can write:
$80 \quad P \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial P}=-\left.\frac{R}{f}\left(\frac{P}{P_{0}}\right)^{\frac{R_{d}}{C_{p}}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{r}}{\partial x}\right)\right|_{P}$
And it leads us to this expression:
$\frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial \ln (P)}=-\left.\frac{R}{f}\left(\frac{P}{P_{0}}\right)^{\frac{R_{d}}{C_{p}}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{r}}{\partial x}\right)\right|_{P}$
As $\theta_{r}(x, y, z)=\tau_{0}(x, y)+\gamma_{0}(x, y) \cdot z$ (see article), with $z$ the altitude above ground level, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial \theta_{r}}{\partial x}\right|_{P}=\frac{\partial \tau_{0}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \gamma_{0}}{\partial x} t z+\left.\gamma_{0} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right|_{P} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

85 At 500 hPa , on average, $\frac{\partial \gamma_{0}}{\partial x} \cdot z \approx 10^{-2}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \cdot \gamma_{0} \approx 10^{-4}$. The following simplification is thus made to compute $v_{r}$ :
$\left.\frac{\partial \theta_{r}}{\partial x}\right|_{P}=\frac{\partial \tau_{0}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \gamma_{0}}{\partial x} \cdot z$

## S3 Choice of a lower boundary $H_{\text {min }}$ for linear interpolation of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

In order to accurately select $H_{m i n}$, it is crucial to identify the minimum height at which the vertical gradient of potential temperature diverges. The chosen threshold for this study depends on an initial guess, which is $\gamma_{500-350}$ computed between 350 and 500 hPa . A sensitivity test has been conducted to determine which multiplier $N$ of $\gamma_{500-350}$ should be accepted as a maximum threshold for $\gamma_{0}$ computed between $H_{\min }$ and 350 hPa . The smaller the multiplier, the likelier $H_{\min }$ is to be greater than $Z_{500}$. In these cases, $H_{\min }$ is way too high in the atmosphere, and there is no improvement in comparison to the initial guess. On the other hand, the higher the multiplier, the likelier is the interpolation to extend excessively close to the surface ( $H_{\min }<100 \mathrm{magl}$ ). As we assume the surface processes to always be active under 100 m agl, in these cases, $H_{\min }$ is forced to this value.

The minimum value of the multiplier of $\gamma_{500-350}$ for which $H_{\text {min }}$ is always smaller than $Z_{500}$ (red line on Fig. SS1) is a good indicator of the optimal value for the multiplier of $\gamma_{500-350}$. This value is comprised between $3 \cdot \gamma$ for D17 and $6 \cdot \gamma$ for DC.

Note that there is no substantial difference between the background potential temperature computed with 3 , 5 or $7 \gamma$, as shown for D17 at 7 m agl. Therefore, a compromise was reached, using $5 \cdot \gamma$, which is an intermediate value between the optimal multiplier at D17 and DC.

## S4 Supplementary Figures



Fig. S1. Number of timesteps for which $H_{\text {min }}$ is greater than $Z_{500}$ (orange line) and number of timesteps for which $H_{\text {min }}$ is smaller than 100 m agl and forced to 100 m agl in July 2010 at 4 different stations (a) D17, (b) D47, (c) D85, (d) DC. The red line indicates the minimum value of the multiplier of $\gamma$ for which $H_{\text {min }}$ is always smaller than $Z_{500}$.


Fig. S2. $\theta_{0}$ (background potential temperature) computed at D47, at surface level ( 7 magl ) for July 2010, using $3 \cdot \gamma$ (blue line), $5 \cdot \gamma$ (orange line) and $7 \cdot \gamma$ (green line) threshold for determining $H_{\text {min }}$.


Fig. S3. Distributions of July 2010 2m MAR (black distributions) and observed (colored distributions) wind-speed at (a) D17 (b) D47 (c) D85 (d) DC. The black and colored fits correspond to the Weibull fit respectively for MAR and for the observations. The four horizontal lines indicate the mean wind-speed of each station.

| Station | Shape parameter |  | Scale parameter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\kappa_{\text {obs }}$ | $\kappa_{M A R}$ | $\lambda_{\text {obs }}$ | $\lambda_{M A R}$ |
| D17 | 1.49 | 2.72 | 10.04 | 12.84 |
| D47 | 7.14 | 4.42 | 88.96 | 28.72 |
| D85 | 1.46 | 3.03 | 4.80 | 16.47 |
| DC | 1.05 | 1.83 | 1.62 | 4.40 |

Table S1. Weibull parameters associated with the distributions displayed on Fig. S3


Fig. S4. Vertical profiles averaged over July 2010-2020 of each downslope acceleration (top panel, the $x$-axis extends from -15 to 15 $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ) and cross-slope accelerations (bottom panel, the x -axis extends from -6 to $6 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ) for the 4 zones on the transect.


Fig. S5. (a) Mean July 2010-2020 total wind speed, (b) wind speed associated to the sum of dominant terms, i.e. katabatic, large-scale, thermal wind and turbulent acceleration (c) Difference between (a) and (b) at surface level ( $\sim 7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{agl}$ ), computed with 3-hourly MAR outputs.


Fig. S6. Comparison of MAR PGF output with our MBD PGF at the surface at D17 (a, d), D85 (b, e) and DC (c, f). Left panel (a, b, c): 3-hourly time serie comparison of MAR PGF versus MBD PGF for a winter month (August 2012). Right panel (d, e, f): scatter plot of MAR PGF versus MBD PGF for the months of winter (June, July, August) 2010-2020.


Fig. S7. (a) Normalized root mean square error (NRMSE) computed for the PGF (July 2010-2020) along the transect, between MAR (online) and our MBD method, at 7 m agl. The red line indicates the average NRMSE value on the transect. (b) Histogram of the NRMSE on the continent. The two vertical red lines represent the $5 \%$ and $95 \%$ percentiles of the total distribution for July 2010-2020.


Fig. S8. Examples of profiles exhibiting a high Normalized Root Mean Square Error (NRMSE) between the native MAR PGF and our MBD PGF at D17: (a) no abrupt increase in the vertical derivative of potential temperature at the top of the inversion layer (b) Intrusions of an air-mass (characterized by a non strictly monotonous profile of potential temperature) (c) Secondary linear section with a different slope under 500 hPa


Fig. S9. Fourier transform of katabatic (red), large-scale (blue) and thermal-wind (pink) accelerations for the 4 stations on the transect.

