Diurnal variation of aerosol indirect effect for warm marine boundary layer clouds in the eastern north Atlantic.

Shaoyue Qiu, Xue Zheng, David Painemal, Christopher R. Terai, and Xiaoli Zhou

⁷ ¹Atmospheric, Earth and Energy Division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA

8 ² Science Directorate, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA

9 ³ Analytical Mechanics Associates, Hampton, VA, USA

10 ⁴Chemical Sciences Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, CO, USA,

⁵Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences (CIRES), University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

12 13

4 5

6

- 14 This file includes:
- 15 Supplementary text
- **16** Figs. S1 to S6
- 17

18 Supplementary Text

- 19 Evaluation of Meteosat-11 cloud mask with ARM ground-based observations.
- 20 As the cloud fraction (CF) in Meteosat is defined as areal fraction of each time step, while CF from the ground-based radar-lidar
- 21 observations is defined as the percentage of time when clouds are detected. To enable comparison, we calculate the CF from
- 22 Meteosat over a $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ grid box centered at the ground site. For ground-based observations, CF is computed over a one-
- hour period centered at each Meteosat cycle (e.g., Dong et al., 2002, 2016; Xi et al., 2010). Since Meteosat is unable to observe
- 24 low clouds below an upper cloud layer, boundary layer clouds in ARM ground-based observations are defined as clouds with the
- radar detected uppermost cloud tops below 3km to be consistent with satellite definition. In Figure S1, we present the average
- 26 diurnal variation of boundary layer clouds derived from Meteosat and ARM ground-based observation during the four-month
- study period from 2018 to 2021 in July.
- 28 29



Figure S1: Diurnal variation of boundary layer cloud fraction derived from the ground-based radar-lidar-ceilometer

31 32 33 34 35 measurements at the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Eastern North Atlantic (ARM ENA) site (black line) and from Meoteosat-11 (blue line).



38 39 Figure S2. Daytime mean cloud properties for different N_d and LWP bins. (a) cloud fraction (b) cloud albedo, (c) effective radius, and (d) pixel-level precipitation fraction. The dashed lines indicate $r_e = 15 \ \mu m$ and LWP= 75 gm^{-2} , as thresholds for precipitation (precipitating clouds located to the left of the line) and thick clouds (with LWP > 75 gm^{-2}).



43 44 45 46 Figure S3. Diurnal variation of (a) cloud fraction, (b) pixel-level precipitation fraction, and (c) diameter-to-height ratio (DHR) for non-precipitating clouds. Different colors represent different cloud states as indicated in (a). Please note that the nonprecipitating thin cloud in (a) and (b) use the y-axis on the right side.



Figure S4. Daytime variation of non-precipitating thin clouds that have small changes in the $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ mean cloud fraction (CF) (No change, solid line with circle symbols), with an increase in the mean CF (developing, solid line with triangle symbols), and with a decrease in the mean CF (dissipating, dash line with diamond symbols) within a 30-minute window. (a) Percentage of occurrence for the three groups above, (b) cloud LWP susceptibility $(dln(LWP)/dln(N_d))$, (c) cloud albedo susceptibility $(d\alpha_c/dln(N_d))$, and (d) cloud fraction susceptibility $(dCF/dln(N_d))$ for non-precipitating thin clouds. Symbols representing different cloud stages are noted in (b). In (b)-(d), filled markers indicate data points that are significantly different from the other two groups (p<0.05). Open markers indicate statistical insignificance.



Figure S5. Daytime variation of non-precipitating thin clouds transition from non-precipitating thin clouds (thin \rightarrow thin, solid line with circle symbols), precipitating clouds (rain \rightarrow thin, solid line with triangle symbols), and non-precipitating thick clouds (thick \rightarrow thin, dash line with diamond symbols) in previous half an hour. (a) Percentage of occurrence for the three groups above, (b) cloud LWP susceptibility $(dln(LWP)/dln(N_d))$, (c) cloud albedo susceptibility $(d\alpha_c/dln(N_d))$, and (d) cloud fraction susceptibility $(dCF/dln(N_d))$ for non-precipitating thin clouds. Symbols for different state transitions are noted in (b). In (b)-(d), filled markers indicate data points that are significantly different from the other two groups (p<0.05), while open markers indicate statistical insignificance.



69 70 71

Figure S6. Daytime variation of non-precipitating thin clouds transition from non-precipitating thin clouds (thin \rightarrow thin, solid line with circle symbols), precipitating clouds (rain \rightarrow thin, solid line with triangle symbols), and non-precipitating thick clouds 72 (thick \rightarrow thin, dash line with diamond symbols) in previous four hours. (a) Percentage of occurrence for the three groups above, 73 (b) cloud LWP susceptibility $(dln(LWP)/dln(N_d))$, (c) cloud albedo susceptibility $(d\alpha_c/dln(N_d))$, and (d) cloud fraction 74 susceptibility $(dCF/dln(N_d))$ for non-precipitating thin clouds. Symbols for different state transitions are noted in (b). In (b)-(d), 75 filled markers indicate data points that are significantly different from the other two groups (p < 0.05), while open markers 76 indicate statistical insignificance. The lack of definition of cloud state transition between 9-11 LST is due to filtering of cloud 77 retrievals with the SZA threshold.