This study is focusing on the long-term trend of the horizontal wind in the MLT, using multiple radar datasets in high and middle latitudes. The calculation of the trends of horizontal wind is always challenging due to the large variability of the winds. These results will contribute greatly to the community's understanding on the MLT dynamics changes induced by increasing CO₂ and climate change in the lower atmosphere. In addition, the author analyzed the radar data during geomagnetic quiet time and active time and revealed the impacts of the geomagnetic activity on the horizontal wind. This is an important topic that has not been studied very much in the community. There are some recent first principle model investigations, using TIME-GCM, on the MLT wind responses to the geomagnetic storms, showing dramatic variations in the MLT wind field during geomagnetic activities (Li et al., 2019, 2023). They could be helpful for the understanding of these results and the discussion section in this paper. One the other hand, the algorithm is quite different to the traditional multi-linear regress approach. I encourage the author to conduct a separate multi-linear regression analysis to look at specifically the geomagnetic effects, and compare them with the current results. Because the wind results of high geomagnetic activity are based on considerably less number of days than those of low geomagnetic activity. It would be very interesting to see if they are consistent with each other.

In addition, since there are two types of radar involved in this study (MF radar and MWR for zonal wind data), the author should be aware of the potential bias between the two instruments (MF radar underestimates the winds) and address the possible effects on the results presented. Reid et al (2018) has some insightful discussion on this topic, and the paper could be beneficial for the author to clarify this issue. There is not much investigations on the inter-annual oscillations and solar cycle effects in the current manuscript. I suggest removing 4.1 and 4.2, just focusing on wind trends and geomagnetic effects.

Minor issues:

The author keeps using the term “velocity amplitude” throughout the paper. This is confusing, since “amplitude” is usually referring to the magnitude of the modulation, such as wave amplitude, but this study is about the mean wind. I think this is due to some writing habit. Please revise.

Page 1, line 4: “…absence of intense planetary wave…” This is incorrect. The QTDW is quite active in the summer hemisphere. But it should not affect the results, since the author is using the sliding16-day window.

Page 3, line 78, The MLT height decrease is also revealed by Yuan et al., 2019 on the trend of the mesopause height, a direct evidence of “shrinking” of the upper atmosphere.

Page 5, the definition of low and high geomagnetic quiet days seems arbitrary. For high latitude AP >= 15, but for midlatitude AP>=20. I understand that you need stronger geomagnetic activity to see the changes at midlatitudes, but I think the criteria should be consistent. In addition, it is expected to see weaker responses at midlatitudes than at high latitudes.
Page 9, line 198-199, this is expected from the model simulations mentioned above. But the high latitude responses are more complex than those at the midlatitudes due to aurora heating.

Page 13, why leaves a gap in each of figure 6a and 6b?

Page 14, I suggest deleting the statement “In addition,...”, because there is not much investigation on this topic, just some hypothesis. See my comment above.

Page 15, “... the contribution of planetary waves is .....” see my comment above.
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