1 Solar FTIR measurements of NO_x vertical distributions - Part 2:

2 Experiment-based scaling factors describing the daytime variation of

- 3 stratospheric NO_x
- 4 Pinchas Nürnberg¹, Sarah A. Strode^{2,3}, and Ralf Sussmann¹
- 5 ¹Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, IMK-IFU, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany
- 6 ²Goddard Earth Sciences Technology and Research (GESTAR-II), Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD, 21251 USA
- 7 ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- 8 Correspondence to: Pinchas Nürnberg (pinchas.nuernberg@kit.edu) and Ralf Sussmann (ralf.sussmann@kit.edu)

10 Abstract

11 Long-term experimental stratospheric NO₂ and NO partial columns measured by means of solar Fourier-transform infrared 12 (FTIR) spectromertry at Zugspitze (47.42° N, 10.98° E, 2964 m a.s.l.), Germany were used to create a set of experiment-based monthly scaling factors (SFexp). The underlying data set is published in a companion paper (Nürnberg et al., 2023) comprising 13 14 over 25 years of measurements depicting the daytime variability of stratospheric NO₂ and NO partial columns in dependence 15 of local solar time (LST). In analogy to recently published simulation-based scaling factors by Strode et al. (2022), we created SF_{exp} normalized to SZA = 72° for NO₂ and NO for every month of the year as a function of solar zenith angle (SZA). Apart 16 17 from a boundary value problem at minimum SZA values originating in averaging over different times of the month, the 18 obtained scaling factors $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ and $SF_{exp}(NO)$ in dependence of SZA represent very well the daytime behavior already 19 shown in model simulations and experiments in the literature. This behavior is a well pronounced increase of the NO₂ and NO 20 stratospheric partial colum with the time of the day and a flattening of this increase after noon. In addition to the discussion of SF_{exp} , we validate the simulation-based scaling factors $SF_{sim}(NO_2)$ (Strode et al., 2022) and present simulation-based scaling 21 22 factors for NO SF_{sim}(NO). The simulation-based scaling factors show an excellent agreement with the experiment-based ones, 23 i.e. for NO₂ and NO the mean value of the modulus between experiment and simulation over all SZA and months is only 0.02 %. We show that recently used model simulations can describe very well the real behavior of nitrogen oxide (NO_x) 24 25 variability in the stratosphere. Furthermore, we conclude that ground-based FTIR measurements can be used for validation of 26 the output of photochemistry models as well as creating experiment-based data sets describing the daytime stratospheric NO_x 27 variability in dependence of SZA. This is a contribution to improved satellite validation and a better understanding of 28 stratospheric photochemistry.

30 1 Introduction

- 31 The important role of NO₂ and NO in stratospheric photochemistry has been known for half a century (Crutzen, 1979). Both
- 32 nitrogen oxides (NO_x) are a product of the photolysis of N_2O and are an important part of the ozone (O_3) -destroying nitrogen
- 33 catalytic cycle which controls the O₃ abundance in the stratosphere (Johnston, 1992). Additionally, industry and transportation
- 34 are major sources of tropospheric NO_x in the troposphere (Grewe et al., 2001). Especially in urban areas, it can serve as a
- 35 precursor for e.g. O₃ or nitric acid (HNO₃) and therefore promote smog events and directly affect human health (World Health
- Organization. Regional Office for Europe, 2003). Furthermore, NO₂ has the potential to cause significant radiative forcing
 during pollution events with highly elevated NO₂ concentrations in the troposphere (Solomon et al., 1999).
- The monitoring and quantification of NO_x total columns has been conducted since 1967 via different satellite missions (Godin-Beekmann, 2010; Rusch, 1973). For the observation of tropospheric pollution events (e.g. smog), therefore, the knowledge of
- 40 the stratospheric contribution to the total column is crucial. One way to face this problem is the reference sector method, taking
- 41 unpolluted total columns at a similar latitude (e.g. above the ocean) as a reference and subtract it from the total column (Richter
- 42 and Burrows, 2002). The two main assumptions justifying this approach are the longitudinal homogeneity of the stratospheric
- 43 column and negligible tropospheric columns over the ocean. However, due to the strong diurnal cycle of NO_2 and NO no time 44 mismatch should occur between both columns.
- 45 One method for dealing with the problem of time and site mismatches when comparing different NO_x columns is the use of ground-based Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) measurements. This method can provide data from any time of the day during 46 47 sun light hours, giving the opportunity to describe daytime NO_x variabilities with a high precision, as done for NO_2 by 48 Sussmann et al. (2005). For the first time, they found a reliable daytime NO₂ increasing rate of $(1.02\pm0.12)\cdot10^{14}$ cm⁻² h⁻¹ 49 derived from FTIR measurements at mid-latitudes. Additionally, the retrieved FTIR data can have a certain altitude resolution, 50 which allows conclusions about NO_x partial column variabilities, e.g. of the stratospheric columns (Zhou et al., 2021; Yin et al., 2019). In Part 1 of our two companion papers (Nürnberg et al., 2023) we used these advantages of ground-based FTIR 51 52 measurements to retrieve stratospheric partial columns from long-term NO2 and NO measurements above Zugspitze (47.42° N, 53 10.98° E, 2964 m a.s.l.), Germany, yielding information on NO_x daytime variability for every month of the year. This specific 54 data set has the potential to improve satellite validation and can serve as a basis for the description of stratospheric NO_x 55 variabilities with high time resolution. However, the data from ground-based measurements can only be retrieved for the 56 limited number and locations of existing sites.
- 57 A method without this site restriction describing stratospheric NO_x concentrations with global coverage is the use of model 58 data from three-dimensional global transport and photochemistry models. The latter are able to describe trace gas 59 concentrations in dependence of altitude, latitude and longitude with a very good time resolution. In comparison to one-60 dimensional models describing only the vertical distribution of atmospheric trace gases (e.g. O₃, NO₂, NO) (Allen et al., 1984; 61 Prather and Jaffe, 1990), three-dimensional models simulate transport fluxes in all three dimensions and are able to include 62 nearly all feedback mechanisms of the real world (Mclinden et al., 2000; Chang and Duewer, 1979). Both types of models can 63 account for daytime variabilities and have been used in the last decades for inter-satellite comparisons (Brohede et al., 2007; Dubé et al., 2020) as well as for satellite data validation (Bracher et al., 2005) and correction (Dubé et al., 2021; Wang et al., 64 65 2020). However, these studies differ from case to case and do not provide general global information about NO_x variability. 66 These global information should be site independent and can be applied to any satellite validation or correction all over the
- 67 planet.
- Here, a recent study of Strode et al. (2022) closed this gap by developing a set of simulation-based scaling factors (SF_{sim}), which describe the daytime variability of NO₂. A given SF_{sim} is a measure for the change of trace gas concentrations during the day normalized to a specific time (here sunrise or sunset). SF_{sim} are extracted from a three-dimensional model, which considers long-range transport, stratospheric and tropospheric chemistry as well as aerosol, radiation and transport. The generated monthly output is available for latitudes between -90° and 90° (1° steps) and altitudes between 6 km and 78 km

- 73 (0.5 km steps) for every time of the day given in solar zenith angle (SZA) values (Strode et al., 2022). This extensive research
- 74 opens up the opportunity for the comparison, validation, and correction of remote and ground-based data products, by

75 overcoming time or site mismatches.

- 76 However, an observational counterpart, i.e. an analogous data set of experiment-based scaling factors describing the daytime
- 77 increase of stratospheric NO_x still does not exist, due to the lack of reliable long-term data comprising the full daytime NO₂
- and NO variability. To close this gap, in this paper we create a set of experiment-based scaling factors (SF_{exp}) in analogy to the simulation-based scaling factors published by Strode et al. (2002). On the one hand, this data set should serve as a general
- ine simulation oused scaling factors published by Subde et al. (2002). On the one hand, and data set should serve as a general
- set of data describing the NO_x daytime variability in dependence of SZA for the given latitude (47° N) of our observation site.
- 81 On the other hand, we would like to use it to validate the recently published model data for $SF_{sim}(NO_2)$ (Strode et al., 2022) as 82 well as validate unpublished model data for $SF_{sim}(NO)$ (Sarah Strode, personal communication, 2023). For this SF_{exp} data set
- we will use the observational results described in Part 1 of our set of two companion papers (Nürnberg et al., 2023), where a reliable long-term data set of NO₂ and NO partial columns above 16 km altitude above Zugspitze was created. As described above, these long-term data are retrieved from ground-based FTIR measurements and describe the daytime variability of stratospheric NO_x within timesteps of minutes for every month of the year. The cut-off point at 16 km was chosen to avoid influences of variabilities near the tropopause and in the boundary layer upon the stratospheric partial column. Details are discussed in part 1. It is outside the scope of this work to describe with SF_{exp} the strong and fast photochemistry at sunrise and
- 89 sunset.

90 This paper (as Part 2 of our two companion papers) briefly describes in Sect. 2 the experimental set up and the resulting FTIR

data taken from Part 1 (Nürnberg et al., 2023). In Sect. 3, the dependence on SZA for NO_2 and NO is shown and the resulting

- 92 daytime variations presented in detail in Part 1 are discussed shortly, before the NO_x partial columns (> 16 km) are converted
- into experiment-based scaling factors ($SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ and $SF_{exp}(NO)$) in Sect. 4. Finally, the resulting SF_{exp} are compared qualitatively and quantitatively to SF_{sim} retrieved from model simulations.

95 2 FTIR data

96 All data of this study are retrieved from long-term ground-based FTIR solar absorption measurements at Zugspitze, Germany 97 (47.42° N, 10.98° E, 2964 m a.s.l.). The high-altitude observatory at Zugspitze is located in the German alps and can be 98 considered as a clean site without strong influences from pollution events in the boundary layer. The used Bruker IFS 125HR 99 spectrometer has operated continuously since 1995 at the Zugspitze. The experimental set-up and retrieval strategy are 100 described in our part 1 companion paper (Nürnberg et al., 2023). As described in part 1, we used daily pressure and temperature 101 profiles from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) interpolated to the measurement time. The 102 temperature dependency of the data cannot be discussed in detail here, but it is very likely that the stratospheric temperature 103 affects the NO_x concentration and therefore also the observed diurnal cycle. The pollution filtered NO and NO₂ stratospheric 104 partial columns (above 16 km altitude) derived in our part 1 study serve as a basis for the experiment-based scaling factors 105 created now in this part 2) work. The data set comprises 6,213 NO and 16,023 NO₂ partial columns measured at the Zugspitze 106 between 1995 and 2022.

107 **3 Experimental data**

108 3.1 NOx stratospheric partial column dependence on SZA

109 Figure 1 shows the NO₂ stratospheric partial columns (black symbols) taken from Nürnberg et al. (2023) for every month as a

110 function of SZA. Note this is the same data as shown in our Part 1 (Fig. 3 therein), which had been therein plotted as a function

111 of local solar time. The x-axis is interrupted for SZA values without observations in the respective month. Here, we define

- SZA to be positive in the morning from sunrise (SZA = 90°) to local solar noon (respective minimum value dependent of the season) and to be negative in the afternoon between local solar noon and sunset (SZA = -90°).
- As already described and discussed in Part 1 of the two companion papers, the daytime increase of the NO₂ stratospheric partial column follows for every month a linear behavior from sunrise to sunset. Briefly, this behavior reflects the photolysis of the reservoir species HNO₃ and N₂O₅ resulting in a consecutive increase of NO₂ during daytime (Crutzen, 1970).
- 117 Figure 2 shows in a similar way the NO stratospheric partial columns (black symbols) taken from the same work for every
- 118 month in dependence of SZA (Nürnberg et al., 2023). Note this is the same data as shown in our Part 1 (Fig. 5 therein) as a
- 119 function of local solar time. Briefly, the data show the typical daytime increase of stratospheric NO described in the literature
- 120 via model calculations (Dubé et al., 2020; Mclinden et al., 2000) or shown experimentally (Zhou et al., 2021; Rinsland et al.,
- 121 1984) for every month. Here, the photolysis of the reservoir species N₂O leads to a well-pronounced increase of stratospheric
- 122 NO concentration in the morning (Crutzen, 1970). After local solar noon, the shift of the NO₂-NO equilibrium, the increasing
- amount of O₃ and the solar elevation dependency of the involved photochemical reaction lead to a strong flattening of the
- 124 daytime NO curve in dependence of SZA in comparison to NO₂. This afternoon-effect is more pronounced in the summertime
- 125 (mid row) than the rest of the year (Nürnberg et al., 2023).

126 4 Calculation of experiment-based scaling factors

A set of experiment-based scaling factors (SF_{exp}) analogous to the model-based scaling factors (SF_{sim}) published by Strode et al. (2022) was created as follows: The mean values for 2° bins of SZA of the stratospheric partial column (> 16 km) were calculated. In a next step, these mean values were normalized to SZA = 72° resulting in monthly SF_{exp} sets for NO₂ and NO shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. These data reflect the daytime variation of stratospheric NO₂ and NO above Zugspitze, Germany. Values resulting from only one measurement point are shown in red without error bar.

- 132 $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ (Figure 3, black and orange symbols) increases linearly throughout the day in each month, reflecting the increase 133 in stratospheric NO₂ concentration. There are two observations which can be pointed out here. First, the error bars in Fig. 3 134 (i.e. ±2 standard errors of the mean, ±2 SEM = ±2 σ/\sqrt{n}) are independent of the season and are very small, reflecting a low 135 scattering within the 2° SZA bins and enough averaging data points n. Second, in spring and autumn, at local solar noon 136 (minimum SZA), a significant increase in $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ is visible. This effect can be understood as a boundary value problem 137 being due to the relatively fast change of SZA and of the NO₂ stratospheric partial column (seasonal variation) during the 138 spring and autumn months, respectively. Here, the combination of both the SZA and stratospheric partial column changes 139 within one month end up with an increased averaged NO₂ stratospheric partial column near the minimum SZA. The reason is 140 that for SZA values below the minimum SZA at day 15 of each month, only partial columns from one half of the month can 141 contribute to the average. Unfortunately, the stratospheric partial columns of this half deviate significantly from the monthly 142 mean. Figure S1 in the supporting material illustrates this phenomenon using the NO₂ partial column above 16 km altitude. 143 Here, the first half (red symbols) and the second half (blue symbols) of April is split up into two datasets underlining the 144 described boundary value problem. At low SZA values, only blue data points sum up to the averaged values, considering only 145 the second half of the month. Consequently, the partial column and of course the scaling factor increases artificially (pointed 146 out by the blue arrow in the figure). This effect leads us to the exclusion of these data points (Figure 3, orange symbols) below 147 the minimum SZA reached at day 15 of the respective month. Another opportunity to face this problem would be the choice 148 of a smaller time binning (e.g. 2 weeks, 10 days). However, this would i) worsen the comparability to the simulation-based 149 scaling factors and ii) reduce the usable data base per time bin. The whole used data set of $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ can be found in the
- 150 supporting material Table S1-S4.
- For $SF_{exp}(NO)$ (Figure 4, black and orange symbols), the difference in daytime increase in comparison to NO₂ is very well pronounced. Before local solar noon, SF_{exp} increases for every month linearly. After local solar noon, the described flattening

- 153 of the increase is visible. Here, the NO stratospheric partial column stays almost constant within the scattering until sunset
- 154 independent of the season. The ± 2 SEM error bars of $SF_{exp}(NO)$ shown in Fig. 4 are also very small, but more values are
- 155 excluded (red symbols) due to the availability of only one measurement point within the corresponding 2° SZA bin. This
- 156 reflects the lower data base of the NO retrieval, originated in the use of another spectral micro-window for analysis. However,
- 157 the small error bars underline, that for most of the mean values, the data base is reliable. Near local solar noon for $SF_{exp}(NO)$
- 158 a similar but even less pronounced effect can be seen, as described for NO₂. Here, the deviation from the visible trend at spring
- or autumn months is very small. However, for consistent data handling we will also exclude the respective values (orange
- 160 symbols) for $SF_{exp}(NO)$ below the minimum SZA at each month 15th. The whole used data set of $SF_{exp}(NO)$ can be found in
- 161 the supporting material Table S5-S8.

162 5 Model comparison of NO_x scaling factors

- 163 In the previous section, we created experiment-based averaged monthly scaling factors SF_{exp} for NO₂ and NO describing the 164 daytime variation of stratospheric NOx concentration above Zugspitze, Germany. Next, we will compare the discussed results 165 for SF_{exp} to model-based scaling factors SF_{sim} for NO₂ published by Strode et al. (2022) and for NO calculated from the same 166 GEOS-GMI model simulation as the NO2 scaling factors. Details of the GEOS model simulation with GMI chemistry (Duncan 167 et al., 2007; Strahan et al., 2007; Nielsen et al., 2017) are described in Strode et al. (2022) and refs therein. The model 168 parameters and the analysis method can be found in the literature (Strode et al., 2022). The given scaling factors $SF_{sim}(NO_2)$ 169 and SF_{sim}(NO) are available for 146 levels between 6 km and 78.5 km altitude in a 0.5 km grid and are normalized to 170 SZA = 90° (sunrise). For a better comparison of experiment and model, we calculated mean values for SF_{sim} which also 171 represent the stratospheric partial column above 16 km altitude. In order to do so, for each model level z, $SF_{sim}(z)$ was weighted 172 to the mean monthly partial column profile of the given NO_x retrieval at z and $SF_{sim}(> 16 \text{ km})$ was obtained via averaging over 173 $SF_{sim}(16 \text{ km})$ to $SF_{sim}(78.5 \text{ km})$. Furthermore, $SF_{sim}(> 16 \text{ km})$ was normalized SZA = 72° (rather than sunrise/sunset) as done 174 for SF_{exp} in Sect. 4.
- $SF_{sim}(NO_2)$ and $SF_{sim}(NO)$ are additionally shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively (red line). At first appearance, SF_{exp} (black symbols) and SF_{sim} (red line) fits together very well and the model data follow the experimental daytime variation for both species NO₂ and NO.

178 **5.1.1 Quantitative evaluation**

- 179 For the quantitative evaluation of the model comparison, the residuals between experiment and model $(SF_{exp}-SF_{sim})/SF_{sim}$ are
- calculated for $SF(NO_2)$ and SF(NO) and are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, respectively. Additionally, the mean bias per month is shown as a mean value over all SZA (red dotted line).
- The residuals of $SF(NO_2)$ (Figure 7) show over the whole year a very good agreement between experiment and model within ±0.2 %, reflecting the high quality of the GEOS GMI simulation at midlatitudes. Only for a few months, significant differences between experiment and model are visible. For April, August and September, the morning increase of NO₂ is less pronounced in the model, leading to a significant deviation from the experimental values and an underestimation of the experiment-based scaling factors SF_{exp} at noon. However, the experimental values describing the stratospheric NO₂ variability can be also influenced by tropospheric variations, because the used NO₂ partial column cannot be treated as completely independent of the tropospheric partial column (see Nürnberg et al. (2023)). Furthermore, the model data offer higher uncertainties during troilight which can be ded to deviations from superiment (Alwance and Christer dise, 2010)
- 189 twilight which can lead to deviations from experiment (Alvanos and Christoudias, 2019).
- 190 Table 1 shows the mean bias (see also Figure 7, red dotted line) for every month calculated from the residuals shown in Fig. 7
- 191 together with two times the SEM (2 $\sigma/\sqrt{(n)}$). Unfortunately, due to the small values of 2 SEM of 0.0065 % to 0.0192 % for
- 192 most of the months (except March, July, October, November), 2 SEM is smaller than the mean bias. Therefore, when taking

- 193 2 SEM as a quantitative indicator, SFexp and SFsim agrees only in four months within the margin of error. However, when
- 194 considering the mean deviation between experiment and model of below |0.068 %| per month, we can state that the model data 195 published by Strode et al. (2022) reflect sufficiently well the experimental values retrieved from solar FTIR measurements at
- 196 midlatitudes. 197 A very similar behavior can be obtained for SF(NO) (Figure 8). With a maximum deviation of ± 0.2 % the agreement between
- 198 experiment and model is very similar as seen for NO₂. However, it is remarkable, that for the months with highest SZA
- 199 (January, February) the first data points after sunrise for which measurements exist (high SZA region) deviate significantly
- 200 from zero. Comparing to Fig. 6, the experimental values in this region seem not to follow the continuous increase expected
- 201 from model descriptions. Here, an error source of the experimental data can be the wide range in photochemical regimes along
- 202 the line-of-sight of the FTIR slant column measurements at high SZA: high up in the atmosphere, the sun is already well above
- 203 the horizon, so NO production has been significant already, while lower down the atmosphere is still much darker and NO 204 levels still lower. The FTIR retrieval leads to an averaging over these effects because from the solar measurements NO slant
- 205 columns along the line of sight are retrieved, and these are then converted to vertical column densities using a simple cos(SZA) 206 airmass correction.
- 207 Furthermore, the NO increase in the morning is more pronounced in the model, leading to a significant deviation from the 208 experimental values and an overestimation of the experiment-based scaling factors SF_{exp} at noon. In the same manner as
- 209 discussed before for NO₂, the experimental values describing the stratospheric NO variability can be influenced by tropospheric
- 210 variations, because the used NO partial column cannot be treated as completely independent of the tropospheric partial column
- 211 (see Nürnberg et al. (2023)). Consequently, the lower stratospheric partial column in the morning is more influenced by the
- 212 tropospheric partial column than in the evening.
- 213 In the same way as done for NO₂, the mean bias (see also Fig. 8, red dotted line) and $2 \sigma / \sqrt{n}$ (2 SEM) are calculated and are 214 shown in Table 2 for the NO residuals. Here, a better agreement between experiment and model can be quantified. For six 215 months (January, June, September, October, November, December) the mean bias is smaller than 2 SEM indicating an 216 agreement between experiment and model within the error bars. Nevertheless, this observation not only reflects a better 217 agreement between experiment and model but can be also explained with a higher scattering of the residuals leading to a higher 218 SEM. This can be confirmed when comparing the values for 2 SEM given in Table 1 and Table 2. With a mean 2 SEM of the 219 residuals over all months of 0.0096 % for NO₂ and 0.0185 % for NO, respectively, the residual scattering with a similar n and
- 220 a similar mean bias of 0.02 % is two times larger for NO.
- 221 In conclusion, the quantitative comparison of the experimental derived scaling factors SF_{exp} and the scaling factors derived
- 222 from model simulations SF_{sim} for NO₂ and NO showed very good agreement of both data sets with a mean bias between
- 223 experiment and model of only 0.02 % over all months underlining the quality of the model data at midlatitudes and the 224 reliability of the retrieved experiment-based scaling factors.

225 6 Summary and Conclusions

226 In this work, we reanalyzed an experimental long-term data set from solar FTIR measurements over 25 years of measurement 227 at the Zugspitze (47.42° N, 10.98° E, 2964 m a.s.l.), Germany, published in a companion paper (Part 1, Nürnberg et al., 2023). 228 We present for the first time experiment-based scaling factors SF_{exp} in dependence of the solar zenith angle (SZA) representing 229 monthly daytime NO₂ and NO variabilities in the stratosphere (> 16 km altitude) within timesteps of minutes. SF_{exp} is a 230 measure for the variability of the NO_x partial column above 16 km altitude in comparison to local solar noon. We calculated 231 SF_{exp} from the time dependent monthly NO_x partial columns (published in Part 1) by averaging over SZA bins of 2° and a 232 normalization to the minimum SZA at day 15 of the respective month. The resulting values of $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ and $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ 233 reflect very well the expected daytime variability of NO2 and NO described in Part 1 (Nürnberg et al., 2023). Only the boundary 234 values in spring and autumn months deviate significantly due to the relatively fast change of the minimum SZA during these 235 months influencing the average value. Neglecting these values leads to two reliable experiment-based data sets for $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ 236 and SF_{exp}(NO). Furthermore, we used these new experiment-based data sets to validate recently published simulation-based 237 scaling factors SF_{sim}(NO₂) (Strode et al., 2022) and recently calculated simulation-based scaling factors SF_{sim}(NO) from a 238 global study representing a similar latitude (47 °N). Comparing experiment and model simulation, we find an excellent 239 agreement for stratospheric NO₂ and NO daytime variabilities with a mean bias of the modulus over all months and SZA of 240 only 0.02 % with no significant deviating trends for boundary values. These results underline the quality of recent multi-241 dimensional model simulations of stratospheric trace gases, representing very well experimental data. Additionally, we 242 showed, that ground-based FTIR measurements can provide reliable information about stratospheric NOx variability within 243 time steps of minutes, which can serve as a good basis for the validation of global model simulations and therefore can help to 244 further optimize satellite validations.

The analysis method of the retrieval of stratospheric NO₂ and NO partial columns over Zugspitze, Germany, published in Part 1 of the two companion papers (Nürnberg et al., 2023) in combination with the generalization of this data by calculating unitless scaling factors *SF* and the validation of recently published model data in this paper (Part 2) can be seen as a strong tool for the further validation and correction of global model and satellite data. This approach can be taken for any groundbased FTIR spectrometer generating a global set of experiment-based stratospheric NO₂ and NO partial columns or scaling factors *SF*_{exp}(NO₂) and *SF*_{exp}(NO).

251 Data availability

The presented calculated experimental factors SF_{exp} and the used partial columns in dependent of the SZA can be found in the supporting material of this paper. The used experimental data is published along in Part 1 of the two companion papers (Nürnberg et al., 2023). Any other data of interest underlying this publication can be obtained at any time from the corresponding author on demand. The simulated scaling factors for NO2 and NO are available at this website: <u>https://avdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/pub/data/project/GMI_SF/</u>

257 Author contributions

PN optimized and performed the FTIR retrievals, made the scientific analysis, and wrote the manuscript. SAS performed the model simulations and processed the data for the comparison to experiment and supported editing of the manuscript. RS suggested this research, contributed to the design of the study, and supported editing of the manuscript.

261 **Competing Interests**

262 None.

263 Acknowledgements

Funding by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research of Germany within the ACTRIS-D project (grant no. 01LK2001B)

265 is gratefully acknowledged. We acknowledge funding by the Helmholtz Changing Earth – Sustaining our Future research

266 program within the Earth and Environment research field. SAS acknowledges support from NASA grant 80NSSC18K0711,

267 the NASA Modeling, Analysis, and Prediction (MAP) Program, and computing resources from the NASA Center for Climate

268 Simulation (NCCS) for the simulated scaling factors.

270 References

- Allen, M., Lunine, J. I., and Yung, Y. L.: The vertical distribution of ozone in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere, Journal
 of Geophysical Research, 89, 4841-4872, doi: 10.1029/JD089iD03p04841, 1984.
- Alvanos, M. and Christoudias, T.: Accelerating Atmospheric Chemical Kinetics for Climate Simulations, IEEE Transactions
 on Parallel and Distributed Systems, 30, 2396-2407, doi: 10.1109/TPDS.2019.2918798, 2019.
- Bracher, A., Sinnhuber, M., Rozanov, A., and Burrows, J. P.: Using a photochemical model for the validation of NO₂ satellite
 measurements at different solar zenith angles, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5, 393-408, doi: 10.5194/acp-5-393-2005,
 2005.
- Brohede, S. M., Haley, C. S., McLinden, C. A., Sioris, C. E., Murtagh, D. P., Petelina, S. V., Llewellyn, E. J., Bazureau, A.,
 Goutail, F., Randall, C. E., Lumpe, J. D., Taha, G., Thomasson, L. W., and Gordley, L. L.: Validation of Odin/OSIRIS
 stratospheric NO₂ profiles, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007586, 2007.
- stratospheric NO₂ profiles, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007586, 2007.
 Chang, J. and Duewer, W. H.: Modeling chemical processes in the stratosphere, Annual Review of Physical Chemistry, 30,
- 281 Chang, J. and Duewer, W. H.: Modering chemical processes in the stratosphere, Annual Review of 282 443-469, 1979.
 - Crutzen, P. J.: The influence of nitrogen oxides on the atmospheric ozone content, Quarterly Journal of the Royal
 Meteorological Society, 96, 320-325, doi: 10.1002/qj.49709640815, 1970.
 - Crutzen, P. J.: The Role of NO and NO₂ in the Chemistry of the Troposphere and Stratosphere, Annual Review of Earth and
 Planetary Sciences, 7, 443-472, doi: 10.1146/annurev.ea.07.050179.002303, 1979.
 - Dubé, K., Randel, W., Bourassa, A., Zawada, D., McLinden, C., and Degenstein, D.: Trends and Variability in Stratospheric
 NO_x Derived From Merged SAGE II and OSIRIS Satellite Observations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125,
 doi: 10.1029/2019jd031798, 2020.
 - Dubé, K., Bourassa, A., Zawada, D., Degenstein, D., Damadeo, R., Flittner, D., and Randel, W.: Accounting for the photochemical variation in stratospheric NO₂ in the SAGE III/ISS solar occultation retrieval, Atmospheric Measurement
 - 292 Techniques, 14, 557-566, doi: 10.5194/amt-14-557-2021, 2021.
 - Duncan, B. N., Strahan, S. E., Yoshida, Y., Steenrod, S. D., and Livesey, N.: Model study of the cross-tropopause transport of
 biomass burning pollution, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 3713-3736, doi: 10.5194/acp-7-3713-2007, 2007.
 - Godin-Beekmann, S.: Spatial observation of the ozone layer, Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 342, 339-348, doi:
 10.1016/j.crte.2009.10.012, 2010.
 - Grewe, V., Brunner, D., Dameris, M., Grenfell, J. L., Hein, R., Shindell, D., and Staehelin, J.: Origin and variability of upper
 tropospheric nitrogen oxides and ozone at northern mid-latitudes, Atmospheric Environment, 35, 3421-3433, doi:
 10.1016/s1352-2310(01)00134-0, 2001.
 - 300 Johnston, H. S.: Atmospheric ozone, Annu Rev Phys Chem, 43, 1-31, doi: 10.1146/annurev.pc.43.100192.000245, 1992.
 - McLinden, C. A., Olsen, S. C., Hannegan, B., Wild, O., Prather, M. J., and Sundet, J.: Stratospheric ozone in 3-D models: A
 simple chemistry and the cross-tropopause flux, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 14653-14665, doi:
 10.1029/2000jd900124, 2000.
 - Nielsen, J. E., Pawson, S., Molod, A., Auer, B., da Silva, A. M., Douglass, A. R., Duncan, B., Liang, Q., Manyin, M., Oman,
 L. D., Putman, W., Strahan, S. E., and Wargan, K.: Chemical Mechanisms and Their Applications in the Goddard Earth
 Observing System (GEOS) Earth System Model, J Adv Model Earth Syst, 9, 3019-3044, doi: 10.1002/2017MS001011, 2017.
 - Nürnberg, P., Sussmann, R., and Rettinger, M.: Solar FTIR measurements of NO_x vertical distributions: Part I) First observational evidence for a seasonal variation in the diurnal increasing rates of stratospheric NO_2 and NO, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2023.
 - Prather, M. and Jaffe, A. H.: Global impact of the Antarctic ozone hole: Chemical propagation, Journal of Geophysical
 Research, 95, 3473-3492, doi: 10.1029/JD095iD04p03473, 1990.
 - Richter, A. and Burrows, J. P.: Tropospheric NO2 from GOME measurements, Advances in Space Research, 29, 1673-1683, doi: 10.1016/s0273-1177(02)00100-x, 2002.
 - 314 Rinsland, C. P., Boughner, R. E., Larsen, J. C., Stokes, G. M., and Brault, J. W.: Diurnal variations of atmospheric nitric oxide:
 - Ground-based infrared spectroscopic measurements and their interpretation with time-dependent photochemical model calculations, Journal of Geophysical Research, 89, 9613-9622, doi: 10.1029/JD089iD06p09613, 1984.
 - Rusch, D. W.: Satellite ultraviolet measurements of nitric oxide fluorescence with a diffusive transport model, Journal of Geophysical Research, 78, 5676-5686, doi: 10.1029/JA078i025p05676, 1973.
 - Solomon, S., Portmann, R. W., Sanders, R. W., Daniel, J. S., Madsen, W., Bartram, B., and Dutton, E. G.: On the role of
 nitrogen dioxide in the absorption of solar radiation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104, 12047-12058, doi:
 10.1029/1999jd900035, 1999.
 - Strahan, S. E., Duncan, B. N., and Hoor, P.: Observationally derived transport diagnostics for the lowermost stratosphere and
 their application to the GMI chemistry and transport model, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 2435-2445, doi:
 10.5194/acp-7-2435-2007, 2007.
 - Strode, S. A., Taha, G., Oman, L. D., Damadeo, R., Flittner, D., Schoeberl, M., Sioris, C. E., and Stauffer, R.: SAGE III/ISS
 ozone and NO₂ validation using diurnal scaling factors, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 15, 6145-6161, doi:
 10.5194/amt-15-6145-2022, 2022.
 - 328 Sussmann, R., Stremme, W., Burrows, J. P., Richter, A., Seiler, W., and Rettinger, M.: Stratospheric and tropospheric NO₂
 - 329 variability on the diurnal and annual scale: a combined retrieval from ENVISAT/SCIAMACHY and solar FTIR at the
 - 330 Permanent Ground-Truthing Facility Zugspitze/Garmisch, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5, 2657-2677, doi:
 - 331 10.5194/acp-5-2657-2005, 2005.

- Wang, S., Li, K.-F., Zhu, D., Sander, S. P., Yung, Y. L., Pazmino, A., and Querel, R.: Solar 11-Year Cycle Signal in
 Stratospheric Nitrogen Dioxide—Similarities and Discrepancies Between Model and NDACC Observations, Solar Physics,
- 334 295, 117, doi: 10.1007/s11207-020-01685-1, 2020.
- World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe: Health aspects of air pollution with particulate matter, ozone and
 nitrogen dioxide : report on a WHO working group, Bonn, Germany 13-15 January 2003, Copenhagen : WHO Regional Office
 for Europe, https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/107478, 2003.
- Yin, H., Sun, Y., Liu, C., Zhang, L., Lu, X., Wang, W., Shan, C., Hu, Q., Tian, Y., Zhang, C., Su, W., Zhang, H., Palm, M.,
 Notholt, J., and Liu, J.: FTIR time series of stratospheric NO₂ over Hefei, China, and comparisons with OMI and GEOS-Chem
 model data, Opt Express, 27, A1225-A1240, doi: 10.1364/OE.27.0A1225, 2019.
- Zhou, M., Langerock, B., Vigouroux, C., Dils, B., Hermans, C., Kumps, N., Nan, W., Metzger, J.-M., Mahieu, E., Wang, T.,
- Wang, P., and De Mazière, M.: Tropospheric and stratospheric NO retrieved from ground-based Fourier-transform infrared
- 343 (FTIR) measurements, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 14, 6233-6247, doi: 10.5194/amt-14-6233-2021, 2021.
- 344
 - 345

346 **Table 1.** Calculated mean bias of residuals ($[SF_{exp}-SF_{sim}]/SF_{sim}$) for every month between experiment and simulations for NO₂ and the 347 standard error of the mean (σ/\sqrt{n}) of this value.

Month	J (%)	F (%)	M (%)	A (%)	M (%)	J (%)	J (%)	A (%)	S (%)	O (%)	N (%)	D (%)
mean bias	-0.0230	-0.0257	-0.0024	0.0433	0.0118	0.0683	0.0060	0.0207	0.0414	-0.0062	0.0007	-0.0204
$2\sigma/\sqrt{n}$	0.0132	0.0092	0.0088	0.0082	0.0065	0.0096	0.0077	0.0093	0.0081	0.0072	0.0085	0.0192
bias < 2SEM ?	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No

348

Table 2. Calculated mean bias of residuals ($[SF_{exp}-SF_{sim}]/SF_{sim}$) for every month between experiment and simulations for NO and 2 times the standard error of the mean ($2 \sigma/\sqrt{(n)}$) of this value.

Month	J (%)	F (%)	M (%)	A (%)	M (%)	J (%)	J (%)	A (%)	S (%)	O (%)	N (%)	D (%)
mean bias	-0.0045	-0.0592	-0.0220	-0.0269	-0.0714	-0.0046	-0.0889	-0.0767	-0.0068	-0.0118	-0.0096	0.0150
$2\sigma/\sqrt{n}$	0.0331	0.0236	0.0166	0.0110	0.0099	0.0160	0.0143	0.0102	0.0117	0.0138	0.0191	0.0425
bias < 2SEM ?	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

351



Figure 1. Retrieved NO₂ partial column above 16 km altitude measured at Zugspitze (black symbols) for every month in dependence of SZA.



356 Figure 2. Retrieved NO partial column above 16 km altitude measured at Zugspitze (black symbols) for every month in dependence of SZA.





Figure 3. Calculated normalized NO₂ scaling factors $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ above 16 km altitude measured at Zugspitze (black; orange symbols are excluded outliers) for every month in dependence of the SZA. The values represent the mean value within 2° SZA bins. The error bars represent two times the standard error of the mean ($\pm 2 \sigma/\sqrt{(n)}$) value. Values resulting from only one measurement point are shown in red without error bar.



Figure 4. Calculated normalized NO scaling factors $SF_{exp}(NO)$ above 16 km altitude measured at Zugspitze (black; orange are excluded outliers) for every month in dependence of SZA. The values represent the mean value within 2° SZA bins. The error bars represent two times the standard error of the mean ($\pm 2 \sigma/\sqrt{(n)}$) value. Values resulting from only one measurement point are shown in red without error bar.





Figure 5. Calculated normalized NO₂ scaling factors $SF_{exp}(NO_2)$ above 16 km altitude measured at Zugspitze (black) and recalculated normalized NO₂ scaling factors $SF_{sim}(NO_2)$ above 16 km altitude (red line) for every month in dependence of SZA. The experimental values represent the mean value within 2° SZA bins. The error bars represent two times the standard error of the mean ($\pm 2 \sigma/\sqrt{(n)}$) value.





Figure 6. Calculated normalized NO scaling factors $SF_{exp}(NO)$ above 16 km altitude measured at Zugspitze (black) and recalculated normalized NO scaling factors $SF_{sim}(NO)$ above 16 km altitude (red line) for every month in dependence of SZA. The experimental values represent the mean value within 2° SZA bins. The error bars represent two times the standard error of the mean ($\pm 2 \sigma/\sqrt{(n)}$) value.





Figure 7. Calculated residuals $(SF_{exp}-SF_{sim})/SF_{sim}$ between the experimental normalized mean NO₂ scaling factors SF_{exp} and the simulated normalized NO₂ scaling factors SF_{sim} and interpoled to the respective SZA for every month in dependence of SZA. The error bars represent two times the propagated standard error of the mean $(\pm 2 \sigma/\sqrt{n})$ of the experimental value. The mean bias over all SZA is shown in red.



380 381 382 **Figure 8.** Calculated residuals ($[SF_{exp}-SF_{sim}]/SF_{sim}$) between the experimental normalized mean NO scaling factors SF_{exp} and the simulated normalized NO scaling factors SF_{sim} and interpoled to the respective SZA for every month in dependence of SZA. The error bars represent

two times the propagated standard error of the mean $(\pm 2 \sigma/\sqrt{n})$ of the experimental value. The mean bias over all SZA is shown in red.