



1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Influence of Stratification and Wind Forcing on the Dynamics of Lagrangian
8	Residual Velocity in a Periodically Stratified Estuary
9	Fangjing Deng ¹ , Feiyu Jia ¹ , Rui Shi ² , Shuwen Zhang ¹ , Qiang Lian ¹ , Xiaolong Zong ¹ ,
10	Zhaoyun Chen ^{1,*}
11	¹ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Disaster Prediction and Prevention, Institute of Marine
12	Sciences, Shantou University, Shantou, 515063, China
13	² State Key Laboratory of Tropical Oceanography, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy
14	of Sciences, Guangzhou, China
15	
16	
17	
18	Correspondence to: Zhaoyun Chen (chenzy@stu.edu.cn)
19	
20	
21	





22 Abstract

23 Wind and stratification play pivotal roles in shaping the structure of the Lagrangian residual velocity (LRV). However, the intricate dynamics by which wind and stratification 24 25 modify the LRV remain poorly studied. This study derives numerical solutions of LRV 26 components and eddy viscosity subcomponents to elucidate the dynamics within the 27 periodically stratified Pearl River estuary. The vertical shear cross-estuary LRV (u_L) is 28 principally governed by the interplay among the eddy viscosity component (u_{Ltu}) , the barotropic 29 component (u_{Lba}) , and the baroclinic component (u_{Lgr}) under stratified conditions. During neap 30 tides, southwesterly winds notably impact u_L by escalating u_{Ltu} by an order of magnitude within 31 the upper layer. This transforms the eastward flow dominated by uLtu under wind influence into 32 a westward flow dominated by u_{Lba} in upper shoal regions without wind forcing. The along-33 estuary LRV exhibits a gravitational circulation characterized by upper-layer outflow 34 engendered by barotropic component (v_{Lba}) and lower-layer inflow predominantly driven by 35 baroclinic component (v_{Lgr}). The presence of southwesterly winds suppresses along-estuary 36 gravitational circulation by diminishing the magnitude of v_{Lba} and v_{Lgr} . The contributions of 37 v_{Lba} and v_{Lgr} are approximately equal, while the ratio between u_{Lba} and u_{Lgr} (u_{Ltu}) fluctuates 38 within the range of 1 to 2 in stratified waters. Under unstratified conditions, LRV exhibits a 39 lateral shear structure due to differing dominant components compared to stratified conditions. In stratified scenarios, the eddy viscosity component of LRV is predominantly governed by the 40 41 turbulent mean component, while it succumbs to the influence of the tidal straining component 42 in unstratified waters.

43





44 1. Introduction

Tidal currents are the principal movement in shallow seas and estuaries. However, tidal oscillations are not the predominant factor regarding the long-term transport of mass, such as pollutants, sediments, nutrients, and suspended materials. Instead, residual current, which remains after filtering out tidal movements, plays a crucial role in long-term mass transport. Therefore, unveiling the dynamic mechanisms governing the structure and magnitude of the residual current becomes particularly significant for a correct understanding of the circulation and long-term mass transport in shallow seas and estuaries.

52 Pritchard (1952) proposed a conceptual model of estuarine circulation characterized by a 53 two-layer structure, drawing from extensive observations. A subsequent study by Pritchard 54 (1956) emphasized the crucial role of the horizontal density gradient as the primary driving 55 force for estuarine circulation. Subsequently, the theory of estuarine gravitational circulation 56 was developed, assuming a constant eddy viscosity (Hansen and Rattray, 1965). Nevertheless, 57 it is imperative to acknowledge that estuarine circulation is influenced not solely by density 58 gradients but also by factors such as wind, tides, and other dynamic forces. These external 59 factors possess the ability to modify or even reverse the structure of gravity circulation within 60 estuaries.

61 Several studies have highlighted the significant impact of tidal straining on Eulerian 62 residual velocity (ERV) (Becherer et al., 2011; Monismith et al., 1996). Jay and Musiak (1994) 63 found the ERV induced by tidal straining is comparable to gravitational circulation. 64 Additionally, tidal straining contributes twice as much to the ERV as gravitational circulation 65 without consideration of river runoff (Burchard and Hetland, 2010). The flow induced by tidal straining varies in estuaries with different stratified conditions. When the horizontal density 66 67 gradient is small, tidal straining dominates the structure of the ERV (Burchard et al., 2011). 68 Cheng et al. (2011) showed that tidal straining induces a typical two-layer circulation in weakly





stratified estuaries, while the circulation exhibits a vertical three-layer structure with inflow in 69 70 the upper and lower layer and outflow in the middle layer in partially and strong stratified 71 estuaries. As stratification intensifies, the ratio of flow induced by tidal straining to 72 gravitational circulation decreases. In a weakly stratified short estuary, tidal straining plays a 73 secondary role in ERV compared to gravitational circulation (Wei et al., 2021). Gever and 74 MacCready (2014) indicated that the Eulerian mean method tends to overestimate the 75 contribution of tidal straining. Therefore, it is more reasonable to analyze dynamical mechanisms for residual current from the perspective of the Lagrangian tidally averaged theory. 76 77 In addition to tides and density gradients, wind also plays a significant role in influencing 78 estuarine residual currents and stratification (Verspecht et al., 2009; Jongbloed et al., 2022). 79 Burchard (2009) highlighted that upstream winds weaken stratification and reduce the 80 magnitude of the ERV, whereas the downstream wind sheds the opposite effect. To quantify the destratification effect of upstream wind, Lange and Burchard (2019) introduced the 81 82 Wedderburn number to analyze the relationship between upstream wind and density gradient. 83 The wind is less inclined to affect the residual current with large Wedderburn numbers and may 84 inhibit gravitational circulation, whereas the structure of ERV reverses with small Wedderburn 85 numbers.

While the Eulerian mean method is commonly employed to study estuarine dynamics, there are certain terms within the momentum and mass transport equations that lack clear physical explanations (Ianniello, 1977; Feng et al., 1984). According to Lamb (1975), any flow field must adhere to the principle of mass conservation. In this regard, the Lagrangian residual velocity (LRV) is derived based on the fundamental nature of physical motion, ensuring material conservation and providing an accurate description of circulation in shallow seas (Feng, 1987; Jiang and Feng, 2014).

93

The influence of LRV in semi-closed estuaries and bays affected by tides has received





94 attention from oceanographers (Deng et al., 2019; Jiang and Feng, 2011; Winant, 2008). Quan 95 et al. (2014) employed a numerical model to investigate the impact of the ratio of tidal amplitude to water depth on LRV, and Jiang and Feng (2014) explored how the ratio of estuary 96 97 length to wavelength affects LRV. Wang et al. (2010) examined the effects of wind, density 98 gradient, and river runoff on LRV using a numerical model. However, this study aims to 99 illustrate structural and magnitudinal variations of the total LRV under different factors, 100 without delving into the underlying dynamic mechanisms. Liu et al. (2021) demonstrated that 101 the influence of wind and density gradients on LRV is closely associated with the initial tidal 102 phase based on the momentum equations, but the specific contribution of each dynamic 103 component to LRV remains poorly studied.

104 Jiang and Feng (2014) explored the dynamical mechanisms for the LRV, which gives to 105 the assumptions of a constant eddy viscosity and linear bottom friction in the entire estuarine. 106 Subsequently, numerical models were utilized to study the contribution of tidal body force to 107 LRV under a constant eddy viscosity, revealing that the Stokes' drift component plays a 108 dominant role (Cui et al., 2019). Chen et al. (2020) analyzed the contribution of each dynamical 109 term to the LRV and found the Stokes' drift component is the dominant component under the 110 condition of the horizontal unvaried but depth-varying eddy viscosity. The above studies are 111 all carried out under a temporally constant eddy viscosity. The impact of spatially varying eddy 112 viscosity on LRV was examined in a narrow model, revealing that nonlinearity leads to a more 113 complex LRV structure (Deng et al., 2017). However, these studies lack a quantitative analysis 114 of the underlying dynamical mechanism. Sheng et al. (2022) demonstrated that the structure of 115 LRV is primarily determined by the combined effects of the barotropic pressure gradient and 116 tidal body force when only barotropic conditions are considered. Deng et al. (2022) further 117 quantitatively analyzed the contributions of each driving force to LRV, considering both 118 temporal and spatial variations in eddy viscosity under a constant density gradient. However,

5





119 the roles of wind and stratification in LRV dynamics remain poorly studied. 120 The Pearl River, as the third largest river in China, encompasses a complex hydrodynamic 121 environment. The Pearl River estuary (PRE) is a trumpet-like estuary characterized by two 122 deep channels and shallow shoals. In recent years, researchers have increasingly focused on 123 topics such as tidal currents, salinity intrusion, river plume dynamics, and residual current in 124 the PRE (e.g., Gong et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2020; Wei et al., 2022). The estuary displays a 125 typical two-layer circulation as observed in micro-tidal estuaries (Xue et al., 2001). Wang (2014) investigated the temporal and spatial variations of the ERV and analyzed its underlying 126 127 dynamical mechanisms within the PRE. Lai et al. (2018) discussed the influence of tides and 128 winds on the ERV and the associated dynamical processes using the Eulerian mean momentum 129 equation. Additionally, the nonlinear advection term was identified as an important factor in 130 the ERV within the PRE (Xu et al., 2021). While Chu et al. (2022) explored the hydrodynamic 131 processes and connectivity of the circulation within the estuary from a Lagrangian tidally 132 averaged perspective, a detailed dynamical analysis was not provided. Few studies have 133 focused on the LRV within the PRE, especially regarding its underlying dynamical mechanisms. 134 Analytical solutions regarding the dynamics of LRV are constrained to a temporally 135 constant eddy viscosity, while numerical solutions of LRV's dynamic components disregard 136 the influence of stratification and wind. Consequently, the impact of wind and stratification on 137 LRV dynamics remains enigmatic. Numerical solutions for LRV components are derived to 138 grasp the modifications induced by wind and stratification within each LRV component, 139 ultimately leading to changes in the overall LRV. Furthermore, wind and stratification influence 140 turbulent mixing, subsequently affecting the LRV driven by the eddy viscosity term. Although 141 scholars have extensively examined tidal straining effects on estuarine circulation via the 142 Eulerian mean theory, the analysis of turbulent influences from the Lagrangian mean theory 143 perspective yields distinctions from the Eulerian approach. To illuminate the mechanisms





144 underlying the eddy viscosity component of LRV, we initiate by decomposing this component 145 into four subcomponents. This study pursues two principal objectives: 1) to delve into the 146 mechanisms by which wind and stratification modify LRV components, and 2) to investigate 147 the roles of wind and stratification in the dominant contributor of the eddy viscosity component. 148 This paper will provide valuable insights into the dynamic processes of longitudinal and lateral 149 estuarine circulation based on Lagrangian mean theory under the influence of wind and stratification. These aspects have not been quantitatively assessed in previous studies. 150 151 Additionally, the proposed decomposition theory of the eddy viscosity component offers a 152 novel approach for analyzing the dominant mechanisms of turbulent components. This paper 153 is structured as follows: Section 2 provides a delineation of model setup parameters, model 154 validation, and LRV decomposition methods. Section 3 outlines the contribution of each 155 component to the overall LRV and the contribution of each subcomponent to the total eddy 156 viscosity component of LRV. The discussion and conclusions are presented in Section 4.

157 2. Theory and model description

158 **2.1 The decomposition method**

The LRV is decomposed into seven components, including the local acceleration 159 160 component (u_{Lac} and v_{Lac}), horizontal nonlinear advection component (u_{Ladh} and v_{Ladh}), vertical 161 nonlinear advection component (u_{Ladv} and v_{Ladv}), barotropic pressure gradient component 162 (barotropic component; u_{Lba} and v_{Lba}), baroclinic pressure gradient component (baroclinic 163 component; u_{Lgr} and v_{Lgr}), eddy viscosity component (u_{Ltu} and v_{Ltu}), and horizontal diffusion 164 component (u_{Lho} and v_{Lho}). The detailed decomposition methods are shown in the appendix. 165 Deng et al. (2022) considered a temporally constant density gradient but neglected the effects of periodic stratification and wind forcing. In this paper, one of the primary objectives is to 166 167 quantify the effects of wind and stratification on the dynamics of the different components of





168 LRV.

Wind and stratification play roles in turbulent mixing, which subsequently impacts the fluctuations of eddy viscosity over a tidal period. This influence extends to the eddy viscosity component of LRV. To clarify the mechanisms underlying this eddy viscosity component, we decompose it into four sub-components. We evaluate the distinct contributions of each subcomponent to the total eddy viscosity component, aiming to delve into the dominant dynamic mechanisms, which is another objective of our paper. The study derives the following decomposition methods:

$$-\left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f = -\left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h0} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f - \left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h0} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f$$

$$-\left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h1} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f - \left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f ,$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f = \left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h0} \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f + \left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h0} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f + \left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f + \left\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h1} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial \sigma} \right) \right\rangle / f ,$$
(1)
(2)
(2)
(3)

176 where \leq represents the Lagrangian-averaged operator, u and v are horizontal tidal currents, v_h 177 is the eddy viscosity, u_l and v_l are tidal average currents, u_0 and v_0 are tidal periodic oscillation tidal currents, v_{h0} is tidal average eddy viscosity, v_{h1} is tidal periodic oscillation eddy viscosity, 178 179 D is time-varying depth, σ is sigma coordinate, and f is Coriolis parameter. The $-\langle \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h0} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f$ and $\langle \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h0} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f$ represent the coupled component of the tidal-180 181 average eddy viscosity and velocity gradient oscillation (v_{Lk0u0} and u_{Lk0u0}), the $-\langle \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h1} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f$ and $\langle \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h1} \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f$ represent the tidal straining component (v_{Lklu0}) 182 and u_{Lklu0} , the $-\langle \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h0} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f$ and $\langle \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h0} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f$ represent the turbulent mean 183 component $(v_{Lk0ul} \text{ and } u_{Lk0ul})$, the $-\langle \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h1} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f$ and $\langle \frac{1}{D^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h1} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f$ represent the 184





185 coupled component of eddy viscosity oscillation and the tidal-average velocity gradient (v_{Lklul}

186 and u_{Lklul}).

187 **2.2 Model configuration and experiments**

This study employs the Finite Volume Coastal Ocean Model (FVCOM; Chen et al., 2006) to simulate the dynamic response of LRV to wind and stratification in the PRE. FVCOM is a three-dimensional primitive equation Community Ocean Model (Chen et al., 2003) that utilizes a finite-volume approach, accounting for a free-surface and employing prognostic techniques. The model consists of unstructured triangular cells and employs terrain-following vertical coordinates, allowing for a better fitness of the irregular coastline and complex topography present in the estuary.

195 The model domain, covering the PRE and adjacent coastal regions, is depicted in Fig. 1, 196 spanning from 111.5°E to 116.5°E and 20°N to 23°N. The open boundary is situated in the 197 northern South China Sea. Unidirectional grid nesting is implemented to enhance solution 198 algorithms. The coarse grid consists of 8040 nodes and 15093 triangular elements. The spatial 199 resolution of the horizontal grids varies across the entire region, ranging from 1 to 10 km. 200 Specifically, a resolution of 1 km is employed within the PRE, 2.0-5.0 km off the Guangdong 201 coast, and 10 km near the open boundary (Fig. 1a). On the other hand, the fine grid comprises 202 45368 nodes and 87179 triangular elements. The spatial resolution of the fine grids within the region also varies, ranging from 0.1 to 2.0 km. More specifically, a resolution of 0.1 km is 203 204 utilized within the PRE, 0.1-1.0 km off the Guangdong coast, and 2.0 km close to the open 205 boundary (Fig. 1b). In the vertical direction, the model employs fourteen uniformly assigned 206 sigma levels.









Figure 1. (a) Coarse mesh model, (b) fine mesh model.

209 The model incorporates eight major tidal constituents, namely M₂, N₂, S₂, K₂, K₁, O₁, P₁, 210 and Q1, as tidal driving forces at the open boundary. These constituents are obtained from the 211 Oregon State University Tidal Prediction Software (OTPS). To initialize the model, salinity 212 climatological data from the 1° World Ocean Atlas 2009 (WOA2009) dataset 213 (https://accession.nodc.noaa.gov/0094866) is utilized. For wind forcing, monthly average wind 214 data from the 0.25° CCMP dataset (http://www.remss.com/measurements/ccmp) is interpolated 215 across the entire model domain. The lateral boundary incorporates monthly average river runoff 216 data from eight river inlets, which are provided by the Water Conservancy Committee of the 217 Pearl River under the Ministry of Water Resources. The topography data off the PRE is from 218 the ETOPO2 dataset of NOAA, while the topography within the estuary is derived from 219 electronic nautical chart data provided by the China Maritime Safety Administration.

The coarse grid model simulates a period from 1 January to 31 August 2017, and it reaches a quasi-steady state after one month. In this study, the outputs from the coarse grid model are utilized as the initial and boundary conditions for the fine grid model. The fine grid model, which begins in June, stabilizes after one month. The analysis focuses on the results from the fine grid model obtained on 24 July 2017 during spring tides and 2 August 2017 during neap





225	tides. A split-mode time stepping method is employed with 2-second external and 10-second
226	internal time steps for the coarse grid model, respectively. The fine grid model uses a 0.5-
227	second external time step, which is half of the time step used in the coarse grid model. The
228	bottom friction in the model is based on the quadratic bottom friction law, and the calculation
229	of the eddy viscosity coefficient employs the Mellor-Yamada 2.5 order turbulent closure model.
230	To investigate the effects of wind and stratification on the dynamics of LRV, Case 1
231	(reference case) includes wind forcing and periodic stratification. Case 2 examines the
232	influence of wind by removing wind forcing compared to Case 1. Case 3 explores the effects
233	of stratification by imposing a uniformly constant salinity and temperature without considering
234	river discharge compared to Case 2 (Table 1). The constant salinity and temperature, with
235	values of 28 °C and 32 psu, respectively, are derived by averaging WOA2009 data for July and
236	August across the whole domain.

Experiments	Wind	Tide	Stratification
Case 1 (Reference	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
case)			
Case 2	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
Case 3	×	\checkmark	×

237 2.3 Model verification

The PRE is oriented in the north-south direction (Fig. 2). Accordingly, the positive *x*-axis, *u*, and u_L direct eastward; the positive *y*-axis, *v*, and v_L direct northward; and the positive *z*-axis, *w*, and w_L direct upward. In this context, *u* and *v* correspond to the cross-estuary and alongestuary velocities, respectively, with u_L and v_L denoting the corresponding LRV. The paper selects four sections, including three cross sections (Sections B–C) and one along-estuary section (Section A), which roughly cover the PRE (black lines in Fig. 2a). Section C is chosen to analyze the model results, and similar conclusions are drawn for the remaining three sections.







245

Figure 2. (a) Bathymetry of the model domain. Black lines mark sections for result analysis. Green dots indicate tide gauge stations for elevation validation, and red dots indicate CTD positions for salinity verification. (b) The along-estuary distributions of observed salinity interpolated from the CTD depthprofiled data in section C, and (c) the salinity obtained from the numerical model.

250 Model verification involves comparing the model-derived elevation and salinity with the 251 corresponding observed values from the tide gauge and CTD stations, respectively (Fig. 3). 12





The observed sea surface elevation data are collected between 2 and 4 August 2017, and the observed salinity data are acquired through CTD profiling from 4 to 6 August 2017. A good agreement between the model and observed values highlights the effectiveness of the model (Fig. 3). To further assess the model's performance, three statistical parameters are calculated: the correlation coefficient (CC), Willmott Skill score (Willmott, 1981), and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). These parameters quantify the model's accuracy and skill:

$$CC = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (ob_i - \overline{ob})(mo_i - \overline{mo})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (ob_i - \overline{ob})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (mo_i - \overline{mo})^2}},$$
(4)

$$Skill = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (ob_i - mo_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (|mo_i - \overline{ob}| + |ob_i - \overline{ob}|)^2},$$
(5)

and
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (ob_i - mo_i)^2},$$
 (6)

where ob_i and mo_i are the observed data and model data, respectively, \overline{ob} and \overline{mo} are the 258 average value of the observed data and the model data, and N represents the number of 259 observations. The performance assessments of the modeled tidal elevation are presented in Figs. 260 261 3a-c. The model demonstrates a reasonable match with the observed tidal elevations, 262 exhibiting good performance with a skill score greater than 0.98, a correlation coefficient 263 exceeding 0.97, and a root mean square error less than 0.09 m. This indicates that the model 264 performs well in simulating tidal elevations. The assessments of the model's performance in 265 simulating salinity are depicted in Figs. 2b-c and Figs. 3d-l. The correlation coefficients for salinity are higher than 0.94, with the majority of skill scores exceeding 0.85 and root mean 266 square errors less than 3 psu. The model exhibits well performance in simulating salinity. 267









269 Figure 3. Comparisons between the observed (red line) and modeled (blue line) elevation and salinity. The

270 three parameters including CC, Skill, and RMSE are calculated at each station.





271 3. Results

272 **3.1 Contributions of dominant components for LRV**

273 To quantify the contribution of each dynamic component of the LRV, the absolute values

274 of each component are averaged throughout Section C in this study, as follows:

$$M(\cdot) = \frac{1}{B} \int abs(\cdot) dB, \qquad (7)$$

where *abs* are the absolute value function, the symbol \cdot can be replaced by each dynamic component of the LRV, and *B* represents the area of the cross-section.

277 Figure 4 illustrates the decomposition of cross-estuary LRV into dominant contributions for the reference case. During neap tides, the eddy viscosity component (u_{Ltu}) exhibits a two-278 279 layer structure with eastward flow in the upper layer and westward flow in the lower layer (Fig. 280 4a). The barotropic pressure gradient component (u_{Lba}) generally flows westward in most areas 281 of the shoal, while it displays an eastward flow in the upper layer and a westward flow in the 282 lower layer of the deep channel (Fig. 4b). Conversely, the contribution from the baroclinic 283 pressure gradient (u_{Lgr}) opposes u_{Lba} (Fig. 4c). During spring tides, the structure of the three 284 components, namely u_{Ltu} , u_{Lba} , and u_{Lgr} , remains analogous to that during neap tides throughout 285 the cross section (Figs. 4f-h). During both spring and neap tides, the three striking components 286 $(u_{Ltu}, u_{Lgr}, and u_{Lba})$ are aggregated (Figs. 4e and j) and compared to the total LRV obtained 287 directly from the model based on the Lagrangian particle tracking algorithms (Figs. 4d and i). It is observed that u_L primarily arises from an imbalance between u_{Ltu} , u_{Lgr} , and u_{Lba} . The 288 289 eastward exchange circulation is predominantly attributed to u_{Ltu} in the upper layer of the shoal, 290 while the westward flow in the lower layer of the shoal is primarily driven by u_{Ltu} and u_{Lba} . In 291 the upper layer of the deep channel, the eastward flow is determined by the interplay of u_{Lba} 292 and u_{Ltu} , which also induces the westward flow in the lower layer of the channel. Notably, u_{Lgr} 293 predominantly counteracts u_{Lba} .









Figure 4. The structure of each dominant component of u_L in Section C for Case 1. (a) the eddy viscosity component, (b) the barotropic component, (c) the baroclinic component, (d) the total LRV directly obtained by the model, and (e) the sum of the eddy viscosity component, barotropic component, and baroclinic component during neap tides. The counterparts during spring tides are shown in the right column. Red shading represents eastward flow, and blue shading represents westward flow.

The intensities of the exchange flows are quantified in Table 2 for the reference case. During spring tides, the magnitude of u_{Ltu} is approximately 2 times higher than that during neap tides, the magnitude of u_{Lgr} nearly doubles compared to that during neap tides, and the magnitude of u_{Lba} is roughly 4 times as large as that during neap tides. Among the dominant components of u_L , u_{Lba} exhibits the most pronounced contributions, being 1–2 times as strong





305	as	u_{Ltu}	and	u_{Lgr} .
-----	----	-----------	-----	-------------

306

	Case 1	Case 1	Case 2	Case 2	Case 3	Case 3
	(neap)	(spring)	(neap)	(spring)	(neap)	(spring)
$M(u_{Lba})$	0.12	0.43	0.15	0.42	0.04	0.17
$M(u_{Lgr})$	0.10	0.23	0.11	0.23	—	_
$M(u_{Ltu})$	0.09	0.23	0.07	0.20	0.03	0.16
$M(u_{Lac})$	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.14	0.02	0.09
$M(u_{Ladh})$	0.13	0.19	0.13	0.18	0.02	0.09
$M(u_{Ladv})$	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.0041	0.10
$M(u_{Lho})$	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.0007	0.0049
$M(v_{Lba})$	0.17	0.25	0.30	0.36	0.02	0.06
$M(v_{Lgr})$	0.15	0.22	0.25	0.28	—	—
$M(v_{Ltu})$	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.02	0.06
$M(v_{Lac})$	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.08
$M(v_{Ladh})$	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.03	0.08
$M(v_{Ladv})$	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.0006	0.0054
$M(v_{Lh0})$	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.0004	0.0023

Table 2. The magnitude of each component of u_L and v_L

307

308 The decomposition of along-estuary LRV into dominant contributions is depicted in Fig. 309 5 for the reference case. During neap tides, the barotropic pressure gradient component (v_{Lba}) 310 contributes to an up-estuary flow in most areas of the shoal and a down-estuary flow in the 311 deep channel (Fig. 5a); the baroclinic pressure gradient component (v_{Lgr}) exhibits a two-layer 312 circulation with seaward flow in the upper layer and landward flow in the lower layer of the shoal along with inflow in most areas of the deep channel (Fig. 5b). It shows the opposite 313 pattern to v_{Lba} . During spring tides, there is a down-estuary flow of v_{Lba} in the shoal, which is 314 contrary to the flow pattern during neap tides (Fig. 5e). Additionally, the outflow area of v_{Lgr} 315





316 in the upper layer of the shoal is smaller during spring tides than during neap tides (Fig. 5f). 317 Both during spring and neap tides, the sum of v_{Lba} and v_{Lgr} (Figs. 5d and h) closely resembles 318 the total along-estuary LRV (v_L) (Figs. 5c and g). Therefore, the dominant components of v_L 319 are v_{Lba} and v_{Lgr} . Since these components must balance across the estuary, the outflow in the 320 upper layer is mainly determined by v_{Lba} , while the inflow in the lower layer is induced by v_{Lgr} . 321 During spring tides, the magnitudes of v_{Lba} and v_{Lgr} are 1.4 times as large as those during neap 322 tides (Table 2). The contributions from gravitational circulation and barotropic pressure 323 gradient component to total LRV are of the same magnitude.



324

Figure 5. The structure of each dominant component of v_L in Section C for Case 1. (a) barotropic pressure gradient component, (b) baroclinic pressure gradient component, (c) the total LRV obtained directly by the model, and (d) the sum of barotropic and baroclinic pressure gradient components during neap tides. The counterparts during spring tides are shown in the right column. Red shading represents inflow to the estuary, and blue shading represents outflow to the sea.





330	Neglecting the influence of wind, the cross-estuary dominant components are displayed
331	in Fig. 6 for Case 2. The eddy viscosity component (u_{Ltu}) exhibits a similar pattern to the
332	reference case both during neap and spring tides (Figs. 6a and f). However, during neap tides,
333	the magnitude of the eastward flow of u_{Ltu} in the upper 2 m is approximately one order of
334	magnitude smaller than that in Case 1 (Fig. 6a vs. Fig. 4a), although the absolute value of u_{Ltu}
335	averaged in Section C for Case 2 is slightly different compared to that in Case 1 (Table 2). This
336	suggests that wind primarily affects the upper exchange circulation by influencing the mixing
337	of the upper water column. During spring tides, u_{Ltu} shows small differences in magnitude
338	between Case 1 and Case 2 (Fig. 6f vs. Fig. 4f), indicating that wind sheds a slight influence
339	on exchange flow during spring tides. During both spring and neap tides, the structures and
340	magnitudes of the barotropic pressure gradient component (u_{Lba}) and the baroclinic pressure
341	gradient component (u_{Lgr}) are similar to that in Case 1. When wind effects are not considered,
342	the structure of the cross-estuary LRV (u_L) (Figs. 6d and i) is still determined by the combined
343	contributions of u_{Lba} , u_{Lgr} , and u_{Ltu} (Figs. 6e and j). However, the eastward flow determined by
344	u_{Ltu} in the upper layer of the shoal in Case 1 transforms into a westward flow primarily driven
345	by u_{Lba} in Case 2.









347 Figure 6. Same as Figure 4, but for Case 2 without wind forcing.

³⁴⁸ The along-estuary dominant components in Case 2 are shown in Fig. 7. The v_{Lba} changes 349 from inflow in Case 1 to outflow in the shoal during neap tides (Fig. 7a). Similarly, vLgr shifts 350 from outflow in Case 1 to inflow in the upper layer of the shoal during neap tides in Case 2 351 (Fig. 7b). This suggests that wind plays a crucial role in the components of LRV in the upper 352 water column of the shoal. During spring tides, v_{Lba} and v_{Lgr} maintain the same structure as observed in Case 1 (Figs. 7e and f), indicating that wind is unimportant during spring tides. 353 354 The structure of the along-estuary LRV (v_L) (Figs. 7c and g) is primarily determined by the 355 combined contributions of v_{Lba} and v_{Lgr} (Figs. 7d and h), analogous to that in Case 1. But in the





- absence of wind, the magnitudes of v_{Lba} and v_{Lgr} are larger than those in Case 1, indicating that
- 357 southwesterly wind suppresses gravitational circulation. The relative contributions of v_{Lba} and
- 358 v_{Lgr} to v_L are approximately equal (Table 2).



359

360 Figure 7. Same as Figure 5, but for Case 2 without wind forcing.

The stratification and wind forcing are ignored in Case 3. The dominant components of the cross-estuary LRV in Section C are shown in Fig. 8. During neap tides, the local acceleration component (u_{Lac}) predominantly exhibits eastward flow in most areas, with minor regions showing westward flow in the shoal and deep channel (Fig. 8a). Conversely, during spring tides, a prevailing westward flow characterizes the majority of the shoal regions, while





366	an eastward flow prevails in the deep channel (Fig. 8g). These results highlight the significant
367	impact of tides on the structure of u_{Lac} in a homogeneous water column. Comparing the results
368	with those of Case 2, u_{Lac} undergoes a transition from vertically sheared flow in Case 2 to
369	horizontally sheared flow in Case 3, indicating that stratification plays a notable role in shaping
370	the structure of u_{Lac} . The horizontal nonlinear advective component (u_{Ladh}) exhibits a flow
371	pattern that is the reverse of u_{Lac} (Figs. 8b and h). The barotropic pressure gradient component
372	(u_{Lba}) primarily shows unidirectional westward flow throughout the cross section (Figs. 8c and
373	i). The pattern of u_{Lba} in the shoal and most of the lower layer of the deep channel is consistent
374	with that observed in Case 2. However, in the upper layer of the deep channel, u_{Lba} transforms
375	eastward flow in Case 2 into westward flow in Case 3. The eddy viscosity component (u_{Ltu})
376	induces a flow opposite to that of u_{Lba} (Figs. 8d and j), which differs from the vertically sheared
377	flow observed in Case 2.









Figure 8. The structure of each dominant component of u_L in Section C for Case 3. (a) local acceleration component, (b) horizontal nonlinear advection component, (c) barotropic pressure gradient component, (d) eddy viscosity component, (c) the total LRV obtained directly by the model, and (f) sum of local acceleration component, horizontal nonlinear advection component, barotropic pressure gradient component, and eddy viscosity component during neap tides. The counterparts during spring tides are shown in the right column. Red shading represents eastward flow and blue shading represents westward flow.





385 The structure of the cross-estuary LRV (u_L) (Figs. 8e and k) closely resembles the structure 386 of the sum of the four components: uLac, uLadh, uLba, and uLtu in Case 3 (Figs. 8f and 1). This indicates that the overall structure of u_L (Figs. 8e and k) is primarily determined by the 387 388 combined effects of these four components. Among them, the eastward flow in the shoal and 389 the lower layer of the deep channel is mainly determined by u_{Ltu} (Figs. 8d and j), with u_{Lac} 390 playing a secondary role (Figs. 8a and g). On the other hand, the westward flow in the upper 391 layer of the deep channel is primarily influenced by u_{Lba} (Figs. 8c and i), with u_{Ladh} contributing 392 as a secondary component (Figs. 8b and h).

The magnitudes of u_{Lac} , u_{Ladh} , and u_{Lba} during spring tides are approximately four times as large as those during neap tides in Case 3 (Table 2). The magnitude of u_{Ltu} during spring tides is 4.8-fold compared to neap tides. The relative contributions of u_{Lba} and u_{Ltu} to u_L are roughly equal, and u_{Lac} and u_{Ladh} have similar contributions. Moreover, the contribution of u_{Lba} is approximately 1–2 times as large as that of u_{Lac} .

398 Both during spring and neap tides, the along-estuary barotropic pressure gradient 399 component (v_{Lba}) exhibits outflow in most areas in Case 3 (Figs. 9a and 9e), which is similar 400 to Case 2, indicating that stratification has minimal effects on the structure of v_{Lba} . The eddy 401 viscosity component (v_{Ltu}) shows a nearly opposite pattern compared to v_{Lba} (Figs. 9b and f). 402 Compared to Case 2, the pattern of v_{Ltu} exhibits significant changes at the bottom of the shoal 403 and in the deep channel. The imbalance between the two components, v_{Lba} and v_{Ltu} (Figs. 9d 404 and h), determines the along-estuary circulation (v_L) (Figs. 9c and g). The inflow in the shoal 405 is primarily driven by v_{Ltu} , while the outflow in the deep channel is dominated by v_{Lba} . During 406 spring tides, the magnitudes of v_{Lba} and v_{Ltu} are about 4-fold that during neap tides (Table 2). 407 During neap and spring tides, the relative contributions of v_{Lba} and v_{Ltu} to v_L are equal.







408

Figure 9. The structure of each dominant component of v_L in Section C for Case 3. (a) the barotropic pressure gradient component, (b) the eddy viscosity component, (c) the total LRV obtained directly by the model, and (d) the sum of barotropic pressure gradient component and eddy viscosity component. The counterparts during spring tides are shown in the right column. Red shading represents inflow to the estuary, and blue shading represents outflow to the sea.

414 3.2 Contributions of Non-dominant Components for LRV

The analysis of the contributions from non-dominant components to LRV for Case 1 is depicted in Fig. 10. During neap tides, the local acceleration (u_{Lac}) induces eastward flow in the majority of the upper layer and westward flow in the lower layer (Fig. 10a). Conversely,





418 the horizontal nonlinear advection component (u_{Ladh}) exhibits an opposite pattern to u_{Lac} across 419 most regions (Fig. 10b). Meanwhile, the vertical nonlinear advective component (u_{Ladv}) serves 420 as a sandwiched structure, characterized by vertically staggered eastward and westward flow 421 (Fig. 10c). The combined configuration of u_{Lac} and u_{Ladh} contrasts with that of u_{Ladv} , yielding a 422 relatively small and negative contribution from the sum of these three components to u_L . 423 Consequently, the three components are denoted as non-dominant components. The 424 magnitudes of the non-dominant components of u_L during spring tides are slightly larger than 425 those during neap tides. The general patterns of these three components during spring tides 426 closely resemble those during neap tides (Figs. 10e-g). Moreover, both during spring and neap 427 tides, the horizontal diffusion component (u_{Lho}) is smaller compared to the other components (Figs. 10d and h), and its contribution is negligible. 428









Figure 10. Vertical section of each non-dominant component of u_L in Section C for Case 1. (a) local acceleration component, (b) horizontal nonlinear advection component, (c) vertical nonlinear advection component, and (d) horizontal diffusion component during neap tides. The counterparts during spring tides are shown in the right column. Red shading represents eastward flow and blue shading represents westward flow.

During neap tides, the local acceleration component (v_{Lac}) exhibits a three-layer structure in the shoal, with inflow in the upper and lower layer and outflow in the middle layer. In the deep channel, unidirectional outflow prevails (Fig. 11a). The pattern of the horizontal nonlinear advective component (v_{Ladh}) is nearly the reverse of v_{Lac} (Fig. 11b). The vertical nonlinear term (v_{Ladv}) results in down-estuary flow in the upper and lower layer while up-estuary flow





440	dominates in the middle layers (Fig. 11c). The combination of three components contributes to
441	total LRV less and negatively. Additionally, the eddy viscosity-induced flow (v_{Ltu}) during neap
442	tides exhibits a vertical shear structure, with outflow in the upper and lower layer and inflow
443	in the middle layer (Fig. 11d). During spring tides, the overall structures for each non-dominant
444	component resemble those during neap tides; however, the magnitudes during spring tides
445	exceed those recorded during neap tides (Figs. 11g-j). For both spring and neap tides, the
446	contributions of the horizontal diffusion components (v_{Lho}) are negligible (Figs. 11e and k;
447	Table 2). Moreover, the contribution of v_{Ltu} is relatively smaller compared to their respective
448	dominant components (Table 2). In the absence of wind effects, the structure and contribution
449	of each non-dominant component of the cross-estuary LRV (u_L) in Case 2 closely resemble
450	those observed in Case 1 during both spring and neap tides (not shown), with the exception of
451	the noticeably reduced along-estuary eddy viscosity component (v_{Ltu}) in the upper layer in Case
452	2 during neap tides (Fig. 11f) and slightly intensified v_{Ltu} during spring tides (Fig. 11i). These
453	indicate that wind has a weak influence on the non-dominant components of cross-estuary
454	circulation. However, wind significantly affects the non-dominant component v_{Ltu} of the along-
455	estuary circulation in the upper layer.

456







457

Figure 11. Vertical section of each non-dominant component of v_L in Section C for Case 1 (a–e; g–k) and Case 2 (f and l). (a) local acceleration component, (b) horizontal nonlinear advection component, (c) vertical nonlinear advection component, (d) eddy viscosity component, and (e) horizontal diffusion component during neap tides for Case 1. (f) eddy viscosity component during neap tides for Case 2. The counterparts during spring tides are shown in the right column. Red shading represents inflow, and blue shading represents outflow.

464 Neglecting wind forcing and stratification, the magnitudes of the vertical nonlinear





465	advection component (u_{Ladv}) and horizontal diffusion component (u_{Lho}) are relatively low
466	during both spring and neap tides. Compared to Case 2, the magnitude of u_{Lho} (Figs. 12b and
467	h) in Case 3 is reduced by half during spring tides and by a factor of 14 during neap tides, while
468	the magnitude of u_{Ladv} (Figs. 12a and g) in Case 3 experiences a tenfold reduction during spring
469	tides and a twentyfold reduction during neap tides (Table 2). For both neap and spring tides,
470	v_{Lac} shifts from inflow in Case 2 to outflow in Case 3 in some areas of the shoal (Figs. 12c and
471	i). The horizontal nonlinear advection component (v_{Ladh}) in Case 3 exhibits a pattern opposite
472	to that of v_{Lac} (Figs. 12d and j). Their combined contributions of these two components to total
473	LRV can be disregarded. The contributions from the vertical nonlinear advection component
474	(v_{Ladv}) and horizontal diffusion component (v_{Lho}) during spring and neap tides remain relatively
475	low in Case 3 (Figs. 12e, k, f, and l). The magnitude of v_{Lho} in Case 3 is fivefold smaller during
476	spring tides and 25 times smaller during neap tides than those in Case 2, while the magnitude
477	of v_{Ladv} in Case 3 experiences an approximately tenfold reduction during spring tides and an
478	eightyfold reduction during neap tides compared to Case 2 (Table 2).









Figure 12. The vertical distribution of each non-dominant component of u_L and v_L in Section C for Case 3. (a) cross-estuary vertical nonlinear advection component, (b) cross-estuary horizontal diffusion component, (c) along-estuary local acceleration component, (d) along-estuary horizontal advection component, (e) along-estuary vertical advection component, and (f) along-estuary horizontal diffusion during neap tides. Red shading in (a) and (b) indicates eastward flow and blue shading indicates westward flow. Red shading area in (c)–(f) represents inflow, and the blue shading area represents outflow. The counterparts during spring tides are shown in the right column.





487 **3.3** Contributions of dominant components for the eddy viscosity component

488 Through an analysis of dominant mechanisms influencing LRV under various dynamic 489 factors, the results reveal that the cross-estuary eddy viscosity component significantly shapes 490 the structure of cross-estuary LRV due to the prevailing southwesterly wind during the summer 491 in the PRE. However, the along-estuary eddy viscosity component is not the predominant 492 contributor to along-estuary LRV under stratified circumstances. In the case of destratification, 493 both the along-estuary and cross-estuary eddy viscosity components play roles in shaping the 494 total LRV. A comprehensive exploration of the dominant mechanisms of the eddy viscosity 495 component entails further decompositions of both the along-estuary and cross-estuary eddy 496 viscosity components into four subgroups. This analysis provides general conclusions and 497 implications for future studies. These subgroups encompass the coupled component of tidal-498 averaged eddy viscosity and velocity gradient oscillation, the tidal straining component, the 499 turbulent mean component, and the coupled component of tidal-averaged velocity gradient and 500 eddy viscosity oscillation.

501 During neap tides, the cross-estuary turbulent mean component (u_{Lk0ul}) for Case 1 displays 502 eastward flows in the upper layer and westward flows in the lower layer (Fig. 13g). During 503 spring tides, u_{Lk0ul} closely resembles the pattern observed during neap tides (Fig. 13h). The 504 structure of u_{Lk0ul} during neap and spring tides is identical to that of the eddy viscosity (u_{Lu}) 505 (Figs. 13a and b). Therefore, u_{Ltu} is predominantly influenced by u_{Lk0ul} . During neap tides, the 506 along-estuary turbulent mean component (v_{Lk0ul}) for Case 1 exhibits a three-layer structure in 507 the shoal, with outflow occurring in the surface and bottom layers, and inflow in the middle 508 layer (Fig. 13i). In the deep channel, there is a two-layer flow pattern with outflow in the upper 509 layer and inflow in the lower layer. This structure aligns with that of the eddy viscosity 510 component (v_{Ltu}) (Fig. 13c). Hence, during neap tides, v_{Ltu} is primarily influenced by v_{Lk0ul} . 511 During spring tides, the structure of v_{Ltu} for Case 1 (Fig. 13d) is contributed by the combined 32





effect of the four components: v_{Lk0u0} , v_{Lk0u1} , v_{Lk1u0} , and v_{Lk1u1} (Fig. 13j), which differs from the structure observed during neap tides. The inflow occurring in the upper layer of the shoal is primarily determined by v_{Lk0u0} and v_{Lk1u0} (Figs. 13e and f), and the outflow in the lower layer of the shoal is mainly influenced by v_{Lk0u1} (Fig. 13k). The structure in the deep channel is primarily determined by v_{Lk0u1} .







519

Figure 13. Vertical section of cross-estuary (u_{Ltu}) and along-estuary (v_{Ltu}) eddy viscosity and the corresponding dominant components in Section C for Case 1.The u_{Ltu} during (a) neap tides and (b) spring tides, and (g) and (h) the corresponding dominant components of turbulent mean component (u_{Lk0u1}) . The v_{Ltu} during (c) neap tides and (d) during spring tides, (i) the corresponding dominant components of turbulent mean component (v_{Lk0u1}) , and (j) the sum of four dominant components (e, f, k, and g). The red shading represents eastward flow, and the blue shading represents westward flow for the cross-estuary components. For the along-estuary components, the red shading indicates inflow and the blue shading indicates outflow.





527 During neap tides, the cross-estuary turbulent mean component (u_{Lk0u1}) for Case 2 exhibits 528 eastward flow in the upper layer and westward flow in the lower layer (Fig. 14b). This pattern 529 aligns with Case 1. However, the magnitude of the eastward flow in the upper layer of u_{Lk0ul} 530 during neap tides is one order of magnitude smaller than that observed in Case 1. During spring 531 tides, the structure and magnitude of u_{Lk0ul} for Case 2 are similar to those of Case 1 (Fig. 14j), 532 suggesting a weak influence of wind on *u*_{Lk0u1}. Similar to Case 1, both during neap and spring 533 tides, the cross-estuary eddy viscosity component (u_{Ltu}) (Figs. 14a and i) is predominantly 534 determined by u_{Lk0ul} (Figs. 14b and j). During neap tides, the along-estuary turbulent mean 535 component (v_{Lk0ul}) for Case 2 exhibits inflow in the upper layer and outflow in the lower layer 536 (Fig. 14d). The structure of v_{Lk0ul} in the lower layer is consistent with that in Case 1, while the 537 structure in the upper layer is opposite to that of Case 1. Without the influence of wind, the 538 structure of v_{Lk0ul} in the upper layer shifts from outflow in Case 1 to inflow. During spring tides, 539 the area and magnitude of inflow in the upper layer of v_{Lk0ul} for Case 2 are larger than those 540 during neap tides (Fig. 141). Both during neap and spring tides, the along-estuary eddy viscosity 541 component (v_{Ltu}) (Figs. 14c and k) exhibits the same structure as v_{Lk0ul} (Figs. 14d and l). Hence, 542 v_{Ltu} is predominantly influenced by the turbulent mean component (v_{Lk0ul}).

543 Without consideration of stratification, the cross-estuary tidal straining component (u_{lklu0}) 544 for Case 3 exhibits eastward flow (Fig. 14f) in the shoal during neap tides. The u_{Lklu0} undergoes 545 a transition from westward flow in Case 2 to eastward flow in the lower layer. During spring 546 tides, the u_{Lklu0} for Case 3 maintains the same pattern as observed during neap tides, and its 547 magnitude is greater than that during neap tides (Fig. 14n). During neap tides, the along-estuary 548 tidal straining component (v_{Lklu0}) for Case 3 exhibits inflow in most areas of the shoal, and 549 shows a two-layer structure in the deep channel with outflow in the upper layer, and inflow in 550 the lower layer (Fig. 14h), which is analogous to the structure of v_{Lklu0} in the shoal in Case 2. 551 Stratification mainly affects the structure of v_{Lklu0} in the lower layer of the deep channel. During 35





552	spring tides, the inflow area of v_{Lklu0} for Case 3 in the deep channel is larger than that during
553	neap tides (Fig. 14p). During both neap and spring tides, the u_{Ltu} and v_{Ltu} (Figs. 14e, g, m, and
554	o) align with u_{Lklu0} and v_{Lklu0} (Figs. 14f, h, n, and p), respectively. Hence, u_{Ltu} and v_{Ltu} are
555	primarily influenced by u_{Lklu0} and v_{Lklu0} , differing from the dominant components in Case 2.
556	Without consideration of stratification, the dominant components of u_{Ltu} and v_{Ltu} shift from the
557	turbulent mean components (u_{Lk0ul} and v_{Lk0ul}) in Case 2 to the tidal straining components (u_{Lklu0}
558	and v_{Lklu0} in Case 3. During neap tides, the magnitude of u_{Lklu0} is approximately 5 times
559	smaller than that in Case 2, while the magnitude of v_{Lklu0} is around 14 times smaller than that
560	in Case 2 (Table 3). During spring tides, the magnitude of u_{Lklu0} is roughly 4 times smaller than
561	that in Case 2, and the magnitude of v_{Lklu0} is approximately 6 times smaller than that in Case
562	2.

563 **Table 3.** The contribution of each component to the total eddy viscosity component in three scenarios.

	Case 1	Case 1	Case 2	Case 2	Case 3	Case 3
	(neap)	(spring)	(neap)	(spring)	(neap)	(spring)
$M(u_{Lk0u0})$	0.16	0.42	0.14	0.42	0.001	0.068
$M(u_{Lk0u1})$	0.11	0.27	0.07	0.20	0.006	0.010
$M(u_{Lk1u0})$	0.16	0.46	0.14	0.43	0.031	0.103
$M(u_{Lklul})$	0.08	0.17	0.04	0.16	0.001	0.003
$M(v_{Lk0u0})$	0.15	0.30	0.11	0.28	0.005	0.023
$M(v_{Lk0u1})$	0.11	0.19	0.07	0.15	0.011	0.032
$M(v_{Lk1u0})$	0.16	0.37	0.11	0.28	0.008	0.044
$M(v_{Lklul})$	0.11	0.20	0.05	0.13	0.001	0.004

564







565

Figure 14. The structure of cross-estuary (u_{Ltu}) and along-estuary (v_{Ltu}) eddy viscosity components and the corresponding dominant components for Case 2 (a–d and i–l) and 3 (e–h, and m–p). Red shading represents eastward flow and blue shading represents westward flow for cross-estuary components. For along-estuary components, red shading represents inflow and blue shading represents outflow. The left column is for neap tides, and the right column is for spring tides.





571 3.4 Contributions of non-dominant components for eddy viscosity component

572 During neap tides, the cross-estuary coupled component of the tidal-averaged eddy 573 viscosity and velocity gradient oscillation (u_{Lk0u0}) for Case 1 demonstrates a vertically sheared 574 structure in the shoal, with alternating westward and eastward flows (Fig. 15a). During spring 575 tides, u_{Lk0u0} for Case 1 predominantly flows eastward in the shoal and displays a two-layer 576 structure in the deep channel with eastward flow in the upper layer and westward flow in the 577 lower layer (Fig. 15d). The cross-estuary tidal straining component (u_{Lklu0}) during neap tides 578 exhibits an opposing structure to that of u_{Lk0u0} in the lower layer (Fig. 15b). In the upper layer, 579 it displays a similar pattern to u_{Lk0u0} . During spring tides, the extent and magnitude of the 580 eastward flow of *u*_{Lk1u0} in the deep channel are larger than during neap tides (Fig. 15e). During 581 neap tides, the cross-estuary coupled component of the eddy viscosity oscillation and the tidal-582 averaged velocity gradient (u_{Lklul}) exhibits a complex vertically sheared structure (Fig. 15c). 583 During spring tides, *u_{Lk1u1}* displays a similar structure but with a greater magnitude than that during neap tides (Fig. 15f). The combined effect of the three components, namely *u*_{Lk0u0}, *u*_{Lk1u0}, 584 585 and u_{Lklul} , contrasts with u_{Lk0ul} .

586 During neap tides, the along-estuary coupled component of the tidal-averaged eddy 587 viscosity and velocity gradient oscillation (v_{Lk0u0}) exhibits a vertically sheared structure with 588 alternating outflow and inflow in Case 1 (Fig. 15g). The structure of the along-estuary tidal 589 straining component (v_{Lklu0}) closely resembles that of v_{Lk0u0} in the upper layer of the shoal, 590 while it is opposite in the lower layer of the shoal and deep channel (Fig. 15h). Additionally, 591 the cross-estuary coupled component of the eddy viscosity oscillation and the tidal-average 592 velocity gradient (v_{Lklul}) displays an opposite pattern to v_{Lk0u0} in the upper layer of the shoal 593 (Fig. 15i). The combined effects of the three along-estuary non-dominant components are 594 opposite to the dominant component (v_{Lk0ul}) and exert a negative contribution to the total eddy 595 viscosity component.





596



Figure 15. The structure of each non-dominant component of u_{Ltu} during neap tides (a–c) and spring tides (d–f) for Case 1. Red shading represents eastward flow and blue shading represents westward flow. The counterparts for v_{Ltu} during neap tides (g–i), in which red shading represents inflow and blue shading represents outflow.

601 Without the wind forcing, the structure (Fig. 16) and magnitude (Table 3) of the nondominant components of the eddy viscosity component in Case 2 remain consistent with those 602 603 in Case 1 throughout the entire cross section. However, during neap tides, their magnitudes in 604 the upper layer are approximately one order of magnitude smaller compared to Case 1 (Figs. 605 16a-f), suggesting that wind has a significant effect on these subcomponents during relatively 606 small tides. During spring tides, both the structure (Figs. 16g-1) and magnitude (Table 3) of each non-dominant component of the eddy viscosity component align with those in Case 1. 607 608 This suggests a weak influence of wind on the non-dominant components during spring tides.









610 **Figure 16.** The structure of each non-dominant component of u_{Ltu} and v_{Ltu} during neap tides (a–f) and spring 611 tides (g–l) for Case 2. Red shading represents eastward flow and blue shading represents westward flow for 612 the cross-estuary component. For the along-estuary component, red shading represents inflow and blue 613 shading represents outflow.

614





615	When stratification is further ignored in Case 3, the cross-estuary coupled component of
616	the tidal-averaged eddy viscosity and velocity gradient oscillation (u_{Lk0u0}) exhibits eastward
617	flow in the shoal and the lower layer of the deep channel, while displaying westward flow in
618	the upper layer of the deep channel during neap tides (Fig. 17a). This structure differs from that
619	in Case 2, and the magnitude of u_{Lk0u0} is approximately 14 times smaller than that in Case 2
620	(Table 3). The cross-estuary turbulent mean component (u_{Lk0ul}) for Case 3 predominantly flows
621	westward in most of the shoal, and eastward in most of the deep channel (Fig. 17b). The u_{Lk0ul}
622	transitions from westward flow in Case 2 to eastward flow in Case 3 in the lower layer of the
623	deep channel. Furthermore, the magnitude of u_{Lk0ul} in Case 3 is approximately 12 times smaller
624	than that in Case 2. During spring tides, the area of eastward flow of u_{Lk0u0} in the shoal is larger
625	than that observed during neap tides in Case 3 (Fig. 17g), and its magnitude is approximately
626	6 times smaller than that in Case 2. The structure of u_{Lk0ul} during spring tides aligns with that
627	observed during neap tides (Fig. 17h), while its magnitude is roughly 20 times smaller than
628	that in Case 2. The magnitude of the cross-estuary coupled component of eddy viscosity
629	oscillation and tidal-average velocity gradient (u_{Lklul}) (Figs. 17c and i) in Case 3 is the smallest
630	among the components (Table 3), ranging from 40 to 50 times smaller than that in Case 2.

631 The along-estuary non-dominant eddy viscosity subcomponents for Case 3 are depicted 632 in Figs. 17d-f and j-l. During neap tides, both the along-estuary coupled component of the 633 tidal-averaged eddy viscosity and velocity gradient oscillation (v_{Lk0u0}) and the along-estuary 634 coupled component of eddy viscosity oscillation and tidal-average velocity gradient (v_{Lklul}) 635 exhibit horizontally sheared structures (Figs. 17d and f) that differ from those in Case 2. The magnitudes of v_{Lk0u0} and v_{Lk1u1} are approximately 22-50 times smaller than those in Case 2 636 637 (Table 3). During spring tides, the structures of v_{Lk0u0} and v_{Lk1u1} (Figs. 17j and l) are relatively similar to those during neap tides, and their magnitudes are approximately 12-32 times smaller 638





639	compared to Case 2. During neap tides, the along-estuary turbulent mean component (v_{Lk0ul})
640	for Case 3 displays inflow in the shoal and the lower layer of the deep channel, as well as
641	outflow in the upper layer of the deep channel (Fig. 17e). This pattern is opposite to that in
642	Case 2, and the magnitude of v_{Lk0ul} is approximately 14 times smaller than that in Case 2.
643	During spring tides, the outflow area of v_{Lk0u1} for Case 3 in the deep channel is larger than that
644	during neap tides (Fig. 17k), and the magnitude is approximately 5 times smaller than that in
645	Case 2. The results demonstrate the significant impact of stratification on each non-dominant
646	component of the eddy viscosity component.









Figure 17. Vertical section of each non-dominant component of u_{Ltu} and v_{Ltu} during neap tides (a–f) and spring tides (g–l) for Case 3. Red shading represents eastward flow and blue shading represents westward flow for cross-estuary components. For the along-estuary component, red shading represents inflow, and blue shading represents outflow.

652





653 4. Discussion

654 Several dimensionless parameters are examined to quantify the relative impact of the two 655 distinct forcings, respectively. The $K = \zeta_c / h_c$ is a parameter utilized to measure the intensity of 656 advection nonlinearity in the system (Jiang and Feng, 2014), where ζ_c and h_c are the 657 characteristic values of the tidal amplitude and depth, respectively. In most regions of the PRE, 658 the values of K are below 0.2, indicating relatively weak advection nonlinearity. Nonetheless, 659 in proximity to the shorelines, K surpasses 0.4 and can even approach 1 due to the shallow 660 topography, which suggests strong advection nonlinearity in nearshore regions of the PRE. The 661 selected regions for the four cross sections in this paper pertain to the relatively weakly 662 nonlinear zone, thus the LRV displays insensitivity to the initial release phase. The Pelect 663 number (*Pe*), defined as $u_c L_c / v_{Dc}$, measures the relative contribution between the nonlinear advection and horizontal diffusion, where u_c , L_c , and v_{Dc} are the scales of tidal current, the 664 665 estuary length, and the horizontal diffusion coefficient. The Pe for the PRE domain is several 666 orders of magnitude larger than 1 indicating horizontal diffusion is so small that it can be ignored. The results in the paper have suggested the contribution of the horizontal diffusion 667 668 component is significantly lower than other components. Among all terms, the barotropic pressure gradient has the largest scale, making the barotropic pressure gradient component of 669 670 LRV contribute the most compared to other components. The Wedderburn number (W) is 671 calculated to measure the contribution ratio of wind forcing to the baroclinic pressure gradient, defined as $W = L_c T_w (g \Delta \rho H_c^2)$ (Lange and Burchard, 2019). The value of W in the PRE is 672 673 0.0294 during neap tides and 0.0447, suggesting the baroclinic effects dominate in periodically 674 stratified waters and small W inhibits along-estuary gravitational circulation, which is identical 675 to that in Lange and Burchard (2019). The Simpson number (Si) is a parameter used to quantify 676 the level of stratification in estuaries (Simpson et al., 1990). It is calculated using the following 677 formula:





$$Si = \frac{\partial_x b H^2}{u_{max}^2},\tag{8}$$

678 where $\partial_x b$ represents the tidal mean horizontal density gradient, H represents the water depth, 679 and u_{max} represents the absolute magnitude of the velocity amplitude. Based on the Simpson 680 number values, different stratification conditions can be determined for the estuary. The estuary 681 is categorized as well-mixed when Si < 0.088; In the case of 0.088 < Si < 0.84, the estuary 682 displays periodic stratification; For Si > 0.84, the estuary is strongly stratified, as indicated by 683 Becherer et al. (2011). The Si for the PRE ranges from 0.1 to 0.45 in stratified conditions in Cases 1 and 2, indicating that the estuary is periodically stratified. Sections B-D are arranged 684 685 in a north-to-south distribution, gradually approaching the open sea. The Si progressively 686 increases towards the open sea, with values ranging from 0.1 to 0.4 during neap tides and 0.05 687 to 0.1 during spring tides. This indicates that the magnitude of tides has substantial influences 688 on Si. With the increment in Si, the relative contributions of the tidal straining component and 689 the baroclinic pressure gradient component diminish. These findings align with those of Cheng 690 et al. (2011). Forced by wind, the relative contribution of the two components changes from 2 691 to 0.57 during neap tides and 2 to 1.4 during spring tides. However, in the absence of wind, the 692 relative contribution varies from 0.67 to 0.26 during neap tides and 1.4 to 0.9 during spring 693 tides, where the value of Si closely mirrors those with wind forcing. These results emphasize 694 the significant influence of the southwesterly wind on the relative contribution of these 695 components.

According to the Eulerian mean theory, the coupled component of tidal-averaged eddy viscosity and velocity gradient oscillation (u_{Ek0u0}), and the coupled component of tidalaveraged velocity gradient and eddy viscosity oscillation (u_{Ek1u1}) are zero (Burchard and Hetland, 2010), however, in the Lagrangian mean theory, those components are not zero and their magnitudes are comparable to other components under most conditions. Although the





tidal straining component of ERV has been extensively discussed, the contribution of the turbulent mean term to the total ERV has not been analyzed in previous studies (Burchard and Hetland, 2010; Burchard et al., 2011). This paper further analyzes the contribution from the turbulent mean component of the LRV, in which the tidal mean component predominates the eddy viscosity component under stratified conditions, and the tidal straining component plays a significant role in the eddy viscosity component under homogeneous conditions.

707 The relevance of the Lagrangian residual circulation for mass transport in estuaries or bays is evident. In the Eulerian-averaged salinity balance equation, a tidal dispersion term 708 709 emerges (Hansen and Rattray, 1965). This tidal dispersion term exhibits different dynamic 710 mechanisms in various estuaries (Fischer, 1976), and even within different sections of the same 711 estuary. However, when the isohaline averaging method is employed to quantitatively assess 712 estuarine circulation, the tidal dispersion term vanishes (MacCready, 2011; MacCready et al., 713 2018; Wang et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the salinity coordinate method is only an approximate 714 Lagrangian approach. Future studies focusing on the dynamic mechanisms of salinity transport 715 from a Lagrangian averaging perspective will provide further insights into the subject.

716 5. Conclusions

717 This paper utilizes the FVCOM model to construct a hydrodynamic model in the PRE. 718 The model simulation results are validated using observed data, and the numerical model is 719 then employed to investigate the dynamic mechanism of the LRV in the estuary. By 720 quantitatively analyzing the contribution of each dynamic component to the LRV, the primary 721 mechanisms governing the LRV in the PRE under various dynamic conditions are elucidated. Furthermore, to explore the influence of the eddy viscosity component on the LRV, it is 722 decomposed into four subcomponents and the contribution of each subcomponent is quantified. 723 724 This analysis reveals the dominant mechanism controlling the structure of the eddy viscosity





725 component under different dynamic conditions.

726 In the reference case, the cross-estuary LRV (u_L) exhibits a two-layer vertical structure 727 with eastward flow in the upper layer and westward flow in the lower layer. The two-layer 728 structure is primarily determined by the combined effects of the eddy viscosity component 729 (u_{Ltu}) , the barotropic pressure gradient component (u_{Lba}) , and the baroclinic pressure gradient 730 component (u_{Lgr}) . The u_{Ltu} is the main contributor to the eastward flow in the upper layer of the 731 shoal, and u_{Lba} determines the eastward flow in the upper layer of the deep channel. For the 732 entire lower layer, the westward flow is dominated by u_{Ltu} and u_{Lba} , with u_{Lgr} playing a 733 balancing role. The along-estuary LRV (v_L) exhibits a two-layer gravitational circulation 734 pattern. The v_L is predominantly influenced by the imbalance of the barotropic pressure 735 gradient component (v_{Lba}) and the baroclinic pressure gradient component (v_{Lgr}). The outflow 736 is mainly dominated by v_{Lba} in the upper layer, while the inflow is primarily driven by v_{Lgr} in 737 the lower layer. For non-dominant components, the combined effects of the local acceleration 738 component, and the horizontal and vertical nonlinear component contributes less to total LRV. 739 The contribution of the horizontal diffusion component is negligible.

740 Without wind forcing, the eastward flow dominated by the eddy viscosity component (u_{Ltu}) 741 transforms into the westward flow dominated by the barotropic pressure gradient component 742 (u_{Lba}) in the upper 2 m of the shoal. In other regions, the dominant components of the cross-743 estuary LRV (u_L) roughly remain the same as those in the reference case, indicating that wind 744 mainly affects u_L in the upper layer by influencing u_{Ltu} . The structure and dominant components 745 of the along-estuary LRV (v_L) are nearly consistent with those in the reference case except for 746 some regions in the shoal, but the magnitude of the dominant components is larger than that in 747 the reference case, indicating that the southwesterly wind inhibits the along-estuary 748 gravitational circulation. The non-dominant components show no significant changes without 749 wind forcing, except for the along-estuary eddy viscosity component, which exhibits a reverse 47





structure in the upper layer compared to that with wind forcing.

Under unstratified conditions, the cross-estuary and along-estuary LRV (u_L , v_L) are transformed from the vertical shear structure in stratified waters to the lateral shear structure. The u_L is dominated by the sum of the local acceleration component (u_{Lac}), horizontal nonlinear component (u_{Ladh}), barotropic pressure gradient component (u_{Lba}), and eddy viscosity component (u_{Ltu}). The v_L is dominated by the sum of the barotropic pressure gradient component (v_{Lba}) and eddy viscosity component (v_{Ltu}), indicating that stratification significantly affects the structure and dominant components of the LRV.

This study highlights that the eddy viscosity component remains dominant regardless of the presence of stratification. Specifically, under stratified conditions, the turbulent mean component plays a dominant role in the total eddy viscosity component. Conversely, under unstratified conditions, the tidal straining component takes precedence over other factors in contribution to the total eddy viscosity component. The combined effects of non-dominant components have a negative contribution to the total eddy viscosity component.

764

Appendix

765 Numerical solutions of each component of the Lagrangian Residual Velocity (LRV)

Each term in the momentum equations is integrated along the particle trajectories over a
tidal period and divided by the tidal period to obtain each dynamic component of Lagrangian
residual velocity.

$$v_{L} = \underbrace{\langle \frac{\partial uD}{\partial t} \rangle / f}_{1} + \underbrace{\langle \frac{\partial u^{2}D}{D\partial x} + \frac{\partial uvD}{D\partial y} \rangle / f}_{2} + \underbrace{\langle \frac{\partial u\omegaD}{D\partial \sigma} \rangle / f}_{3} + \underbrace{\langle g \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} \rangle / f}_{4}$$

$$\underbrace{- \langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f}_{5} + \underbrace{\langle \frac{g}{\rho_{0}} \left(D \int_{\sigma}^{0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} d\sigma_{1} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial x} \int_{\sigma}^{0} \sigma_{1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma_{1}} d\sigma_{1} \right) \rangle / f}_{6} \underbrace{- \langle F_{x} \rangle / f}_{7}, \qquad (A1)$$

48





$$u_{L} = \underbrace{-\langle \frac{\partial vD}{\partial dt} \rangle / f}_{1} \underbrace{-\langle (\frac{\partial uvD}{D\partial x} + \frac{\partial v^{2}D}{D\partial y}) \rangle / f}_{2} \underbrace{-\langle \frac{\partial v\omegaD}{D\partial \sigma} \rangle / f}_{3} \underbrace{-\langle g \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} \rangle / f}_{4}$$
$$+ \underbrace{\langle \frac{1}{D^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(v_{h} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \sigma} \right) \rangle / f}_{5} \underbrace{-\langle \frac{g}{\rho_{0}} \left(D \int_{\sigma}^{0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} d\sigma_{1} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial y} \int_{\sigma}^{0} \sigma_{1} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \sigma_{1}} d\sigma_{1} \right) \rangle / f}_{7} + \underbrace{\langle F_{y} \rangle / f}_{7}, \qquad (A2)$$

769 where $u(x, y, \sigma, t)$, $v(x, y, \sigma, t)$, and $\omega(x, y, \sigma, t)$ represent velocity components in the 770 longitudinal (x), latitudinal (y), and vertical (σ) directions, respectively. The ρ (x, y, σ , t) is 771 water density, ρ_0 is the reference density, t is the time, f is the Coriolis parameter, and $v_h(x, y, t)$ 772 σ , t) is eddy viscosity coefficient. The $D = H + \zeta$, where H(x, y) is the water mean depth, $\zeta(x, y, y)$ 773 t) is the water surface elevation. The first term refers to local acceleration component, the 774 second terms represent horizontal nonlinear advection components, the third term depicts the 775 nonlinear vertical advection component, the fourth term corresponds to the barotropic pressure 776 gradient component, the fifth term describes the eddy viscosity component, the sixth terms 777 denote the baroclinic pressure gradient components, and the seventh term pertains to horizontal 778 diffusion component. The <> denotes the Lagrangian mean operator.

779 Data availability

780 Hydrodynamic datasets this study available online used in are at 781 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8323286 (Deng et al., 2023). The 1° World Ocean Atlas 2009 782 (WOA2009) datasets are accessible online from (https://accession.nodc.noaa.gov/0094866). 783 The 0.25° CCMP datasets are available online (http://www.remss.com/measurements/ccmp). 784 The monthly average river runoff data are provided by the Water Conservancy Committee of 785 the Pearl River under the Ministry of Water Resources. The topography data off the PRE are 786 from the ETOPO2 of NOAA dataset 787 (https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/global/relief/ETOPO2/ETOPO2v2-2006/), while those 788 within the estuary are provided by the China Maritime Safety Administration.

789 Author contributions





- All authors have contributed to the conceptualization and design of this study. The analytical methods were originally formulated by FD. Subsequently, FD and ZC meticulously processed and analyzed the data. The model was collaboratively developed and the manuscript was co-authored by FD, FJ, and ZC. The final manuscript underwent a thorough review and
- editing process, led by RS, SZ, QL, and XZ, ensuring its quality and accuracy.

795 Competing interests

The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

797 Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 41906144, 42276013, 92158201, 42106028, and 42206028), the State Key Laboratory of Tropical Oceanography, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Project No. LTO2318), and the Innovation and Entrepreneurship Project of Shantou (Grant 201112176541391).

803 References

- 804 Becherer, J., Burchard, H., Flöser, G., Mohrholz, V., Umlauf, L.: Evidence of tidal straining in
- well-mixed channel flow from micro-structure observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(17),
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049005, 2011.
- 807 Burchard, H., Hetland, R. D., Schulz, E., Schuttelaars, H. M.: Drivers of residual estuarine 808 circulation in tidally energetic estuaries: Straight and irrotational channels with parabolic
- 808 circulation in tidally energetic estuaries: Straight and irrotational channels with parabolic
- 809 cross section, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41(3), 548–570, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JPO4453.1,
- 810 2011.
- Burchard, H., Hetland, R. D.: Quantifying the contributions of tidal straining and gravitational
 circulation to residual circulation in periodically stratified tidal estuaries, J. Phys. Oceanogr.,
 40(6), 1243–1262, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JPO4270.1, 2010.





814	Burchard, H.: Combined effects of wind, tide and horizontal density gradients on stratification
815	in estuaries and coastal seas, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 39, 2117-2136,
816	https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JPO4142.1, 2009.
817	Chen, Y., Cui, Y. X., Sheng, X. X., Jiang, W. S., Feng, S. Z.: Analytical solution to the 3D tide-
818	induced Lagrangian residual current in a narrow bay with vertically varying eddy viscosity
819	coefficient, Ocean Dyn., 70, 759–770, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-020-01359-3, 2020.
820	Cheng, P., Valle-Levinson, A., de Swart, H. E.: A numerical study of residual circulation
821	induced by asymmetric tidal mixing in tidally dominated estuaries, J. Geophys. Res.:
822	Oceans, 116(C1), https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006137, 2011.
823	Chu, N. Y., Liu, G. L., Xu, J., Yao, P., Du, Y., Liu, Z. Q., Cai, Z. Y.: Hydrodynamical transport
824	structure and lagrangian connectivity of circulations in the Pearl River Estuary, Front. Mar.
825	Sci., 9, 996551, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.996551, 2022.
826	Cui, Y. X., Jiang, W. S., Deng, F. J.: 3D numerical computation of the tidally induced
827	Lagrangian residual current in an idealized bay, Ocean Dyn., 69, 283-300,
828	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-018-01243-1, 2019.

- Deng, F. J., Jiang, W. S., Feng, S. Z.: The nonlinear effects of the eddy viscosity and the bottom
 friction on the Lagrangian residual velocity in a narrow model bay, Ocean Dyn., 67, 1105–
 1118, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-017-1076-x, 2017.
- 832 Deng, F. J., Jiang, W. S., Valle-Levinson, A., Feng, S. Z.: 3D modal solution for tidally induced
- 833 Lagrangian residual velocity with variations in eddy viscosity and bathymetry in a narrow
- model bay, J. Ocean Univ. China, 18, 69–79, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11802-019-3773-1,
- 835 2019.





- 836 Deng, F. J., Jiang, W. S., Zong, X. L., Chen, Z. Y.: Quantifying the Contribution of Each Driving
- 837 Force to the Lagrangian Residual Velocity in Xiangshan Bay, Front. Mar. Sci., 9, 901490,
- 838 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.901490, 2022.
- 839 Feng, S. Z.: A three-dimensional weakly nonlinear model of tide-induced Lagrangian residual
- 840 current and mass-transport, with an application to the Bohai Sea, Elsevier Oceanogr. Ser.,
- 841 45, 471–488, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0422-9894(08)70463-X, 1987.
- Feng, S. Z., Xi, P. G., Zhang, S. Z.: The baroclinic residual circulation in shallow seas: I. The
 hydrodynamic models, Chin. J. Oceanol. Limnol., 2, 49–60,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02888391, 1984.
- Fischer, H. B., List, J., Koh, C. R., Imberger, J., Brooks, N. H.: Mixing in inland and coastal
 waters, Academic Press, https://doi.org/10.1016/C2009-0-22051-4, 1979.
- 847 Geyer, W. R., MacCready, P.: The estuarine circulation, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech., 46, 175–197,
- 848 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-010313-141302, 2014.
- 849 Gong, W. P., Lin, Z. Y., Chen, Y. Z., Chen, Z. Y., Zhang, H.: Effect of winds and waves on salt
- intrusion in the Pearl River estuary, Ocean Sci., 14(1), 139–159, https://doi.org/10.5194/os14-139-2018, 2018.
- 852 Hansen, D. V., Rattray, M.: Gravitational circulation in straits and estuaries, J. Mar. Res., 79(2),

853 69–87, https://doi.org/10.1357/002224021834614399, 1965.

- Ianniello, J. P.: Tidally induced residual currents in estuaries of constant breadth and depth, J.
 Mar. Res., 9(5), 962–974, 1977.
- Jay, D. A., Musiak, J. D.: Particle trapping in estuarine tidal flows, J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans,
 99(C10), 20445–20461, https://doi.org/10.1029/94JC00971, 1994.





- 858 Jiang, W. S., Feng, S. Z.: 3D analytical solution to the tidally induced Lagrangian residual 859 equations in bay, Ocean Dyn., 64, 1073-1091, current а narrow https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-014-0738-1, 2014. 860 Jiang, W. S., Feng, S. Z.: Analytical solution for the tidally induced Lagrangian residual current 861 in a narrow bay, Ocean Dyn., 61, 543-558, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-011-0381-z, 862 863 2011.
- Jongbloed, H., Schuttelaars, H. M., Dijkstra, Y. M., Donkers, P. B., Hoitink, A. J.: Influence of
 wind on subtidal salt intrusion and stratification in well-mixed and partially stratified
 estuaries, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 52(12), 3139–3158, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-210291.1, 2022.
- Lai, W. F., Pan, J. Y., Devlin, A. T.: Impact of tides and winds on estuarine circulation in the
 Pearl River Estuary, Cont. Shelf Res., 168, 68–82,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2018.09.004, 2018.
- 871 Lamb, H.: Hydrodynamics, London: Cambridge university press, 1975.
- Lange, X., Burchard, H.: The relative importance of wind straining and gravitational forcing
 in driving exchange flows in tidally energetic estuaries, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 49(3), 723–736,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-18-0014.1, 2019.
- Liu, G. L., Liu, Z., Gao, H. W., Feng, S. Z.: Initial time dependence of wind-and density-driven
 Lagrangian residual velocity in a tide-dominated bay, Ocean Dyn., 71, 447–469,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-021-01447-y, 2021.
- 878 MacCready, P.: Calculating estuarine exchange flow using isohaline coordinates, J. Phys.
- 879 Oceanogr., 41, 1116–1124, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JPO4517.1, 2011.





880	MacCready, P., Geyer, W. R., Burchard, H.: Estuarine exchange flow is related to mixing
881	through the salinity variance budget, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 48, 1375-1384,
882	https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-17-0266.1, 2018.
883	Monismith, S. G., Burau, J. R., Stacey, M.: Stratification dynamics and gravitational circulation
884	in northern San Francisco Bay, San Francisco Bay: The Ecosystem, 123, 153, 1996.
885	Pan, J. Y., Lai, W. F., Devlin, A. T.: Channel-Trapped Convergence and Divergence of Lateral
886	Velocity in the Pearl River Estuary: Influence of Along-Estuary Variations of Channel
887	Depth and Width, J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans, 125(1), e2019JC015369,
888	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015369, 2020.
889	Pritchard, D. W.: Estuarine hydrography, Adv. Geophys., 1, 243-280,
890	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2687(08)60208-3, 1952.
891	Pritchard, D. W.: The dynamic structure of a coastal plain estuary, J. Mar. Res., 15(1), 33-42,
892	1956.
893	Quan, Q., Mao, X. Y., Jiang, W. S.: Numerical computation of the tidally induced Lagrangian
894	residual current in a model bay, Ocean Dyn., 64, 471-486, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-
895	014-0696-7, 2014.
896	Sheng, X. X., Mao, X. Y., Yu, J. Z., Zhang, X. Q., Jiang, W. S., Lu, Y. Y.: Modeling Lagrangian
897	residual velocity in a tide-dominated long-narrow bay: case study of the inner Xiangshan
898	Bay, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 278, 108088, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2022.108088,
899	2022.
900	Simpson, J. H., Brown, J., Matthews, J., Allen, G.: Tidal straining, density currents, and stirring
901	in the control of estuarine stratification, Estuaries 13, 125-132,
902	https://doi.org/10.2307/1351581, 1990.
	54

54





903	Verspecht, F., Rippeth, T. P., Howarth, M. J., Souza, A. J., Simpson, J. H., Burchard, H.:
904	Processes impacting on stratification in a region of freshwater influence: Application to
905	Liverpool Bay, J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans, 114(C11),
906	https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JC005475, 2009.
907	Wang, B.: Analysis on the estuarine circulation and its dynamic mechanism in the
908	Lingdingyang Bay, Chinese Journal of Hydrodynamics, 29(05), 608-617, 2014.
909	Wang, J. H., Shen, Y. M., Guo, Y. K.: Seasonal circulation and influence factors of the Bohai
910	Sea: a numerical study based on Lagrangian particle tracking method, Ocean Dyn., 60,
911	1581–1596, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-010-0346-7, 2010.
912	Wang, T., Geyer, W. R., MacCready, P.: Total exchange flow, entrainment, and diffusive salt
913	flux in estuaries, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 47, 1205-1220, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-16-
914	0258.1, 2017.
915	Wei, X. Y., Schuttelaars, H. M., Williams, M. E., Brown, J. M., Thorne, P. D., Amoudry, L. O.:
916	Unraveling interactions between asymmetric tidal turbulence, residual circulation, and
917	salinity dynamics in short, periodically weakly stratified estuaries, J. Phys. Oceanogr.,
918	51(5), 1395–1416, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-20-0146.1, 2021.
919	Wei, X. Y., Williams, M. E., Brown, J. M., Thorne, P. D., Amoudry, L. O.: Salt intrusion as a
920	function of estuary length in periodically weakly stratified estuaries, Geophys. Res. Lett.,

- 921 49(15), e2022GL099082, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099082, 2022.
- 922 Winant, C. D.: Three-dimensional residual tidal circulation in an elongated, rotating basin, J.
- 923 Phys. Oceanogr., 38(6), 1278–1295, https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JPO3819.1, 2008.





- 924 Xu, H. Z., Shen, J., Wang, D. X., Luo, L., Hong, B.: Nonlinearity of subtidal estuarine
- 925 circulation in the Pearl River Estuary, China, Front. Mar. Sci., 8, 629403,
- 926 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.629403, 2021.
- 927 Xue, H. J., Chai, F., Wang, L. Y., Chen, J. Z.: Zhujiang River Estuarine circulation model, China
- 928 Ocean Press, 147–160, 2001.