Photoenhanced sulfates formation by the heterogeneous uptake of SO$_2$ on non-photoactive mineral dust
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Short summary. We provide direct evidences that light prominently enhances the conversion of SO$_2$ to sulfates on non-photoactive mineral dust, where $^3$SO$_2$ can act as a pivotal trigger to generate sulfates. Photochemical sulfate formation depends on H$_2$O, O$_2$, and basicity of mineral dust. It is suggested that the SO$_2$ photochemistry on non-photoactive mineral dust significantly contributes to sulfates, highlighting previously unknown pathway to better explain the missing sources of atmospheric sulfates.

Abstract. Heterogeneous uptake of SO$_2$ on mineral dust is a predominant formation pathway of sulfates, whereas the contribution of photo-induced SO$_2$ oxidation to sulfates on the dust interfaces still remains unclear. Here, we investigated heterogeneous photochemical reactions of SO$_2$ on five mineral oxides (SiO$_2$, kaolinite, Al$_2$O$_3$, MgO, and CaO) without photocatalytic activity. Light significantly enhanced the uptake of SO$_2$, and its enhancement effects negatively depended on the basicity of mineral oxides. The initial uptake coefficient ($\gamma_{0,BET}$) and the steady-state uptake coefficient ($\gamma_{s,BET}$) of SO$_2$ positively relied on light intensity, relative humidity (RH) and O$_2$ content, while they exhibited a negative relationship with the initial SO$_2$ concentration. Rapid sulfate formation during photo-induced heterogeneous reactions of SO$_2$ with all mineral oxides was confirmed to be ubiquitous, and H$_2$O and O$_2$ played the key roles in the conversion of SO$_2$ to sulfates. Specially, $^3$SO$_2$ was suggested to be the trigger for photochemical sulfate formation. Atmospheric implications supported a potential contribution of interfacial SO$_2$ photochemistry on non-photoactive mineral dust to atmospheric sulfate sources.
1 Introduction

As an important trace gas in the atmosphere, SO₂ is mainly emitted by volcanic eruption and fuel combustion. There is an uneven distribution of atmospheric SO₂ concentrations that show a distinctive seasonal and regional differentiation. Typical ratios of SO₂ in the troposphere are below 0.5 ppb for a clean weather in remote areas, rising to around several hundred ppb during the polluted days in urban regions (Ma et al., 2020). About half of SO₂ is oxidized to sulfates (He et al., 2012), which is one of the most significant compositions in fine particles. Sulfates can contribute greatly to the mass concentration of PM₂.₅, with the mass of sulfates high up to 30% (Shao et al., 2019), especially in polluted regions where high-sulfur fuels are usually used (Olson et al., 2021). They significantly alter physicochemical properties of aerosols in terms of hygroscopicity, acidity and light absorption property (Chan and Chan, 2003; Cao et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2018). Sulfates also pose a human health risk through causing respiratory illness and cardiovascular (Shiraiwa et al., 2017). In addition, the deposition of sulfates leads to adverse effects on ecosystems via the acidification of soils and lakes (Golobokova et al., 2020). Therefore, the oxidation of SO₂ to form sulfates has attracted widespread attentions in the past decades.

The conversion of SO₂ to sulfates in the atmosphere usually occur by three ways: gas-phase oxidation of SO₂ by hydroxyl radicals (∙OH) or Criegee intermediate radicals (Mauldin et al., 2012; Davis et al., 1979); aqueous-phase reaction of SO₂ with O₃, H₂O₂ or transition metal ions dissolved in cloud and fog droplets (Alexander et al., 2009; Herrmann et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2020a; Li et al., 2020); and heterogeneous SO₂ uptake on aerosols including authentic mineral dust, soot, inorganic ion and organic compounds (Adams et al., 2005; He et al., 2018a; Zhang et al., 2020a; Liu et al., 2020b). However, the oxidation of SO₂ in gas and aqueous phases fails to explain high sulfate concentrations under polluted conditions. Model simulation suggests
that the rapid sulfate formation can be attributed to the heterogeneous SO$_2$ uptake (Li et al., 2017). A positive relationship between the fraction of sulfates and mineral dust in haze days has been reported, implying that mineral dust may account for the formation of sulfates (Wang et al., 2020a). Moreover, a large amount of sulfates was observed to be formed on the surface of mineral dust after long-distance transport (Prospero, 1999). Thus, investigating the heterogeneous oxidation of SO$_2$ on mineral dust is of fundamental importance to reveal large missing sources of atmospheric sulfates in the haze periods.

Mineral dust, regarded as the dominant component of particulate matters in the atmosphere, accounts for about 30%–60% mass fractions of global aerosols (Dentener et al., 1996; Peng et al., 2012). It primarily contains SiO$_2$ (40%–80%), followed by Al$_2$O$_3$ (10%–15%), Fe$_2$O$_3$ (6%–13%), CaO (3%–10%), MgO (1%–7%) and TiO$_2$ (0.1%–5%) (Urupina et al., 2021; Urupina et al., 2019; Usher et al., 2003). Mineral dust can provide active sites for adsorption and reaction of gases. Up to now, the heterogeneous SO$_2$ uptake on authentic mineral aerosols and model mineral oxides has been widely reported (Ma et al., 2019; Goodman et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020b), with various uptake coefficients ($\gamma$) of SO$_2$ varying from $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-4}$ (Urupina et al., 2019; Usher et al., 2002).

It was recognized that light could significantly enhance heterogeneous conversion of SO$_2$ to sulfates on the surface of photocatalytic mineral dust (Chen et al., 2021; Li et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020b). Electron-hole pairs are produced via photo-induced electrons from the valence band to the conduction band of photocatalytic metal oxides, and then react with H$_2$O and O$_2$ to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as •OH and •O$_2$ (Chu et al., 2019). Sulfates are produced by the heterogeneous reactions of SO$_2$ and ROS (Park and Jang, 2016; Park et al., 2017; Langhammer et al., 2020; Bounechada et al., 2017). In particular, due to the large abundance of non-photoactive mineral dust (more than 85% mass of total mineral dust in the atmosphere) (Usher et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2022), revealing the photooxidation processes of SO$_2$ on these mineral dust is of great importance to better reevaluate the sulfate formation on aerosols in the global scale.

Hence, photochemical SO$_2$ uptake and sulfate formation on non-photoactive mineral oxides...
were firstly investigated using a flow reactor and an *in situ* diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS). The SO$_2$ conversion to sulfates was examined under various conditions, and the roles of light intensity, SO$_2$ concentration, H$_2$O, O$_2$ and basicity of mineral oxides were determined. Reaction mechanisms and atmospheric implications were proposed, highlighting a new and important pathway accounting for photochemical uptake of SO$_2$ to form sulfates on the non-photoactive surfaces.

### 2 Experimental methods

#### 2.1 Materials.

Analytical grade SiO$_2$ (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.), kaolinite (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.), Al$_2$O$_3$ (Alfa Aesar), MgO (Sigma-Aldrich), and CaO (Sigma-Aldrich) were used in the experiments. Through the nitrogen Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) physisorption analysis, their specific surface areas were detected to be 0.419, 6.407, 8.137, 10.948 and 6.944 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, respectively. The ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) light absorption spectra of samples in the wavelength range of 300–800 nm were obtained by the Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrophotometer, as shown in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information. The solid powder (0.2–5 g) was uniformly dispersed into 10.0 mL ethanol solution. The mixed liquid was poured into a rectangle quartz sample dish (14.0 cm × 7.0 cm) and dried to form a solid coating in an oven at 353 K for 10 h. SO$_2$ standard gas (50 ppm in N$_2$, Shenyang Air Liquide Co., LTD) and high purity N$_2$ and O$_2$ (99.999 vol.%, Shenyang Air Liquide Co., LTD) were used as received. The solid sample powder (0.2 g) was immersed into 10 ml deionized water (20 mg ml$^{-1}$), and then the suspension was vigorously stirred for 10 min. The pH of SiO$_2$, kaolinite, Al$_2$O$_3$, MgO and CaO suspension was measured to be 6.27, 6.58, 9.33, 10.61 and 12.72 using a pH meter, respectively, which was employed to characterize the basicity of mineral oxides.

#### 2.2 Rectangular flow reactor.

The uptake experiments of SO$_2$ on mineral dust were performed in a horizontal rectangular flow reactor (26.0 cm length × 7.5 cm width × 2.0 cm height), which was depicted in Figure S2. The reactor was made of quartz to allow the transmission of light. The temperature was
maintained at 298 K by circulating temperature-controlled water through the outer jacket of the reactor. Synthetic air with a N₂/O₂ volume ratio of 4:1 was introduced into the flow reactor, and its total flow rate was 1000 mL min⁻¹. The Reynolds number (Re) was calculated to be 14.42 (Re < 200), indicating a laminar flow state. SO₂ with high purity N₂ (100 mL min⁻¹) as carrier gas were introduced into the reactor through a movable T-shaped injector equipped with six exit holes of 0.2 mm diameter, so that the gas could be uniformly distributed over the width of the reactor. The SO₂ concentration was 40–200 ppb and measured with a SO₂ analyzer (Thermo 43i). Wet N₂ generated with a bubbler containing deionized water was introduced by two parallel inlets on the side of T-shaped injector. Relative humidity (RH, 10%–75%) was controlled by regulating the ratio of dry N₂ to wet N₂ and measured via a hygrometer (Center 314). The equivalent layer numbers of water on surface was 0.9–4.0 according to the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) model (Sumner et al., 2004), and the thickness of the film of adsorbed water varied between 2.7–12 nm at RH=10%–75%. There were three equally spaced exhaust ports to mitigate the outlet turbulence. A xenon lamp (CEL-LAX500, China Education Au-light Co., Ltd) was used to simulate sunlight and vertically located above the reactor. A filter was placed on the reactor to remove the light with wavelengths shorter than 300 nm. The spectrum irradiance of the xenon lamp was displayed in Figure S3 and measured using a calibrated spectroradiometer (ULS2048CL-EVO, Avantes). The spectral irradiance was measured inside the reactor, after passing the water cooling and in the absence of a sample. The total irradiance (0–7.93 × 10¹⁶ photons cm⁻² s⁻¹) on the coating can be adjusted by varying the distance of the xenon lamp to the reactor.

2.3 Uptake coefficient of SO₂.

The heterogeneous reaction kinetics of SO₂ with mineral dust can be described by a pseudo-first-order reaction. SiO₂ was taken as an example, and Figure S4 showed a linear relationship between the natural logarithm of the SO₂ concentration and the reaction time. The apparent rate constant (k_{obs, SiO₂}) of SO₂ with SiO₂ can be calculated using the equation 1,

\[ \frac{\ln \left( \frac{C_0}{C_t} \right)}{t} = k_{obs, SiO₂} \]  (1)

where C₀ and C_t (ppb) are the initial SO₂ concentration and the SO₂ concentration at the
reaction time \( t \), respectively. The loss of \( \text{SO}_2 \) on the internal wall of the reactor occurred in blank experiments (Figure S5), which should be deducted for the \( \gamma \) calculation. Assuming that the wall loss was constant in the experiments with and without samples, the geometric uptake coefficient (\( \gamma_{\text{geo}} \)) was determined by the equation 2 (Knopf et al., 2007),

\[
\gamma_{\text{geo}} = \frac{4V(k_{\text{obs, SiO}_2} - k_{\text{obs, wall}})}{S_\text{O}}
\]

(2)

where \( k_{\text{obs, SiO}_2} \) and \( k_{\text{obs, wall}} \) are the apparent rate constants measured with and without \( \text{SiO}_2 \) samples, respectively; \( V \) (m\(^3\)), \( S \) (m\(^2\)) and \( \omega \) (m s\(^{-1}\)) are the volume of the rectangular reactor, the surface area of the sample dish, and the mean molecular speed of \( \text{SO}_2 \), respectively.

The uptake process of \( \text{SO}_2 \) on \( \text{SiO}_2 \) depended on the reaction of \( \text{SO}_2 \) with \( \text{SiO}_2 \) and the mass transport of \( \text{SO}_2 \) to the surface. It can be expressed with the equation 3,

\[
k'_{\text{r, SiO}_2} = \left[ \frac{1}{k_{\text{r, SiO}_2}} - \frac{a}{N_u D} \right]^{-1}
\]

(3)

where \( k_{\text{r, SiO}_2} = k_{\text{obs, SiO}_2} - k_{\text{obs, wall}} \); \( k'_{\text{r, SiO}_2} \) is the reaction rate constant of \( \text{SO}_2 \) accounting for the diffusion effect; \( D \) (cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) is the diffusion coefficient of \( \text{SO}_2 \) in air; \( a \) (cm) is one half height of the flow reactor; \( N_u \) is the Nusselt numbers obtained with a calculation method from Solbrig and Gidaspow (Solbrig and Gidaspow, 1967), which represents the mass transport. Then, the corrected \( \gamma \) can be calculated by inserting the equation 3 into the equation 2. In our experiments, the correction for \( \gamma \) was estimated to be approximate 10%. Initial uptake coefficients (\( \gamma_0 \)) and steady-state uptake coefficients (\( \gamma_s \)) were calculated by averaging the signals within the 1.0 and 40–60 min reaction time, respectively.

To understand the diffusion depth of \( \text{SO}_2 \) and determine the interaction of \( \text{SO}_2 \) with the underlying layers of \( \text{SiO}_2 \), the uptake of \( \text{SO}_2 \) as a function of the \( \text{SiO}_2 \) mass under irradiation was shown in Figure S6. The \( \gamma \) exhibited a linear increase in the \( \text{SiO}_2 \) mass range of 0.05–2.0 g, while it remained unchanged at the \( \text{SiO}_2 \) mass > 3.0 g. Therefore, the uptake coefficient of \( \text{SO}_2 \) in the linear regions was normalized using the BET surface area of \( \text{SiO}_2 \) by the equation 4 (Brunauer et al., 1938),

\[
\gamma_{\text{BET}} = \frac{S_{\text{BET}} \gamma_{\text{geo}}}{S_{\text{BET}} - \rho_{\text{SiO}_2} \omega}
\]

(4)
where $\gamma_{BET}$ is the SO$_2$ uptake coefficient normalized to the BET surface area; $S_{geo}$ (m$^2$) is the geometric area of the sample dish; $S_{BET}$ (m$^2$) is the BET surface area of SiO$_2$; $m_{SiO_2}$ (g) is the SiO$_2$ mass. The same method was also used to calculate the uptake coefficients of SO$_2$ on other mineral oxides.

2.4 In Situ DRIFTS analysis.

The changes in the chemical compositions on mineral oxides in the SO$_2$ uptake process were investigated by in situ diffuse reflectance Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (DRIFTS), which was recorded using the Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Thermo Nicolet iS50) equipped with a mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) detector. About 14 mg mineral oxides was placed into a stainless-steel cup inside the reaction cell. To remove adsorbed impurities, SiO$_2$ was purged with a 150 mL min$^{-1}$ airflow ($N_2/O_2$ volume ratio = 4:1) at RH=40% for 1 h. Then, a background spectrum of unreacted samples was collected. SO$_2$ (2 ppm) was introduced into the reaction cell, and the IR spectra was recorded as a function of time at a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$ by averaging 100 scans. The light from the xenon lamp (500 W) with a total irradiance of $3.22 \times 10^{16}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ was transmitted into the DRIFTS reaction cell via a fiber.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Photo-enhanced uptake of SO$_2$.

Acting as the most abundant mineral oxides, SiO$_2$ was firstly used to investigate the uptake behaviors of SO$_2$. As shown in Figure 1A, when SO$_2$ (80 ppb) was exposed to SiO$_2$ in the dark, the SO$_2$ concentration decreased to 70 ppb, and then it quickly increased and reached the steady state after 20 min. Upon exposure to SiO$_2$ under irradiation, the SO$_2$ concentration exhibited a greater drop than that in the dark. The deactivation of SO$_2$ uptake on SiO$_2$ was very slight after 20 mins under irradiation. These suggest that light can significantly promote the heterogeneous reaction of SO$_2$ on SiO$_2$. When SO$_2$ didn’t contact with SiO$_2$, its concentration recovered rapidly. The desorption of SO$_2$ was observed when SO$_2$ was isolated from SiO$_2$ in the dark and under irradiation, indicating that the physical adsorption partially contributed to the SO$_2$ loss during the photochemical process.
The uptake coefficients of SO$_2$ on SiO$_2$ as a function of irradiation intensity were shown in Figure 1B. The errors in all figures are the standard deviations of three repetitive experiments. Both $\gamma_{0,\text{BET}}$ and $\gamma_{s,\text{BET}}$ displayed a well linear relationship with the irradiation intensity, further confirming the photochemical nature for the reactions of SO$_2$ on SiO$_2$. In particular, $\gamma_{0,\text{BET}}$ and $\gamma_{s,\text{BET}}$ on SiO$_2$ under simulated solar irradiation was comparable with those ($10^{-7}$–$10^{-6}$) on Gobi Desert dust (GDD) and Arizona Test Dust (ATD) under UV irradiation, which contained photocatalytic metal oxides (Park et al., 2017). As for the SO$_2$ uptake on TiO$_2$, $\gamma_{0,\text{BET}}$ and $\gamma_{s,\text{BET}}$ were measured to be $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-7}$, respectively, by using the flow tube (Ma et al., 2019), which were similar to our results. Usher et al. (2022) reported a larger $\gamma_{\text{BET}}$ ($10^{-4}$) on TiO$_2$ using a Knudsen cell reactor. This difference should be ascribed to the variation in the pressure of Knudsen cell (high vacuum) and flow tube reactor (ambient pressure).

Figure 1C shows the evolution of $\gamma_{0,\text{BET}}$ and $\gamma_{s,\text{BET}}$ at different SO$_2$ concentrations under irradiation. An inverse dependence of $\gamma_{0,\text{BET}}$ and $\gamma_{s,\text{BET}}$ on the SO$_2$ concentration was observed, meaning that both initial and steady-state uptake reactions were lower efficient at higher SO$_2$ concentrations. The uptake of gases on the solid surfaces usually follows the Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) mechanism (Ammann et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2020b), suggesting that gaseous molecules are quickly absorbed on the surfaces, and then the reactions occur among the absorbed species. Assuming that the adsorption of SO$_2$ on SiO$_2$ is in accord with the Langmuir isotherm, the dependence of $\gamma$ on the SO$_2$ concentration can be described by the equation 5 (Zhang et al., 2020b),

$$\gamma = \frac{(4f/So)k[SiO_2]_T K_{SO_2}}{1 + K_{SO_2}[SO_2]_g}$$

(5)

where $[SO_2]_g$ is the concentration of gaseous SO$_2$; $[SiO_2]_T$ is the total number of active sites on SiO$_2$; $k$ is the reaction rate constant of SO$_2$ absorbed on SiO$_2$; $K_{SO_2}$ represents the Langmuir adsorption constant of SO$_2$. Because the SiO$_2$ mass remained constant during the reaction, the equation 5 can be written as the equation 6,
\[ \gamma = \frac{a}{1 + k_{SO_2}[SO_2]_g} \] (6)

where \( a = (4V/S_0)k([SiO_2]K_{SO_2}) \). As shown in Figure 1C, the equation 6 can well describe the correlation of the SO2 uptake coefficient with the SO2 concentration, suggesting that the L-H mechanism can explain the influence of the SO2 concentration on \( \gamma_{0,\,BET} \) and \( \gamma_{s,\,BET} \).

Figure 1. (A) The temporal variation of the SO2 concentration on SiO2 in the dark and under irradiation (250 W m\(^{-2}\)); The background changes of the SO2 concentration in the blank reactor have been deducted. (B) The \( \gamma_{0,\,BET} \) and \( \gamma_{s,\,BET} \) of SO2 on SiO2 as a function of the light intensity. (C) The \( \gamma_{0,\,BET} \) and \( \gamma_{s,\,BET} \) of SO2 on SiO2 at different SO2 concentrations under irradiation (250 W m\(^{-2}\)); The fitting lines for \( \gamma_{0,\,BET} \) and \( \gamma_{s,\,BET} \) were based on the Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism using the equation 6. Reaction conditions: SiO2 mass of 0.2 g, temperature of 298 K, RH of 40% and O2 content of 20%.

3.2 Photo-induced formation of sulfates by the SO2 uptake.

To investigate the products formed on SiO2, \textit{in situ} DRIFTS spectra were recorded, as shown in Figure 2. The band at 1359 cm\(^{-1}\) was assigned to physically-adsorbed SO2 on SiO2(Urupina et al., 2019). The bidentate sulfate and bisulfate contributed to the bands at 1260 and 1229 cm\(^{-1}\)(Urupina et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2020), respectively. The bands at 1074 and 1038 cm\(^{-1}\) may be related to the monodentate sulfite(Yang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). It was noted that the intensity of physically-absorbed SO2 (1359 cm\(^{-1}\)) under irradiation was lower than that in the dark (Figure S7), which may be ascribed to further conversion of SO2 absorbed on SiO2 under irradiation. Especially, the sulfate bands (1260 and 1229 cm\(^{-1}\)) appeared under irradiation,
while they were not observed in the dark. This clearly confirms the crucial role of light in the heterogeneous conversion of SO₂ to sulfates on SiO₂. The bands of sulfites (1038 and 974 cm⁻¹) under dark and irradiation conditions became more apparent with the reaction time, suggesting the continuous formation of sulfites.

![Figure 2](https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1273)

**Figure 2.** In situ DRIFTS spectra of SiO₂ during the uptake process of SO₂ (2 ppm) in the dark (A) and under irradiation (B). Reaction conditions: RH of 40%, temperature of 298 K and O₂ content of 20%.

### 3.3 Key roles of H₂O and O₂ in photochemical conversion of SO₂ to sulfates.

Figure S8A shows temporal variations of the SO₂ concentration in the reaction with SiO₂ at RH=10% and 60% under irradiation. The uptake of SO₂ was very weak at RH=10%, whereas it was obvious at RH=60%. Moreover, H₂O markedly prolonged the time to reach the steady-state uptake of SO₂. This definitely determines that H₂O plays a distinct enhancement role in the photochemical uptake of SO₂. As shown in Figure 3A, γ₀, BET had a continuous increase from (1.20 ± 0.04) × 10⁻⁷ to (1.54 ± 0.07) × 10⁻⁶ with increasing the RH in the 10%–60% range, but it decreased to (1.05 ± 0.09) × 10⁻⁶ at RH=75%. The γₙ, BET linearly depended on the RH, and linear fitting to γₙ, BET versus RH yielded the equation γₙ, BET = 1.31×10⁻⁸×RH−1.02×10⁻⁷. Adsorbed H₂O promoted the hydration and dissociation of SO₂ (Huang et al., 2015), and it may...
generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as •OH or HO2 radicals to oxidize SO2 under
irradiation (Li et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2019), which would lead to positive effects of RH on the
SO2 uptake. Adsorbed H2O also occupied adsorptive and active sites on the surface, and
produced the competition with SO2. When this competitive role was dominated, the uptake of
SO2 would be hindered.

The DRIFTS spectra of SiO2 during the SO2 uptake at different RHs are shown in Figure
S9A. The band intensities of sulfates (1260 and 1229 cm⁻¹) at RH=60% were greatly stronger
than those at RH=10%, suggesting that H2O significantly promotes the sulfate formation. To
further investigate the influence of H2O on the sulfate formation, the integrated area of sulfates
in the DRIFTS spectra (1289–1202 cm⁻¹) as a function of the time at different RHs is illustrated
in Figure 3B. Sulfates exhibited a fast formation in the initial 30 min at any RH, and then they
were continuously generated at a relatively slow rate. Absorptive sites for SO2 can be blocked
because of the accumulation of H2O and products (sulfites and sulfates), resulting in the gradual
deactivation of the surface. It was noted that sulfates had a more distinct formation trend with
increasing the RH, revealing that H2O can act as an important participator in the production of
sulfates by the photochemical uptake of SO2 on SiO2.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)

**Figure 3.** (A) The dependence of \( \gamma_{0, \text{BET}} \) and \( \gamma_{s, \text{BET}} \) on RH. (B) Integrated area of sulfates in
*in situ* DRIFTS spectra (1289–1202 cm⁻¹) as a function of time. (C) The dependence of
\( \gamma_{0, \text{BET}} \) and \( \gamma_{s, \text{BET}} \) on O2. Reaction conditions: SiO2 mass of 0.2 g, irradiation intensity of 250
W m⁻², temperature of 298 K, O2 content of 20% for (A) and RH of 40% for (B).

Figure S8B displays effects of O2 on the photochemical uptake of SO2 on SiO2. Negligible
SO2 uptake occurred in N2, while there was a significant decrease in the SO2 concentration in
The $\gamma_{0, \text{BET}}$ greatly increased from $(1.37 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-7}$ in N$_2$ to $(1.19 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-6}$ in 20% O$_2$ (Figure 3C), confirming that O$_2$ was involved in the photochemical reaction of SO$_2$ on SiO$_2$.

The $\gamma_{s, \text{BET}}$ displayed different dependence behaviors on O$_2$. It exhibited an increase from $(7.10 \pm 2.85) \times 10^{-8}$ in N$_2$ to $(4.37 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{-7}$ in 15% O$_2$, whereas it remained unchanged in 20% O$_2$.

DRIFTS spectra of SiO$_2$ during the SO$_2$ uptake in N$_2$ and air was compared in Figure S9B. In both air and N$_2$, the bands of absorbed SO$_2$ (1359 cm$^{-1}$), sulfates (1260 and 1229 cm$^{-1}$) and sulfites (1074 cm$^{-1}$) appeared. Nevertheless, their intensities in N$_2$ were weaker than those in air. According to the integrated area of sulfates in the DRIFTS spectra (1289–1202 cm$^{-1}$) as a function of time, the formation trends of sulfates were similar in N$_2$ and air (Figure 3B), while the sulfate formation rate in N$_2$ was obviously lower than that in air, meaning that O$_2$ enhanced the sulfate production. It was reported that the production rate of sulfates from the SO$_2$ uptake on TiO$_2$ and by the photolysis of nitrates under UV irradiation in N$_2$ was also smaller than that in air (Ma et al., 2019; Gen et al., 2019b). In addition, it was noted that sulfates can be generated in N$_2$, meaning that O$_2$ was not necessary and some pathways contributed to sulfates without O$_2$.

### 3.4 Ubiquitously photoenhanced conversion of SO$_2$ to sulfates.

To better assess the potential for photochemical conversion of SO$_2$ to sulfates, the SO$_2$ uptake experiments were further performed for typical mineral oxides without photocatalytic activity. As displayed in Figure S10, more obvious uptake behaviors of SO$_2$ on kaolinite, Al$_2$O$_3$, MgO and CaO were observed under irradiation when compared to those in the dark. Figure 4A shows that there was the largest $\gamma_{s, \text{BET}}$ for CaO among five minerals, and $\gamma_{s, \text{BET}}$ positively depended on the basicity (pH) of mineral oxides. Basic oxides generally contains more surface hydroxyls, which is in favor of sulfite and sulfate formation to enhance the heterogeneous uptake of SO$_2$ (Zhang et al., 2006). Moreover, the ratios of steady-state uptake coefficients under irradiation to those in the dark ($\gamma_{s, \text{Light}}/\gamma_{s, \text{Dark}}$) were larger than 1.0 for all mineral oxides.
(Figure 4B), determining a general enhancement role of light in the SO$_2$ uptake. However, the \( \gamma_{\text{Light}}/\gamma_{\text{Dark}} \) had smaller values with an increase in the basicity, suggesting that the promotion effect of the light was less remarkable for basic oxides.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4.** (A) The dependence of \( \gamma_{s,\text{BET}} \) under irradiation on the basicity (pH) of mineral oxides. (B) The ratios of steady-state uptake coefficients under irradiation to those in the dark \( (\gamma_{s,\text{Light}}/\gamma_{s,\text{Dark}}) \) for different mineral oxides. Reaction conditions: mineral oxides mass of 0.2 g, irradiation intensity of 250 W m$^{-2}$, temperature of 298 K, RH of 40% and O$_2$ content of 20%.

As shown in Figure 5A and B, no formation of sulfates was found for kaolinite in the dark, while bisulfates (1220 cm$^{-1}$) were observed under irradiation. Compared to weaker peaks of sulfates (1200 and 1260 cm$^{-1}$) for Al$_2$O$_3$ in the dark (Figure 5C), a stronger band of bisulfates appeared at 1220 cm$^{-1}$ under irradiation (Figure 5D). By contrast to the generation of sulfates for kaolinite and Al$_2$O$_3$, both sulfites and sulfates formations were observed for MgO and CaO (Figure 5E-H). Sulfites were dominant in the dark, as shown by the peaks at 966 and 1020 cm$^{-1}$ for MgO and 943 cm$^{-1}$ for CaO, whereas the sulfate formation was significantly enhanced under irradiation according to peak intensities at 1163 cm$^{-1}$ for MgO and 1137 cm$^{-1}$ for CaO. It should be noted that these mineral oxides were non-photoactive because of their poor light absorption property (Figure S1). Nevertheless, it was very surprised that the light can greatly promote the formation of sulfates via the SO$_2$ uptake process on mineral oxides without
photocatalytic activity, which was strongly suggested to be a new and important finding for atmospheric sulfate sources.

Figure 5. In situ DRIFTS spectra of kaolinite (A and B), Al₂O₃ (C and D), MgO (E and F), CaO (G and H) during the uptake process of SO₂ (2 ppm) for 600 min in the dark (black lines) and under irradiation (colorful lines). Reaction conditions: RH of 40%, temperature of 298 K and O₂ content of 20%.

3.5 Conversion mechanisms of SO₂ to sulfates.

Heterogeneous photochemical reaction mechanisms of SO₂ on non-photoactive mineral dust were proposed in light of experimental observations (Figure 6). Gaseous SO₂ was adsorbed on the surface (R1), and then reacted with H₂O to form sulfites (R2). Under irradiation, adsorbed SO₂ accepted photons to form its singlet states (³SO₂) and triplet states (³SO₂) (R3–5) (Sidebottom et al., 1972; Martins-Costa et al., 2018). The reaction between ³SO₂ and H₂O resulted in the formation of HOSO⁻ and •OH (R6), which can combine with SO₂ to produce HOSO₂⁻ (R7). HOSO⁻ and HOSO₂⁻ can be transformed into SO₃, which reacted with H₂O to drove the sulfate formation (R8 and R9). The interaction between ³SO₂ and O₂ may also generate SO₃ directly, which would be converted to sulfates subsequently (R10). Theoretical calculations suggested that the multistep reactions between ³SO₂ with H₂O and O₂ had small energy barriers or were barrier-free (Gong et al., 2022), which could enhance the generation of
ROS and the transformation of S(IV) to S(VI). As displayed by R11 and R12, SO₂ and H₂SO₃ adsorbed on the surface may be oxidized to form sulfates via the reactions with ROS including •O₂, •OH or HO₂•, which were produced in R6 and R8-10. In addition, gaseous SO₂ could be dissolved into adsorbed H₂O to generate bisulfites, which would be finally converted to sulfates by ROS (R13) (Urupina et al., 2019). As displayed in Figure S11A, the IR peaks of sulfates were not observed when tris (2,2'-bipyridine) ruthenium dihydrochloride (Ru(bpy)₃(Cl)₂) was employed as the quencher of ³SO₂ (Bulgakov and Safonova, 1996). The peaks were assigned to the vibrations of excited Ru(bpy)₃(Cl)₂ (Mukuta et al., 2014). This definitely proves that ³SO₂ is the key trigger for the sulfate formation. NaHCO₃ can be used as an efficient •OH scavenger to determine the role of •OH deriving from the ³SO₂ reactions (Gen et al., 2019a). Figure S11B shows that the peaks of sulfates were obviously weaker in the presence of NaHCO₃, confirming the dominant contribution of •OH formed in R6 and R9 to the formation of sulfates.

Several photochemical mechanisms have been reported to explain the sulfate formation via the SO₂ uptake on various surfaces. Photoactive mineral oxides (such as TiO₂, F₂O₃ and ZnO) can accept photons to produce electron-hole pairs, which generated ROS for the conversion of SO₂ to sulfates (Ma et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020b). For example, •OH and HO₂•, generated from the reaction of hole with H₂O and electron with O₂, respectively, can act as oxidizing agents for the reaction with SO₂ (Ma et al., 2019). Similarly, the reaction of SO₂ with photo-induced •OH obviously enhanced the formation of sulfate on diesel soot and actual PM₂.₅ (Zhang et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020c). NO₂ and NO₃⁻/HNO₂ can be formed in the nitrates photolysis, and primarily contributed to the oxidation of SO₂ to sulfates on nitrates (Gen et al., 2019b; Gen et al., 2019a). Theoretically, the mechanism proposed in this study should also occur on photo-excited substrates. Taking TiO₂ as an example, SO₂ competed with TiO₂ for photons, and the production efficiency of ³SO₂ and excited state of TiO₂ (TiO₂*) depended on their light absorption properties. Meanwhile, ³SO₂ had a competition electron-hole pairs generated from TiO₂* for O₂ and H₂O. Thus, the dominant mechanism for the SO₂ uptake on TiO₂ should be related to light absorption properties of precursors and the reactivity for ³SO₂ and TiO₂* to O₂ and H₂O. By contrast, all mineral oxides used here cannot be excited under
irradiation according to their light absorption spectra (Figure S1). Nevertheless, SO₂ adsorbed on mineral oxides can absorb the ultraviolet radiation (290–400 nm) to form the excited states of SO₂ (SO₂*) (Kroll et al., 2018), which subsequently reacted with H₂O and O₂, finally converting SO₂ to sulfates. It means that any surfaces, providing absorptive sites for SO₂, can significantly enhance the photooxidation of SO₂ to sulfates.

**Figure 6.** The proposed photochemical conversion mechanisms of SO₂ to sulfates on non-photoactive mineral dust.

4 Atmospheric implications

The lifetime (τ) for photochemical loss of SO₂ on mineral dust was given using the equation

\[ \tau = \frac{4}{\gamma \omega A} \]  

(7)

where \( \gamma \) and \( \omega \) are the uptake coefficient and the mean molecular speed of SO₂, respectively; \( A \) is the surface area density of mineral dust, and it is estimated to be \((1.4–4.8) \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) (Zhang et al., 2019; He et al., 2018b). In this work, \( \gamma_{\text{BET}} \) of SO₂ on several mineral oxides were measured to be from \( 4.39 \times 10^{-7} \) to \( 3.45 \times 10^{-5} \) under conditions with SO₂ concentration of 40 ppb, irradiation intensity of 250 W m⁻² and RH of 40%. Thus, the \( \tau \) of SO₂ with respect
to the photooxidation on mineral dust was calculated to be 0.9–240 days, which was shorter than that (54 years) for the photochemical uptake of SO$_2$ on TiO$_2$ and the corresponding one (346 days) for the heterogeneous oxidation of SO$_2$ on ATD in the presence of nitrates(Ma et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). It should be pointed out that the content of TiO$_2$ in mineral dust was only about 1%, and thus the surface area density of TiO$_2$ was about $10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ cm$^{-3}$, leading to a longer $\tau$ (54 years) for the loss of SO$_2$ on TiO$_2$(Ma et al., 2019). It was comparable to the lifetime (3.6–20 days) of SO$_2$ for the gas-phase reaction with •OH at a concentration of $\sim 10^{-6}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$(Huang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019). Therefore, the photochemical process with the excited state SO$_2$ acting as a driver on mineral dust was an important pathway for the SO$_2$ sink in the atmosphere.

Sulfates show significant influences on the atmosphere, such as an important contributor to the haze formation, affecting the activity of aerosols acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nuclei (IN), and modifying optical property and acidity of aerosols. A sulfate formation rate ($R$) can be obtained using $\gamma$ by the equation 8(Cheng et al., 2016),

$$R = \frac{d[SO_4^{2-}]}{dt} = \left[\frac{R_p}{D} + \frac{4}{\gamma_D}\right]^{-1} A[SO_2] \tag{8}$$

where $R_p$ is the radius of mineral dust, which can be estimated using the equation 9(Li et al., 2020),

$$R_p = (0.254 \times [PM_{2.5}]/(\mu g \ m^{-3}) + 10.259) \times 10^{-9} \ m \tag{9}$$

where [PM$_{2.5}$] was average PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration, and 300 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$ was used for the polluted periods in typical China cities(Li et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2014). It was assumed that mineral dust accounted for 50% mass of PM$_{2.5}$(Tohidi et al., 2022), and the mass fraction of SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, MgO, and CaO in mineral dust was 60%, 12.5%, 4% and 6.5%, respectively(Urupina et al., 2021; Urupina et al., 2019; Usher et al., 2003). Thus, $R$ was determined to be 2.15 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ according to $\gamma_{s,BET}$ under environmental conditions above. Table S1 summarizes sulfate formation rates from various SO$_2$ oxidation pathways, including gas-phase reaction with •OH(Xue et al., 2016), aqueous-phase oxidation by dissolved NO$_2$, H$_2$O$_2$ and TMI catalysis(Cheng et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020a; Ye et al., 2021), and heterogeneous
photochemistry on the surfaces of nitrates (Gen et al., 2019a), brown carbon (Liu et al., 2020b), black carbon and PM$_{2.5}$ (Zhang et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2020c). It was clearly noted that sulfate formation rates on non-photocatalytic mineral oxides under simulated sunlight were comparable with those (0.001–10 µg m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) for various pathways above, which may explain the missing sulfate sources in the atmosphere. Accordingly, this new sulfate pathway should be well taken into the full consideration in further field observation and model simulation studies to better quantify atmospheric sulfate formation.
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