



Title: A multi-model assessment of the early last deglaciation (PMIP4 LDv1): The

2 meltwater paradox reigns supreme

Corresponding author: Brooke Snoll $^{\! 1}$

- 4 Email for correspondence: ee19b2s@leeds.ac.uk
- Co-authors: Ruza Ivanovic¹, Lauren Gregoire¹, Sam Sherriff-Tadano¹, Laurie Menviel², Takashi
 Obase³, Ayako Abe-Ouchi³, Nathaelle Bouttes⁴, Chengfei He⁵, Feng He⁶, Marie Kapsch⁷, Uwe Mikolajewicz⁷, Juan Muglia⁸, Paul Valdes⁹
- 8 Affiliations: ¹University of Leeds, ²Climate Change Research Centre, University of New South Wales Sydney, ³University of Tokyo, ⁴LSCE, ⁵University of Miami, ⁶University of Wisconsin, ⁷Max Planck
- 10 Institute for Meteorology, ⁸CESIMAR, ⁹University of Bristol

12		
14		
16		
18		
20		
22		
24		
26		





28 Abstract

Transient simulations of the last deglaciation have been increasingly performed to better understand 30 the processes leading to both the overall deglacial climate trajectory as well as the centennial- to decadal- scale climate variations prevalent during deglaciations. The Paleoclimate Modelling 32 Intercomparison Project (PMIP) has provided a framework for an internationally coordinated effort in simulating the last deglaciation ($\sim 20 - 11$ ka BP) whilst encompassing a broad range of models. Here, we present a multi-model intercomparison of 17 simulations of the early part of the last 34 deglaciation (~20 - 15 ka BP) from nine different climate models spanning a range of model 36 complexities and uncertain boundary conditions/forcings. A main contrasting element between the simulations is the method by which groups 38 implement freshwater fluxes from the melting ice sheets and how this forcing then impacts ocean circulation and surface climate. We find that the choice of meltwater scenario heavily impacts the 40 deglacial climate evolution, but the response of each model depends largely on the sensitivity of the model to the freshwater forcing as well as to other aspects of the experimental design (e.g., CO_2 42 forcing or ice sheet reconstruction). There is agreement throughout the ensemble that warming begins in the high latitudes associated with increasing insolation and delayed warming in the tropics aligned with the later increases in atmospheric CO_2 concentration. The delay in this warming in the 44 tropics is dependent on the timescale of the CO_2 reconstruction used by the modelling group. Simulations with freshwater forcings greater than 0.1 Sverdrup (Sv) after 18 ka BP experience 46 delayed warming in the North Atlantic, whereas simulations with smaller freshwater forcings begin 48 deglaciating sooner. All simulations show a strong correlation between North Atlantic temperatures, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, and the AMOC. In simulations with a freshwater forcing greater than 0.1 Sv, North Atlantic temperatures correlate strongly with changes in the AMOC. Simulations with a 50 smaller freshwater forcing show stronger correlations with atmospheric CO₂. This indicates that the 52 amount of meltwater strongly controls the climate trajectory of the deglaciation. Comparing multiple simulations run by the same model demonstrate model biases by showing similar surface climate 54 spatial patterns despite the use of different ice sheet reconstructions and/or meltwater flux scenarios. Simulations run with different models, but similar boundary conditions, have provided 56 insight into the sensitivity of individual models to particular forcings, such as the amount freshwater

forcing, which has been highly debated in previous studies.

58 This debate has stemmed from the so-called 'meltwater paradox' that exists in choosing how much meltwater to input into simulations of the last deglaciation (i.e., large and geologically inconsistent meltwater forcings that successfully produce abrupt climate events versus



62



glaciologically realistic meltwater fluxes that do not). The results of this research highlight how important this decision is.

1. Introduction

- 64 At the onset of the most recent deglaciation, ~19 thousand years before present (ka BP), ice sheets that covered the Northern Hemisphere at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; Dyke 2004; Lambeck et al.
- 2014; Hughes et al. 2016) started to melt (Gregoire et al. 2012), Earth began to warm (Jouzel et al.
 2007; Buizert et al. 2014), and sea levels rose (Lambeck et al. 2014). Known as the *last deglaciation*,
- 68 this time period is defined by major, long-term (order of ten thousand years) climate transitions from the most recent cold glacial to the current warm interglacial state, as well as many short-term, decadal
- 70 to centennial-scale warmings and coolings of more than 5 °C (de Beaulieu and Reille 1992; Severinghaus and Brook 1999; Lea et al. 2003; Buizert et al. 2014). These short-term abrupt
- 72 temperature changes are often also accompanied by sudden reorganisations of basin-wide ocean circulations (e.g., Roberts et al. 2010; Ng et al. 2018) and jumps in sea level of tens of meters in a few
- hundred years (e.g., Deschamps et al. 2012; Lambeck et al. 2014).
- Abrupt climate changes observed in the early last deglaciation such as the Greenland cold period known as Heinrich Stadial 1 (between ~18.5 and 14.7 ka BP; Broecker and Putnam 2012; Huang et al. 2014, 2019; Crivellari et al. 2018; Ng et al. 2018) and the Bølling Warming (an abrupt
- 78 warming that occurs ~14.7 ka BP in Greenland at the end of Heinrich Stadial 1; Severinghaus and Brook 1999; Lea et al. 2003; Buizert et al. 2014), are often attributed to changes in the Atlantic
- 80 meridional overturning circulation (AMOC). The strength and structure of the ocean circulation is a key control on the North Atlantic and Arctic climate and is dependent on the stratification of the water
- layers in crucial convection sites in the North Atlantic (Lynch-Stieglitz et al. 2007; McCarthy et al. 2017). When the AMOC is strong, more heat is transported towards the North Atlantic causing
- regional warming in Greenland and the North Atlantic (Rahmstorf 2002).
- There is debate on the strength of the LGM AMOC and how this initial state impacts the preceding climate change of the deglaciation. Previous observations (e.g., Lynch-Stieglitz et al. 2007; Böhm et al. 2015; Lynch-Stieglitz 2017) suggest a weaker and shallower AMOC than present-day
- 88 during the LGM, whilst more recent modelling studies show a deep and strong ocean circulation (e.g., Menviel et al. 2011; He et al. 2021; Sherriff-Tadano and Klockmann 2021; Kapsch et al. 2022; Snoll et
- 90 al. 2022) due to the presence of thick ice sheets (Oka et al. 2012; Sherriff-Tadano et al. 2018; Galbraith and de Lavergne 2019). Multiple more recent data assimilation modelling studies (e.g., Menviel et al.
- 92 2012, 2017; Muglia and Schmittner 2021; Wilmes et al. 2021; Pöppelmeier et al. 2023b) have shown



104



agreement with previous observations by reproducing a weak and shallower LGM AMOC relative to 94 the pre-industrial or Holocene. However, several ocean circulation proxy studies (e.g., McManus et al.

- 2004; Gherardi et al. 2005, 2009; Ivanovic et al. 2016; Ng et al. 2018), using the ²³¹Pa/²³⁰Th ratio, demonstrate some consensus of a vigorous but shallower AMOC coming out of the LGM (relative to 96
- the modern day) that subsequently weakened and shallowed (but remained active; Bradtmiller et al. 98 2014; Repschläger et al. 2021; Pöppelmeier et al. 2023b) during the abrupt transition to Heinrich
- Stadial 1. Palaeoproxy records (e.g., McManus et al. 2004; Lynch-Stieglitz 2017; Ng et al. 2018) and 100 modelling studies (e.g., Liu et al. 2009; Menviel et al. 2011; He et al. 2021) suggest that the ocean
- circulation strength remains weak until its rapid resumption at the end of the stadial, when the
- 102 Bølling Warming occurs.

The AMOC pattern can be perturbed easily by several climate feedbacks. For example, if freshwater is deposited into the critical convection sites in the subpolar North Atlantic, i.e., the

- Labrador Sea and Nordic Seas, locations of high sensitivity to wind patterns and sea ice formation, 106 the circulation strength can be disrupted (Rahmstorf 1999). Evidence from several sites report sea level rise, and therefore a freshwater flux, as early in the deglaciation as 19.5 ka BP, attributed to
- 108 widespread retreat of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets in response to an increase in northern latitude summer insolation (Yokoyama et al. 2000; Clarke et al. 2009). Carlson and Clark (2012) concluded
- 110 that the LGM was terminated by a rapid 5 – 10 meters sea level rise between 19.5 and 19 ka BP, and sea levels rose a further 8 - 20 meters from ~19 to 14.5 ka BP with the melt of the Laurentide and
- 112 Eurasian ice sheets. More recent reconstructions of sea level and ice volume change suggest a similar view with $\sim 10-15$ meters of sea level rise between the end of the LGM ($\sim 21-20$ ka BP) and 18 ka BP
- 114 and an additional ~25 meters before 14.5 ka BP (Lambeck et al. 2014; Peltier et al. 2015; Roy and Peltier 2018; Gorbarenko et al. 2022). In some cases where meltwater fluxes are applied to the North
- 116 Atlantic in model simulations, rapid decreases of up to 10 °C in temperature occur, resembling the transition to Heinrich Stadial 1 (e.g., Ganopolski and Rahmstorf 2001; Knutti et al. 2004; Brown and
- 118 Galbraith 2016; Menviel et al. 2020).

Transient simulations of the last deglaciation have been increasingly performed to better 120 understand the multi-millennial scale processes and the shorter and more dramatic climate changes by examining dynamic and threshold behaviours (Braconnot et al. 2012), determining the effects of

- temporally varying climate forcings, and identifying what mechanisms in the model can cause 122 recorded climate signals (see section 1.2 by Ivanovic et al. (2016) and examples therein). In turn,
- 124 these simulations also provide us with the opportunity to test the ability of models to simulate climate



126



processes and interactions as well as different hypotheses for drivers of change (i.e., climate triggers, interactions, and feedbacks).

- One particularly challenging aspect in the experimental design of last deglaciation simulations is prescribing ice sheet evolution and the resultant freshwater flux and sea level rise. Notwithstanding the qualitative rationale for why ocean-bound meltwater disrupts ocean circulation
- 130and climate (McManus et al. 2004; Clarke et al. 2009; Thornalley et al. 2010), it has been recently
argued that climate models are too sensitive to freshwater fluxes under some conditions. For example,
- 132 data reconstructions suggest only a small change in AMOC \sim 11.7 to 6 ka BP, whereas CCSM3 simulated a greater response to the freshwater forcing associated with the final Northern
- 134Hemisphere deglaciation at this time (He and Clark 2022), when sea level rose by 50 meters during
this interval (Lambeck et al. 2014; Cuzzone et al. 2016; Ullman et al. 2016). This result may be quite
- 136 model dependent, and we note that others had previously suggested the converse: that model responses to freshwater (and other) forcings could be too muted, from what we understand of past
- 138 climate change (Valdes 2011). Certainly, to disrupt climate in a Heinrich Stadial-like way, many previous glacial simulations have required quite large meltwater fluxes compared to what may be
- 140 inferred from geological records (Kageyama et al. 2013). This remains an interesting point of contention, and certainly some models no longer appear as 'stable' as they once did . Moreover, the
- sensitivity of the North Atlantic Ocean circulation to glacial melting is poorly constrained.

There are, however, strong indications that the impact of oceanic freshwater fluxes is highly dependent on the location that they enter the ocean (depth and latitude/longitude), as it determines the efficiency of convection disruption (e.g., Roche et al. 2007, 2010; Smith and Gregory 2009; Otto-

- 146 Bliesner and Brady 2010; Condron and Winsor 2012; Ivanovic et al. 2017; Romé et al. 2022). Similarly, the background climate and ocean state may also be important for how responsive ocean circulation
- 148 is to freshwater forcing–e.g., whether AMOC is already strong and deep or weak and shallow (Bitz et al. 2007; Schmittner and Lund 2014; Dome Fuji Ice Core Project Members: et al. 2017; Pöppelmeier
- et al. 2023a), or specifically where deep water formation occurs (Smith and Gregory 2009; Roche et al. 2010). The choice of a model's boundary conditions in the palaeo setting (e.g., ice sheet geometry)
- 152 can influence its sensitivity to freshwater perturbation (e.g., compare the results of Romé et al. (2022) with those of Ivanovic et al. (2018)—Romé's low freshwater forced LGM simulations have an
- 154 oscillating AMOC, whereas Ivanovic's do not—different ice sheet reconstructions are used in both; and see Kapsch et al. (2022) who simulate the last deglaciation testing the climate response to
- 156 different ice sheet reconstructions). Ice sheet geometry specifically has been demonstrated to be linked with AMOC strength due to the effect of ice sheet height on surface winds and wind-driven





- gyres, which can increase the northward transport of salty waters. Multiple model studies (e.g.,
 Ullman et al. 2014; Löfverström and Lora 2017; Sherriff-Tadano et al. 2018; Kapsch et al. 2022) have
- 160 shown that a thicker Laurentide ice sheet results in a stronger AMOC. Hence, the influence of deglacial ice sheet meltwater on AMOC is likely highly dependent on both the model, choice of boundary
- 162 conditions and forcings, and the initial ocean condition.Previous modelling efforts (e.g., Liu et al. 2009; Roche et al. 2011; Menviel et al. 2011;
- 164 Gregoire et al. 2012) have performed transient simulations to learn more about the last deglaciation and the interaction between ocean and atmosphere. Liu et al. (2009) were the first to publish a
- 166 synchronously coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation model simulation of the last deglaciation, henceforth referred to as *TraCE-21ka*. In this study, a freshwater flux was used to
- 168 regulate the AMOC to achieve a set of target ocean circulation, surface air temperature, and sea surface temperature conditions as interpreted from a selection of proxy records in multiple locations
- 170 between the LGM and the onset of the Bølling Warming (see Fig. 1 by Liu et al. (2009)), preceded by a switch to a geologic reconstruction of freshwater forcing (He 2011). Largely following the strategy
- 172 of *TraCE-21ka*, He et al. (2021) performed an updated version of the simulation with an isotope enabled model, referred to as *iTraCE*. Similarly, they also implemented a freshwater flux tuned to their
- 174 interpretation of an ocean circulation proxy record (McManus et al. 2004) between the LGM and the onset of the Bølling Warming and produced model output timeseries that very closely resemble water
- isotope observations in Greenland (GISP2; Grootes et al. 1993), northern (Kulishu Cave; Ma et al.2012) and southern China (Hulu Cave; Wang et al. 2001), and southern Asia (Mawmluh Cave; Dutt et
- 178 al. 2015). Menviel et al. (2011) adopted a similar approach, using freshwater fluxes tuned to ocean circulation and climate records (McManus et al. 2004 and Alley 2000 respectively) in order to better
- 180 understand abrupt changes in the Southern Hemisphere and their possible link to the so-called bipolar seesaw (Broecker 1998; Stocker 1998) versus Antarctic melting.
- 182 The meltwater inputs used in each of these simulations, however, do not follow ice sheet reconstructions (e.g., see Ivanovic et al., 2018). Instead, the meltwater fluxes are, on occasion over
- twice as large as suggested by ICE-6G_C VM5a (henceforth 'ICE-6G_C'; Argus et al. 2014; Peltier et al.
 2015) and GLAC-1D (Tarasov and Peltier 2002; Tarasov et al. 2012; Briggs et al. 2014; Ivanovic et al.
- 186 2016). Furthermore, the freshwater flux must then be shut off to reinvigorate the AMOC and instigate the Bølling Warming, ending Heinrich Stadial 1, but this is at the same time as recorded rise in global
- 188 sea level of 12-22 meters in ~350 years or less, known as Meltwater Pulse 1a (Deschamps et al. 2012). This creates a meltwater paradox, where the freshwater forcing required by models to produce





- 190 recorded climate change is broadly in opposition to the meltwater history reconstructed from ice sheet and sea level records.
- 192

Simulations performed by Kapsch et al. (2022) and Snoll et al. (2022) add weight to this socalled meltwater paradox. They use meltwater forcing scenarios in accordance with observable ice

- 194 volume change but have not been able to replicate the AMOC or surface air temperature proxy records. Instead, the AMOC remains stronger than ocean circulation records suggest for Heinrich
- 196 Stadial 1, and the models simulate an abrupt *cooling* at ~14.5 ka BP instead of the Bølling *Warming*. The picture is further confounded by Gregoire et al. (2012), who forced an ice sheet model
- with a transient climate simulation of the last deglaciation that included meltwater pulses, such as
 Meltwater Pulse 1a, but which did not experience major AMOC or surface temperature perturbations
 as a result of the freshwater (e.g., see Fig. S2 by Gregoire et al. (2012)).
- Similar simulations of the last deglaciation have been run with no prescribed meltwater or a
- 202 meltwater forcing that is applied as a global salinity adjustment (i.e., rather than localised surface forcing). Without the use of the freshwater forcing, these simulations do not reproduce any abrupt
- 204 climate change events during the deglaciation. For instance, those described by Snoll et al. (2022) are similarly not able to replicate the AMOC or surface air temperature proxy records, but also do not
- 206 simulate the abrupt cooling at ~14.5 ka BP and instead, display a gradual warming throughout the deglaciation. Roche et al. (2011) performed a no-melt simulation of the last deglaciation that matches
- 208 closely with NGRIP temperatures (North Greenland Ice Core Project, 42.32° W, 75.01° N) until 16 ka BP when Heinrich Stadial 1 is observed. Yet, at ~11 ka BP, after the abrupt events occur, the simulation
- and NGRIP temperatures meet each other again. Bouttes et al. (2023) also conduct a no-melt as well as meltwater forced simulation, but with an adaptation of the same model used by Roche et al. (2011).
- 212 Comparably, the new simulations also match closely with the NGRIP temperatures, but only until 17 ka BP, when they start to warm more rapidly and do not replicate Heinrich Stadial 1 cooling or the
- 214 subsequent Bølling Warming.

The simulation performed by Obase and Abe-Ouchi (2019), is unique in that it is able to
simulate a weak AMOC during the onset of the deglaciation and the Bølling Warming without releasing (and then stopping) an unrealistically large amount of freshwater. Instead, they input a
gradually increasing amount of meltwater that remains at or below the level of ice volume loss in the reconstruction. At ~14.7 ka BP, the AMOC and Greenland surface air temperature abruptly increases
due to the gradual warming of the Southern Ocean and increased formation of Antarctic Bottom

- Water reaching a threshold whilst the meltwater flux in the North Atlantic is maintained, overcoming
- the effect of the meltwater. This simulation still does not consider Meltwater Pulse 1a and has lower





than observed meltwater input before that point, yet it is distinctive in its ability to replicate a weak 224 ocean circulation in the early deglaciation and the Bølling Warming even with a continuous freshwater flux.

226

Despite the decades of research simulating the last deglaciation and numerous observable records of this time period, uncertainty still remains about the mechanisms that cause the recorded 228 climate signals as well as how to replicate them 'realistically' in model simulations, and therefore how to unravel the meltwater paradox. Furthermore, CO₂ and orbital forcing are also shown to impact the

230 course of the deglaciation and the occurrence of abrupt climate changes (i.e., results shown by Oka et al. 2012; Klockmann et al. 2016, 2018; Zhang et al. 2017; Sherriff-Tadano et al. 2018), and also 232 potentially modulate the sensitivity of the AMOC to freshwater fluxes (Obase and Abe-Ouchi 2019;

Sun et al. 2022). Liu et al. (2009) demonstrate that the warming in TraCE-21ka between 17 and 14.67

- 234 ka BP is dominated by the CO_2 forcing (over the orbital forcing; see their Fig. S6a), which coincides with the first major rise of atmospheric CO_2 in their simulation. Whereas Gregoire et al. (2015) find,
- using a couple climate-ice sheet model (FAMOUS-GLIMMER) and following the deglacial simulation 236 of Gregoire et al. (2012), that by 9 ka BP, orbital forcing caused 50% of the reduction in ice volume,
- 238 greenhouse gases 30%, and the interaction between the two caused the last 20% in their simulations. Sun et al. (2022) shows the affect that these forcings have on the sensitivity of the AMOC with the use
- 240 of multiple sensitivity experiments from an Earth system model (COSMOS). Their experiments demonstrate that a weak AMOC (in a Heinrich Stadial 1-like state, for example) is more likely to
- 242 recover (like that of the Heinrich Stadial 1 to Bølling Warming transition) with a higher atmospheric CO_2 concentration, and that larger ice sheets result in a stronger AMOC that is less sensitive to
- 244 meltwater fluxes. These findings highlight the importance of solving the convolved issue of model sensitivity to specific forcings/boundary conditions and the initial climate condition, and model
- 246 dependency of simulation results—the crux of the remaining unknowns.

The Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project (PMIP) has been internationally 248 coordinating multi-model simulations for over 30 years (Braconnot et al. 2007, 2012) to tackle such unknowns. The PMIP working groups have developed protocols to facilitate the effort of simulating

- 250 past global climate changes by providing an outline of the model set-up to use (i.e., boundary conditions and forcings), allowing for easier cross-model comparison and the international pooling
- 252 of resources to examine differing hypotheses to explain past climates, including uncertain model inputs (such as forcings and boundary conditions). As part of PMIP4, the last deglaciation protocol
- 254 (Ivanovic et al. 2016) encompasses a broad range of models and is intentionally designed to be flexible, in order to be a suitable technical and computational undertaking for all participants and to





- 256 allow exploration of different climate scenarios. Instead of one specific and rigid configuration for the experiment design, modelling groups are given a choice of recommended forcings and boundary
- 258 conditions. Thus, analysing model output of multiple simulations of the last deglaciation provides the opportunity to look at differences between experimental designs and their impact on the onset of the
- 260 deglaciation using different models, though it can also be challenging. For example, it is difficult to compare directly different model results in a strict 'benchmarking' (Braconnot et al. 2012; Harrison
- et al. 2015) framework, and there may be mismatched ranges of forcing within which to examine model sensitivities. However, to some extent this is already the case for transient simulations, even if
- 264 a strictly singular protocol is applied, as initial conditions or simulated events can impact later results, such as the starting AMOC condition or whether an abrupt cooling did or did not occur. Furthermore,
- 266 the technical implementation of boundary conditions/forcings in different models also leads to discrepancies in their configuration.
- 268

270

The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) has set a precedent for how to analyse ensembles of simulations with inconsistent experimental designs, such as those testing different Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs), and the more recent incorporation of PMIP simulations in CMIP has allowed for a better understanding of model biases and therefore, how to better compare

- 272 their output. In addition, past flexible protocols and 'ensembles of opportunity' comprising simulations of contrasting model boundary conditions and forcings (e.g., Schmidt et al. 2012; Lunt et
- 274 al. 2012; Kageyama et al. 2013) have shown the value of experiment design flexibility for understanding climate change in a multi-model framework without committing all resources to
- 276 specific paradigms. In short, it can be scientifically advantageous for groups to have the opportunity to determine their own focus whilst still contributing computational expensive and technically
- 278 challenging simulations towards an overarching, multi-model aim of learning more about physical behaviours in response to different simulated scenarios.
- 280

282

This study compares 17 simulations of the last deglaciation from nine different climate models with dissimilar experimental designs. Our aim is to take advantage of the numerous simulations available to better understand the chain of events and mechanisms of climate changes in

the early last deglaciation (i.e., from 20 to 15 ka BP), and our collective ability to simulate them. We focus on the early deglaciation because although models may start differently from the LGM, the

- divergence from each other is smaller in comparison to further into the deglaciation. We investigate
- 286 the similarities and differences between the model results and what aspects of the variations in the model output can be attributed to the experimental design or model biases by analysing the transition
- 288 from the LGM, when and where the warming starts, and the impact of freshwater forcing. We also





address the meltwater paradox by discussing the results of meltwater scenario choices made by the

290 modelling groups.

2. Experiment designs across the ensemble

$\Delta J \Delta$ I uple 1. Detail of simulations referenced in the mati-model intercombariso	292	Table 1: Detail of simu	ulations reference	ced in the muti-i	nodel intercomparisor
---	-----	-------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-----------------------

Model	Resolution	Simulation Reference Name	Publication (model; simulation)	Simulation Duration (ka BP)	Prescribed Ice Sheet	GHG	Meltwater Scenario
CCSM3	Atmosphere: 2.8° with 26 levels Ocean: 1° x 0.3° with 25 levels	TraCE-21ka	Collins et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2009	22 - 0	ICE-5G	Joos and Spahni 2008	TraCE-21ka
FAMOUS	Atmosphere: 7.5° x 5° with 11 levels Ocean: 3.75° x 2.5° with 20 levels	FAMOUS	Smith et al. 2008;Gregoire et al. 2012	20 - 13	ICE-5G	Based on PMIP2; see Harrison et al. (2002)	Bespoke (Fig. 1f)
HadCM3B	Atmosphere: 3.75° x 2.5° with 19 levels	HadCM3_uniform	Valdes et al. 2017; Snoll et al. 2022 and this study	23 – 2 ka CE	ICE-6G_C	Loulergue et al. 2008; Schilt et al. 2010:	Melt-uniform
	Ocean: 1.25° with 20 levels	HadCM3_routed				Bereiter et al. 2015	Melt-routed
		HadCM3_TraCE					TraCE-like
iCESM	Atmosphere: 2.5° x 1.9° with 30 levels Ocean: 1° with 60 levels	iTraCE	Hurrell et al. 2013; He et al. 2021	21 - 11	ICE-6G_C	Lüthi et al. 2008	TraCE-like
iloveclim	Atmosphere: 5.6° with 3	iLOVE_uniform_ice6gc	Goosse et al. 2010; Bouttes	21 - 9	ICE-6G_C	Loulergue et al. 2008; Schilt et al. 2010;	Melt-uniform
	Ocean: 3° with 20 levels	iLOVE_routed_ice6gc	- Ct III. 2022			Bereiter et al. 2015	Melt-routed
		iLOVE_uniform_glac			GLAC-1D	_	Melt-uniform
		iLOVE_routed_glac	-				Melt-routed
LOVECLIM	Atmosphere: 5.6° with 3 vertical levels Ocean: 3° with 20 levels, dynamic vegetation model	LOVECLIM	Goosse et al. 2010; This study, but similar to simulations by Menviel et al. (2011)	21 - 11.8	ICE-5G	Köhler et al. 2017	TraCE-like
MIROC	Atmosphere: 2.8° with 20 levels Ocean: 1.4° with 43 levels	MIROC	Hasumi and Emori 2004; Obase and Abe-Ouchi 2019	21 - 13	ICE-6G_C	Loulergue et al. 2008; Schilt et al. 2010; Bereiter et al. 2015	Bespoke (gradual increase)
MPI-ESM-CR	Atmosphere: 3.75° with 31 levels Ocean: 3° with 40 levels	MPI_global_ice6gc	Giorgetta et al. 2013; Kapsch et al. 2022	26 - 0	ICE-6G_C	Köhler et al. 2017	<i>Melt-uniform</i> (Global meltwater flux)
		MPI_routed_ice6gc	-				Melt-routed
		MPI_routed_glac	1		GLAC-1D		Melt-routed
UVic	Atmosphere: 3.6° x 1.8° Ocean: 3.6° x 1.8° with 19 levels	UVic_shorthosing UVic_longhosing	Weaver et al. 2001; This study, but based on LGM simulations by Muglia and Schmittner (2015, 2021)	21 - 14	ICE-6G_C	dynamic	Bespoke

294 The comparison presented here is based on 17 simulations produced independently by eight different palaeoclimate modelling groups, using nine different climate models (Table 1). Most groups

296 have followed the most recent PMIP4 last deglaciation protocol for their experimental design, while others use older publications for boundary conditions or a more *bespoke* configuration depending on





- 298 their own modelling goals. The simulations from HadCM3, LOVECLIM, iLOVECLIM, iCESM, MIROC, and MPI modelling groups use greenhouse gas configurations on the AICC2012 age model of Veres et
- al. (2013) (Fig. 1b). *FAMOUS* and *TraCE-21ka* use an older age model in which the deglacial rise in CO₂
 starts one thousand years later. All simulations prescribe insolation following Berger (1978). The
- 302 PMIP4 last deglaciation protocol recommends using the GLAC-1D (Ivanovic et al., 2016) and/or ICE-6G_C (Peltier et al., 2015) ice sheet reconstructions. HadCM3, iCESM, MIROC and UVic modelling
- 304 groups opted for ICE-6G_C, MPI and iLOVECLIM simulations use both ICE-6G_C and GLAC1-D, and FAMOUS, LOVECLIM, and TraCE-21ka use the older ICE-5G (Peltier 2004).
- Freshwater forcing across the ensemble is more complex. The PMIP4 last deglaciation protocol recommends two different meltwater scenarios (*melt-routed* and *melt-uniform*) based on ice
 volume change as calculated from the ice sheet reconstruction chosen by the modelling group (GLAC-
- 1D and ICE-6G_C are recommended). The *melt-uniform* scenario is a globally uniform freshwater flux
- 310 or salinity adjustment through time applied throughout the whole ocean to conserve water mass during deglaciation of the ice sheets, whereas the *melt-routed* scenario is a distributed routing that
- 312 gives the flux of freshwater through time at individual meltwater river outlets along the coast (Ivanovic et al. 2016; Riddick et al. 2018 - used by MPI).
- Because a large discrepancy between the simulations is the prescribed freshwater flux scenario (Fig. 1c-e), and ice sheet meltwater fluxes are known to have a major impact on ocean
- 316 circulation and climate (see above), the simulations have been grouped into four categories based on their meltwater forcing: *melt-routed*, *melt-uniform*, those based on the *TraCE-21ka A* simulation
- 318 (henceforth referred to as '*TraCE-like*'; Liu et al. 2009), and '*bespoke*' scenarios that fall outside of the other three categories. Within these categories, however, there is variation in how the freshwater
- 320 forcing is derived from the ice sheet reconstruction as well as in the technical implementation of the chosen meltwater scenario (for example, for the *melt-routed* and *melt-uniform* scenarios, see Wickert
- 2016, section 2.2.2 for HadCM3; Kapsch et al. 2022; section 2 for MPI; Bouttes et al. 2022, section 2.4 for iLOVECLIM). For the *melt-routed* simulations, the modelling groups then release the calculated
- 324 meltwater flux to ocean grid cells according to the distribution calculated by the individual groups' drainage network models (see respective papers). For the *melt-uniform* simulations, HadCM3 and
- 326 iLOVECLIM modelling groups apply a globally uniform freshwater flux throughout the entire volume of the ocean, whereas the MPI modelling group applies a freshwater flux at the surface of the ocean
- 328 or land. Because of this nuance, the MPI *melt-uniform* simulation is instead labelled as a 'global surface meltwater flux' but is still placed in the *melt-uniform* category for our analysis.





330	We somewhat over-simplistically refer to PMIP4 meltwater scenarios as 'realistic', because
	they are based on the chosen ice sheet reconstruction prescribed in the simulation. Nonetheless, it is
332	important to note that the precise history of the meltwater flux (distribution and rates) remains quite
	uncertain, as hinted at by differences in the reconstructions. Between 20 and 15 ka BP, the 'realistic'
334	freshwater flux according to ICE-6G_C does not exceed 0.1 Sv and that according to GLAC-1D only
	exceeds 0.1 Sv as it nears Meltwater Pulse 1a.
336	In the TraCE-like simulations, the strategy of prescribing freshwater to induce an inferred
	AMOC history requires the freshwater flux to reach nearly 0.2 Sv or greater-twice the 'realistic'
338	amount based on sea level records (Fig. 1d; Carlson and Clark 2012; Lambeck et al. 2014).
	For the bespoke-freshwater cluster of simulations, MIROC implements a gradually increasing
340	flux that always remains below the 'realistic' values. <i>FAMOUS</i> uses a reconstructed flux based on an
	earlier estimate from sea level records (produced as part of the ORMEN project; more information
342	provided by Gregoire (2010)), which follows the more up-to-date ice sheet reconstructions relatively
	closely except when a larger freshwater flux is applied at two points during Heinrich Stadial $1 \\$
344	(between 19 and 17 ka BP; corresponding to the acceleration of Northern Hemisphere ice loss, as
	noted by Carlson and Clarke, 2012, and the melt of the Eurasian ice sheet as reconstructed by Hughes
346	et al. (2016)). The UVic simulations use a total freshwater flux calculated as three times the sea level
	changes reconstructed by Lambeck et al. (2014); one scenario where the freshwater flux is applied

between 19 and 15 ka BP (*Uvic_longhosing*) and one where the flux is only applied between 19 and17 ka BP (*Uvic_shorthosing*; Table 1).







350

Fig. 1: Climate forcings for the simulations. (a) Ice volume loss since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM; 21 ka BP) as part of the
 ICE-6G_C ice sheet reconstruction (Argus et al., 2014; Peltier et al., 2015) and the GLAC-1D ice sheet reconstruction (Tarasov and Peltier 2002; Tarasov et al. 2012; Briggs et al. 2014; Ivanovic et al. 2016). (b) Atmospheric greenhouse gas

358

The UVic simulations include a dynamic carbon cycle model with prognostic atmospheric CO₂ aiming to replicate the sedimentary records of deep ocean carbon. The freshwater flux is, therefore, tuned to replicate the AMOC structure associated with these sedimentary records, but the location of

³⁵⁴ concentrations dependent on simulation set-up. (d)-(f) Freshwater flux (Sv) for simulations with imposed meltwater. Meltuniform simulations have the same total meltwater flux into the global ocean as melt-routed simulations (d), but in melt-

³⁵⁶ uniform scenarios, the freshwater is spread through the entire ocean or across the whole ocean surface (see main text) rather than at point sources and hence are so diluted/uniformly distributed as to have limited direct forcing power.





- 362 the meltwater input is based on plume positions like those of the HadCM3 simulations. The UVic simulations are included in the broader comparisons presented here (i.e., Fig. 3Fig. 5). However,
- 364 because of their uniqueness of experiment design and motivation, the differences between the UVic simulations and the wider multi-model ensemble are too great for a more detailed comparison of
- 366 results, and they are therefore omitted from further analysis and discussion in this study.



³⁶⁸

Fig. 2: Centennial means for (a)-(d) Greenland (between 65 and 82° N and 30 and 55 °W) surface air temperature anomaly
 from approximately the LGM (20 – 19.5 ka BP) for each simulation; (e)-(h) Maximum AMOC of the Northern Hemisphere at depth between 500 and 3500 meters. For comparison, (a)-(d) includes Greenland surface air temperature proxy record from

372 Buizert et al. (2014), plotted as an anomaly from 20 ka BP in black and (e)-(h) includes the AMOC proxy ²³¹Pa/^{230Th} composite record published by Ng et al. (2018) in black - note arbitrary y-axis scaling.

374







3. Results/Discussion

Fig. 3: Zonal average of decadal mean surface air temperature as anomalies from the LGM (20 – 19.5 ka BP) for each simulation. 18, 17, 16, and 15 ka BP are calculated as 60-year decadal means centred around the respective time period (e.g., from 17.97 to 18.03 ka BP for 18 ka BP).

380

376

Here, we focus on the course of the deglaciation, how it is impacted by the freshwater forcing, and
how this relationship differs on a model-to-model and experimental design-to-experimental design
basis. The trajectory of the AMOC in the Northern Hemisphere for each simulation follows closely the

- 384 meltwater scenario chosen by the modelling group (Fig. 2). All the melt-routed, melt-uniform, and bespoke freshwater scenarios display a similar pattern throughout the deglaciation with a gradual
- 386 warming of surface air temperature in the high latitudes and stronger warming compared to the TraCE-like simulations in the Northern Hemisphere (Fig. 3). The similarity between the simulations

388 increases further into the deglaciation, with warming from the LGM in all regions by 16 ka BP for all the melt-routed, melt-uniform, and bespoke freshwater scenarios (Fig. 3 and S1). The TraCE-like

390 simulations, however, do not follow the same trajectory, and the Northern Hemisphere, specifically





the North Atlantic, remains colder than at the LGM for most of the early deglaciation, with only LOVECLIM and TraCE-21ka warming beyond the LGM in the North Atlantic by 15 ka BP (Fig. S2). This

- colder region in the North Atlantic is evident in a multi-model mean of the ensemble where, on average, the North Atlantic remains the coldest region throughout the early deglaciation (Fig. 4).
- Around the onset of Heinrich Stadial 1 (18 ka BP), more discrepancy between simulations arises (as indicated by disagreement even in the sign of change; Fig. 4) due to differences across the ensemble
- in when and where the deglaciation begins as well as the freshwater fluxes applied. However, by 15
 ka BP, at least 70% of simulations agree with the sign of the mean in most areas. More disagreement
- remains in the North Atlantic, the region of highest variance across the ensemble and where the 400 different freshwater fluxes used in the simulations have the most direct impact. The ensemble-wide
- consensus of a warming climate, however, is consistent with the increases in North Hemisphere
- 402 summer solar insolation and atmospheric CO2 (Fig. 1a, b).

404 4.1 Timing of the deglaciation

Between 20 and 15 ka BP, each of the meltwater groups, except for the *TraCE-like* simulations, have

- 406 relatively constant AMOC strengths. The *melt-uniform* simulations show the least millennial-scale variability in AMOC *melt-uniform* (Fig. 2e). The *melt-routed* simulations, in comparison, have more
- variation, aligned with the respective freshwater fluxes, and show a weakening trend starting at ~16.5 ka BP as freshwater input increases towards Meltwater Pulse 1a (Fig. 2f; Meltwater Pulse 1a
- 410 at 14.7 ka BP not shown). Like the *melt-routed* simulations, the *bespoke* simulations have more change that is consistent with the freshwater flux, but for all *bespoke* simulations except for *UVic_longhosing*,
- the AMOC strengths at 21 ka BP and at 15 ka BP are very similar.

The subset of *TraCE-like* simulations, on the other hand, show an abrupt weakening in AMOC 414 strength and an associated decrease in Greenland surface air temperature (anomaly from LGM,

- calculated as anomalies from the 500-year time window from 20 19.5 ka BP) beginning between 18
- 416 and 17 ka BP depending on the simulation (Fig. 2c, g). The differences in timing of the decrease in temperature for the *TraCE-like* simulations are likely associated with the differences in timing and
- 418 magnitude of the freshwater flux. For instance, *iTraCE* shows an earlier and more abrupt cooling than*TraCE-21ka*. Despite both simulations reaching the same magnitude of freshwater at 17 ka BP, the
- 420 rate of freshwater input into the simulation between 19 ka BP and 17 ka BP differs. At 19 ka BP, there is a larger increase in the freshwater flux in *iTraCE*, which corresponds to a smaller, but rapid decrease
- 422 in the AMOC strength and Greenland surface air temperature at this same time. After 19 ka BP, the freshwater flux in *iTraCE* remains higher than in *TraCE-21ka*, and this is consistent with the sharper



426



decrease in surface air temperature in *iTraCE* in comparison to the relatively steady decrease in 424 temperature in TraCE-21ka.



428 Fig. 4: Column (a) Multi-model mean of decadal surface air temperature anomaly from the LGM (20 - 19.5 ka BP) at each timestep labelled (not including the UVic simulations). Hatching denotes areas in which less than 70% of the models agree 430 with the sign of the mean. Column (b) Same as Column (a) but showing the variance. Filled circles show the proxy surface

434

HadCM3_TraCE uses the same meltwater scenario as TraCE-21ka, but instead of a gradual response, there is a more abrupt decrease in the Greenland surface air temperature at \sim 17.5 ka BP 436 and temperatures drop. The drop is as low as in *iTraCE* (with respect to the LGM) and occurs after the freshwater flux has decreased for both TraCE-21ka and HadCM3_TraCE. Note, that TraCE-21ka

temperature stack from Shakun et al. (2012) on the same colour scale. 18, 17, 16, and 15 ka BP are calculated as 60-year 432 decadal means centred around the respective time period (e.g., from 17.97 to 18.03 ka BP for 18 ka BP).





- 438 and HadCM3_TraCE, however, are configured with different boundary conditions (i.e., HadCM3_TraCE uses greenhouse gas conditions on the AICC2012 timescale and the ICE-6G_C ice sheet
- 440 reconstruction, whereas the CCSM3 TraCE-21ka simulation uses ICE-5G) with the exclusion of the freshwater forcing. This suggests that the freshwater forcing is a dominant driver of the abrupt
- 442 changes displayed in both simulations; however, the differences between them might contribute to the differences in sensitivity to the meltwater flux.
- 444 In addition, although the meltwater scenario for LOVECLIM is based upon TraCE-21ka, the freshwater flux begins later, at 17 ka BP. Presumably because of this, the decrease in surface air
- temperature and AMOC strength is also delayed until 17 ka BP. The freshwater input is also much 446 more abrupt in comparison to TraCE-21ka and iTraCE, corresponding to the rapid transition in the
- 448 AMOC and surface air temperature at 17 ka BP. The implications of these differences amongst the simulations in the *TraCE-like* meltwater group are further described in section 4.4.
- 450

452

The GLAC-1D ice sheet reconstruction has more variable meltwater input in comparison to ICE-6G_C, at least partly due to the more frequent updates of the ice sheet geometry and associated boundary conditions (every 100 years compared to every 500 years; Fig. 1c). This more variable meltwater forcing presents itself in the higher variability of the AMOC strength and Greenland surface

- 454 air temperature (Fig. 2b, f; e.g., the sharp decline and subsequent increase in temperature and AMOC strength at ~18.5 ka BP in MPI_routed_glac that occurs at the same time as an increase in meltwater
- 456 release).
- All the simulations that do not follow the TraCE-like meltwater forcing follow a similar 458 trajectory throughout the deglaciation with a gradual warming of surface air temperature in Greenland, except for the UVic simulations. The UVic simulations differ presumably because of the
- 460 bespoke freshwater flux that ends earlier than the end of Heinrich Stadial 1 for the short-hosed simulation and after Meltwater Pulse 1a for the long-hosed simulation. The resultant impacts on the
- 462 dynamically simulated carbon cycle causes atmospheric CO₂ concentrations to decrease during AMOC weakening, which contradicts reconstructions of this time period (e.g., Bereiter et al. 2015; Ng et al.
- 464 2018). Hence, in UVic_longhosing, decadal surface air temperature remains cold throughout the onset of the deglaciation, and UVic_shorthosing does not begin to warm in the Northern Hemisphere until
- 466 the freshwater hosing is turned off at 17 ka BP (Fig. 2). Across the ensemble, significant warming (defined by using a one-sided Student t-test of 99%
- 468 confidence with 100-year samples of surface air temperature annual means and 20 – 19.5 ka BP as the LGM reference period) from the LGM occurs in most parts of the world by 18 ka BP except for in
- 470 the tropics where significant warming does not occur until as late as 15 – 16 ka BP (Fig. 5). The earlier





472 CAPE-Last Interglacial Project Members 2006; Park et al. 2019; Kapsch et al. 2021) and the impact of polar amplification; whereas the warming in the tropics is more delayed and correlates with the 474 timing of CO_2 concentration increases (Fig. 2Fig. 1b, and S3a-d). This pattern is aligned with the results from Roche et al. (2011) (see Fig. 4 by Roche et al. (2011); of which the analysis of Fig. 5 in 476 this study is based, but the LGM reference period is earlier than used here), that similarly show an earlier warming in the northern and southern high latitudes and delayed warming in the tropics. The 478 effect of the freshwater forcing on the global temperature, however, would not be incorporated in the no-melt simulations from Roche et al. (2011). Nevertheless, in the TraCE-like simulations, the 480 meltwater impact is evident by the strong cold anomalies in the North Atlantic, the region where most of the freshwater forcing is applied or drained into (Fig. 3 and 4). Warming in this region, 482 therefore, does not occur until much later in the deglaciation in comparison to the other simulations. This dissimilarity in the timing of the onset of the deglacial warming is also evident in global surface air temperature anomalies from the LGM (Fig. 4 and S1). Early in the deglaciation, at 18 ka 484 BP, there is disagreement between simulations as to the timing and magnitude of the warming as well 486 as to which regions. For instance, MPL_routed_glac has warmed ~4 °C in the North Atlantic by 18 ka BP, whereas MIROC still has colder regions throughout the tropics and Pacific with respect to the LGM

warming in the high northern latitudes is likely associated with the increase in insolation (Fig. 1a;

- 488 and has only started to warm in the high latitudes, most likely associated with insolation increases (Fig. S1).
- 490 By 16 ka BP, all the non-*TraCE-like* simulations show consistent warming throughout the globe, whereas the *TraCE-like* simulations still have the strong cooling in the North Atlantic associated
- 492 with the freshwater input (Fig. 3 and S1). In the *TraCE-like* simulations (most evident in *HadCM3_TraCE* and *TraCE-21ka*), the earlier deglacial warming in the Southern Hemisphere and the
- delayed warming in the Northern Hemisphere are due to the bipolar seesaw (Broecker 1998; Stocker
 1998) associated with the simulated slowdown of AMOC within Heinrich Stadial 1 (He et al. 2013),
- 496 with many areas not departing from their glacial climates until after 15 ka BP (Fig. 5). This is less evident in *LOVECLIM*, potentially because the cooling from the freshwater flux occurs later, at 17 ka
- 498 BP, and therefore, significant warming has already occurred beforehand (as also evident by the zonal surface air temperature means; Fig. 3).
- 500 Unlike the other non-*TraCE-like* simulations, *FAMOUS* does not warm in the tropics until later in the deglaciation due to its late rise in atmospheric CO₂ forcing. *TraCE-21ka* similarly warms later
 502 further south in the tropics, compared to *HadCM3_TraCE* and *iTraCE*, which use the AICC2012 age model for greenhouse gas forcing. The iLOVECLIM and MPI simulations, in comparison, have





- 504 significant warming in most areas from the immediate onset of the deglaciation. Additional similarities are evident even amongst simulations that use the same model but different meltwater-
- 506 scenarios, e.g., between HadCM3_uniform and HadCM3_routed and between MPI_routed_ice6gc and MPI_global_ice6gc. The HadCM3 simulations have a matching cooling region around the Labrador Sea
- 508 and Gulf Stream, and the MPI simulations have a matching cooling region in the Nordic Seas that each persist until ~16 ka BP (more detail in section 4.3). UVic remains unique amongst the simulations
- 510 assessed in this study, because between 20 and 15 ka BP, most regions do not warm from the LGM. The CO₂ increase begins to take precedent in *UVic_shorthosing* after 17 ka BP and the melting ice
- 512 sheets in North America and Fennoscandia show familiar warming patterns in the Northern Hemisphere for ICE-6G_C: a pattern also evident in the other simulations using ICE-6G_C. This
- 514 warming still exists for *UVic_longhosing*, but with lower amplitude.







Fig. 5: Year of first significant warming from 20 ka BP, where 'significant warming' is determined using a one-sided Student t-518test with 100-year samples of surface air temperature annual means, 99% confidence, and 20 – 19.5 ka BP as the reference

period (LGM). Hatching denotes where significant warming did not occur before 13 ka BP.

520

516





Despite the disagreements with the timing of the deglaciation on an individual scale, the sign 522 of the multi-model mean of decadal surface temperature shares close agreement with the surface temperature stack produced by Shakun et al. (2012), most significantly in the Southern Hemisphere 524 (Fig. 4). The median point-by-point difference between the multi-model mean and the proxy data is less than 1 °C between 18 and 15 ka BP, with a median of only 0.015 °C at 18 ka BP that increases to 526 0.993 °C by 15 ka BP, indicating that the multi-model mean of the ensemble replicates the Shakun et al. (2012) proxy stack relatively well, but that disagreement with the proxy record grows further into 528 the deglaciation. The largest discrepancies between the model output and reconstruction occur in the North Atlantic and Greenland (after 18 ka BP), which are also areas of more disagreement across the 530 model ensemble (Fig. S4). This is the region where there are the most proxy records, and therefore potentially the location in which the deglacial climate evolution is the best constrained (at least 532 compared to the Pacific sector, for example). The North Atlantic is also the region where most models would show agreement for similar AMOC change, however these simulations show various AMOC evolutions. The multi-model mean tends to be cooler than the proxy data in most locations, especially 534 the Southern Hemisphere, but is warmer in some parts of the North Atlantic, Alaska, and off the coast 536 of Japan. With much of the Northern Hemisphere too warm and Southern Hemisphere too cold, this could argue that the representation of the bipolar seesaw is too pronounced in some simulations. 538 Interestingly, although the TraCE-like meltwater group represents the cold areas of the North Atlantic well, those simulations have difficulty replicating the warmer core locations in this same region. 540 Conversely, the other meltwater groups present the opposite difficulty—they are better at replicating the warmer regions of the North Atlantic while failing to represent the cold ones (not shown). This 542 suggests the potential need for subsequent investigations of broader model structure and how we interpret reconstructions (i.e., specific data points).

544

4.2 Linking surface climate, ocean circulation, and greenhouse gas forcing

546 In every simulation, there is the expected interrelation between surface air temperature in the North Atlantic, CO₂ concentration, and AMOC. As CO₂ increases, surface air temperature increases, as

- 548 demonstrated by the increasing trends on each panel of Fig. 6 surface air temperature is higher when the AMOC is stronger, clearly shown by LOVECLIM. This relationship is illustrated further by the R²
- values determined by a linear regression model across the entire period between 20 and 15 ka BP on a decadal temporal scale (Fig. 7Fig. 8). The results from the linear regression show that during the
- 552 period of 20 to 15 ka BP, surface air temperature in the *TraCE-like* simulations has a stronger positive correlation with AMOC, and the other simulations in the ensemble have a stronger positive





- 554 correlation with CO₂. For instance, the *TraCE-like* simulations have higher R² values in the North Atlantic than the other meltwater groups, presumably because changes between AMOC and surface
- 556air temperature correspond in the *TraCE-like* simulations between 20 and 15 ka BP, whereas the other
simulations have a stable ocean circulation and very little temperature change during this time period
- 558 (Fig. 2). FAMOUS, which has a stronger freshwater forcing between 20 and 15 ka BP in comparison to the other non-*TraCE-like* simulations, also has higher R² values in the North Atlantic region, though
- 560 dampened relative to that of the *TraCE-like* simulation. The simulations with little AMOC and surface air temperature change show very low correlations between the two variables throughout the globe
- 562 (e.g., iLOVECLIM simulations, the ICE-6G_C MPI simulations, and MIROC). However, the *melt-routed* GLAC-1D simulations, in comparison to their ICE-6G_C same-model counterparts, exhibit higher
- correlations, especially in the case of *MPI_routed_glac*.

The positive slope in the North Atlantic region for the *TraCE-like* simulations demonstrates the positive correlation between AMOC and surface air temperature changes, whereas the rest of the globe has a more negative correlation in most simulations, regardless of their meltwater group. This

- relationship is representative of the bipolar seesaw. The *TraCE-21ka* simulation most clearly exhibits this bipolar connection between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres with a strong positive
- 570 correlation between AMOC and surface air temperature in the North Atlantic and a strong negative correlation in the Southern Ocean.

572







574 Fig. 6: Absolute surface air temperature over the North Atlantic (between 35 and 60° N and -60 and 0° E) as a function of CO₂ concentration with symbols' shading representing the strength of the AMOC (Sv) split into groups defined by meltwater

576 scenario. 50-year means are shown for each simulation except for MIROC, for which decadal means are shown to capture its temporally finer-scale variability. See Fig. S5 for the same analysis displayed as anomalies from 20 ka BP.

578

The relationship between CO₂ and surface air temperature (Fig. 8) in the Northern Hemisphere is nearly opposite to the relationship between AMOC strength and surface air temperature for *HadCM3_TraCE*, *iTraCE*, and *TraCE-21ka*, with the areas of strong and positive correlation between AMOC and surface air temperature showing weaker and negative correlation between CO₂ and surface air temperature. This suggests that in the early deglaciation, if the AMOC is weakening/already weak because of the freshwater forcing when CO₂ starts to rise, the impact of CO₂ might be dampened or postponed in the Northern Hemisphere, whereas a strong correlation with surface air temperature remains in the Southern Hemisphere. The results by Sun et al. (2022) show





that the CO₂ increase could assist with the later transition out the weak AMOC state and into the 588 Bølling Warming (not shown here). Unlike the other simulations in the *TraCE-like* meltwater group,

- the strength of correlation between AMOC and surface air temperature versus with CO₂ does not
 change for *LOVECLIM*, potentially because the AMOC weakening and the CO₂ increase are concurrent.
 The simulations with weaker correlation between CO₂ and surface air temperature in regions of the
- 592 tropics (e.g., *FAMOUS* and parts of Sub-Saharan Africa in *MIROC*, *MPI_global_ice6gc*, and *HadCM3_routed*) also display delayed warming in these same locations (Fig. 5). Increases in obliquity
- 594are shown to delay warming in the tropics, specifically in these same parts of Africa as well as India,
potentially due to increased cloud coverage and therefore cooling (Erb et al. 2013). In addition, the
- ⁵⁹⁶ lag between the start of the CO_2 concentration increase (~18 ka BP or later depending on the timescale used) and the insolation increase (~20 ka BP) can disrupt the correlation between CO_2 and
- 598 surface air temperature and create a localised delay in warming of the tropics (as also demonstrated in Fig. 5). Note that the analysis in Figures 7 and 8 only goes until 15 ka BP whereas the analysis in
- 600 Fig. 5 reaches until 13 ka BPFig. 5. The simulations with the very weak correlations between AMOC and surface air temperature (iLOVECLIM, MPI simulations, and MIROC) demonstrate globally high
- 602 correlations with CO₂ except for a few concentrated regions. These regions of lower correlation are similar between simulations run by the same model and could indicate changes in upwelling strength
- 604 during this time period.

It is important to note, however, that during the chosen time period, only the *TraCE-like* simulations have strong and corresponding changes in the AMOC and surface air temperature. The suggested relationships could be checked by continuing this study through the later parts of the

608 deglaciation to encompass greater amplitudes of change in the non-*TraCE-like* simulations.







Fig. 7: Spatial correlation of AMOC strength and surface air temperature using a linear regression model for the time period
 20 - 15 ka BP using decadal means. Columns (a) and (c): R² values as a result of the linear regression. Columns (b) and (d):

612 corresponding slopes to simulation in Column (a) or (c) as a result of the linear regression.







614

Fig. 8: Spatial correlation of CO₂ concentration and surface air temperature using a linear regression model for the time

616 period 20 - 15 ka BP using decadal means. Columns (a) and (c): R² values as a result of the linear regression. Columns (b) and (d): corresponding slopes to simulation in Column (a) or (c) as a result of the linear regression.

618





4.3 Impact of different climate forcings on model output

- 620 In this study, we have included multiple simulations from the HadCM3, MPI, and iLOVECLIM modelling groups. These three modelling groups tested different PMIP4 boundary condition/forcing 622 options: for example, implementing the *melt-routed* or *melt-uniform* scenario for the same ice sheet and/or using different ice sheets and associated meltwater scenarios (Table 1). Experimenting with 624 the range of options the PMIP4 protocol enables us to review the impact of different climate forcings on the resultant model output. 626 The AMOC for each of the HadCM3, MPI, and iLOVECLIM simulations is impacted by the chosen meltwater scenario during the deglaciation (see section 4.1); however, between 21 and 15 ka BP, the differences between the AMOC trajectory appear to be less affected by the meltwater scenario 628 and instead more significantly affected by the choice of ice sheet reconstruction (Fig. 9). For instance, 630 when we compare the simulations with the different meltwater scenarios, but with the same ice sheet reconstruction (e.g., ICE-6G_C), i.e., HadCM3_uniform and HadCM3_routed, iLOVE_uniform_ice6gc and 632 *iLOVE_routed_ice6gc*, and *MPI_global_ice6gc* and *MPI_routed_ice6gc*, we notice multiple similarities between the deglaciation trajectory, spatially and temporally. For instance, the HadCM3 simulations 634 begin at a very similar surface air temperature in the North Atlantic at the start of the deglaciation (\sim 4 °C at 21 ka BP) and follow a comparable warming trajectory until 15 ka BP (reaching \sim 7 °C; Fig. 9) despite the application of different meltwater scenarios, though the *melt-routed* simulation does 636 remain colder in the North Atlantic than the *melt-uniform* simulation throughout the time period. In addition, spatially, as anomalies from the LGM (Fig. 3 and S1), the simulations look almost 638 indistinguishable. Both display surface air temperature cooling along the Gulf Stream, and warming 640 in locations of ice sheet melt, such as the Eurasian ice sheet in Fennoscandia and at the edge of the Laurentide ice sheet in North America. The most evident difference between the simulations is that 642 HadCM3_uniform is colder than HadCM3_routed in the Labrador Sea and warmer in the Norwegian Seas, corresponding with differences in sea ice concentration—HadCM3_uniform has a higher sea ice 644 concentration in the Labrador Sea than HadCM3 routed and a lower concentration in the Norwegian Seas (Fig. S6A and B). This pattern also corresponds to the dissimilarities in the convection sites 646 between the two simulations as the *melt-uniform* simulation has more convection further south, along
- the sea ice edge, and in the Norwegian Seas, whereas the mixed-layer depth in the *melt-routed*simulation is deeper in the Labrador Sea (Fig. S6C). *HadCM3_TraCE* has the same dipole pattern as
 the other HadCM3 simulations, with cooling along the Gulf Stream and into Greenland and the
- 650 Labrador Sea, and warming over Fennoscandia; however, this signal is weak compared to the strong cooling in the North Atlantic due to the larger freshwater forcing applied.



652





Fig. 9: Absolute surface air temperature in the North Atlantic (between 35 and 60° N and -60 and 0° E) for the HadCM3, MPI-ESM, and iLOVECLIM simulations. Note: to capture variability, y-axis limits are not the same for each panel. Absolute surface air temperature in the North Atlantic for the entire ensemble is shown in Fig. S3e – h.

- Likewise, *MPI_global_ice6gc* and *MPI_routed_ice6gc* both begin at ~4 °C at the start of the deglaciation in the North Atlantic and then warm at a comparable rate, but slower than the HadCM3
 simulations, warming ~3 °C by 15 ka BP rather than ~5 °C. The MPI simulations, like the HadCM3 simulations, also share a similar spatial pattern with an area of strong cooling in the Nordic Seas and stronger warm patches off the coast of north-western North America and in the North Sea (Fig. S1). This pattern appears to be independent of the ice sheet reconstruction, because *MPI_routed_glac* has
- the same areas of relative cold and warmth at 18 ka BP, but the signal is weaker, likely because





MPI_routed_glac is ~5 °C warmer in the North Atlantic at the start of the deglaciation than the ICE 666 6G_C simulations. Temporally, however, *MPI_routed_glac* displays more surface air temperature

- variability in the North Atlantic with abrupt climate changes as large as 5 °C and AMOC decreases of
 ~9 Sv at ~18.2 and 15.2 ka BP, most likely following the higher-frequency variability in the meltwater
- input from the GLAC-1D ice sheet reconstruction (Fig. 2Fig. 6), but also because *MPI_routed_glac* is significantly colder at the LGM compared to its ICE-6G_C counterparts. Kapsch et al. (2022) show that
- the MPI simulations that are colder during the LGM lie closer to a critical threshold of AMOC variability. This aligns with the findings of Oka et al. (2012) and Klockmann et al. (2018) that
- demonstrate that the AMOC becomes more sensitive to perturbations, such as ice sheet topography, and the resultant wind stress, and CO₂ concentrations, when it is closer to an existing temperature
- threshold. Ensemble absolute surface air temperatures in the North Atlantic (Fig. S3e-h) show that multiple simulations in the ensemble are colder than *MPI_routed_glac* at the LGM, but only *MIROC's*
- AMOC appears to be close to a critical threshold of variability, as indicated by the changes in maximum AMOC strength towards 15 ka BP.
- *iLOVE_routed_glac* has a similar, but less pronounced, variability of the AMOC and
 corresponding decreases in Greenland surface air temperature to *MPI_routed_glac* (Fig. 2b). However,
 in the North Atlantic, neither the *iLOVE_routed_glac* simulation nor *iLOVE_uniform_glac* exhibit
- 682 significantly more variability than the ICE-6G_C iLOVECLIM simulations (relative to *MPI_routed_glac* and its ICE-6G_C counterparts). Spatially, the ICE-6G_C and GLAC-1D simulations are also nearly
- 684 indiscernible (Fig. S1), except at the beginning of the deglaciation in the Southern Hemisphere, where surface air temperatures remain cooler for longer in the GLAC-1D simulations. This suggests that
- 686 iLOVECLIM is less sensitive to freshwater perturbations than MPI-ESM-CR under these background conditions; however, this depends on how both modelling groups calculate their freshwater flux,
- 688 which can vary, technically, even though the same ice sheet reconstruction is used (see section 3), as well as, and potentially more importantly, the fact that these simulations are performed with two very
- 690 different models. For example, iLOVECLIM is an Earth system model of intermediate complexity (EMIC) with three atmospheric layers (see Table 1), whereas MPI-ESM-CR is an Earth system model
- 692 (ESM) with 31 atmospheric levels, and thus can represent topographic feedbacks on the atmosphere with higher complexity and at finer-scale resolution. Unfortunately, more simulations using a GLAC-
- 694 1D derived freshwater flux do not exist to compare to *MPI_routed_glac* and *iLOVE_routed_glac* and to get more robust results. Further simulations from other model types using both ice sheet
- 696 reconstructions would be beneficial to understanding whether the systematic differences between the models are contributing to the differences in sensitivity to the freshwater forcing.





698 4.4 Sensitivity of climate models to similar forcing(s) All simulations, with the exclusion of the UVic simulations, TraCE-21ka, and FAMOUS, use the 700 greenhouse gas forcing on the AICC20212 timescale, with an increase in atmospheric CO_2 concentration at ~17.5 ka BP. In contrast, in TraCE-21ka and FAMOUS, the CO₂ concentration does not 702 begin increasing until ~ 17 ka BP. This delayed increase in CO₂ postpones the warming of the deglaciation in these simulations, as is evident in the tropical regions (Fig. 3Fig. 5, and S3). MIROC, 704 despite not having a delayed CO_2 increase, also displays delayed warming in the tropics, like that of FAMOUS. This could be due to the stronger impact of the orbital forcing in MIROC taking precedent 706 over the CO₂ forcing earlier in the deglaciation (Obase and Abe-Ouchi 2019). Contrasting sensitivities of the models used for the *TraCE-like* simulations is evident in the 708 response of the AMOC to the freshwater forcing and corresponding changes in Greenland surface air temperature in the different models (Fig. 2). By 17 ka BP, all four simulations have reached a similar 710 and constant freshwater flux (with *iTraCE* ~0.05 Sy, or 33%, higher). The four simulations, however, begin with a range of different AMOC strengths. LOVECLIM has the strongest LGM AMOC at \sim 28 Sy, 712 TraCE-21ka with the weakest LGM AMOC at ~12 Sv, and HadCM3_TraCE and iTraCE are in the middle of the cluster, starting with an AMOC strength of ~24 Sv (see Table S1; Fig. 2g). Note that 714 HadCM3_TraCE and iTraCE start at 20 ka BP, whereas LOVECLIM and TraCE-21ka start at 21 and 22 ka BP respectively. 716 Despite beginning the deglaciation with the strongest AMOC, LOVECLIM's ocean circulation is also the most sensitive to the freshwater perturbation, causing its AMOC to crash to the weakest 718 AMOC state of all the simulations (Fig. 2g). The temperature change in the LOVECLIM simulation, however, is comparable to the temperature change in *TraCE-21ka* despite the very different AMOC 720 responses to the freshwater forcing. The AMOC collapses to nearly 0 Sv, but Greenland surface air temperature only decreases by \sim 5 °C. 722 The Greenland surface air temperature response in HadCM3_TraCE and iTraCE appears to be impacted similarly by the change in AMOC strength, with both simulations following comparable 724 trajectories throughout the deglaciation despite *iTraCE* having a larger freshwater flux. Both simulations exhibit an AMOC decrease of ~14 Sv and ~ -7 °C of temperature change between 19 and 726 16 ka BP. In addition, although TraCE-21ka and HadCM3_TraCE use the exact same freshwater flux, the HadCM3_TraCE simulation exhibits a decrease in AMOC strength of over ~ 14 Sv and a

728 corresponding decrease in surface air temperature of ~ 10 °C in Greenland, whereas *TraCE-21ka*'s AMOC strength weakens by only ~ 9 Sv and Greenland surface air temperature only decreases by ~ 4

730 °C. This suggests that the HadCM3 simulation is more sensitive to freshwater perturbations than

31





TraCE-21ka, but also that under the simulated climate conditions, Greenland surface air temperaturein HadCM3 is also more sensitive to corresponding AMOC changes compared to the other models.

- Additional exploration would be interesting to determine what different aspects between *HadCM3_TraCE* and *TraCE-21ka* could be contributing to the discrepancies in sensitivity (e.g.,
- whether it could be the initial conditions, other boundary conditions, parameter choices, or simply model structure). The lower sensitivity of CCSM3 to freshwater perturbations is further investigated
- by He and Clark (2022) by rerunning *TraCE-21ka* but with no freshwater input during the Holocene.
- 738 This version of the simulation is in better agreement with proxy Holocene AMOC kinematic reconstructions (McManus et al. 2004; Lippold et al. 2019).

740 The differences in model sensitivity are less observable in the simulations that apply meltwater forcing in accordance with the PMIP4 protocol's ice sheet consistent recommendations, as

742 discussed in section 4.3.

744 *4.5 Meltwater paradox*

There has been ongoing debate on how much meltwater to input into simulations of the last
deglaciation, and these results highlight the impact of the decision. The debate has stemmed from a so called 'meltwater paradox' that exists between the choice of large and geologically- inconsistent

- 748 meltwater forcings that successfully produce abrupt climate events versus glaciologically realistic meltwater fluxes that do not. This paradox is particularly evident in the last deglaciation during
- 750 Heinrich Stadial 1 and the Bølling Warming. Heinrich Stadial 1, for instance, is associated with weak ocean circulation strength (Lynch-Stieglitz 2017; Ng et al. 2018; Pöppelmeier et al. 2023a) and cold
- 752 climate conditions in multiple regions. There has been difficulty reconciling a weak AMOC concurrent with a small amount of 'realistic' freshwater release, as determined by the ice sheet reconstructions,

in model simulations of the early deglaciation. Because of this, some model experiments (e.g., simulations in the *TraCE-like* meltwater group) have required, by design, overly-large quantities of

- 756 freshwater forcing to collapse their initially strong AMOCs and produce an abrupt cooling event like that of the surface air temperature proxy records of the Hulu and Kulishu Caves (Wang et al. 2001;
- 758 Ma et al. 2012). Ivanovic et al. (2018) suggested that the AMOC weakening targeted in these simulations is too large, and that a smaller meltwater flux inducing more modest North Atlantic
- change may be sufficient to drive the recorded Heinrich Stadial climate. However, fully transient simulations that include only meltwater that is consistent with the ice sheet reconstructions (i.e.,
- 762 HadCM3_routed, MPI_routed_ice6gc, MPI_routed_glac, iLOVE_routed_ice6gc, iLOVE_routed_glac, and



764



their corresponding *melt-uniform* simulations), do not achieve either the AMOC change nor the surface climate signal of the Heinrich Stadial.

- In this context, the MIROC last deglaciation simulation, is unique because it simulates the weak AMOC and cold surface air temperatures of Heinrich Stadial 1 (Fig. 2h and S3h) and the resumption of the AMOC of the Bølling Warming without releasing an unrealistically large amount of freshwater (not shown as this paper only covers until 15 ka BP; see Obase and Abe-Ouchi 2019).
- Instead, a cold, weak-AMOC state is achieved with a gradually increasing meltwater flux that remains below the ice volume loss in the reconstruction. Notably, however, this simulation uses the *increasing*
- meltwater flux to regulate the timing of the abrupt resumption of the AMOC and therefore, displays a different sensitivity to freshwater input compared to the rest of the last deglaciation ensemble. This
- is likely in part due to the very weak LGM AMOC state at the start of the simulation, which also plays
- a role in the surface air temperature response and may make the simulation more susceptible to a small freshwater flux. As the insolation and CO₂ concentrations increase, *MIROC*'s AMOC begins to
- become unstable, and with the meltwater input into the North Atlantic gradually rising, it is eventually pushed to a point where the AMOC suddenly strengthens at ~14.7 ka BP (Obase and Abe-
- 778 Ouchi, 2019; the precise timing of AMOC recovery depends on the meltwater forcing). The AMOC and Greenland surface air temperature abruptly increase whilst the meltwater flux in the North Atlantic
- 780 is maintained. As the only PMIP4 last deglaciation simulation (LDv1 or previous) to simulate a weak ocean circulation at the onset of the deglaciation and then its later rapid resumption *even* with a
- 782 continuous freshwater flux, this simulation may offer important insight to the conditions under which abrupt deglacial climate change may occur. Nonetheless, even this model cannot reproduce the
- 784 Heinrich Stadial-Bølling Warming transition under Meltwater Pulse 1a-like freshwater forcing. Thus, the meltwater paradox of the last deglaciation remains.

786 4. Conclusion

This study presents results from 17 simulations of the early part of the last deglaciation (20-15 ka
BP) performed with nine different climate models. Our analyses show the first assessment of these simulations and display the similarities and differences between the model results as shown through

- 790 the timing of the deglaciation, spatial and temporal surface air temperature changes, the link between the surface climate, ocean circulation, and CO₂ forcing, and how the different models respond to
- 792 different forcings. The impact of the chosen meltwater scenario on the model output has defined every result of this multi-model intercomparison study. The course of the deglaciation is consistent
- between simulations except when the freshwater forcing is above 0.1 Sv-at least 70% of the





simulations agree that there is warming by 15 ka BP everywhere excluding the location of meltwater
 input. However, for simulations with freshwater forcings that exceed 0.1 Sv from 18 ka BP, warming

- is delayed in the North Atlantic and surface air temperature correlations with AMOC strength are much higher. The resultant impacts of CO₂ forcing and increasing insolation (i.e., ice sheet melt and
- surface temperature warming) are reduced by the large freshwater fluxes imposed, delaying thewarming in the Northern Hemisphere for these simulations. Nonetheless, the average of the ensemble
- displays the high latitudes beginning to deglaciate first in response to insolation and polar amplification and later warming occurring in the tropics in correlation with the rising CO₂ trajectory. The timing of the rise in CO₂ concentration differs between simulations depending on timescale of
- 804 the CO_2 reconstruction, delaying warming further in the tropics for simulations with a later CO_2 increase.
- 806 Simulations run by the same model (such as those from HadCM3, MPI-ESM, and iLOVECLIM) show comparable surface climate patterns despite the use of a different ice sheet reconstruction or
- 808 the *melt-routed* versus *melt-uniform* freshwater scenarios. The main differences noted during this time period include slower warming in the North Atlantic in the *melt-routed* simulations, additional
- 810 temporal variability in the GLAC-1D simulations, and faster warming in the GLAC-1D simulations. Simulations run with different models, but similar boundary conditions, provide insight into the
- 812 sensitivity of the model to a particular forcing. We suggest that LOVECLIM's AMOC is the most sensitive to freshwater perturbation and CCSM3's is the least sensitive; although, this is not
- 814 necessarily consistent with the sensitivity of the corresponding surface air temperature changes because of complexity in how surface air temperature is linked to AMOC and other transient climate
- 816 forcings.

This multi-model intercomparison project has been beneficial to compare simulations of different

- 818 forcings to represent some of the uncertainty of the time period; however, it has also posed the challenge of drawing direct model-to-model conclusions. It would be ideal to be able to compare more
- 820 simulations with the same experimental design to learn more about model sensitivities and test additional plausible scenarios of climate changes during the last deglaciation. Hence, this study may
- 822 guide the design of future protocols for multi-model comparisons of the last deglaciation. One of these protocols could also assist with narrowing down the uncertainties regarding the meltwater paradox;
- for instance, the simulations that follow the *TraCE-like* meltwater scenario display larger variability in the AMOC and Greenland surface air temperature, following more closely with proxy records of the
- 826 respective variables. However, to achieve this, the *TraCE-like* meltwater scenarios include freshwater fluxes that are much larger than the amount deemed 'realistic' by the ice volume change in ice sheet





- 828 reconstructions of the time period. In contrast, simulations that follow the ice sheet reconstruction, show less agreement with the AMOC and Greenland surface air temperature proxy records, but show
- 830 a more gradual warming throughout the deglaciation that has more agreement with surface temperature proxy records, globally. Because meltwater input that is not realistic has such a large
- 832 impact on the results—dominating over other deglacial forcings, there is difficulty comparing simulations that do and do not choose this *TraCE-like* scenario. Thus, additional experiments with an
- 834 ensemble of models testing out the meltwater scenario options could assist with unravelling the current meltwater paradox.

5. Code availability 836

Python code will be posted on a Git Hub repository called '*pmip ldv1 analysis snoll*'.

6. Data availability 838

Data will be available through a University of Leeds DOI that is currently being set up.

7. Author contribution 840

The study conception was developed by the PMIP4 Working Group, consisting of RI, LM, TO, AA, NB, MK, UM, and PV. BS, LG, SS, and RI contributed to the study design, with LM, TO, and AA providing 842 additional feedback and close communication with BS. The design of the experiments and running 844

- of them was performed by RI, LG, LM, TO, AA, NB, CH, FH, MK, UM, JM, and PV. Material preparation and data collection was performed by BS. The manuscript was prepared by BS with contributions from all co-authors, who read and approved the final manuscript. 846

8. Competing interests

848 LM is a member of the editorial board of Climate of the Past, but otherwise the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. The authors consent to participation and publication.

9. Funding 850

BS is supported by the Leeds-York-Hull Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Doctoral 852 Training Partnership (DTP) Panorama under grant NE/S007458/1.

References 10.

- 854 Alley RB (2000) The Younger Dryas cold interval as viewed from central Greenland. Quaternary Science Reviews 19:213-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(99)00062-1
- Argus DF, Peltier WR, Drummond R, Moore AW (2014) The Antarctica component of postglacial 856 rebound model ICE-6G_C (VM5a) based on GPS positioning, exposure age dating of ice





858	thicknesses, and relative sea level histories. Geophysical Journal International 198:537–563. https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu140
860	Armstrong E, Izumi K, Valdes P (2022) Identifying the mechanisms of DO-scale oscillations in a
862	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-022-06564-y
864	Bereiter B, Eggleston S, Schmitt J, et al (2015) Revision of the EPICA Dome C CO ₂ record from 800 to 600 kyr before present: Analytical bias in the EDC CO2 record. Geophys Res Lett 42:542–549. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061957
866 868	Berger AndréL (1978) Long-Term Variations of Daily Insolation and Quaternary Climatic Changes. J Atmos Sci 35:2362–2367. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1978)035<2362:LTV0DI>2.0.CO:2
000	
870	Bitz CM, Chiang JCH, Cheng W, Barsugli JJ (2007) Rates of thermohaline recovery from freshwater pulses in modern, Last Glacial Maximum, and greenhouse warming climates. Geophys Res Lett 34:L07708. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL029237
872	Böhm E, Lippold J, Gutjahr M, et al (2015) Strong and deep Atlantic meridional overturning
874	circulation during the last glacial cycle. Nature 517:73–76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14059
876	Bouttes N, Lhardy F, Quiquet A, et al (2023) Deglacial climate changes as forced by different ice sheet reconstructions. Clim Past 19:1027–1042. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-19-1027-2023
878	Bouttes N, Lhardy F, Quiquet A, et al (2022) Deglacial climate changes as forced by ice sheet reconstructions. Climate Modelling/Modelling only/Milankovitch
880	Braconnot P, Harrison SP, Kageyama M, et al (2012) Evaluation of climate models using palaeoclimatic data. Nature Clim Change 2:417–424. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1456
882	Braconnot P, Otto-Bliesner B, Harrison S, et al (2007) Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the
884	Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum – Part 1: experiments and large-scale features. Clim Past 3:261–277. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-3-261-2007
886	Bradtmiller LI, McManus JF, Robinson LF (2014) 231Pa/230Th evidence for a weakened but persistent Atlantic meridional overturning circulation during Heinrich Stadial 1. Nat Commun 5:5817. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6817
888	Briggs RD, Pollard D, Tarasov L (2014) A data-constrained large ensemble analysis of Antarctic
890	evolution since the Eemian. Quaternary Science Reviews 103:91–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.09.003
892	Broecker W, Putnam AE (2012) How did the hydrologic cycle respond to the two-phase mystery interval? Quaternary Science Reviews 57:17–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.09.024
894	Broecker WS (1998) Paleocean circulation during the Last Deglaciation: A bipolar seesaw? Paleoceanography 13:119–121. https://doi.org/10.1029/97PA03707





896 898	Brown N, Galbraith ED (2016) Hosed vs. unhosed: interruptions of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation in a global coupled model, with and without freshwater forcing. Clim Past 12:1663–1679. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-1663-2016
900	Buizert C, Gkinis V, Severinghaus JP, et al (2014) Greenland temperature response to climate forcing during the last deglaciation. Science 345:1177–1180. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254961
902 904	CAPE-Last Interglacial Project Members (2006) Last Interglacial Arctic warmth confirms polar amplification of climate change. Quaternary Science Reviews 25:1383–1400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2006.01.033
906	Carlson AE, Clark PU (2012) Ice sheet sources of sea level rise and freshwater discharge during the last deglaciation. Rev Geophys 50:RG4007. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000371
908	Clarke GKC, Bush ABG, Bush JWM (2009) Freshwater Discharge, Sediment Transport, and Modeled Climate Impacts of the Final Drainage of Glacial Lake Agassiz. Journal of Climate 22:2161– 2180. https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2439.1
910	Collins WD, Bitz CM, Blackmon ML, et al (2006) The Community Climate System Model Version 3 (CCSM3). Journal of Climate 19:2122–2143. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3761.1
912	Condron A, Winsor P (2012) Meltwater routing and the Younger Dryas. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109:19928–19933. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1207381109
914 916	Crivellari S, Chiessi CM, Kuhnert H, et al (2018) Increased Amazon freshwater discharge during late Heinrich Stadial 1. Quaternary Science Reviews 181:144–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.12.005
918	Cuzzone JK, Clark PU, Carlson AE, et al (2016) Final deglaciation of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet and implications for the Holocene global sea-level budget. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 448:34–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2016.05.019
920 922	de Beaulieu J-L, Reille M (1992) The last climatic cycle at La Grande Pile (Vosges, France) a new pollen profile. Quaternary Science Reviews 11:431–438. https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791(92)90025-4
924	Deschamps P, Durand N, Bard E, et al (2012) Ice-sheet collapse and sea-level rise at the Bølling warming 14,600 years ago. Nature 483:559–564. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10902
926	Dome Fuji Ice Core Project Members:, Kawamura K, Abe-Ouchi A, et al (2017) State dependence of climatic instability over the past 720,000 years from Antarctic ice cores and climate modeling. Sci Adv 3:e1600446. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600446
928 930	Dutt S, Gupta AK, Clemens SC, et al (2015) Abrupt changes in Indian summer monsoon strength during 33,800 to 5500 years B.P.: INDIAN SUMMER MONSOON. Geophys Res Lett 42:5526– 5532. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064015
932	Dyke AS (2004) An outline of North American deglaciation with emphasis on central and northern Canada. In: Developments in Quaternary Sciences. Elsevier, pp 373–424





934	Erb MP, Broccoli AJ, Clement AC (2013) The Contribution of Radiative Feedbacks to Orbitally Driven Climate Change. Journal of Climate 26:5897–5914. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D- 12-00419.1
936 938	Galbraith E, de Lavergne C (2019) Response of a comprehensive climate model to a broad range of external forcings: relevance for deep ocean ventilation and the development of late Cenozoic ice ages. Clim Dyn 52:653–679. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4157-8
940	Ganopolski A, Rahmstorf S (2001) Rapid changes of glacial climate simulated in a coupled climate model. Nature 409:153–158. https://doi.org/10.1038/35051500
942 944	Gherardi J, Labeyrie L, Mcmanus J, et al (2005) Evidence from the Northeastern Atlantic basin for variability in the rate of the meridional overturning circulation through the last deglaciation. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 240:710–723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.09.061
946 948	Gherardi J-M, Labeyrie L, Nave S, et al (2009) Glacial-interglacial circulation changes inferred from ²³¹ Pa/ ²³⁰ Th sedimentary record in the North Atlantic region: MOC CHANGES INFERRED FROM Pa/Th RECORDS. Paleoceanography 24:n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008PA001696
950 952	Giorgetta MA, Jungclaus J, Reick CH, et al (2013) Climate and carbon cycle changes from 1850 to 2100 in MPI-ESM simulations for the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5: Climate Changes in MPI-ESM. J Adv Model Earth Syst 5:572–597. https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20038
954	Goosse H, Brovkin V, Fichefet T, et al (2010) Description of the Earth system model of intermediate complexity LOVECLIM version 1.2. Geosci Model Dev 3:603–633. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-603-2010
956	Gorbarenko SA, Shi X, Bosin AA, et al (2022) Relative sea level changes during the Last Glacial
958	Maximum and deglaciation (33–15 ka) inferred from the 8180 records of planktic foraminifera from the Sea of Japan. Quaternary Science Reviews 279:107386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2022.107386
960	Gregoire LJ (2010) Modelling the Northern Hemisphere Climate and Ice Sheets during the Last Deglaciation
962	Gregoire LJ, Payne AJ, Valdes PJ (2012) Deglacial rapid sea level rises caused by ice-sheet saddle collapses. Nature 487:219–222. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11257
964 966	Gregoire LJ, Valdes PJ, Payne AJ (2015) The relative contribution of orbital forcing and greenhouse gases to the North American deglaciation: DRIVERS OF N. AMERICAN DEGLACIATION. Geophys Res Lett 42:9970–9979 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066005
968	Grootes PM, Stuiver M, White JWC, et al (1993) Comparison of oxygen isotope records from the GISP2 and GRIP Greenland ice cores. Nature 366:552–554. https://doi.org/10.1038/366552a0

970 Harrison SP, Bartlein PJ, Izumi K, et al (2015) Evaluation of CMIP5 palaeo-simulations to improve climate projections. Nature Clim Change 5:735–743. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2649





972	Harrison SP, Braconnot P, Joussaume S, et al (2002) PMIP Workshop 4 : launching PMIP Phase II. EOS 83:447–447
974 976	He C, Liu Z, Otto-Bliesner BL, et al (2021) Hydroclimate footprint of pan-Asian monsoon water isotope during the last deglaciation. Sci Adv 7:eabe2611. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abe2611
	He F (2011) Simulating transient climate evolution of the last deglaciation with CCSM 3.72:
978	He F, Clark P (2022) Freshwater forcing of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation revisited. https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/NUQ2K
980	He F, Shakun JD, Clark PU, et al (2013) Northern Hemisphere forcing of Southern Hemisphere climate during the last deglaciation. Nature 494:81–85.
982	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11822
984	Huang J, Wan S, Li A, Li T (2019) Two-phase structure of tropical hydroclimate during Heinrich Stadial 1 and its global implications. Quaternary Science Reviews 222:105900. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.105900
986 988	Huang K-F, Oppo DW, Curry WB (2014) Decreased influence of Antarctic intermediate water in the tropical Atlantic during North Atlantic cold events. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 389:200–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.12.037
990	Hughes ALC, Gyllencreutz R, Lohne ØS, et al (2016) The last Eurasian ice sheets – a chronological database and time-slice reconstruction, DATED-1. Boreas 45:1–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12142
992 994	Hurrell JW, Holland MM, Gent PR, et al (2013) The Community Earth System Model: A Framework for Collaborative Research. Bull Amer Meteor Soc 94:1339–1360. https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00121.1
996	Ivanovic RF, Gregoire LJ, Burke A, et al (2018) Acceleration of Northern Ice Sheet Melt Induces AMOC Slowdown and Northern Cooling in Simulations of the Early Last Deglaciation. Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology 33:807–824. https://doi.org/10.1020/2017PA002208
998	https://doi.org/10.1029/201/PA003308
1000	Ivanovic RF, Gregoire LJ, Kageyama M, et al (2016) Transient climate simulations of the deglaciation 21–9 thousand years beforepresent (version 1) – PMIP4 Core experiment design and houndary conditions. Geosci Model Dev 9:2563–2587. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-
1002	2563-2016
1004 1006	Ivanovic RF, Gregoire LJ, Wickert AD, et al (2017) Collapse of the North American ice saddle 14,500 years ago caused widespread cooling and reduced ocean overturning circulation: Ice Collapse Caused Cooling ~14.5 ka. Geophys Res Lett 44:383–392. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071849
1008	Joos F, Spahni R (2008) Rates of change in natural and anthropogenic radiative forcing over the past 20,000 years. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105:1425–1430. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707386105





1010	Jouzel J, Masson-Delmotte V, Cattani O, et al (2007) Orbital and Millennial Antarctic Climate Variability over the Past 800,000 Years. Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1141038
1012	Kageyama M, Merkel U, Otto-Bliesner B, et al (2013) Climatic impacts of fresh water hosing under Last Glacial Maximum conditions: a multi-model study. Clim Past 9:935–953.
1014	https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-935-2013
1016	Kapsch M, Mikolajewicz U, Ziemen F, Schannwell C (2022) Ocean response in transient simulations of the last deglaciation dominated by underlying ice-sheet reconstruction and method of meltwater distribution. Geophysical Research Letters. https://doi.org/10.1020/2021CL096767
1010	https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL090707
1020	Kapsch M-L, Mikolajewicz U, Ziemen FA, et al (2021) Analysis of the surface mass balance for deglacial climate simulations. The Cryosphere 15:1131–1156. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1131-2021
1022 1024	Klockmann M, Mikolajewicz U, Marotzke J (2018) Two AMOC States in Response to Decreasing Greenhouse Gas Concentrations in the Coupled Climate Model MPI-ESM. J Climate 31:7969– 7984. https://doi.org/10.1175/ICLI-D-17-0859.1
1011	
1026	Klockmann M, Mikolajewicz U, Marotzke J (2016) The effect of greenhouse gas concentrations and ice sheets on the glacial AMOC in a coupled climate model. Clim Past 12:1829–1846. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-1829-2016
1028	Knutti R, Flückiger J, Stocker TF, Timmermann A (2004) Strong hemispheric coupling of glacial climate through freshwater discharge and ocean circulation. Nature 430:851–856.
1030	https://doi.org/10.1030/hature02780
1032	Köhler P, Nehrbass-Ahles C, Schmitt J, et al (2017) A 156 kyr smoothed history of the atmospheric greenhouse gases CO ₂ , CH ₄ , and N ₂ 0 and their radiative forcing. Earth Syst Sci Data 9:363–387.
1034	https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-363-2017
1036	Lambeck K, Rouby H, Purcell A, et al (2014) Sea level and global ice volumes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111:15296– 15303. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411762111
1038	Lea DW, Pak DK, Peterson LC, Hughen KA (2003) Synchroneity of Tropical and High-Latitude Atlantic Temperatures over the Last Glacial Termination, Science 301:1361–1364
1040	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1088470
1042	Lippold J, Pöppelmeier F, Süfke F, et al (2019) Constraining the Variability of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation During the Holocene. Geophysical Research Letters
	+0.11550-11540. https://doi.org/10.1029/20190E004900
1044	Liu Z, Otto-Bliesner BL, He F, et al (2009) Transient Simulation of Last Deglaciation with a New Mechanism for Bolling-Allerod Warming. Science 325:310–314.
1046	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1171041





1048	Löfverström M, Lora JM (2017) Abrupt regime shifts in the North Atlantic atmospheric circulation over the last deglaciation. Geophys Res Lett 44:8047–8055. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074274
1050	Loulergue L, Schilt A, Spahni R, et al (2008) Orbital and millennial-scale features of atmospheric CH4 over the past 800,000 years. Nature 453:383–386.
1052	https://doi.org/10.1038/hature00950
1054	Lunt DJ, Dunkley Jones T, Heinemann M, et al (2012) A model–data comparison for a multi-model ensemble of early Eocene atmosphere–ocean simulations: EoMIP. Clim Past 8:1717–1736. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-8-1717-2012
1056	Lüthi D, Le Floch M, Bereiter B, et al (2008) High-resolution carbon dioxide concentration record 650,000–800,000 years before present. Nature 453:379–382.
1058	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06949
1060	Lynch-Stieglitz J (2017) The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation and Abrupt Climate Change. Annu Rev Mar Sci 9:83–104. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010816- 060415
1062	Lynch-Stieglitz J, Adkins JF, Curry WB, et al (2007) Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation
1064	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1137127
1066	Ma Z-B, Cheng H, Tan M, et al (2012) Timing and structure of the Younger Dryas event in northern China. Quaternary Science Reviews 41:83–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.03.006
1068	McCarthy G, Smeed D, Cunningham S, Roberts C (2017) Atlantic Meridonal Overturning Circulation. MCCIP Science Review 2017 7 pages. https://doi.org/10.14465/2017.ARC10.002-ATL
1070	McManus JF, Francois R, Gherardi J-M, et al (2004) Collapse and rapid resumption of Atlantic meridional circulation linked to deglacial climate changes. Nature 428:834–837
1072	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02494
1074	Menviel L, Joos F, Ritz SP (2012) Simulating atmospheric CO2, 13C and the marine carbon cycle during the Last Glacial–Interglacial cycle: possible role for a deepening of the mean remineralization depth and an increase in the oceanic nutrient inventory. Quaternary
1076	Science Reviews 56:46–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2012.09.012
1078	Menviel L, Timmermann A, Timm OE, Mouchet A (2011) Deconstructing the Last Glacial termination: the role of millennial and orbital-scale forcings. Quaternary Science Reviews 30:1155–1172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.02.005
1080	Menviel L, Yu J, Joos F, et al (2017) Poorly ventilated deep ocean at the Last Glacial Maximum
1082	inferred from carbon isotopes: A data-model comparison study. Paleoceanography 32:2–17. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016PA003024
1084	Menviel LC, Skinner LC, Tarasov L, Tzedakis PC (2020) An ice–climate oscillatory framework for Dansgaard–Oeschger cycles. Nat Rev Earth Environ 1:677–693. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-00106-y





1086 1088	Muglia J, Schmittner A (2021) Carbon isotope constraints on glacial Atlantic meridional overturning: Strength vs depth. Quaternary Science Reviews 257:106844. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.106844
1090	Muglia J, Schmittner A (2015) Glacial Atlantic overturning increased by wind stress in climate models: WIND STRESS AND GLACIAL AMOC. Geophys Res Lett 42:9862–9868. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064583
1092	Ng HC, Robinson LF, McManus JF, et al (2018) Coherent deglacial changes in western Atlantic Ocean circulation. Nat Commun 9:2947. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05312-3
1094 1096	Obase T, Abe-Ouchi A (2019) Abrupt Bølling-Allerød Warming Simulated under Gradual Forcing of the Last Deglaciation. Geophys Res Lett 46:11397–11405. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084675
1098 1100	Oka A, Hasumi H, Abe-Ouchi A (2012) The thermal threshold of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation and its control by wind stress forcing during glacial climate: THE THERMAL THRESHOLD OF THE AMOC. Geophys Res Lett 39:n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051421
1102	Otto-Bliesner BL, Brady EC (2010) The sensitivity of the climate response to the magnitude and location of freshwater forcing: last glacial maximum experiments. Quaternary Science Reviews 29:56–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.07.004
1104 1106	Otto-Bliesner BL, Hewitt CD, Marchitto TM, et al (2007) Last Glacial Maximum ocean thermohaline circulation: PMIP2 model intercomparisons and data constraints. Geophys Res Lett 34:L12706. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL029475
1108	Park H-S, Kim S-J, Stewart AL, et al (2019) Mid-Holocene Northern Hemisphere warming driven by Arctic amplification. Sci Adv 5:eaax8203. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax8203
1110	Peltier WR (2004) GLOBAL GLACIAL ISOSTASY AND THE SURFACE OF THE ICE-AGE EARTH: The ICE-5G (VM2) Model and GRACE. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 32:111–149. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.32.082503.144359
1112	Peltier WR, Argus DF, Drummond R (2015) Space geodesy constrains ice age terminal deglaciation: The global ICE-6G, C (VM5a) model: Global Glacial Isostatic Adjustment, I Geophys Res Solid
1114	Earth 120:450–487. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011176
1116	Pöppelmeier F, Baggenstos D, Grimmer M, et al (2023a) The Effect of Past Saturation Changes on Noble Gas Reconstructions of Mean Ocean Temperature. Geophysical Research Letters 50:e2022GL102055. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL102055
1118	Pöppelmeier F, Jeltsch-Thömmes A, Lippold J, et al (2023b) Multi-proxy constraints on Atlantic circulation dynamics since the last ice age. Nat Geosci 16:349–356.
1120	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01140-3
1122	Rahmstorf S (2002) Ocean circulation and climate during the past 120,000 years. Nature 419:207–214. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01090





1124	Rahmstorf S (1999) Decadal Variability of the Thermohaline Ocean Circulation. In: Navarra A (ed) Beyond El Niño. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 309–331
1126	Repschläger J, Zhao N, Rand D, et al (2021) Active North Atlantic deepwater formation during Heinrich Stadial 1. Quaternary Science Reviews 270:107145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.107145
1128	Riddick T, Brovkin V, Hagemann S, Mikolajewicz U (2018) Dynamic hydrological discharge modelling for coupled climate model simulations of the last glacial cycle: the MPI-
1130	DynamicHD model version 3.0. Geosci Model Dev 11:4291–4316. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-4291-2018
1132	Roberts NL, Piotrowski AM, McManus JF, Keigwin LD (2010) Synchronous Deglacial Overturning and Water Mass Source Changes, Science 327:75–78
1134	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1178068
1136	Roche DM, Renssen H, Paillard D, Levavasseur G (2011) Deciphering the spatio-temporal complexity of climate change of the last deglaciation: a model analysis. Climate of the Past 7:591–602. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-7-591-2011
1138	Roche DM, Renssen H, Weber SL, Goosse H (2007) Could meltwater pulses have been sneaked
1140	https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032064
1142	Roche DM, Wiersma AP, Renssen H (2010) A systematic study of the impact of freshwater pulses with respect to different geographical locations. Clim Dyn 34:997–1013. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-009-0578-8
1144	Romé YM, Ivanovic RF, Gregoire LJ, et al (2022) Millennial-Scale Climate Oscillations Triggered by
1146	Paleoclimatol 37:. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022PA004451
1148	Roy K, Peltier WR (2018) Relative sea level in the Western Mediterranean basin: A regional test of the ICE-7G_NA (VM7) model and a constraint on late Holocene Antarctic deglaciation. Quaternary Science Reviews 183:76–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.12.021
1150	Schilt A, Baumgartner M, Schwander J, et al (2010) Atmospheric nitrous oxide during the last
1152	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.09.027
1154	Schmidt GA, Jungclaus JH, Ammann CM, et al (2012) Climate forcing reconstructions for use in PMIP simulations of the Last Millennium (v1.1). Geosci Model Dev 5:185–191. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-185-2012
1156	Schmittner A, Lund DC (2014) Carbon isotopes support Atlantic meridional overturning circulation
1158	Dynamics/Marine Archives/Millenial/D-0
1160	Severinghaus JP, Brook EJ (1999) Abrupt Climate Change at the End of the Last Glacial Period Inferred from Trapped Air in Polar Ice. Science 286:930–934. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5441.930





1162	Shakun JD, Clark PU, He F, et al (2012) Global warming preceded by increasing carbon dioxide concentrations during the last deglaciation. Nature 484:49–54.
1164	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10915
1166	Sherriff-Tadano S, Abe-Ouchi A, Yoshimori M, et al (2018) Influence of glacial ice sheets on the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation through surface wind change. Clim Dyn 50:2881–2903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-017-3780-0
1168 1170	Sherriff-Tadano S, Klockmann M (2021) PMIP contributions to understanding the deep ocean circulation of the Last Glacial Maximum. PAGES Mag 29:84–85. https://doi.org/10.22498/pages.29.2.84
1172	Smith RS, Gregory JM (2009) A study of the sensitivity of ocean overturning circulation and climate to freshwater input in different regions of the North Atlantic: SENSITIVITY OF MOC TO HOSING REGION. Geophys Res Lett 36:n/a-n/a. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL038607
1174 1176	Smith RS, Gregory JM, Osprey A (2008) A description of the FAMOUS (version XDBUA) climate model and control run. Geosci Model Dev 1:53–68. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-1-53-2008
1170	2000
1178	Snoll B, Ivanovic RF, Valdes PJ, et al (2022) Effect of orographic gravity wave drag on Northern Hemisphere climate in transient simulations of the last deglaciation. Clim Dyn. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-022-06196-2
1180	Stocker TF (1998) The Seesaw Effect. Science 282:61–62. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5386.61
1182 1184	Sun Y, Knorr G, Zhang X, et al (2022) Ice sheet decline and rising atmospheric CO2 control AMOC sensitivity to deglacial meltwater discharge. Global and Planetary Change 210:103755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2022.103755
1186	Tarasov L, Dyke AS, Neal RM, Peltier WR (2012) A data-calibrated distribution of deglacial chronologies for the North American ice complex from glaciological modeling. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 315–316:30–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.09.010
1188 1190	Tarasov L, Peltier WR (2002) Greenland glacial history and local geodynamic consequences. Geophysical Journal International 150:198–229. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365- 246X.2002.01702.x
1192	Thornalley DJR, McCave IN, Elderfield H (2010) Freshwater input and abrupt deglacial climate change in the North Atlantic: DEGLACIAL FRESHWATER INPUT AND CLIMATE. Paleoceanography 25:. https://doi.org/10.1029/2009PA001772
1194	Ullman DJ, Carlson AE, Hostetler SW, et al (2016) Final Laurentide ice-sheet deglaciation and Holocene climate-sea level change. Quaternary Science Reviews 152:49–59.
1196	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.09.014
1198	Ullman DJ, LeGrande AN, Carlson AE, et al (2014) Assessing the impact of Laurentide Ice Sheet topography on glacial climate. Clim Past 10:487–507. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-487-2014





1200	Valdes P (2011) Built for stability. Nature Geosci 4:414–416. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1200
1202	Valdes PJ, Armstrong E, Badger MPS, et al (2017) The BRIDGE HadCM3 family of climate models: HadCM3@Bristol v1.0. Geosci Model Dev 10:3715–3743. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10- 3715-2017
1204 1206	Veres D, Bazin L, Landais A, et al (2013) The Antarctic ice core chronology (AICC2012): an optimized multi-parameter and multi-site dating approach for the last 120 thousand years. Clim Past 9:1733–1748. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1733-2013
1208	Wang YJ, Cheng H, Edwards RL, et al (2001) A High-Resolution Absolute-Dated Late Pleistocene Monsoon Record from Hulu Cave, China. Science 294:2345–2348. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1064618
1210 1212	Weaver AJ, Eby M, Wiebe EC, et al (2001) The UVic earth system climate model: Model description, climatology, and applications to past, present and future climates. Atmosphere-Ocean 39:361–428. https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2001.9649686
1214	Wickert AD (2016) Reconstruction of North American drainage basins and river discharge since the Last Glacial Maximum. Earth Surf Dynam 4:831–869. https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-4-831- 2016
1216 1218	Wilmes S-B, Green JAM, Schmittner A (2021) Enhanced vertical mixing in the glacial ocean inferred from sedimentary carbon isotopes. Commun Earth Environ 2:166. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00239-y
1220	Yokoyama Y, Lambeck K, De Deckker P, et al (2000) Timing of the Last Glacial Maximum from observed sea-level minima. Nature 406:713–716. https://doi.org/10.1038/35021035
1222	Zhang X, Knorr G, Lohmann G, Barker S (2017) Abrupt North Atlantic circulation changes in response to gradual CO2 forcing in a glacial climate state. Nature Geosci 10:518–523. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2974
1224	Home PMIP. https://pmip.lsce.ipsl.fr/. Accessed 8 Jun 2022

1226