

Ionospheric Irregularities Reconstruction Using Multi-Source Data Fusion via Deep Learning – Tian et al.

→ [response to the editor and reviewer](#)

NB: In the followings, the comments from the reviewers are written in **black** and our responses in **green**.

Reviewer #1 (Formal Review for Authors):

This is a well written article that provides important insight into the complicated drivers of sporadic-E. The developed model is the best to date at capturing the spatial and temporal variations, thereby providing a significant contribution to the field.

→ We extend our gratitude to the reviewer for the assessment and acknowledge this positive evaluation.

Key Comments

(1) In the beginning of Section 3.2 Results of Reconstructing E-region Morphology, it would be helpful to provide more information on the COSMIC data used to create the model. For example, what CDAAC file-types are you using and what is the time range of data used for analysis?

→ We appreciate the constructive suggestion and have provided a more detailed information about COSMIC data (**Lines 252-259**).

→ We used COSMIC ionospheric S4 scintillation index and auxiliary data (level 1b datasets) from 2008 to 2014 in this study. Each file contains continuous S4 data (one value each second based on 50 Hz internal receiver sampling) from one GPS satellite. The data format called scnLv1 format can be found on the website (<https://cdaac-www.cosmic.ucar.edu/cdaac/cgi-bin/fileFormats.cgi?type=scnLv1>). The file provides s4max (the maximum S4 index based 1-sec), alttp_s4max (altitude of tangent point position at maximum S4 index), lattp_s4max (latitude of tangent point position at maximum S4 index), lontp_s4max (longitude of tangent point position at maximum S4 index), and lcttp_s4max (local time of tangent point position at maximum S4 index). We removed invalid data and collected S4max data at altitudes between 80-130 km. In the formulation of the proposed model, the filtered data's spatiotemporal attributes, including year, month, day, longitude, latitude, and altitude, were employed as input parameters. Approximately 937,592 samples from the dataset between 2008 and 2014 were utilized for SELF-ANN evaluation and analysis.

(2) Throughout the paper, the data is binned and analyzed over a single variable to show trends. For this binning, do you present the average value of the bin or some other parameter such as the maximum? Also, when the data is displayed as a function of a single variable (such as Figure 5), do you average over all of the other parameters? More information on the binning and averaging would be extremely helpful.

→ Thank you for the suggestion. We have added further clarification to the figure descriptions, particularly for those presenting a single variable (**Lines 261, 271-273, 293-294, 313-315**).

→ For the binning of individual variables, we present the average values of the data, rather than the maximum, whether for observations or model-predicted values.

→ More specifically, the following table lists a portion of the raw data, with corresponding predicted values provided by the model for each COSMIC measurement. As these data are not on a regular grid, we have partitioned them into different bins according to individual variables, in order to present a comparison between the model and observation. When analyzing a single variable's distribution, other parameters are treated as averaged.

Altitude (km)	Latitude	Longitude	Local Time	S4max (Observation)	S4max (SELF-ANN)
96.481003	4.990000	140.457001	9.632000	0.495	0.499189
98.782997	-22.993000	155.822998	11.032000	0.606	0.592083
90.579002	10.438000	-75.638000	20.318001	0.151	0.151524
104.514999	-48.216000	-51.139000	21.525000	0.538	0.522375
105.690002	-19.634001	-66.695000	20.577999	0.303	0.306369
...
99.264999	-71.334000	-42.504002	16.403000	0.483	0.464988
92.075996	-29.573000	16.091000	20.561001	0.114	0.103630
98.010002	-77.949997	-21.507999	18.046000	0.055	0.066798
110.815002	63.476002	-171.796005	8.944000	0.083	0.102691
98.644997	-47.664001	-159.522995	10.063000	1.761	1.758963

→ For example, in Fig. 5a, data are binned according to specific local time intervals and altitude intervals (each uniformly divided into 100 bins). Each bin corresponds to the average value of all S4max values within that bin. Figure 5e undergoes the same processing, but the data are sourced from the SELF-ANN model. Figure 5i depicts a line plot derived by averaging the grid point data from both Fig. 5a and Fig. 5e along the local time. Moreover, to facilitate visualization, the intervals for altitude, local time, and geomagnetic latitude are respectively set at 2 km, 1 hour, and 4 degrees in the Fig. 6. These clarifications have been incorporated into the revised manuscript.

Minor Comments

(1) Line 78: Please define ECMWF here.

→ Corrected (**Lines 87-91**).

(2) It would be helpful to add commas in the large numbers such as in 937592 in Line 124.

→ Corrected throughout the manuscript (**Lines 135-140, 224-228, 258-259, 422-425**).

(3) Line 126: We => we.

→ Thanks for your careful review. Corrected (**Line 141-142**).

(4) Line 131: It's unclear what you mean by "The principle of RF is done".

→ Corrected (**Lines 146-148**).

→ The purpose of this statement is that the model strategy of RF (Random Forest algorithm) is CART (Classification and Regression Tree), and it will be performed to completion following this strategy. We have made the necessary corrections.

(5) Lines 234-235: I suggest replacing "missing of Es" with "lack of Es".

→ Thanks for your suggestion. Corrected (**Lines 266-270**).

(6) Line 245: Is this supposed to be a winter to summer meridional flow?

→ Thanks for pointing out this typo. The meridional circulation is indeed winter to summer in the lower thermosphere. Corrected (**Lines 280-282**).

(7) Figure 3: It's tough to see the variation from this color scheme because of the few high latitude patches with a large

S4max...perhaps you could change the color bar so the peak S4max color is lower, which would help to show more of the mid-latitude patterns.

→ Thanks for your suggestion. The caption and description of Fig. 3 have been modified (**Fig. 3; Lines 263; Captions Fig. 3**).

→ To enhance contrast, we modified the color scheme and the display range of the color bar. Concurrently, shadows on the boxes were removed and replaced with numerical identifiers, as shown below. Original image on the left, modified image on the right.

