Dear Referee,

Thank you for taking the time to review our revised manuscript. We have provided pointby-point response to your comments below.

Dear Lucas, Thanks a lot for the revised manuscript. It has improved significantly. Great work!

I just a few, small corrections which you could add:

Line 389: "of the of maximum SGL area" to "of the maximum SGL area" **Done**

Line 477/478: delete blank before comma "(Planet Team, 2022), particularly" **This space has been removed.**

Table 2: Please refer the observation period and it would be nice to know the ratio between glacier area and slg area to better compare the different study sites. Thank you for this suggestion. We have clarified the observation period and source of this data in the table caption (all data is derived from the 2017-2022 Planet timeseries). We have also included lake areas represented as a percentage of the total debris-covered area for each of the three area columns. We agree that this makes the data more easily comparable.

Figure 7: I would shift this figure back to the main manuscript to highlight these results as this is a large and important point of your work and also your title refers to the decadal changes. Thank you for this suggestion. I believe that the track-changes version of the manuscript mistakenly pushed this figure (the 1988-2022 timeseries of SGL area on four glaciers) to the end of the document, making it appear like it was part of the supplemental material. Figure 6, 7, 8, and 9 are all meant to appear in the main manuscript, and I will ensure that this is more clear in the updated documents.

All the best and good luck, Anna