

Evaluation of the Co-RISK workshop

By filling in this evaluation, I confirm that I consent for this information to be used in the ROBUST project as described in the Participant Information sheet

Your background & experience

Years in insurance:

Worked for/at: [ORGANISATION] (circle all applicable)	Primary insurer	Reinsurer	Cat Model Vendor
	Consultant	Financial Investor (e.g. ILS/ILW/Cat. bonds)	Regulator
	Broker	University	Research organisation (e.g. Met Office)
	Other relevant (please specify):		

Job functions/roles: [TRANSLATION STAGE] (circle all applicable)	Risk Analyst	Manager	Research scientist (hazard/climate)
	KE/facilitating translation of science		Policy response/modelling of <u>implications</u> (e.g. solvency)
	Other relevant (please specify):		

Current seniority [rank 1-4]:

e.g. 1 = Analyst, 2 = Team Leader, 3 = Senior Manager, 4 = CEO/board

Your knowledge of organisational viewpoints in the sector (i.e. positionality)

1. <u>Before the Co-RISK workshop</u> , how well do you think you understood the viewpoints of organisations in the sector?	No understanding				Very good understanding	
	Regulator	1	2	3	4	5
	Univeristy (or equivalent)	1	2	3	4	5
	Consultant	1	2	3	4	5
	Insurer/reinsurer	1	2	3	4	5
	Broker	1	2	3	4	5
	Research organisation (e.g. Met Office)	1	2	3	4	5
	Model Vendor	1	2	3	4	5

1 = no understanding, 2 = some understanding, 3 = average level of understanding, 4 = good understanding, 5 = very good understanding

2. <u>Before the Co-RISK workshop</u> , how well do you think you understood factors contributing to the positionality of organisations?	No understanding				Very good understanding
	1	2	3	4	5
Contribution (e.g. skills/data)	1	2	3	4	5
Barrier/concern	1	2	3	4	5
Useful output/outcome	1	2	3	4	5
Motivation	1	2	3	4	5
Concern	1	2	3	4	5
Insertion point of science	1	2	3	4	5

1 = no understanding, 2 = some understanding, 3 = average level of understanding, 4 = good understanding, 5 = very good understanding

Was the Co-RISK workshop useful? 1-5 scale quick answer (open ended questions overleaf)

3. To what extent was the Co-RISK workshop useful in the following areas?		No use			Extremely useful	
	Connecting with new people	1	2	3	4	5
	Identifying colleagues interested in this topic	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving my understanding of organisations' positionality (e.g. regulator, insurer, university)	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving my understanding of factors contributing to organisations' positionality (e.g. motivations, barriers, insertion points for science)	1	2	3	4	5
	Understanding how to create a project translating risk-related science	1	2	3	4	5
	The worked examples created	1	2	3	4	5

1 = no use, 2 = a bit useful, 3 = moderately useful, 4 = very useful, 5 = extremely useful

PLEASE TURN OVER

Would you do a Co-RISK workshop again? 1-5 scale quick answer

	No	Unlikely	Perhaps	Probably	Certainly
4. Would you take part in a future Co-RISK workshop?					
As a participant (same topic)	1	2	3	4	5
As a participant (different topic)	1	2	3	4	5
As a facilitator (i.e. running it)	1	2	3	4	5

Was the Co-RISK workshop useful? Open ended questions

Don't feel you need to fill in everything, these are just prompts for your thoughts.

5. What were the most useful things about the Co-RISK workshop?

6. What were the least useful things about the Co-RISK workshop?

7. Limitations / flaws ?

8. Suggestions for improvement.

If you would like to discuss the workshop further, please provide your name and e-mail below.