https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Influence of covariance of aerosol and meteorology on co-located precipitating and non-precipitating clouds over Indo-Gangetic Plains

Nabia Gulistan[1], Khan Alam[1], Yangang Liu[2]

[1]Department of Physics, University of Peshawar, Peshawar, 25120, Pakistan
[2]Environmental & Climate Science Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, USA

Correspondence: Khan Aalam (khanalam@uop.edu.pk)

ABSTRACT

Aerosol-cloud-precipitation-interaction (ACPI) plays a pivotal role in the global and regional water cycle and the earth's energy budget; however, it remains highly uncertain due to the underlying different physical mechanisms. Therefore, this study aims to systematically analyze the effects of aerosols and meteorological factors on ACPI in the co-located precipitating (PCs) and non-precipitating clouds (NPCs) clouds in winter and summer seasons by employing the long-term (2001-2021) retrievals from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM), and National Center for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis-II datasets over the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP). The results exhibit a decadal increase in aerosol optical depth (AOD) over Lahore (5.2%), Delhi (9%), Kanpur (10.7%) and Gandhi College (22.7%) and decrease over Karachi (-1.9%) and Jaipur (-0.5%). The most stable meteorology with high values of lower tropospheric stability (LTS) is found in both seasons over Karachi. In summer season the occurrence frequency of clouds is high (74%) over Gandhi College, 60% of which are PCs. Conversely, the least number of PCs are found over Karachi. Similarly, in winter season, the frequency of cloud occurrence is low over Karachi and high over Lahore and Gandhi College. The analysis of cloud top pressure (CTP) and cloud optical thickness (COT) indicate high values of cloud fraction (CF) for thick and high-level clouds over all study areas except Karachi. The micro-physical properties such as cloud effective radius (CER) and cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) bears high values (CER $> \sim 15$ µm and CDNC $> \sim 50$ cm^{-3}) for both NPCs and PCs in summer. The AOD-CER correlation is good (weak) for PCs (NPCs) in winter. Similarly, the sensitivity value of the first indirect effect (FIE) is high (ranged from 0.2 ± 0.13 to 0.3 ± 0.01 in winter, and from 0.19 ± 0.03 to 0.32 ± 0.05 in summer) for PCs and low for NPCs. Sensitivity value for second indirect effect (SIE) is

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

relatively high (such as 0.6 ± 0.14 in winters and 0.4 ± 0.04 in summer) than FIE. Sensitivity values of the aerosol-cloud interaction (ACI) are low (i.e., -0.06 ± 0.09) for PCs in summers. Furthermore, the precipitation rate (PR) exhibits high values in summer season, and PR values are found high in comparatively thin clouds with fewer CDNC (< ~ 50 cm^{-3}) and intermediate for optically thick clouds with higher CDNC (> ~ 50 cm^{-3}).

Keywords: Aerosol-cloud-precipitation-interaction, Aerosol optical depth, cloud effective radius, cloud droplet number concentration, lower tropospheric stability, relative humidity, first indirect effect, second indirect effect, precipitation sensitivity.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

1. Introduction

The aerosol-cloud-precipitation-interaction (ACPI) and aerosol-radiation-interaction (ARI) significantly influence climates at the regional and global scales. Assessing the direct and indirect effects of aerosols is crucial to understand and predict energy budget and the water cycle. In the direct effect, the absorption and scattering of solar radiation by aerosols lead to the warming of the atmosphere and cooling of the earth's surface (Zhou et al., 2020), causing changes in the lower tropospheric stability (LTS) that further leads to modulation of precipitating (PCs) and non-precipitation clouds (NPCs) (Andreae & Rosenfeld, 2008). In the indirect effect, the water-soluble aerosols such as soil dust, sulfates, nitrates, and other organic aerosols ejected naturally and anthropogenically serve as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and affect the aerosol-cloud-interaction (ACI) by influencing the growth of cloud droplet and cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) (Twomey et al., 1977; Albrecht, 1989; Jiang et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2012). The increase of CDNC and decrease of cloud droplet effective radius (CER) inhibit the onset of precipitation and increase the cloud lifetime (Albrecht, 1989). Conversely, the decrease in CDNC and increase in CER increases the probability of precipitation rate (PR).

In the last few decades, most of the cultivable land of the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) has been replaced by urban developments. Due to the fastest growth of population, urbanization, industrialization, and massive combustion of biomass and fossil fuels in residential homes and factories, a decadal increase in aerosols is observed over IGP. The high aerosol loading may affect the formation of tropospheric clouds and seasonal precipitation patterns (Kaskaoutis et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2021) and makes IGP suitable for the study of ACPI. Besides, frequent variations in cloud fraction (CF), extreme precipitation and drought abrupt temperature changes (e.g., heat waves), and irregular unseasonal rains may cause major and unavoidable hazards at local and regional levels in the future.

In the last two decades, the scientific community has focused on quantification of ACI using both observations (Feingold et al., 2003; Costantino et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2018, 2020; Anwar et al., 2022) and modeling techniques (Chen et al., 2016, 2018; Wang et al. 2020; Zhou et al., 2020; Sharma et al., 2023). Although, a similar recent study (Anwar et al., 2022) attempted to understand the sensitivities of ACI and the first indirect effect of different subsets of AOD to the different conditions of RH and wind directions and found Twomey effect over the monsoon regions and

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

anti-Twomey effect over the weak and moderately intensive monsoon regions. However, the above study excluded the other significant meteorological parameters such as LTS, PR, and T_{850} and was also limited to the monsoon regions of Pakistan only. Further, in the context of warm rain processes, it is generally understood that the high concentration of aerosols capable of serving as CCN leads to enhanced CDNC known as the FIE or Twomey effect (McCoy et al., 2018). It is also widely acknowledged that CDNC plays a pivotal role in cloud microphysics and significantly influences the onset of precipitation and retention of water in clouds called the second indirect effect (SIE) (Gryspeerdt et al., 2016; Naud et al., 2017). Whilst, in the above study, the analysis of CDNC is also not addressed. Therefore, the present study aims to deepen the previous study (Anwar et al., 2022), by a long-term and detailed analysis of the ACPI including aerosol-indirect effects for low-level clouds extended over the whole IGP for understanding different mechanisms of cloud and precipitation formation.

This study is focused on estimating the variations in sensitivities of aerosol-cloud relationship to the variations in aerosol loading at specified meteorological conditions for low-level PCs and NPCs in the summer and winter seasons over the IGP. This study is unique in using a large number of samples, classification of clouds in PCs and NPCs, further classification of clouds in low, mid, and high-level clouds through joint COT-CTP histograms, quantification of the sensitivities of FIE, SIE, total indirect effect (TIE), and ACI to CDNC. The significant meteorological parameters considered include temperature at 850 hPa, LTS, relative humidity (RH%) at 850 hPa, vertical velocity (Ω), and PR. Furthermore, by utilizing the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) data, the correlation of cloud microphysical properties (CER and CDNC) and AOD at specified values of LTS and cloud liquid water path (CLWP) is examined, and precipitation sensitivity at constant macro-physical condition is estimated.

2. Study area and methodology

2.1. Study area

The selected study area (Fig. 1) comprises the upper and middle portions of the IGP. The upper part consists of the densely populated and developed regions of the eastern part of Pakistan i.e., Karachi (24.87ºN, 67.03ºE) and Lahore (31.54ºN, 74.32ºE) whereas the middle part comprises the

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

northern part of India i.e., Delhi (28.59ºN, 77.22ºE), Kanpur (26.51ºN, 80.23ºE), Jaipur (26.91ºN, 75.81ºE) and Gandhi College (25.87ºN, 84.13ºE).



Fig. 1. Geographical map of the study area. The blue points show the sites used in this study.

2.2. Methodology

2.2.1. MODIS, NCEP/NCAR reanalysis-II and TRMM data

Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) is a major constituent of NASA's Earth Observing System (EOS). MODIS is orbiting with two onboard satellites, Terra and Aqua, launched in 1999 and 2002 respectively, with a range of 2330 km spanning the entire globe in a day. It provides data and information with a spatial resolution of x to study atmospheric processes and physical structure (Kedia et al., 2014; Srivastava et al., 2015). This study uses the daily mean of combined dark target and deep blue AOD at 0.55 µm, cloud top pressure (CTP), cloud top temperature (CTT), CF, CER, COT, CDNC, and CLWP from level 3 aerosol-cloud data product MOD08. Data with AOD > 1.5 are excluded to avoid potential misidentification of aerosols as

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

clouds. The following adiabatic approximation (Brenguier et al., 2000; Wood, 2006; Kubar et al., 2009; Michibata et al., 2014) is used to calculate CDNC (cm^{-3}):

$$\mathrm{CDNC} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{CER}}\right)^{3} * \sqrt{(2 * \mathrm{CLWP} * \gamma_{\mathrm{eff}})} \quad (1)$$

Where $B = \sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{3}{4}\pi\rho_{water}\right)}$ =0.0620, ρ_{water} is the liquid water density, γ_{eff} is the adiabatic gradient of liquid water content in the moist air column (Wood, 2006). Value of γ_{eff} range from 1 to 2.5 × 10^{-3} at a temperature of 32 K to 104 K (Brenguier, 1991; Zhu et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2020). The CLWP is estimated by use of

$$CLWP = \frac{5\rho_w(CER)(COT)_w}{9}, \quad (2)$$

Where, ρ_w is the water density at room temperature (Koike et al., 2016).

National Center for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis datasets provide global reanalysis data sets that combine satellite observations with the simulation of models through data assimilation (Purdy et al., 2016). Daily data for meteorological parameters including temperature, RH%, and Ω at 850 hPa are retrieved at a spatial resolution of T62 Gaussian grid (1.915° × 1.875°) from NCEP reanalysis-II datasets, and used to calculate lower tropospheric stability (LTS) defined as (Li et al., 2017):

$$\mathrm{LTS} = \theta_{700} - \theta_{0,}$$

where θ is the potential temperature and the subscripts denote the pressure levels of 700 hPa and1000 hPa.

The Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) is the first Joint satellite mission between NASA America and National Space Development Agency (NASDA) Japan, utilizing the visible infrared and microwaves to measure the rain precipitation over tropical and subtropical regions. The main TRMM instruments that are used to measure rain precipitation are PR and TMI. Where PR is operating at a frequency of 13.8 GHz and TMI is a passive microwave radiometer consisting of nine channels. A calibrated data set TRMM-2B31 of TRMM Combined Instrument (TCI) for

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

TRMM Multi-Satellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA) is formed from an algorithm that uses TMI and PR. The product TMPA 3B42 gives the rain precipitation averages on a daily and sub-daily basis. In the current study, the data product TMPA or TRMM 3B42 is used for the retrieval of PR on daily basis. The spatial resolution of TRMM 3B42 is 0.25° × 0.25° and is available from the year 1998 to till date.

2.2.2. Methodology

The present study is designed to analyze and quantify the ACPI for PCs and NPCs in winter and summer under a variety of meteorological conditions. The data are first segregated into two subsets for the summer and winter seasons. Based on precipitation data from TRMM, the subsets are further divided into precipitating and non-precipitating clouds.

The sensitivities of cloud parameters to CDNC are analyzed through the following formulation considered from previous studies (Zhou et al., 2020):

$$\frac{dln(COT)}{dln(CDNC)} = -\frac{dln(CER)}{dln(CDNC)} + \frac{dln(CLWP)}{dln(CDNC)} \quad (3)$$

In this study, the term on the left side of equation (3) is defined as total indirect aerosol effect (TIE), and the first and second terms on the right side of the equation are defined as the first indirect aerosol effect (FIE), *and second indirect effect* (*SIE*), *respectively*. Similarly, the sensitivity of CDNC to AOD is evaluated by employing the index of ACI:

$$\mathrm{ACI_{CDNC}} = \frac{dln(CDNC)}{dln(AOD)} \quad (4)$$

The sensitivity of PR to CDNC is calculated from the following equation (Jung et al., 2012) :

$$\mathrm{S_0} = \left(-\frac{\partial ln(PR)}{\partial ln\,(CDNC)}\right)_{COT} \quad (5)$$

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Regional and seasonal distribution of AOD

AOD is a commonly used proxy for aerosol concentration in the atmosphere and is analyzed here (Fig. 2-3). Fig. 2 shows a meaningful decadal variation in time average maps for combined dark target and deep blue AOD retrieved at 0.55 µm over the entire study area for the years (2001-2010) and (2011-2021). Also, Table 1 illustrates the percentage change in decadal averaged values of AOD. The results indicate that AOD exhibits a decrease over Karachi (-1.9%) and Jaipur (-0.5%). Whilst an increase in AOD is observed over Lahore (5.2%), Delhi (9%), Kanpur (10.7%) and Gandhi College (22.7%).

Fig.3(a-b) shows the probability density function (PDF) for AOD, illustrating different distributions in summer and winter season. Fig.3a shows that the distribution of AOD over Lahore, Delhi, Kanpur, Jaipur, and Gandhi College is similar. However, a shift in peak value of PDF towards high values of AOD over Lahore and low values over Jaipur illustrate comparatively high and low aerosol concentration in summer season over Lahore and Jaipur respectively. The loading of high concentration of aerosols is owing to the high-density of population, industrialization, and human activities. The major sources of aerosols in all months of the year include vehicular emission originated from old transport facilities, emission of smoke and soot during consumption of biomass for cooking, heavy industrial emission, and aerosols produced in seasonal harvesting and crop-reside burning. All these sources produce organic aerosols which are characterized as hydrophilic particles and have the potential to act as CCN. Likewise, the soil dust particles also act as good CCN due to their hydroscopic nature. Moreover, the meteorological conditions also play a substantial role to enhance AOD values such as the uplifting of loose soil dust and swelling of aerosols due to holding the water vapors (wv) for long time (Masmoudi et al., 2003; Alam et al., 2010, 2011). Also, the lower but flat PDF curve demonstrates low values of AOD over Karachi.

As compared to summer season, the pattern of PDF in winter is significantly different as shown in Fig. 3b. The highest peak of PDF for the lowest value of AOD over Karachi illustrates pristine atmosphere. Similarly, the PDF peaks for Lahore, Delhi and Jaipur show comparatively high AOD over Delhi. Likewise, the distribution over Kanpur and Gandhi College is similar illustrating similar values of AOD. The reason for reduced values of AOD in winter season is the wet

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

scavenging and suppressed emission of aerosols from earth surface (Alam et al., 2010; Zeb et al., 2019).

Table 1. Decadal percentage variations in average values of AOD over all study areas.

	Karachi	Lahore	Delhi	Kanpur	Jaipur	Gandhi College
Total number of counts	5902	6171	5823	5201	5907	5125
Decadal change in AOD	-1.9%	5.2%	9%	10.7%	-0.5%	22.7%

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023



Fig. 2. Decadal increase (year: 2001-2010 and 2011-2021) in AOD over study sites.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023



Fig.3. Probability density function (PDF) of AOD over study sites is shown (a) and (b) for summer and winter seasons respectively.

3.2. Climatology of meteorological parameters

The variations in meteorological parameters have an unavoidable impact on (estimation of) ACPI. The parameters considered in this study include the temperature, LTS to determine the lower atmospheric stability and the potential for vertical convection that influence the cloud and precipitation formation, the RH% to estimate the level of wv and the Ω to assess the suitable atmospheric dynamics. Fig.4 shows the variations in LTS values for NPCs and PCs in winter and summer season. In winter season, the LTS values are high for NPCs and comparatively lower for PCs over entire study areas. In summer season, the scenario is completely reversed with high values for PCs but low values for NPCs, suggesting stable tropospheric layer on rainy days. This stabilization may be attributed to the cold pools generated by the evaporation of falling rain droplets (Wu et al., 2017). Evidently, the lower LTS values for NPCs in summer season suggests the likelihood of stronger instability that causes high potential of vertical motion and development of thunderstorm. However, Karachi exhibits a distinct pattern of LTS with the highest values in each case, which indicates the existence of the most stable tropospheric layer in Karachi due likely to moist and cold sea breeze due to the city's coastal location.

The remaining meteorological parameters considered in this study are listed in Table 2. The high values in each case are indicated in bold and low values are italicized. The results show that in winter season the temperature at 850 hPa (T_{850}) is relatively high for NPCs ranging from 281±2 to

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

286±2 K. The increase in RH% for PCs ranged from 33-57%. Also, the $\Omega > 0$ for NPCs and < 0 for PCs in winter season.

In summer season, it is observed that T_{850} is comparatively higher than that for the winter clouds and ranged from 298±0.4 to 300±0.7 K and 296±0.5 to 298.3±0.6 K for NPCs and PCs respectively. The high values of T_{850} are due to intense solar fluxes in summer season that keep the temperature of the earth's surface and adjacent atmospheric layer higher. Also, the increase in RH% ranged between 25-45 %. The reason for the high values of wv and RH is mainly the suitable thermodynamical conditions. Besides, during the last two decades, the wv and fog over the Arabian Sea were increased (Verma et al., 2022). Therefore, the high values of wv and RH in summer months is due to the high-speed zonal winds that blew in the summer season and transport water vapors and sea salt from the surface of the Arabian Sea and hydrophilic aerosols such as soil dust from deserts of Iran, Pakistan, and India to IGP. Where $\Omega < 0$ for PCs over all study areas except Karachi.

The distinct variations in meteorological parameters reveal the occurrence of clouds with diverse properties. The detailed analysis of such clouds is given in the next subsections.



Fig. 4. Variations in lower tropospheric stability (LTS) over all study sites for PCs and NPCs in winter and summer seasons, the error bars show the standard deviation (SD) values.

Table 2. Meteorological parameters for PCs(NPCs) in summer and winter seasons. Maximum values are for both types of clouds shown in bold and minimum values are indicated as italic.

	Winter Season			Summer Season		
	T_{850} (K)	RH%	Ω (m/s)	T_{850} (K)	RH%	Ω (m/s)
Karachi	*278±18* (**286±2**)	70±13.9 (38.3±9)	*-0.04±0.04* (*0.030.02*)	*296±0.5* (299±0.84)	68.4±6.7 (45.4±6.2)	*0.02±0.04 (-5E-05±0.02)*
Lahore	280.5±1 (*281±2*)	*60±5* (36.9±4.4)	*-0.04±0.04*(**0.07±0.02**)	**298.3±0.6**(**300±0.7**)	*63.6±5.2* (*35±4.4*)	*-0.02*±0.02 (*0.03*±0.02)
Delhi	282.5±0.9 (283±0.9)	60.3±9 (35.2±5)	-0.12±0.04(0.04±0.03)	297.4±0.6(299.3±0.8)	64.5±3 (42.5±5.5)	*-0.05*±0.03 (*0.003*±0.02)
Kanpur	284.3±0.5 (284±0.8)	64.1±4 (37±5)	**-0.15±0.03**(0.04±0.04)	297±0.6(298.4±0.7)	70.4±5 (46.5±6)	*-0.12*±0.03 (***-0.07*±0.03**)
Jaipur	**284.4±1.3** (284±1)	67.5±6 (**40.4±7**)	-0.08±0.02(0.04±0.02)	296.7±0.8(299±0.9)	64.9±3 (50.6±4.2)	*-0.03*±0.02 (*-0.03*±0.01)
Gandhi College	283.3±0.5 (284.3±0.7)	**72±5** (*31.6±5*)	-0.12±0.05(0.05±0.03)	297±0.4(*298±0.4*)	**71.6±3** (**54±4**)	***-0.16*±0.03** (*-0.01*±0.03)

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

3.3. Regional and seasonal distribution of clouds and precipitations

3.3.1. Regional and seasonal differences in clouds occurrence and its microphysical structure

Fig.5 shows the frequency of occurrence of precipitable clouds and total number of cloudy days. To avoid any overestimation, the data are filtered to include COT and CF > 5. The results show that in the winter season the frequency of clouds is low over Karachi and high over Lahore and Gandhi College. The results suggest the high number of PCs only over Lahore. In summer season, the high number i.e., 74 % of the total data counts over Gandhi College are identified as cloudy days, 60 % of which are PCs. Similarly, most of the clouds over Lahore, Delhi and Jaipur are PCs. Conversely, the least number of PCs (6 %) are found over Karachi.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023



Fig. 5. Frequency of occurrence of total cloudy days (including PCs and NPCs) and only PCs is shown for both winter and summer seasons.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Table 3 shows the criteria adopted from previous papers (Rossow & Schiffer, 1999; Wyant et al., 2006; Sharma et al., 2023) for further classification of NPCs and PCs into different type of clouds. The aim of identifying the cloud types is to assess the cloud regimes and their vertical structure for a better understanding of ACPI. In accordance with table 3, Fig. 6 shows joint histograms of COT-CTP displaying the mean CF values for nine different types of clouds. For a quick visual comparison, the cloud types are ordered from low to high level clouds. Also, for each histogram, the bins of COT and CTP are located on x- and y-axis respectively. While the CF of each bin is represented with the colored bar with its value mentioned in the histograms as shown in Fig. 6.

The results exhibit noticeable differences in the pattern of cloud regimes over all study areas. The diverse CF values are observed in winter and summer seasons for NPCs and PCs over Karachi. In winter season, only stratus NPCs ($23 < COT < 60$, $CTP > 680$ hPa) are dominant ($CF \geq 0.7$). While, in summers, meaningful CF values for thick clouds such as stratus, nimbo-stratus and deep convective NPCs ($0 < COT < 60$, $180 < CTP < 440$ hPa) are observed. Similarly, in winter season the type of PCs includes thick nimbo- and alto-stratus and deep convective clouds. While, in summers only high-level thin cirrus clouds have high CF values. The relatively reduced value of CF for thick NPCs in winters and PCs in summers is attributed to the low values of AOD and high values of LTS. The results depicted slight differences and similarities in CF values for thick and thin NPCs respectively in winter season for all areas except Karachi. Besides, the high-level PCs are identified in one bin of CTP ($180 < CTP < 440$ hPa) over all study areas. The formation of these similar types of PCs in winters are associated with the similarities in Ω, LTS values and aerosols concentration.

Also, in summer season, the matrices of PCs and NPCs exhibit a wide range of cloud types. However, the CF values are comparatively high for PCs. The results suggest less significant values for the low-lying thick NPCs over Lahore. Moreover, the results illustrate a more frequent occurrence of all the three types of thick NPCs in one bin of COT ($23 < COT < 60$) and all the three types of high-level NPCs for CTP ($180 < CTP < 440$ hPa) over Delhi, Kanpur, Jaipur and Gandhi College. Therefore, these are considered the cloudiest regimes. Besides, contrasting regional variations are also observed in PCs. The largest CF values for all types of PCs are observed over Kanpur and Gandhi College. Similarly, relatively good values of CF in a bin of COT ($23 < COT < 60$) and a bin of CTP ($180 < CTP < 440$ hPa) over Lahore, Delhi, and Jaipur depict the frequent occurrence of thick and high-level PCs respectively. In addition,

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

among all the estimated low-level PCs, only stratus clouds exhibit good CF values over all areas except Karachi. The formation of thick and high-level clouds can be attributed to the enhanced convection process due to the atmospheric instability.

Table 3. Classification of clouds based on CTP – COT joint histograms.

	COT		
CTP (hPa)	0-3.6	3.6-23	23 to >60
440 to <180	Cirrus	Cirr-Stratus	Deep convection
680-440	Alto-Cumulus	Alto-Stratus	Nimbo-Stratus
>800 to 680	Cumulus	Strato-Cumulus	Stratus

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Fig. 6. Types of NPCs and PCs in winter and summer season

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

After estimating the cloud types, Fig. 7 shows the probability distribution function (PDF) of cloud microphysical properties for the identification of differences in microstructure of NPCs and PCs in summer and winter seasons. From the results it is depicted an approximately similar pattern for the CER of NPCs in winter. However. the clouds have high peaks of PDF for lower values of CDNC over Karachi. The low number of CDNC results in thin NPCs as shown in Fig.6. Similarly, Fig. 7(c and g) shows the microstructure of NPCs in summer. The results indicate that as compared to CER values in winter, the probability of CER >~15 µm is high in summer season. However, high peak for CER < 15 µm is observed over Karachi. Similarly, the CDNC shows a high probability for CDNC > 50 cm^{-3} with the high PDF values over Karachi. Where, the lowest number of CDNC is observed over Lahore indicating the formation of high-level thin NPCs in summer.

Fig. 7(b and f) shows the distribution pattern of CER and CDNC of PCs in winter season. It is clearly observed that the distribution of CER for PCs is like those for NPCs in winter season. However, PDF has peak values for relatively higher CDNC, which illustrates the occurrence of thick clouds. Fig. 7(d and h) shows the variations in CER and CDNC in summer season. The results show a wider distribution for CER > ~15 µm and higher peaks for CDNC > ~ 50 cm^{-3} suggesting the formation of thick PCs in summer as shown in Fig.6.



Fig. 7. Probability density function (PDF) of precipitating (PCs) and non-precipitating clouds (NPCs) in winter and summer season

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

3.4. Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation Interaction (ACPI)

In the following sections, ACPI is analyzed and discussed in detail for PCs and NPCs in summer and winter seasons.

3.4.1. Aerosol effects on cloud properties

The impact of aerosols on CDNC and CER of PCs and NPCs is illustrated as scatter plots in Fig. 8-9. The quantification of the AOD-CER and AOD-CDNC relationships is demonstrated through detailed linear regressed slopes, regression coefficients (R^2) and Pearson's correlation coefficient (R). The color bar represents the variations in LTS. Fig.8 shows that in winter season, the AOD-CER correlation is good for PCs and weak for NPCs. The results also show that the LTS values are higher for NPCs. The weak AOD-CER correlation may be linked to the inhibition of droplet growth due to less soluble aerosols, originated from biomass burning (Kang et al., 2015). In our case, all the selected study areas are among the most urbanized and industrialized areas of IGP. Therefore, most of the prevailing aerosols are the less soluble soot and BC particles. That weakened activation of cloud droplets inhibits the formation of PCs and evaporate to higher altitudes and thereby increases the droplet residence time (Kumar & Physics, 2013). Besides, the results show a contrasting pattern of LTS values. Although, RH over Karachi (38.3±9 %) is higher than over the other study areas (shown in Table 2), the negative AOD-CER correlation is observed over Karachi due to its coastal location, the low value of AOD and high level of LTS.

Fig. 9 illustrates the AOD-CER and AOD-CDNC correlation in summer season. The results depict a more significant and positive AOD-CER correlation in summer season than winter season. Unlike winter season, the high LTS values are observed for PCs. Yuan(2008) associated the positive AOD-CER correlation to the soluble organic aerosols. Myhre et al. (2007) hypothesized that the positive AOD-CER correlation is a maximum for low CTP and minimum for high CTP. Hence, in our study, referable to the approximated CF values shown in Fig.6, the significant and positive AOD-CER correlation under unstable atmospheric conditions resulted in thick and high-level clouds. Furthermore, it is observed that CER and CDNC values for NPCs increase with increasing instability. Meanwhile, the enhanced process of droplet activation may result in large AOD, higher CER, giant and fewer CCN (Yuan, 2008). Therefore, the weak correlation of AOD with CER and CDNC may be due to the anthropogenically ejected water-soluble organic aerosols and a smaller number of CCN.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023



Fig. 8. AOD-CER and AOD-CDNC regression and correlation coefficient for PCs and NPCs over all study areas in winter season.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023



Fig. 9. Same as Fig. 8 but in summer season.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

3.4.2. *Seasonal variations in sensitivities of aerosol-cloud indirect effects and ACI*

Fig.10 shows assessment of four ACI sensitivities in terms of CDNC using daily mean values of MODIS observations available over the entire study area. Since studying the effects of aerosols on the co-located clouds is a challenging task due to the overestimation of thin clouds in AOD retrievals. Therefore, to minimize the propagation of AOD retrieval errors in ACI, the current study attempted to estimate the sensitivities of different cloud mechanisms to CDNC.

The sensitivity of CER to CDNC is assessed as a signature of FIE as shown in Fig.10a. The positive values illustrate that CER decreases with an increase in CDNC revealing the occurrence of the Twomey effect. Whilst the negative values depict the anti-Twomey effect. The approximations in winter season show strong (weak) sensitivity of FIE for PCs (NPCs). Similarly, the estimated sensitivity of FIE for all NPCs and PCs is also positive in the summer season.

Fig. 10b illustrates the sensitivity of CLWP to CDNC as a proxy for evaluation of the SIE or lifetime effect. The positive sensitivity estimated for all NPCs and PCs suggested that the CLWP increased with increase in aerosol. Further, the results show that the sensitivity of SIE is stronger for PCs in winter which indicates the delay and onset of high PR. Similarly, the results show that the SIE sensitivity values are higher for PCs than for NPCs in the corresponding seasons. Therefore, the results depict that the lifetime of PCs is greater than NPCs. Which is attributed to the high level of RH for PCs as shown in Table 2. Fig. 10 (a and b) shows that the FIE sensitivities are weaker than SIE.

Fig. 10c shows the TIE in terms of the sensitivity of COT to CDNC. The results illustrate positive values of sensitivity for all NPCs and PCs which indicate that COT increases with increase in aerosol concentration. The results also reveal that sensitivity of TIE is a linear sum of the sensitivities of FIE and SIE. Further, the results also suggest that the variations in TIE sensitivity are largely dependent on SIE.

Fig.10d shows the sensitivity of CDNC to AOD as an estimation of ACI in terms of CDNC. The positive values show the increase in CDNC with the increase in AOD. Therefore, positive ACI reflects the inhibition of precipitation formation. Whilst the negative values illustrate the decrease in CDNC and enhanced PR (Fan et al., 2018). The results depicted relatively large and positive sensitivities for NPCs in winter over Lahore, Delhi, and Kanpur, which inhibits the onset of rainfall. The Sensitivity of ACI for NPCs in summer is positive over Karachi and

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Lahore and negative over Delhi, Kanpur, Jaipur, and Gandhi College. Ackerman et al. (2004) associated the negative ACI_{CDNC} to the wet scavenging and mixing of air by entertainment. In our case, negative ACI may be due to the growth of CER and decrease in CDNC with aerosol loading under unstable conditions(shown in Fig. 9). Further, the magnitude of sensitivity for PCs in summer is low. Which can be due to the droplet growth through collision coalescence and wet scavenging in thick clouds, decreased dependency on CCN.



Fig. 10. The sensitivity metrices estimated for aerosol-cloud relationship using CDNC is shown in (a) FIE = $-\left(\frac{\partial ln\,(CER)}{\partial ln\,(CDNC)}\right)$ (b) SIE = $\left(\frac{\partial ln\,(cLWP)}{\partial ln\,(CDNC)}\right)$, (c) TIE = $\left(\frac{\partial ln\,(COT)}{\partial ln\,(CDNC)}\right)$ and (d) ACI = $\left(\frac{\partial ln\,(CDNC)}{\partial ln\,(AOD)}\right)$. Where, the error bars show the standard deviation (SD).

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

3.4.3. *Aerosol effects on precipitation*

Fig. 11 shows the average values of PR in mm/day retrieved from TRMM. The results show an obvious seasonal difference in precipitation occurrence. The reason for the high (low) PR values is due to the suitable meteorological condition including high (low) LTS values for PCs in summer (winter) season (shown in Fig. 8-9). The results show high (low) approximation of PR over Gandhi College (Karachi). Knowing that the rate of conversion of CDNC to precipitation is proportional to CER (Wolf & Toon, 2014). Therefore, the high PR values is due to the growth of bigger cloud droplets in summers. Further, apart from the reasons mentioned in the preceding sections, the other justification for the differently perturbed aerosols, clouds and precipitation pattern over the study areas in summers is due to the entrance of southwest winds from Bay of Bengal passing across Gandhi College to Delhi and Lahore and entrance of same winds from Arabian sea to Pakistan through Karachi (Anwar et al., 2022).

Fig. 12 shows scatter plots of PR verses CDNC. The plot is colored with COT to examine the impact of CDNC on PR for similar macrophysics. When CDNC are few, then the CER are sparse that grow larger, form less reflective clouds and precipitate faster (Kump & Pollard, 2008). The same phenomenon seems true in our case. The results illustrate high PR values for comparatively thin clouds with fewer CDNC (i.e., CDNC < ~ 50 cm^{-3}) and intermediate for optically thick clouds and CDNC > ~ 50 cm^{-3}.



Fig. 11. Precipitation rate (PR) for the PCs in winter and summer season. The error bars show the SD values with 95% confidence interval.



Fig. 12. Scatter diagrams of PR (mm/day) verses CDNC (cm^{-3}) in summer and winter seasons. color coding shows the COT of PCs.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Fig.13 shows the sensitivity (S_o) of PR to CDNC defined by $S_o = \left(-\frac{dln(PR)}{dln\,(CDNC)}\right)_{COT}$ for clouds of low and intermediate thickness illustrated in Fig. 13 a and Fig. 13 b respectively. However, sensitivity analysis for COT > 23 could not be performed due to smaller number of available samples. In the sensitivity equation the minus sign shows the suppression of precipitation formation due to the increase in CDNC. Further, when S_o is positive, correlation between PR and CDNC is negative; however, for negative S_o, PR and CDNC are positively correlated. The results show peak values of S_o at intermediate values of COT in winter, indicating the occurrence of lightly precipitating clouds. Referring to Fig. 13b, the low magnitude of S_o is due to coagulation, in which precipitations are less sensitive to CDNC.

.



Fig. 13. Sensitivity 'So' of precipitation rate (PR) for two bins of COT shown in (a). 0 ≤ COT ≤ 3.6 and (b). 3.6 ≤ COT ≤ 23.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

4. Conclusion

In this study, the long-term (2001-2021) data retrievals from MODIS coupled with TRMM and NCEP/NCAR reanalysis-II datasets over the entire study area are compiled and analyzed for PCs and NPCs in winter and summer season. The following are the main findings of this study.

A decadal decrease in AOD is observed over Karachi (-1.9%) and Jaipur (-0.5%). Meanwhile, AOD exhibits an increase over Lahore (5.2%), Delhi (9%), Kanpur (10.7%) and Gandhi College (22.7%). The LTS values are High (low) for NPCs (PCs) in winter and for PCs (NPCs) in summer season. However, among all study areas, Karachi exhibits comparatively high LTS values in both seasons. Apart from that, the increase in RH% for PCs ranged from 33-57% in winter and from 25-45 % in summer. $\Omega > 0$ for all NPCs in winter and < 0 for PCs in both winter and summer seasons.

In winter season low frequency of cloudy days over Karachi and high over Lahore and Gandhi College is estimated. Also, the high number of PCs are estimated only over Lahore. In summer season, out of the 74 % of the cloudy days , 60 % are PCs over Gandhi College. Similarly, most of the clouds over Lahore, Delhi and Jaipur are PCs. Conversely, the least number of PCs (6 %) is found over Karachi. The high-level PCs are identified in one bin of CTP ($180 < CTP < 440$ hPa) over all study areas in winters. In summer season, all the three types of high level and thick PCs have meaningful CF values. Also, the frequently occurred low level PCs are approximated as stratus clouds. Further, PDF values for CER $> \sim 15$ µm and CDNC $> \sim 50$ cm^{-3} for NPCs and PCs is high in summer and lower in winter over all areas except Karachi.

The AOD-CER correlation is good for PCs and weak for NPCs in winter season. Also, the CER and CDNC values increase with increase in LTS. The sensitivity value of FIE is high (low) for PCs (NPCs) in winter. Further, magnitude of sensitivity of FIE (SIE) is low (high). Also, the sensitivity of TIE is a linear sum of the sensitivities of FIE and SIE. Further, ACI sensitivity values for PCs in summer are small, illustrating less dependency of CER on CDNC in thick clouds.

The high (low) PR values are observed in summer (winter). Further, high PR values for comparatively thin clouds with fewer CDNC $< \sim 50$ cm^{-3} and intermediate for optically thick clouds and CDNC $> \sim 50$ cm^{-3} are observed. Sensitivity values are small (high) for thick clouds in summer (winter).

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Being one of the major source regions of anthropogenic aerosols across the globe, IGP offers interesting insights into the study of ACPI coupled with aerosol indirect effects. This study highlights that the aerosol-cloud relationship exhibits different behavior under different meteorological conditions, at coastal and inland locations. Thus, compared to other study areas, the stable atmospheric conditions due to the constant sea breeze weakened the ACI over Karachi, which resulted in a smaller number of CDNC, NPCs, and PCs. Further, our study also provides a very good platform for the detailed analysis of sensitivity tests of aerosol indirect effects and precipitation formation.

Limitations and future recommendations:

Although, the scope of this study is limited to satellite-based analysis of aerosol-cloud-precipitation interaction (ACPI) and indirect effects due to the lack of in-situ measuring instruments in Pakistan, and the intrinsic uncertainties associated with satellite-based data. Therefore, simulations of ground-based measurements along with satellite-based retrievals and calculation of cloud properties and CCN by different Community Atmosphere Model (CAM) and Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Models are recommended for deep insight into the various mechanisms of ACPI over complex topography of IGP.

Data Availability: The MODIS and TRMM data can be obtained from the NASA Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Center (GES DISC) and can be retrieved from the websites: https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/missions-and-measurements/products/l3-atmosphere/ and https://gpm.nasa.gov/data. The reanalysis-II datasets are obtained from the website: https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis2.html. The processed data used in this work are available on reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Author contribution: NG processed and analyzed the data and wrote the original draft of the manuscript. KA proposed the Idea, supervised this work and revised the manuscript. YL helped in revising the manuscript.

Competing Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment: The authors gratefully acknowledge the NASA Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC) for the provision of freely available data retrieved from MODIS and TRMM. We are also grateful to the NOAA Physical Sciences Laboratory (PSL) for free accessibility to (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis-II datasets.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

References

Ackerman, A. S., Kirkpatrick, M. P., Stevens, D. E., & Toon, O. B.: The impact of humidity above stratiform clouds on indirect aerosol climate forcing, *Nature., 432*, 1014-1017, doi:10.1038/nature03174, 2004.

Alam, K., Iqbal, M. J., Blaschke, T., Qureshi, S., & Khan, G. J. A. i. S. R. : Monitoring spatio-temporal variations in aerosols and aerosol–cloud interactions over Pakistan using MODIS data, Adva. Space Res., *46*, 1162-1176, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2010.06.025, 2010.

Alam, K., Qureshi, S., & Blaschke, T. J. A. e.: Monitoring spatio-temporal aerosol patterns over Pakistan based on MODIS, TOMS and MISR satellite data and a HYSPLIT model, Atmos. Envi., *45*, 4641-4651, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.05.055, 2011.

Albrecht, B. A. J. S.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Sci., *245*, 1227-1230, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.245.4923.122, 1989

Andreae, M., & Rosenfeld, D. J. E.-S. R.: Aerosol–cloud–precipitation interactions. Part 1. The nature and sources of cloud-active aerosols, Earth. Sci. Rev., *89*, 13-41, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.03.001, 2008.

Anttila, T., Brus, D., Jaatinen, A., Hyvärinen, A. P., Kivekäs, N., Romakkaniemi, S., ... & Lihavainen, H.: Relationships between particles, cloud condensation nuclei and cloud droplet activation during the third Pallas Cloud Experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phy.*, *12*, 11435-11450, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11435-2012, 2012.

Anwar, K., Alam, K., Liu, Y., Huang, Z., Huang, J., & Liu, Y. J. A. R.: Analysis of aerosol cloud interactions with a consistent signal of meteorology and other influencing parameters, Atmos. Res., *275*, 106241, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2022.106241 , 2022.

Brenguier, J.-L., Pawlowska, H., Schüller, L., Preusker, R., Fischer, J., & Fouquart, Y. J. J. o. t. a. s.: Radiative properties of boundary layer clouds: Droplet effective radius versus number concentration, Atmos. Sci., *57*, 803-821, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057<0803:RPOBLC>2.0.CO;2 , 2000.

Chen, Q., Yin, Y., Jin, L.-j., Xiao, H., & Zhu, S.-c. J. A. r.: The effect of aerosol layers on convective cloud microphysics and precipitation, Atmos. Res., *101*, 327-340, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.03.007, 2011

Costantino, L., & Bréon, F. M. J. G. R. L.: Analysis of aerosol-cloud interaction from multi-sensor satellite observations, Atmos. Sci., *37*,https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL041828 ,2010

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Fan, C., Ding, M., Wu, P., & Fan, Y. J. a. p. a.: The Relationship between Precipitation and Aerosol: Evidence from Satellite Observation, Atmos. Oce. Phy.,https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1812.02036, 2018.

Feingold, G., Eberhard, W. L., Veron, D. E., & Previdi, M. J. G. R. L.: First measurements of the Twomey indirect effect using ground-based remote sensors, Geophy. Res. Let., *30*,https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016633, 2003.

Gryspeerdt, E., Quaas, J., & Bellouin, N.: Constraining the aerosol influence on cloud fraction, *JGR.Atmos*,*121*, 3566-3583, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023744, 2016.

Jiang, H., Feingold, G., & Cotton, W. R. J. J. o. G. R. A.: Simulations of aerosol-cloud-dynamical feedbacks resulting from entrainment of aerosol into the marine boundary layer during the Atlantic Stratocumulus Transition Experiment, JGR. Atmos., *107*, AAC 20-1-AAC 20-11, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001502, 2002.

Jung, E.: Aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions in the trade wind boundary layer, Ph.D Thesis, Meteorology and Physical Oceanography, University of Miami, 91-pp., 2012.

Kang, N., Kumar, K. R., Yin, Y., Diao, Y., Yu, X. J. A., & Research, A. Q.: Correlation analysis between AOD and cloud parameters to study their relationship over China using MODIS data (2003-2013): impact on cloud formation and climate change,AAQR.,*15*, 958-973, https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2014.08.0168, 2015.

Kaskaoutis, D. G., Kumar Kharol, S., Sinha, P., Singh, R. P., Kambezidis, H., Rani Sharma, A.: Extremely large anthropogenic-aerosol contribution to total aerosol load over the Bay of Bengal during winter season, Atmos. Chem. Phy., *11*, 7097-7117, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-7097-2011, 2011.

Kedia, S., Ramachandran, S., Holben, B. N., & Tripathi, S. J. A. E.: Quantification of aerosol type, and sources of aerosols over the Indo-Gangetic Plain,Atmos.Envi.,, *98*, 607-619, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.022, 2014.

Koike, M., Asano, N., Nakamura, H., Sakai, S., Nagao, T., & Nakajima, T. J. J. o. G. R. A.: Modulations of aerosol impacts on cloud microphysics induced by the warm Kuroshio Current under the East Asian winter monsoon, JGR. Atmos.,*121*,282-297, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025375, 2016.

Kubar, T. L., Hartmann, D. L., & Wood, R. J. J. o. t. a. s.: Understanding the importance of microphysics and macrophysics for warm rain in marine low clouds. Part I: Satellite observations, Atmos. Sci. 66, 2953-2972, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009jas3071.1, 2009.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Kumar, A. J. J. o. A., & Physics, S.-T.: Variability of aerosol optical depth and cloud parameters over North Eastern regions of India retrieved from MODIS satellite data,Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phy.,*100*, 34-49, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2013.03.025, 2013.

Kump, L. R., & Pollard, D.: Amplification of Cretaceous Warmth by Biological Cloud Feedbacks, Sci., *320*, 195-195, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153883, 2008.

Li, J., Lv, Q., Zhang, M., Wang, T., Kawamoto, K., Chen, S., & Zhang, B.: Effects of atmospheric dynamics and aerosols on the fraction of supercooled water clouds, *Atmos. Chem. Phy.*, *17*, 1847-1863, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1847-2017, 2017.

Masmoudi, M., Chaabane, M., Tanré, D., Gouloup, P., Blarel, L., & Elleuch, F. J. A. R.: Spatial and temporal variability of aerosol: size distribution and optical properties, Atmos. Res., *66*, 1-19, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8095(02)00174-6, 2003.

McCoy, D. T., Field, P. R., Schmidt, A., Grosvenor, D. P., Bender, F. A. M., Shipway, B. J., ... & Elsaesser, G. S.: Aerosol midlatitude cyclone indirect effects in observations and high-resolution simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phy.*, *18*, 5821-5846, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-5821-2018 ,2018.

Michibata, T., Kawamoto, K., & Takemura, T. J. A. C. P. D.: The effects of aerosols on water cloud microphysics and macrophysics based on satellite observations over East Asia and the North Pacific, Atmos. Chem. Phy., *14*, 10515-10541, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11935-2014, 2014.

Myhre, G., Stordal, F., Johnsrud, M., Kaufman, Y., Rosenfeld, D., Storelvmo, T., . . . Physics.: Aerosol-cloud interaction inferred from MODIS satellite data and global aerosol models, Atmos. Chem. Phy., *7*, 3081-3101, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-3081-2007, 2007.

Naud, C. M., Posselt, D. J., & van den Heever, S. C.: Observed covariations of aerosol optical depth and cloud cover in extratropical cyclones, *JGR: Atmos*,*122*,10-338,https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027240, 2017.

Rossow, W. B., & Schiffer, R. A. J. B. o. t. A. M. S.: Advances in understanding clouds from ISCCP, Bul. Americ. Meteor. Soci., *80*, 2261-2288, https://doi.org/10.1175/15200477(1999)080<2261:AIUCFI>2.0.CO;2 ,1999.

Sharma, P., Ganguly, D., Sharma, A. K., Kant, S., Mishra, S. J. E., & Science, S.: Assessing the aerosols, clouds and their relationship over the northern Bay of Bengal using a global climate model, Earth. Spac. Sci., *10*, e2022EA002706, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022EA002706, 2023.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Singh, A., Rastogi, N., Sharma, D., Singh, D. J. A., & Research, A. Q.: Inter and intra-annual variability in aerosol characteristics over northwestern Indo-Gangetic Plain,AAQR., *15*, 376-386, https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2014.04.0080,2015.

Srivastava, P., Pal, D. K., Aruche, K. M., Wani, S. P., & Sahrawat, K. L. J. E.-S. R.: Soils of the Indo-Gangetic Plains: a pedogenic response to landscape stability, climatic variability and anthropogenic activity during the Holocene, Earth. Sci. Rew., *140*, 54-71, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2014.10.010, 2015.

Tao, W.-K., Chen, J.-P., Li, Z., Wang, C., & Zhang, C.: Impact of aerosols on convective clouds and precipitation, Rev. Geophys., *50*, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000369, 2012.

Thomas, A., Kanawade, V. P., Sarangi, C., & Srivastava, A. K. J. S. o. t. T. E.: Effect of COVID-19 shutdown on aerosol direct radiative forcing over the Indo-Gangetic Plain outflow region of the Bay of Bengal,Sci. Total Envi., *782*, 146918, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146918, 2021.

Twomey, S. J. J. o. t. a. s.: The influence of pollution on the shortwave albedo of clouds, Atmos. Sci., *34*, 1149-1152, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<1149:TIOPOT>2.0.CO;2, 1977.

Verma, S., Ramana, M. V., & Kumar, R. J. S. r.: Atmospheric rivers fueling the intensification of fog and haze over Indo-Gangetic Plains, Sci. Reports., Nature, *12*, 5139, 2022.

Wolf, E., & Toon, O. J. A.: Controls on the Archean climate system investigated with a global climate model, Astrobiology, *14*, 241-253, https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2013.1112, 2014.

Wood, R. J. U. o. W.: Relationships between optical depth, liquid water path, droplet concentration, and effective radius in adiabatic layer cloud,University of Washington, *3*, 2006.

Wu, P., Dong, X., Xi, B., Liu, Y., Thieman, M., & Minnis, P. J. J. o. G. R. A.: Effects of environment forcing on marine boundary layer cloud-drizzle processes, JGR: Atmos., *122*, 4463-4478 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD026326, 2017.

Wyant, M. C., Bretherton, C. S., Bacmeister, J. T., Kiehl, J. T., Held, I. M., Zhao, M., . . . Soden, B. J. J. C. D.: A comparison of low-latitude cloud properties and their response to climate change in three AGCMs sorted into regimes using mid-tropospheric vertical velocity, Clim. Dyn., *27*, 261-279, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-006-0138-4, 2006.

YuanT, L. J. J. n. A.,: Increaseofclouddropletsizewith aerosolopticaldepth: Anobservationandmodelingstudy, JGR: Atmos., *113*, D4, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008632, 2008.

https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1865
Preprint. Discussion started: 24 August 2023

Zeb, B., Alam, K., Sorooshian, A., Chishtie, F., Ahmad, I., Bibi, H. J. J. o. a., & physics, s.-t.: Temporal characteristics of aerosol optical properties over the glacier region of northern Pakistan, Jour. Atmos. Sol-Terr. Phy., *186*, 35-46, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2019.02.004, 2019.

Zhou, S., Yang, J., Wang, W.-C., Zhao, C., Gong, D., Shi, P. J. A. C., & Physics.: An observational study of the effects of aerosols on diurnal variation of heavy rainfall and associated clouds over Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei, Atmos. Chem. Phy., *20*, 5211-5229, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5211-2020, 2020.