



1	Calibration methods for laser ablation Rb–Sr geochronology:
2	comparisons and recommendation based on NIST glass and
3	natural reference materials
4	Stijn Glorie ^{1*} , Sarah E. Gilbert ² , Martin Hand ¹ , Jarred C. Lloyd ¹
5	¹ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia.
6	² Adelaide Microscopy, University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia.
7	
8	Correspondence to: Stijn Glorie (stijn.glorie@adelaide.edu.au)
9	

10 Abstract

11 In-situ Rb-Sr geochronology using LA-ICP-MS/MS technology allows rapid dating of K-rich 12 minerals such as micas (e.g. biotite, muscovite, phlogopite) and K-feldspar. While many studies 13 have demonstrated the ability of the method, analytical protocols vary significantly and to date no 14 studies have provided an in-depth comparison and synthesis in terms of precision and accuracy. 15 Here we compare four calibration protocols based on commonly used reference materials for Rb-16 Sr dating. We demonstrate that downhole fractionation trends (DHF) for natural biotite, K-feldspar 17 and phlogopite contrast with that for the commonly used Mica-Mg nano-powder reference material. Consequently, Rb-Sr dates calibrated to Mica-Mg can be up to 5% inaccurate and the 18 19 degree of inaccuracy appears to be unsystematic between analytical sessions. Calibrating to Mica-Mg also introduces excess uncertainty that can be avoided with a more consistent primary 20 21 calibration material. We propose a calibration approach involving NIST-610 glass as the primary





22 reference material (RM) and a natural mineral with similar DHF characteristics to the analysed 23 samples as secondary RM to correct for matrix-dependent fractionation. In this work, MDC 24 phlogopite (the source mineral for Mica-Mg nano-powder) was used as the secondary RM, 25 consistently producing accurate Rb-Sr dates for a series of natural biotites and K-feldspars with 26 well-characterized expected ages. However, biotite from the Banalasta Adamellite, Taratap Granodiorite and Entire Creek pegmatite are also suitable secondary RMs for Rb/Sr ratio 27 28 calibration purposes with consistently <1.5% fully propagated uncertainties in our methodological 29 approach. Until calibration using isochronous natural standards as the primary RM becomes 30 possible in data-reduction software, the two-step calibration approach described here is 31 recommended.

32

Keywords: reaction-cell ICP-MS; in-situ geochronology; Rb–Sr reference materials; calibration
 standards

35

36 1. Introduction

Rubidium-Strontium (Rb–Sr) geochronology using laser ablation – inductively coupled plasma – tandem mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS/MS) has become a popular method to constrain the formation or cooling age of potassium-bearing minerals (Gorojovsky and Alard, 2020; Hogmalm et al., 2017; Jegal et al., 2022; Kirkland et al., 2023; Larson et al., 2023; Laureijs et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020; Liebmann et al., 2022; Olierook et al., 2020; Redaa et al., 2021; Rosel and Zack, 2022; Sengun et al., 2019; Tillberg et al., 2021; Tillberg et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022; Zack and Hogmalm, 2016). In contrast to traditional Rb–Sr dating involving column-chemistry in





44 specialized laboratories, the laser-ablation method allows rapid acquisition of Rb-Sr dates directly 45 from thin sections or rock blocks with minimal sample preparation. The method involves the use 46 of an ICP-MS/MS, equipped with a reaction cell where isobaric isotopes can be chemically 47 separated due to their significant differences in reactivity with an introduced reaction gas (Balcaen 48 et al., 2015 and references therein). Applied to Rb-Sr geochronology, CH₃F, SF₆, O₂ and N₂O 49 have been used as reaction gasses (e.g. Hogmalm et al., 2017; Moens et al., 2001; Zack and 50 Hogmalm, 2016), with the latter being the most widely used for quadrupole ICP-MS/MS due to 51 its high reactivity. However, published analytical methodologies for LA-ICP-MS/MS Rb-Sr dating vary significantly beyond the applied reaction gas (Table 1). Reported laser conditions 52 53 (fluence and repetition rate) are largely laser-wavelength dependent with common conditions being either ~5 - 7 J.cm⁻² / 10 Hz for 213nm lasers, especially during initial development work 54 55 (e.g. Hogmalm et al., 2017; Laureijs et al., 2021; Rosel and Zack, 2022; Sengun et al., 2019; Tillberg et al., 2020; Zack and Hogmalm, 2016) or $\sim 2 - 4$ J.cm⁻² / 5 Hz for 193nm lasers (e.g. 56 Kirkland et al., 2023; Larson et al., 2023; Li et al., 2020; Liebmann et al., 2022; Olierook et al., 57 58 2020; Redaa et al., 2021). The applied calibration protocols for mass discrimination and elemental 59 fractionation, however, vary more significantly. Most published work uses a glass reference 60 material (RM), with NIST-610 being most popular to correct for drift and calibrate the Sr isotopic 61 ratios. Rb/Sr ratios are most commonly calibrated against Mica-Mg, a phlogopite prepared as a 62 pressed nano-powder pellet, regardless of the analysed mineral (micas in most published work). 63 However, the approach varies, with some methods directly calibrating to Mica-Mg as the primary RM (e.g. Gorojovsky and Alard, 2020; Hogmalm et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020; Redaa et al., 2021; 64 65 Rosel and Zack, 2022; Sengun et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022) and others using NIST-610 as the primary RM followed by a correction for matrix-dependent fractionation against Mica-Mg as 66





- 67 secondary RM (e.g. Liebmann et al., 2022; Olierook et al., 2020). Other secondary RMs, used to 68 verify the accuracy of obtained dates, are either glass reference materials (e.g. Larson et al., 2023; 69 Laureijs et al., 2021; Rosel and Zack, 2022) or in-house natural materials such as the La Posta 70 biotite (Zack and Hogmalm, 2016), the MDC phlogopite (Redaa et al., 2021), or the CK001 biotite 71 (Olierook et al., 2020). 72 In addition, laser-induced down-hole fractionation (DHF) can occur during ablation and aerosol 73 condensation processes and is most apparent when ratioing elements with contrasting volatilities 74 (e.g. Jackson and Günther, 2003; Košler et al., 2005; Longerich et al., 1996). Elemental Sr is more
- 75 refractory than the volatile Rb and hence has a high potential to fractionate during laser ablation 76 (Zack and Hogmalm, 2016). A small number of studies have directly compared different 77 calibration approaches and have described differences in Rb-Sr DHF behaviour between 78 commonly used reference materials (e.g. Redaa et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022). However, 79 systematic comparisons between data reduction protocols, tested with natural materials, are limited 80 in the current literature. Here, we compare four different calibration approaches for a series of natural biotite and K-feldspar samples. The samples were taken from quickly cooled igneous rocks, 81 82 eliminating potential diffusion-related issues when comparing dates of different minerals. Hence, 83 the well-constrained igneous crystallization ages are the expected reference ages for the analysed 84 samples and one of the biotite samples has previously been dated by the Rb-Sr ID-TIMS method. 85 The calibration approaches we compare are:
- (A) NIST-610 as the primary RM for both ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios plus MDC phlogopite as
 secondary RM to correct for matrix-dependant fractionation;
- (B) NIST-610 as the primary RM for both ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios plus Mica-Mg pressed
 pellet as secondary RM to correct for matrix-dependant fractionation;



90



(C) Mica-Mg as the primary RM for ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratios and NIST-610 as the primary RM for ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios: 91 (D) Mica-Mg as the primary RM for both ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios 92 93 We discuss the differences between these approaches in terms of accuracy and precision, and 94 highlight the importance of monitoring and correcting down-hole fractionation with appropriate reference materials. 95

96

- 97 2. Sample descriptions
- 98 2.1. MDC phlogopite and Mica-Mg nano powder

99 Mica-Mg nano-powder is used as a reference material for Rb-Sr dating. It consists of crushed 100 phlogopite from Bekily (Madagascar) with a high Rb ($1300 \pm 40 \ \mu g.g^{-1}$) and low Sr ($27 \pm 3 \ \mu g.g^{-1}$) 101 ¹) concentration (Redaa et al., 2023 and references therein). MDC is natural phlogopite, which was 102 sourced from the same locality as Mica-Mg (Redaa et al., 2021). The reference age for both materials is 519.4 \pm 6.5 Ma and the initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio is 0.72607 \pm 0.0007, constrained from a 103 104 diopside (low-Rb mineral) that occurs in the same location (Hogmalm et al., 2017). However, for 105 Mica-Mg some pellet to pellet variation in both Rb/Sr and Sr/Sr ratios has been observed (Jegal et 106 al., 2022; Redaa et al., 2023).

107 2.2. Entire Creek pegmatite

108 The Entire Creek sample was taken from a deformed pegmatite in the Harts Range meta-igneous 109 complex of central Australia, in the same location as described by Mortimer et al. (1987). The 110 pegmatite cross-cuts folded and foliated amphibolites, is composed of coarse-grained quartz,





- plagioclase, alkali feldspar and biotite, with the latter defining a strong axial-plane foliation to folds outlined by the pegmatite. Biotite and whole-rock Rb/Sr and Sr/Sr isotope ratios, obtained by ID-TIMS at the University of Adelaide, are reported in Mortimer et al. (1987) and define a 7point (3 biotite and 4 whole rock analyses) isochron age of $312.1 \pm 1.8 / 5.1$ Ma (95% confidence uncertainties, without / with overdispersion), recalculated in IsoplotR (Vermeesch, 2018), using the Villa et al. (2015) Rb–Sr decay constant of $1.3972 \pm 0.0045 \times 10^{-11}$ a⁻¹ (Appendix 1).
- 117

2.3. Banalasta Adamellite (Bundarra Suite)

118 The S-type Banalasta Adamellite forms the southern end the Bundarra Batholith in the Southern 119 New England Orogen in eastern Australia (e.g. Flood and Shaw, 1975; Jeon et al., 2012; 120 Rosenbaum et al., 2012; Shaw and Flood, 1981). The Bundarra Batholith is an elongate north-121 south trending magmatic suite, spanning approximately 200 km. The Banalasta Adamellite is 122 approximately 40 km in diameter and has sharp contacts with surrounding metasediments with a 123 contact metamorphic aureole characterised by fine-grained cordierite-bearing assemblage at the 124 pluton margin grading out to regional prehnite-pumpellyite metagreywacke assemblages over a 125 distance of approximately 3 km (Flood and Shaw, 1977). Internally the granite is massive, coarse-126 grained granitoid containing approximately equal proportions of K-feldspar and plagioclase, 127 together with accessory apatite, zircon and monazite. Biotite predominantly occurs in multi-grain 128 clots together with quartz, plagioclase, magnetite, zircon and apatite. In rare cases they contain 129 relic garnet, suggesting they formed from hydration of garnet entrained from the granitic source 130 region.

131 Melt-precipitated zircon from the Banalasta Adamellite gives zircon U-Pb ages of 286.2 ± 2.2 Ma 132 (Black, 2007), 289.2 ± 1.7 Ma (Jeon et al., 2012) and 282 ± 4 Ma (Phillips et al., 2011). Whole 133 rock Rb–Sr data from the Bundarra Suite gives an age of 285 ± 15 Ma (n = 6/7, MSWD = 0.4).





When additional feldspar Rb–Sr data are included in the isochron, the isochron age is 283 ± 10 Ma 134 135 (n = 9/10, MSWD = 0.24) (Appendix 2). Both isochron dates were recalculated using the data from 136 Flood and Shaw (1977) and the decay constant from Villa et al. (2015). Additionally, Hensel et al 137 (1995) reported a model whole rock Rb–Sr age of 287 ± 10 Ma for a group of 16 samples from the 138 Bundarra Suite. Overall, it is evident that Rb-Sr age data is similar to the ages of melt precipitated 139 zircon, consistent with the lack of evidence for extended fractional crystallisation (Jeon et al 2012). 140 The samples used in this study come from the same location as Black (2007) that has a granitic 141 zircon of 286.2 ± 2.2 Ma, as well as a second location approximately 800 meters away.

142

143 **2.4. Taratap Granodiorite**

144 The Taratap Granodiorite in the Delamerian Orogenic belt in southern Australia is classified as S-145 type, calc-alkaline with a composition dominated by microcline megacrysts (c. 3-4 cm in length), 146 which define a NNE-trending magmatic fabric in a coarse-grained groundmass of plagioclase, quartz, K-feldspar and biotite, with accessory zircon, apatite, and monazite. Low-temperature 147 148 alteration is evident in thin section by the presence of chlorite-muscovite-titanite and minor allanite (Burtt and Abbot, 1998). The sample was chosen for analysis because the timing of 149 emplacement is tightly constrained by a zircon U-Pb ID-TIMS age of 497.11 ± 0.56 Ma (²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U 150 151 weighted mean age, 95% confidence interval uncertainty, MSWD = 1.8, n = 6) and an apatite Lu-152 Hf age of 497.1 ± 5.5 Ma (MSWD = 1.1, n = 38) (Glorie et al., 2023 and references therein).

153

154 **3.** Analytical methods





155 All Rb-Sr analyses were conducted at Adelaide Microscopy, University of Adelaide, using an 156 Agilent 8900x ICP-MS/MS, coupled to a RESOlution-LR ArF excimer (193 nm) laser ablation 157 system. A squid mixing device (Laurin Technic) was used to smooth the pulsed laser signal 158 between the laser and the mass-spectrometer. The instrument parameters follow those reported in 159 Redaa et al. (2021), with ablation in a He atmosphere (350 mL.min⁻¹), mixed with Ar (890 mL.min⁻¹) 160 ¹) as the carrier gas and N_2 (3.5 mL.min⁻¹) added before the ICP torch to enhance the signal sensitivity. N₂O (0.37 mL.min⁻¹) was used as the reaction gas to separate ⁸⁷Sr from ⁸⁷Rb. The ⁸⁶Sr 161 and ⁸⁷Sr isotopes were measured as their oxide reaction products (e.g. ⁸⁷Sr¹⁶O) with a mass shift 162 of 16 amu between the two quadrupole mass analysers (e.g. Q1 = 87 m/z, Q2 = 103 m/z). Despite 163 the high reaction efficiency of ⁸⁷Sr, residual unreacted Sr prevents direct measurement of ⁸⁷Rb. 164 Instead, ⁸⁵Rb was measured as a proxy for ⁸⁷Rb and calculated assuming natural isotopic 165 166 abundance. The samples and reference materials were ablated using a circular laser beam of 67 um diameter, a fluence of 3.5 J.cm⁻², and repetition rate of 5 Hz. Further details are presented in 167 168 Table 2. A total of three analytical sessions were conducted, with largely identical instrumental 169 parameters between the different sessions. The ICP-MS was tuned to a sensitivity which kept Rb 170 in pulse mode in Mica-Mg (the material with the highest Rb concentration), negating the 171 requirement for additional pulse-analogue (P/A) corrections.

For each analytical session, NIST-610, Mica-Mg and MDC were used as reference materials for calibration purposes. All data was processed in LADR (Norris and Danyushevsky, 2018) using a customized data reduction algorithm that calculates error correlations from the raw isotopic ratios for each sweep in an analysis, in the same way as for U-Pb data reduction. Isotope ratios were calculated by: (1) correcting down-hole fractionation (DHF) against the primary RM, (2) averaging





- 177 the DHF corrected ratios of each sweep in the analysis, and then (3) normalising to a the primary
- 178 RM to correct for matrix independent instrument mass bias and drift.
- 179 Normalisation of the measured Rb/Sr and Sr/Sr ratios was conducted with two different reference 180 materials (NIST-610 and Mica-Mg), following the four analytical protocols outlined above (A-D). The reference ${}^{87}\text{Rb}/{}^{87}\text{Sr}$ and ${}^{86}\text{Sr}/{}^{87}\text{Sr}$ ratios used for Mica-Mg were 83.4 ± 1.0 and $0.53981 \pm$ 181 0.00070, respectively (Hogmalm et al., 2017). For NIST-610, the ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr was calculated from 182 ppm data as 3.28 ± 0.03 and for the ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratio, the reference value of 1.409048 ± 0.000036 183 184 was used (Woodhead and Hergt, 2001). For each normalization protocol, DHF corrections of the 185 Rb-Sr ratios were applied based on the DHF behaviour of the applied primary RM. No DHF correction was applied to the ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratios. Where NIST-610 was used as the primary RM, MDC 186 187 phlogopite or Mica-Mg were used as secondary RM to correct the ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratios for matrix-188 dependant fractionation (cfr. Roberts et al., 2017 for U/Pb ratios; Simpson et al., 2022 for Lu/Hf 189 ratios).
- All mica samples (including biotite samples and MDC phlogopite) were ablated with the laser ablating parallel to cleavage. The Bundarra samples were analysed in thin section and the Taratap sample as a rock block. The coarse Entire Creek biotites were mounted as mica-books using a vice to prevent air-gaps between individual mica sheets, with the 'pages' of the book mounted upright exposing multiple cleavage planes perpendicular to the surface.
- For each sample and reference material, inverse isochron Rb–Sr dates (Li and Vermeesch, 2021) were calculated in IsoplotR (Vermeesch, 2018), based on the processed ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratios, their 2SE uncertainties, and the calculated error correlations. Reported inverse isochron uncertainties are fully propagated 95% confidence intervals, including the uncertainty on the decay constant and added uncertainty for overdispersion where required (calculated in IsoplotR). The





200	exceptions are the inverse isochron dates for MDC and Mica-Mg when used as secondary RMs,
201	which are used to correct the Rb/Sr ratios when calibrating to NIST-610. For these cases the
202	reported uncertainties are 95% confidence uncertainties without external uncertainties (as the
203	external uncertainties would otherwise be applied twice to the isochron dates of the analysed
204	samples). Session-dependant correction factors (CF) were calculated from the measured ⁸⁷ Rb/ ⁸⁷ Sr
205	ratio for MDC and Mica-Mg and compared to the reference value (calculated from the published
206	age for both MDC and Mica-Mg of 519.4 ± 6.5 Ma; Hogmalm et al., 2017; Redaa et al., 2021).
207	These CF values (= measured ratio/expected ratio) were subsequently applied to each unknown
208	analysis when calibrated to either MDC or Mica-Mg. Finally, the uncertainties on the MDC and
209	Mica-Mg dates are propagated to the reported Rb-Sr isochron uncertainties for each NIST-610
210	calibrated sample using the quadratic addition of the relative uncertainties.

211

4. Results

213 **4.1. Downhole fractionation trends**

214 In this section, we compare the downhole fractionation (DHF) trend of the ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratio between the analysed feldspars and micas and the reference materials (NIST-610 and Mica-Mg) (Fig. 1). 215 216 The obtained fractionation trends do not vary significantly between different sessions; however, 217 data from analytical session 3 is presented as it contains data for all analysed samples presented in 218 this paper. The DHF trends were calculated in LADR and individual scatter plots can be found in 219 Appendix 3. As shown, The DHF trends for the analysed biotite, phlogopite and K-feldspar 220 samples are internally consistent, showing ~10% increase in Rb/Sr ratio over the first 20 s of 221 ablation, followed by a flatter trend in the subsequent 20 s. NIST-610 shows a similar trend of 222 increasing Rb/Sr ratio with ablation time, however the amplitude of the DHF curve is more





- subdued compared to the natural samples (~3.5% increase in the first 20 s ablation). In contrast,
- the DHF pattern for Mica-Mg shows an oscillating trend, increasing for the first ~10 s of ablation
- and then dropping for the subsequent ~ 30 s of ablation (Fig. 1).

226

227 4.2. Within-session reproducibility of ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratios

Figure 2 shows the within-session variability of the ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratios for both primary 228 229 RMs NIST-610 and Mica-Mg in analytical session 3. The reference materials are considered homogenous in both isotopic ratios, meaning that any variations are purely due to differences in 230 the ablation characteristics from spot to spot. As shown, the measured ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratios and ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr 231 232 ratios are significantly more consistent for NIST-610 compared to Mica-Mg (both measured using the same analytical conditions and spot size). The maximum within-session variability (=min-max 233 234 range) in the 87 Rb/ 87 Sr ratio is < 3% for NIST-610, compared to > 8% for Mica-Mg. The 86 Sr/ 87 Sr 235 ratio is more consistent for both primary RMs, however, the uncertainty on individual analyses is 236 approximately 3× larger for Mica-Mg compared to NIST-610. ICP-MS mass-bias drift is minimal for both isotope ratios in NIST-610, with only a slight increase in the Rb/Sr ratio over the first 2-237 238 3 hours of analysis. As both Mica-Mg and NIST-610 were analysed sequentially in the same analytical session, the apparent 'drift' in the Mica-Mg ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratios are due to variations in 239 240 ablation rather than changes in the ICP-MS mass bias.

241 4.3. Isochron Rb–Sr dates for natural K-feldspars and micas

Inverse isochron plots and resulting Rb–Sr dates are presented for each analytical protocol in Appendix 4. Summary plots are shown in Figure 3. The data-table with the input ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratios is accessible from Figshare via the link in the data availability section. For the





245 Bundarra samples, the biotite isochrons are anchored to apatite Rb/Sr ratios, given that the apatites 246 commonly occur as inclusions within biotite. For the K-feldspars, the isochrons are anchored to 247 plagioclase, given that the analysed K-feldspars often show minor exsolution with plagioclase. 248 However, the choice of anchoring mineral gives no difference in the obtained biotite and K-249 feldspar inverse isochron Rb/Sr dates. For the Taratap sample, isochron anchoring was conducted 250 to a combination of plagioclase and apatite in session 1, but only plagioclase in sessions 2 and 3, 251 given the limited occurrence of apatite in thin section. For the Entire Creek biotite sample, anchoring was conducted to whole-rock ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratios from Mortimer et al. (1987). The MDC 252 and Mica-Mg isochrons were anchored to an initial 86 Sr/ 87 Sr ratio of 1.3773 \pm 0.0013 and 253 254 calibrated to the published age of 519.4 ± 6.5 Ma (Hogmalm et al., 2017; Redaa et al., 2021).

The summary of obtained inverse Rb–Sr dates is presented in Table 3. As shown, there is only marginal variation in the absolute biotite dates between the three analytical protocols involving Mica-Mg, either as the primary RM for Rb/Sr ratios (protocols C & D) or as a secondary RM (protocol B). Hence, in order to evaluate the accuracy of the obtained Rb–Sr dates against the expected references ages for each sample, we only compare the first two analytical protocols (NIST-610 as the primary RM and either: (A) MDC or (B) Mica-Mg as secondary RM).

Figure 4 compares the obtained Rb–Sr inverse isochron dates to the expected ages for the three samples, that were analysed over two or three analytical sessions. The uncertainties for the Kfeldspar dates are not shown as they are too large to be useful (due to the relatively low radiogenic nature of typical K-feldspar versus micas), here we only compare the accuracy of the absolute dates. As shown, analytical protocol (A) involving NIST as primary RM and MDC phlogopite as secondary RM consistently gives the most accurate Rb–Sr dates across all different analytical sessions. For this analytical protocol, the Rb–Sr biotite dates for the Bundarra samples are 287.1





268 \pm 2.4 Ma, 284.7 \pm 3.0 Ma, 287.7 \pm 2.3 Ma and 285.7 \pm 2.6 Ma (between two samples over two 269 analytical sessions), which are in excellent agreement with the published zircon U-Pb age of 286.2 270 \pm 2.2 Ma (Black, 2007) from the same outcrop. The K-felspar dates of 290 \pm 14 Ma, 285 \pm 15 Ma, 271 290 ± 37 Ma and 288 ± 37 Ma are in excellent agreement as well but are less useful to evaluate 272 age accuracies given their larger uncertainties. Similarly for the Taratap sample, the obtained 273 biotite Rb–Sr dates of 499.4 \pm 3.6 Ma and 495.7 \pm 4.0 Ma as well as the (imprecise) K-feldspar 274 Rb–Sr dates of 500 ± 30 Ma, 501 ± 50 Ma and 495 ± 35 Ma are in excellent agreement with the zircon U-Pb ID-TIMS age of 497.1 \pm 0.6 Ma as well as the apatite Lu-Hf age of 497.1 \pm 5.5 Ma 275 276 for the same sample (Glorie et al., 2023). Hence, the combined dataset suggests that the biotite, K-277 feldspar and zircons record the same (crystallization) age for both the Bundarra and Taratap 278 samples. The Entire Creek biotite gave consistent Rb–Sr dates of 310.7 ± 1.5 Ma and 311.6 ± 3.1 279 Ma, in excellent agreement with the ID-TIMS age of 312.1 ± 1.8 / 5.1 Ma (95% confidence 280 uncertainties, without / with overdispersion), based on the Rb/Sr ratios in Mortimer et al. (1987), 281 recalculated with the Villa et al. (2015) Rb–Sr decay constant.

282 **5. Discussion**

283 **5.1. Downhole fractionation corrections**

Few previous studies have reported Rb–Sr DHF trends for a series of artificial reference materials (i.e. glass standards and pressed pellets; Redaa et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022). However, to the best of our knowledge, DHF trends have not been evaluated for natural materials with the exception of phlogopite MDC (Redaa et al., 2021). In our experiments, DHF is more pronounced in natural micas and K-feldspar than observed for the NIST-610 glass and Mica-Mg pressed pellet, when ablated under the same analytical conditions (Fig. 1). Comparatively, Mica-Mg appears least appropriate to correct the analysed samples for DHF, given its systematically different DHF trend.





- 291 NIST-610 shows less DHF compared to the analysed micas and K-feldspars but its trend is more 292 systematic (similar shape with lower amplitudes). Thus, correcting for DHF against NIST-610 293 reduces the observed DHF for the analysed samples, while Mica-Mg significantly under-corrects 294 for DHF or accentuates it when applied to minerals. MDC biotite would be the most appropriate 295 choice for DHF correction as it behaves very similar to the analysed mica and K-feldspar samples. 296 However, as with most natural materials, MDC is not sufficiently homogenous in ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratio 297 to be used as a primary RM. While the shape or slope of DHF trends can vary depending on laser 298 conditions (spot size, frequency and fluence), it cannot be eliminated for elements with contrasting 299 volatilities such as Rb and Sr. However, based on the presented data, the use of NIST-610 is the 300 more appropriate reference material to correct for DHF and Mica-Mg would exacerbate instead of 301 reduce the effects of DHF.
- 302 If no DHF correction is applied, accurate data can only be achieved if exactly the same signal 303 interval is selected in both the secondary RM and sample. If there is a residual DHF slope on the 304 sample Rb/Sr ratios that is different to the RM (e.g. crystalline material versus Mica-Mg), then 305 selecting a shorter signal interval can significantly bias Rb/Sr ratios and hence the apparent age.

306

307 5.2. Mica-Mg vs NIST-610 and MDC as calibration standards

308 5.2.1. Uncertainty comparisons

The contributions to the propagated uncertainties of individual analyses from the reference materials (average signal precision and calibration curve misfit) are much larger when calibrating to Mica-Mg compared to NIST-610 for both ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratios (Fig. 5). For example, in analytical session 1, the obtained uncertainties for individual ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratios for the Entire Creek biotite sample are more than double when using Mica-Mg compared to NIST-610 as the





314 primary RM (Fig. 5; Appendix 5). As a result, the choice of Mica-Mg instead of NIST-610 as the

315 primary RM might mask the presence of multiple data populations and introduces excessive

316 uncertainties onto isochron dates.

The use of Mica-Mg as calibration standard for 86 Sr/ 87 Sr ratios most significantly affects the isochron precision of low-radiogenic samples such as K-feldspar samples. As shown in Table 3 and Figure 5, the uncertainty on the K-feldspar isochron dates can be up to 2× larger, compared to other calibration methods. Furthermore, the resulting MSWD values on the isochron regressions are consistently < 0.3 (Table 3), suggesting excessive uncertainties on individual data-points. For the more radiogenic biotite samples, the larger uncertainties in 86 Sr/ 87 Sr ratios have negligible effects to the precision on the isochron dates.

The precision of the calibrated ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratios is more important to the isochron uncertainty of 324 325 highly radiogenic materials, such as most types of micas. Calibrating to NIST-610 versus Mica-326 Mg yields either more precise biotite isochron dates or identical precision. However, when NIST-327 610 is used as the primary RM, propagation of the uncertainty on MDC or Mica-Mg to the resulting 328 isochron age uncertainty is either identical or slightly worse compared to using Mica-Mg as 329 calibration standard (Fig. 5; Table 3). The difference relates to the degree of overdispersion. The 330 larger uncertainties on the Rb/Sr ratios when using Mica-Mg as primary RM result in lower 331 MSWD values, reducing the uncertainty on the isochron regression. This excess uncertainty when 332 calibrating to Mica-Mg might mask meaningful geological scatter in Rb/Sr ratios and it is therefore 333 advisable to produce isochrons based on data with the best possible analytical precision.

In summary, Mica-Mg should not be used as calibration standard for ⁸⁶Sr/⁸⁷Sr ratio calculations for low-radiogenic samples as it introduces excessive uncertainties to age calculations. For highradiogenic samples, using Mica-Mg as the primary RM also introduces larger uncertainties to





individual data-points compared to using NIST-610, but there is no significant difference in propagated uncertainty after secondary correction to either MDC or Mica-Mg. For Rb/Sr ratio calibrations, NIST-610 is more consistent, resulting in lower uncertainties on individual Rb/Sr ratios. When there is no overdispersion, this results in better isochron age precision. However, overdispersion can be masked by the increased uncertainties on Rb/Sr ratios, resulting in better apparent precision when data is calibrated to Mica-Mg.

343 5.2.2. Accuracy comparisons

344 It has been observed previously that Rb-Sr dates are offset from their expected ages when 345 calibrated to the NIST-610 reference material (e.g. Gorojovsky and Alard, 2020; Wang et al., 346 2022). In contrast, Mica-Mg seems to better reproduce expected ages, although the significant 347 uncertainties obtained for natural materials in previous studies render appropriate accuracy testing 348 difficult. For example, Wang et al. (2022) compares measured to expected Rb-Sr dates for three 349 samples with known ages. The best achieved uncertainty in their experiment was $\sim 2.6\%$ for one 350 sample, while for the other samples the reported uncertainties are \sim 5.6 and 6.3 %. Similarly, the 351 accuracy comparisons in Gorojovsky and Alard (2020) use the Monastery phlogopite, with a 352 precision of ~4% when calibrated to Mica-Mg. Both papers report data normalized to NIST-610 353 but do not apply a secondary correction for matrix-dependent fractionation.

For the biotites analysed in our study, the fully propagated 95% confidence interval uncertainties ranges between 0.8 and 1.6% when calibrated to Mica-Mg and between 1.0% and 1.4% when calibrated to NIST-610 and corrected to MDC (depending on the sample and analytical session; Table 3), allowing for more detailed accuracy comparisons. Figure 4 illustrates that using NIST-610 and MDC as calibration reference materials produces the most accurate results, compared to the expected references dates. For the biotite results, the obtained Rb–Sr dates are within 0.5%





- 360 accuracy compared to the expected ages. The K-feldspar dates are accurate within 1%, except for 361 session 2, where accuracy is within 1.5%. When the same data is calibrated against Mica-Mg 362 (either using NIST-610 as the primary RM and Mica-Mg as secondary RM, or directly using Mica-363 Mg as the primary RM), the results are significantly offset from their expected ages. For the biotite 364 results calibrated to Mica-Mg, accuracy is within 2% for sessions 2 and 3 and there is 5% age off-365 set in session 1. For the K-felspars, the age offset is up to 2.5% in sessions 2 and 3 and 6% in 366 session 1. While the age offsets in sessions 2 and 3 might be regarded as 'acceptable', given the 367 obtained precision, the more significant inaccuracy in session 1 renders Mica-Mg to be less 368 desirable as a primary RM.
- 369 The difference in accuracy between session 1 and sessions 2 and 3 can be explained by the 370 difference in measured dates for the MDC and Mica-Mg reference materials, normalised to NIST-371 610. For sessions 2 and 3, MDC and Mica-Mg produced similar isochron dates (2.3 and 1.9% 372 difference respectively) (Table 3; Fig. 6). For session 1, however, MDC gives a significantly 373 different age (494 ± 4 Ma) compared to Mica-Mg (469 ± 4 Ma). These differences in accuracy (ca. 5 % in session 1 and ca. 2 % in sessions 2 and 3) are in line with the observed age off-sets between 374 375 the measured dates and reference dates for the biotite and K-feldspar samples, calibrated to Mica-376 Mg.
- 377

5.2.3. Long-term comparison between MDC and Mica-Mg as secondary calibration standards

Given that the accuracy of the Rb–Sr method appears to be significantly dependent on the applied calibration reference materials, and that the measured Rb–Sr dates of these calibration standards fluctuate significantly between analytical sessions when compared to NIST-610, the long-term





383 behaviour of the MDC and Mica-Mg reference materials needs to be evaluated. Figure 7 presents 384 2.5 years of measured Rb-Sr dates for MDC and Mica-Mg, both calibrated to NIST-610 as the 385 primary RM. All data in this plot have been processed identically. The Rb-Sr dates for Mica-Mg 386 are generally more consistent, ranging between ca. 462 and 479 Ma, with a standard deviation of 387 4.5 Ma, while the MDC dates show more variation, ranging between ca. 465 and 494 Ma, with a 388 standard deviation of 7.7 Ma. In all but two sessions, MDC produces an older Rb-Sr date compared 389 to Mica-Mg. The analytical sessions discussed above are highlighted in Figure F and encompass 390 the maximum variability in measured Rb-Sr dates for MDC. With the premise that calibration to 391 NIST-610 and MDC produces accurate Rb-Sr dates (as discussed in section 5.2.2), the difference 392 between the measured MDC and Mica-Mg dates (Fig. 6, 7) can be regarded as an estimate of the 393 degree of inaccuracy when data is calibrated to Mica-Mg. While some sessions reveal very little 394 off-set between both standards, using Mica-Mg as calibration standard can lead to up to 5% 395 inaccuracy in Rb–Sr dates. The cause of the observed variability is currently unknown, however, 396 in the second-to-last session with a significantly older Mica-Mg date compared to MDC, the 397 analysed samples might have received a lower effective laser fluence compared to other sessions 398 as the glass between the laser beam and samples was not cleaned prior to analysis. The lower 399 fluence could change the effective matrix bias between NIST-610, Mica-Mg and MDC, however, 400 calibration of biotite against MDC produces accurate results as demonstrated in section 5.2.2. In 401 contrast, although Mica-Mg produces more consistent Rb-Sr dates between analytical sessions, 402 these dates are unreliable given the variable and unsystematic degree of inaccuracy between 403 sessions.

404

405 **6.** Conclusions





406 Based on our observations, the use of Mica-Mg as calibration reference material is not

- 407 recommended, for the following reasons:
- 408 (1) The down-hole fractionation (DHF) trend for Mica-Mg is not comparable with the DHF
 409 trends of natural bitote, phlogopite and K-feldspar (Fig. 1). Using Mica-Mg to correct DHF
 410 would exacerbate instead of reduce DHF in those minerals;
- (2) Given the relatively poor reproducibility of ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratios and significant uncertainty on
 individual ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr measurements (Fig. 2), Mica-Mg as primary RM or secondary RM
 introduces excess uncertainty that can be avoided using a more consistent primary RM such as
- 414 NIST-610;
- 415 (3) We demonstrated that calibrating to Mica-Mg may lead to up to 5% inaccuracy in Rb–Sr

416 age (Fig. 4, 6, 7) and that the degree of inaccuracy is unsystematically session-dependant.

We suggest a different approach, involving (1) calibration of the ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios to a 417 418 primary reference material with high Rb and Sr concentrations and homogenous isotopic ratios 419 such as NIST-610 glass, including DHF correction of the Rb/Sr ratios, followed by (2) a correction 420 of the ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁷Sr ratio to a natural mineral secondary RM with a similar DHF trend as the samples 421 to be analysed. In our observations, there are no significant differences in matrix effects comparing 422 biotite, phlogopite and K-feldspar, suggesting that any of these natural minerals as secondary RM 423 can produce accurate dates for K-rich minerals. We have used MDC phlogopite as secondary RM 424 and demonstrate accurate Rb-Sr dates for a range of biotites and K-feldspars with well-established 425 age constraints. The fully propagated uncertainties for the analysed biotites are <1.5%, allowing 426 accuracy verifications at high analytical precision.





- 427 Finally, while this two-step calibration protocol is currently recommended due to current
- 428 constraints with data processing software, new developments involving calibrating to isochronous
- 429 reference materials might become the desired approach in the future.

430

431 Data availability

432 The Rb–Sr dataset used in this manuscript is freely available on figshare at 433 <u>https://doi.org/10.25909/23996484.</u>

- 434
- 435

436 Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by research grant FT210100906 and DP220103037 from the AustralianResearch Council (ARC).

439

440

441 Author contributions

SG: Conceptualization, investigation, writing – original draft, methodology, funding acquisition,
 visualisation, formal analysis

- 444 SEG: Conceptualization, investigation, writing review and editing, methodology
- 445 MH: Conceptualization, investigation, writing review and editing, resources
- 446 JCL: Conceptualization, investigation, writing review and editing, formal analysis

447

448 **Competing interests**

449 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

450

451 Ethical statement

- 452 This manuscript is an original work that is not submitted or published elsewhere.
- 453





454 Tables

		Laser		Rep.					F
	React. gas	wavel.	Fluence	rate	Spot	Rb-Sr	Sr-Sr	DHF	Err.
	(ml.min ⁻¹)	(nm)	(J.cm ⁻²)	(Hz)	(µm)	calibration	calibration		con.
Zack and						PI: NIST 610;			
Hogmalm	O ₂ (0.25)	213	7	10	80	Ksp: BCR-2G;	NIST 610	No	No
2016						Bt: La Posta			
Hogmalm et	O ₂ (0.25)		O ₂ : 7	10	80				
al. 2017	$N_2O(0.16)$	213	N ₂ O: 6-8	4-5	50	Mica-Mg	NIST 610	No	No
75.111	SF ₆ (0.04)		SF6: 6-8	10	50				
Tillberg et al.		212	0	0	-	BCR-2G			
2020	N ₂ O (?)	213	?	?	50	(Sec: Mica-	NIST 610	No	Yes
D 1 1						Mg/La Posta)			
Rosel and	N ₂ O (0.18-	212	57	10	50-	Mica-Mg	Mar Ma	N.	N.
Zack 2022	0.20)	213	5-7	10	60	(sec: NIS1 610)	Mica-Mg	No	No
Garajavalav	-	102				/ BCK-2G)	Miao Ma		
and Alard	$N_{2}O(0.25)$	and	78	5	85	Mica-Ma	NIST 610	No	No2
2020	1120 (0.23)	213	7.0	5	85	Ivited-Ivig	RHVO-2G	110	110:
Larson et al		215				Mica-Mg	DIIVO 20		
2023	$N_2O(0.37)$	193	4	10	50	(Sec: Mica-Fe)	NIST 610	Yes?	Yes
Laureijs et al.	CH ₃ F	212	6	10		ATHO-G, T1-		.	
2021	(10%)	213	6	10	50	G, StHs6/80-G	NIST 612	No	Yes
Li et al. 2020	$N_{2}O(0.25)$	102	2.5	5	74	Mica-Mg	Miaa Ma	No	No
	11/20 (0.33)	195	5.5	5	/4	Sec: MDC	witca-wig	INO	INO
Liebmann et						NIST-610 +			Yes
al. 2022	N ₂ O (?)	193	2.5	5	64	Mica-Mg	NIST-610	No	(est)
						Sec: CK001 bt			(050)
Olierook et		102	2.5	~	64-	NIST-610 +	NUCT (10	ЪТ	ЪТ
al. 2020	$N_2O(0.25)$	193	2.5	5	87	Mica-Mg	NIS1-610	No	No
Padaa at al						Sec: CK001 bt		mon	
2021	$N_{2}O(0.37)$	103	3 5	5	74	Mica-Mg	Mica-Ma	itore	No
2021	$N_{2}O(0.57)$	195	5.5	5	/4	Sec: MDC	witea-wig	d	INU
Sengun et al								u	
2019	N ₂ O (?)	213	5.7	10	50	Mica-Mg	NIST 610	No	No
Tilberg et al.						Mica-Mg /			
2021	N ₂ O (?)	213	?	?	50	NIST 610	NIST 610	No	Yes
Wang et al.							NIST 610,		
2022	$N_{2}O(0.25)$	103	7	5	85	Mice Ma	BHVO-	itoro	No
	$N_{2}O(0.23)$	195	/	3	85	witca-wig	2G,	d	
							BCR-2G	u	
Kirkland et	$N_{2}O(0.25)$	193	2	5	64	Mica-Mg	NIST 610	No	No
al. 2023	1120 (0.25)	175	2	5	7	Sec: CK001 bt	1151 010	110	110





- 455 Table 1: Summary of published analytical conditions and protocols for LA-ICP-MS/MS Rb–Sr
- 456 dating. Rep. rate = laser repetition rate; Sec = secondary reference material; Bt = biotite; ksp = K-
- 457 feldspar; Pl = plagioclase; Err. Corr. = error correlation calculated; est = estimated formula. In case
- 458 of method development work `best conditions` are quoted.





Analytical conditions	
Plasma Settings	
RF power	1350 W
Sample Depth	5.0 mm
Ar carrier gas	0.89 L/min
He carrier gas	0.38 L/min
N ₂ addition	4 mL/min
Lens Parameters	
Extract 1	1.5 V
Extract 2	-80 V
Omega Bias	-85 V
Omega Lens	5.0 V
Q1 entrance	-10 V
Q1 exit	-2.0 V
Cell focus	-2.0 V
Cell Entrance	-90 V
Cell Exit	-120 V
Deflect	10 V
Plate Bias	-80 V
Q1 bias	-2.0 V
Q1 Prefilter Bias	-10.0 V
Q1 Postfilter Bias	-10.0 V
N ₂ O gas flow	0.37 mL/min
Octopole bias	-6.0 V
Axial Acceleration	2.0 V
Octopole RF	180 V
Energy Discrimination	-7.0 V
Analysis Parameters	
Laser Wavelength	193 nm
Laser fluence	3.5 J/m2
Sample laser diameter	67 μm
Laser repetition rate	5 Hz
Background	30 s
Analysis time	40 s
Isotopes measured &	²³ Na (2), ²⁴ Mg (2), ²⁷ Al (2), ²⁹⁺¹⁶ Si
dwell times (ms)	$ \begin{array}{l} (2), \ ^{31+16}\mathrm{P} \ (2), \ ^{39}\mathrm{K} \ (2), \ ^{43+16}\mathrm{Ca} \ (2), \\ ^{55}\mathrm{Mn} \ (2), \ ^{56+16}\mathrm{Fe} \ (2), \ ^{85}\mathrm{Rb} \ (10), \\ ^{86+16}\mathrm{Sr} \ (50), \ ^{87+16}\mathrm{Sr} \ (50), \ ^{88+16}\mathrm{Sr} \ (50), \\ ^{89+16}\mathrm{Y} \ (5), \ ^{90+32}\mathrm{Zr} \ (5), \ ^{93+32}\mathrm{Nb} \ (5), \ all \end{array} $
460 461	$ ^{x+16}$ REE (5), $^{232+15}$ Th (5), $^{238+16}$ U (5)

462 **Table 2:** Analytical conditions for the three LA-ICP-MS/MS sessions in this paper.







 24

466





476







477 Figure Captions

478



Figure 1: 87 Rb/ 87 Sr downhole fractionation profiles for the analysed reference materials Mica-Mg (yellow line) and NIST-610 (black line), the biotite / phlogopite (green-blue lines) and K-felspar (red-pink lines) samples in analytical session 3, calculated in LADR (Norris and Danyushevsky, 2018). The DHF factor is calculated relative to the average ratio of the ablation signal (i.e. DHF factor of 1 = average 87 Rb/ 87 Sr ratio of the downhole signal).







Figure 2: Variability of the 87 Rb/ 87 Sr and 86 Sr/ 87 Sr ratios for the analysed reference materials NIST-610 and Mica-Mg over the total duration of analytical session 3. All plots are scaled equally to \pm 5% variation of the mean to aid visual comparisons. The vertical bars are \pm 1 standard deviation. The gray envelopes models \pm 2 standard deviation (note that for NIST-610 each standard was measured twice at each standard bracket).







493

494 Figure 3: Pooled multi-mineral Rb-Sr isochron dates for the Entire Creek, Taratap and the two 495 Bundarra samples (Bund 1b and Bund 6a). The data was calibrated against NIST-610 as primary 496 RM and MDC as secondary RM (see text for details). The colour-code refers to the analytical 497 session in which the data was obtained. Biotite analyses plot towards the radiogenic lower-498 intercept of the inverse isochrons, while feldspar and apatite anchor Rb/Sr ratios plot towards the 499 low-radiogenic end of the isochron regression. All plots were calculated in IsoplotR (Vermeesch, 500 2018), reporting 95% confidence interval uncertainties (including the uncertainty on the decay-501 constant) with and without propagated uncertainty from the MDC secondary RM. Expected ages





- 502 are the recalculated Rb–Sr age from Mortimer et al. (1987) with the Villa et al. (2015) decay
- 503 constant for the Entire Creek sample; the zircon U-Pb ID-TIMS age reported in Glorie et al. (2023)
- 504 for the Taratap sample, and the Zircon SHRIMP U-Pb age from Black (2007) for the Bundarra
- 505 samples (see text for further details).



507









- 508 Figure 4: Comparisons of Rb–Sr dates over three analytical sessions, calibrated to either MDC or
- 509 Mica-Mg as secondary RM, with respect to the expected ages for each sample (black line with
- 510 gray 2SE uncertainty bars). In all cases, NIST-610 was used as primary RM. Biotite data are
- 511 plotted as open circles (blue = calibrated to MDC as secondary RM, orange = calibrated to Mica-
- 512 Mg as secondary RM). K-feldspar data are plotted as filled squares (green = calibrated to MDC as
- 513 secondary RM, red = calibrated to Mica-Mg as secondary RM).







Figure 5: Comparisons of isochron dates obtained using the 4 different calibration protocols using the session 1 biotite Rb–Sr data from the Entire Creek sample and K-feldspar Rb–Sr data from the Taratap sample. Data plotted in red = NIST-610 as primary RM without correction for matrixinduced fractionation. Data plotted in green = NIST-610 as primary RM with Mica-Mg as secondary RM. Data plotted in yellow = NIST-610 as primary RM with MDC as secondary RM. Data plotted in purple = NIST-610 as primary RM for Sr/Sr ratios and Mica-Mg as primary RM





- for Rb/Sr ratios. Data plotted in blue = both Rb/Sr and Sr/Sr ratios calibrated to Mica-Mg as primary RM. The biotite data are highly radiogenic and show significant age differences depending on the used secondary RM. The K-feldspar data are low radiogenic, resulting in larger and overlapping uncertainties (refer to Figure 3 for full isochron plots). Using NIST-610 as primary RM produces the smallest uncertainties on individual data-points.
- 527





Figure 6: Rb–Sr dates for MDC and Mica-Mg calibrated to NIST-610 over the three analytical sessions used in this paper. The off-set of the Rb–Sr age with respect to the reference age is used to calculate the correction factor on the Rb/Sr ratios.







Figure 7: Long-term (2.5 years) Rb–Sr age data for Mica-Mg and MDC for the lab (Adelaide Microscopy). The top plot shows absolute dates and the bottom plot shows the percentage difference between the MDC and Mica-Mg dates. All data were processed in the same way using NIST-610 as primary RM. The three analytical sessions previously discussed are highlighted by black rims and capture the most extreme differences obtained in our lab to date. Given that MDC as secondary RM produces consistently accurate data, the plot indicates that Mica-Mg as primary RM can lead to up to 5% inaccuracy in Rb–Sr age calculations.





541

542 References

543	Balcaen, L., Bolea-Fernandez, E., Resano, M., Vanhaecke, F., 2015. Inductively coupled plasma – Tandem
544	mass spectrometry (ICP-MS/MS): A powerful and universal tool for the interference-free
545	determination of (ultra)trace elements – A tutorial review. Analytica Chimica Acta, 894: 7-19.
546	Black, L., 2007. SHRIMP U–Pb zircon ages obtained during 2006/07 for NSW Geological Survey projects.
547	Burtt, A.C., Abbot, P.J., 1998. The Taratap Granodioritite, South-East South Australia. MESA Journal, 10:
548	35-39.
549	Flood, R.H., Shaw, S.E., 1975. A cordierite-bearing granite suite from the New England Batholith, N.S.W.,
550	Australia. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 52(3): 157-164.
551	Flood, R.H., Shaw, S.E., 1977. Two "S-type" granite suites with low initial 87Sr/86Sr ratios from the New
552	England Batholith, Australia. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 61(2): 163-173.
553	Glorie, S. et al., 2023. Robust laser ablation Lu-Hf dating of apatite: an empirical evaluation. Geological
554	Society of London Special Publication, In press.
555	Gorojovsky, L., Alard, O., 2020. Optimisation of laser and mass spectrometer parameters for thein
556	situanalysis of Rb/Sr ratios by LA-ICP-MS/MS. JOURNAL OF ANALYTICAL ATOMIC SPECTROMETRY,
557	35(10): 2322-2336.
558	Hogmalm, K.J., Zack, T., Karlsson, A.K.O., Sjoqvist, A.S.L., Garbe-Schonberg, D., 2017. In situ Rb-Sr and K-
559	Ca dating by LA-ICP-MS/MS: an evaluation of N2O and SF6 as reaction gases. Journal of Analytical
560	Atomic Spectrometry, 32(2): 305-313.
561	Jackson, S.E., Günther, D., 2003. The nature and sources of laser induced isotopic fractionation in laser
562	ablation-multicollector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry. Journal of Analytical
563	Atomic Spectrometry, 18(3): 205-212.
564	Jegal, Y. et al., 2022. Characterisation of Reference Materials for In Situ Rb-Sr Dating by LA-ICP-MS/MS.
565	Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research, 46(4): 645-671.
566	Jeon, H., Williams, I.S., Chappell, B.W., 2012. Magma to mud to magma: Rapid crustal recycling by Permian
567	granite magmatism near the eastern Gondwana margin. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 319-
568	320: 104-117.
569	Kirkland, C.L. et al., 2023. Dating mylonitic overprinting of ancient rocks. Communications Earth &
570	Environment, 4(1): 47.
571	Košler, J. et al., 2005. Chemical and phase composition of particles produced by laser ablation of silicate
572	glass and zircon-implications for elemental fractionation during ICP-MS analysis. Journal of
573	Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 20(5): 402-409.
574	Larson, K.P., Button, M., Shrestha, S., Camacho, A., 2023. A comparison of 87Rb/87Sr and 40Ar/39Ar
575	dates: Evaluating the problem of excess 40Ar in Himalayan mica. Earth and Planetary Science
576	Letters, 609: 118058.
577	Laureijs, C.T., Coogan, L.A., Spence, J., 2021. In-situ Rb-Sr dating of celadonite from altered upper oceanic
578	crust using laser ablation ICP-MS/MS. Chemical Geology, 579.
579	Li, SS. et al., 2020. Coupled U-Pb and Rb-Sr laser ablation geochronology trace Archean to Proterozoic
580	crustal evolution in the Dharwar Craton, India. Precambrian Research, 343: 105709.
581	Li, Y., Vermeesch, P., 2021. Short communication: Inverse isochron regression for Re–Os, K–Ca and other
582	chronometers. Geochronology, 3(2): 415-420.
583	Liebmann, J., Kirkland, C.L., Kelsey, D.E., Korhonen, F.J., Rankenburg, K., 2022. Lithological fabric as a proxy
584	for Rb-Sr isotopic complexity. Chemical Geology, 608.



585



586 coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 355(5): 538-542. 587 Moens, L.J., Vanhaecke, F.F., Bandura, D.R., Baranov, V.I., Tanner, S.D., 2001. Elimination of isobaric 588 interferences in ICP-MS, using ion-molecule reaction chemistry: Rb/Sr age determination of 589 magmatic rocks, a case study. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 16(9): 991-994. 590 Mortimer, G.E., Cooper, J.A., James, P.R., 1987. U Pb and Rb Sr geochronology and geological 591 evolution of the Harts Range ruby mine area of the Arunta Inlier, central Australia. Lithos, 20(6): 592 445-467. 593 Norris, A., Danyushevsky, L., 2018. Towards Estimating the Complete Uncertainty Budget of Quantified 594 Results Measured By LA-ICP-MS. Goldschmidt, Boston, USA (2018). 595 Olierook, H.K.H. et al., 2020. Resolving multiple geological events using in situ Rb-Sr geochronology: 596 implications for metallogenesis at Tropicana, Western Australia. Geochronology, 2(2): 283-303. 597 Phillips, G., Landenberger, B., Belousova, E.A., 2011. Building the New England Batholith, eastern 598 Australia—Linking granite petrogenesis with geodynamic setting using Hf isotopes in zircon. 599 Lithos, 122(1): 1-12. 600 Redaa, A. et al., 2023. Testing Nano-Powder and Fused-Glass Mineral Reference Materials for In Situ Rb-601 Sr Dating of Glauconite, Phlogopite, Biotite and Feldspar via LA-ICP-MS/MS. Geostandards and 602 Geoanalytical Research, 47(1): 23-48. 603 Redaa, A. et al., 2021. Assessment of elemental fractionation and matrix effects during in situ Rb-Sr dating of phlogopite by LA-ICP-MS/MS: implications for the accuracy and precision of mineral ages. 604 605 Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 36(2): 322-344. 606 Roberts, N.M.W. et al., 2017. A calcite reference material for LA-ICP-MS U-Pb geochronology. 607 Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 18(7): 2807-2814. 608 Rosel, D., Zack, T., 2022. LA-ICP-MS/MS Single-Spot Rb-Sr Dating. Geostandards and Geoanalytical 609 Research, 46(2): 143-168. 610 Rosenbaum, G., Li, P., Rubatto, D., 2012. The contorted New England Orogen (eastern Australia): New 611 evidence from U-Pb geochronology of early Permian granitoids. Tectonics, 31(1). 612 Sengun, F., Erlandsson, V.B., Hogmalm, J., Zack, T., 2019. In situ Rb-Sr dating of K-bearing minerals from 613 the orogenic Akcaabat gold deposit in the Menderes Massif, Western Anatolia, Turkey. JOURNAL 614 OF ASIAN EARTH SCIENCES, 185. 615 Shaw, S.E., Flood, R.H., 1981. The New England Batholith, eastern Australia: Geochemical variations in 616 time and space. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 86(B11): 10530-10544. 617 Simpson, A. et al., 2022. In situ Lu–Hf geochronology of calcite. Geochronology, 4(1): 353-372. 618 Tillberg, M. et al., 2021. Reconstructing craton-scale tectonic events via in situ Rb-Sr geochronology of 619 poly-phased vein mineralization. Terra Nova, 33(5): 502-510. 620 Tillberg, M. et al., 2020. In situ Rb-Sr dating of slickenfibres in deep crystalline basement faults. Scientific 621 Reports, 10(1). 622 Vermeesch, P., 2018. IsoplotR: A free and open toolbox for geochronology. Geoscience Frontiers, 9(5): 623 1479-1493. 624 Villa, I.M., De Bièvre, P., Holden, N.E., Renne, P.R., 2015. IUPAC-IUGS recommendation on the half life of 625 87Rb. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 164: 382-385. 626 Wang, C.Y. et al., 2022. Advances in in-situ Rb-Sr dating using LA-ICP-MS/MS: applications to igneous rocks 627 of all ages and to the identification of unrecognized metamorphic events. Chemical Geology, 610. 628 Woodhead, J.D., Hergt, J.M., 2001. Strontium, Neodymium and Lead Isotope Analyses of NIST Glass 629 Certified Reference Materials: SRM 610, 612, 614. Geostandards Newsletter, 25(2-3): 261-266. 630 Zack, T., Hogmalm, K.J., 2016. Laser ablation Rb/Sr dating by online chemical separation of Rb and Sr in an 631 oxygen-filled reaction cell. Chemical Geology, 437: 120-133.

Longerich, H.P., Günther, D., Jackson, S.E., 1996. Elemental fractionation in laser ablation inductively