Drivers of Laptev Sea interannual variability in salinity and temperature

Phoebe A. Hudson^{1, 2}, Adrien C. H. Martin^{2, 3}, Simon A. Josey², Alice Marzocchi², Athanasios Angeloudis¹

¹University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh UK

² National Oceanography Center, Southampton, UK

³NOVELTIS, Labège, France

10 Correspondence to: Phoebe A Hudson (PA.Hudson@sms.ed.ac.uk)

Abstract. Eurasian Rivers provide a quarter of total fresh water to the Arctic, maintaining a persistent fresh layer that covers the surface Arctic Ocean. This freshwater export controls Arctic Ocean stratification, circulation, and basin-wide sea ice
concentration. The Lena RiverLena River supplies the largest volume of runoff and plays a key role in this system, as runoff outflows into the Laptev Sea as a particularly shallow plume. This freshwater export controls Arctic Ocean stratification, circulation, and basin-wide sea ice area. Previous in-situ and modelling studies suggest that local wind forcing is a primary driver of variability in Laptev sea surface salinity (SSS) but there iswith no consensus onver the roles of Lena riverLena River discharge and sea ice cover in contributing to this variability or on the dominant driver of variability. Until recently, satellite
SSS retrievals were insufficiently accurate for use in the Arctic, due to the low sensitivity of the L-band signal they utilise in cold water and challenges of retrieval near sea ice. However, retreating sea ice cover and continuous progress in satellite product development have significantly improved SSS retrievals, giving satellite SSS data true potential in the Arctic. In this region, sSatellite-based SSS is found to agree well with in-situ data in this region (r > 0.8) and provides notable improvements

compared to the reanalysis product used in this study (r > 0.7) in capturing patterns and variability observed in in-situ data.

25

5

This study demonstrates a novel method of using satellite-based SSS, sea surface temperature (SST) data, in situ observations, and reanalysis products to identifying the dominant drivers of interannual variability in Laptev Sea dynamics within reanalysis products and testing if these relationships appear to hold in satellite-based SSS, sea surface temperature (SST) data and in-situ observations. Satellite-based SSS is found to agree well with in-situ data in this region (r > 0.8) and provides notable improvements compared to the reanalysis product used in this study (r > 0.7) in capturing patterns and variability observed in in-situ data. The satellite SSS data firmly establishes what is suggested by reanalysis products and what has previously been subject to debate due to the limited years and locations analysed with in-situ data; that the zonal wind is the dominant driver of offshore or onshore Lena riverLena River plume transport. The eastward wind confines the plume to the southern Laptev.

Field Code Changed

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

35 northern Laptev Sea. This finding is affirmed by the strong agreement in SSS pattern <u>under eastward and westward wind regimes</u> in all reanalyses and satellite products used in this study, <u>as well as with in-situ data-under eastward and westward wind regimes</u>. The pattern of SST also varies with the zonal wind component; and drives spatial variability in sea ice <u>concentrationarea</u>. The strong correspondence between large scale and local zonal wind dynamics and the key role of SSS and <u>SST variability in driving sea ice</u> and stratification dynamics demonstrates the importance of changes in large scale 40 atmospheric dynamics for variability in this region as well as for future Aretic sea ice dynamics and freshwater transport.

Kev Points:

45

50

- Better agreement between in-situ measurements and satellite based sea surface salinity (SSS) measurements compared to the 1/12° GLORYS12V1 reanalysis SSS or other reanalysis considered.
- The zonal wind component is the dominant driver of Lena riverLena River plume transport, with strong agreement patterns across allin satellite and reanalysis-based sea surface salinity (SSS), sea surface temperature (SST) and products.sea ice concentration (SIC) products.
 - The eastward wind confines the plume to the southern Laptev Sea and drives alongshore transport into the East Siberian Sea and westward wind drives offshore plume transport towards the northern Laptev Sea.
 - Patterns of SST, sea ice concentration and area all co-vary with the zonal wind component. There is no evidence that cumulative spring, summer or annual Lena River runoff plays a notable role in controlling interannual surface plume transport.

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

1 Introduction:

Arctic river runoff represents over 10% of the total global river runoff, creating a fresh layer that covers the Arctic Ocean. 55 This runoff is a key contribution to the Arctic haloeline, the cold, fresh layer that sits above inflowing warm and salty Atlantic and Pacific Water. This fresh surface layer governs Arctic Ocean circulation and sea ice cover by preventing heat exchange between the underlying Atlantic Water and the overlying sea ice, limiting melt and strengthening the existing sea ice barrier to atmosphere ocean momentum transfer. Of this runoff, Eurasian rivers contribute a quarter of the total fresh water to the 60 Arctic Ocean, predominantly to the Kara and Laptev Seas. River runoff is suggested to be the driving cause of decadal variability in salinity over the Eurasian shelf seas (Steele and Ermold, 2004) and has the potential to alter considerably with climate change.

However, untangling the impact of the many changes that have already been observed with climate change poses a challenge. 65 The dDramatically warming Arctic surface air temperatures have already altered Arctic atmospheric circulation and brought caused ocean warming, an intensification of the hydrological cycle, snow and ice melt, and increases in river runoff (Overland and Wang, 2010; Prowse et al., 2015). These changes have the potential to drive enhanced stratification with increases in freshwater input (in the form of runoff and precipitation), or increased mixing (with the loss of sea ice and resulting increasing atmosphere-ocean heat and momentum transfer) (IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change), 2019). Understanding the interplay between these changes is crucial for predicting the future state of the Arctic system.

1.1 Laptev Sea

The Laptev Sea, within the Eurasian Arctic (Figure 1Figure 1), provides an ideal region to study the interactions between these changes, adue to it being given it's a hotspot of Arctic warming, sea ice loss, and increases in river runoff (Kraineva and

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

75 Golubeva, 2022; Stadnyk et al., 2021). Changes in this region will likely have considerable influence on the wider Arctic as the Laptev Sea is a key region of Arctic sea ice production and dominant contributor to Arctic-wide thermohaline structure, including to the surface Transpolar Drift and to the Beaufort Gyre (Johnson and Polyakov, 2001; Morison et al., 2012; Reimnitz et al., 1994; Thibodeau et al., 2014). The combination of these changes will also have considerable local impacts, including by increasing coastal erosion, altering nutrient availability and primary productivity (Juhls et al., 2020; Nielsen et al., 2020;

Field Code Changed

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

80 Paffrath et al., 2021; Polyakova et al., 2021),

4

Formatted: Normal





The Laptev Sea primarily receives runoff from the Lena RiverLena River, the largest river in the Arctic, which outflows as a particularly shallow plume due to the very shallow (2-3m) nature of the Lena Delta (Are and Reimnitz, 2000). Lena RiverLena River fresh water dominates the spatial pattern of Laptev sea surface salinity (SSS) and is the main control on stratification in this region (Janout et al., 2020). Lena runoff is very seasonal₅ with very low flow throughout the winter, when the Lena

- 90 RiverLena River is partially frozen, and a strong peak between May and June following the melt of snow and land ice (Shiklomanov et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2021). Other rivers in this region, including the Khatanga, Olenyok and Indigirka, also contribute fresh water to the Laptev but all combined provide a five times smaller contribution than the Lena (Pasternak et al., 2022). Kara Sea fresh water can also contribute riverine fresh water to some of the western and northern Laptev shelf via the Vilkitsky Straight but contributions vary considerably interannually and are typically only a small component of the overall
- 95 make-up (<25%) [Janout et al., 2020, 2015). Sea ice melt also provides fresh water to the Laptev Sea but has a negligible impact in summer/autumn as the freshwater contribution from sea ice melt is several orders of magnitudes smaller than the contribution from the Lena riverLena River (Dubinina et al., 2017).

Laptev Sea surface fresh water is typically characterized by eastward (cyclonic) circulation and weak tidal influence [Fofonova et al., 2014; Timokhov, 1994). This fresh surface layer exhibits considerable interannual variability, varying in meridional extent by over 500km, and has been widely studied using in-situ data and model output [Anderson et al., 2004; Dmitrenko et al., 2005, 2008; Fofonova et al., 2014; Janout et al., 2020; Osadchiev et al., 2021]. The shallow Laptev shelf (depth ~20-25m) is mostly controlled by wind forcing and bottom friction, and the strong stratification on this shallow shelf prevents a full Ekman spiral from developing and aligns the surface current ~45 degrees to the right of the wind [Dmitrenko et al., 2005;

- 105 Kubryakov et al., 2016; Osadchiev et al., 2021; Zhuk and Kubryakov, 2021). Summer precipitation and sea ice melt contribute significantly less freshwater than rivers and are only suggested to provide a minimal direct contribution to altering summer SSS (Dubinina et al., 2017). River discharge variability has also been suggested as a secondary-driver of fluctuations in freshwater content and plume structure- (Horner-Devine et al., 2015; Umbert et al., 2021). However, whilst there is general agreement that wind forcing is more widely suggested to be thea dominant driver of variability on the shelf, there is some
- 110 debate as to the role of river discharge in controlling plume variability (Dmitrenko et al., 2005; Osadchiev et al., 2021). (Dubinina et al., 2017)

Whilst Lena riverLena River water typically remains in the Laptev Sea for 2-3 years, its longer-term fate exhibits considerable variability as it can be transported out of the Laptev Sea either northward into the Transpolar Drift or eastward towards the

115 Beaufort Gyre (Bauch et al., 2013; Johnson and Polyakov, 2001; Paffrath et al., 2021). Large-scale atmospheric circulation (and/ the Arctic Oscillation Index (AOI)) and the initial transport of the fresh layer have been suggested as the main controls on its eventual transit (Johnson and Polyakov, 2001; Morison et al., 2012).

Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
 Formatted: English (United Kingdom)
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

120 1.2 Satellite SSS in the Arctic

125

Satellite acquisitions of SSS have only been around since 2010, with the launch of the ESA Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS) satellite (Font et al., 2010; Kerr et al., 2010). The ability to measure salinity from space provided an unprecedented synoptic view of freshwater transport and ocean density, previously only hinted at by the relatively sparse in-situ platforms and datasets. Since then, SMOS, Aquarius (2011–2015, (Lagerloef et al., 2008)), and newly SMAP (2015-present, (Piepmeier et al., 2017)), have been widely used for salinity studies around most of the globe (Dossa et al., 2021; Fournier et al., 2017).

They have proved invaluable for understanding the water cycle including air/sea fluxes, river runoff, horizontal advection and vertical exchanges (Zika et al., 2015; Vinogradova et al., 2019; Reul et al., 2020).

In the Arctic, in-situ measurements of salinity have long been particularly sparse and infrequent due to the persistent sea ice cover that restricts access throughout most of the year. Satellite SSS would provehas the potential to be an invaluable tool as salinity is the dominant driver of density at high latitudes and plays a key role in controlling transport around the Arctic. However, sea ice and the low sensitivity of L-band signal in cold water has historically made satellite SSS retrievals at high latitudes a challenge. Recent progress in satellite product development has considerably lowered bias by over 0.15 pss compared to in-situ data in the Arctic, increasing confidence in acquisitions and making satellite SSS data a valuable resource

for Arctic studies (Fournier et al., 2019; Supply et al., 2020). In addition, retreating Arctic sea ice cover and rapid atmospheric warming increases the spatial cover of satellite based SSS measurements. Whilst SSS retrievals at high latitudes still have larger uncertainties relative to the rest of the globe, previous works have shown that accuracy is sufficient to capture regions with sharp SSS gradients, such as and demonstrated its potential for looking at Eurasian river plumes (Kubryakov et al., 2016; Olmedo et al., 2018; Supply et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2018; Zhuk and Kubryakov, 2021). The Laptev Sea provides an ideal region for gaining new insights from satellite SSS retrievals, as the Lena River Lena River outflows as a large, very fresh, shallow plume, which creates strong SSS gradients that are clearly observable from satellite SSS data.

The satellite and reanalysis products used in this study are described in section 2. This study then establishes that reanalysis and satellite products manage to capture the interannual variability in Laptev SSS observed in in-situ data in section 3. The dominant drivers of this variability are then investigated using GLORYS12V1: including the contribution of Lena River runoff and of local and Arctic-wide atmospheric forcing in driving these patterns of variability. The findings of this analysis are then tested using satellite SSS data. A similar analysis is also conducted with sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice concentration (SIC) data to understand common and differing drivers of variability and how the components of this system interact.

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

Field Code Changed

150 2 Data and Methods

2.1 Data Products

2.1.12 Reanalyses

The 1/12 degree CMEMS GLORYS12V1 reanalysis (hereafter referred to as GLORYS12V1) (Lellouche et al., 2021) is used as a comparison dataset alongside the SMOS/SMAP and CCIsatellite products over the common observational periods (since 2011/2015) in this region. This reanalysis is chosen for its good representation of Arctic sea ice concentrationSIC and its previous application to salinity variability in the Subpolar North Atlantic and Arctic (Biló et al., 2022; Hall et al., 2021; Lellouche et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022), For consistency with the satellite SSS products, the GLORYS12V1 reanalysis is re-

gridded onto a 0.25° grid for comparison with in-situ data (glorys rg).

- 160 <u>The E.U. Copernicus Marine Service Information Global Ensemble Physics</u>-Four ¼ degree reanalysis products are also validated against in-situ data for comparison with GLORYS12V1 (Masina et al., 2017). These includes four ¼ degree reanalyses including: GLORYS2V4 from Mercator Ocean, ORAS5 from ECMWF, GloSea5 from Met Office, and C-GLORS05 from CMCC.
- 165 ECMWF's 5th generation reanalysis of global weather and climate (ERA5) monthly eastward and northward turbulent surface stress is used to help assess the main drivers of Laptev Sea interannual variability identify years of anomalous east/west and north/south wind stress-(Hersbach et al., 2020). The monthly mean NCEI Climate Prediction Center (CPC) Arctic Oscillation Index (https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/monitoring/ao/) is also used to relate local eastward wind stress patterns to larger scale atmospheric circulation.
- 170

2.1.21 Satellite data

To validate and identify strengths and weaknesses of satellite-based SSS measurements over the Laptev Sea, this study uses two SMOS and two SMAP monthly products which are described below <u>(Table 1).</u> <u>+Higher temporal resolution satellite</u> products were considered for analysis but comparison with in-situ data suggested they do not notably improve correlations with in-situ data.

175 with in-situ data. Therefore, these results do not justify their use over monthly products.

Table 1: Satellite sea surface salinity products used in this study and their start and end dates, and native and used temporal and grid resolutions

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed
Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

Field Code Changed	
Formanda da Namaral	
Formatted: Normal	
Formatted	
ronnatteu	
Field Code Changed	

SSS Products	<u>Start date</u>	End date	<u>Native</u>	<u>Temporal</u>	<u>Native</u>	<u>Grid</u>	4
	<u>used</u>	used	<u>temporal</u>	<u>resolution</u>	grid <mark>spatial</mark>	<u>resolution</u>	
			<u>resolution</u>	used	<u>resolution</u>	<u>used</u>	
L3 LOCEAN	<u>2010-06</u>	2019-12	Monthly	Monthly	25km EASE	<u>0.25°</u>	1
SMOS Artic v1.1							
L3 BEC SMOS	<u>2011-01</u>	2019-12	<u>3day</u>	Monthly	25km EASE	<u>0.25°</u>	1
ARCTIC+ v3.1							
L3 JPL SMAP v5	<u>2015-04</u>	2022-01	Monthly	Monthly	<u>0.25°</u>	<u>0.25°</u>	
L3 RSS SMAP v4	<u>2015-04</u>	2022-01	Monthly	Monthly	<u>0.25°</u>	<u>0.25°</u>	

-{	Formatted: Font: Bold
Υ	Formatted Table
-	Formatted: Font: Bold
Υ	Formatted: Font: Bold
Υ	Formatted: Font: Bold

180 The two SMAP products are global products and are not specific for the Arctic: JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory) v5 and RSS v4 (Remote Sensing Systems). Given the SMAP satellite's later launch, the SMAP products are compared over 2015-04 to 2022-01. The SMAP JPL product provides a large coverage including close to the sea ice edge. To be comparable with other products, data are masked to only include SSS where the <u>SSS</u> uncertainty provided in the product is lower than <u>one1</u> pss. No masking is used for the three other products.

185

The two SMOS products are Arctic-Ocean focused products: the L3 BEC (Barcelona Expert Centre) Arctic+ v3.1 and L3 LOCEAN (Laboratory of Ocean and Climatology) Arctic v1.1 products (Martínez et al., 2021; Supply et al., 2020). Monthly means are calculated from the 3-day BEC product to enable comparison with the other monthly satellite products. Their common period of data availability is 2011-01 to 2019-12. The two SMOS products are regrided onto a regular 0.25° grid (consistent with the SMAP grid) for easier comparison with <u>reanalysis and</u> in-situ data-and-such that a satellite median product

The satellite median is then calculated as the median of these four products (gridded or regridded at 0.25° resolution). The masked JPL product is used to calculate this median.

195

could be calculated from the four satellite SSS products.

SST measurements are taken from the gap-filled L4 CCI (Climate Change Initiative) SST CDR (Climate Data Record) v2.1 (Merchant et al., 2019). A monthly product of this data regridded at 0.1 ° resolution is used over the SSS satellite period (2010 to 2021).

Field Code Changed

200 2.1.2 Reanalyses

205

210

The 1/12 degree CMEMS GLORYS12V1 reanalysis (hereafter referred to as GLORYS12V1) [Jean-Michel et al., 2021) is used as a comparison dataset alongside the SMOS/SMAP and CCI products over the common observational periods (since 2011/2015) in this region. This reanalysis is chosen for its good representation of Aretic sea ice concentration and its previous application to salinity variability in the Subpolar North Atlantic and Aretic [Biló et al., 2022; Hall et al., 2021; Jean-Michel et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022], For consistency with the satellite SSS products, the GLORYS12V1 reanalysis is regridded onto a

0.25° grid for comparison with in-situ data (glorys_rg).

The E.U. Copernicus Marine Service Information Global Ensemble Physics reanalysis products are also validated against insitu data for comparison with GLORYS12V1 (Masina et al., 2017). These includes four ¼ degree reanalyses including: GLORYS2V4 from Mercator Ocean, ORAS5 from ECMWF, GloSea5 from Met Office, and C-GLORS05 from CMCC.

2.1.3 In-situ data

	CTD casts from oceanographic cruises in the Laptev Sea were obtained for comparison with the satellite products from a
215	number of sources (Table 1). CTD profiles from cruises in 2016 and 2019 are used for comparison with reanalysis data to
	study vertical salinity stratification in this region and to complement surface salinity data (supplementary materials Osadchiev
	et al., 2021). Additional in-situ data from CTD probes, floats, ice-tethered profilers, oceanographic cruises and other platforms
	in the Laptev Sea are used for validation of the satellite products from a number of sources (UDASH, NABOS cruises, and
	cruises on Akademik Mstislav Keldysh). See Appendix for details on insitu data used to validate satellite SSS products (see
220	Appendix A Table A1).

Lena River runoff data from the Arctic Great Rivers Observatory (GRO) dataset is used to help assess the main drivers of Laptev Sea interannual variability (Shiklomanov et al., 2021). Cumulative runoff until a certain Julian day of each year is calculated for spring (Julian day 150), summer (Julian day 250) and the full year (Julian day 365). The spring peak in runoff

225 has been shown to be shifting earlier with the rapidly warming Arctic (Yang et al., 2002; Melnikov et al., 2019) so a notable trend is present in the spring cumulative runoff timeseries with the shift to earlier permafrost thaw / river ice melt. To avoid spurious correlation and to be able to differentiate drivers of interannual variability from decadal/longer term trends, the trend in cumulative runoff timeseries (over the GLORYS time period of 1993-2022) is identified and removed. The de-trended spring runoff timeseries is used throughout this study.

230

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed
Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

Field Code Changed	
Field Code Changed	

Only observations in the upper 10m are used for comparison with satellite data. The same analysis was conducted using only data in the upper 5m with no significant improvement. The analysis shown here is for the upper 10m to retain as much data as possible.

235 Table 1: Cruises, vessels and time-periods of salinity and temperature in-situ data used for analysis of vertical profiles and comparison with satellite data

Field Code Changed

Cruise Name	Vessel	Time Period	Reference		
UDASH dataset (incl	Numerous	1980-2015	(Behrendt et al.,		
NABOS cruises 2013,			2017)		
2015)					
SWERUS C3	Oden	15 th -July 25 th -September	(Bjork, 2017)		
expedition		201 4			
NABOS cruises 2018	Akademik	3 rd -17 th -October 2018	(Polyakov and		 Field Code Changed
	Tryoshnikov		Rember, 2019)		
	Akademik	20 th September 20 th	Supplementary		
	Lavrentyev	October 2016	materials (Osadchiev		 Field Code Changed
	Akademik	23 rd —September 13 th	et al., 2021)		
	Mstislav Keldysh	October 2019			
	1			l	

(Shiklomanov et al., 2021)

Field Code Changed

2.2 Methods

240 2.2.1 Data comparison / validation

All satellite and reanalysis products described above are compared with in situ data over 2015-2020. The regridded SMOS data and GLORYS12V1 reanalysis (on a 0.25° grid) are used for comparison with in-situ data. Both correlation coefficients and root-mean square difference (RMSD) values are calculated for each individual product at all collocations between in-situ data and that product. Correlation coefficients and RMSD values are also calculated only where all products have a collocation with in-situ data. However, over 2015-2020, few in-situ observations are collected sufficiently near the surface (<10 m) over regions where all satellite products obtain an SSS measurement (only 37 collocations). Therefore, RMSDs and correlation coefficients are also calculated for SMOS products and reanalyses over the longer SMOS time period (2011-2020) to obtain more collocations (228). JPL SMAP and LOCEAN SMOS have particularly high correlation coefficients and low RMSD values and agree well so are used for further analysis.

250

2.2.2 Drivers of interannual variability

This study focuses on September as the month of maximum open water area and hence the largest area of satellite data for comparison with reanalysis products. JPL SMAP and LOCEAN SMOS have particularly high correlation coefficients and low RMSD values and agree well so are used for further analysis. Two Septembers are shown for comparison of how well interannual variability is captured in each satellite product: 2016, a year of predominant westward wind a

Figure 2, Figure 3). This study does not consider variability in SSS below 20 pss due to the sparsity of in-situ observations with SSS values below this threshold. -As is shown in section 3.1, JPL SMAP and LOCEAN SMOS have particularly high correlation coefficients and low RMSD values and are found to agree particularly well so are used for further analysis.

260

255

To investigate the contribution of key drivers to Laptev Sea interannual variability, a lagged correlation analysis is conducted between GRO runoff, ERA5 eastward turbulent surface stress and GLORYS SSS, SST and SIC. Pearson correlation coefficients are calculated between cumulative GRO runoff until spring (Julian day 150), summer (Julian day 250) and over the full year (Julian day 365) and GLORYS12V1 September SSS for each grid cell (Figure 4). The same correlations are

265 calculated with GLORYS12V1 September SST and SIC at each grid cell (Figure 5, Figure 6).

(Yang et al., 2002; Melnikov et al., 2019)(Steele and Ermold, 2004) ECMWF's 5th generation reanalysis of global weather and elimate (ERA5) monthly eastward and northward turbulent surface

stress is used to identify years of anomalous east/west and north/south wind stress (Hersbach et al., 2020). Pearson correlation
 coefficients were also calculated between ERA5 eastward turbulent surface stress in April, May, June, July, August and
 September and GLORYS12V1 September SSS in each grid cell to identify the months that appear to most strongly drive
 variability in September SSS (Figure 4). The same correlations are calculated with GLORYS12V1 September SST and SIC at
 each grid cell (Figure 5, Figure 6).

275 <u>To identify years of anomalous castward/westward wind over the satellite timeseries, Tthe mean ERA5 castward and northward turbulent surface stress are calculated for June to <u>September-August</u> over the Laptev Sea shelf: 120-160 °E, 70-80 °N. <u>The period of June to August is chosen because of the particularly strong correlations found between eastward turbulent surface stress in June, July and August and GLORYS SSS (Figure 4). A four three-months mean is chosen in order to reduce the high temporal variability in wind stress (± 0.05 N m⁻²) and only keep the lower frequency signal the ocean reacts to. <u>The mean AOI for June to August is claculated for comparison with Laptev Sea eastward turbulent surface stress to relate local wind stress to large-scale atmospheric circulation (</u>
</u></u>

Figure 7).

To be able to calculate "eastward" and "westward" <u>SSS</u> and <u>SST</u> composites, <u>Fth</u>e 3 years of maximum and minimum eastward turbulent surface stress are identified for each of the two satellite periods (SMOS: 2011-2020 and SMAP : 2015-2022), <u>in order to</u> <u>be able to calculate "eastward" and "westward" SSS and SST composites</u>. The three years of maximum eastward turbulent surface Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

stress are identified to be 2012, 2016 and 2017 over the SMOS timeseries, and identified to be 2016, 2017 and 2021 over the SMAP timeseries (
<u>Figure 7Figure 4</u>). Conversely, the three years of westward (minimum eastward) turbulent surface stress are identified to be

290 2011, 2013 and 2019 over the SMOS timeseries, and 2015, 2019 and 2020 over the SMAP timeseries.

The "eastward" SSS composite is then calculated as the mean of the three most eastward years for GLORYS12V1 SSS and LOCEAN SMOS (2012, 2016, 2017), and for JPL SMAP (2016, 2017, 2021). The "westward" SSS composite is calculated as the mean of the three most westward years for GLORYS12V1 SSS and LOCEAN SMOS (2011, 2013, 2019) and for JPL

SMAP (2015, 2019, 2020). The same years are used to calculate "eastward" and "westward" SST composites using GLORYS12V1 SST and L4 v2.1 CCI SST as well as for GLORYS12V1 sea ice concentrationSIC.

The GLORYS12V1 sea ice area (SIA) in September in the Laptev Sea (defined to be between 120-145 °E and 68-85 °N for the purpose of calculating SIA) is calculated from GLORYS12V1 sea ice concentration for all years used in the (eastward and westward) composite analysis. The mean "eastward" and "westward" SIA is then calculated as the mean of SIA in the three most eastward and westward years respectively.

3 Results:

3.1 Comparison of SSS products

305 Table 2 : Correlation coefficients from in-situ SSS data < 10m over 2015-2020 (left) and 2011-2020 (right) with GLORYS12V1, BEC SMOS and LOCEAN SMOS products regridded at a 0.25 degree spatial resolution (glorys_rg, bee_rg, locean_rg), JPL SMAP in regions where the provided SSS uncertainty is less than 1 psu, RSS SMAP, the satellite SSS median product and the four CMEMS global ensemble reanalysis products: GLORYS2V4, ORAS5, GloSea5, and C-GLORS05. Correlation coefficients are calculated both at all points where an individual product is collocated with insitu data (All obsv <10m) and for only where all products had a collocation point near insitu data (Common obsv <10m). There are 37 collocations between all products over 2015-2020 and 228 collocations over 2011-2020.</p>

	2015-2020				2011-2020			
			Common obsv				Common obsv	
	All obs	v <10m	<10m		All obsv <10m		<10m	
	Num	Corr	Num	Corr	Num	Corr	Num	Corr
	obsv	coeff	obsv	coeff	obsv	coeff	obsv	coeff
GLORYS12V1 regridded onto 0.25° grid	221	0.80		0.35	1692	0.78		0.62
BEC SMOS regridded onto 0.25° grid	90	0.52	37	0.64	277	0.63	228	0.67
LOCEAN SMOS regridded onto 0.25° grid	78	0.82		0.74	228	0.83		0.83

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Normal

JPL SMAP (where uncertainty < 1 pss)	57	0.80	0.74				
RSS SMAP	41	0.65	0.58				
Satellite SSS median product	91	0.79	0.75				
C-GLORS05	219	0.76	0.03	1695	0.71		0.42
GloSea5	219	0.84	0.04	1695	0.87	220	0.65
GLORYS2V4	219	0.81	0.69	1695	0.70	220	0.40
ORAS5	219	0.85	0.44	1695	0.87		0.70

The satellites products show a good agreement with in situ measurements within the top 10m, with a correlation coefficient typically higher than 0.62 and up to 0.83. The RMSD with in situ is typically between 1.1 pss and 1.65 pss. Despite this relatively high error in RMSD, due to the large range of SSS observed over this small area (5 pss to 35 pss), both dataset are well correlated. JPL SMAP, LOCEAN SMOS, and the median sat product stand out as having particularly high correlation (r -0.8) coefficients compared to all other products. Over the full SMOS period, the LOCEAN product correlates strongly with in-situ data (r = 0.83) but the BEC product is less strongly correlated (r = 0.67).

320 The collocated in situ data (common obsv <10m) are all located in low sea ice regions (<30% sea ice concentration), where satellite SSS retrievals are possible. Over the Laptev Sea, the strong horizontal gradient in SSS maintains lower salinities nearshore on the continental shelf and relatively higher salinities > 30 pss offshore. Therefore, the salinity range captured by in-situ observations only collocated with one satellite product/GLORYS12V1 typically includes a larger range of salinities (with more SSS values <30) than that captured by in-situ observations collocated with all products. Hence, the correlation coefficients of almost all products are larger when considering all in-situ observations collocated with that product due to the larger range in SSS than when considering only in-situ observations collocated with all products.</p>

Whilst GLORYS12V1 appears to correlate well with in situ data when considering all its collocations (r > 0.79 over 2015-2020 and r>0.78 over 2011-2020), the correlation deteriorates when only considering observations where all satellite products
have a collocation (r < 0.35 over 2015-2020 and r < 0.63 over 2011-2020). This same pattern is visible in all other reanalysis products considered. This decrease in correlation indicates that the reanalyses manage to replicate the large-scale horizontal gradient in SSS (between the fresh plume on the shelf and the more saline water that sits off the shelf, under sea ice) but are not capable of representing the spatial variability at lower SSS values and hence of finer scale river plume dynamics. Reanalysis RMSDs from in-situ data are also all larger than those of any satellite product. The lower RMSDs and stronger correlation
coefficients of all satellite products compared to reanalyses highlight the value satellite SSS products bring to Arctic-based process studies.





Figure 2 : Laptev Sea sea surface salinity field in September (9) 2016 (<u>leftop</u>) and 2019 (middle) and the difference between 2016 and 2019 (<u>bottomright</u>) for <u>the CMEMS GLORYS12V1 reanalysis (top) and for each of</u> the median of 4 satellite products (RSS SMAP, JPL SMAP, LOCEAN SMOS, BEC SMOS) (<u>rightop to bottom) and for the CMEMS GLORYS12V1 reanalysis (teft</u>). ERA5 mean wind speed for June-September <u>August</u> are overlaid on the GLORYS12V1 SSS field with a box over the region of interest (70-80°N, 120-160°E). The GLORYS12V1 30% sea ice concentration contour is also overlaid as a black line over the GLORYS12VI SSS field. In-situ data for late September 2016 and early October 2019 are overlaid on satellite <u>median</u> products using the same colour scale.

There is close agreement between the September SSS pattern in GLORYS12V1 and the medianall satellite September SSS products in all-both years compared (

Figure 2Figure 2. The SSS off the continental shelf (> 100 m) or above 75 °N is typically > 28-pss in both years analysed and in both products. SSS generally decreases with proximity to shore, and is lowest near the outflow of the Lena River Lena River, around 130 °E, with salinity values as low as 10 pss nearshore. This low salinity area (< 20 pss) extends considerably to the East of the Lena River Lena River outflow throughout the southern Laptev Sea and past the New Siberian Islands into the East Siberian Sea, extending to over 160 °E in both years.

The years 2016 and 2019 stand out as having notably anomalous patterns of Laptev SSS, with differences in SSS of over 340 355 pss_between years from the medianin all-satellite products. Both the satellite median product and GLORYS12V1 SSS and all satellite products except BEC capture the same pattern of SSS interannual variability as in-situ data from cruises in all years of overlap (2016 and 2019 shown in Fig. 1). In 2016, the freshest salinities are coastally confined and do not travel far off the continental shelf. In 2019, the freshest salinities travel considerably further offshore; and extend over most of the Western Laptev and East Siberian Sea.

360

Despite the strong overall similarity between gridded products, notable differences are visible between in-situ data and both the satellite median-products and GLORYS12V1 SSS. In 2019, The fresh layer in Figure 2 typically appears to extend further offshore in in-situ data than in GLORYS12V1 (Figure 2). LOCEAN, JPL and RSS appear to capture this extended plume better, but still do not capture the full extent visible in in-situ data, or in the satellite median product. However, T this difference is likely primarily due to the temporal mis-match between the September monthly mean GLORYS12V1 and satellite products and in-situ data as in-situ data was collected in late September 2016 and early October 2019, but are compared with mean SSS in September. Both GLORYS12V1 and satellite SSS do show the plume extending further offshore by the following month (not shown), supporting this suggestion. However, the better representation of plume extent in LOCEAN, JPL and RSS, as compared to GLORYS12V1, suggests the temporal mismatch is not the only driver of this difference.

370

Most of the <u>satellite</u> products <u>used to generate the satellite median (GLORYS12V1, LOCEAN SMOS and both SMAP</u> products) <u>and GLORYS12V1</u> clearly manage to capture a consistent pattern of interannual variability <u>and agree well with in-</u> <u>situ data</u> (Figure 2<u>see-, Appendix A Figure A3 Figure A4Figure A1, Figure A2</u>). However, notably different patterns are observed in the BEC product, which also has a notably lower correlation with in-situ data (r = 0.79, Appendix A Table A1).

375 All other satellite products analysed here appear to capture the SSS pattern described above for 2016 and 2019 and have strongest correlations with in-situ data (r > 0.9, Appendix A Table A1). This difference in SSS pattern agrees well with the two modes of SSS variability previously observed in in-situ data and described by other studies in this region (Dmitrenko et al., 2005; Osadchiev et al., 2021). Of the four products considered here, the LOCEAN SMOS Arctic and JPL SMAP products capture particularly consistent patterns of interannual variability and correlate most strongly with insitu data (r=0.92 for

17

Fie	eld Code Changed
Fie	eld Code Changed

380 LOCEAN, r=0.95 for JPL, Appendix A Table A1). This is particularly notable, especially given they originate from different satellites and are generated from different processing algorithms. These two products (LOCEAN SMOS and JPL SMAP) are chosen for further use in this study, due to their strong similarity and good correlation values with in-situ data.

Field Code Changed

There is also a good agreement between the area of open water in GLORYS12V1 (shown as the 30% sea ice concentration)
and the area of no retrievals / of open water in the two satellite products show similar areas of open water / of no retrievals. In 2019, the area of open water is particularly large in GLORYS12V1 and in all satellite products, with no regions of notable sea ice (where sea ice concentrationSIC > 30%) below 80°N throughout the Laptev and East Siberian Seas. In 2016, there is more extensive sea ice and few satellite SSS retrievals in the Laptev Sea but a large area of open water in the East Siberian Sea, which extends considerably offshore to over 80 °N.

390





GLORYS12V1 features a well-mixed plume in the shallowest regions of the shelf in 2016 and 2019 (Figure 3Figure 3), and
in almost all other years considered. Hence, it agrees well with in-situ data in regions and years where the plume is well-mixed
nearshore (e.g. 2016 shown above and 1994 and 2000 not shown) but fails to represent years with a stratified plume nearshore
(e.g. 2019 shown above and 2008, and 2011 not shown). The variability of stratification dynamics, even just in the two years
examined, suggests it is not appropriate to assume a constant mixed layer depth on the shallow shelf, as has previously been done to estimate fresh water content (Umbert et al., 2021). In all years examined, in-situ data shows the fresh layer (< 15 pss)
is relatively shallow and only extends to between 5 and 10m, shallower than Kara due to weaker tidal mixing (Osadchiev et

al., 2021).

In 2019, some differences in surface plume extent are visible between GLORYS12V1 and in-situ data. Some of these differences may be due to spatio-temporal mismatch of September monthly 1/12 degree data with point in-situ data (in late

410 September/early October), as vertical stratification is very seasonally and regionally variable (and bathymetrically controlled) in this region (Janout et al., 2020). However, both satellite products more closely resemble the extended plume visible in insitu data than GLORYS12V1.

- In addition, previous studies show considerable interannual variability in the lowest values of SSS at the outflow of the Lena River. Whilst in some years, there are only very small regions of SSS below 20 pss (2014), in other years, notable regions of SSS as low as 6 pss have been observed (in 2013) (Janout et al., 2020). Within GLORYS12V1, the shallow surface layer is consistently more saline (between 15-20 pss) than in-situ data and salinities below 20 pss are typically very confined to the shelf. Although there are few satellite SSS retrievals near the coast (due to land contamination), nearshore SSS are notably lower and quite variable (10-20 pss) in LOCEAN SMOS and JPL SMAP and more consistent with in-situ data. Overall, within shallow shelf regions (< 20 m), the more saline surface waters, fresher bottom waters and less extensive surface plumes suggest GLORYS12V1 is too well-mixed compared to in-situ data. This is reinforced by the weak tidal influence in this region and as there is rarely sufficient wind-driven mixing to break up such strong stratification (Fofonova et al., 2014; Hölemann et al., 2011; Janout and Lenn, 2014; Shakhova et al., 2014).
- 425 Salinity stratification on the shelf is much stronger than that of temperature and is by far the dominant control on density in this region (Osadchiev et al., 2021). Sea surface temperatureSST, and in turn stratification in temperature also vary considerably over the course of September, so a higher temporal resolution analysis would be needed for investigating temperature stratification dynamics. Therefore, this study focuses on salinity stratification in this region, which is more consistent over the course of September, and more appropriately represented by the monthly data used for analysis in this 430 study.

Field Code Changed

Formatted: English (United Kingdom)

3.2 Impact of runoff and wind stress on SSS, SST and SIC in GLORYS12V1





435 Figure 4: Correlation between GLORYS12V1 September SSS and the three-month mean ERA5 eastward turbulent surface stress* (METSS) over June to August (6-8) (left) over 1993-2022. Correlation between GLORYS12V1 September SSS and cumulative Lena River runoff in spring (Julian day 150) (right) over 1993-2022. Regions where correlations are statistically significant (p<0.05) are denoted by the white contour and brighter colours.

Formatted: Caption

- 440 There is Aa significant trongspatial pattern in correlation is presentbetween thea three-months (June , July, to August) mean eastward turbulent surface stress in June, July and August and GLORYS12V1 September SSS field for the 1993-2022 time period (Figure 4). There is This pattern consists of a strong negative correlation nearshore (< -0.75) and a strong positive correlation offshore (> 0.75), particularly in the East Siberian Sea. The negative correlation suggests strong eastward wind stress is consistent with fresher SSS nearshore. The strong positive correlations offshore are present, albeit in different regions, throughout June, July and August, as well as in the three-month mean (Appendix B Figure A1). However, the negative
- correlation nearshore is only present in July and August (ef appendix TODO). A small region of negative correlation (< -0.75) is also present just East of the Vilkitsky Strait, and is visible in all three months. These strong correlations are statistically significant at p < 0.05 (highlighted by the white contour).

- 450 A weak, non-significant spatial pattern in correlation is found between cumulative spring runoff and GLORYS12V1 SSS. This pattern suggests a positive correlation nearshore, particularly in the East Siberian Sea, and a negative correlation offshore. The weak positive correlation nearshore suggests increases in runoff are consistent with increases in SSS. This pattern is the opposite of what would be expected and what has previously been suggested: that an increase in runoff would drive nearshore freshening. However, there is almost nowhere that this correlation is statistically significant. Whilst there are some regions that yield significant p values, these regions are all very small and do not appear to depict a relationship with a physical basis.
- The spatial patterns of correlation between GLORYS12V1 September SSS and both cumulative summer and total annual runoff show similar correlations but are even weaker and are not statistically significant (Appendix B Figure A1).
- 460 Similar correlation analyses conducted between runoff and eastward surface stress with SSS in the other reanalysis products yielded similar spatial correlation patterns to those visible here in GLORYS12V1.



Formatted: Caption
Field Code Changed

470 Figure 5 depicts the strong spatial pattern of correlation between the three-month (June to August) mean eastward turbulent surface stress and GLORYS12V1 SST. This spatial pattern consists of strong negative correlations (< -0.75) near the edge of the continental shelf. This negative correlation suggests eastward wind stress is consistent with cooler SSTs near the edge of the continental shelf (and that westward wind stress is consistent with warmer SSTs in this region). The region of negative correlation differs in region between June and August (Appendix B Figure A2). It is closest to shore in June and appears to move offshore over July and August. Whilst this negative correlation is mainly confined to the Laptev Sea in June and August, it extends into the East Siberian Sea in July. No significant correlation is present nearshore in any month.</p>

 A weak positive correlation is present between cumulative spring runoff and GLORYS12V1 SST throughout the Laptev Sea. This positively correlation is not statistically significant anywhere except in the central Arctic (> 80 °N). This positive
 correlation suggests increased spring runoff is consistent with warmer SSTs throughout the Laptev Sea. The spatial patterns of correlation between GLORYS12V1 September SST and both cumulative summer and total annual runoff show similar correlations but are even weaker and are not statistically significant (Appendix B Figure A2).



Formatted: Caption
Field Code Changed

Figure 6: Correlation between GLORYS12VI September SIC and the three-month mean ERAS eastward turbulent surface stress+ (METSS) over June to August (6-8) (left). Correlation between GLORYS12VI September SST and spring cumulative Lena River runoff (to Julian day 150) (right) over 1993-2022. Regions where correlations are statistically significant (p≤0.05) are denoted by the white contour and brighter colours.

	Figure 6 depicts the strong spatial pattern of correlation between the three-month (June to August) mean eastward turbulent		
490	surface stress and GLORYS12V1 SIC. This pattern suggests a large region of strong positive correlation is present just off the		
	continental shelf in the Laptev Sea and a region of strong negative correlation is present in the central Arctic (> 85 °N). In		
	turn, this implies that eastward wind stress is consistent with increased SIC in the northern Laptev Sea and lower SIC in the		
	central Arctic (and that westward wind stress is consistent with decreased SIC in the northern Laptev Sea and increased SIC		
	in the central Arctic).		
495			
	A large region of weak negative correlation is present between cumulative spring runoff and GLORYS12V1, suggesting		
	increased spring runoff is consistent with lower SIC. This negative correlation is present throughout almost all the Laptev Sea		
	but is only significant (p < 0.05) near 84 °N.		
500	3.2-3 Drivers of interannual variability in September Impact of variability in wind forcing on-SSS		
	The mean atmospheric circulation pattern is represented in _	 Field Code Changed	
	Figure 7-Figure 4, calculated as the mean surface stress over the box defined in	Field Code Changed	
	rigure 2, values are notably different in 2016 and 2019	 Field Code Changed	
505	Figure 2Figure 2). In 2016, there is predominantly cyclonic circulation, with strong Eastward winds dominant over the Laptev		
	Sea shelf, and Northward winds present over the region of the Laptev Sea just off the continental shelf. In 2019, there is		
	predominantly anticyclonic circulation with North-westward winds dominant over the Laptev Sea shelf. The anticyclonic		
	circulation visible in 2019 more closely resembles the mean circulation patternvisible (in Figure 1) over 2011-2020 (Figure	 Field Code Changed	
	1).		



Formatted: Normal, Keep with next



 Figure 7-: Timeseries of the Three-months (June to August) mean June to September August_ERA5 eastward (black solid) and northward (black dashed) turbulent surface stress over 70-80 North and 120-160 East. Overlaid are dots indicating the most gEastward (red dots) and wWestward (blue dots) years chosen for analysis for both the longer SMOS/GLORYS12V1 timeseries (darker red and blue dots) and the shorter SMAP timeseries (lighter red and blue dots). The range of the maximum and minimum eastward turbulent surface stress between June and September August is shaded in grey. Spring cumulative Lena River runoff (until the 150th Julian day) (green) and mean June to August arctic oscillation index (AOI) (orange, standardized by standard deviation of surface stress) are overlaid.

The magnitude of variability in mean eastward turbulent surface stress (± 0.05 N m⁻²) across the entire timeseries is notably larger than that of northward turbulent surface stress, which remained within ± 0.02 N m⁻². The years of highest eastward turbulent wind stress are 2012, 2016, 2017 over the SMOS timeseries and 2016, 2017 and 2021 over the SMAP timeseries. The years of strongest westward turbulent wind stress are 2011, 2013 and 2019 over the SMOS timeseries and 2015, 2019 and 2020 over the SMAP timeseries. In years where the mean eastward turbulent surface stress is negative (denoting predominant westward turbulent surface stress), there is considerably more within-year variability (typically > 0.05 N m⁻² in eastward turbulent surface stress in the months spanning June to <u>September August</u> (denoted by the grey overlay in <u>-Figure 7Figure 4</u>).

There is a good matchagreement between the three-months mean of eastward turbulent surface stress ETSS and AOI (r=0.65, p=0.02) with a correlation of XX. Variability in the AOI closely matches that of eastward turbulent surface stress. The AOI is

Field Code Changed

highest in 2016, 2017 and 2018 over the SMOS timeseries and 2017, 2018 and 2021 over the SMAP timeseries. The AOI is lowest in 2011, 2015 and 2019 over the SMOS timeseries and 2015, 2019 and 2020 over the SMAP timeseries. 535

Detrended?-Spring cumulative runoff does not significantly co-vary with turbulent surface stress (r=-0.30, p=0.31) or the AOI (r=-0.39, p=0.19) over this time period. Spring runoff is highest in 2012, 2013 and 2014 over the SMOS timeseries and 2015, 2020 and 2021 over the SMAP timeseries. Spring runoff is lowest in 2016, 2017 and 2018 over both the SMOS and SMAP timeseries.

540



GLORYS E [2012, 2016, 2017] LOCEAN E [2012, 2016, 2017]

Laptev SIA (120-145E 68-85N) 376064 km²

JPL E [2016, 2017, 2021]



GLORYS W [2011, 2014, 2015] LOCEAN W [2011, 2014, 2015]



GLORYS E [2012, 2016, 2017] LOCEAN E [2012, 2016, 2017]

AN E [2012, 2016, 2017]



JPL W [2015, 2019, 2020]

Figure 8: Eastward (E, top row) and westward (W, middle row) composites calculated from the identified three most eastward and westward years for (left to right) GLORYS12V1 SSS, LOCEAN SMOS and JPL SMAP. The difference composite (eastward – westward) for each product is shown on the bottom row. The GLORYS12V1 mean 30% sea ice concentration contour and mean GLORYS12V1 sea iee area (SLA) in the Lapter Sea (120-140, 68-85N) for eastward and westward years is overlaid on the respective composite plots.

Formatted: Caption

550 The eastward/westward composites of all three SSS products agree strongly, regardless of the differing years chosen for analysis (Figure &Figure 5). The composite analysis clearly highlights the differing pattern of SSS under positive (eastward) and negative (westward) zonal wind. The eastward composite closely resembles the 2016 SSS pattern visible in

Figure 2Figure 2, and the westward composite closely resembles the 2019 SSS pattern. This strong resemblance between particularly anomalous individual years and the zonal wind composite plots supports that the zonal wind is the dominant driver of variability in this region. Years with strong westward wind have considerable offshore transport, and northward spreading 555 of the plume, denoted by the presence of anomalous fresh water in the Northern Laptev Sea and relatively higher salinity water in the East Siberian Sea. Alternatively, years of eastward wind are associated with onshore and alongshore transport, and a coastally confined plume, denoted by more saline waters in the Northern Laptev Sea and fresher waters in the Southern Laptev and East Siberian Seas.

560

The composite difference plots provide a more clearer visualiszation of the North/South (offshore/nearshore) dipole in freshwater transport visible under eastward/westward wind forcing. The strong agreement between all three products strengthens the weighting of this finding, particularly as the difference plots appear to agree even more closely than the individual eastward/westward composites. This agreement suggests that although the three products have different mean SSS

565 states, they capture very similar patterns of variability.

There is a notable difference in SIA-SIC in years of westward and eastward wind forcing in both GLORYS12V1 and the satellite data (indicated by the absence of SSS data). Under westward wind forcing, the Laptev SICA is smaller in the Laptev Sea (245074 km²) and the 30% sea ice concentrationSIC contour is nearer shore in the East Siberian Sea. The opposite is true under eastward wind forcing, with a larger SICA in the Laptev Sea (376064 km²) and the 30% sea ice concentrationSIC contour 570 further offshore in the East Siberian Sea.





Formatted: Heading 2

3.4 Impact of variability in wind forcing on SST

575



Field Code Changed

Figure 2: Eastward (E, top row) and westward (W, middle row) composites calculated from the identified three most eastward and westward years for (left to right) GLORYS12V1 SST and L4 CCI SST (masked by 30% sea ice concentration). The difference composite (eastward – westward) for each product is shown on the bottom row. The mean 30% sea ice concentration contour for eastward and westward years is used to mask L4 CCI data and is overlaid in GLORYS12V1 in black on both eastward and westward composite plots.

1

- 580 Similar to_<u>Figure 8Figure 5</u>, Figure 9Figure 6_represents the eastward and westward composites of GLORYS12V1 and ESA CCI SST (Figure 6Figure 9). Temperatures < 1°C are typically present off the continental shelf in both composites (and all years analysed), with a rapid transition in temperature present at the 30% sea ice concentrationSIC margin (Figure 9Figure 5). On the shelf, temperatures are typically warmer (> 1°C) and riverine plume is typically > 2°C, with large regions in excess of 4°C.
- Field Code Changed

585

The eastward/westward composites of both products agree very well and suggest notable differences in SST pattern under differing zonal wind forcing. Under eastward wind forcing, both GLORYS12V1 and CCI SST composites show that warm SST anomalies are confined to the southern Laptev Sea and travel alongshore towards the East Siberian Sea. This eastward wind state is coincident with a larger SICA in the Laptev Sea and a 30% sea ice concentrationSIC contour nearer shore in the East Siberian Sea. Under westward wind forcing, both SST composites show warm SST anomalies are mostly advected offshore to the Northern Laptev Sea. The westward wind state is coincident with lower SICA in the Laptev Sea and a 30% sea iceeSIC concentration contour further from shore in the East Siberian Sea. A dipole composite pattern is also visible in the SST difference composite, as is visible in the SSS difference composite. However, the difference composite between eastward and westward wind states presents in an East/West direction rather than a North/South direction.

595 4 Discussion

4.1 Variability in rRunoff as a driver of SSS, SST and SIC variability

No clear relationship is observed between the magnitude of cumulative spring/summer river discharge and the pattern of Laptev SSS.

600 Spring, summer and annual Lena River runoff do not appear to play a role in controlling GLORYS12V1 September SSS, SST or SIC in the Laptev or East Siberian shelf seas. Cumulative spring runoff is most strongly correlated to variability in SSS, SST and SIC, suggesting the timing of the initial peak in runoff has more of an impact on Laptev Sea dynamics than the cumulative runoff in summer or the total runoff over the year. However, the correlations with spring cumulative runoff are almost entirely not significant.

It might be expected that years with the largest magnitude of cumulative <u>spring</u>/summer/annual river discharge would have the largest fresh surface layer (< 20 pss) and freshwater content as has previously been suggested of cumulative annual discharge (Umbert et al., 2021). However, the GLORYS12V1 correlation analysis suggests there is no significant correlation near the outflow of the Lena River between SSS and cumulative runoff at any time of year. (Umbert et al., 2021):If anything,

610 the opposite pattern appears true <u>nearshore in the East Siberian Sea</u>: with increases in spring runoff driving higher salinities

Formatted: Heading 2

-	Field Code Changed)
-1	Field Code Changed)

⁶⁰⁵

near the coast and low salinities offshore. The differing result here, compared to Umbert et al. (2021) appears to be primarily due to differences in BEC and GLORYS SSS, and in turn the variability in fresh surface layer area. No mechanism has yet been suggested to explain the opposing behaviour found here. It is possible there is some negative feedback whereby earlier spring runoff drives earlier sea ice retreat, and in turn expands the region of wind influence and spreads the plume further offshore. However, this counterintuitive correlation warrants further investigation.

The short nature of the satellite SSS timeseries prevents an in-depth correlation analysis with runoff as was done with GLORYS12V1 but visual comparison suggests no clear pattern between interannual variability in spring runoff and SSS is visible over in most of the years used for the composite analysis the SMOS or SMAP satellite periods. This comparison is also

- 620 complicated by the interannually varying ice-free region, which determines the total area of SSS retrievals and in turn, any derived fresh surface layer areas. Over this period, spring runoff is lowest in 2016, 2017 and 2018. Whilst the fresh surface layer is extensive in 2018, it is very small and coastally confined in 2016 and 2017. These two years were excluded from analysis in fUmbert et al., (2021) due to lack of SSS data, which partially explains the differing results here. Whilst the area of satellite SSS retrievals is relatively small in these years compared to other years analysed, the edge of the plume is clearly
- 625 visible in the area of open water. This suggests that the small plume observed in these two years is not just due to the relatively small area of open water and that in turn, there is no reason to exclude these years from analysis. Conversely, spring runoff is highest in 2012, 2013 and 2014. Again, there is no conclusive SSS pattern as the fresh surface layer is relatively average in all three of these years. Whilst 2016 and 2017 both have some of the highest September cumulative runoff of any year considered (Umbert et al., 2021), they both have particularly small areal fresh surface layer. In addition, 2011 has one of the smallest
- 630 September cumulative runoffs but one of largest fresh surface layers (Figure A1). However many years do not follow either pattern, as both 2013 and 2015 have relatively large fresh surface layers and medium and high spring runoff peaks (Umbert et al., 2021). Theis inconsistent response in satellite data suggests cumulative spring runoff is not a major driver of interannual variability in SSS pattern, as is suggested from GLORYS12V1 and as has previously been suggested by other studies (Osadchiev et al., 2021).

635 (Umbert et al., 2021)

In addition, GLORYS12V1 doesn't have interannually varying river runoff but manages to represent the SSS pattern well as compared to satellite SSS, suggesting variability in river runoff is not needed to accurately replicate interannual variability in GLORYS12V1 SSS. The strong resemblance between interannual variability in GLORYS12V1 and the satellite products suggests interannual variability in Lena River Lena River discharge has little influence on variability in Laptev SSS.

640

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

-{	Field Code Changed)
-{	Field Code Changed)

4.2 Wind variability as driver of SSS variability

Previous studies using sparse in-situ data have suggested wind forcing appears to drive some variability in freshwater transport (Dmitrenko et al., 2005; Osadchiev et al., 2021). Satellite SSS data shown here provides a complete picture of SSS variability and confirms what has previously only been suggested from in-situ data: that zonal wind forcing is the dominant driver of Laptev SSS. Satellite SSS data also provides a clear, complete visualization of differences in freshwater transport throughout the sea ice free Laptev and East Siberian seas under different wind regimes, augmenting the scattered view available from insitu data. Westward wind drives considerable offshore transport, and northward spreading of the plume toward the Northern

- 650 Laptev Sea. Conversely, eastward wind is found to drive alongshore transport, resulting in a coastally confined river plume, denoted by more saline waters in the Northern Laptev Sea and fresher waters in the Southern East Siberian Sea. Given the different eastward and westward years chosen for composite analysis for SMOS and SMAP, the agreement in eastward (/westward) SSS composites between JPL SMAP and LOCEAN SMOS products solidifies this finding.
- 655 The composite analysis highlights the dominance of the zonal wind over the meridional wind in driving SSS patterns. Within regions with particularly shallow shelf bathymetry, such as in the South Laptev Sea, the Ekman current has been suggested to almost completely align with wind direction or to be transported ~60° to the right (Dmitrenko et al., 2005; Kubryakov et al., 2016; Zatsepin et al., 2015). The strong dominance of the zonal over meridional wind component observed here (in driving the fresh surface layer SE / NW) supports that full Ekman spiral doesn't manifest and that the dominant direction of transport is to the right of the wind but not fully perpendicular to it.

670

Meridional wind stress also does appear to play a role in plume transport but only in the absence of strong zonal wind stress. This has previously been shown to be true for both 2014 (Janout et al., 2020) and 2018 (Tarasenko et al., 2021), where the wind is primarily north-westward and fresh water is transported directly offshore. Both LOCEAN SMOS and JPL SMAP support this.

There has historically been some debate as to the role of the AOI in controlling SSS variability, both locally (Bauch et al., 2010; Janout et al., 2015; Steele and Ermold, 2004), and on a full Arctic basin scale (Morison et al., 2012; Rabe et al., 2014). The mean eastward zonal surface stress in this region is found to be strongly correlated to the mean AOI in June-August over Arctic Oscillation Index (AOI) over June September over the full GLORYS12V1 timeseries (r=0.49), and this correlation is particularly strong over the SMOS satellite period (r>0.67).

Very similar spatial patterns are found when calculating composites from the three years of maximum and minimum (June-September) AOI as when calculating composites from years of maximum and minimum (June-SeptemberAugust) ERA5 zonal surface stress (not shown). The similar spatial patterns highlight that local wind variability in this region is predominantly

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

-{	Field Code Changed	J
-{	Field Code Changed)

governed by large-scale dynamics over this period. The considerable variability in correlation strength (depending on time period analysed) suggests there may be some decadal variability in the extent to which the AOI controls local wind forcing in this region. In addition, the decline in summer sea ice will increase the area of atmospheric influence and in turn could alter how strongly coupled the AOI is to local wind forcing in this region.

4.3 Vertical distribution of plume

6	8	5	

Nearshore in-situ data suggests that the two modes of SSS variability, visible under eastward/westward wind forcing appear to be related to very different stratification dynamics (Figure 3Figure 3). In 2016, in-situ and GLORYS12V1 SSS agree particularly well and show a well-mixed very fresh plume nearshore (Figure 3Figure 3), likely driven by the strong consistent onshore Ekman transport driving downwelling (Osadchiev et al., 2021). This year (2016) stood out as having a particularly well mixed plume compared to all other in-situ data in this region, the extent of which had not previously been observed (Janout et al., 2020). A similar dynamic appears to be visible in 1994, where strong eastward wind stress is coincident with a coastally confined and well-mixed plume (not shown but visible in in-situ data and GLORYS12V1 SSS and SST).

- 690 Conversely, in-situ data showed a strongly stratified fresh layer in 2008, 2011 and 2019, even in shallow regions on the shelf (Osadchiev et al., 2021), which is poorly represented nearshore in GLORYS12V1 (Figure 3Figure 3). The strong stratification on the shelf, visible in in-situ data in these years, suggests that the fresh layer is more strongly stratified in years with considerable northward spreading. This phenomenon appeared true in 1994 and 2016, where strong onshore Ekman transport appeared to drive the well-mixed plume observed. Hence, despite that the shallow shelf is below the calculated Ekman depth for this region (37 m) (Baumann et al., 2018; Tarasenko et al., 2021), Ekman transport clearly plays a role in controlling
- vertical stratification, at least in years where eastward wind stress drives onshore transport and mixing / downwelling [Lentz and Helfrich, 2002). However, it is also possible that the magnitude of river discharge is a dominant control on the vertical distribution of SSS, given the<u>re is no conclusive evidence that the</u> surface freshwater content_layerdoes not appear to directly var<u>iesy</u> with cumulative <u>discharge magnituderunoff</u>[Umbert et al., 2021).

700

705

This hypothesis was not tested as the constant well-mixed plume nearshore suggests GLORYS12V1 is not capable of fully representing plume stratification dynamics in this complex environment. Other model output was considered for use (including CMEMS TOPAZ, GLORYS2V4, ORAS5, GloSea5/FOAM, CGLORS), but all models considered either had insufficient vertical levels to accurately resolve the shallow shelf (<u>CMEMS</u> TOPAZ) or suggested the shallow shelf to be well-mixed in all years considered. The challenge of accurately representing mixing/stratification dynamics in Arctic shallow shelf seas has been widely documented (Janout et al., 2020; Hordoir et al., 2022). Given the large number of vertical layers present in GLORYS12V1, even on the shallow shelf, it is likely poor representation of vertical stratification is due to model <u>grid /</u> physics and/or parameterization of vertical mixing rather than due to insufficient vertical layers to be able to realistically represent the

 Field Code Changed
 Field Code Changed
 Field Code Changed

Field	Code	Changed

Field Code Chan	nged		
Field Code Chan	nged		
Field Code Chan	nged		
Field Code Chan	nged		

Field Code Changed

plume (Arpaia et al., 2023; Wise et al., 2022). Even in years with a mostly well-mixed plume (EG 2016), in-situ data typically shows a more saline layer at depth in certain regions on the shelf, which is almost never captured by GLORYS12V1. The challenge of accurately modelling stratification in Arctic shallow shelf seas and the very limited availability of in-situ data on the shelf prevents a more in-depth analysis of the representation of vertical plume structure within GLORYS12V1. It may be useful for future studies to consider if the inclusion of interannual runoff forcing would improve representation of stratification dynamics.

SST has been shown to be a useful indicator of plume location in this region (Dmitrenko et al., 2005; Osadchiev et al., 2021; Tarasenko et al., 2021). During the summer, Lena river Lena River water is typically at around 16 °C before entering the Laptev

4.4 Sea surface temperature (SST) / sea ice concentration variability

Sea, which is much warmer than the typical SST below sea ice of below 0.°C (Juhls et al., 2020). This sets up the gradient in 720 SST that is clearly visible over the Laptev Sea, with temperatures below 0 °C off the continental shelf and below sea ice and temperatures above 4_°C present over much of the shelf. Similar results have previously been shown from in-situ data, with offshore SSTs typically below 0 °C and SSTs near the mouth of the Lena River Lena River typically over 3 °C and up to 10 °C in the last 2 decades (Osadchiev et al., 2021). This represents a significant increase in September near-shore SSTs over the last several decades (Kraineva and Golubeva, 2022; Polyakov et al., 2005). 725

730

710

715

Many studies have considered the dominant drivers of SSS interannual variability and of the seasonal and decadal variability in SST, but few have considered whether SSS and SST co-vary with distance from the mouth of the Lena and in turn what drives interannual variability in SST in this region. The lagged-correlation and composite analyseis shows that zonal wind component is a key driver of interannual variability in SST as well as of SSS. This finding highlights that correspondence between SSS and SST is not only driven by their common source but also by their common driver of interannual variability. The strong correspondence between eastward/westward SSS and SST composites on the shallow Laptev shelf is unsurprising given that warm and fresh Lena River Lena River water dominates oceanic properties in this region.

However, whilst the eastward/westward composites appear similar, considerable differences are observed between the SSS 735 and SST composite difference and correlation plots. The SSS composite (eastward-westward) difference plots suggest a North/South dipole where eastward forcing appears to drive onshore / south_eastward transport of fresh SSS anomalies and westward wind forcing drives offshore / northward transport of fresh SSS anomalies. In the lagged-correlation analysis between mean eastward turbulent surface stress and SSS, this pattern is highlighted by the dipole between the strong negative correlation nearshore and strong positive correlation at the edge of the continental shelf. Conversely, the SST composite

(eastward-westward) difference plots show more of an East/West dipole where eastward surface stress drives eastward 740 transport of warm SST anomalies and westward surface stress drives north-westward transport of warm SST anomalies. The lagged-correlation analysis between June to August eastward turbulent surface stress and SST consists predominantly of a strong negative correlation in the northern Laptev Sea. Whilst there is a weak region of positive correlation in the East Siberian Sea, which would create the East/West dipole described above, it is not significant. The difference in strength of correlation indicates that whilst westward wind stress drives a strong increase in SST (and/or eastward wind stress a strong decrease in SST) in the northern Laptev Sea, eastward/westward wind stress drives a much smaller change in SST in the East Siberian Sea. These differences in composite difference plots likely occur due to feedback cycles between SST, SICA, SSS and albedo.

Hence, whilst the zonal wind clearly plays a key role in controlling both SSS and SST patterns, the differences between SSS
 and SST composite difference and correlation plots highlight that this warm and fresh water is exposed to very different thermal and freshwater forcing after entering the Laptev Sea. Comparing the responses of SSS and SST provides unique insight into understanding the contribution of the zonal wind in distributing warm riverine anomalies and the contribution of summer heating to the September SST pattern.

- 755 Regardless of differences in SSS and SST composite difference plots, the zonal wind clearly controls the initial plume propagation. Under eastward wind forcing, it transports the fresh, warm plume along the coast to the East Siberian Sea, and otherwise, under westward wind forcing, it transports the plume offshore to the Northern Laptev Sea. SST is a dominant control on the spatial distribution of September sea ice concentrationSIC in this region so the initial transport of this plume drives sea ice melt in that region. This relationship is highlighted by the very strong correlation (r=0.91) between mean SST over the Laptev Sea and SICA in September, and by the very similar correlation patterns between eastward wind stress and 760 GLORYS12V1 SST and SIC. The strong correlation previously found between river-water fraction and melt-water fraction supports that this relationship is causal rather than coincidental (Bauch et al., 2013). Despite this strong correspondence, the initial heat brought by river runoff is only suggested to contribute $\sim 10\%$ to sea ice breakup in early spring (Dean et al., 1994). However, the initial loss of sea ice near the river mouth and the dark-coloured water that replaces it (high in dissolved and 765 suspended particulate matter) alters surface albedo and increases heat absorption creating a strong positive feedback (Bauch et al., 2013; Park et al., 2020). As SSTs areis cooler than atmospheric air temperature in summer, SSTs will continue to warm until atmospheric temperatures start to cool in autumn (Janout et al., 2016). The strongly stratified summer halocline also increases stability of the water column, making summer heating more effective (Osadchiev et al., 2021). Whilst warm summer air temperatures will drive a warming of SST in open water regions, freshwater input from precipitation has a negligible impact
- on SSS and sea ice melt only plays a small role in altering summer SSS (Dubinina et al., 2017). These differences drive the observed differences in composite difference and correlation plots. The SSS composite difference plots represent just the direct response of SSS to the zonal wind (offshore/nearshore). The SST composite difference plots also highlight the importance of the SST/SICA positive feedbacks whereby warm river runoff drives sea ice melt, in turn increasing the area of shallow open water exposed to the warm atmosphere, and further driving SST warming in newly open water regions. The much stronger correlation between eastward wind stress and SST and SIC in the northern Laptev Sea, compared to the East Siberian Sea,

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

may be related to this SST/SIC positive feedback and the timing and/or region of sea ice retreat. It is possible that offshore transport (driven by westward winds) drives earlier and/or more expansive sea ice melt, which would in turn alter the area of open water exposed to the atmosphere and the length of time it is exposed to the warm summer atmosphere, in turn driving more dramatic warming. Whilst this hypothesis is consistent with results here, further work would be needed to confirm this. It is worth noting that the similarity between SSS and SST eastward/westward composites highlights the importance of the zonal wind in modulating this SST/SICA warming positive feedback.

780

790

795

The considerable difference in spatial pattern of <u>sea ice concentrationSIC</u> under eastward and westward wind forcing and the relationship between SST and SICA suggests zonal wind is not only a key driver of variability in SSS and SST but also of Laptev SICA. There have previously been Arctic-wise studies that have suggested that the summer AOI is a good predictor of September <u>sea ice concentrationSIC</u> (Ogi et al., 2016), but the same has not yet been suggested locally in the Laptev Sea. The consistency of SST composites calculated in this study from years of strong eastward (/westward) turbulent surface stress with that of strongly positive (/negative) AOI years supports that <u>large sealelarge-scale</u> circulation appears to be the dominant driver of variability in this region.

Previous work in this region has also suggested that variability in SSS is unrelated to sea ice dynamics (Osadchiev et al., 2021). However, <u>both</u> the composite <u>and correlation</u> analysis here clearly shows that variability in zonal wind stress does play a role in controlling SST₇ and therefore in turn sea ice concentration and SIASIC. Attributing variability in SST and in turn sea ice eoneentration/SIASIC to zonal wind stress is complex due to the SST/SICA warming positive feedback described above and the strong decline in SICA visible in the Laptev (Kraineva and Golubeva, 2022).

However, the spatial pattern of GLORYS12V1 eastward and westward SST composites is consistent regardless of time period chosen (the full GLORYS12V1 time period, the LOCEAN SMOS time period or the JPL SMAP time period), suggesting this relationship does <u>notn't</u> only exist due to the SICA trend (<u>i.e.IE</u> if years of westward/eastward forcing are present earlier/later
in the timeseries). In addition, the spatial pattern of variability visible in both SST composite difference plots <u>and in eastward</u> turbulent surface stress and SIC correlation plots is notably different from the long-term pattern of SST warming <u>or SIC decline</u> (between 1993-2002 and 2010-2019 in GLORYS12V1), which suggests a pattern of more rapid warming distributed across the continental shelf. Both tThe consistency of SST composites shown_and_the difference in spatial pattern of SST <u>under differing wind forcing and the strength of correlation and similarity in correlation pattern between eastward turbulent surface
</u>

805 stress and SST and SIC support that wind stress is a control on SST and in turn September SICA. Further work is needed to investigate if variability in SSS and SST impact later sea ice formation as well as September SICA. Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

4.5 Implications with climate change

810

The increase in riverine heat has already contributed to a regional loss of sea ice, and it has been suggested that warming river discharge is a key control on basin-wide SICA (Dong et al., 2022; Park et al., 2020). It is also clear that the increase in river runoff will increase the freshwater content of the Laptev Sea and have implications for local and Arctic-wide stratification dynamics as well as for local biogeochemistry. However, the <u>weak correlations with spring</u>, summer and annual runoff and dominance of zonal wind as a key driver of SSS and SST interannual variability suggests that understanding variability in wind stress and if it is likely to change is the key to predicting future freshwater transport from the Eurasian shelf seas.

815

This is all the more relevant as the dominance of wind stress variability is only likely to increase with the loss of sea ice cover. Prior to the mid-2000s, the Lena plume typically remained strongly-stratified and confined to the Laptev Sea shelf, constrained by the extensive sea ice cover and small region of atmospheric influence (Janout et al., 2020). The loss of sea ice cover in the Laptev Sea is enlarging the area in contact with the atmosphere and increasing the time of atmosphere-ocean exposure.

820 The strong influence of the AOI on local wind stress in this region, and the increase in correlation strength over the more recent time period, highlights the need to investigate how large sealelarge-scale atmospheric circulation will change over the Arctic to understand future changes in Laptev Sea freshwater transport. This relationship is only likely to become stronger given the AOI is suggested to have increased in variability in recent decades (Armitage et al., 2018; Morison et al., 2021), and as future sea ice loss will only strengthen coupling between large_scale and local wind dynamics. These changes have already and will likely continue to expand the region of potential riverine freshwater influence (Janout et al., 2020; Johnson and Polyakov, 2001; Zhuk and Kubryakov, 2021) and in turn have the potential to speed up transport between the shelf seas and central Arctic (Charette et al., 2020).

However, the impact this will have on the wider Arctic will strongly dependent on changes in stratification dynamics in the Laptev Sea. Whilst it is likely that stratification dynamics will change as the region of potential freshwater influence expands, it remains uncertain what the dominant drivers of this change will be and in turn how this change will manifest. On the one hand, having a larger open_-water region exposed to wind-driven mixing for longer periods could deepen stratification, increasing the tendency of a well-mixed plume (Janout et al., 2020). This appeared to occur in 2016 and seems likely under strong eastward wind forcing, where the fresh water is transported eastwards, driving downwelling and mixing and creating a

835 coastally confined well-mixed plume. Alternatively, the increase in river runoff to the Arctic could strengthen surface stratification (Nicoli et al., 2020; Nummelin et al., 2016) and increasing-increase the tendency-likelihood of a very shallow plume that extends out northwards towards the central Arctic. It is also possible that the likelihood of both of these alternating states could become more frequent, with the increased influence of wind variability with the loss of sea ice cover (Janout and Lenn, 2014). Changes in stratification will be strongly coupled to changes in sea ice dynamics, not only in summer but also

840 year round, and will have implications for the timing, magnitude and region of water mass formation / transformation in the

Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

 Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Laptev (Preußer et al., 2019). Untangling all these compounding changes remains a challenge and will only be solved by a unified approach bringing together a combination of different data products and types including in-situ data, satellite data and model output. The long satellite SSS timeseries has, and with the launch of the Copernicus Imaging Microwave Radiometer (CIMR), will continue to be, a valuable asset in understanding Arctic wide freshwater transport. Understanding these processes will be further aided by the launch of higher resolution satellites for mapping sea surface geostrophic (and total) velocity, including the Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT), SeaSTAR, Harmony and ODYSEA (Gommenginger et al., 2019; Morrow et al., 2019; Suess et al., 2022; Lee et al., 2023).

5 Conclusions

- Satellite SSS agrees well with in-situ data ($r \ge 0.84$) and provides notable improvement compared to GLORYS12V1 SSS ($r \le 0.84$) 0.80) and the other reanalysis products ($r \le 0.83$) considered in capturing patterns and variability observed by in-situ SSS data. 850 Hence, satellite SSS provides a useful tool to strengthen our current understanding of Laptev Sea and wider Arctic SSS dynamics, particularly in regions with strong SSS gradients. Comparison between satellite and in-situ data in this region highlights the need for more near-surface in-situ data for validation in this region, particularly nearshore over the lowest salinities. The current lack of nearshore low salinity in-situ data limits the confidence in and ability to validate satellite data
- 855 over regions of very low salinities (< 20 pss) and limits our understanding of vertical stratification over the shelf, particularly given its high spatial and temporal variability.

860

845

GLORYS12V1 and sSatellite SSS data confirms what in-situ data has previously suggested: that the zonal wind is the dominant driver of offshore/onshore Lena riverLena River plume transport, with strong consensus in SSS patterns under eastward and westward wind regimes in GLORYS12V1, LOCEAN SMOS and JPL SMAP. Annual, summer and spring runoff do not appear to play a role in controlling interannual variability in SSS, SST or SIC in the Laptev and East Siberian seas. The zonal wind also playsed a key role in driving of SST variability and appearsed to drive both spatial variability in sea ice concentrationSIC across the Laptev and /East Siberian Seas-and variability in Laptev SIA. The differences in spatial patterns of SSS and SST under eastward/westward wind forcing highlight the importance of the zonal wind for dispersing riverine heat and in turn 865 controlling the SST/SICA positive feedback, which plays a considerable role in driving further SST warming in shallow open water regions. The dominance of local wind stress as a driver of salinity and temperature variability, and its strong correlation with the AOI and large-scale atmospheric circulation, highlights the need to understand how local and large-scale wind stress has and will change as the Arctic warms in order to predict changes in freshwater storage and transport from the Eurasian shelf seas. The interconnected nature of SSS, SST and sea ice concentrationSIC in this region highlights the challenge but also the

870

sea ice dynamics and freshwater transport.

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

need to understand this region as a system rather than trying to understand drivers of individual components in isolation. This will prove vital to be able to predict how the conflicting changes in this region will impact both this region and wider Arctic

875 Appendix A

Only observations in the upper 10m are used for comparison with satellite data. The same analysis was conducted using only data in the upper 5m with no significant improvement. The analysis shown here is for the upper 10m to retain as much data as possible.

880	Table A: Cruises,	vessels and	time-periods	of salinit	y and	temperature	in-situ	data	used f	or a	analysis	of vertic	al profile	<u>s and</u>
	comparison with sa	atellite data												

Cruise Name	Vessel	Time Period	Reference
UDASH dataset (incl	Numerous	2010-2015	(Behrendt et al., 2017)
NABOS cruises 2013,			
<u>2015)</u>			
NABOS cruise 2018	Akademik	<u>3rd -17th October 2018</u>	Polyakov and Rember,
UCTD	<u>Tryoshnikov</u>		<u>2019)</u>
			(Janout et al., 2019)
	Akademik	<u>20th September – 20th October</u>	Supplementary materials
	Lavrentyev	<u>2016</u>	(Osadchiev et al., 2021)
	Akademik Mstislav	<u>23rd September – 13th October</u>	
	<u>Keldysh</u>	<u>2019</u>	

Formatted: Strikethrough
Formatted: Strikethrough
Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Don't keep with next

Formatted Table

All satellite and reanalysis products described above are compared with in-situ data over 2015-2020. The regridded SMOS data and GLORYS12V1 reanalysis (on a 0.25° grid) are used for comparison with in-situ data. Both Pearson correlation

885 coefficients and root-mean square difference (RMSD) values are calculated for each individual product at all collocations (across the entire area and time period) between in-situ data and that product. Correlation coefficients and RMSD values are also calculated only where all products have a collocation with in-situ data. However, over 2015-2020, few in-situ observations are collected sufficiently near the surface (< 10 m) over regions where all satellite products obtain an SSS measurement (only <u>37</u> collocations). Therefore, RMSDs and correlation coefficients are also calculated for SMOS products and reanalyses over

890 the longer SMOS time period (2011-2020) to obtain more collocations (228). JPL SMAP and LOCEAN SMOS have particularly high correlation coefficients and low RMSD values and agree well so are used for further analysis.

Formatted: Normal

Field Code Changed

 Table A1: Correlation coefficients from in-situ SSS data < 10m over 2015-2020 (left) and 2010-2020 (right) with GLORYS12V1, BEC SMOS and LOCEAN SMOS products regridded at a 0.25 degree spatial resolution, JPL SMAP in regions where the provided SSS uncertainty is less than 1, RSS SMAP and the four CMEMS global ensemble reanalysis products: GLORYS2V4, ORAS5, GloSea5, and C-GLORS05. Correlation coefficients are calculated both at all points where an individual product is collocated with insitu data (All obsv <10m) and for only where all products had a collocation point near insitu data (Common obsv <10m). There are 57 collocations between all products over 2015-2020 and 377 collocations over 2010-2020. The p values associated with correlation coefficients are not included but are all << 0.01.</th>

	<u>2015-2020</u>				<u>2010-2020</u>			
	<u>All obsv <10m</u>		Common obsv		<u>All obsv <10m</u>		Common obsv	
			<u><10m</u>				<u><10m</u>	
	Num	Corr	Num	Corr	Num	Corr	Num	Corr
	obsv	coeff	obsv	coeff	<u>obsv</u>	coeff	<u>obsv</u>	coeff
GLORYS12V1	<u>222</u>	<u>0.80</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>0.75</u>	<u>1667</u>	<u>0.78</u>	<u>377</u>	<u>0.65</u>
regridded onto 0.25°								
grid glorys_rg_025deg								
BEC SMOS regridded	<u>133</u>	<u>0.79</u>		<u>0.79</u>	<u>396</u>	<u>0.76</u>		<u>0.75</u>
onto 0.25° gridbec_rg								
LOCEAN SMOS	<u>132</u>	<u>0.86</u>		<u>0.92</u>	<u>406</u>	<u>0.84</u>		<u>0.84</u>
regridded onto 0.25°								
grid locean_rg								
JPL SMAP (where	<u>100</u>	<u>0.92</u>		<u>0.95</u>				
<u>uncertainty < 1)jpl_ultt</u>								
RSS SMAP rss	<u>67</u>	<u>0.93</u>		<u>0.93</u>				
C-GLORS05eglo	<u>219</u>	<u>0.75</u>		<u>0.73</u>	<u>1672</u>	<u>0.72</u>	<u>377</u>	<u>0.61</u>
<u>GloSea5foam</u>	<u>219</u>	<u>0.84</u>	1	<u>0.78</u>	<u>1672</u>	<u>0.88</u>	1	<u>0.75</u>
GLORYS2V4glor	<u>219</u>	<u>0.81</u>	1	<u>0.75</u>	<u>1672</u>	<u>0.72</u>	1	<u>0.48</u>
ORAS5 oras	<u>219</u>	<u>0.85</u>		<u>0.83</u>	<u>1672</u>	<u>0.89</u>		<u>0.80</u>

Formatted Table

900

 Table A2: Root mean square differences (RMSD) from in-situ SSS data < 10m over 2015-2020 (left) and 2010-2020 (right) with ←</th>

 GLORYS12V1, BEC SMOS and LOCEAN SMOS products regridded at a 0.25 degree spatial resolution, JPL SMAP in regions where the provided SSS uncertainty is less than 1, RSS SMAP and the four CMEMS global ensemble reanalysis products: GLORYS2V4, ORAS5, GloSea5, and C-GLORS05, RMSDs are calculated both at all points where an individual product is collocated with insitu data (All obsv <10m) and for only where all products had a collocation point near insitu data (Common obsv <10m). There are 57 collocations between all products over 2015-2020 and 377 collocations over 2010-2020.</td>

Formatted: Caption

Field Code Changed

2015-2020	
1	

2010-2020

	All obsv	<10 <u>m</u>	Common	obsv	All obsv	<10m	Common	obsv
			<u><10m</u>				<u><10m</u>	
	Num	RMSD	Num	<u>RMSD</u>	Num	<u>RMSD</u>	Num	<u>RMSD</u>
	<u>obsv</u>		<u>obsv</u>		obsv		obsv	
GLORYS12V1	<u>222</u>	<u>3.16</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>4.28</u>	<u>1667</u>	<u>1.88</u>	<u>377</u>	<u>2.69</u>
regridded onto 0.25°								
grid glorys_rg_025deg								
BEC SMOS regridded	<u>133</u>	<u>2.90</u>		<u>3.74</u>	<u>396</u>	<u>2.21</u>		<u>2.25</u>
onto 0.25° gridbec_rg								
LOCEAN SMOS	<u>132</u>	<u>2.53</u>		<u>2.74</u>	<u>406</u>	<u>2.07</u>		<u>1.97</u>
regridded onto 0.25°								
grid locean_rg								
JPL SMAP (where	<u>100</u>	<u>1.85</u>		<u>2.19</u>				4
<u>uncertainty < 1)jpl_ultt</u>								
RSS SMAP _{rss}	<u>67</u>	<u>2.77</u>		<u>2.16</u>				
C-GLORS05eglo	<u>219</u>	<u>3.75</u>	1	<u>4.18</u>	<u>1672</u>	<u>2.30</u>	<u>377</u>	<u>2.67</u>
<u>GloSea5foam</u>	<u>219</u>	<u>2.83</u>	1	<u>3.88</u>	<u>1672</u>	<u>1.40</u>	1	<u>2.40</u>
GLORYS2V4glor	<u>219</u>	<u>3.04</u>	1	<u>3.93</u>	<u>1672</u>	<u>2.14</u>		<u>3.25</u>
ORAS5 oras	<u>219</u>	<u>2.75</u>	1	<u>3.74</u>	<u>1672</u>	<u>1.41</u>		<u>2.42</u>

Formatted Table

The satellites products show a good agreement with in-situ measurements within the top 10m, with a correlation coefficient typically higher than 0.62 and up to 0.83. The RMSD with in-situ is typically between 1.1 and 1.65. Despite this relatively
 910 high error in RMSD, due to the large range of SSS observed over this small area (5 to 35), both datasets are well correlated. JPL SMAP, LOCEAN SMOS, and the median sat product stand out as having particularly high correlation (r ~ 0.8) coefficients

- JPL SMAP, LOCEAN SMOS, and the median sat product stand out as having particularly high correlation ($r \sim 0.8$) coefficients compared to all other products. Over the full SMOS period, the LOCEAN product correlates strongly with in-situ data (r = 0.83) but the BEC product is less strongly correlated (r = 0.67).
- 915 The collocated in-situ data (common obsv <10m) are all located in low sea ice regions (< 30% SIC), where satellite SSS retrievals are possible. Over the Laptev Sea, the strong horizontal gradient in SSS maintains lower salinities nearshore on the continental shelf and relatively higher salinities > 30 offshore. Therefore, the salinity range captured by in-situ observations only collocated with one satellite product/GLORYS12V1 typically includes a larger range of salinities (with more SSS values <30) than that captured by in-situ observations collocated with all products. Hence, the correlation coefficients of almost all</p>

products are larger when considering all in-situ observations collocated with that product due to the larger range in SSS than when considering only in-situ observations collocated with all products.
 Whilst GLORYS12V1 appears to correlate well with in-situ data when considering all its collocations (r > 0.79 over 2015-

2020 and r >0.78 over 2011-2020), the correlation deteriorates when only considering observations where all satellite products
 pave a collocation (r < 0.35 over 2015-2020 and r < 0.63 over 2011-2020). This same pattern is visible in all other reanalysis products considered. This decrease in correlation indicates that the reanalyses manage to replicate the large-scale horizontal gradient in SSS (between the fresh plume on the shelf and the more saline water that sits off the shelf, under sea ice) but are not capable of representing the spatial variability at lower SSS values and hence of finer scale river plume dynamics. Reanalysis RMSDs from in-situ data are also all larger than those of any satellite product. The lower RMSDs and stronger correlation
 930 coefficients of all satellite products compared to reanalyses highlight the value satellite SSS products bring to Arctic-based process studies.

Appendix B



935 Figure A1: Correlation between GLORYS12V1 September SSS and mean eastward turbulent surface stress (METSS) over 70-80 North and 120-160 East in June (6), July (7), August (8) (left column) over 1993-2022. Correlation between GLORYS12V1 September SSS and cumulative Lena River runoff over the full year (Julian day 365), in summer (Julian day 250) and in spring (Julian day 150) (right column) over 1993-2022. Regions where correlations are statistically significant (p≤0.05) are denoted by the white contour and brighter colours.



Figure A2: Correlation between GLORYS12V1 September SST and mean eastward turbulent surface stress (METSS) over 70-80+ North and 120-160 East in June (6). July (7), August (8) (left column) over 1993-2022. Correlation between GLORYS12V1 September SSS and cumulative Lena River runoff over the full year (Julian day 365), in summer (Julian day 250) and in spring (Julian day 150) (right column) over 1993-2022. Regions where correlations are statistically significant (p≤0.05) are denoted by the white contour and brighter colours.

Formatted: Caption

940

945



JPL 2015









GLORYS 2011

950

Laptev SIA : 224706 km²



LOCEAN 2011



JPL 2019





Figure A1 A3: Years of westward wind forcing for all years used to calculate westward composites for (left to right) GLORYS12V1 SSS and LOCEAN SMOS (2019, 2011, 2013) and for JPL SMAP (2019, 2015, 2020). The GLORYS12V1 mean 30% sea ice concentration contour and mean GLORYS12V1 sea ice area (SIA) in the Laptev Sea (120-140, 68-85N) for each year shown is overlaid on that year's plot.

49







LOCEAN 2016



34





JPL 2016





LOCEAN 2016

JPL 2016

GLORYS 2016

Laptev SIA : 449077 km²

Figure <u>A2A4</u>: Years of eastward wind forcing for all years used to calculate eastward composites for (left to right) GLORYS12V1 SSS and LOCEAN SMOS (2016, 2017, 2012) and for JPL SMAP (2016, 2017, 2021). The GLORYS12V1 mean 30% sea ice concentration contour and mean GLORYS12V1 sea ice area (SIA) in the Laptev Sea (120-140, 68-85N) for each year shown is overlaid on that year's plot.

51

Data availability

All data used in this study is open access. JPL and RSS SMAP SSS data can be obtained from the <u>Podaac data portal</u>. LOCEAN
 SMOS data is available on the <u>CATDS portal</u> and SMOS BEC product is available on the <u>BEC (Barcelona Expert Center) web</u>
 page. CCI satellite SST data are available from <u>Sea Surface Temperature Data (surftemp.net)</u>. All reanalysis products are available through the <u>CMEMS portal</u>.
 In-situ data from the <u>UDASH database</u> and from <u>Bjork (2017)</u> are accessible on the Pangea portal. In-situ data from the <u>NABOS cruises</u> are available from the Arctic Data Center. In-situ data from cruises in 2016 and 2019 can be found in the

965 supplementary materials of (Osadchiev et al., 2021).

Author contribution

Phoebe Hudson: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Validation, Formal Analysis, Visualisation, Writing –original draft, review & editing.

Adrien Martin: Supervision, Funding acquisition, Conceptualisation, Analysis, Writing - review & editing.

970 Simon Josey: Supervision, Analysis, Writing – review & editing.
 Alice Marzocchi: Supervision, Analysis, Writing – review & editing.
 Athanasios Angeloudis: Supervision, Analysis, Writing – review & editing.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest, either financial or personal, that may have influenced the work reported here.

975 Acknowledgements

PAH was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) SENSE Centre for Doctoral Training (NE/T00939X/1).

Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed
Field Code Changed

References

980 Anderson, L. G., Jutterström, S., Kaltin, S., Jones, E. P., and Björk, G.: Variability in river runoff distribution in the Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JC001773, 2004.

Are, F. and Reimnitz, E.: An Overview of the Lena RiverLena River Delta Setting: Geology, Tectonics, Geomorphology, and Hydrology on JSTOR, 2000.

Armitage, T. W. K., Bacon, S., and Kwok, R.: Arctic Sea Level and Surface Circulation Response to the Arctic Oscillation, 985 Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 6576–6584, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL078386, 2018.

Arpaia, L., Ferrarin, C., Bajo, M., and Umgiesser, G.: A flexible z-coordinate approach for the accurate representation of free surface flows in a coastal ocean model (SHYFEM v. 7_5_71), Oceanography, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2023-13, 2023.

 Bauch, D., Gröger, M., Dmitrenko, I., Hölemann, J., Kirillov, S., Mackensen, A., Taldenkova, E., and Andersen, N.: Atmospheric controlled freshwater release at the Laptev Sea continental margin, Polar Res., 900 https://doi.org/10.3402/polar.v30i0.5858, 2010.

Bauch, D., Hölemann, J. A., Nikulina, A., Wegner, C., Janout, M. A., Timokhov, L. A., and Kassens, H.: Correlation of river water and local sea-ice melting on the Laptev Sea shelf (Siberian Arctic), J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 118, 550–561, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20076, 2013.

Baumann, T. M., Polyakov, I. V., Pnyushkov, A. V., Rember, R., Ivanov, V. V., Alkire, M. B., Goszczko, I., and Carmack, E.
 C.: On the Seasonal Cycles Observed at the Continental Slope of the Eastern Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 48, 1451–1470, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-17-0163.1, 2018.

Biló, T. C., Straneo, F., Holte, J., and Le Bras, I. a.-A.: Arrival of New Great Salinity Anomaly Weakens Convection in the Irminger Sea, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2022GL098857, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL098857, 2022.

- Charette, M. A., Kipp, L. E., Jensen, L. T., Dabrowski, J. S., Whitmore, L. M., Fitzsimmons, J. N., Williford, T., Ulfsbo, A.,
 Jones, E., Bundy, R. M., Vivancos, S. M., Pahnke, K., John, S. G., Xiang, Y., Hatta, M., Petrova, M. V., Heimbürger-Boavida,
 L.-E., Bauch, D., Newton, R., Pasqualini, A., Agather, A. M., Amon, R. M. W., Anderson, R. F., Andersson, P. S., Benner,
 R., Bowman, K. L., Edwards, R. L., Gdaniec, S., Gerringa, L. J. A., González, A. G., Granskog, M., Haley, B.,
 Hammerschmidt, C. R., Hansell, D. A., Henderson, P. B., Kadko, D. C., Kaiser, K., Laan, P., J., Lamborg, C. H.,
 Levier, M., Li, X., Margolin, A. R., Measures, C., Middag, R., Millero, F. J., Moore, W. S., Paffrath, R., Planquette, H., Rabe,
- 1005 B., Reader, H., Rember, R., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Roy-Barman, M., Rutgers van der Loeff, M., Saito, M., Schauer, U., Schlosser, P., Sherrell, R. M., Shiller, A. M., Slagter, H., Sonke, J. E., Stedmon, C., Woosley, R. J., Valk, O., van Ooijen, J., and Zhang, R.: The Transpolar Drift as a Source of Riverine and Shelf-Derived Trace Elements to the Central Arctic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 125, e2019JC015920, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015920, 2020.
- Dean, K. G., Stringer, W. J., Ahlnas, K., Searcy, C., and Weingartner, T.: The influence of river discharge on the thawing of sea ice, Mackenzie River Delta: albedo and temperature analyses, Polar Res., 13, 83–94, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-8369.1994.tb00439.x, 1994.

Dmitrenko, I., Kirillov, S., Eicken, H., and Markova, N.: Wind-driven summer surface hydrography of the eastern Siberian shelf, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023022, 2005.

Dmitrenko, I. A., Kirillov, S. A., and Tremblay, L. B.: The long-term and interannual variability of summer fresh water storage 1015 over the eastern Siberian shelf: Implication for climatic change, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004304, 2008.

Dong, J., Shi, X., Gong, X., Astakhov, A. S., Hu, L., Liu, X., Yang, G., Wang, Y., Vasilenko, Y., Oiao, S., Bosin, A., and Lohmann, G.: Enhanced Arctic sea ice melting controlled by larger heat discharge of mid-Holocene rivers, Nat. Commun., 13, 5368, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33106-1, 2022.

1020 Dubinina, E. O., Kossova, S. A., Miroshnikov, A. Yu., and Kokryatskaya, N. M.: Isotope (δD, δ18O) systematics in waters of the Russian Arctic seas, Geochem. Int., 55, 1022-1032, https://doi.org/10.1134/S0016702917110052, 2017.

Fofonova, V., Androsov, A., Danilov, S., Janout, M., Sofina, E., and Wiltshire, K.: Semidiurnal tides in the Laptev Sea Shelf zone in the summer season, Cont. Shelf Res., 73, 119-132, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.11.010, 2014.

Fournier, S., Lee, T., Tang, W., Steele, M., and Olmedo, E.: Evaluation and Intercomparison of SMOS, Aquarius, and SMAP 1025 Sea Surface Salinity Products in the Arctic Ocean, Remote Sens., 11, 3043, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11243043, 2019.

Gommenginger, C., Chapron, B., Hogg, A., Buckingham, C., Fox-Kemper, B., Eriksson, L., Soulat, F., Ubelmann, C., Ocampo-Torres, F., Nardelli, B. B., Griffin, D., Lopez-Dekker, F., Knudsen, P., Andersen, O. B., Stenseng, L., Stapleton, N., Perrie, W., Violante-Carvalho, N., Schulz-Stellenfleth, J., Woolf, D., Isern-Fontanet, J., Ardhuin, F., Klein, P. M., Mouche, A., Pascual, A., Capet, X., Hauser, D., Stoffelen, A., Morrow, R. A., Aouf, L., Breivik, Ø., Fu, L. L., Johannessen, J. A.,

- 1030 Aksenov, Y., Bricheno, L., Hirschi, J., Martin, A. C., Martin, A. P., Nurser, G., Polton, J., Wolf, J., Johnsen, H., Soloviev, A., Jacobs, G., Collard, F., Groom, S. B., Kudryavstev, V., Wilkin, J. L., Navarro, V., Babanin, A., Martin, M. J., Siddorn, J., Saulter, A., Rippeth, T., Emery, W., Maximenko, N., Romeiser, R., Graber, H., Alvera-Azcárate, A., Hughes, C., Vandemark, D., da Silva, J., Van Leeuwen, P. J., Naveira-Gabarato, A., Gemmrich, J., Mahadevan, A., Marquez, J., Munro, Y., Doody, S., and Burbidge, G.: SEASTAR: A mission to study ocean submesoscale dynamics and small-scale atmosphere-ocean processes 1035 in coastal, shelf and polar seas, Front. Mar. Sci., 6, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00457, 2019.

Hall, S. B., Subrahmanyam, B., Nyadjro, E. S., and Samuelsen, A.: Surface freshwater fluxes in the arctic and subarctic seas during contrasting years of high and low summer sea ice extent, Remote Sens., 13, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13081570, 2021.

Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara,

- 1040 G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keelev, S., Lalovaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnav, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.-N.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 146, 1999-2049, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
- Hölemann, J. A., Kirillov, S., Klagge, T., Novikhin, A., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Near-bottom water warming in the 1045 Laptev Sea in response to atmospheric and sea-ice conditions in 2007, Polar Res., 30, 6425, https://doi.org/10.3402/polar.v30i0.6425, 2011.

Hordoir, R., Skagseth, Ø., Ingvaldsen, R. B., Sandø, A. B., Löptien, U., Dietze, H., Gierisch, A. M. U., Assmann, K. M., Lundesgaard, Ø., and Lind, S.: Changes in Arctic Stratification and Mixed Layer Depth Cycle: A Modeling Analysis, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 127, e2021JC017270, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017270, 2022.

1050 Horner-Devine, A. R., Hetland, R. D., and MacDonald, D. G.: Mixing and Transport in Coastal River Plumes, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech., 47, 569-594, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-010313-141408, 2015.

IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change): Special Report: The Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate (final draft), IPCC Summ. Policymalers, TBD, TBD, https://www.ipcc.ch/report/srocc/, 2019.

Janout, M., Hölemann, J., Juhls, B., Krumpen, T., Rabe, B., Bauch, D., Wegner, C., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Episodic warming of near-bottom waters under the Arctic sea ice on the central Laptev Sea shelf, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 264–272, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066565, 2016.

Janout, M. A. and Lenn, Y.-D.: Semidiurnal Tides on the Laptev Sea Shelf with Implications for Shear and Vertical Mixing, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 44, 202–219, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-12-0240.1, 2014.

Janout, M. A., Aksenov, Y., Hölemann, J. A., Rabe, B., Schauer, U., Polyakov, I. V., Bacon, S., Coward, A. C., Karcher, M., Lenn, Y.-D., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L.: Kara Sea freshwater transport through Vilkitsky Strait: Variability, forcing, and further pathways toward the western Arctic Ocean from a model and observations: KARA SEA FRESHWATER TRANSPORT, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 120, 4925–4944, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010635, 2015.

Janout, M. A., Ivanov, V., Hölemann, J. A., Horn, M., Kassens, H., Polyakov, I., Rabe, B., and Tippenhauer, S.: Underway CTD measurements during Akademik Tryoshnikov cruise AT2018 to the Arctic Ocean, 1065 https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.902600, 2019.

Janout, M. A., Hölemann, J., Laukert, G., Smirnov, A., Krumpen, T., Bauch, D., and Timokhov, L.: On the Variability of Stratification in the Freshwater-Influenced Laptev Sea Region, Front. Mar. Sci., 7, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.543489, 2020.

Johnson, M. A. and Polyakov, I. V.: The Laptev Sea as a source for recent Arctic Ocean salinity changes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 2017–2020, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL012740, 2001.

- Juhls, B., Stedmon, C. A., Morgenstern, A., Meyer, H., Hölemann, J., Heim, B., Povazhnyi, V., and Overduin, P. P.: Identifying Drivers of Seasonality in Lena RiverLena River Biogeochemistry and Dissolved Organic Matter Fluxes, Front. Environ. Sci., 8, 2020.
- Kraineva, M. V. and Golubeva, E. N.: Formation of Temperature Anomalies in the Laptev Sea (2000–2020 Years), in:
 Processes in GeoMedia—Volume V, edited by: Chaplina, T., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 169–178, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85851-3 19, 2022.

Kubryakov, A., Stanichny, S., and Zatsepin, A.: River plume dynamics in the Kara Sea from altimetry-based lagrangian model, satellite salinity and chlorophyll data, Remote Sens. Environ., 176, 177–187, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.01.020, 2016.

Lee, T., Gille, S., Ardhuin, F., Boland, J., Bourassa, M., Chang, P., Cravatte, S., Farrar, T., Fewings, M., Jacobs, G., Jelenak,
 Z., Lyard, F., May, J., Remy, E., Renault, L., Rodriguez, E., Ubelmann, C., Bôas, B. V., and Wineteer, A.: A satellite mission concept to unravel small-scale ocean dynamics and air-sea interactions: ODYSEA (Ocean Dynamics and Surface Exchange with the Atmosphere), Copernicus Meetings, https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-4875, 2023.

 Lellouche, J.-M., Greiner, E., Romain, B.-B., Gilles, G., Angélique, M., Marie, D., Clément, B., Mathieu, H., Olivier, L. G., Charly, R., Tony, C., Charles-Emmanuel, T., Florent, G., Giovanni, R., Mounir, B., Yann, D., and Pierre-Yves, L. T.: The
 Copernicus Global 1/12° Oceanic and Sea Ice GLORYS12 Reanalysis, Front. Earth Sci., 9, 2021.

Lentz, S. J. and Helfrich, K. R.: Buoyant gravity currents along a sloping bottom in a rotating fluid, J. Fluid Mech., 464, 251–278, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112002008868, 2002.

Liu, Y., Wang, J., Han, G., Lin, X., Yang, G., and Ji, Q.: Spatio-temporal analysis of east greenland polar front, Front. Mar. Sci., 9, 2022.

1090 Martínez, J., Gabarró, C., Turiel, A., González-Gambau, V., Umbert, M., Hoareau, N., González-Haro, C., Olmedo, E., Arias, M., Catany, R., Bertino, L., Raj, R. P., Xie, J., Sabia, R., and Fernández, D.: Improved BEC SMOS Arctic Sea Surface Salinity product v3.1, Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., 1–28, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2021-334, 2021.

Masina, S., Storto, A., Ferry, N., Valdivieso, M., Haines, K., Balmaseda, M., Zuo, H., Drevillon, M., and Parent, L.: An ensemble of eddy-permitting global ocean reanalyses from the MyOcean project, Clim. Dyn., 49, 813–841, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2728-5, 2017.

Melnikov, V. P., Pikinerov, P. V., Gennadinik, V. B., Babushkin, A. G., and Moskovchenko, D. V.: Change in the Hydrological Regime of Siberian Rivers as an Indicator of Changes in Cryological Conditions, Dokl. Earth Sci., 487, 990–994, https://doi.org/10.1134/S1028334X19080270, 2019.

 Merchant, C. J., Embury, O., Bulgin, C. E., Block, T., Corlett, G. K., Fiedler, E., Good, S. A., Mittaz, J., Rayner, N. A., Berry,
 D., Eastwood, S., Taylor, M., Tsushima, Y., Waterfall, A., Wilson, R., and Donlon, C.: Satellite-based time-series of seasurface temperature since 1981 for climate applications, Sci. Data, 6, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0236-x, 2019.

Morison, J., Kwok, R., Peralta-Ferriz, C., Alkire, M., Rigor, I., Andersen, R., and Steele, M.: Changing Arctic Ocean freshwater pathways, Nature, 481, 66–70, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10705, 2012.

Morison, J., Kwok, R., Dickinson, S., Andersen, R., Peralta Ferriz, C., Morison, D., Rigor, I., Dewey, S., and Guthrie, J.: The 1105 Cyclonic Mode of Arctic Ocean Circulation, J. Phys. Oceanogr., https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-20-0190.1, 2021.

Morrow, R., Fu, L.-L., Ardhuin, F., Benkiran, M., Chapron, B., Cosme, E., d'Ovidio, F., Farrar, J. T., Gille, S. T., Lapeyre, G., Le Traon, P.-Y., Pascual, A., Ponte, A., Qiu, B., Rascle, N., Ubelmann, C., Wang, J., and Zaron, E. D.: Global Observations of Fine-Scale Ocean Surface Topography With the Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) Mission, Front. Mar. Sci., 6, 2019.

1110 Nicoli, D., Bellucci, A., Iovino, D., Ruggieri, P., and Gualdi, S.: The impact of the AMV on Eurasian summer hydrological cycle, Sci. Rep., 10, 14444, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-71464-2, 2020.

Nielsen, D. M., Dobrynin, M., Baehr, J., Razumov, S., and Grigoriev, M.: Coastal Erosion Variability at the Southern Laptev Sea Linked to Winter Sea Ice and the Arctic Oscillation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 47, e2019GL086876, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086876, 2020.

1115 Nummelin, A., Ilicak, M., Li, C., and Smedsrud, L. H.: Consequences of future increased Arctic runoff on Arctic Ocean stratification, circulation, and sea ice cover, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 121, 617–637, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011156, 2016.

Ogi, M., Rysgaard, S., and Barber, D. G.: Importance of combined winter and summer Arctic Oscillation (AO) on September sea ice extent, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 034019, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/034019, 2016.

1120 Olmedo, E., Gabarró, C., González-Gambau, V., Martínez, J., Ballabrera-Poy, J., Turiel, A., Portabella, M., Fournier, S., and Lee, T.: Seven Years of SMOS Sea Surface Salinity at High Latitudes: Variability in Arctic and Sub-Arctic Regions, Remote Sens., 10, 1772, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10111772, 2018.

 Osadchiev, A., Frey, D., Spivak, E., Shchuka, S., Tilinina, N., and Semiletov, I.: Structure and Inter-Annual Variability of the Freshened Surface Layer in the Laptev and East-Siberian Seas During Ice-Free Periods, Front. Mar. Sci., 8, 1871, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.735011, 2021. Overland, J. E. and Wang, M.: Large-scale atmospheric circulation changes are associated with the recent loss of Arctic sea ice, Tellus A, 62, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2009.00421.x, 2010.

Paffrath, R., Laukert, G., Bauch, D., Rutgers van der Loeff, M., and Pahnke, K.: Separating individual contributions of major Siberian rivers in the Transpolar Drift of the Arctic Ocean, Sci. Rep., 11, 8216, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86948-y,
2021.

Park, H., Watanabe, E., Kim, Y., Polyakov, I., Oshima, K., Zhang, X., Kimball, J. S., and Yang, D.: Increasing riverine heat influx triggers Arctic sea ice decline and oceanic and atmospheric warming, Sci. Adv., 6, eabc4699, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abc4699, 2020.

Pasternak, A., Drits, A., Arashkevich, E., and Flint, M.: Differential Impact of the Khatanga and Lena (Laptev Sea) Runoff on 1135 the Distribution and Grazing of Zooplankton, Front. Mar. Sci., 9, 2022.

Polyakov, I. and Rember, R.: Conductivity, Temperature, Pressure (CTD) measurements from cast data taken in the Eurasian and Makarov basins, Arctic Ocean, 2018, https://doi.org/10.18739/A2X34MS0V, 2019.

Polyakov, I. V., Beszczynska, A., Carmack, E. C., Dmitrenko, I. A., Fahrbach, E., Frolov, I. E., Gerdes, R., Hansen, E., Holfort, J., Ivanov, V. V., Johnson, M. A., Karcher, M., Kauker, F., Morison, J., Orvik, K. A., Schauer, U., Simmons, H. L., Skagseth,
Ø., Sokolov, V. T., Steele, M., Timokhov, L. A., Walsh, D., and Walsh, J. E.: One more step toward a warmer Arctic, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, 1–4, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023740, 2005.

Polyakova, Y., Kryukova, I., Martynov, F., Novikhin, A., Abramova, E., Kassens, H., and Hoelemann, J.: Community structure and spatial distribution of phytoplankton in relation to hydrography in the Laptev Sea and the East Siberian Sea (autumn 2008), Polar Biol., 44, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-021-02873-w, 2021.

1145 Preußer, A., Ohshima, K. I., Iwamoto, K., Willmes, S., and Heinemann, G.: Retrieval of Wintertime Sea Ice Production in Arctic Polynyas Using Thermal Infrared and Passive Microwave Remote Sensing Data, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 124, 5503– 5528, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC014976, 2019.

Prowse, T., Bring, A., M\aard, J., and Carmack, E.: Arctic freshwater synthesis: Introduction, J. Geophys. Res. G Biogeosciences, 120, 2121–2131, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JG003127, 2015.

1150 Rabe, B., Karcher, M., Kauker, F., Schauer, U., Toole, J. M., Krishfield, R. A., Pisarev, S., Kikuchi, T., and Su, J.: Arctic Ocean basin liquid freshwater storage trend 1992–2012, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 961–968, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058121, 2014.

Reimnitz, E., Dethleff, D., and Nürnberg, D.: Contrasts in Arctic shelf sea-ice regimes and some implications: Beaufort Sea versus Laptev Sea, Mar. Geol., 119, 215–225, https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-3227(94)90182-1, 1994.

1155 Shakhova, N., Semiletov, I., Leifer, I., Sergienko, V., Salyuk, A., Kosmach, D., Chernykh, D., Stubbs, C., Nicolsky, D., Tumskoy, V., and Gustafsson, Ö.: Ebullition and storm-induced methane release from the East Siberian Arctic Shelf, Nat. Geosci., 7, 64–70, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2007, 2014.

 Shiklomanov, A., Déry, S., Tretiakov, M., Yang, D., Magritsky, D., Georgiadi, A., and Tang, W.: River Freshwater Flux to the Arctic Ocean, in: Arctic Hydrology, Permafrost and Ecosystems, edited by: Yang, D. and Kane, D. L., Springer
 International Publishing, Cham, 703–738, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50930-9 24, 2021. Stadnyk, T. A., Tefs, A., Broesky, M., Déry, S. J., Myers, P. G., Ridenour, N. A., Koenig, K., Vonderbank, L., and Gustafsson, D.: Changing freshwater contributions to the Arctic: A 90-year trend analysis (1981–2070), Elem. Sci. Anthr., 9, https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2020.00098, 2021.

Steele, M. and Ermold, W.: Salinity trends on the Siberian shelves, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, 1165 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021302, 2004.

Suess, M., De Witte, E., and Rommen, B.: Earth Explorer 10 Candidate Mission Harmony, in: EUSAR 2022; 14th European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar, EUSAR 2022; 14th European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar, 1–4, 2022.

Supply, A., Boutin, J., Vergely, J.-L., Kolodziejczyk, N., Reverdin, G., Reul, N., and Tarasenko, A.: New insights into SMOS sea surface salinity retrievals in the Arctic Ocean, Remote Sens. Environ., 249, 112027, 1170 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112027, 2020.

Tang, W., Yueh, S., Yang, D., Fore, A., Hayashi, A., Lee, T., Fournier, S., and Holt, B.: The Potential and Challenges of Using Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) Sea Surface Salinity to Monitor Arctic Ocean Freshwater Changes, Remote Sens., 10, 869, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10060869, 2018.

Tarasenko, A., Supply, A., Kusse-Tiuz, N., Ivanov, V., Makhotin, M., Tournadre, J., Chapron, B., Boutin, J., Kolodziejczyk,
 N., and Reverdin, G.: Properties of surface water masses in the Laptev and the East Siberian seas in summer 2018 from in situ and satellite data, Ocean Sci., 17, 221–247, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-17-221-2021, 2021.

Thibodeau, B., Bauch, D., Kassens, H., and Timokhov, L. A.: Interannual variations in river water content and distribution over the Laptev Sea between 2007 and 2011: The Arctic Dipole connection, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 7237–7244, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061814, 2014.

1180 Timokhov, L. A.: Regional characteristics of the Laptev and the East Siberian seas: climate, topography, ice phases, thermohaline regime, circulation, Berichte Zur Polarforsch., 144, 15–31, 1994.

Umbert, M., Gabarro, C., Olmedo, E., Gonçalves-Araujo, R., Guimbard, S., and Martinez, J.: Using Remotely Sensed Sea Surface Salinity and Colored Detrital Matter to Characterize Freshened Surface Layers in the Kara and Laptev Seas during the Ice-Free Season, Remote Sens., https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13193828, 2021.

1185 Wang, P., Huang, Q., Pozdnyakov, S., Liu, S., Ma, N., Wang, T., Zhang, Y., Yu, J., Xie, J., Fu, G., Frolova, N., and Liu, C.: Potential role of permafrost thaw on increasing Siberian river discharge, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 034046, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abe326, 2021.

Wise, A., Harle, J., Bruciaferri, D., O'Dea, E., and Polton, J.: The effect of vertical coordinates on the accuracy of a shelf sea model, Ocean Model., 170, 101935, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2021.101935, 2022.

Yang, D., Kane, D. L., Hinzman, L. D., Zhang, X., Zhang, T., and Ye, H.: Siberian Lena RiverLena River hydrologic regime and recent change, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 107, ACL 14-1-ACL 14-10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002542, 2002.

Zatsepin, A. G., Kremenetskiy, V. V., Kubryakov, A. A., Stanichny, S. V., and Soloviev, D. M.: Propagation and transformation of waters of the surface desalinated layer in the Kara Sea, Oceanology, 55, 450–460, https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001437015040153, 2015.

195 Zhuk, V. R. and Kubryakov, A. A.: Interannual Variability of the Lena RiverLena River Plume Propagation in 1993–2020 during the Ice-Free Period on the Base of Satellite Salinity, Temperature, and Altimetry Measurements, Remote Sens., 13, 4252, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13214252, 2021.