## Hector V3.2.0: functionality and performance of a reduced-

## 2 complexity climate model

- 3 Kalyn Dorheim<sup>1</sup>, Skylar Gering<sup>2</sup>, Robert Gieseke<sup>3</sup>, Corinne Hartin<sup>4</sup>, Leeya Pressburger<sup>1</sup>, Alexey N.
- 4 Shiklomanov<sup>5</sup>, Steven J. Smith<sup>1</sup>, Claudia Tebaldi<sup>1</sup>, Dawn Woodard<sup>1,6</sup>, Ben Bond-Lamberty<sup>1</sup>
- University Joint Global Change Research Institute, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 5825 University
   Research Ct. #3500, College Park, MD 20740 USA
- 7 2. California Institute of Technology 1200 E California Blvd, Pasadena, CA 91125 USA
- 8 3. Independent Researcher, Potsdam, Germany
- Climate Change Division, Office of Atmospheric Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington,
   DC, USA
- 11 5. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Rd., Greenbelt, MD, 20771 USA
- 12 6. Natural Resources Defense Council, 1152 15th St NW #300, Washington, DC 20005 USA
- 13 Correspondence to: Kalyn Dorheim (kalyn.dorheim@pnnl.gov)

14 Abstract. Hector is an open-source reduced complexity climate-carbon cycle model that models critical Earth system 15 processes on a global and annual basis. Here we present an updated version of the model, Hector V3.2.0 (hereafter Hector 16 V3) and document its new features, implementation of new science, and performance. Significant new features include permafrost thaw, a reworked energy balance submodel, and updated parameterizations throughout. Hector V3 results are in 17 18 good general agreement with historical observations of atmospheric CO2 concentrations and global mean surface 19 temperature, and its future temperature projections are consistent with more complex Earth System Model output data from 20 the Sixth Coupled Model Intercomparison Project. We show that Hector V3 is a fully open source, flexible, performant, and 21 robust simulator of global climate changes, note its limitations, and discuss future areas of improvement and research with respect to the model's scientific, stakeholder, and educational priorities. 22

#### 23 1 Introduction

- 24 Reduced complexity climate models (RCMs) fill a critical role within the diverse climate modeling landscape (Sarofim et al.,
- 25 2021). With strategically simpler representations of large-scale climate processes and dynamics in contrast to coupled Earth
- 26 System Models (ESMs), RCMs are computationally efficient sources of future climate projections, able to produce large
- 27 ensembles of results and explore key uncertainties at a fraction of the computational cost of a single ESM run (Kawamiya et

al., 2020). For this reason, RCMs such as Hector, MAGICC, FaIR, and the other Reduced Complexity Intercomparison
Project (RCMIP) participating models (Nicholls et al., 2021; Meinshausen et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2018; Nicholls et al.,
2020) have been coupled with socioeconomic models (Calvin et al., 2019); used to study climate-carbon interactions and
feedbacks (Woodard et al., 2021); supported the assessment of key quantities like global temperature and the carbon budget
in various Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports (Smith et al., 2021; Forster et al., 2021); and other
applications.
Hector is a globally resolved carbon-climate RCM with explicit terrestrial and ocean carbon cycles as well as active surface

ocean chemistry. As a stand-alone climate model, Hector has been used in a variety of other research projects (Woodard et
al., 2021; Dorheim et al., 2020; Schwarber et al., 2019; Vega-Westhoff et al., 2019; Pressburger et al., 2023) and participated
in the first two phases of RCMIP (Nicholls et al., 2021, 2020). In addition, since 2015, Hector has been the climate
component of the Global Change Analysis Model (GCAM) (Calvin et al., 2019) and used to explore the feedback from
hydrofluorocarbon emissions from future changes in heating and cooling degree days (Hartin et al., 2021) as well as how
carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) removal technologies may impact the energy-water-land system (Fuhrman et al., 2023).
Since its initial release, model development of Hector has continued in order to reflect the advances made within the climate

44 science and open-source software research communities, and the objective of this paper is to document the latest version of

45 the model. We provide an overview of the model before describing the major changes and upgrades that have been made

46 since Hector V1, focusing on the default model configuration but also describing optional settings. We then compare Hector

47 V3 results with observations and ESM output to examine model performance, and finally discuss future areas of

48 improvement for the model in the context of its goals of accuracy, performance, and broad accessibility.

## 49 2 Methods

#### 50 2.1 Model General Description

51 The first version of Hector (V1) was described in detail by Hartin et al. (2015). It is a self-contained object-oriented model

52 implemented in C++ with a modular, flexible design. While Hector produces annual output, its adaptive-time solver is

53 capable of operating at a higher frequency to help address issues with numerical instability.

54

55 In its default configuration, all Hector runs begin after "spinup" (Thornton and Rosenbloom, 2005), in which the model runs

56 until all carbon pools are in equilibrium; this typically requires ~300 years using the default model parametrization, and

57 typically results in changes of a few percent in the model's major carbon pools. After the spinup phase is complete, the main

58 Hector run begins. A Hector run can either be "free-running" or "constrained." By default, the model is free-running,

59 meaning that its behavior is determined by the time series of emissions and other inputs. During a *constrained* run, the model

60 is forced to match one or more user-prescribed time series. The default free-running model uses time series from 37 61 different emission species and 3 exogenous radiative forcers (see Supplementary Tables 1). These emission inputs fall into 62 two categories. The first category consists of emissions that accumulate as greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations. The GHG 63 concentrations for nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), and 26 halocarbons are calculated using equations that encode a 64 simplified relationship between emissions and concentrations (Supplementary Tables 3-5). The GHG concentrations for 65 ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) are calculated from interactions between nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and non-methane volatile organic compound (NMVOC) emissions (Equations 42-43 in Supplementary Table 9). The atmospheric CO2 66 67 concentrations are determined in part by the anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (read in as an input) and by the behavior of 68 Hector's terrestrial and ocean carbon cycle components (Figure 1). The second category consists of the emissions that 69 impact Hector's radiative forcing budget: carbon monoxide (CO), black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC), sulfur dioxide 70 (SO<sub>2</sub>), and ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>). These emissions are used in equations (Supplementary Information) that determine aerosol 71 concentrations and thus radiative forcings. The total radiative forcing is the sum of the forcing effects of all of Hector's 72 atmospheric greenhouse gases, aerosols, and several additional forcing inputs (volcanic forcing, albedo). 73 74 Total radiative forcing is then used to simulate temperature change. Hector's temperature component (Vega-Westhoff et al., 75 2019) is an implementation of the Diffusion Ocean Energy balance CLIMate model (Kriegler, 2005; Tanaka et al., 2007). 76 DOECLIM is a 1-D pure diffusion ocean model that calculates changes in air temperature 2 meters over ocean/land, sea 77 surface temperature, and within the ocean mixed layer. The sea surface and land surface temperatures from DOECLIM are

78 used by Hector's ocean and land carbon cycles to calculate the carbon fluxes at the next time step. Hector's global mean

<sup>79</sup> surface temperature (GMST) is the area-weighted average of these land surface and ocean surface temperatures.

## 80 2.2 Changes Since V1

81 A number of significant architectural, software, and scientific developments have been implemented since the V1 release and

82 documentation manuscript (Hartin et al., 2015). We start by documenting these software changes before discussing other

changes and new features affecting Hector's carbon cycle, radiative forcing, temperature calculations, and constrained mode
 capabilities.

#### 85 2.2.1 Software

Hector is an open-source community model available on GitHub (https://github.com/jgcri/hector). The repository includes
updated project solutions and make files to support building and running Hector from the command line or development
environments such as Visual Studio (<u>https://visualstudio.microsoft.com/</u>) or Xcode (<u>https://developer.apple.com/xcode/</u>).
Alternatively, users can run Hector as an R (R Core Team, 2021) package, allowing for a broader range of users given R's
popularity as a data analysis and simulation tool across many scientific disciplines. The R package wrapper enabled the

3

Deleted: tropospheric

92 development of the Hector User Interface (Pennington and Vernon, 2021), which allows users to run and interact with 93 Hector results in a web browser. Other changes include updated and reduced software dependencies, automated software 94 testing, and auto-generated online documentation. Finally, a Python wrapper Pyhector (Willner et al., 2017) is maintained by 95 community collaborators, broadening the potential users and use cases of the model. The default model remains highly 96 performant: even without any speed optimizations at compile time, running the 550 years (1750-2300) of a standard run 97 takes ~0.5s on a modern laptop. The model is also straightforward to parallelize for large-ensemble analyses (Pressburger et 98 al., 2023). Ultimately, these Hector V3 software changes have led to a more robust, transparent, and accessible community 99 model.

### 100 2.2.2 Carbon Cycle

Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are debited from a geological pool (named "earth" in Hector; cf. **Figure 1**) pool and added to the one-pool, global atmosphere at each timestep. Hector's active carbon cycle is split into terrestrial land and ocean submodels.

104

105 As described in detail by Hartin et al. (2015, 2016), Hector's ocean carbon cycle is a four-box module, consisting of two 106 surface-level, intermediate, and deep ocean boxes (Figure 1). Carbon and water mass exchange occur between the four 107 boxes respecting simplified representations of advection and thermohaline circulation, with volume transports tuned to 108 approximate a flow of 100 Pg C from the surface high-latitude box to the deep ocean box at steady state, simulating deep 109 water formation. Hector solves for the marine carbonate variables (DIC, pH, alkalinity) with respect to solubility in the two surface layer boxes (Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001). The calculation of pCO2 in each surface box is based on the 110 111 concentration of CO2 in the ocean and its solubility, in turn a function of temperature, salinity, and pressure. At steady state, 112 the cold high-latitude surface box (> 55° N or S) acts as a sink of carbon from the atmosphere, while the warm low-latitude 113 (≤ 55° N or S) surface box off-gases carbon back to the atmosphere. The ocean-atmosphere flux calculation follows 114 Takahashi et al. (2009). In Hector V3, ocean carbon cycle calculations use sea surface temperature (SST) calculated by 115 DOECLIM (see above), and the preindustrial surface and intermediate/deep ocean carbon cycle pools are initialised from the 116 IPCC sixth assessment report (AR6) Figure 5.12 (Canadell et al., 2021) (see Table 1). 117

Much of the basic functionality of the model's terrestrial carbon cycle is unchanged from the original V1 release (Hartin et al., 2015). Net primary production (NPP) is partitioned into vegetation, detritus, and soil (Figure 1); litterfall moves carbon from vegetation to the soil, and temperature-dependent, first-order decay equations control the heterotrophic release of CO<sub>2</sub> back to the atmosphere from the latter two pools (Hartin et al., 2015). By default, the terrestrial carbon cycle operates as a single, global biome, but Hector can run with an arbitrary number of independent biomes, each with its own set of carbon

pools and parameters; a sample multi-biome parameterization is included with the model's input files, and an example of this was documented in detail by Woodard et al. (2021).

125

126 There are also new or changed behaviors in the Hector V3 terrestrial carbon submodel. First, previously land use change (LUC) emissions were specified as a single time series that could be positive or negative, reflecting net emission or uptake, 127 128 and this value was added (subtracted) to the atmosphere and subtracted (added) from the vegetation, detritus, and soil pools 129 (Hartin et al., 2015). In V3, these are now provided in separate input time series that must be strictly positive and correspond to the gross emissions and uptake fluxes, respectively, and because of how LUC now affects NPP (see below), are assumed 130 131 to include any regrowth fluxes from previous LUC. A similar change has been made to the fossil fuel/industrial emissions, 132 which are now specified by two gross fluxes of emissions and uptake. This provides users with more flexibility to specify 133 how the gross fluxes result in the net flux, but no behavior change otherwise. Note that the model still accepts net fluxes if 134 that is all that is available, as is the case for the RCMIP Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSP) scenarios (Nicholls et al., 135 2020).

136

Second, LUC fluxes now affect the land carbon pools in proportion to those pools' size, not via fixed allocation fractions as previously. This is a more conservative assumption than the previous user-defined allocation approach, given the large uncertainty about LUC flux magnitudes and interactive carbon-cycle effects (Yue et al., 2020; Friedlingstein et al., 2023). In addition, in a non-spatial model such as Hector, the carbon pool sizes are governed by the total amount of carbon in the system and the first-order equations linking the pools; LUC loss is only temporary until the pools re-equilibrate. The new approach is thus simpler and in most cases will have only minor effects on model results.

143

144 Third, terrestrial NPP is now affected by LUC: the model tracks how much cumulative carbon has been lost (or gained) due 145 to LUC, relative to preindustrial conditions, and then adjusts NPP by this fraction in addition to the pre-existing temperature 146 and CO<sub>2</sub> adjustments to NPP described by Hartin et al. (2015). The logic behind this change is that extensive historical 147 deforestation is known to affect photosynthesis and NPP (Ito, 2011; Malhi et al., 2004; Kaplan et al., 2012), and in previous 148 versions of Hector deforestation did not affect the model's NPP at all. The new behavior is:

$$NPP(t) = NPP_0 \times f(C_{atm}, \beta) \times f(LUC_v)$$

149 where t is the current timestep; NPP<sub>0</sub> is pre-industrial NPP; and the two f terms represent CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization (Wang et al.,

(1)

150 2020) and the aforementioned LUC effect on NPP. This change provides a better match with known LUC effects on

terrestrial biomass and production (Winkler et al., 2021; Malhi et al., 2004). More generally, it means that Hector does not

152 regrow vegetation after LUC-driven deforestation; regrowth fluxes should be included in the LUC inputs (see above).

153

154	Fourth, Hector V3 also includes a novel implementation of permafrost thaw, a potentially significant process affecting the
155	earth system (Hugelius et al., 2020) that releases both CO2 and CH4 into the atmosphere. Hector's permafrost
156	implementation was fully described by Woodard et al. (2021). Briefly, permafrost is treated as a separate land carbon pool
157	that becomes available for decomposition into both $CH_4$ and $CO_2$ once thawed (Schädel et al., 2014). The thaw rate is
158	controlled by biome-specific land surface temperature and calibrated to be consistent with both historical data and CMIP6
159	projections (Burke et al., 2020). Woodard et al. (2021) found that the fraction of thawed permafrost carbon available for
160	decomposition was the most influential parameter in this approach and that adding permafrost thaw to Hector resulted in
161	0.2-0.25 °C of additional warming over the 21st century. The addition of permafrost to the V3 model produced changes in
162	climate and permafrost carbon pools fully consistent with those reported by Woodard et al (2021).
163	
164	An optional new feature in Hector V3 is the ability to track the flow of carbon as it moves between the land and ocean

165 carbon pools and the atmosphere (as CO<sub>2</sub>). At a user-defined start-tracking date, the model tags all carbon in each of its pools 166 as self-originating—e.g., the soil pool is deemed to be composed of 100% soil-origin carbon. As the model then runs 167 forward, the origin tag is retained as carbon is exchanged between the models' various pools; if 1 Pg C with origin X is 168 incorporated into a 19 Pg C pool with origin Y, for example, at the next timestep, the 20 Pg C pool is tracked as 5% origin X, 169 95% origin Y. At the end of a run, detailed information about the composition of each pool at each time point can be 170 analyzed. This capability does not affect model behavior or any outputs, although it does impose a substantial performance 171 penalty. Carbon tracking was described in detail by Pressburger et al. (2023) and is off by default.

#### 172 2.2.3 Radiative Forcing

173 At each time step, after Hector's carbon cycle solves and all GHG concentrations are computed, Hector calculates total 174 radiative forcing as the sum of 39 forcing effects (listed in Supplementary Table 1), each relative to the 1750 base year. 175 The forcing effects for volcanoes and albedo are read in as inputs, as well as a normally-unused "miscellaneous forcing" 176 input available for experimental manipulation. The remaining 36 forcing effects for various aerosols, aerosol-cloud 177 interactions, pollutants, and greenhouse gases are calculated internally within Hector. The forcing effects of tropospheric O3 178 and stratospheric H<sub>2</sub>O use the same calculations as Hartin et al. (2015). For the other forcing agents, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, 26 179 halocarbons, aerosol-cloud interactions, and effects of BC, OC, SO2, and NH3, Hector V3 has adopted the forcing equations 180 from AR6 (see Supplementary Table 5). Of these, the forcing effect from NH<sub>3</sub> was not previously included in Hector. In 181 addition, the aerosol-cloud interaction forcing replaces the indirect effects of SO<sub>2</sub> forcing that was previously used to 182 approximate the SO2 and cloud interactions.

## 183 2.2.4 Temperature

184 As of V2, Hector replaced a 0-D energy balance model with DOECLIM (Vega-Westhoff et al., 2019), DOECLIM uses 185 Hector's total radiative forcing to determine global temperature change. DOECLIM is a four-box energy balance model, meaning that it models heat transfer within the climate system represented by four idealized boxes: land (surface), air (2) 186 187 meters) over land, air (2 meters) over the ocean, and sea surface (ocean mixed layer). DOECLIM uses a system of 188 differential equations to model the temperature change in the four boxes in response to radiative forcing while accounting for 189 the proportional differences in ocean and land masses and effective heat capacity (Tanaka et al., 2007). 190 191 In Hector V3, DOECLIM is a fully integrated component of the model, and its outputs now affect Hector's land carbon 192 cycle: DOECLIM's land temperature drives heterotrophic respiration, and sea surface temperature affects ocean carbon cycle 193 dynamics. The difference in land and ocean temperature change, or land-ocean warming ratio, is an emergent property of 194 DOECLIM and is used by default. Two additional parameters can be used to adjust the contributions of aerosols (BC, OC, 195 SO2, NH3, and aerosol-cloud interactions) and volcanic forcing to global temperature. By default these are set to a value of 196 one, with the assumption being that the forcing-temperature relationship is consistent for all forcers. These scalar terms 197 allow users to adjust the temperature sensitivity to aerosol and volcanic forcing in uncertainty analyses or when using Hector 198 to emulate ESMs that exhibit different sensitivities to aerosol and volcanic forcings (Dorheim et al. 2020).

199 2.2.5 Constraints

200 Hector can run in a "constrained" mode that allows users to overwrite a specified Hector variable with a prescribed time 201 series. Values can be prescribed for atmospheric CO2 and all other GHG concentrations (effectively resulting in a 202 concentration-forced, not emissions-forced, run). In addition, global temperature, total radiative forcing, and net biome 203 production (effectively turning off the model's terrestrial carbon cycle) can also be constrained. When running in the 204 constrained mode, user-provided values seamlessly overwrite internally-calculated ones, and thus will be used by the 205 downstream Hector components. For example, a Hector run that uses the total total radiative forcing constraint will use the 206 user-prescribed values to calculate energy fluxes and temperature change instead of Hector's internally calculated total ones (see Table 2 for more examples and details). 207

208

The ability to run in the constrained mode is a useful feature that has a number of applications. For example, Hector's concentration constraints enable concentration-forced experiments (e.g., 1% CO<sub>2</sub> and abrupt 4 x CO<sub>2</sub> (Eyring et al., 2016) to comply with the RCMIP protocol (Nicholls et al., 2020). In addition, constraints facilitate coupling Hector with other models: the Net Biome Production (NBP) constraint can be used to pass global NBP value from a regional terrestrial carbon cycle model to Hector, and from there, Hector's ocean carbon cycle and climate dynamics will be calculated. Finally, running Hector in constrained mode can help diagnose model behavior. For example, concentration constraints can be used

7

# Deleted: troposphere

Deleted: troposphere

after a new model development leads to an unexpected increase in global temperature. Running Hector with constrained CO2
 concentrations or with total RF will help the developer attribute this novel behavior to changes to Hector's carbon cycle or
 climate dynamics.

#### 220 2.2.6 Model Parameterization

Hector's V3 default parameterization is mostly inherited from previous versions of Hector (Hartin et al., 2015; Vega-Westhoff et al., 2019), with the exception of when robust updated estimates are available. In particular, the V3 model uses more recent estimates published for pre-industrial NPP, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, as well as estimates of the pre-industrial carbon cycle to initialize its ocean carbon pools (**Table 1**). Initial pre-industrial sea surface temperatures used by Hector's ocean component were updated from a CMIP5 multi-model mean to a CMIP6 multi-model mean. Historical ocean surface temperature output files from 24 CMIP6 participating models (see **Supplementary Table 10**) were processed to compute the area-weighted mean temperature globally, at both high (> 55°) and low ( $\leq$  55°) latitudes from 1850 to 1860 (**Table 1**).

228

229 To calibrate the final model, five additional Hector parameters were fit to comparison data using a Nelder-Mead

#### 230 optimization routine (Nelder and Mead, 1965) in a two-part protocol. First, the natural N2O and CH4 emissions, which are

- $231 \qquad \text{assumed to be constant throughout the run, were calibrated to median AR6 $N_2O$ and $CH_4$ radiative forcing (Smith et al., and the set of the s$
- 232 2018). Second, three Hector parameters—the CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization factor  $\beta$  (unitless), heterotrophic respiration temperature

sensitivity  $Q_{10}$  (unitless), and ocean heat diffusivity  $\kappa$  (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)—were fit to historic CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Meinshausen et al.,

- 234 2017) and GMST (Morice et al., 2021) observations from 1850 to 2021. The Meinshausen et al. (2017) records consist of
- data for a single year in 1750 and then a complete time series from 1850 to 2014. We chose to use CO<sub>2</sub> and GMST because

they are observed data with long time series; conversely, other potential records such as ocean and land sink estimates come

237 from either inversions or models (Friedlingstein et al., 2023). The optimization routine simultaneously minimized the

average of the two variables' mean squared errors between Hector CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and GMST and these observed data.

- $\label{eq:239} Parameter \ bounds \ (i.e., beyond \ which \ the \ optimizer \ was \ not \ allowed) \ were \ set \ at \ \pm \ 2\sigma, \ i.e. \ for \ a \ normally-distributed \ variable$
- 240 ~95% of the possible distribution was used. The best fits for  $\beta$ ,  $Q_{10}$ , and  $\kappa$  (**Table 1**) were then set as Hector V3's default
- 241 parameters. The materials and scripts used to calibrate Hector are available in the manuscript repository

(https://github.com/JGCRI/Dorheim\_etal\_2024\_GMD) to ensure the reproducibility and transparency of the calibration
 process.

#### 244 2.3 Model runs and analysis

- 245 To assess model performance, we compared Hector results with both observations and ESM projections. For the historical
- 246 period, we ran Hector in its default emission-driven mode, with inputs according to the RCMIP protocol (Nicholls et al.,

247 2021, 2020) and the default parameterization described in the previous section. Hector's GMST results from 1850 to 2021

8

#### Deleted: (

## **Deleted:** et al., 2017)

were compared with HadCRUT5 (Morice et al., 2021) GMST observations, while Hector's CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the year 1750, and then from 1850 to 2014, were compared with the CMIP6 (Meinshausen et al., 2017) CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. We used root mean squared error (RMSE) to quantify the differences between model results and the observations. An ordinary least squares linear regression was fit to Hector results and the observational data products to provide additional insights into the goodness of fit. An R<sup>2</sup> value close to one suggests a high degree of correlation between the Hector results and the observations.

256

For the future period, we first compared Hector's temperature with the AR6 near-term (2021-2024), mid-term (2041-2060), and long-term (2081-2100) warming. For this, Hector was run in emissions-driven mode using the emissions from the RCMIP (Nicholls et al., 2020) protocol. Hector's near-term, mid-term, and long-term warming were computed as the 20year averages using the model's global mean surface temperature output.

261

262 Second, the model was run in a constrained mode, in which concentrations for CO2, CH4, N2O, and 26 halocarbons from 263 RCMIP (Nicholls et al., 2020) were prescribed, and compared with CMIP6. These concentration-driven runs were consistent with the CMIP6 protocol (Eyring et al., 2016), allowing for a direct comparison of Hector's climate dynamics with that of 264 265 the ESMs. For this step, output files from 15 ESMs were processed to compute area-weighted global air, land air, and sea 266 surface temperature anomalies. The CMIP6 models were selected based on data availability for the variables and scenarios; a 267 complete list of models is given in Supplementary Table 11. We used the first available ensemble member, since the 268 internal variability between members was unlikely to affect long-term dynamics that are the focus of RCMs (Eyring et al., 269 2016).

### 270 3 Results & Discussion

271 Hector's historical CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations from an emission-driven run are compared with the Meinshausen et al. (2017) dataset 272 in Figure 2. The Hector results closely follow the observed values with a RMSE of 2.14 ppm CO2 and a correlation 273 coefficient of 0.99, indicating a good agreement between Hector's output and historical carbon cycle observations. Figure 3 274 compares emission-driven Hector global mean temperature with historical observations (Morice et al., 2021). The difference 275 between Hector's results and observations is an RMSE of 0.18 °C, which is less than the 0.36 °C standard deviation of the 276 comparison dataset. The linear fit between Hector results and observations has an adjusted R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.87 (Figure 3). The 277 recent (2012-2021) decadal average global mean surface temperature for Hector was  $0.75 \pm 0.09$  °C. The model's most 278 notable departure from the observational record is in the late 19th and early 20th centuries (Bauer et al., 2020; Nicholls et al., 279 2020). The model also generally reproduces modern-day airborne fraction values (Jones et al., 2013; Pressburger et al., 280 2023). The model's modern (2014-2024) decadal average sea surface temperature and ocean pH are  $0.78 \pm 0.08$  °C and 8.1 281  $\pm 0.008$ , respectively. Hector's land sink for 2013-2022 was  $1.94 \pm 0.1$  Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is lower than the land sink of

282  $2.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$  reported by the Global Carbon Project (GCP, Friedlingstein et al., 2023) during the same decade. 283 Hector's ocean sink of  $3.08 \pm 0.13 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$  is consistent with the GCP ocean sink of  $2.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ . Ultimately, we 284 conclude that emission-driven Hector results are in agreement with historical temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> observations except, as 285 noted above, for the latter half of the 19<sup>th</sup> century.

286

287 The comparison of Hector's historical results with observations is complemented by evaluating Hector's future temperature 288 results against CMIP6 (Figure 4) and AR6 assessed warming (Canadell et al., 2021). For the future SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and 289 SSP5-8.5 projections. Hector's temperature outputs fall squarely within the CMIP6 model spread (Figure 4). In addition, 290 Figure 5 shows Hector's performance in two stylized experiments, 1%CO<sub>2</sub> and 4xCO<sub>2</sub> relative to CMIP6 ESMs. These are 291 baseline experiments of the CMIP DECK protocol (Eyring et al., 2016) designed to diagnose a model's climate sensitivity, 292 feedback strength, provide an idealized benchmark for its transient behavior (for 1%CO<sub>2</sub>); and characterize its climate 293 sensitivity and fast-response performance (for 4xCO<sub>2</sub>). Again the model falls squarely within the CMIP6 model spread, with 294 no suggestion of anomalous behavior. Hector's transient climate response to cumulative CO2 emissions is 1.51 °C per 1000 295 Pg C, which is cooler than the IPCC AR6 assessed best estimate of 1.65 °C per 1000 Pg C but falls within the "very likely" 296 range of 1.0 to 2.3 °C per 1000 Pg C (Arias et al., 2021). In general, we conclude that the model exhibits climate responses 297 consistent with AR6 (Table 3).

#### 298 4 Conclusions

In this manuscript, we documented the changes and new features of Hector V3. We showed that emissions-driven Hector's historical results are generally consistent with observed CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and global mean surface temperature, with the exception of late 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> century cooling (Bauer et al., 2020). Hector's future projections of land, ocean, and global average temperature are consistent with a CMIP6 ensemble of models. Thus, we conclude that in the context of RCMs, Hector reproduces most global-scale historical trends and <u>outputs</u> 21<sup>st</sup>-century projections consistent with Earth system models.

305

306 This fidelity to the current climate and future CMIP6 projections means that there are many potential use cases for Hector, 307 but it is important for users to understand the advantages (as well as disadvantages) in using it relative to other RCMs or 308 ESMs (Nicholls et al., 2021). The freely available R package and online interface facilitate its integration into both standard 309 analytical pipelines as well classroom settings so that students can get hands-on experience with running a climate model and 310 interpreting results; such educational use is supported by the fact that Hector is a well-documented open-source climate 311 model with multiple means of running the model (Hector UI, R Hector, and C++ executable). The model's fully open-source 312 C++ core is easy to couple with other models (Calvin et al., 2019). Using the Hector R package 313 (https://github.com/jgcri/hector), it is easy to generate and analyze large ensembles of Hector results which can be used to

Deleted: produces

explore uncertainty spaces (Nicholls et al., 2021; Pressburger et al., 2023). Finally, Hector's performance and open, flexible
 calibration procedure support efforts to emulate more-complex ESMs in support of novel, computationally-intensive
 experiments (Lu and Ricciuto, 2019; Chen et al., 2023).

318

319 It is also important to note Hector's limitations. The model is more complex and thus harder to understand than approaches 320 such as FAIR (Leach et al., 2021), although comparable in complexity to MAGICC (Meinshausen et al., 2011). Hector does 321 not account for the ocean biological pump or changes in ocean stratification; whether these errors are compensating or 322 compounding is unclear and merits future research (Jin et al., 2020). Longer-term simulations are outside of Hector's scope, 323 as is true of most RCMs, as the model's ocean does not include the heat storage changes that strongly affect long-term global 324 temperature dynamics (Baggenstos et al., 2019; Abraham et al., 2013). Future work should aim at understanding/rectifying 325 the differences between Hector's terrestrial carbon sink and other sources while remaining consistent with Hector's moderate complexity and goals; it will always be important to consider trade-offs between costs (i.e., increased complexity threatening 326 327 interpretability; increased predictive uncertainty from additional model parameters; computational efficiency) and benefits 328 (increased fidelity and representativeness) (Sarofim et al., 2021).

329

330 Finally, in addition to continued science improvements, future versions of Hector will benefit from added infrastructure

capabilities. First, the current parameter-calibration routine is relatively simple and it may be worth exploring more

332 sophisticated model-calibration procedures (Chen et al., 2023) in future versions of Hector. In addition, a turnkey ability to

do probabilistic model forecasts (Fawcett et al., 2015; Ou et al., 2021), i.e. propagating parameter distributions and

334 uncertainty (Pressburger et al., 2023) to produce probabilities of future climate change, is an important capability that a

335 companion R package has been developed to handle (Brown et al., 2024). Leveraging this new capability for probabilistic

336 projects will be important for future analyses using Hector to understand the changing earth and climate system.

337 Code Availability: Hector V3.2.0 was used to generate the Hector results analyzed and used to generate the figures included

338 in the main text and in the supplementary information. This version of Hector is available at

339 <u>https://github.com/JGCRI/hector</u> at the V3.2.0 release and is archived at <u>https://zenodo.org/records/10698028</u> this includes

340 all the initialization, emission, and concentration files. All of the code and data used to calibrate Hector, perform all model

341 runs, and produce data visualisations are available at <a href="https://github.com/JGCRI/Dorheim\_etal\_2024\_GMD">https://github.com/JGCRI/Dorheim\_etal\_2024\_GMD</a> and the GMD3

342 release associated with this iteration of the manuscript is archived at https://zenodo.org/records/10698650.

343 Data Availability: All of the calibration, comparison data, and Hector results, along with scripts used to prepare Hector runs 344 analyzed and used to generate the figures included in the main text and in the supplementary information, are available at

345	https://github.com/JGCRI/Dorheim_etal_2024_GMD specifically release GMD3 archived at zendo	
346	https://zenodo.org/records/10698650 is the release associated with this iteration of the manuscript.	Deleted: https://zenodo.org/records/10698650
347	Author contribution: KD, BB, SS, SK, RG, CH, LP, AS, and DW all contributed to Hector development. CT and SS	
348	helped conceptualize model experiments. KD and BB led the preparation of the original draft and all coauthors contributed	
349	to the final draft.	
350	Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.	
351	Disclaimer: The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or policies	
352	of the U.S. Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency, or National Aeronautics and Space Administration.	
353	Acknowledgments: This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, as part of research in	
354	MultiSector Dynamics, Earth and Environmental System Modeling Program. The authors would also like to acknowledge	
355	EPA Project DW-089-92459801-8 for contributing to the radiative forcing updates including in Hector v3. The authors	
356	would also like to acknowledge Robert Link and Sven Willner for their contributions to Hector and work on Rhector and	
357	Pyhector, respectively.	
358	References	
359 360 361 362 363	Abraham, J. P., Baringer, M., Bindoff, N. L., Boyer, T., Cheng, L. J., Church, J. A., Conroy, J. L., Domingues, C. M., Fasullo, J. T., Gilson, J., Goni, G., Good, S. A., Gorman, J. M., Gouretski, V., Ishii, M., Johnson, G. C., Kizu, S., Lyman, J. M., Macdonald, A. M., Minkowycz, W. J., Moffitt, S. E., Palmer, M. D., Piola, A. R., Reseghetti, F., Schuckmann, K., Trenberth, K. E., Velicogna, I., and Willis, J. K.: A review of global ocean temperature observations: Implications for ocean heat content estimates and climate change, Rev. Geophys., 51, 450–483, 2013.	
364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377	Arias, P. A., Bellouin, N., Coppola, E., Jones, R. G., Krinner, G., Marotzke, J., Naik, V., Palmer, M. D., Plattner, GK., Rogelj, J., Rojas, M., Sillmann, J., Storelvmo, T., Thorne, P. W., Trewin, B., Achuta Rao, K., Adhikary, B., Allan, R. P., Armour, K., Bala, G., Barimalala, R., Berger, S., Canadell, J. G., Cassou, C., Cherchi, A., Collins, W., Collins, W. D., Connors, S. L., Corti, S., Cruz, F., Dentener, F. J., Dereczynski, C., Di Luca, A., Dioue Niang, A., Doblas-Reyes, F. J., Dosio, A., Douville, H., Engelbrecht, F., Eyring, V., Fischer, E., Forster, P., Fox-Kemper, B., Fuglestvedt, J. S., Fyfe, J. C., Gillett, N. P., Goldfarb, L., Gorodetskaya, I., Gutierrez, J. M., Hamdi, R., Hawkins, E., Hewitt, H. T., Hope, P., Islam, A. S., Jones, C., Kaufman, D. S., Kopp, R. E., Kosaka, Y., Kossin, J., Krakovska, S., Lee, JY., Li, J., Mauritsen, T., Maycock, T. K., Meinshausen, M., Min, SK., Monteiro, P. M. S., Ngo-Due, T., Otto, F., Pinto, I., Pirani, A., Raghavan, K., Ranasinghe, R., Ruane, A. C., Ruiz, L., Sallée, JB., Samset, B. H., Sathyendranath, S., Seneviratne, S. I., Sörensson, A. A., Szopa, S., Takayabu, I., Tréguier, AM., van den Hurk, B., Vautard, R., von Schuckmann, K., Zaehle, S., Zhang, X., and Zickfeld, K.: Technical Summary, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Gonnors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 33–144, 2021.	
378 379	Baggenstos, D., Häberli, M., Schmitt, J., Shackleton, S. A., Birner, B., Severinghaus, J. P., Kellerhals, T., and Fischer, H.: Earth's radiative imbalance from the Last Glacial Maximum to the present, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 14881–14886, 2019.	
380	Bauer, S. E., Tsigaridis, K., Faluvegi, G., Kelley, M., Lo, K. K., Miller, R. L., Nazarenko, L., Schmidt, G. A., and Wu, J.: Historical	
	12	

- (1850–2014) aerosol evolution and role on climate forcing using the GISS ModelE2.1 contribution to CMIP6, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.,
   12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019ms001978, 2020.
- Brown, J., Smith, S., Tebaldi, C., Pressburger, L., Dorheim, K., and Bond-Lamberty, B.: Matilda v1.0: An R package for probabilistic
   climate projections using a reduced complexity climate model, PLOS Climate, in press, 2024.
- Burke, E. J., Zhang, Y., and Krinner, G.: Evaluating permafrost physics in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 6 (CMIP6) models
   and their sensitivity to climate change, Cryosphere, 14, 3155–3174, 2020.
- 388 Calvin, K., Patel, P., Clarke, L., Asrar, G., Bond-Lamberty, B., Cui, R. Y., Vittorio, A. D., Dorheim, K., Edmonds, J., Hartin, C., and Others: GCAM v5. 1: representing the linkages between energy, water, land, climate, and economic systems, Geoscientific Model
- 390 Development, 12, 677–698, 2019.

391 Canadell, J. G., Monteiro, P. M. S., Costa, M. H., Cotrim da Cunha, L., Cox, P. M., Eliseev, A. V., Henson, S., Ishii, M., Jaccard, S.,

Koven, C., Lohila, A., Patra, P. K., Piao, S., Rogelj, J., Syampungani, S., Zachle, S., and Zickfeld, K.: Global Carbon and other

393 Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the

394 Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A.,

- Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, É., Matthews, J. B.
   R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 673–816, 2021.
- Chen, M., Qian, Z., Boers, N., Jakeman, A. J., Kettner, A. J., Brandt, M., Kwan, M.-P., Batty, M., Li, W., Zhu, R., Luo, W., Ames, D. P.,
   Barton, C. M., Cuddy, S. M., Koirala, S., Zhang, F., Ratti, C., Liu, J., Zhong, T., Liu, J., Wen, Y., Yue, S., Zhu, Z., Zhang, Z., Sun, Z., Lin,
   J., Ma, Z., He, Y., Xu, K., Zhang, C., Lin, H., and Lü, G.: Iterative integration of deep learning in hybrid Earth surface system modelling,
   Nutre Reviews Earth & Fenvironment 4 568–581 2023
- Dorheim, K., Link, R., Hartin, C., Kravitz, B., and Snyder, A.: Calibrating Simple Climate Models to Individual Earth System Models:
   Lessons Learned From Calibrating Hector, Life Support Biosph. Sci., 7, e2019EA000980, 2020.
- Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., and Taylor, K. E.: Overview of the Coupled Model
   Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 1937–1958, 2016.
- Fawcett, A. A., Iyer, G. C., Clarke, L. E., Edmonds, J. A., Hultman, N. E., McJeon, H. C., Rogelj, J., Schuler, R., Alsalam, J., Asrar, G. R.,
   Creason, J., Jeong, M., McFarland, J., Mundra, A., and Shi, W.: CLIMATE POLICY. Can Paris pledges avert severe climate change?,
   Science, 350, 1168–1169, 2015.

409 Forster, P., Storelvmo, T., Armour, K., Collins, W., Dufresne, J.-L., Frame, D., Lunt, D. J., Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M. D., Watanabe, M.,

410 Wild, M., and Zhang, H.: The Earth's Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical 411 Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,

edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I.,
 Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge

414 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 923–1054, 2021.

Friedlingstein, P., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Bakker, D. C. E., Hauck, J., Landschützer, P., Le Quéré, C., Luijkx, I. T., Peters, G. P.,
Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Schwingshackl, C., Sitch, S., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S. R., Anthoni, P., Barbero, L., Bates,
N. R., Becker, M., Bellouin, N., Decharme, B., Bopp, L., Brasika, I. B. M., Cadule, P., Chamberlain, M. A., Chandra, N., Chau, T.-T.-T.,

418 Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Cronin, M., Dou, X., Enyo, K., Evans, W., Falk, S., Feely, R. A., Feng, L., Ford, D. J., Gasser, T., Ghattas, J.,

419 Gkritzalis, T., Grassi, G., Gregor, L., Gruber, N., Gürses, Ö., Harris, I., Hefner, M., Heinke, J., Houghton, R. A., Hurtt, G. C., Iida, Y.,

420 Ilyina, T., Jacobson, A. R., Jain, A., Jarníková, T., Jersild, A., Jiang, F., Jin, Z., Joos, F., Kato, E., Keeling, R. F., Kennedy, D., Klein

- Goldewijk, K., Knauer, J., Korsbakken, J. I., Körtzinger, A., Lan, X., Lefèvre, N., Li, H., Liu, J., Liu, Z., Ma, L., Marland, G., Mayot, N.,
   McGuire, P. C., McKinley, G. A., Meyer, G., Morgan, E. J., Munro, D. R., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., Olsen, A., Omar, A. M., Ono, T.,
- Paulsen, M., Pierrot, D., Pocock, K., Poulter, B., Powis, C. M., Rehder, G., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Rosan, T. M.,
- Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Smallman, T. L., Smith, S. M., et al.: Global Carbon Budget 2023, Earth System Science Data, 15, 5301–5369,
   2023.
- 426 Fuhrman, J., Bergero, C., Weber, M., Monteith, S., Wang, F. M., Clarens, A. F., Doney, S. C., Shobe, W., and McJeon, H.: Diverse carbon dioxide removal approaches could reduce impacts on the energy–water–land system, Nat. Clim. Chang., 13, 341–350, 2023.
  - 13

- Hartin, C., Link, R., Patel, P., Mundra, A., Horowitz, R., Dorheim, K., and Clarke, L.: Integrated modeling of human-earth system interactions: An application of GCAM-fusion, Energy Econ., 103, 105566, 2021.
- Hartin, C. A., Patel, P., Schwarber, A., Link, R. P., and Bond-Lamberty, B. P.: A simple object-oriented and open-source model for
   scientific and policy analyses of the global climate system Hector v1.0, Geoscientific Model Development, 8, 939–955, 2015.
- Hartin, C. A., Bond-Lamberty, B., Patel, P., and Mundra, A.: Ocean acidification over the next three centuries using a simple global
   climate carbon-cycle model: projections and sensitivities, Biogeosciences, 4329–4342, 2016.
- Hugelius, G., Loisel, J., Chadburn, S., Jackson, R. B., Jones, M., MacDonald, G., Marushchak, M., Olefeldt, D., Packalen, M., Siewert, M.
   B., Treat, C., Turetsky, M., Voigt, C., and Yu, Z.: Large stocks of peatland carbon and nitrogen are vulnerable to permafrost thaw, Proc.
- B., Treat, C., Turetsky, M., Voigt, C., and Yu, Z.: Large stocks of peatland carbon and Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1916387117, 2020.
- 437 Ito, A.: A historical meta-analysis of global terrestrial net primary productivity: Are estimates converging?, Glob. Chang. Biol., 17, 3161–
   438 3175, 2011.
- Jin, D., Hoagland, P., and Buesseler, K. O.: The value of scientific research on the ocean's biological carbon pump, Sci. Total Environ.,
   749, 141357, 2020.
- Jones, C. D., Robertson, E., Arora, V., Friedlingstein, P., Shevliakova, E., Bopp, L., Brovkin, V., Hajima, T., Kato, E., Kawamiya, M.,
   Liddicoat, S., Lindsay, K., Reick, C. H., Roelandt, C., Segschneider, J., and Tjiputra, J.: Twenty-first-century compatible CO2 emissions
   and airborne fraction simulated by CMIP5 earth system models under four representative concentration pathways, J. Clim., 26, 4398–
   4413, 2013.
- Kaplan, J. O., Krumhardt, K. M., and Zimmerman, N. E.: The effects of land use and climate change on the carbon cycle of Europe over the past 500 years, Glob. Chang. Biol., 18, 902–914, 2012.
- Kawamiya, M., Hajima, T., Tachiiri, K., Watanabe, S., and Yokohata, T.: Two decades of Earth system modeling with an emphasis on
   Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC), Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 7, 1–13, 2020.
- 449 Kriegler, E.: Imprecise probability analysis for integrated assessment of climate change, Verlag nicht ermittelbar, 2005.
- 450 Leach, N. J., Jenkins, S., Nicholls, Z., Smith, C. J., Lynch, J., Cain, M., Walsh, T., Wu, B., Tsutsui, J., and Allen, M. R.: FaIRv2.0.0: a 451 generalized impulse response model for climate uncertainty and future scenario exploration, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 3007–3036, 2021.
- Lu, D. and Ricciuto, D.: Efficient surrogate modeling methods for large-scale Earth system models based on machine-learning techniques,
   Geoscientific Model Development, 12, 1791–1807, 2019.
- Malhi, Y., Phillips, O. L., Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Schaphoff, S., Lucht, W., Smith, B., and Sitch, S.: Tropical forests and the global carbon cycle: impacts of atmospheric carbon dioxide, climate change and rate of deforestation, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci., 359, 331–343, 2004.
- Meinshausen, M., Raper, S. C. B., and Wigley, T. M. L.: Emulating coupled atmosphere-ocean and carbon cycle models with a simpler model, MAGICC6 – Part 1: Model description and calibration, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1417–1456, 2011.
- 459 Meinshausen, M., Vogel, E., Nauels, A., Lorbacher, K., Meinshausen, N., Etheridge, D. M., Fraser, P. J., Montzka, S. A., Rayner, P. J.,
- Trudinger, C. M., Krummel, P. B., Beyerle, U., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., Enting, I. G., Law, R. M., Lunder, C. R., O'Doherty, S.,
   Prinn, R. G., Reimann, S., Rubino, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K., Wang, R. H. J., and Weiss, R.: Historical greenhouse gas
   concentrations for climate modelling (CMIP6), Geoscientific Model Development, 10, 2057–2116, 2017.
- Morice, C. P., Kennedy, J. J., Rayner, N. A., Winn, J. P., Hogan, E., Killick, R. E., Dunn, R. J. H., Osborn, T. J., Jones, P. D., and
   Simpson, I. R.: An updated assessment of near-surface temperature change from 1850: The HadCRUT5 data set, J. Geophys. Res., 126,
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jd032361, 2021.
- 466 Nelder, J. A. and Mead, R.: A simplex method for function minimization, Comput. J., 7, 308–313, 1965.
- Nicholls, Z., Meinshausen, M., Lewis, J., Corradi, M. R., Dorheim, K., Gasser, T., Gieseke, R., Hope, A. P., Leach, N. J., McBride, L. A.,
   Quilcaille, Y., Rogelj, J., Salawitch, R. J., Samset, B. H., Sandstad, M., Shiklomanov, A., Skeie, R. B., Smith, C. J., Smith, S. J., Su, X.,

- Tsutsui, J., Vega-Westhoff, B., and Woodard, D. L.: Reduced Complexity Model Intercomparison Project Phase 2: Synthesizing Earth
   System Knowledge for Probabilistic Climate Projections, Earths Future, 9, e2020EF001900, 2021.
- 471 Nicholls, Z. R. J., Meinshausen, M., Lewis, J., Gieseke, R., Dommenget, D., Dorheim, K., Fan, C.-S., Fuglestvedt, J. S., Gasser, T.,
- 472 Golüke, U., Goodwin, P., Hartin, C., Hope, A. P., Kriegler, E., Leach, N. J., Marchegiani, D., McBride, L. A., Quilcaille, Y., Rogelj, J.,
- Salawitch, R. J., Samset, B. H., Sandstad, M., Shiklomanov, A. N., Skeie, R. B., Smith, C. J., Smith, S., Tanaka, K., Tsutsui, J., and Xie,
   Z.: Reduced Complexity Model Intercomparison Project Phase 1: introduction and evaluation of global-mean temperature response,
   Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 5175–5190, 2020.
- Nijsse, F. J. M. M., Cox, P. M., and Williamson, M. S.: Emergent constraints on transient climate response (TCR) and equilibrium climate sensitivity (ECS) from historical warming in CMIP5 and CMIP6 models, Earth Syst. Dyn., 11, 737–750, 2020.
- 478 Ou, Y., Iyer, G., Clarke, L., Edmonds, J., Fawcett, A. A., Hultman, N., McFarland, J. R., Binsted, M., Cui, R., Fyson, C., Geiges, A.,
- Gonzales-Zuñiga, S., Gidden, M. J., Höhne, N., Jeffery, L., Kuramochi, T., Lewis, J., Meinshausen, M., Nicholls, Z., Patel, P., Ragnauth,
   S., Rogelj, J., Waldhoff, S., Yu, S., and McJeon, H.: Can updated climate pledges limit warming well below 2°C?, Science, 374, 693–695,
   2021.
- 482 Pennington, S. and Vernon, C.: HectorUI: An Interactive Climate Model, IN33B-05, 2021.
- 483 Pressburger, L. and Dorheim, K. R.: JGCRI/hector cmip6data: v1.0, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7304553, 2022.
- 484 Pressburger, L., Dorheim, K., Keenan, T., McJeon, H., Smith, S., and Bond-Lamberty, B.: Quantifying airborne fraction trends and the 485 destination of anthropogenic CO2 by tracking carbon flows in a simple climate model, Environ. Res. Lett., in press, 2023.
- R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing v4.1.0, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria,
   2021.
- Sarofim, M. C., Smith, J. B., St Juliana, A., and Hartin, C.: Improving reduced complexity model assessment and usability, Nat. Clim.
   Chang., 11, 1–3, 2021.
- Schädel, C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bracho, R., Elberling, B., Knoblauch, C., Lee, H., Luo, Y., Shaver, G. R., and Turetsky, M. R.: Circumpolar assessment of permafrost C quality and its vulnerability over time using long-term incubation data, Glob. Chang. Biol., 20, 641–652, 2014.
- Schwarber, A. K., Smith, S. J., Hartin, C. A., Vega-Westhoff, B. A., and Sriver, R.: Evaluating climate emulation: fundamental impulse
   testing of simple climate models, Earth Syst. Dynam., 10, 729–739, 2019.
- 494 Smith, C., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Armour, K., Collins, W., Forster, P., Meinshausen, M., Palmer, M. D., and Watanabe, M.: The Earth's
- 495 Energy Budget, Climate Feedbacks, and Climate Sensitivity Supplementary Material, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science
- 496 Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: 497 Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M.,
- 498 Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., 2021.
- 499 Smith, C. J., Kramer, R. J., Myhre, G., Forster, P. M., Soden, B. J., Andrews, T., Boucher, O., Faluvegi, G., Fläschner, D., Hodnebrog, Ø.,
- Kasoar, M., Kharin, V., Kirkev\aag, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Mülmenstädt, J., Olivié, D., Richardson, T., Samset, B. H., Shindell, D., Stier, P.,
   Takemura, T., Voulgarakis, A., and Watson-Parris, D.: Understanding Rapid Adjustments to Diverse Forcing Agents, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
   45, 12,023–12,031, 2018.
- 503 Takahashi, T., Sutherland, S. C., Wanninkhof, R., Sweeney, C., Feely, R. A., Chipman, D. W., Hales, B., Friederich, G., Chavez, F.,
- 504 Sabine, C., Watson, A., Bakker, D. C. E., Schuster, U., Metzl, N., Yoshikawa-Inoue, H., Ishii, M., Midorikawa, T., Nojiri, Y., Körtzinger,
- A., Steinhoff, T., Hoppema, M., Olafsson, J., Arnarson, T. S., Tilbrook, B., Johannessen, T., Olsen, A., Bellerby, R., Wong, C. S., Delille,
- 506 B., Bates, N. R., and de Baar, H. J. W.: Climatological mean and decadal change in surface ocean pCO2, and net sea-air CO2 flux over the
- 507 global oceans, Deep Sea Res. Part 2 Top. Stud. Oceanogr., 56, 554–577, 2009.
- 508 Tanaka, K., Kriegler, E., Bruckner, T., Hooss, G., Knorr, W., Raddatz, T. J., and Tol, R.: Aggregated carbon cycle, atmospheric chemistry, 509 and climate model (ACC2), Institute, Max Planck, Hamburg, 188 pp., 2007.
- 510 Thornton, P. E. and Rosenbloom, N. A.: Ecosystem model spin-up: Estimating steady state conditions in a coupled terrestrial carbon and nitrogen cycle model, Ecol. Modell., 189, 25–48, 2005.

- 512 Vega-Westhoff, B., Sriver, R. L., Hartin, C. A., Wong, T. E., and Keller, K.: Impacts of observational constraints related to sea level on 513 estimates of climate sensitivity, Earths Future, 7, 677-690, 2019.
- 514
- Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Ju, W., Chen, J. M., Ciais, P., Cescatti, A., Sardans, J., Janssens, I. A., Wu, M., Berry, J. A., Campbell, E., Fernández-Martínez, M., Alkama, R., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Smith, W. K., Yuan, W., He, W., Lombardozzi, D., Kautz, M., Zhu, D., Lienert, S., Kato, E., Poulter, B., Sanders, T. G. M., Krüger, I., Wang, R., Zeng, N., Tian, H., Vuichard, N., Jain, A. K., Wiltshire, A., 515 516 517 Haverd, V., Goll, D. S., and Peñuelas, J.: Recent global decline of CO2 fertilization effects on vegetation photosynthesis, Science, 370,
- 518 1295-1300, 2020.
- 519 Willner, S., Hartin, C., and Gieseke, R.: pyhector: A Python interface for the simple climate model Hector, J. Open Source Softw., 2, 248, 520 2017.
- 521 522 Winkler, K., Fuchs, R., Rounsevell, M., and Herold, M.: Global land use changes are four times greater than previously estimated, Nat. Commun., 12, 2501, 2021.
- 523 Woodard, D. L., Shiklomanov, A. N., Kravitz, B., Hartin, C., and Bond-Lamberty, B.: A permafrost implementation in the simple carbon-524 climate model Hector v.2.3pf, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 4751-4767, 2021.
- 525 Yue, C., Ciais, P., Houghton, R. A., and Nassikas, A. A.: Contribution of land use to the interannual variability of the land carbon cycle, 526 Nat. Commun., 11, 3170, 2020.

- 527 Zeebe, R. E. and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: CO2 in Seawater: Equilibrium, Kinetics, Isotopes, Gulf Professional Publishing, 346 pp., 2001.
- 528

- 529 Table 1. Default Hector parameter values and their sources. The parameter name column is the name as it appears in the
- 530 model's ini (initialization) files. This is not an exhaustive table of Hector parameters but rather contains the parameters that
- 531 have been updated since Hartin et al. (2015). For a complete collection of parameter values and their sources, refer to the
- 532 default initialization files available at https://github.com/JGCRI/hector/tree/main/inst/input. Preindustrial values here are
- 533 assumed to be circa 1745, the start of a Hector run.

Parameter	Description	Value	Units	Source		
	Natural CH4 Emissions are assumed to be			See section 2.2.6 for details		
CH4N	constant over the historical and future period	338	Tg CH₄ <mark>yr</mark> -1			· Deleted: /
	Natural N2O emissions, assumed to be			-		
N2ON	constant of the historical and future period	9.7	Tg N <mark>yr<u>−1</u></mark>			" Deleted: /
	$CO_2$ fertilization factor ( $\beta$ ) (increase in NPP					Deleted: β
	productivity with increasing CO2					
beta	concentrations)	0.55	unitless			
	Heterotrophic respiration temperature					
q10_rh	sensitivity factor $(Q_{10})$	2.2	unitless			
	Vertical ocean heat diffusivity ( $\kappa$ ), the rate of					
diff	heat diffuses into the ocean	1.16	cm <sup>2</sup> /s			
	Initial size of the preindustrial surface ocean			Figure 5.12 (Canadell et al.,		
preind_surface_c	carbon pool	900	Pg C	2021)		
	Initial size of the preindustrial intermediate					
preind_interdeep_c	and deep ocean carbon pool	37100	Pg C			
C0	Preindustrial CO <sub>2</sub> concentration	277.15	ppmv CO <sub>2</sub>	Table 7.SM.1 (Smith et al.,		
N0	Preindustrial N2O concentration	273.87	ppbv N2O	2021)		
M0	Preindustrial CH4 concentration	731.41	ppbv CH4			
npp_flux0	Preindustrial net primary production	56.2	Pg C yr <sup>_1</sup>	Ito (2011)		Deleted: /
	Mean preindustrial absolute ocean air				1	
TOS0	temperature	18	°C			

deltaHL0	Difference between high latitude preindustrial ocean temp and TOS0	-16.4	°C	From processed CMIP6 data (Pressburger and Dorheim, 2022)
deltaLL0	Difference between low latitude preindustrial ocean temp and TOS0	2.9	°C	

## 539 Table 2: Descriptions and summaries of the Hector constraints. The constraint name column reflects the name as it

540 appears in the model's ini (initialization) files.

Name	Description	Implementation
CO2_constrain	Time series of CO <sub>2</sub> concentration values (ppmv CO <sub>2</sub> )	CO <sub>2</sub> radiative forcing (RF) is calculated from the user-provided CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations and then used to calculate total RF and temperature. If needed, CO <sub>2</sub> is debited/credited to/from the deep ocean to meet the CO <sub>2</sub> concentration constraint and satisfy Hector's global carbon cycle mass balance check.
CH4_constrain	Time series of CH <sub>4</sub> concentration values (ppbv CH <sub>4</sub> )	CH4 RF is calculated from the user-provided CH4 concentrations, feeding into total RF and temperature.
N2O_constrain	Time series of N2O concentration values (ppbv N2O)	N <sub>2</sub> O RF is calculated from the user-provided N <sub>2</sub> O concentrations.
X_constrain (X is the identifier for one of 26 halocarbons modeled by Hector)	Time series for a single halocarbon concentration (pptv)	RF for halocarbon X is calculated from the user-provided concentrations.
RF_tot_constrain	Time series of total radiative forcing value (W m <sup>-2</sup> )	User-provided total RF values are used to calculate temperature and heat flux. In this case, the emission inputs do not drive model behavior.
NBP_constrain	Time series of Net Biome Production values (Pg C yr <sup>-1</sup> )	User-provided NBP values are used to up/downscale NPP and RH so that their total matches the constraint. This effectively bypasses the model's terrestrial carbon cycle.
tas_constrain	Time series of global mean air temperature values (°C)	User-provided temperature values overwrite Hector's, with a smooth transition between the constrained and free-running behavior.

## 541 Table 3. Key emergent climate metrics, historical warming, effective radiative forcing, and future warming from

542 Hector versus the IPCC AR6 'best estimates' from the AR6 Table 7.SM.4. The Hector values were generated from runs

543 using Hector's default parameterization in the free-running emission-driven mode for historical and SSP scenarios. The

544 parenthetical IPCC AR6 values indicate the AR6 'very likely' (5-95)% ranges. Acronyms include equilibrium climate

545 sensitivity (ECS), transient climate response to cumulative carbon emissions (TCRE), transient climate response (TCR),

546 global surface air temperature (GSAT), and effective radiative forcing (ERF) (Nijsse et al., 2020).

Key Metrics		Hector	IPCC AR6		
ECS (°C)		3	3 (2, 5)		
TCRE (°C per	1000 GtC)	1.51	1.65 (1, 2.3)		
TCR (°C)	CR (°C)		1.8 (1.2, 2.4)		
Historical Warr	ning and Effective Radiative Fo	orcing			
GSAT Warmin 1850-1900)	g (°C, 1995-2014 relative to	0.73	0.85 (0.67, 0.98)		
Ocean heat con	tent change (ZJ, 1971-2018)	471	396 (329, 463)		
Total Aerosol E relative to 1750	ERF (W m <sup>-2</sup> , 2005-2015 ))	-1.24	-1.3 (-2, -0.6)		
WMGHG ERF 1750)	(W m <sup>-2</sup> , 2019 relative to	3.87	3.32 (3.03, 3.61)		
Methane ERF (	W m <sup>-2</sup> , 2019 relative to 1750)	0.54	0.54 (0.43, 0.65)		
Future Warming (GSAT, °C relative to 1995-2014)					
SSP1-1.19	2021-2040	0.73	0.61 (0.38, 0.85)		
	2041-2060	0.90	0.71 (0.4, 1.07)		
	2081-2100	0.72	0.56 (0.24, 0.96)		
SSP1-2.6	2021-2040	0.75	0.63 (0.41, 0.89)		

	2041-2060	1.08	0.88 (0.54, 1.32)
	2081-2100	1.10	0.90 (0.51, 1.48)
SSP2-4.5	2021-2040	0.75	0.66 (0.44, 0.90)
	2041-2060	1.29	1.12 (0.78, 1.57)
	2081-2100	1.98	1.81 (1.24, 2.59)
SSP3-7.0	2021-2040	0.76	0.67 (0.45, 0.92)
	2041-2060	1.43	1.28 (0.92, 1.75)
	2081-2100	2.94	2.76 (2.00, 3.75)
SSP5-8.5	2021-2040	0.88	0.76 (0.51, 1.04)
	2041-2060	1.74	1.54 (1.08, 2.08)
	2081-2100	3.79	3.50 (2.44, 4.82)

549 Figure 1. Conceptual diagram of the CO2 fluxes (numbered thick gray arrows) between Hector's four major carbon 550 cycle boxes: a well-mixed atmosphere (Atmosphere), terrestrial carbon cycle (Land), ocean carbon cycle (Ocean), and 551 geological fossil fuel reservoir (Earth). The thinner arrows within the land and ocean boxes allude to Hector's more 552 complex submodule carbon cycle dynamics, which are not discussed in detail here. The solid lines indicate that CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes 553 are calculated within Hector, whereas the dashed lines indicate that the fluxes are externally defined inputs read into the 554 model; two-headed arrows imply a potential two-way exchange of carbon. The fluxes are: (1) CO2 emissions from fossil 555 fuels and industry and uptake of carbon capture technologies; (2) CO2 emissions and uptake from land use change (e.g., 556 afforestation, deforestation, etc.); (3) vegetation uptake from the atmosphere (4) the aggregate CO<sub>2</sub> from respiration from the 557 terrestrial biosphere; and ocean carbon (5) uptake and (6) outgassing. The model's permafrost implementation (Woodard et 558 al., 2021) emits both CO2 and CH4 into the atmosphere from its "Thawed Soil" pool, whereas the "Soil" pool emits only 559 heterotrophic CO2 respiration.



563





#### Figure 2. Hector CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (orange) compared with the CMIP6 (Meinshausen et al., 2017) CO<sub>2</sub> 565

566 concentrations observational product (black)



global mean surface temperature observations (Morice et al., 2021) (black, with associated uncertainty). The inset







- 576 Figure 4. Global, land, and sea surface temperature anomalies relative to 1850-1900 from concentration-driven
- 577 ("constrained") Hector, in orange, and temperature output from 15 different CMIP6-participating ESMs, in grey









582 Figure 5. Global temperature anomaly from 1% CO<sub>2</sub> and 4xCO<sub>2</sub> stylized experiments (Eyring et al., 2016) for Hector