

The revised version of the manuscript involves remarkable improvements contributing to the paper's novel contribution, clarity, and usefulness for the broad society of GMD readers. Shaw et al. have provided thorough and convincing explanations in response to the feedback received from the first draft peer review. Some of the concerns I raised were not directly responded to, and the manuscript still has the capacity for further improvement. Nevertheless, those points are not too severe to baffle the manuscript publication. I think the revised manuscript is okay for publication in its current format.