

Public justification (visible to the public if the article is accepted and published):

I recommend publication with a technical correction. Lines 18, 236, 264, and 280 refer to "manually generated data". I think this would be more accurately described as "measurements of re-aerosolised pollen" or similar wording, because it was the pollen samples that were manually generated and not the data.

We thank the associate editor for their work and implication in improving our paper. Your recommendation regarding the "manually generated data" makes absolute sense and was corrected accordingly, underlining that the aerosolisation process was done by us but not the data itself. Thanks for noticing this.