Rain-on-snow responses to a warmer Pyrenees: a sensitivity analysis using a physically-based hydrological model

Josep Bonsoms [1], Juan I. López-Moreno [2], Esteban Alonso-González [3], César Deschamps-Berger [2], Marc Oliva [1]

[1] Department of Geography, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

[2] Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE-CSIC), Campus de Aula Dei, Zaragoza, Spain

[3] Centre d'Etudes Spatiales de la Biosphère (CESBIO), Université de Toulouse, CNES/CNRS/IRD/UPS, Toulouse, France.

Corresponding author: Juan I. López-Moreno (nlopez@ipe.csic.es)

Abstract. Climate warming is changing the magnitude, timing, and spatial patterns of mountain snowpacks. A warmer atmosphere may also induce~~lead to~~ precipitation phase shifts, ~~with~~ resulting in a decreased snowfall fraction (Sf). The combination of Sf and snowpack ~~decreases~~ directly influences~~affects~~ the frequency and intensity of rain-on-snow (ROS) events, a common cause of flash-flood events in snow-dominated regions. In this work, we investigate ~~examine the~~ ROS patterns and their sensitivity to temperature and precipitation changes in the Pyrenees by modelling ROS through a physically-based snow model. Th~~e~~is model is forced with reanalysis climate data for elevations of 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m perturbed using a range of ~~values of~~ temperature and precipitation values consistent with 21st century climate projections. ROS patterns are characterized by their frequency, rainfall quantity, and snow ablation. The highest ROS frequency for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019) is~~are~~ found in the 2400 m zones of the South-West ~~high elevations sectors of the~~ Pyrenees (17 days/year). The ~~M~~maximum ROS rainfall amount is detected in 1800 m areas of the South-East ~~mid-elevations areas~~ (45 mm/day, autumn), whereas the highest ROS ablation is found in the 2400 m zones of the North-West ~~high elevations zones~~ (- 10 cm/day, summer). When air temperature increases~~is increased~~ from 1ºC to 4ºC ~~with respect~~compared to the historical climate period, ROS rainfall amount and frequency increase at a constant rate during winter and early spring for all elevation zones. For the rest of the seasons, non-linear responses of ~~the~~ ROS frequency and ablation to warming are found. Overall, ROS frequency decreases in the shoulders of the season across ~~eastern~~Eastern low-elevated zones due to snow cover depletion. However, ROS increases in cold, high-elevated zones where long-lasting snow cover exists until late spring. Similarly, warming induces greater ROS ablation (+ 10% per ºC) during the coldest months of the season, ~~high~~ 2400 m elevations, and northern sectors, where the deepest snow depths are found. On the contrary, small differences in ROS ablation are found for warm and marginal snowpacks. These results highlight the different ROS responses to warming across the mountain range, suggest similar ROS sensitivities in near mid-latitude zones, and will help anticipate future ROS impacts in hydrological, environmental, and socioeconomic mountain systems.

Keywords: Snow, Rain-on-snow, Climate warming, Snow sensitivity, Mountain snowpack, Pyrenees.

1 Introduction

Mountain snowpacks supply large hydrological resources to the lowlands (García-Ruiz et al., 2015; Viviroli et al., 2011; Immerzeel et al., 2020), with important implications for ~~in the~~ ecological (Wipf and Rixen, 2010)~~,~~ ~~hydrological (Barnett, 2005; Immerzeel et al., 2020)~~ and socioeconomic systems, ~~–~~ ~~by~~ providing hydroelectricity (Beniston et al., 2018) and supporting ~~or guaranteeing~~ winter tourism activities (Spandre et al., 2019). However, ~~C~~climate warming,~~-~~ ~~however,~~ is ~~modifying~~ altering mountain snowfall patterns (Hock et al., 2019)~~-~~ ~~(IPCC, 2022), through temperature-induced precipitation changes from snowfall to rainfall~~by decreasing the snowfall fraction (Sf) (Lynn et al., 2020), leading in some cases to rain-on-snow (ROS) events in snow~~-~~covered areas, where they~~it~~ did not occur (often) before. The upward ~~high latitude~~ temperature ~~and precipitation~~ trend~~s~~ ~~(Bintanja and Andry, 2017) and warming~~ in mountain regions (Pepin et al., 2022) are~~will~~ likely to change future ROS frequency in snow-dominated areas (López-Moreno et al., 2021).

ROS has relevant impacts o~~i~~n ~~the~~ mountain ecosystem dynamics (Hock et al., 2019).~~—~~ The liquid water percolation in the snowpack due to a ROS event creates ice layers and could alter its stability (Rennert et al., 2009). In severe ROS events, water percolation reaches the ground, and the subsequent water freezing causes latent heat releases, leading to soil (and permafrost) warming (Westermann et al., 2011). Positive heat fluxes during ROS events enhance snow runoff (Corripio and López-Moreno, 2017), especially in warm and wet snowpacks (Würzer et al., 2016). ROS can also induce~~trigger~~ a snow avalanches in mountain zones (Conway and Raymond, 1993), contribute to flash flood events (Surfleet and Tullos, 2013), affect ~~impacts in~~ tundra ecosystems (Hansen et al., 2014) and impact herbivore populations (Kohler and Aanes, 2004).

Different ROS frequency trends have been found since the last half of the 20st century. In the ~~western~~Western ~~United States~~United States,~~-~~ ~~and~~ from 1949 to 2003, ~~(~~Mccabe et al. ~~,~~ (2007) found a general ROS frequency decrease at~~in~~ 1500 m but an increase in high elevations. Similarly, the analysis of six major German basins from 1990 to 2011~~,~~ revealed~~s~~ a ~~n upward (~~downward~~)~~ ROS frequency trend during ~~winter (~~spring~~)~~ at ~~1500 m~~low and high elevations (Freudiger et al., 2014). On the contrary, from 1979 to 2014, no winter ROS frequency trends were found across the entire Northern ~~-~~Hemisphere (Cohen et al., 2015). ROS projections for the end of the 21st century suggest a general ROS frequency increase in cold regions and high elevated zones (Hock et al., 2019~~IPCC, 2019~~). This is projected for Alaska (Bieniek et al., 2018), Norway (Mooney and Li, 2021), the ~~western~~Western ~~United States~~United States~~–~~ (Musselman et al., 2018), Canada (il Jeong and Sushama, 2018) or Japan (Ohba and Kawase, 2020). In European mid-latitude mountain ranges, such as the Alps, ROS frequency is expected to increase at high-elevation ~~sectors~~areas but decrease at low-elevation sectors ~~(decrease) in high (low) elevation sectors~~ (Beniston and Stoffel, 2016; Morán-Tejeda et al., 2016). López-Moreno et al. (2021) compared the ROS sensitivity to climate warming across 40 global basins and detected the highest ROS frequency decreases in low-elevated and warm Mediterranean mountain sites.

Despite the increasing understanding of ROS spatio-temporal past and future trends, little is known about the ROS sensitivity to climate warming across southern European mountain ranges, such as the Pyrenees.

Here, we examine the ROS sensitivity to temperature and precipitation changes for low (1500 m), mid (1800 m), and high (2400 m) elevations of the Pyrenees. ROS responses to temperature and precipitation are~~is~~ analyzed using a physically-~~-~~based snow model, forced with reanalysis climate data (1980 – 2019 period) perturbed according to a range of temperature and precipitation changes consistent with 21st century climate projections for the mountain range (Amblar-Francés et al., 2020). Previous studies in alpine zones have shown different ROS responses to warming depending on the area and month of the season (e.g., Morán-Tejeda et al. 2016). For this reason, results are focused on these two factors. First, we analyze height of snow (HS) and snowfall fraction (Sf) responses to temperature and precipitation since these are the main variables that control ROS events (López-Moreno et al., 2021). Next, we examine ROS patterns and their response to warming using~~by~~ three key ROS indicators, namely:

(a) Number of ROS days for a season (ROS frequency).
(b) Average rainfall quantity during a ROS day (ROS rainfall amount).
(c) Average daily snow ablation during a ROS day (ROS ablation).

The study area is presented in Section 2. Section 3 describes the data and methods. Section 4 presents the results. Finally,~~We finally~~ in Section 5 we discuss the anticipated ROS spatio-temporal changes, their socio-environmental impacts, and hazards.~~ in Section 5.~~

2 Regional setting

The Pyrenees ~~Mountain~~ mountain range is located between the Atlantic Ocean (West) and the Mediterranean Sea (East), and ~~is~~ constitutes the largest (~ 450 km) mountain range of the Iberian Peninsula. Elevation increases towards the central massifs, where the highest peaks ~~is~~ are found (e.g. Aneto, 3,404 m asl). Glaciers expanded during the Little Ice Age and nowadays are ~~oi~~n only found the highest mountain summits (Vidaller et al., 2021). The regional annual 0 °C isotherm ~~is~~ lies at approximately~~ca.~~ 2700 m (Del Barrio et al., 1990), and at about~~ca~~. 1600 m during the cold season (López-Moreno and Vicente-Serrano, 2011). The elevation lapse-rate is ~~approximately~~~~ca~~roughly~~.~~ 0.5~~6~~°/100 m, being slightly lower during winter (Navarro-Serrano and López-Moreno, 2017). Average annual precipitation is approximately~~ca.~~ 1000 mm/year at 1000 m (Bonsoms et al., 2023a). ~~(ca. 1500 m); m~~Maximum values are found in the Northern-Western (NW) ~~northern-western~~ massifs, ~~(around 2000 mm/year),~~ decreasing towards the S~~s~~outhern-E~~e~~astern (SE) ~~(SE)~~ area (Lemus-Canovas et al., 2019). Precipitation is predominantly (> 90%) solid above 1600 m from November to May (López-Moreno, 2005). Due to the mountain alignment, relief configuration, and the distance to the Atlantic Ocean, seasonal snow accumulations ~~o~~in the northern slopes (approximately~~ca.~~ 500 cm/season)~~,~~ almost double~~s~~ those~~e~~ recorded in the SE area ~~for~~ at the same elevations (roughly~~ca.~~ 2000 m) (Bonsoms et al., 2021a~~b~~). In the

W~~w~~estern and C~~c~~entral area of the S~~s~~outhern slopes of the range (SW sector, Figure 1), snow accumulation is ~~ruled~~ influenced by Atlantic wet and mild flows, which are linked with negative North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) phases (SW and W synoptic weather types) (López-Moreno, 2005; Alonso-González et al., 2020b; Bonsoms et al., 2021a). Positive Western Mediterranean Oscillation (WeMO) phases (NW and NE synoptic weather types) control the snow patterns in the N~~n~~orthern-Eastern~~eastern~~ (NE) slopes of the range (Bonsoms et al., 2021a). Generally, snow ablation starts in February at low elevations and in May at high elevation. The energy available for snow ablation during spring is controlled by net radiation, ~~(55 %, over the total), latent (32 %) and sensible (13 %)~~ while turbulent heat flux increases toward the SE zones of the mountain range ~~heat fluxes~~ (Bonsoms et al., 2022).



Figure 1. (a) Pyrenean massifs sectors (colors) for 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m ~~elevation~~. Massifs were ~~classified~~ categorized ~~according to~~through ~~a~~ Principal Component Analysis (PCA) applied to~~over~~ monthly height of snow (HS) data for~~of~~ each massif and elevation range, considering ~~for~~ all months and years of the historical climate period (1980 – 2019). Panel (b) shows PCA scores for~~of~~ each massif at~~for~~ 1500 m, 1800 ~~m~~, and 2400 m elevation. The black numbers represent~~are~~ the SAFRAN massif's identity numbers as defined by Vernay et al. (2022). Note that the 2400 m elevation range does not include massif number 64, as~~since~~ this massif does not reach that elevation. ~~range.~~.

3 Data and methods

3.1 Snow model description

The snowpack is simulated using the energy and mass balance snow model FSM2 (Essery, 2015).~~.~~ The FSM2 was forced at an hourly resolution for each massif and elevation range (c.f. Sect. 3.3) during~~for~~ the historical climate period (1980 – 2019) and perturbed using a range of values of temperature and precipitation changes consistent with 21st century climate projections (c.f. Sect. 3.4). Sf was quantified using a threshold-approach. Precipitation was considered as snowfall when the temperature was < 1 ºC, in accordance with- ~~according to~~ previous ROS research in the study zone (Corripio and López-Moreno, 2017), and the average rain-snow temperature threshold for the Pyrenees (Jennings et al., 2018). Snow cover fraction is calculated by a linear function of snow depth, and snow albedo is estimated based on a prognostic function with the new snowfall. Snow thermal conductivity is estimated based on snow density, and ~~. L~~liquid water percolation is calculated based on a gravitational drainage. The c~~C~~ompaction rate is simulated from overburden and thermal metamorphism. ~~The a~~Atmospheric stability is estimated through ~~the~~ Richardson number stability functions to simulate latent and sensible heat fluxes. The selected FSM2 configuration includes three snow layers and four soil layers. The ~~FSM2 configuration selected is shown in Table S1~~full details of the FSM2 configuration used in the present study are shown in Table S1. ~~The~~ This FSM2 model and configuration were~~as~~ previously validated in the Pyrenees by~~at~~ Bonsoms et al. (2023b). FSM2 has been successfully used in snow model sensitivity studies in alpine zones (Günther et al., 2019)~~. FSM2 has been~~ and implemented in a~~ wide range~~ variety of alpine conditions, ~~such as for the~~ including the mountains of the Iberian Peninsula ~~mountains~~ (Alonso-González et al., 2019), Spanish Sierra Nevada (Collados-Lara et al., 2020) and ~~or Swiss~~ forest environments (Mazzotti et al., 2020).~~ snowpack modeling.~~The FMS2 has also been integrated in snow data-assimilation schemes in combination with ~~in-situ (Smyth et al., 2022) and~~ remote-sensing data (Alonso-González et al., 2022).

3.2 Atmospheric forcing data

The FSM2 was forced with the SAFRAN meteorological system reanalysis dataset for flat terrain (Vernay et al., 2022). The SAFRAN meteorological system integrates meteorological simulations, remote-sensing cloud cover data, and instrumental records through data-assimilation. SAFRAN is forced with a combination of ERA-40 reanalysis (1958 to 2002) and the numerical weather prediction model ARPEGE (2002 to 2020). The SAFRAN system was originally~~firstly~~ designed for hazard forecasting (Durand et al., 1999, 2009). SAFRAN has been extensively validated as meteorological forcing data for ~~the~~ snow modeling in complex alpine terrain (Revuelto et al., 2018; Deschamps-Berger et al., 2022), to studying long-term snow evolution (Réveillet et al., 2022), avalanche hazard forecasting (Morin et al., 2020), snow climate projections (Verfaillie et al., 2018), snow depth (López-Moreno et al., 2020) and spatiotemporal trends in energy heat fluxes ~~energy heat fluxes spatio-temporal trends~~ (Bonsoms et al., 2022).

3.3 Spatial areas

The SAFRAN system provides data at an hourly resolution from 0 to 3600 m, in intervals of ~~by steps of~~ 300 m, grouped by massifs. The SAFRAN massifs (polygons in~~of~~ Figure 1) were selected~~chosen~~ for their relative topographical and climatological similarities (Durand et al., 1999). We chose SAFRAN specific elevation bands of ~~selected the~~ 1500 m (low), 1800 m (mid), and 2400 m (high)~~. specific elevation bands of the Pyrenees.~~ ~~In order to retain~~To preserve the main spatial differences across the mountain range, reduce data dimensionality, and capture ~~include the~~ the maximum variance, massifs with similar interannual snow characteristics were grouped into sectors ~~by performing a~~using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). PCA is ~~an extensively~~a widely applied statistical method for climatological and snow spatial regionalization (~~i.e.,~~e.g., López-Moreno and Vicente-Serrano, 2007; Schöner et al., 2019; Alonso-González et al., 2020a; Matiu et al., 2021; Bonsoms et al., 2022). A PCA was applied to~~over~~ HS data for all months and years of the historical climate period. Massifs were categorized~~grouped~~ into four groups ~~depending~~ based on the maximum correlation to the first (PC1) and second (PC2) scores. Pyrenean sectors were named South-West (SW), South-East (SE), North-West (NW) and North-East (NE) according~~due~~ to their geographical position. Figure 1 displays~~shows~~ the resulting Pyrenean regionalization for elevations of 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m, ~~elevation~~ as well as the SAFRAN massifs~~, PC1 and PC2~~.

3.4 Sensitivity analysis

ROS season extension was de~~fined according to~~termined based on ROS occurrences during the historical climate period. For the purposes of this research, seasons are c~~lassified~~ategorized as follows: October and November (Autumn); December, January, and February (Winter); March, April, May, and June (Spring); and July (Summer). August and September are ~~not included~~excluded due to the absence of regular snow cover. Sf, HS and ROS sensitivity to air temperature and precipitation are~~is~~ analyzed by perturbing climate data (López-Moreno et al., 2013; Pomeroy et al., 2015; Marty et al., 2017; Musselman et al., 2017b; Rasouli et al., 2019; Alonso-González et al., 2020a; López-Moreno et al., 2021). Specifically, SAFRAN reanalysis climate data was perturbed according to Spanish Meteorological Agency air temperature and precipitation projections for the 21st~~st~~ century in the Pyrenees (Amblar-Francés et al., 2020). Precipitation was increased (+10%), left unchanged (0 %) and decreased (- 10%). Air temperature (ºC) was perturbed between +1ºC and +4ºC in~~by~~ steps of ~~±~~1ºC. Incoming longwave radiation was increased due to warming, by applying the Stefan-Boltzmann law, using the Stefan-Boltzmann constant (σ; $5.670373 \times 10^{-8} W\ \mathrm{m}^{-2}\ \mathrm{K}^{-4}$), and the hourly atmospheric emissivity (ϵ_t) derived from SAFRAN air temperature and incoming longwave radiation:

$$\epsilon_t = \frac{\mathrm{LW_{in}}}{\sigma(\mathrm{Ta} + 273.15)^4}$$

Where Ta is air temperature and LWin is incoming longwave radiation. An increase in~~of~~ air temperature of 1ºC can be interpreted as a low-emission scenario for the region, while 2ºC and 4ºC would represent projections for mid and high-emission scenarios, respectively (Pons et al., 2015). The range of +/-10% for precipitation includes the expected changes in precipitation according to most climate models, irrespective~~regardless~~ of the emission scenario (López-Moreno et al., 2008; Pons et al., 2015; Amblar-Francés et al., 2020).

3.5 ROS definition and indicators

The average ~~HS and Sf~~ sensitivity of HS and Sf to temperature and precipitation (expressed as~~in~~ % per ºC of local warming) is calculated as the average seasonal HS and Sf anomalies compared to~~under~~ the historical climate period, ~~and~~ divided by degree of warming. ROS d~~D~~ays are classified ~~as ROS days~~ when the daily rainfall amount is~~was~~ >= 10 mm and HS >= 0.1 m ~~, according to previous works~~ (Musselman et al., 2018; López-Moreno et al., 2021). ROS frequency corresponds to ~~is~~ the number of ROS days. ROS rainfall amount (mm/day) represents~~is~~ the average daily rainfall (mm) during a ROS day. ROS ablation is the average daily snow ablation (cm/day) during a ROS day. The average daily snow ablation is determined by the daily average HS difference between two consecutive days (Musselman et al., 2017a). Only the days when a negative HS difference occurred were selected.

4 Results

We present~~provide~~ an analysis of Sf, HS, and ROS patterns in response to temperature and precipitation changes. The spatiotemporal dynamics of ROS ~~spatio-temporal dynamics~~ are ~~analyzed~~ examined in terms of frequency, rainfall quantity and snow ablation. ~~Since we have detected a non-linear~~ Given the identified non-linear sensitivity of ROS ~~sensitvity~~ to temperature, the values of ROS indicators ~~values are shown~~are displayed as a function of ~~the~~ changes in temperature and precipitation amounts, ~~grouped~~ categorized by elevation and sectors, namely SW, SE, NW and NE.

4.1 HS and Sf response to temperature and precipitation changes

Figure 2 show the ~~HS and Sf~~ response of HS and Sf to temperature and precipitation. ~~- is shown in Figure 2.~~ The s~~S~~easonal variability of HS and Sf ~~- variability~~ is primarily influenced ~~mostly controlled by the increment of~~ by temperature, season, elevation, and spatial sectors. As shown in Figures 2, S1, S2 and S3, precipitation variability plays a moderate to low role in seasonal HS evolution. ~~The role of precipitation variability in the seasonal HS evolution is moderate to low (Figure S1 to S3). Only a~~At an elevation of 2400 m, ~~- elevation~~ an upward trend ~~of~~ in precipitation (~~at least~~ > 10%) can counterbalance small temperature increments ~~increments of temperature~~ (< 1ºC)~~, over the historical climate period)~~ from December to February (Figure S3~~4~~). ~~For this reason,~~Consequently, precipitation was excluded from~~to~~ further analysis. ~~, and the ROS sensitivity analysis is evaluated for the average change of precipitation~~. While ~~S~~snow at 1500 m and 1800 m elevations ~~during summer~~ is rarely simulated~~observed~~ during summer, ~~however,~~ marginal snow cover at 2400 m elevation can persist~~last~~ until June and July, ~~especially~~ particularly in the wettest sectors of the range (NW and SW). The response of ~~Ses~~seasonal HS and Sf ~~response t~~to temperature ~~show~~ exhibits large seasonality. The average HS reduction ~~ranges from~~is 39 %, 37 % and 28 % per ºC, for 1500 m ~~m,~~ 1800 m, ~~m~~ and 2400 m elevations, respectively. However, important~~relevant~~ differences are found depending on the season and degree of warming (Figures 2 and 3). Maximum HS and Sf reductions ~~are found in~~occur at 1500 m and 1800 m elevations during the shoulders of the season (autumn and spring). At~~In~~ these elevations, the maximum HS decreases (52 % over the historical climate period) are simulated for spring when the temperature is ~~+~~increased 1ºC. The greatest HS decreases in areas at 2400 m elevation ~~areas~~ are simulated for summer (54 % HS decrease for 1ºC). If temperature reach~~es~~ maximum values (+ 4 ºC), seasonal HS is reduced by 92 %, 89 %, and 79 % for elevations of 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m, ~~elevations,~~ respectively. ~~(Figure S4).~~





Figure 2. Seasonal (a) height of snow (HS) and (b) snowfall fraction (Sf) anomalies with respect to the historical climate period (1980 – 2019). Data are shown by different increments of temperature (colors) grouped by precipitation changes and elevations (boxes)~~**Figure 2.** Height of snow (HS) (lines) and Snowfall fraction (Sf) (bars) monthly variation for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019) and different increments of temperature (colors) grouped by precipitation change and elevation (boxes). Note that Sf values (y-axis) are inverted.~~

Con formato: Fuente: Sin Negrita

Con formato: Interlineado: 1,5 líneas

Con formato: Fuente: Sin Negrita

Con formato: Fuente: Sin Negrita



~~**Figure 3.** Seasonal (a) HS and (b) Sf anomalies over the historical climate period (1980 – 2019). Data are shown by elevation (colors), season (x-axis) and sectors (boxes). Points represent the average seasonal HS and Sf anomalies grouped by month of the season and increment of temperature (from 1ºC to 4ºC). The black diamond point indicates the mean, whereas the upper and lower error bars show the Gaussian confidence based on the normal distribution. Data are the average of the simulated precipitation change (from -10% to 10%, by steps of 10%).~~

Sf shows lower sensitivity to warming than HS, with ~~and~~ maximum reductions in autumn. On average, Sf decreases by 29 %, 22 %, and 12 % per ºC for elevations of 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m ~~elevations~~, respectively. An increase of 4ºC leads to Sf reductions of 80 %, 69 % and 49 % for elevations of 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m. ~~elevations.~~ ~~Independently~~ Regardless of the elevation band and season, the SE sector exhibit the greatest HS and Sf decreases (41 % and 35 % per ºC, respectively). On the contrary, minimum reductions are expected o~~i~~n the northern slopes (NW and NE).



Figure 3. Seasonal (a) height of snow (HS) and (b) snowfall fraction (Sf) anomalies over the historical climate period (1980 – 2019). Data are shown by elevation (colors), season (x-axis), and sectors (boxes). Points represent the average seasonal HS and Sf anomalies grouped by the month of the season and increment of temperature (from 1ºC to 4ºC, with increments of 1ºC). The black diamond point indicates the mean, whereas the upper and lower error bars show the Gaussian confidence based on the normal distribution. Data represent the average of the simulated precipitation change (from - 10% to 10%, with increments of 10%).

4.2 ROS frequency

During the historical climate period, ~~(1980 – 2019),~~ the annual ROS frequency totals, on average, 10, 12 and 10 day/season for elevations of 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m~~ elevations~~. However, there are large differences depending on the sector. The annual ROS frequency at 1500 m elevation ~~annual ROS frequency~~ for the historical climate period is 17, 8, 10 and 7 days/year for SW, SE, NW, NE sectors, respectively (Figures 4 and S1). The highest annual ROS frequency is ~~however observed~~simulated at 1800 m elevation, ~~Here, annual ROS frequency~~ where it is 17, 9, 12 and 9 for SW, SE, NW, NE sectors. Within 1500 m and 1800 m~~these~~ elevations, the maximum ROS frequency is detected in the SW during winter and spring (7 days/season, for both elevations and seasons). The SE and NE~~eastern~~ Pyrenees exhibit~~follow~~ a similar seasonality~~y.~~ The ~~M~~maximum ROS frequency at 1500 m elevation is found in winter (4 and 3 days/season, for SE and NE, respectively), and during spring at 1800 m elevation (4 and 3 days~~,~~ for SE and NE, respectively). ROS is rarely simulated~~observed~~ in the SE during the latest month of spring (May), which contrast with the simulated values for the SW (2 and 3 days/month, for 1500 m and 1800 m elevations, respectively). ~~2400 m elevation shows the minimum ROS frequency. Here, comparisons~~Comparisons between seasons at 2400 m reveal the maximum ROS frequency during summer, especially in the SW (7 days/season), followed by NW (6 days/season), and NE (2 days/season).





Figure 4. ROS frequency (days). Data are presented for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019) with different increments of temperature (colors), grouped by sector (x-axis), elevations and seasons (boxes). ~~for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019) and increments of temperature (colors), grouped by months (x-axis), sector (rows) and elevation (columns). D~~Data represent~~are~~ the average of ~~the~~ simulated precipitation change, ~~(~~ranging from - 10% to 10%, ~~by steps~~ with increments of 10%~~)~~.

The ROS frequency response to warming varies~~y~~ depending on the month, increment of temperature, elevation, and sector (Figures 4, 5 and S4). ROS tends to disappear in October at~~for~~ 1500 m elevation for >=~~+~~ 1ºC, except in SW. ~~(Figure 4 and 5).~~ The highest increases are simulated~~seen~~ during the winter for ~~increments temperature~~temperature lower than 3ºC, particularly in NE, where ROS frequency increases by 1 day per month over the historical climate period for ~~+~~ 1ºC. ROS frequency progressively increases in March and April for all sectors but tends to decrease in May (for >= 3ºC), June and July (for >= 1ºC). At 1800 m elevation, ROS frequency increases in all regions from November to February (around 1 day per month, for >=~~+~~ 1ºC up to <=~~+~~ 3ºC). At 1500 m, ~~S~~similar increases are expected in NW and SW during the earliest months of spring ~~and for 1500 m to moderate increments of temperature~~for <= 2ºC (Figure S4). ~~The contrary is observed during~~Conversely, during the latest months of spring in SW, ~~where~~ warming reduces ROS events. ~~A slight ROS frequency increase is found during spring for the rest of the sectors (Figure 4).~~ In addition, ROS events in June are expected to disappear for temperature increases higher than 1ºC. Finally, 2400 m elevation shows

Con formato: Centrado

the largest ROS frequency variations (around 1 day/month for~~ r + 1~~1ºC). Maximum ROS frequency increases (3 days/month) are found in SW for more than + 3ºC. ~~ROS frequency progressively increases in March and April for all sectors but tends to decrease in May (for + 3ºC), June and July (for + 1ºC).~~





Figure 5. ~~Average~~ ROS frequency (days)~~- for a season~~ for (a) 1500 m, (b) 1800 m, and (c) 2400 m elevations. Data are presented~~shown~~ for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019), ~~and~~ increments of temperature (left to right) and seasons (rows). ~~D~~Data represent~~are~~ the average of the simulated precipitation change (ranging from~~from~~ -10% to 10%, ~~by steps~~with increments of 10%).

4.3 ROS rainfall amount

The spatial and temporal distribution of ROS rainfall amount is presented in Figures 6 and 7. The average ~~1500 m elevation~~ ROS rainfall amount at 1500 m elevation per~~by~~ year is 23, 28, 21, and 20 mm/day for SW, SE, NW, NE sectors, respectively. Similar values are found a~~A~~t 1800 m elevation. ~~n, the highest ROS rainfall amount values are also found in SE (29 mm/day). Particularly,~~ The SE sector experiences the highest ROS rainfall amount during autumn and summer (around 40 mm/day at 1500 m and 1800 m elevations). At 2400 m elevation, however, the maximum ROS rainfall amount values are found in SW and NW during autumn. Here, the largest ROS rainfall amount spatial and seasonal distribution ranges from SW (29 mm/day, autumn), NW (28 mm/day, summer), SE (24 mm/day, autumn) to NE (23 mm/day, autumn).





Figure 6. Average ROS rainfall amount (mm/day)~~ for each month of the season~~. Data are ~~shown ~~presented for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019) with~~and~~ different increments of temperature (colors), ~~;~~ grouped by sector~~month~~ (x-axis), elevations and seasons~~ctor~~ (boxes). Data represent~~are~~ the average of the simulated precipitation changes (ranging from -10% to 10%, with increments~~by steps~~ of 10%).

ROS rainfall amount progressively increases due to warming (4%, 4%, and 5% per ºC for 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m elevations, respectively; Table S2). Small differences are found by elevation and sector. At 1500 m elevation, ROS rainfall amount increases until + 3°C, and generally decreases for + 4°C during the earliest (October to December) and latest (April and May) months of the snow season (Figure S5). Similar patterns are found at 1800 m elevation. ROS rainfall amount increases up to + 4°C, except in the SE sector for specific months. ~~(Figure 6).~~ The lat~~terest~~ sector ~~shows ~~also shows maximum ROS rainfall amount values in autumn due to torrential rainfall. At 2400 m elevation, ROS rainfall amount increases at a constant rate of around 5 % per ºC. ~~Yet,~~ The m~~m~~aximum increases are simulated in SW during summer~~,~~ when ROS rainfall amount almost doubles the historical climate period (+ 40% for + 4°C).


(a) 1500 m
Historical
+ 1ºC
+ 2ºC
+ 3ºC
+ 4ºC
Autumn
Winter
Spring
Summer
42°N
(b) 1800 m
Historical
+ 1ºC
+ 2ºC
+ 3ºC
+ 4ºC
Autumn
Winter
Spring
Summer
42°N
(c) 2400 m
Historical
+ 1ºC
+ 2ºC
+ 3ºC
+ 4ºC
Autumn
Winter
Spring
Summer
42°N
ROS rainfall amount (mm/day)
No ROS
10-15
15-20
20-25
25-30
30-35
35-40
>=40



Figure 7. Average ROS rainfall amount (mm/day) ~~for a season (rows)~~ for (a) 1500 m, (b) 1800 m, and (c) 2400 m elevation. Data are shown for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019), ~~and~~ increments of temperature (columns), and seasons (rows). Data represent the average of the simulated precipitation changes (ranging from -10% to 10%, in increments of 10%).

~~Data are the average of the simulated precipitation change (from -10% to 10%, by steps of 10%).~~

For most sectors and elevations, the ROS frequency and ROS rainfall amount typically increase during winter and early spring (Figure 8). The most important increases in ROS frequency and ROS rainfall amount are simulated at 2400 m. Conversely, smaller changes in ROS frequency are observed at elevations of 1500 m and 1800 m, particularly with large increments in temperature, despite an expected increase in ROS rainfall amount (< 10 mm/day). Similarly, during summer, ROS frequency generally decrease across all elevations due to severe warming and snow cover depletion. ~~Data suggest that ROS rainfall amount and frequency generally increases for all elevations and sectors during winter (except in SW for temperatures greater than 3°C) (Figure 8). Nonetheless, remarkable spatial and seasonal differences are found. SE shows the maximum values in autumn. On the contrary, small changes in frequency are detected in SW and NW, despite ROS rainfall amount is expected to increase (< 10 mm/day). For most sectors and elevations, ROS rainfall amount and frequency generally increases in winter and spring. The minimum differences between sectors are detected in these seasons. In summer, ROS rainfall amount and frequency tends to generally decrease for all elevations under severe warming due to snow cover depletion.~~





Figure 8. Scatterplot between ROS rainfall amount (mm/day) difference from the historical climate period (1980 – 2019) (y-axis) and ROS days difference from the historical climate period (x-axis). Data ~~is~~ ~~calculated~~are calculated as~~by~~ the average difference between (a) the values of the historical climate period values and (b) the values resulting from the different increments of temperature, only for the massifs where ROS frequency exists i~~o~~n (a) and (b). ~~D~~Data are presented~~shown~~ for each season (columns), elevation (rows), sector (color) and increment of temperature (point shape). ~~D~~Data represent~~are~~ the average of the simulated precipitation change (ranging from -10% to 10%, in increments ~~by steps~~ of 10%).

4.4. ROS ablation

ROS ablation is presented in ~~at~~ Figures 9,~~ and~~ 10 and S6. ROS ablation ranges from -10 cm/day in NW at 2400 m elevation (summer) to – 5 cm/day in NE at 2400 m elevation (winter). ROS ablation nearly doubles the average daily snow ablation for all days i~~o~~n a season (Figure S6~~5~~). A ~~C~~comparison with the reference ~~baseline~~ historical climate period reveals contrasting ROS ablation changes depending on the season, elevation, and sector. Overall, ROS ablation progressively increases due to warming in coldest zones and months of the season. The largest ROS ablation increments are detected in autumn and winter. ~~For the former,~~ ROS ablation in autumn increases at a generally constant rate in SW (11 %), NE (19 %) and NW (4 % per ºC). ~~For the latter,~~ ROS ablation also increases ~~also~~ during winter in SW (11 %), NW (14 %) and NE (34 % per ºC). ~~In detail,~~ The maximum ROS ablation due to warming is found for 1800 m elevation during autumn

(Figure 9). ROS ablation exhibits slow and no changes in the warmest zone (SE), as well as in the warmest months of the season, regardless of the elevation band.

Con formato: Izquierda





Figure 9. Average ROS ablation (cm/day)~~; y-axis)~~ for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019) and increments of temperature (colors), sectors (x-axis), ~~season (columns) and~~ elevations and seasons (boxes~~rows~~). ~~D~~Data representat~~are~~ the average of ~~the~~ simulated precipitation change (ranging from -10% to 10%, ~~by steps of~~in increments of 10%).


(a) 1500 m
Historical
+ 1°C
+ 2°C
+ 3°C
+ 4°C
Autumn
Winter
Spring
Summer
42°N
(b) 1800 m
(c) 2400 m
ROS ablation (cm/day)
No ROS
0 to -5
-5 to -10
-10 to -15
-15 to -20
< -20



Figure 10. Average ROS ablation (cm/day) for (a) 1500 m, (b) 1800 m, and (c) 2400 m elevations. - ~~D~~Data are ~~shown~~ presented for the historical climate period (1980 – 2019), ~~and~~ increments of temperature (columns) and seasons (rows). ~~D~~Data represent ~~are the~~the average of ~~the~~ simulated precipitation change (ranging from -10% to 10%, ~~by steps of~~in increments of 10%).

5 Discussion

~~The Pyrenees experienced a statistically significant positive temperature trend~~ The temperature in the Pyrenees statistically significant increased ~~since the 1980s~~from 1980 to 2015~~–~~ (ca. + 0.2 ºC/decade), although

~~howeverbut~~ no statistically significant precipitation trends have been ~~are~~ detected for the same period (OPCC, 2018). This~~, which~~ has been attributed ~~due~~ to the strong spatial variability~~(Vicente-Serrano et al., 2017),~~ as well as inter-annual and long-term variability of precipitation ~~of the latter~~ (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2017; Peña-Angulo et al., 2021). Similarly, ~~Different~~ snow trends have ~~been identified~~showed contrasting spatio-temporal patterns depending on the study ~~temporal~~ period and sector. ~~different snow trends were found.~~ From around~~ca.~~ 1980 to 2010, non-statistically significant ~~snow days and snow accumulation~~ positive trends were generally ~~detected~~ observed in snow days and snow accumulations at elevations > 1000 m (Buisan et al., 2016), 1800 m (Serrano-Notivoli et al., 2018), and > 2000 m (Bonsoms et al., 2021a). However, ~~L~~long-term trends (1957 to 2017), ~~however, r~~reveal statistically significant decreases in snow depth ~~decreases~~ at 2100 m, with ~~but~~ large variability depending on the sector and the snow indicator (López-Moreno et al., 2020). Climate projections for the end of the 21st century suggest an increase of temperature (> 3ºC), ~~together with~~coupled with small precipitation ~~1500 m precipitation~~ shifts~~s~~ (<= 10%) from autumn to spring (Amblar-Francés et al., 2020). In~~Within~~ this climate context, spatial and temporal ROS ~~spatio-temporal~~ patterns are ~~will~~ likely to change. ~~In order to~~To anticipate future ROS patterns, we analyzed ROS sensitivity to warming through three key indicators: ROS ~~of~~ frequency, rainfall ~~intensity~~ amount and ~~snow~~ ablation.

5.1 ROS spatial variability

~~The climatic setting of the Pyrenees as well as its relief configuration determines a remarkable spatial and temporal variability of ROS events.~~ HS decreases by 39 %, 37 % and 28 % per ºC, for 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m elevations, respectively. Similarly, Sf decreases by 29 %, 22 %, and 12 % per ºC for 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m elevations. These results provide evidence of an elevation-dependent snow sensitivity to temperature change and are consistent with snow sensitivity to climate works in near alpine sectors, such as the Alps (e.g., Martin et al., 1994). HS and Sf exhibit maximum reductions ~~are reached~~ for 1ºC ~~of warming~~, suggesting non-linear HS decreases, in accordance with previous snow sensitivity to climate change reported in the central Pyrenees (López-Moreno et al., 2013). The ~~generally~~ reported increase in ROS rainfall amount ~~increase reported~~ in this work, independent~~ly~~ of the increment of temperature and elevation, is explained by the Sf reduction expected for all sectors (Figure 3). Large increments of warming decrease~~s~~ ROS frequency due to snow cover depletion in early autumn and late spring (Figure 2). However, for the rest of the seasons, and even with snow cover reductions, the snowpack does not fully disappear, leading to ROS frequency increases due to more rainy days.

The 2400 m elevation shows the largest variation over the historical climate period as well as ROS rainfall amount and frequency (Figure 8) because of the thicker snowpack and duration compared to 1500 m and 1800 m areas. Thus, the 2400 m elevation snow duration last until spring and summer, when the largest shift from snowfall to rainfall is found. On the other hand, the 1800 m elevation shows the maximum ROS rainfall amount since the amount of moisture for condensation decreases while air masses increase height (Roe and Baker, 2006). SW and NW annual ROS frequency almost doubles (17 and 12 days/year, respectively) the ROS frequency ~~one~~ recorded in SE and NE (9 days/year, for both sectors). The m~~M~~aximum ROS frequency for a season is found in SW and NW ~~because of larger~~due to thicker snowpacks in this sector (i.e., López-Moreno, 2005; López-Moreno et al, 2007; Navarro-Serrano et al., 2017; Bonsoms et al., 2021a). Thus, snow cover lasts longer until spring when minimum Sf values are found ~~(Figure 2)~~. SW and NW sectors are the most exposed to SW and W air flows (negative NAO phases) (López-Moreno, 2005), which bring wet and mild conditions over the mountain range, leading to most ROS-related floods in the range (Morán-Tejeda et al., 2019). The ~~M~~maximum ROS rainfall amount is generally detected in May, except in NE (at 2400 m elevation) and SE (all elevations), where ~~. In the latter sectors,~~ ROS rainfall amount tends to disappear in ~~Octuber~~October under large (> 2ºC) increments of temperature. The seasonal snow accumulation in ~~NE and Se~~the Eastern Pyrenees is lower-than-average due to the lower influence of Atlantic climate in these sectors of the range. In addition, the SE is closer to the 0ºC due to higher-than-average sublimation, latent and radiative heat fluxes (Bonsoms et al., 2022) and for this reason in this sector each increment of temperature has larger effects on the Sf, HS and ROS frequency reduction (Figures 2 and 3). ~~2400 m elevation shows the largest variation over the historical climate period as well as ROS rainfall amount and frequency (Figure 8) because of the larger snowpack and duration compared to 1500 m and 1800 m areas. Thus, 2400 m elevation snow duration last until spring and summer, when the largest shift from snowfall to rainfall is found. On the other hand, 1800 m elevation shows the maximum ROS rainfall amount since the amount of moisture for condensation decreases while air masses increase height (Roe and Baker, 2006).~~ The largest ROS rainfall amount is detected in SE during autumn (Figures 6, 7 and S5). This is because sector is exposed to Mediterranean low-pressure systems (negative WeMO phases), which~~that~~ usually trigger heavy rainfall events during autumn (Lemus-Canovas et al., 2021) ~~during this season~~, when snow cover may have already developed at a ~~sufficiently high elevations~~sufficiently high elevation.

5.2 ~~ROS C~~comparison with other studies

Recent ROS trends in other mid-latitude areas ~~are in accordance~~align with ROS analysis presented here. Freudiger et al. (2013) analyzed the ROS trends ~~(1950 – 2011 period)~~ ~~of~~ at the Rhine, Danube, Elbe, Weser, Oder, and Ems (Central Europe) basins for the period 1950 – 2011. They observed~~found~~ an overall ROS frequency increase in ROS frequency during January and February from ~~(~~1990 to 2011~~ period)~~, which is consistent with the simulated increase in ROS rainfall amount and frequency ~~increase simulated~~ in winter for the Pyrenees across~~for~~ all elevations and ~~increment of temperature~~temperature ~~increments~~range increases. Similarly, in the Sitter River (NE Switzerland), a ROS frequency increase of around 40% (at elevations below 1500 m) and 200% (at elevations above 2500 m) was detected from 1960 to 2015 ~~(200%) at <1500 m (>2500 m) was detected between 1960 and 2015~~ (Beniston and Stoffel, 2016). In the Western United States, ~~During the last half of the 20th century,~~ ROS frequency trends showed an upward trend at high elevations and a downward trend at low elevations ~~(downward) trend in high (low) elevation in western United States~~ (McCabe et al., 2007). Similar results were found at ~~, as well as in~~ southern British Columbia (Loukas et al., 2002) and in ~~at catchment scale in~~ Oregon (United~~-~~States) (Surfleet and Tullos, 2013). Same ROS frequency increases were detected from 1980 to 2010 in Norway at high-elevated mountain zones, while decreases were found at low-elevated zones (Pall et al., 2019). ~~Same ROS frequency increases (decreases) has been detected from 1980 to 2010 in Norwegian at high (low) elevated mountain zones (Pall et al., 2019).~~ However, in contrast~~diction~~ to ~~with~~ our results and previous studies, at hemispheric scale, winter Northern ~~-~~Hemisphere ROS frequency trends during the ~~(~~1979–~~-~~2014 period ~~)~~ showed no ~~-~~clear trends (Cohen et al., 2015).

Results presented~~exposed~~ in this work provide further~~more~~ evidence of ROS frequency increases in high-elevation zones, ~~as it has been suggested by~~aligning with climate projections and studies on ROS sensitivity to temperature ~~studies~~. The elevation-dependent pattern of ROS, previously reported in the Swiss Alps (Morán-Tejeda et al., 2016), is consistent with findings ~~in~~at the Sitter River catchment (NE Switzerland), where a temperature increases of 2 to 4 °C over the 1960 ~~to~~–2015 period resulted in a 50% increase in ROS frequency at elevations above 2500 m (Beniston and Stoffel, 2016). ~~ROS shows an elevation-dependent pattern that was previously reported in the Swiss Alps (Morán-Tejeda et al., 2016). In Sitter River (NE Switzerland), an increase of 2 to 4 °C over the 1960 to 2015 period results in an increase of the ROS frequency by around 50% at > 2500 m (Beniston and Stoffel, 2016). Likewise, 21st century high-emission scenarios (RCP8.5), suggest increases in ROS frequency and intensity in Gletsch (Switzerland) high-elevation area.~~ Other studies indicate that for climate projections involving ROS definitions that include snow melting (Musselman et al., 2018), natural climate variability contributes to a large extent (70%) of ROS variability (Schirmer et al., 2022). ~~Other studies suggest that on climate projections for ROS definitions that include snow melting (Musselman et al., 2018), natural climate variability contributes to a large extend (70 %) of ROS variability (Schirmer et al., 2022).~~ Li et al. (2019) analyzed ~~the~~ future ROS frequency in the conterminous ~~United States~~United States ~~-~~ and detected a nonlinear trend in ROS events due to warming, ~~which is~~ consistent with the ~~different~~ varied ROS rainfall amount and frequency responses simulated ~~depending on the increment of temperature detected~~ in our work based on different temperature increments. Climate projections for the mid-end of the 21st century ~~projected~~ indicate positive ROS frequency and rainfall trends in Western ~~United States~~United States ~~-~~ and Canada (il Jeong and Sushama, 2018). Similarly, ROS frequency is projected to decrease in the warmest months of the season in low elevation areas of Western United States, but increase at high elevations ~~will likely decrease (increase) in the warmest months of the season in low (high) elevation areas of western United States~~ (Musselman et al., 2018). The same trend is projected for Norwegian mountains (Mooney and Li, 2021). López-Moreno et al. (2021) analyzed ~~40 worldwide basins~~ ROS sensitivity to warming in 40 worldwide basins and~~. In their Their study they~~ found a decrease in~~of~~ ROS events in warm mountain areas. However, they detected ROS frequency increases in cold-climate mountains w~~h~~ith~~ere~~ large snow accumulation ~~is found~~ despite warming. ~~In accordance~~ Consistent with our results, they identified large seasonal differences and ROS frequency decreases in Mediterranean mountains due to snow cover depletion in the last~~s~~ months of the snow season.

Con formato: Color de fuente: Automático, Diseño: Claro

5.3 ROS ablation

Warming increases ROS ablation from autumn to winter ~~on~~ in deep snowpacks and in the coldest sectors of the range. This is attributed~~, due~~ to the higher energy for snow ablation and the conditions closer to the 0ºC isotherm ~~conditions in a warmer than~~compared to the historical climate period. ~~. D~~Data show no -changes and decreases in ROS ablation in the SE during ~~and~~ spring since the snowpack is already near to the isothermal conditions. These ~~results go in line~~findings are consistent with results simulated~~observed~~ in both ~~for~~ cold and warm Pyrenean sites (López-Moreno et al., 2013), and ~~as well as for different~~ Northern-Hemisphere sites (Essery et al., 2020). The ROS ablation indicator is ~~also~~ indirectly affected by the ~~HS~~ magnitude decreases in HS (30 % per ºC; Figure 3), ~~and therefore lower~~resulting in lower ROS ablation ~~is directly affected by lower HS magnitudes~~. Previous literature ~~pointed out that warming have different~~has highlighted the diverse effects of warming on snow ablation patterns. Higher-than-average temperatures advance the peak HS date by ~~o~~an average of 5 days per ºC in elevations of 1800 m and 2400 m ~~elevations~~ (Bonsoms et al., 2023a), leading to ~~triggering~~ earlier onsets of snow ablation~~n onsets~~, ~~and therefore~~ and low~~er~~ solar radiation fluxes (López-Moreno et al., 2013; Lundquist et al., 2013; Pomeroy et al., 2015; Musselman et al., 2017a; Sanmiguel-Vallelado et al., 2022), and earlier depletion of snow ~~s well as earlier snow depletion~~ before the maximum advection of heat fluxes into the snowpack ~~(spring)~~ (Bonsoms et al., 2022). Slower snow-melt rates in a warmer climate have been detected in Western ~~United States~~United States (Musselman et al., 2017), ~~as well as the entire~~and across the entire Northern -Hemisphere (Wu et al., 2018). Low or non~~in~~existent changes in snow ablation on warm and marginal snowpacks have~~s~~ been previously detected in the C~~c~~entral Pyrenees (López-Moreno et al., 2013), in forest and open areas (Sanmiguel-Valellado et al., 2022), across ~~in~~ the entire range (Bonsoms et al., 2022), and in other ~~mountain ranges in the~~ Iberian mountain ranges ~~Peninsula~~ outside the Pyrenees ~~and other Iberian Peninsula Mountain ranges outside the Pyrenees~~ (Alonso-González et al., 2020a).

ROS ablation is larger than the average snow ablation during a snow ablation day (Figure S6~~5~~) due to higher SEB positive fluxes. Several ~~works analyzed~~have examined SEB ~~changes~~ during~~on~~ ROS events, ~~and different~~revealing varying SEB contributions ~~has been found depending~~based on the geographical area (Mazurkiewicz et al., 2008; Garvelmann et al., 2014b; Würzer et al., 2016; Corripio and López-Moreno, 2017; Li et al., 2019). The energy available for melting during ROS days range ~~, ranging~~ from net radiation in Pacific North West (Mazurkiewick et al., 2008) to LWin and turbulent heat fluxes in mountain areas of the conterminous ~~United~~United States ~~States mountain areas~~ (Li et al., 2019) or the Swiss Alps (e.g., Würzer et al., 2016). In general, studies in mid-latitude mountain ranges have ~~shown~~ indicated that turbulent heat fluxes contribute between 60 and 90 % of the energy available for snow ablation during ROS days (e.g., Marks et al., 1998; Garvelmann et al. 2014; Corripio and López-Moreno, 2017). ~~In the central Pyrenees (at > 2000 m) the m~~The meteorological analysis of a ROS event in the Central Pyrenees (at > 2000 m) revealed that ROS ablation exceeds that of a normal ablation day due to the substantial advection of LWin and, especially, sensible heat fluxes (Corripio and López-Moreno, 2017). LWin increases owing to high cloud cover and warm air, commonly observed during ROS events (Moore and Owens, 1984). Future research should analyze the SEB controls during ROS events across the entire mountain range, as well as the hydrological responses of ROS to climate warming. ~~reveals that ROS ablation is larger than a normal ablation day because of the large advection of LWin and especially sensible heat fluxes (Corripio and López Moreno, 2017). LWin increases due to the high cloud cover and warm air, as it is frequently observed during ROS episodes (Moore and Owens, 1984). Further works should analyze the SEB controls during ROS events within the entire mountain range, as well as the ROS hydrological responses to climate warming.~~

5.4 ROS socio-environmental impacts and hazards

Temperature-induced changes in the seasonal snowpack and during ROS days suggest ~~variousseveral~~remarkable hydrological shifts, including ~~, but not limited to,~~ earlier peak flows ~~ion the season~~ (Surfleet and Tullos, 2013), rapid streamflow peaks during high precipitation events in frozen soils (Shanley and Chalmers, 1999), accelerated soil moisture depletion, and reduced river discharges in spring due to earlier snowmelt in the season (Stewart, 2009). ~~faster soil moisture depletion and lower river discharges in spring due to earlier snow melt in the season (Stewart, 2009).~~ The shortening of the snow season due to warming, as reported in this work, ~~will potentially~~has also the potential to alter alpine phenological patterns (i.e., Wipf and Rixen, 2010) and expand forest cover (Szczypta et al., 2015). Although vegetation branches intercept a large amount of snowfall, intermediate and high vegetation shield~~s~~ short-wave radiation, ~~reduces~~ diminish snow wind-~~transport and~~transport and reduce turbulent heat fluxes (López-Moreno and Latron, 2008; Sanmiguel-Valellado et al., 2022).

~~Snow-forest interactions, their sensitivity to climate change as well as the ROS hydrological response within a changing landscape is far from understood across the range and should be the base of forthcoming works.~~

The higher ROS rainfall amount and frequency (Figure 8) are~~will~~ likely to result in increased hazards and impacts in the mountain ecosystem~~imply an increase of ROS-related hazards and impacts in the mountain ecosystem~~. Heavy ROS rainfall amounts ~~changes~~ alter snow metamorphism on saturated snowpacks, ~~and~~ leading~~s~~ to ~~high-speed~~rapid water percolation (Singh et al., 1997). ~~The s~~Subsequent water refreezing ~~changes~~ alters ~~the~~ snowpack conditions, creating~~and creates~~ an ice-layer in the snowpack that ~~can~~ may reach the surface (Rennert et al., 2009). ROS events can cause plant damage (Bjerke et al., 2017), and the ice encapsulation of vegetation in tundra ecosystems can trigger severe wildlife impacts, ~~such as~~including starvation among vertebrate herbivores ~~starvation, reindeer population mortality (Kohler and Aanes, 2004)~~ and higher competition between species (Hansen et al 2014). ~~Nevertheless,~~However, to date, no study has analyzed ROS-related impacts within a changing climate and its impacts~~any study to the date analyzed ROS-related impacts~~ o~~i~~n flora and fauna across ~~sS~~Southern~~-~~ European mountains.

Snow albedo decay due to positive heat fluxes and rainfall in ROS events (Corripio and López-Moreno, 2017), leads to faster snow ablation, even i~~o~~n the ~~next~~ subsequent days (e.g., Singh et al. 1997). The combination of changes in internal snowpack processes, increased ~~larger~~ ROS rainfall amount, and more energy for snow ablation~~to ablate snow~~ during spring could enhance snow runoff, especially during warm and wet snowpack conditions (Würzer et al., 2016). In snow-dominated regions, ROS can lead to a specific type of avalanching (Conway and Raymond, 1993) and floods (Surfleet and Tullos, 2013). The latter represents ~~are~~ the most environmentally damaging risk in Spain (Llasat et al., 2014), with ~~and~~ around 50% of the floods in the Iberian Peninsula ~~are due to~~attributed to ROS events (Morán-Tejeda et al., 2019). ~~More than~~Over half of the historical (1940 to 2012) flood events in the Ésera R~~r~~iver catchment (C~~c~~entral Pyrenees) occurred during spring (Serrano-Notivoli et al., 2017), ~~which coincides~~coinciding with the snow ablation season. ROS floods have ~~also~~ economic impacts~~s~~;~~.~~ f~~F~~or ~~instance~~example, a ROS flood event on ~~that occurred on 13th~~ June ~~of~~ (2013) in the Garonne River (Val d'Aran, C~~c~~entral Pyrenees) cost approximately 20 million ~~of~~ euros to the public insurance (Llasat et al., 2014).

5.5 Limitations

This study assesses~~evaluates~~ the sensitivity of ROS responses to ~~climate change,~~temperature and precipitation changes, enhancing~~nabling a better~~ our understanding of the non-linear ROS spatio-temporal variations in different sectors and elevations of the Pyrenees. Instead of presenting diverse outputs from climate model ensembles (López-Moreno et al., 2010), we provide ROS sensitivity values per 1ºC, ~~making them~~allowing for comparability with other regions and seasons. ~~comparable to other regions and seasons.~~ The temperature and precipitation change values used in this sensitivity analysis are based on established climate projections for the region (Amblar-Francés et al., 2020). However, ~~P~~precipitation projections in the Pyrenees~~, however,~~ exhibit high uncertainties among different models, emission scenarios, and temporal periods (López-Moreno et al., 2008).

The SAFRAN meteorological system used in this work relies on a topographical spatial division and exhibits and accuracy of around 1 ºC in air temperature and approximately~~around~~ 20 mm in ~~the~~ monthly cumulative precipitation (Vernay et al., 2022). Precipitation phase partitioning methods are subject to uncertainties under close-to-isothermal conditions (Harder and Pomeroy, 2014). Hydrological models are also prone~~subject~~ to errors in ~~the~~ snowpack prediction (Essery, 2015). However, the FSM2 is a multiphysics snowpack model that has been validated previously in the Pyrenees (Bonsoms et al., 2023) and compared against different snowpack models (Krinner et al., 2018), providing evidence of its robustness.

6 Conclusions

The ~~expected decreases~~anticipated reductions in snowfall fraction ~~(Sf)~~ and height of snow ~~(HS)~~ due to climate warming are likely to ~~will likely change~~alter ROS spatio-temporal patterns across the Pyrenees, and ~~t.~~ ~~Tth~~thus~~erefore~~, a ~~better~~ comprehensive understanding of ROS is ~~of interestrequired~~needed to anticipate future climate and environmental conditions. This study~~work~~ analyzed ~~the~~ ROS sensitivity to ~~warming~~ temperature by ~~forcing~~ using a physically~~-~~based snow model with perturbed reanalysis climate data (1980 – 2019 period) for elevation areas at 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m ~~elevation areas of the~~in the Pyrenees. ROS sensitivity to temperature ~~and precipitation~~ is ~~evaluated~~ assessed based on ~~by~~ frequency, rainfall intensity, and snow ablation. ~~during ROS days~~.

~~During~~ Throughout the historical climate period, the annual ROS frequency ~~totals on average~~averages 10, 12 and 10 days/season for elevations at 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m, respectively. Higher-than-average annual ROS frequencies are simulated at 1800 m elevation in SW (17 days/year) and NW (12 days/year), contrasting with the minimum detected in SE (9 days/year). Overall, ROS frequency decreases during summer at 2400 m elevation for temperatures exceeding 1ºC. When temperature is progressively increased, the greatest ROS frequency increases are found for SW at 2400 m elevation (around 1 day/month per ºC. ~~elevations. Higher-than-average annual ROS frequency are found in 1800 m elevation SW (17 days/year) and NW (12 days/year), which contrast with the minimums detected in SE (9 days/year). The different spatial and seasonal ROS response to warming suggest that contrasting and shifting trends could be expected in the future. Overall ROS frequency decreases during summer at 2400 m elevation for > 1ºC. When temperature is progressively increased the greatest ROS frequency increases are found for SW 2400 m elevation (around 1 day/month for + 1ºC).~~ ROS frequency is highly sensitive to warming during~~in~~ the snow onset and offset months when ~~diverging~~ various factors ~~play a key role.~~come into play. On the one hand, ~~maximum~~ Sf decreases due to warming~~are simulated for spring~~, leading to rainfall increases.~~; o~~ On the other hand, warming depletes the snowpack in the warmest and ~~snow~~ driest sectors of the range. Consequently, ~~data~~ results suggest a general decrease in ROS frequency ~~decrease~~ for most of the SE massifs, where the snowpack is near the isothermal conditions in the historical climate period. ~~Yet,~~ D~~d~~uring spring, the highest ROS frequency increases are ~~detected~~ simulated in SW and NW sectors, as~~since~~ these sectors are less exposed to radiative and turbulent heat fluxes and record~~record~~ higher-than-average seasonal snow accumulations.

ROS rainfall amount generally increases due to warming, ~~independently~~ regardless of the sector and elevation, although it is constrained by the number of ROS days~~being limited by the number of ROS days~~. The ~~largest~~ most substantial and constant increments are ~~observed~~ simulated in spring, with~~hen~~ ROS rainfall amount ~~increases~~ rising at ~~a~~ rates of 7 %, 6 % and 3 % per ºC for 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m, respectively. The increase in ROS rainfall amount ~~increases~~ is influenced by Sf reductions, which decrease at ~~a r~~rates of 29 %, 22 %, and 12 % per ºC for 1500 m, 1800 m, and 2400 m elevations, respectively. The maximum values of ROS rainfall amount ~~maximum values~~ are detected in SE (28 mm/day), especially at~~in~~ 1800 m elevation during autumn (45 mm/day), as~~since~~ this sector is exposed to subtropical Mediterranean flows.

Finally, ROS ablation exhibits ~~shows~~ contrasting patterns depending on the season, sector and elevation. Generally, ROS ablation increases in cold snowpacks, such as those simulated at~~in~~ 2400 m elevation and during cold seasons (autumn and winter). In these cases, ~~Here,~~ ROS ablation follows a constant ablation rate of around + 10% per ºC~~, due to higher-than-average positive sensible and LWin heat fluxes~~. However, in the SE and at 1500 m elevation, where marginal and isothermal snowpacks are found, no changes or decreases in ROS ablation are detected due to snowpack reductions in a warmer climate. These ~~R~~results demonstrate the high

~~snow~~ sensitivity of snow to climate within a mid-latitude mountain range and suggest significant changes with regards to water resources management. ~~Relevant implications in the ecosystem and socio-economic activities associated with snow cover are anticipated.~~

Data availability

The FSM2 is an open-access snow model (Essery, 2015) provided at https://github.com/RichardEssery/FSM2 (last accessed on 15 January 2023). The SAFRAN climate dataset (Vernay et al., 2022) is available through~~by~~ AERIS at https://www.aeris-data.fr/landing-page/?uuid=865730e8-edeb-4c6b-ae58-80f95166509b#v2020.2 (last accessed on 16 December 2022). ~~D~~Data ~~of this work~~ ~~is~~ are available upon request from~~by~~ the first author (josepbonsoms5@ub.edu).

Author contribution

J.B., J.I.L.M., and E.A.G. designed the work. J.B. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. J.B., J.I.L.M., E.A.G., C.D.B., and M.O. provided feedback and edited the manuscript. J.I.L.M., M.O. supervised the project and acquired funding.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer

We utilized DeepL (https://www.deepl.com) to correct grammar in certain sentences of the manuscript.

Con formato: Fuente: 11 pto, Negrita, Sin Cursiva

Con formato: Fuente: 11 pto, Sin Cursiva

Acknowledgements

This work frames within the research topics examined by the research group "Antarctic, Artic, Alpine Environments-ANTALP" (2017-SGR-1102) funded by the Government of Catalonia, HIDROIBERNIEVE (CGL2017-82216-R) and MARGISNOW (PID2021-124220OB-100), from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities. JB is supported by a pre–doctoral University Professor FPI grant (PRE2021-097046) funded by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities. The authors are grateful to Pascal Haegeli, Samuel Morin and an anonymous reviewer for their review of this manuscript.

References

Alonso-González, E., Aalstad, K., Baba, M. W., Revuelto, J., López-Moreno, J. I., Fiddes, J., et al. MuSA: The Multiscale Snow Data Assimilation System (v1.0). Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, 1–43. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-137 , 2022.

Alonso-González, E., López-Moreno, J.I., Navarro-Serrano, F., Sanmiguel-Vallelado, A., Aznárez-Balta, M., Revuelto, J., and Ceballos, A.: Snowpack Sensitivity to Temperature, Precipitation, and Solar Radiation

Variability over an Elevational Gradient in the Iberian Mountains, Atmos. Res., 243, 104973 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.104973, 2020a.

Alonso-González, E., López-Moreno, J.I., Navarro-Serrano, F., Sanmiguel-Vallelado, A., Revuelto, J., Domínguez-Castro, F., and Ceballos, A.: Snow climatology for the mountains in the Iberian Peninsula using satellite imagery and simulations with dynamically downscaled reanalysis data, International Journal of Climatology, 40(1), 477–491, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6223, 2019.

Alonso-González, E., López-Moreno, J. I., Navarro-Serrano, F. M., and Revuelto, J.: Impact of North Atlantic Oscillation on the snowpack in Iberian Peninsula mountains, Water (Switzerland), 12, https://doi.org/10.3390/w12010105, 2020b.

Amblar-Francés, M. P., Ramos-Calzado, P., Sanchis-Lladó, J., Hernanz-Lázaro, A., Peral-García, M. C., Navascués, B., Dominguez-Alonso, M., Pastor-Saavedra, M. A., and Rodríguez-Camino, E.: High resolution climate change projections for the Pyrenees region, in: Advances in Science and Research, 191–208, https://doi.org/10.5194/asr-17-191-2020, 2020.

Beniston, M. and Stoffel, M.: Rain-on-snow events, floods and climate change in the Alps: Events may increase with warming up to 4 °C and decrease thereafter, Science of the Total Environment, 571, 228–236, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.07.146, 2016.

Beniston, M., Farinotti, D., Stoffel, M., Andreassen, L. M., Coppola, E., Eckert, N., Fantini, A., Giacona, F., Hauck, C., Huss, M., Huwald, H., Lehning, M., López-Moreno, J. I., Magnusson, J., Marty, C., Morán-Tejéda, E., Morin, S., Naaim, M., Provenzale, A., Rabatel, A., Six, D., Stötter, J., Strasser, U., Terzago, S., and Vincent, C.: The European mountain cryosphere: A review of its current state, trends, and future challenges, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-759-2018, 2018.

Bieniek, P. A., Bhatt, U. S., Walsh, J. E., Lader, R., Griffith, B., Roach, J. K., and Thoman, R. L.: Assessment of Alaska rain-on-snow events using dynamical downscaling, J Appl Meteorol Climatol, 57, 1847–1863, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-17-0276.1, 2018.

Bonsoms, J., Franch, F. S., and Oliva, M.: Snowfall and snow cover evolution in the eastern pre-pyrenees (Ne iberian peninsula), Geographical Research Letters, 47, 291–307, https://doi.org/10.18172/cig.4879, 2021b.

Bonsoms, J., González, S., Prohom, M., Esteban, P., Salvador-Franch, F., López-Moreno, J. I., and Oliva, M.: Spatio-temporal patterns of snow in the Catalan Pyrenees (NE Iberia), International Journal of Climatology, 41, 5676–5697, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7147, 2021a.

Bonsoms, J., López-Moreno, J. I., González, S., and Oliva, M.: Increase of the energy available for snow ablation in the Pyrenees (1959–2020) and its relation to atmospheric circulation, Atmos Res, 275, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2022.106228, 2022.

Bonsoms, J., López-Moreno, J. I., and Alonso-González, E.: Snow sensitivity to temperature and precipitation change during compound cold–hot and wet–dry seasons in the Pyrenees, The Cryosphere, 17, 1307–1326, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-1307-2023, 2023b.

Bonsoms, J., Ninyerola, M. Comparison of linear, generalized additive models and machine learning algorithms for spatial climate interpolation, Theoretical and Applied Climatology, Preprint. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-023-04725-5, 2023a.

Buisan, S.T., López-Moreno, J.I., Sanz, M.A. and Korchendorfer, J. Impact of weather type variability on winter precipitation, temperature and annual snowpack in the Spanish Pyrenees. Climate Research, 69, 79–92. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01391, 2016.

Bjerke JW, Treharne R, Vikhamar-Schuler D, Karlsen S R, Ravolainen V, Bokhorst S, Phoenix G K, Bochenek Z and Tømmervik H 2017 Understanding the drivers of extensive plant damage in boreal and Arctic ecosystems: insights from field surveys in the aftermath of damage Sci. Total Environ. 599 1965–76.

Cohen, J., Ye, H., and Jones, J.: Trends and variability in rain-on-snow events, Geophys Res Lett, 42, 7115–7122, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065320, 2015.

Collados-Lara, A. J., Pulido-Velazquez, D., Pardo-Igúzquiza, E., and Alonso-González, E.: Estimation of the spatio-temporal dynamic of snow water equivalent at mountain range scale under data scarcity, Science of the Total Environment, 741, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140485, 2020.

Conway, H. and Raymond, C. F.: Snow stability during rain, Journal of Glaciology, 39, 635–642, https://doi.org/10.3189/s0022143000016531, 1993.

Corripio, J. G. and López-Moreno, J. I.: Analysis and predictability of the hydrological response of mountain catchments to heavy rain on snow events: A case study in the Spanish Pyrenees, Hydrology, 4, https://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology4020020, 2017.

Deschamps-Berger, C., Cluzet, B., Dumont, M., Lafaysse, M., Berthier, E., Fanise, P., and Gascoin, S.: Improving the Spatial Distribution of Snow Cover Simulations by Assimilation of Satellite Stereoscopic Imagery, Water Resour. Res., 58, e2021WR030271, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030271, 2022.

Del Barrio, G., Creus, J., and Puigdefabregas, J.: Thermal Seasonality of the High Mountain Belts of the Pyrenees, Mt. Res. Dev., 10, 227–233, 1990.

Durand, Y., Giraud, G., Brun, E., Mérindol, L., and Martin, E.: A computer-based system simulating snowpack structures as a tool for regional avalanche forecasting, Journal of Glaciology, 45, 469–484, https://doi.org/10.3189/s0022143000001337, 1999.

Durand, Y., Laternser, M., Giraud, G., Etchevers, P., Lesaffre, B., and Mérindol, L.: Reanalysis of 44 yr of climate in the French Alps (1958-2002): Methodology, model validation, climatology, and trends for air temperature and precipitation, J Appl Meteorol Climatol, 48, 429–449, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1808.1, 2009.

Essery, R.: A factorial snowpack model (FSM 1.0), Geosci Model Dev, 8, 3867–3876, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3867-2015, 2015.

Essery, R., Kim, H., Wang, L., Bartlett, P., Boone, A., Brutel-Vuilmet, C., Burke, E., Cuntz, M., Decharme, B., Dutra, E., Fang, X., Gusev, Y., Hagemann, S., Haverd, V., Kontu, A., Krinner, G., Lafaysse, M., Lejeune, Y., Marke, T., Marks, D., Marty, C., Menard, C. B., Nasonova, O., Nitta, T., Pomeroy, J., Schädler, G., Semenov, V., Smirnova, T., Swenson, S., Turkov, D., Wever, N., and Yuan, H.: Snow cover duration trends observed at sites and predicted by multiple models, Cryosphere, 14, 4687–4698, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-4687-2020, 2020.

Freudiger, D., Kohn, I., Stahl, K., and Weiler, M.: Large-scale analysis of changing frequencies of rain-on-snow events with flood-generation potential, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci, 18, 2695–2709, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-2695-2014, 2014.

García-Ruiz, J. M., López-Moreno, J. I., Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Lasanta–Martínez, T. and Beguería, S. Mediterranean water resources in a global change scenario, Earth Sci. Rev., 105(3–4), 121–139, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.01.006, 2011.

Garvelmann, J., Pohl, S., and Weiler, M.: Variability of observed energy fluxes during rain-on-snow and clear sky snowmelt in a midlatitude mountain environment, J Hydrometeorol, 15, 1220–1237, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-13-0187.1, 2014.

Günther, D., Marke, T., Essery, R., and Strasser, U.: Uncertainties in Snowpack Simulations—Assessing the Impact of Model Structure, Parameter Choice, and Forcing Data Error on Point-Scale Energy Balance Snow Model Performance, Water Resour Res, 55, 2779–2800, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023403, 2019.

Hansen, B.B., Isaksen, K., Benestad, R.E., et al.. Warmer and wetter winters: characteristics and implications of an extreme weather event in the High Arctic. Environ. Res. Lett. 9, 114021.

Harder, P. and Pomeroy, J.: Hydrological model uncertainty due to precipitation-phase partitioning methods, Hydrological Processes, 28, 4311–4327. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9799, 2014.

Hock, R., Rasul, G., Adler, C., Cáceres, B., Gruber, S., Hirabayashi, Y., Jackson, M., Kääb, A., Kang, S., Kutuzov, S., Milner, Al., Molau, U., Morin, S., Orlove, B., and Steltzer, H.: High MountainAreas, in: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate, edited by:Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts, D. C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Nicolai, M., Okem, A., Petzold, J., Rama, B., andWeyer, N.M. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, pp. 131–202. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.004, 2019.

Immerzeel, W. W., Lutz, A. F., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., Hyde, S., Brumby, S., Davies, B. J., Elmore, A. C., Emmer, A., Feng, M., Fernández, A., Haritashya, U., Kargel, J. S., Koppes, M., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Kulkarni, A. v., Mayewski, P. A., Nepal, S., Pacheco, P., Painter, T. H., Pellicciotti, F., Rajaram, H., Rupper, S., Sinisalo, A., Shrestha, A. B., Viviroli, D., Wada, Y., Xiao, C., Yao, T., and Baillie, J. E. M.: Importance and vulnerability of the world's water towers, Nature, 577, 364–369, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1822-y, 2020.

Jennings, K. S., Winchell, T. S., Livneh, B., and Molotch, N. P.: Spatial variation of the rain-snow temperature threshold across the Northern Hemisphere, Nat Commun, 9, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03629-7, 2018.

il Jeong, D. and Sushama, L.: Rain-on-snow events over North America based on two Canadian regional climate models, Clim Dyn, 50, 303–316, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-017-3609-x, 2018.

Kohler, J. and Aanes, R.: Effect of winter snow and ground-icing on a Svalbard reindeer population: Results of a simple snowpack model, in: Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 333–341, https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2004)036[0333:EOWSAG]2.0.CO;2, 2004.

Krinner, G., Derksen, C., Essery, R., Flanner, M., Hagemann, S., Clark, M., Hall, A., Rott, H., Brutel-Vuilmet, C., Kim, H., Ménard, C. B., Mudryk, L., Thackeray, C., Wang, L., Arduini, G., Balsamo, G., Bartlett, P., Boike, J., Boone, A., Chéruy, F., Colin, J., Cuntz, M., Dai, Y., Decharme, B., Derry, J., Ducharne, A., Dutra, E., Fang, X., Fierz, C., Ghattas, J., Gusev, Y., Haverd, V., Kontu, A., Lafaysse, M., Law, R., Lawrence, D., Li, W., Marke, T., Marks, D., Ménégoz, M., Nasonova, O., Nitta, T., Niwano, M., Pomeroy, J., Raleigh, M. S., Schaedler, G., Semenov, V., Smirnova, T. G., Stacke, T., Strasser, U., Svenson, S., Turkov, D., Wang, T., Wever, N., Yuan, H., Zhou, W., and Zhu, D.: ESM-SnowMIP: assessing snow models and quantifying snow-related climate feedbacks, Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 5027–5049, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-5027-2018, 2018.

Lemus-Canovas, M., Lopez-Bustins, J. A., Trapero, L., and Martin-Vide, J.: Combining circulation weather types and daily precipitation modelling to derive climatic precipitation regions in the Pyrenees, Atmos Res, 220, 181–193, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.01.018, 2019.

Lemus-Canovas, M., Lopez-Bustins, J. A., Martín-Vide, J., Halifa-Marin, A., Insua-Costa, D., Martinez-Artigas, J., Trapero, L., Serrano-Notivoli, R., and Cuadrat, J. M.: Characterisation of extreme precipitation events in the Pyrenees: From the local to the synoptic scale, Atmosphere (Basel), 12, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12060665, 2021.

Li, D., Lettenmaier, D. P., Margulis, S. A., and Andreadis, K.: The Role of Rain-on-Snow in Flooding Over the Conterminous United States, Water Resour Res, 55, 8492–8513, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR024950, 2019.

Llasat, M. C., Marcos, R., Llasat-Botija, M., Gilabert, J., Turco, M., and Quintana-Seguí, P.: Flash flood evolution in North-Western Mediterranean, Atmos Res, 149, 230–243, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.05.024, 2014.

López-Moreno, J. I.: Recent variations of snowpack depth in the central Spanish Pyrenees, Arct Antarct Alp Res, 37, 253–260, https://doi.org/10.1657/1523-0430(2005)037[0253:RVOSDI]2.0.CO;2, 2005.

López-Moreno,J.I., Goyette,S., and Beniston,M.:Climate change prediction over complex areas: spatial variability of uncertainties and predictions over the Pyrenees from a set of regional climate models. International Journal of Climatology, 28, 1535–1550. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1645, 2008.

López-Moreno, J. I., Pomeroy, J. W., Revuelto, J., and Vicente-Serrano, S. M.: Response of snow processes to climate change: Spatial variability in a small basin in the Spanish Pyrenees, Hydrol Process, 27, 2637–2650, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9408, 2013.

López-Moreno, J. I., Pomeroy, J. W., Morán-Tejeda, E., Revuelto, J., Navarro-Serrano, F. M., Vidaller, I., and Alonso-González, E.: Changes in the frequency of global high mountain rain-on-snow events due to climate warming, Environmental Research Letters, 16, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac0dde, 2021.

López-Moreno, J.I., Soubeyroux, J.M., Gascoin, S., Alonso-González, E., Durán-Gómez, N., Lafaysse, M., Vernay, M., Carmagnola, C. and Morin, S. Long-term trends (1958–2017) in snow cover duration and depth in the Pyrenees. International Journal of Climatology, 40, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6571, 2020.

López-Moreno, J.I., and Vicente-Serrano, S.M.: Atmospheric circulation influence on the interannual variability of snowpack in the Spanish Pyrenees during the second half of the twentieth century, Nord. Hydrol., 38 (1), 38–44, https://doi.org/10.2166/ nh.2007.030, 2007.

López-Moreno, J.I., and Latron, J., 2008. Spatial heterogeneity in snow water equivalent induced by forest canopy in a mixed beech-fir stand in the Pyrenees. Ann. Glaciol. 49 (1), 83–90, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756408787814951, 2008.

Loukas, A., Vasiliades, L., and Dalezios, N. R.: Potential climate change impacts on flood producing mechanisms in southern British Columbia, Canada using the CGCMA1 simulation results, J. Hydrol., 259, 163–188, https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0022- 1694(01)00580-7, 2002

Lundquist, J. D., Dickerson-Lange, S. E., Lutz, J. A., and Cristea, N. C.: Lower forest density enhances snow retention in regions with warmer winters: A global framework developed from plot-scale observations and modeling, Water Resour Res, 49, 6356–6370, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20504, 2013.

Lynn, E., Cuthbertson, A., He, M., Vasquez, J. P., Anderson, M. L., Coombe, P., Abatzoglou, J. T., and Hatchett, B. J.: Technical note: Precipitation-phase partitioning at landscape scales to regional scales, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci, 24, 5317–5328, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-5317-2020, 2020.

Matiu, M., Crespi, A., Bertoldi, G., Carmagnola, C.M., Marty, C., Morin, S., Schöner, W.,Cat Berro, D., Chiogna, G., De Gregorio, L., Kotlarski, S., Majone, B., Resch, G., Terzago, S., Valt, M., Beozzo, W., Cianfarra, P., Gouttevin, I., Marcolini, G., Notarnicola, C., Petitta, M., Scherrer, S.C., Strasser, U., Winkler, M., Zebisch, M., Cicogna, A., Cremonini, R., Debernardi, A., Faletto, M., Gaddo, M., Giovannini, L., Mercalli, L., Soubeyroux, J.-M., Susnik, A., Trenti, A., Urbani, S., Weilguni, V. Observed snow depth trends in the European Alps 1971 to 2019. Cryophere, 1–50. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2020-289, 2020.

Marks, D., Link, T., Winstral, A., and Garen, D.: Simulating snowmelt processes during rain-on-snow over a semi-arid mountain basin, 1992.

Martin, E., Brun, E., and Durand, Y.: Sensitivity of the French Alps snow cover to the variation of climatic variables, Annales Geophysicae, 12, 469–477, 1994.

Marty, C., Schlögl, S., Bavay, M., and Lehning, M.: How much can we save? Impact of different emission scenarios on future snow cover in the Alps, Cryosphere, 11, 517–529, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-517-2017, 2017.

Mazurkiewicz, A. B., Callery, D. G., and McDonnell, J. J.: Assessing the controls of the snow energy balance and water available for runoff in a rain-on-snow environment, J Hydrol (Amst), 354, 1–14, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.12.027, 2008.

Mazzotti, G., Essery, R., Webster, C., Malle, J., and Jonas, T.: Process-Level Evaluation of a Hyper-Resolution Forest Snow Model Using Distributed Multisensor Observations, Water Resour Res, 56, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR027572, 2020.

Mccabe, G. J., Clark, M. P., and Hay, L. E.: Rain-on-snow events in the Western ~~United States~~United States , https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-88-3-3I9, 2007.

Mooney, P. A. and Li, L.: Near future changes to rain-on-snow events in Norway, Environmental Research Letters, 16, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abfdeb, 2021.

Morán-Tejeda, E., López-Moreno, J. I., Stoffel, M., and Beniston, M.: Rain-on-snow events in Switzerland: Recent observations and projections for the 21st century, Clim Res, 71, 111–125, https://doi.org/10.3354/cr01435, 2016.

Morán-Tejeda, E., Fassnacht, S. R., Lorenzo-Lacruz, J., López-Moreno, J. I., García, C., Alonso-González, E., and Collados-Lara, A. J.: Hydro-meteorological characterization of major floods in Spanish mountain rivers, Water (Switzerland), 11, https://doi.org/10.3390/W11122641, 2019.

Morin, S., Horton, S., Techel, F., Bavay, M., Coléou, C., Fierz, C., Gobiet, A., Hagenmuller, P., Lafaysse, M., Ližar, M., Mitterer, C., Monti, F., Müller, K., Olefs, M., Snook, J. S., van Herwijnen, A., and Vionnet, V.: Application of physical snowpack models in support of operational avalanche hazard forecasting: A status report on current implementations and prospects for the future, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2019.102910, 2020.

Musselman, K. N., Clark, M. P., Liu, C., Ikeda, K., and Rasmussen, R.: Slower snowmelt in a warmer world, Nat Clim Chang, 7, 214–219, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3225, 2017a.

Musselman, K. N., Keitholotch, N. P., Mar, N., and Mgulis, S. A.: Snowmelt response to simulated warming across a large elevation gradient, southern sierra Nevada, California, Cryosphere, 11, 2847–2866, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2847-2017, 2017b.

Musselman, K. N., Lehner, F., Ikeda, K., Clark, M. P., Prein, A. F., Liu, C., Barlage, M., and Rasmussen, R.: Projected increases and shifts in rain-on-snow flood risk over ~~western~~Western North America, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0236-4, 2018.

Navarro-Serrano, F. and López-Moreno, J. I.: Análisis espacio-temporal de los eventos de nevadas en el pirineo Español y su relación con la circulación atmosférica, Cuadernos de Investigacion Geografica, 43, 233–254, https://doi.org/10.18172/cig.3042, 2017.

Ohba, M. and Kawase, H.: Rain-on-Snow events in Japan as projected by a large ensemble of regional climate simulations, Clim Dyn, 55, 2785–2800, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05419-8, 2020.

OPCC-CTP. Climate change in the Pyrenees: Impacts, vulnerabilities and adaptation bases of knowledge for the future climate change adaptation strategy in the Pyrenees. 2018. 147. Jaca, Spain. https://www.opccctp.org/sites/default/files/editor/ opcc-informe-en-paginas.pdf. (last acces December 25, 2022)

Pall, P., Tallaksen, L. M., and Stordal, F.: A Climatology of Rain-on-Snow Events for Norway, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18, 2019.

Pepin, N. C., Arnone, E., Gobiet, A., Haslinger, K., Kotlarski, S., Notarnicola, C., Palazzi, E., Seibert, P., Serafin, S., Schöner, W., Terzago, S., Thornton, J. M., Vuille, M., and Adler, C.: Climate Changes and Their Elevational Patterns in the Mountains of the World, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020RG000730, 2022.

Peña-Angulo, D., Vicente-Serrano, S., Domínguez-Castro, F., Murphy, C., Reig, F., Tramblay, Y., Trigo, R., Luna, M.Y., Turco, M., Noguera, I., Aznarez-Balta, M., Garcia-Herrera, R., Tomas-Burguera, M. and Kenawy, A. Long-term precipitation in South~~western~~Western Europe reveals no clear trend attributable to anthropogenic forcing. Environmental Research Letters,15. 094070https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab9c4f, 2020.

Pons, M., López-Moreno, J., Rosas-Casals, M., and Jover, E.: The vulnerability of Pyrenean ski resorts to climate-induced changes in the snowpack, Clim.Change, 131, 591–605, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-015-1400-8, 2015.

Pomeroy, J. W., Fang, X., and Rasouli, K.: Sensitivity of snow processes to warming in the Canadian Rockies, 2015.

Pomeroy, J. W., Fang, X., and Marks, D. G.: The cold rain-on-snow event of June 2013 in the Canadian Rockies — characteristics and diagnosis, Hydrol Process, 30, 2899–2914, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10905, 2016.

Rasouli, K., Pomeroy, J. W., and Whitfield, P. H.: Hydrological responses of headwater basins to monthly perturbed climate in the North American Cordillera, J Hydrometeorol, 20, 863–882, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-18-0166.1, 2019.

Rennert, K. J., Roe, G., Putkonen, J., and Bitz, C. M.: Soil thermal and ecological impacts of rain on snow events in the circumpolar arctic, J Clim, 22, 2302–2315, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2117.1, 2009.

Réveillet, M., Dumont, M., Gascoin, S., Lafaysse, M., Nabat, P., Ribes, A., Nheili, R., Tuzet, F., Ménégoz, M., Morin, S., Picard, G., and Ginoux, P.: Black carbon and dust alter the response of mountain snow cover under climate change, Nat Commun, 13, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32501-y, 2022.

Revuelto, J., Lecourt, G., Lafaysse, M., Zin, I., Charrois, L., Vionnet, V., Dumont, M., Rabatel, A., Six, D., Condom, T., Morin, S., Viani, A., and Sirguey, P.: Multi-criteria evaluation of snowpack simulations in complex alpine terrain using satellite and in situ observations, Remote Sens (Basel), 10, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10081171, 2018.

Roe, G. H. and Baker, M. B.: Microphysical and Geometrical Controls on the Pattern of Orographic Precipitation, 2006.

Sanmiguel-Vallelado, A., McPhee, J., Esmeralda Ojeda Carreño, P., Morán-Tejeda, E., Julio Camarero, J., and López-Moreno, J. I.: Sensitivity of forest–snow interactions to climate forcing: Local variability in a Pyrenean valley, J Hydrol (Amst), 605, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127311, 2022.

Schirmer, M., Winstral, A., Jonas, T., Burlando, P., and Peleg, N.: Natural climate variability is an important aspect of future projections of snow water resources and rain-on-snow events, Cryosphere, 16, 3469–3488, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-3469-2022, 2022.

Schöner, W., Koch, R., Matulla, C., Marty, C., and Tilg, A. M.: Spatio-temporal patterns of snow depth within the Swiss-Austrian Alps for the past half century (1961 to 2012) and linkages to climate change, International Journal of Climatology, 39, 1589–1603, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5902, 2019.

Serrano-Notivoli, R., Buisan, S.T., Abad-Pérez, L.M., Sierra-Álvarez, E., Rodríguez-Ballesteros, C., López-Moreno, J.I. and Cuadrat, J.M. Tendencias recientes en precipitación, temperatura y nieve de alta montaña en los Pirineos (Refugio de Góriz, Huesca). In: El clima: aire, agua, tierra y fuego. Madrid, Spain: Asociación Española de Climatología y Ministerio para la Transición Ecológica – Agencia Estatal de Meteorología, pp. 267, 1060–280, 2018.

Serrano-Notivoli, R., Mora, D., Ollero, A., Sánchez-Fabre, M., Sanz, P., and Saz, M.: Floodplain occupation and flooding in the central Pyrenees, Cuadernos de Investigacion Geografica, 43, 309–328, https://doi.org/10.18172/cig.3057, 2017.

Shanley, J. B. and Chalmers, A.: The efect of frozen soil on snowmelt runoff at Sleepers River, Vermont 1999.

Singh, P., Spitzbart, G., Hübl, H., and Weinmeister, H. W.: Hydrological response of snowpack under rain-on-snow events: a field study, Journal of Hydrology, 1–20 pp., 1997.

Spandre, P., François, H., Verfaillie, D., Lafaysse, M., Déqué, M., Eckert, N., George, E., and Morin, S.: Climate controls on snow reliability in French Alps ski resorts, Sci Rep, 9, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44068-8, 2019.

Stewart, I. T.: Changes in snowpack and snowmelt runoff for key mountain regions, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.7128, 2009.

Surfleet, C. G. and Tullos, D.: Variability in effect of climate change on rain-on-snow peak flow events in a temperate climate, J Hydrol (Amst), 479, 24–34, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.11.021, 2013.

Szczypta, C., Gascoin, S., Houet, T., Hagolle, O., Dejoux, J.-F., Vigneau, C., and Fanise, P.: Impact of climate and land cover changes on snow cover in a small Pyrenean catchment, J. Hydrol., 521, 84–99, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.11.060, 2015.

Verfaillie, D., Lafaysse, M., Déqué, M., Eckert, N., Lejeune, Y., and Morin, S.: Multi-component ensembles of future meteorological and natural snow conditions for 1500 m altitude in the Chartreuse mountain range, Northern French Alps, Cryosphere, 12, 1249–1271, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1249-2018, 2018.

Vernay, M., Lafaysse, M., Monteiro, D., Hagenmuller, P., Nheili, R., Samacoïts, R., Verfaillie, D., and Morin, S.: The S2M meteorological and snow cover reanalysis over the French mountainous areas: description and evaluation (1958-2021), Earth Syst Sci Data, 14, 1707–1733, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-1707-2022, 2022.

Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Rodríguez-Camino, E., Domínguez-Castro, F., El Kenawy, A., Azorín-Molina, C. An updated review on recent trends in observational surface atmospheric variables and their extremes over Spain. Cuadernos de Investigación Geográfica (Geographical Research Letters) 43 (1), 209-232. https://doi.org/10.18172/cig.3134, 2017.

Vidaller, I., Revuelto, J., Izagirre, E., Rojas-Heredia, F., Alonso-González, E., Gascoin, S., René, P., Berthier, E., Rico, I., Moreno, A., Serrano, E., Serreta, A., López-Moreno, J.I. Toward an ice-free mountain range: Demise of Pyrenean glaciers during 2011–2020. J. Geophys. Res. Lett. 48, e2021GL094339 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL094339, 2021.

Viviroli, D., Archer, D. R., Buytaert, W., Fowler, H. J., Greenwood, G. B., Hamlet, A. F., Huang, Y., Koboltschnig, G., Litaor, M. I., López-Moreno, J. I., Lorentz, S., Schädler, B., Schreier, H., Schwaiger, K., Vuille, M., and Woods, R.: Climate change and mountain water resources: Overview and recommendations for research, management and policy, Hydrol Earth Syst Sci, 15, 471–504, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-471-2011, 2011.

Westermann, S., Boike, J., Langer, M., Schuler, T. V., and Etzelmüller, B.: Modeling the impact of wintertime rain events on the thermal regime of permafrost, Cryosphere, 5, 945–959, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-945-2011, 2011.

Wipf, S. and Rixen, C.: A review of snow manipulation experiments in Arctic and alpine tundra ecosystems, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-8369.2010.00153.x, 2010.

Wu, X., Che, T., Li, X., Wang, N., and Yang, X.: Slower Snowmelt in Spring Along With Climate Warming Across the Northern Hemisphere, Geophys Res Lett, 45, 12,331-12,339, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079511, 2018.

Würzer, S., Jonas, T., Wever, N., and Lehning, M.: Influence of initial snowpack properties on runoffformation during rain-on-snow events, J Hydrometeorol, 17, 1801–1815, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-15-0181.1, 2016.