Distribution, chemical and molecular composition of high and low-molecular-weight humic-like substances in ambient aerosols
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Abstract

Humic-like Substances (HULIS) encompass a continuum of molecular weight (MW) ranges, yet our understanding of how HULIS characteristics vary with MW is still limited and not well-established. In this study, a combination of ultrafiltration and solid-phase extraction protocols was employed to fractionate the high MW (HMW, >1 kDa) and low MW (LMW, < 1kDa) HULIS fractions from ambient aerosols collected during summer and winter at a rural site. Subsequently, comprehensive characterization by using total organic carbon, high-performance size exclusion chromatography (HPSEC), UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), negative electrospray ionization high resolution mass spectrometry (ESI- HRMS) were conducted. The results revealed that HMW HULIS were dominated by larger-sized chromophores, substantially constituting a higher fraction of total organic carbon and UV absorption at 254 nm than LMW HULIS. While both HMW and LMW HULIS shared similar fluorophore types and functional groups, the former exhibited higher levels of humification and a greater presence of polar functional groups (e.g., -COOH, >C=O). HRMS analysis further unveiled that molecular formulas within HMW HULIS generally featured smaller sizes but higher degrees of unsaturation and aromaticity compared to those within LMW HULIS fractions. This observation suggests the possibility of small molecules assembling to form the HMW HULIS through intermolecular weak forces. Moreover, HMW HULIS contained a higher proportion of CHON but fewer CHO compounds than LMW HULIS. In both HMW and LMW HULIS, the unique molecular formulas were
primarily characterized by lignin-like species, yet the former displayed a prevalence
of N-enriched and highly aromatic species. Additionally, HMW HULIS contained
more unique lipids-like compounds, while LMW HULIS exhibited a distinct presence
of tannin-like compounds. These findings provide valuable insights into the
distribution, optical properties, and molecular-level characteristics of HULIS in
atmospheric aerosols, thereby advancing our understanding of their sources,
composition, and environmental implications.
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1. Introduction

HUmic-Like Substances (HULIS) are complex and heterogeneous mixtures of water-soluble organic matters (WSOM) that are of great importance in the atmospheric environment. They usually share similar physicochemical properties (e.g., acidity, absorption, fluorescence, functional groups) with naturally occurring humic substances (Graber and Rudich, 2006; Zheng et al., 2013) and are prevalent in fog, clouds, rainwater and ambient aerosols (Birdwell and Valsaraj, 2010; Fan et al., 2016a; Santos et al., 2012). With substantial hygroscopic and surface-active properties, HULIS enhance the hygroscopic growth of particles, thereby contributing to the formation of the cloud condensation nuclei and ice nuclei (Chen et al., 2021a; Dinar et al., 2007). Moreover, acting as an important component of brown carbon (BrC), HULIS effectively absorb near-ultraviolet and visible light, thus influencing the global radiative balance and atmospheric chemistry processes (Bao et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020). Furthermore, HULIS have the potential to catalyze the formation of reactive oxygen species, leading to potential adverse health effects (Ma et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2022b).

The chemical composition of atmospheric HULIS exhibit significant heterogeneity and typically comprises macromolecular compounds containing aromatic rings with highly conjugated structures, as well as long-chain hydrocarbon with polar groups (e.g., -OH, -COOH, -NO$_2$) (Fan et al., 2013; Huo et al., 2021). To unravel the structural characteristics and properties of HULIS, a range of analytical techniques, including absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy, Fourier transform
infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (¹H NMR), have been utilized (Huo et al., 2021; Qin et al., 2022; Zou et al., 2020). These studies have provided insights into the overall structural characteristics of complex HULIS, including their abundances, chemical and optical characteristics (Huo et al., 2021; Mukherjee et al., 2020; Win et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2022b; Zheng et al., 2013). In recent years, high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) techniques, such as Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FT-ICR-MS) and orbitrap HRMS, in combination with electrospray ionization (ESI), have emerged as powerful tools for elucidating the molecular-level characteristics of HULIS (Lin et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2019; Zou et al., 2023). By utilizing HRMS, researchers have gained deeper insights into the complexity and chemical heterogeneity of HULIS at the molecular level.

Operationally, HULIS are defined as the hydrophobic fraction of water-soluble organic matter (WSOM) typically extracted through solid-phase extraction (SPE) protocol (Fan et al., 2012; Zou et al., 2020). Thus, the abundance and characteristics of HULIS are contingent upon the chemical composition of WSOM. Previous studies have shown that aerosol WSOM, often seen as water-soluble brown carbon (BrC), are comprised of a continuum of molecular weight (MW) species, as revealed by high-performance exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) analysis (Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2019). These studies have highlighted that BrC typically consist of both high-MW (HMW) and low-MW (LMW) chromophores in various aerosols. For example, BrC emitted from fresh biomass burning (BB) are
dominated by low MW chromophores (Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2019). However, BrC derived from aged BB aerosols and ambient aerosols tend to possess more HMW chromophores that are highly chemically resistant (Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2019). Further characterizations of different MW BrC can be conducted using an ultrafiltration (UF) protocol (Fan et al., 2021). This approach enabled researchers to obtain the distributions of content, chromophores and fluorophores within various MW BrC fractions. Despite these advancements, the chemical structures and molecular composition of different MW HULIS fractions remain poorly understood. Consequently, a combination of UF and SPE protocols for the fractionation and characterization of MW-separated HULIS is crucial, as it not only provides insights into MW distributions but also illuminates the chemical heterogeneities of aerosols HULIS.

In this study, a combination of UF-SPE isolation protocol was developed to fractionate and characterize the MW HULIS fractions. Two distinct sets of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ samples collected during summer and winter periods were utilized to facilitate a comparative analysis of MW HULIS. Initially, the WSOM were fractionated into high-MW (HMW, >1 kDa) and low-MW (LMW, <1 kDa) species using the UF protocol. Subsequently, the resulting MW WSOM fractions underwent SPE to obtain different MW HULIS fractions. The obtained HMW and LMW HULIS fractions were comprehensively characterized using advanced analytical techniques, including total organic carbon analysis, UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy, HPSEC, and HRMS to unveil their abundances, absorption and fluorescence properties, and molecular
characteristics. The findings of this study hold great significance in advancing our understanding of the definition and molecular profiles of HULIS, as well as facilitating further investigations into their potential impacts on the atmospheric environment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Atmospheric fine particles sampling

Atmospheric PM$_{2.5}$ were sampled on the rooftop of a building within the campus of Anhui Science and Technology University (32.21°N, 118.72°E), around 20 m above ground level. Detailed information regarding the sampling site can be found in our previous studies (Cao et al., 2022; Fan et al., 2021). The PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected using a high-volume PM$_{2.5}$ sampler (JCH-1000, Juchuang Ltd., Qingdao) onto prebaked quartz fiber filters (8 × 10 inches, Whatman). Sampling took place from July 25 to August 12, 2021, during summer, and from December 19, 2021 to January 6, 2022, during winter. Blank filters were also collected as control samples. All aerosol PM$_{2.5}$ filter samples were stored at -20 °C in a freezer prior to analysis. The atmospheric pollutant data (NO$_2$, SO$_2$ and O$_3$) near sampling site during sampling period were obtained from the website (https://www.aqistudy.cn) and are summarized in Table S1. Additionally, the fire spots were investigated using data from the website (https://firms.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/map/) and are visualized in Fig. S1.

2.2. Application of UF-SPE for isolating MW HULIS fractions
Punches of summer and winter aerosol PM$_{2.5}$ filter samples were taken and combined for the extraction of water-soluble organic matter (WSOM), respectively. The filters were immersed in 300 mL of ultrapure water and subjected to ultrasonication for 30 min. The resulting suspensions were then filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane (Φ 47 mm, Jinteng, China) to obtain bulk WSOM samples. These bulk filtrates were further subjected to UF and SPE in tandem to obtain different MW HULIS fractions. Please refer to Fig. S2 for a schematic representation of the fractionation steps.

Before UF, the bulk WSOM were diluted to DOC concentration below 30 mg/L to minimize the concentration effects and prevent the accumulation of organic matters at the membrane surface during UF. The detailed UF procedure followed the profile described in our previous study (Fan et al., 2021). Briefly, each bulk WSOM solution was passed through a pre-cleaned 1 kDa cut-off membrane in a stirred UF cell (Amicon 8200, Millipore, USA), with a pressure at 0.2 MPa applied by ultrapure N$_2$. The concentration factor was ~10. The resulting retentate was considered as HMW (>1 kDa) WSOM, while the permeate solutions represented the LMW (<1 kDa) WSOM. Finally, each MW fraction was diluted to the initial volume for further treatment and analysis. Mass balances of WSOM during one-step UF process generally ranged from 92% to 99%, as determined by total organic carbon (TOC) and UV absorption at 254 nm (UV$_{254}$), indicating good performance of UF without substantial loss or organic contamination.

Subsequently, SPE was applied to isolate the so-called HULIS fractions from the
bulk and each MW fraction of WSOM, following the protocol proposed in our previous studies (Fan et al., 2013; Zou et al., 2020). Briefly, the acidified aqueous samples were passed through pre-activated HLB columns (Waters Oasis, 500 mg/6 mL, USA). The fractions retained on the resins (referred to as HULIS) were eluted with pure methanol and dried using a gentle stream of pure N\textsubscript{2}. Finally, the bulk, HMW and LMW HULIS fractions were obtained. A blank filter control was performed using the same procedure described above, and the analysis signals of samples were corrected by blank control.

2.3. HPSEC analysis

The apparent MW distributions of MW HULIS fractions were analyzed using a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (LC-20AT, Shimadzu, Japan) equipped with a refractive index detector (RID-10A, Shimadzu) and a diode array detector (SPD-M20A, Shimadzu). The wavelength of the diode array detector was set at 254 nm. Separation was performed using an aqueous gel filtration column (Polysep-GFC-P 3000, Phenomenex) preceded by a guard column (Polysep-GFC-P, Phenomenex). The mobile phase consisted of a mixture of water and methanol (90:10 v/v) containing 25 mM ammonium acetate (Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2019). The sample injection volume was 100 μL, and the flow rate was maintained at 1 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}. The HPSEC calibration was performed using a series of polyethylene glycol (PEG) standards (Kawasaki et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2022c). The chromatographic peak areas were integrated to represent the abundances of
corresponding MW species. It should be noted that the MW values estimated here are nominal rather than absolute due to the lack of appropriate standards for column calibration (Fan et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2017).

The weight-average MW (Mw), number-average MW (Mn) and polydispersivity (\(\rho\)), were determined using the following equations (Song et al., 2010):

\[
Mw = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_i \cdot MW_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i}
\]

\[
Mn = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_i / MW_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i}
\]

\[
\rho = \frac{Mw}{Mn}
\]

where \(h_i\) and \(MW_i\) are the absorption intensity of the chromatogram and the MW of molecules corresponding to the \(i\)th retention time, respectively.

2.4. Measurements of WSOC content and optical properties

The concentration of water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) in HMW and LMW HULIS was measured using a Shimadzu TOC analyzer (TOC-VCPN, Japan) following the non-purgeable organic carbon protocol.

The UV-vis spectra were recorded using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV 2600, Shimadzu, Japan) over a wavelength range of 200-700 nm with 1 nm increments. Excitation-emission matrix (EEM) spectra were determined using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (F4600, Hitachi, Japan). The scanning ranges for excitation (Ex) and emission (Em) wavelengths were 200-400 and 290-520 nm, respectively, with a scanning speed was 12,000 nm/min.

To characterize the chemical and optical properties of MW HULIS fractions,
several commonly used spectra parameters were calculated, including the specific UV absorbance at 254 nm (SUVA$_{254}$), the UV absorbance ratio between 250 and 365 nm (E$_2$/E$_3$), spectra slope ratios (S$_R$), the absorption Angstrom exponent (AAE), and mass absorption efficiency (MAE$_{365}$), fluorescence indices (FI), biological index (BIX), and humification degree (HIX) (Fan et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2021). Further details can be found in Text S1 of the Supporting Information (SI).

2.5. HRMS analysis and data processing

The MW HULIS fractions were analyzed using a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Germany) equipped with a heated electrospray ionization (ESI) source. The system operated in negative ESI mode with a resolution of 140,000 at m/z = 200. The detection mass range was set from 60 to 900 m/z. To ensure accurate mass measurements, mass calibration was carried out using a commercial standard mixture of ESI-L Low Concentration Tuning Mix (G1969-85000, Agilent, USA).

The acquired mass spectra were processed using Xcalibur software (V2.2, Thermo Scientific). The mathematically possible formulas for all ions were calculated with a signal-to-noise ratio (s/n) $\geq$ 5 using a mass tolerance of 5 ppm. The assigned molecular formulas followed specific constraints, with limitations on the following elements: C $\leq$ 50, H $\leq$ 100, O $\leq$ 20, N $\leq$ 3, S $\leq$ 2. Additionally, the elemental ratios of H/C, O/C, N/ C, and S/C were constrained to the ranges of 0.3–3.0, 0–3.0, 0–0.5, and 0–2.0, respectively. The double-bond equivalents (DBE) and modified aromaticity index ($A_{\text{mod}}$) values of the assigned neutral assigned formula (C$_x$H$_y$O$_z$N$_a$S$_b$) were...
calculated using the equations (4-5) (He et al., 2023; Song et al., 2022):

\[
\text{DBE} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(2c - h + n) \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{AI}_{\text{mod}} = \frac{1 + c - 0.5o - 0.5n - 0.5h}{c - 0.5o - n} \quad (5)
\]

The intensity-weighted molecular parameters (\(X_w\)) of MW, H/C, O/C, DBE, and AI values were calculated according to the equation (6) (He et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2023):

\[
X_w = \frac{\sum (I_i \cdot X_i)}{\sum I_i} \quad (6)
\]

where \(X\) represents the aforementioned parameters, and \(I_i\) denote the intensity for each assigned formula \(i\).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Size and distribution of MW HULIS fractions

3.1.1. Molecular size of HMW and LMW HULIS

Fig. 1 shows the HPSEC chromatograms of MW HULIS. Both HMW and LMW HULIS exhibit MW continuum distributions ranging from 100 to 20,000 Da, which is consistent with the reported distributions of BrC in BB-derived and various ambient aerosol in previous studies (Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2017). However, the chromatographic patterns for HMW HULIS clearly differ from those observed for LMW HULIS in both aerosol samples. As seen in Fig. 1, HMW HULIS display an additional and stronger absorption peak at around 4000 Da (peak \(iii\)), along with a more pronounced peak at 2200 Da (peak \(ii\)) and a similar magnitude peak at 570 Da (peak \(i\)) compared to LMW HULIS. This suggests that HMW HULIS
contain the majority of larger molecular size chromophores within the bulk WSOM. In addition, the magnitude peak at 570 Da (peak i) in HMW HULIS may indicate the incorporation of small molecule through weak interactions based on $\pi-\pi$ and/or van der Waals forces between the HULIS components (Fan et al., 2021; Piccolo, 2002).

Fig. 1. Average HPSEC chromatograms of bulk, HMW and LMW HULIS fractions in (a) summer and (b) winter aerosol, respectively. The yellow and cyan shadows represent MW size regions of >1 kDa and <1 kDa, respectively.

Moreover, the molecular size of MW HULIS can be further reflected by the differences in Mw and Mn. As listed in Table 1, the average Mw and Mn of HMW HULIS are 2233-2315 and 654-707 Da, respectively, greatly larger than that of LMW HULIS (989-1071 and 293-394 Da, respectively). These differences indicate that the sources and formation processes of HMW HULIS may differ from LMW HULIS. Many previous studies have demonstrated that fresh BB HULIS generally consist of small molecular-sized chromophores (Di Lorenzo et al., 2018; Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2019). However, a notable enhancement in the formation of large molecular-sized chromophores has been found when they undergo
intrinsic atmospheric processes (Di Lorenzo et al., 2018; Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2019). Based on these limited studies, it is suggested that HMW HULIS, characterized by higher proportions of large-size chromophores and the resulting larger apparent molecular size, might be associated with the products from atmospheric aging process rather than being emitted directly by primary sources.

**Table 1.** The summary of typical quantity and quality parameters of each MW HULIS fraction from BB and ambient aerosols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th></th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>HMW</td>
<td>LMW</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPSEC-derived parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mw</td>
<td>1975±13</td>
<td>2315±38</td>
<td>1071±24</td>
<td>1918±56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>591±53</td>
<td>707±48</td>
<td>394±13</td>
<td>525±57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>3.4±0.3</td>
<td>3.3±0.2</td>
<td>2.7±0.2</td>
<td>3.7±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HULIS/WSOM (%)a</td>
<td>65±1</td>
<td>68±1</td>
<td>51±2</td>
<td>63±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV254</td>
<td>66±5</td>
<td>65±2</td>
<td>55±4</td>
<td>67±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2/E3</td>
<td>12.02±0.54</td>
<td>11.72±0.31</td>
<td>14.98±0.98</td>
<td>6.30±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE254</td>
<td>0.21±0.02</td>
<td>0.23±0.01</td>
<td>0.20±0.01</td>
<td>1.04±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAE</td>
<td>7.11±0.32</td>
<td>7.59±0.00</td>
<td>8.25±0.23</td>
<td>6.66±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI</td>
<td>2.00±0.04</td>
<td>1.99±0.03</td>
<td>2.04±0.05</td>
<td>2.06±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIX</td>
<td>0.95±0.01</td>
<td>0.86±0.07</td>
<td>1.02±0.01</td>
<td>0.96±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIX</td>
<td>2.42±0.06</td>
<td>2.43±0.04</td>
<td>2.40±0.05</td>
<td>3.13±0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* The ratios of contents of SPE-isolated HULIS fractions to that of corresponding WSOM fractions determined by TOC and/or absorbance at 254 nm (UV254).

3.1.2. Relative abundances of HMW and LMW HULIS

The contribution of MW-HULIS fractions to their corresponding MW-WSOM fractions, quantified in terms of TOC and UV absorption at 254 nm for both summer and winter aerosols are summarized in Table 1. In general, the ratios of HULIS/WSOM of HMW fractions (in terms of TOC and UV254) (65-68%) were
higher than the ratios (41-61%) observed for LMW fractions. This finding suggests that the higher presence of hydrophobic and conjugated aromatic structures in HMW WSOM, but more hydrophilic OC and non-aromatic species (e.g., aliphatic dicarboxylic acid) in the LMW WSOM (Fan et al., 2012; Zou et al., 2020).

Fig. 2 illustrates the distribution of distinct MW fractions within reconstructed WSOM, wherein “non-HULIS” refers to the content differences between the MW WSOM and its HULIS fractions. The HMW HULIS fraction contributed 39-41% of TOC and 40-47% of UV254 to the bulk WSOM. In contrast, the LWM HULIS fraction only make up a smaller proportion, accounting for 16-20% of TOC and 17-21% of UV254 within the bulk WSOM. Specifically, the ratios between HMW HULIS and LMW HULIS (H/L) ranged from 1.88 to 2.75 for both summer and winter aerosols in terms of either TOC or UV254. These findings emphasize that HMW HULIS significantly dominate the bulk aerosol HULIS fractions. Notably, the H/L ratio for winter aerosols was higher than that for summer aerosols, suggesting that larger-sized HULIS contributed more to the bulk HULIS fractions in winter aerosols.
Fig. 2. Relative proportions of different MW fractions in summer and winter aerosols determined by TOC and UV254.

The non-HULIS fractions are also important constituents within aerosol WSOM, but exhibit some differences between HMW and LMW fractions. The contributions of HMW non-HULIS to bulk WSOM were ~19% as determined by TOC and 21-25% measured by UV254. In case of LMW non-HULIS, the contributions were higher in terms of TOC (20-26%) but lower in terms of UV254 (11-18%). These results indicate that the LMW WSOM contain a larger proportion of hydrophilic organic species with weaker light absorption.

3.2. Optical characteristics of MW HULIS fractions

3.2.1. Light absorption characteristics

The absorption spectra of MW HULIS fractions in ambient aerosols are shown in Fig. S3. These spectra exhibit a featureless shape with a general decrease in
absorbance as the wavelength increases, which is a typical characteristic of HULIS found in rainwater, biomass burning (BB), and ambient aerosols (Huo et al., 2021; Santos et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2022b). The E$_2$/E$_3$ ratio, commonly used as an indicator of the chemical characteristics of organic species, is inversely correlated with higher aromaticity and larger molecular weight (Fan et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2021). As listed in Table 1, the E$_2$/E$_3$ of HMW HULIS fractions generally were lower than that of LMW HULIS in both ambient aerosols. This is consistent with the expectation that larger-sized HULIS generally possess more polyconjugated and polymeric structures (Fan et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022c), leading to greater aromaticity and larger molecular size.

MAE$_{365}$ and AAE are commonly used to characterize the light absorption capacity and the spectral dependence of light absorption by aerosol chromophores, respectively (Bao et al., 2022; Fan et al., 2016b; Kumar et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2020). As listed in Table 1, the average MAE$_{365}$ values of HMW HULIS are 0.23 and 1.06 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ in summer and winter aerosol, respectively. These values are higher than the corresponding values of 0.20 and 0.88 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, respectively, for LMW HULIS. In addition, HMW HULIS presented lower AAE values, being 7.59 and 6.25 in summer and winter aerosol, respectively, than the corresponding values of 8.25 and 7.28, respectively, for LMW HULIS (Table 1). These findings are consistent with the observation in our previous study that larger WSOM generally own higher MAE$_{365}$ but smaller AAE values than smaller WSOM (Fan et al., 2021). Generally, the results suggest that HMW HULIS exhibit stronger light-absorbing ability but with light
absorption showing a weaker wavelength dependence. It is worth noting that combustion sources, such as BB and coal combustion, usually emit primary HULIS with high MAE$^{365}$ values due to the enrichment of poly-aromatic and unsaturated species (Cao et al., 2021; Fan et al., 2018; Huo et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021), but with small molecular weight distributions (Di Lorenzo et al., 2018; Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2017). Furthermore, subsequent pronounced photooxidation and photobleaching processes can lead to a enrichment and/or formation of large sized chromophores (Di Lorenzo et al., 2018; Di Lorenzo et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2017), concurrently resulting in a reduction in their absorption capacity and an enhancement of their spectra dependence on wavelength (Chen et al., 2021b; Fan et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022a). From this perspective, the SOA formation might induce the generation of HMW HULIS with lower light absorption capacity and weaker light absorption wavelength dependence. In contrast, LMW HULIS is more likely to represent small-sized primary HULIS and/or by-products resulting from the degradation and oxidation of primary large-sized HULIS. Considering the complex sources of ambient HULIS, future studies should explore the MW-dependent light absorption characteristics of HULIS from different sources.

3.2.2. Fluorescence characteristics

The EEM contours of MW HULIS fractions from both summer and winter aerosols are presented in Fig. S4. These HULIS fractions from both seasons exhibit similar EEM spectra features, with a predominance of humic-like fluorophores
(Ex/Em = 210-235/395-410 nm). This observation suggests that humic-like fluorophores are fundamental constituents of both HMW and LMW HULIS, which are consistent with previous findings for aerosols MW WSOM (Fan et al., 2021) and bulk HULIS in BB-derived and ambient aerosols (Fan et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2018). The findings imply an assembly of small and heterogeneous molecules to form bulk HULIS through weak intramolecular forces (i.e., π–π, van der Waals, hydrophobic, or hydrogen bonds) (Fan et al., 2021; Piccolo, 2002) and/or charge-transfer interactions (Phillips et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2022). In this study, the fluorescence regional integration (FRI) method was applied to characterize the fluorescent composition of MW HULIS. Using FRI, EEM spectra were divided into five fluorescence regions (labeled as I to V) (Fig. S4), which were successively assigned to simple aromatic proteins (I and II), fulvic acid-like (III), soluble microbial byproduct-like (IV), and humic acid-like (V) substances, respectively, as established in previous studies (Chen et al., 2003; Qin et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021b). As shown in Fig. S5, the large-size aromatic proteins (II) and fulvic acid-like substances (III) dominated the fluorophores within MW HULIS in both summer and winter aerosols, comprising approximately 62-64% of the total fluorescence intensity. This finding is consistent with previous reports on bulk HULIS in summer and winter aerosols from industrial and urban cities (Qin et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021b). In comparison, the HMW HULIS in both summer and winter aerosols generally exhibited a higher proportion of humic acid-like substances (V), while having a lower abundance of small-size aromatic proteins I compared to LMW HULIS. These differences are particularly pronounced
in winter aerosols, with the humic acid-like substances accounting for 23% in HMW HULIS compared to 13% in LMW HULIS, and small-size aromatic proteins I comprising 9% in HMW HULIS compared to 17% in LMW HULIS (Fig. S5). Furthermore, the higher HIX values of HMW HULIS (5.64) in comparison to LMW HULIS (1.94) further support these differences (Table 1). The pronounced BB emissions and potential NO$_2$-related oxidation of OA, as evidenced by the presence of more hotspots (Fig. S1) and higher concentration of NO$_2$ (Table S1), are likely driving these marked distinctions between HMW and LMW HULIS in winter aerosols. In general, these findings imply that the HMW HULIS have a stronger level of humification and oxidation, while the LMW HULIS appear to be of a simpler nature and are more likely associated with fresh emissions (e.g., BB).

3.3 Molecular-level insights into MW HULIS

3.3.1. Seasonal variations in the molecular composition of MW HULIS

The molecular-level characteristics of MW HULIS were examined using negative ESI- HRMS analysis. It is worth noting that the ESI- HRMS could reveal molecular composition of a subset of organic molecules that are biased ionized in the negative ESI source rather than representing the entire HULIS composition (He et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2012). Fig. 3 displays the reconstructed mass spectra of all HULIS fraction in both summer and winter aerosols. Hundreds of peaks can be observed in the spectra ranging from m/z 100 to 450 for all samples, with most ions being abundant within the m/z 150-350 range. These spectrum characteristics are similar to
those previously reported for HULIS in ambient aerosols and BB emissions (He et al., 2023; Song et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2023).

![Mass spectra of bulk and MW HULIS in (a) summer and (b) winter aerosols.](image)

**Fig. 3.** Mass spectra of bulk and MW HULIS in (a) summer and (b) winter aerosols. The pie charts represent the intensity distributions of four compound categories (CHO, CHON, CHOS, and CHONS).

As listed in Table 2, the number of assigned formulas within MW HULIS in summer aerosols were 655-672, which was higher than the range of 470-506 observed in winter aerosols. This suggests that the MW HULIS in summer aerosols exhibited greater diversity than those in winter aerosols, mainly due to the stronger SOA formation that enhanced the heterogeneity of HULIS fractions in the summer. The
identified formulas were then classified into four groups (i.e., CHO, CHON, CHOS and CHONS) according to their elemental composition. As depicted in pie charts in Fig. 3, summer HULISs are predominantly composed of CHO (54-71%), while winter HULISs feature a high concentration of both CHON (30-58%) and CHO (21-38%). The notably higher content of CHO in summer HULISs are likely due to a wide distribution of biogenic VOC-derived SOAs during the summer season (Li et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2023). CHON content in winter HULISs is generally higher than in summer ones, potentially due to more significant contributions from direct BB, as well as secondary nitrogen-related chemical processes during the winter season (He et al., 2023; Song et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2023). This finding is supported by the greater number of fire spots (Fig. S1) and higher concentrations of NO$_2$ (Table S1) during winter. The higher proportions of CHON compounds in aerosol HULIS typically lead to enhanced light absorption capabilities (He et al., 2023; Song et al., 2022; Zeng et al., 2021). This provides a potential explanation for the higher MAE$_{365}$ values observed in winter HULIS compared to summer HULIS.

Additionally, CHOS is more abundant in winter HULISs (17-25%) than in summer aerosols (13-18%). Previous studies have demonstrated that both coal combustion and the oxidation initiated by SO$_2$ can lead to the generation of larger amounts of S-containing compounds (Song et al., 2018; Song et al., 2022; Zou et al., 2023). This finding suggested that the increased levels of coal combustion and SO$_2$-related SOAs, as evidenced by higher concentration of SO$_2$ (Table S1), are significant contributors to the presence of BrC in winter compared to in summer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elemental compositions</th>
<th>Number of formulas</th>
<th>MW&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>H/C&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>O/C&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>N/C&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>S/C&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>O/N&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>O/S&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>OM/OC&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>DBE&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>DBE/C&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>AI&lt;sub&gt;mod,w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>OS&lt;sub&gt;C,w&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Summer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULK</td>
<td>CHO</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHON</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHOS</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-4.41</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHONS</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-1.95</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMW</td>
<td>CHO</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHON</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHOS</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-4.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHONS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMW</td>
<td>CHO</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHON</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-2.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHOS</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-4.58</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHONS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-3.41</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULK</td>
<td>CHO</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHON</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHOS</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-3.80</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHONS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-2.65</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMW</td>
<td>CHO</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHON</td>
<td>CHOS</td>
<td>CHONS</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>LMW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>244</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>232</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>204</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>239</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-4.25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-3.15</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 summarizes the intensity-weighted molecular parameters for MW HULIS in both summer and winter aerosols. Evidently, the MWw of summer HULISs are 258-278, which are higher than the corresponding values of 242-256 for winter HULISs. It is noted that these values are considerably smaller than those revealed by HPSEC analysis. This difference could be explained by several factors: (1) ESI-HRMS is based towards relatively small molecules that easily protonated in negative ESI mode (He et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2012); (2) SEC can provide an apparent rather than accurate molecular size of molecules due to the lack of appropriate standards for column calibration (Fan et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2017), and (3) the potential disassembly of larger molecules stabilized by weak forces during electrospray ionization of HRMS (Fan et al., 2021; Phillips et al., 2017). Nevertheless, both HRMS and HPSEC indicate that summer HULISs exhibit larger sizes than winter HULISs. Moreover, summer HULISs exhibit higher O/Cw ranging from 0.60 to 0.66, as well as OS_{C,w} ranging from -0.29 to -0.10, which exceed the respective values of 0.50 to 0.54 and -0.46 to -0.37 observed in winter HULISs. Conversely, winter HULISs display higher AI_{mod,w} values (0.26-0.33) than those (0.13-0.16) for summer ones. These findings suggest that summer HULISs are characterized by a high degree of oxidation, while winter HULISs exhibit stronger aromaticity.

3.3.2. Comparison on molecular composition of HMW and LMW HULIS

**CHO compounds.** The CHO compounds are prominent constituents within HULIS fractions, accounting for 54% and 21% in summer and winter HMW HULIS,
respectively, whereas these proportions increase to 71% and 38% in LMW HULIS (Fig. 3). It is worth noting that CHO compounds that undergo deprotonation in ESI-mode are likely associated with the presence of carboxyl, carbonyl, alcohol and ester (Lin et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2018). Moreover, CHO compounds in LMW HULIS exhibit a higher oxygenation level compared to HMW HULIS, as evidenced by the higher O/C\textsubscript{w} and O\textsubscript{S,C},w values. As shown in Table 2, the O/C\textsubscript{w} for CHO in LMW HULIS are 0.55-0.64, which are higher than 0.47-0.54 observed in HMW HULIS. In contrast, the H/C\textsubscript{w} for CHO in HMW HULIS were consistently higher than those in LMW HULIS, with values of 1.41 vs. 1.34 in summer and 1.36 vs. 1.23 in winter (Table 2). This disparity strongly suggests a higher saturation level of CHO compounds within HMW HULIS. This conclusion is further corroborated by the lower DBE\textsubscript{w} and AI\textsubscript{mod,w} observed for CHO in HMW HULIS compared to LMW HULIS (Table 2). It is known that these values serve as estimations of C=C density and aromatic and condensed aromatic structures (Song et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021). Taken together, the CHO compounds within HMW HULIS exhibit a more aliphatic nature but lower aromaticity and oxidation levels when compared to those within LMW HULIS.

**CHON compounds.** HMW HULIS fractions consist of a higher proportion of CHON compounds compared to LMW HULIS, with proportions of 27% vs. 8% in summer and 58% vs. 30% in winter (Fig. 3). This observation suggests that HMW HULIS contain a higher content of N-containing components. It is noted that the LMW HULIS are generally characterized by higher O/Nw values of 6.60 in summer
and 3.99 in winter compared to 5.23 in summer and 3.89 in winter for HMW HULIS. This indicates that the CHON compounds within LMW HULIS are more highly oxidized than those within HMW HULIS. In general, compounds with O/N ≥ 3 are indicative of oxidized N groups such as nitro (-NO₂) or nitrooxy (-ONO₂), while compounds with O/N < 3 may denote the reduced N-containing functional groups (i.e., amines) (He et al., 2023; Song et al., 2022; Zeng et al., 2021). In this study, a majority of the CHON compounds, comprising 73-85% in summer and 59-64% in winter, exhibited O/N ≥ 3 in both MW HULIS fractions. This suggests that high concentrations of nitro compounds or organonitrates dominate the CHON compounds (Sun et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2018; Zeng et al., 2021), especially in summer samples, primarily due to the hydroxyl radical oxidation of biogenic or anthropogenic VOC precursors, as well as BB emissions (Song et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2023). Furthermore, the CHON compounds exhibiting O/N ≥ 3 were more abundant in LMW HULIS compared to HMW HULIS, accounting for 85% vs. 73% in summer and 64% vs. 59% in winter. In contrast, HMW HULIS contained more CHON compounds with O/N < 3 compared to LMW HULIS. These findings collectively indicate that the CHON within HMW HULIS possess lower content of nitro compounds or organonitrates than LMW HULIS. Based on FTIR analysis (Text S2 and Fig. S6 in SI), it is known that HMW HULIS contain more carboxylic groups than LMW HULIS, which indicate a higher likelihood of HMW HULIS containing more amino acids.

**CHOS and CHONS compounds.** In this study, we observed that CHOS
accounted for proportions of 13% to 25% in all MW HULIS fractions, while CHONS had a lower proportion of 3% to 7% (Fig. 3). Notably, the distribution of CHOS differed between HMW and LMW HULIS in both season samples. As depicted in Fig. 3, HMW HULIS contained fewer CHOS compounds compared to LMW HULIS, with proportions of 13% vs. 17% in summer and 17% vs. 25% in winter. This finding suggests that a greater number of CHOS compounds are incorporated into the LMW HULIS fractions, which potentially leading to a reduction in the light absorption of LMW HULIS (Zeng et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021). Furthermore, as indicated in Table 2, both the CHOS and CHONS within LMW HULIS exhibited higher O/Sw values than HMW HULIS in both seasonal samples. Consequently, the S-containing compounds within LMW HULIS were characterized by a higher degree of oxidation, primarily attributed to SO₂-related chemical oxidation process, in comparison to those in HMW HULIS. Moreover, it was observed that 61% to 92% of CHOS compounds exhibited O/S > 4, and 3% to 43% of CHONS compounds with O/S > 7 for all MW HULIS fractions. Among them, HMW HULIS own lower proportions of CHOS with O/S > 4 and CHONS with O/S > 7 than LMW HULIS, suggesting a reduced presence of potential organosulfates and nitrooxyorganosulfates within HMW HULIS (Sun et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2018; Zeng et al., 2021; Zou et al., 2023).

3.3.3. Comparative analysis of unique molecular formulas in HMW and LMW HULIS

In this study, particular emphasis was placed on the unique molecular formulas
within the HMW or LMW HULIS fractions. Fig. 4a, b illustrates the Van Krevelen (VK) diagram depicting the distribution of unique molecular formulas within HMW and LMW HULIS in summer and winter samples. It is evident that a majority of unique formulas within LMW HULIS are concentrated around the origin with O/C > 0.5, accounting for 83% in summer and 64% in winter. In contrast, most formulas within HMW HULIS exhibited O/C < 0.5, representing about 58% for both seasonal samples. These findings indicate that the unique molecules within LMW HULIS consist of more polar O-containing organic compounds than those within HMW HULIS.

Fig. 4. Van Krevelen diagrams for the unique molecular formulas within HMW and LMW HULIS from (a) summer and (b) winter aerosols. (c) The contributions of major substances classes in unique formulas.

The molecular formulas are further categorized into seven groups based on previous studies, including lignin-like species, protein/amino sugars, condensed aromatics, tannin-like species, carbohydrate-like species, unsaturated hydrocarbons, and lipid-like species (He et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2023).
classification rules for these formulas can be found in Table S2. Fig. 4c provides an overview of the relative contributions of the number of unique formulas from each of the seven groups for HMW and LMW HULIS. The results indicate that the dominant substance class in the unique formulas within both MW HULIS are lignin-like species, accounting for proportions of 31-40%. This finding indicates that lignin derivatives are fundamental components in both HMW and LMW HULIS either in summer or winter aerosols. Additionally, there are notable differences in the molecular characteristics of lignin-like species within HMW and LMW HULIS. As listed in Table S3, lignin-like species within HMW HULIS exhibit lower MWw and O/Cw, but higher N/Cw and Almod,w values than those within LMW HULIS in both seasonal samples. These observations suggest that the unique lignin-like substances in HMW HULIS likely contain more N-enriched and highly aromatic species, while those in LMW HULIS tend to concentrate more aliphatic O-containing compounds. These distinctions in composition and characteristics between HMW and LMW HULIS fractions provide valuable insights into their origins and transformations in the atmosphere.

Moreover, there are notable variations in the contributions of lipids-like, protein/amino sugars, carbohydrates, condensed aromatics, and tannins species between HMW and LMW HULIS. In general, HMW HULIS have a higher proportion of lipids-like species, carbohydrates and condensed aromatics than LMW HULIS in both summer and winter aerosols. Among these, the most remarkable difference in composition between HMW HULIS and LMW HULIS is seen in lipids-like species,
accounting for 15% versus 1% in summer and 20% versus 3% in winter (Fig. 4). As reported in previous studies, lipids-like species primarily originate from biogenic emissions (He et al., 2023; Li et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2021). This suggests that there is a stronger contribution from biogenic emissions to HMW HULIS. Additionally, these species in HMW HULIS were usually characterized by lower DBE\(_w\) and slightly lower OSC\(_{\text{w}}\) when compared to LMW HULIS (Table S3), indicating they present stronger saturation and fewer oxidized substituents. On the other hand, tannins species contribute a higher proportion to LMW HULIS, constituting 30% in summer and 11% in winter, while comprising only 5%-6% in HMW HULIS in both season aerosols. Tannin-like species are known to consist of various polyphenolic groups containing hydroxyl and carboxylic functional groups (He et al., 2023; Li et al., 2022; Ning et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2021). The slightly lower DBE\(_w\) but much higher DBE-O\(_w\) for unique tannin-like species within HMW HULIS were observed compared to LMW HULIS (Table S3), suggesting that the former ones are enriched in more unsaturated O-containing functional groups, particularly carboxylic functional groups.

3.4. Atmospheric implications

This study provides comprehensive comparison between HMW and LMW HULIS regarding their distributions, chemical structures, molecular sizes and compositions. HMW HULIS appear to be larger than LMW HULIS, as evidenced by both ultrafiltration natures and the MW distributions of chromophores analyzed by HPSEC. However, HRMS analysis revealed that the average MW\(_w\) of identified
formulas within HMW HULIS were lower than those of LMW HULIS (Table 2). This discrepancy can likely be attributed to the “assembled structures” that construct the aerosol HULIS, as suggested in many previous studies focusing on HULIS and BrC characterization (Fan et al., 2021; Fan et al., 2023; Phillips et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2022). In fact, the results from EEM-FRI and FTIR analysis support the notion that HMW and LMW HULIS likely consist of potential structures assembled by similar basic fluorophores and functional groups. Based on this theory, HMW HULIS may consist of macromolecular species primarily assembled from small molecules through weak forces (i.e., π–π, van der Waals, hydrophobic, or hydrogen bonds) and/or charge-transfer interactions (Fan et al., 2021; Phillips et al., 2017), which can potentially disassemble during ESI ionization and form low MW molecules.

Based on the molecular-level characterization, significant distinctions in properties between HMW HULIS and LMW HULIS become evident. HMW HULIS generally exhibit stronger aromaticity but lower oxidation degree when compared to LMW HULIS. In terms of molecular composition, HMW HULIS contain higher quantities of CHON species but lower quantities of CHO compounds than LMW HULIS. Furthermore, more lipids-like species were identified as unique molecules in HMW HULIS, while more tannin-like species with abundant carboxylic groups were observed as unique molecules in LMW HULIS. Given these pronounced differences between HMW and LMW HULIS, it can be speculated that the higher levels of aromatic structures, greater presence of CHON molecules and the presence of lipids-like species may serve as driving factors in the formation of potential
assembled structures in HMW HULIS. Additionally, it is well-established that CHON can enhance the light absorption of organic aerosols (OA), while CHO species may have the opposite effect, weakening light absorption (He et al., 2023; Song et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2021). Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that HMW HULIS possess stronger light absorbing capability, which is consistent with their larger MAE\textsubscript{365} values.

Importantly, HMW HULIS contain higher amounts of carboxylic functional groups, reduced nitrogen species (e.g., amines) and aromatic species than LMW HULIS. These functional groups have strong complexation abilities with transition metals (Wang et al., 2021a; Wang et al., 2021b), thus influencing the transformation and chemical behavior of metals. Moreover, the OA-metals complex can potentially enhance the catalytic generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in organic aerosols (Win et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2022a), thereby playing significant roles in adverse health effects of OA. These results reinforce the significance of HMW HULIS in light absorption, metal complexation, and the potential ROS generation ability of aerosol BrC.

4. Conclusions

This study successfully isolated and characterized HMW and LMW HULIS in atmospheric aerosols using the UF-SPE technique, yielding insights into their distribution, optical properties and molecular-level characteristics. Both HMW and LMW HULIS exhibited a continuum of MW distributions ranging from 100 to 20,000...
Da. However, HMW HULIS displayed more extensive and intricate MW distributions, suggesting differences in their sources and formation processes compared to LMW HULIS. In general, HMW HULIS constituted a higher percentage of TOC and UV254 in aerosols compared to LMW HULIS, indicating the prevalence of hydrophobic and conjugated aromatic structures in the former. Moreover, HMW HULIS exhibited higher aromaticity, stronger light absorption abilities, weaker spectra dependence, and stronger humification and conjugation, compared to LMW HULIS. Interestingly, HRMS analysis revealed slightly lower MWw values for HMW HULIS than LMW HULIS, which contradicted the HPSEC results and the nature of UF fractionation. This finding strongly suggests the possibility of small molecules assembling to form macromolecules in HMW HULIS. Regarding molecular composition, HMW HULIS contained a higher proportion of CHON compounds, constituting 27% vs. 8% in summer and 58% vs. 30% in winter, while LMW HULIS were primarily composed of CHO compounds, accounting for 71% vs. 54% in summer and 38% vs. 21% in winter. Both HMW and LMW HULIS featured lignin-like substances as major unique molecular formulas, but HMW HULIS exhibited more N-enriched and highly aromatic species, whereas LMW HULIS contained a higher proportion of polar O-containing functional groups. Additionally, HMW HULIS included a greater number of unique lipids-like compounds, while LMW HULIS tend to concentrate more tannin-like compounds. These observations shed light on the complex nature of MW HULIS, and their diverse sources and transformations. Future research should expand the geographical and seasonal coverage to gain a more comprehensive
understanding of the molecular-level characteristics of MW HULIS in various atmospheric environments. Furthermore, exploring additional physicochemical properties of MW HULIS will provide valuable insights into their potential health and environmental implications. Overall, this study offers valuable insights into the molecular-level characteristics of aerosol HULIS, enhancing our understanding of their evolution, sources and potential environmental effects.
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