



1 Nitrous Acid Budgets in Coastal Atmosphere: Insights into the Absence of a

2 Daytime Marine Source

3 Xuelian Zhong¹, Hengqing Shen^{1*}, Min Zhao¹, Ji Zhang¹, Yue Sun¹, Yuhong Liu¹, Yingnan Zhang¹,

- 4 Ye Shan¹, Hongyong Li¹, Jiangshan Mu¹, Yu Yang¹, Yanqiu Nie¹, Jinghao Tang², Can Dong¹, Xinfeng
- 5 Wang¹, Yujiao Zhu¹, Mingzhi Guo², Wenxing Wang¹, and Likun Xue^{1*}
- 6 ¹Environment Research Institute, Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong, 266237, China
- ⁷ ²Collage of Mechanics and Materials, Hohai University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210098, China
- 8 Correspondence to Hengqing Shen (hqshen@sdu.edu.cn) and Likun Xue (xuelikun@sdu.edu.cn)
- 9

10 Abstract.

Nitrous acid (HONO), a vital precursor of atmospheric hydroxyl radicals (OH), has been extensively 11 investigated to understand its characteristics and formation mechanisms. However, discerning 12 fundamental mechanisms across diverse environments remains challenging. This study utilizes 13 measurements from Mount Lao, a coastal mountain in eastern China, and an observation-based 14 chemical box model to examine HONO budgets and their subsequent impacts on atmospheric 15 oxidizing capacity. The model incorporates additional HONO sources, including direct emissions, 16 heterogeneous conversions of NO₂ on aerosol and ground surfaces, and particulate nitrate photolysis. 17 The observed mean HONO concentration was 0.46 ± 0.37 ppby. The updated model well reproduced 18 daytime HONO concentrations during dust and photochemical pollution events. During dust events, 19 daytime HONO formation was dominated by photo-enhanced heterogeneous reactions of NO₂ on 20 aerosol surfaces (>50%), whereas particulate nitrate photolysis (34%) prevails during photochemical 21 pollution events. Nevertheless, the model uncovers a significant unidentified marine HONO source in 22 the "sea case", with its HONO production rate reaching up to 0.70 ppbv h⁻¹ at noon. Without 23 considering this unidentified source, an extraordinarily high photolysis coefficient of nitrate and/or 24 heterogeneous uptake coefficient of NO2 would be required to match observed HONO concentrations. 25 This missing HONO source affected the peak O₃ production rate and OH radical concentration by 36% 26 and 28%, respectively. Given the limited HONO observations data in coastal and marine settings, the 27 unidentified HONO source may cause an underestimation of the atmosphere's oxidizing capacity. This 28 study highlights the necessity for further investigation of the role of HONO in atmospheric chemistry 29 in coastal and marine environments. 30





32 1 Introduction

Atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) servers as a pivotal precursor of hydroxyl radicals (OH) (Alicke et al., 2003), accounting for up to 60% of daytime OH radicals (Kleffmann et al., 2005; Czader et al., 2012). Thus, HONO establishes itself as a critical source of OH radical source in both urban and rural environments, surpassing the contribution from ozone (O₃) photolysis (Elshorbany et al., 2012; Acker et al., 2006; Gu et al., 2022a). Consequently, HONO substantially influences the formation of secondary pollutants, including secondary aerosols and O₃, exerting a considerable effect on air quality and climate change (Xing et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2021b).

Recent studies pinpoint four primary sources of atmospheric HONO: (a) Direct emissions from 40 traffic (Liao et al., 2021), biomass burning (Nie et al., 2015; Theys et al., 2020), and soil (Su et al., 41 2011). (b) Homogeneous reaction of NO + OH, which is generally regarded as a significant process in 42 43 polluted urban areas during daytime when NO and OH concentrations are relatively high (Gu et al., 2022a). (c) Heterogeneous reactions of NO_2 on various surfaces, such as mineral dust (Underwood et 44 al., 2001), soil (Kebede et al., 2016), and aqueous surfaces (Wojtal et al., 2011). The uptake coefficient 45 of NO₂, γ (NO₂), on these surfaces remains uncertain and is subject to varying factors, sparking debates 46 regarding the importance of the heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ (Xue et al., 2022; Broske et al., 47 2003). (d) Photolysis of adsorbed nitric acid (HNO₃) and particulate nitrate (pNO₃⁻), crucial 48 contributors to daytime HONO formation (Gen et al., 2022; Ye et al., 2017), particularly in clean 49 environments (Zhou et al., 2011; Ye et al., 2016a). However, HONO formation mechanisms in 50 different environments remain contentious and require more detailed model evaluations (Jiang et al., 51 52 2022).

Over recent decades, the missing sources of daytime HONO have been extensively studied across 53 diverse environments (Kleffmann, 2007; Jiang et al., 2022; Lee et al., 2016). However, our limited 54 understanding of these unidentified HONO sources has hindered accurate assessments of atmospheric 55 free radicals and oxidizing capacity (Tang et al., 2015). In areas with high concentrations of NO₂ and 56 particulate matter, missing sources are often ascribed to the photolytic enhancement of heterogeneous 57 NO₂ reactions (Su et al., 2008; Czader et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2016; Tong et al., 2016). Conversely, in 58 remote areas, nitrate photolysis or soil emissions are perceived as significant contributors to daytime 59 HONO sources (Cui et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2016a; Su et al., 2011). In polluted mountainous areas, the 60 vertical transport of air masses may also contribute to observed daytime HONO concentrations (Jiang 61 et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2022). During dust storms, the particle surface area increases sharply, 62 potentially enhancing the heterogeneous reaction of NO₂, yet the evaluations of dust impacts on 63 daytime HONO are scarce (Wang, 2003). Overall, most existing HONO source studies lack 64





65 quantitative assessments based on models and fail to provide comparative analyses across different

66 environmental scenarios.

Furthermore, recent observations of HONO in coastal and marine regions indicate the existence 67 68 of marine HONO sources (Jiang et al., 2022; Crilley et al., 2021; Ye et al., 2016a; Yang et al., 2021a). The observed accelerated NO₂-to-HONO conversion in marine air masses suggests that air-marine 69 interactions enhance HONO production (Zha et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2021a). However, the 70 heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ on vast air/water interface, a potential source of marine HONO, 71 remains uncertain (Wojtal et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2021). Nitrate Photolysis is believed 72 to contribute to marine HONO sources (Ye et al., 2016a; Andersen et al., 2023), but significant 73 controversy persists (Romer et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2021). The specific influencing factors remain 74 unclear (Zhang et al., 2020; Andersen et al., 2023), with some studies suggesting other factors may be 75 responsible (Yang et al., 2021a; Wojtal et al., 2011). However, most existing studies still rely on 76 77 steady-state analysis, and there is a lack of quantitative research determining if current HONO mechanisms can adequately explain observed marine daytime HONO concentrations. 78

Mount Lao, located on the eastern coast of Qingdao, China, experiences influences from various 79 air masses from the continent and the ocean. During the spring of 2021 (27 April-19 May), when dust 80 and O₃ pollution occurred frequently, we conducted measurements on Mount Lao to explore the 81 daytime HONO budgets in the coastal atmosphere. Utilizing the latest HONO formation mechanisms 82 in the box model, we found that the existing parameters adequately accounted for the HONO sources 83 during both dust and photochemical pollution periods. However, we identified a significant 84 discrepancy between the simulated and observed HONO in the "sea case". This discrepancy suggests 85 that a substantial daytime source of marine-derived HONO is absent from the current chemical 86 mechanisms. To compensate for this missing source, either an unprecedentedly large enhancement 87 factor (EF) of nitrate photolysis or a heterogeneous uptake coefficient of NO₂ would be necessary if 88 attributed solely to these known HONO sources. 89

90 2 Methods

91 2.1 Field measurements

Field measurements were conducted on the southeast coast of Mount Lao (36.15°N, 120.68°E, 166 m above sea level) in Qingdao (Figure 1), approximately 1 km away from the Yellow Sea. The geographical location and elevation of Mount Lao make it an optimal location for examining the contrasts between marine and continental air masses and the chemical processes within the marine boundary layer. The relatively pristine condition of the area, coupled with minimal levels of





97 anthropogenic activities such as industrial emissions, establish Mount Lao as a representative of a 98 clean environment. The field campaign was carried out during the spring of 2021 (27 April–19 May 99 2021), a period when the air quality of Qingdao is often affected by dust storms from Mongolia and 100 northwestern China, as well as by O₃ pollution. Consequently, the site at Mount Lao provides an 101 opportune platform for investigating the fundamental formation mechanisms of HONO under diverse 102 environmental conditions.

HONO was quantified using a water-based long-path absorption photometer (WLPAP, Beijing 103 Zhichen Technology Co., Ltd, China). Ambient HONO was absorbed by deionized water alone, after 104 which it reacted with a reagent comprising 3.44 g of sulfanilamide and 0.2 g of N-(1-naphthyl)-105 ethylenediamine-dihydrochloride (NED) in 10 liters of deionized water, leading to the formation of an 106 azo dye. Two channels were employed to extract HONO and interfering gases, respectively. The 107 absorbance of the azo dye was measured using a fiber optic spectrometer (USB 4000, Ocean Optics, 108 109 USA) at both the measurement wavelength (550 nm) and the reference wavelength (580 nm). Regular automatic zero measurements using ultrapure nitrogen were conducted every two days to correct for 110 baseline drift. The detection limit and detection ranges were 2 pptv and 5 pptv-2 ppmv, respectively. 111

112 A suite of commercial online analyzers monitored the concentrations of NOx, O3, SO2, and CO (42i, 49i, 43i, and 48i, respectively, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, USA). PM_{2.5} was measured using a 113 hybrid nephelometric/radiometric particulate mass monitor (SHARP-5030i, Thermo Fisher Scientific 114 Inc, USA), while PM₁₀ mass data were obtained from the China National Environmental Monitoring 115 Center (https://quotsoft.net/air/). During the field campaign, fifty-seven VOC canister samples were 116 collected at 2-hour intervals from 9:00-19:00 local time on pollution episode days and at 6-hour 117 intervals from 9:00-21:00 on non-episode days. These VOC samples were subsequently analyzed 118 using gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (TT24xr, Markes, UK; GC-MS, Thermo Fisher 119 Scientific Inc, USA) (Liu et al., 2021). A wide-range particle spectrometer (WPS, Model 1000XP, 120 MSP, USA) was employed to determine the atmospheric particle number size distributions from 10 121 nm to 10 µm. Taking into account the hygroscopic growth, the relative humidity-adjusted aerosol 122 surface area concentration (Sa) was calculated based on the determined particle number size 123 distributions. 95 offline particulate samples were collected every 3-hour interval from 7:00-19:00 and 124 12-hour intervals from 19:00-7:00 utilizing a high-volume air-sampling system (TE-5170, Tisch 125 Environmental Inc, USA). The inorganic compositions of the samples, including Cl-, NO₃-, SO₄-, 126 NH4⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺, were determined via ion chromatography (Dionex ICS-600, Thermo 127 128 Fisher Scientific Inc, USA). Meteorological data, including temperature, RH, pressure, wind speed, and wind direction, were monitored by an ultrasonic integrated weather station (RS-FSXCS-N01-1). 129





This study distinguishes between the "sea case" and the "land case" by analyzing the backward 130 trajectory of the air mass. Specifically, considering the short lifetime of HONO, the MeteoInfo model 131 132 (Wang, 2012) was used to calculate 6-hour air mass backward trajectories starting at the height of 200 133 meters above ground level, using meteorological parameters from the Global Data Assimilation 134 System (GDAS, ftp://arlftp.arlhq.noaa.gov/). The criteria for differentiating between the "sea case" and "land case" is based on the time spent over land or sea during the 6-hour backward air mass, with 135 cases that spent less than 1 hour over land designated as a "sea case" (Yang et al., 2021a). Following 136 this criterion, we selected a total of 18 sea cases and 13 land cases (Table S1). The observation data 137 for the "sea case" and the "land case" were averaged for subsequent analysis. 138

139 2.2 Model setup

An observation-based chemical box model (OBM) was employed to explore the HONO budgets 140 141 and atmospheric oxidizing capacity. The chemical mechanism used for the modeling was obtained from the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) website (http://mcm.york.ac.uk/) and was based on the 142 MCM v3.3.1 as proposed by Jenkin et al. (2015). The model was constrained with data including 143 HONO, O₃, NO, NO₂, SO₂, CO, VOCs, pNO₃⁻, Sa, temperature, RH, pressure, and JNO₂. These 144 variables were averaged and interpolated to a time resolution of 5 minutes, except for VOCs and pNO3-145 which were recorded at 1-hour intervals. The calculation of the photolysis rate of NO₂, JNO₂, was 146 determined using Equation 1: 147

$$JNO_2 = JNO_{2(TUV)} \times \frac{UV_{observed}}{UV_{TUV}}$$
(E1)

where $JNO_{2(TUV)}$ and UV_{TUV} are obtained from the Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV) radiation model (http://cprm.acom.ucar.edu/Models/TUV/Interactive_TUV/). The UV_{observed} was obtained from the NASA GES DISC (https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/). Other photolysis frequencies were calculated in the OBM and scaled by JNO₂. The time series of JNO₂ is presented in Figure S1. The model was pre-run for 1 day to stabilize the simulation of unconstrained species.

In the MCM v3.3.1, the formation of HONO is originally attributed to a homogeneous reaction, specifically NO + OH \rightarrow HONO. This study extends the existing mechanism by incorporating additional sources of HONO into the chemical model. A description of these sources and their associated mechanisms is provided in the following, and the corresponding parameters are listed in Table 1.

158 Description of HONO sources and sinks adopted in the OBM

159 Direct emission





In the atmosphere, HONO can be directly released through the exhaust emissions of various 160 sources. The HONO/NO_x emission ratio, which typically averages around 0.8%, is a common 161 parameter used to gauge the impact of these vehicular emissions on HONO concentration (Kleffmann 162 163 et al., 2003). However, the ratio can fluctuate between 0.3% and 1.6%, depending on engine and fuel types (Kurtenbach et al., 2001). Prior research indicates that direct emissions contribute significantly 164 to HONO concentration in urban settings (Zhang et al., 2019; Kramer et al., 2020). However, in rural 165 and background areas, the vehicular contribution is comparatively insignificant (Liu et al., 2019b; Xue 166 et al., 2022). Consequently, the contribution of vehicle emissions to HONO is not constant and varies 167 based on the environment and traffic density. 168

169 Homogeneous reaction of
$$OH + NO \rightarrow HONO$$

NO + OH + M \rightarrow HONO + M (R1)

170 The reaction of NO + OH is considered an important gas-phase reaction for HONO formation,

particularly during pollution periods when concentrations of NO and OH are high (Gu et al., 2022a).

- 172 We employed the box model to calculate the reaction rate using complex rate coefficients from the
- 173 MCM website (http://mcm.york.ac.uk/parameters/complex.htt).

174 Heterogeneous reaction of NO₂ on aerosol surfaces

$$NO_2 + H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{aerosol surface}} HONO + HNO_3$$
 (R2)

$$NO_2 + hv \xrightarrow{\text{aerosol surface}} HONO$$
 (R3)

$$k_{aerosol} = 0.25 \times v_{NO_2} \times Sa \times \gamma_a \qquad \qquad \gamma_a = 8 \times 10^{-6} \tag{E2}$$

$$k_{\text{aerosol, }hv} = 0.25 \times v_{\text{NO}_2} \times \text{Sa} \times \gamma_{\text{a, }hv} \times \frac{\text{JNO}_2}{\text{JNO}_{2,\text{noon}}} \qquad \gamma_{\text{a, }hv} = 4 \times 10^{-5}$$
(E3)

$$v_{\rm NO_2} = \sqrt{\frac{8RT}{\pi M}}$$
(E4)

175 The heterogeneous conversion of NO_2 on surfaces is a significant source of HONO in the atmosphere.

As illustrated by equations R2 and R3, NO₂ reacts with water and light on aerosol surfaces to produce HONO. The HONO formation rate from heterogeneous reactions is typically first-order with respect to NO₂ concentration (Aumont et al., 2003), and the reactivity of NO₂ is known to be significantly enhanced under irradiated conditions compared to darkness (Yu et al., 2022a). In this study, the uptake

- 180 coefficients of NO₂ on the aerosol surface in dark and irradiated conditions, γ_a and $\gamma_{a, hv}$, were set to
- 181 8×10^{-6} and 4×10^{-5} (Lelièvre et al., 2004; Vandenboer et al., 2013b), respectively. The molecular speed
- 182 of NO₂ (v_{NO_2} , m s⁻¹) was calculated using Equation 4, where R represents the ideal gas constant, 8.314
- 183 J mol⁻¹ k^{-1} , T is the absolute temperature (K), and M is the relative molecular weight of NO₂ (g mol⁻¹





- 184 ¹). Sa is the surface area concentration (m² m⁻³) estimated from particle number concentrations
- 185 measured by the WPS.

186 Heterogeneous reaction of NO2 on ground surfaces

$$NO_2 + H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{ground surface}} HONO + HNO_3$$
 (R4)

$$NO_2 + hv \xrightarrow{\text{ground surface}} HONO$$
 (R5)

$$k_{\text{ground}} = 0.25 \times v_{\text{NO}_2} \times \gamma_{\text{g, }hv} \times \frac{s}{v} \qquad \qquad \gamma_{\text{g}} = 1 \times 10^{-6}$$
(E5)

$$k_{\text{ground, }hv} = 0.25 \times v_{\text{NO}_2} \times \gamma_{\text{g, }hv} \times \frac{S}{V} \times \frac{J\text{NO}_2}{J\text{NO}_{2,\text{noon}}} \qquad \gamma_{\text{g, }hv} = 2 \times 10^{-5}$$
(E6)

$$\frac{S}{V} = \frac{1.7}{BLH}$$
(E7)

Equations 5 and 6 delineate the parameterizations for the heterogeneous reaction of NO₂ on the ground 187 surfaces, both in the absence and presence of light. The uptake coefficients of NO2 on the ground 188 surface under dark and irradiated conditions, γ_g and $\gamma_{g,hv}$, respectively, were set to 1×10^{-6} and 2×10^{-5} 189 (Kleffmann et al., 1998; Stemmler et al., 2006), respectively. Under ambient conditions, the relative 190 importance of gas uptake on ground and aerosol surfaces is uncertain, with the influence of land use 191 categories and chemical compositions (Li et al., 2019). The surface-to-volume ratio, $\frac{s}{v}$, is calculated 192 193 by an effective surface of 1.7 m² per geometric surface in Equation 7 (Vogel et al., 2003). Within the model, the boundary layer height, BLH, is projected to increase from 300 m at dawn to 1500 m at 194 14:00 and then decrease back to 300 m at dusk (Xue et al., 2014). 195

196 Photolysis of particulate nitrate

$$pNO_3^- + hv \rightarrow HONO$$
 (R6)

$$k = \frac{J(pNO_3)}{HNO_{3,noon}} \times JHNO_{3(MCM)}$$
(E8)

In Equation 8, the photolysis rate constant of gaseous HNO₃ at noon, JHNO_{3,noon}, is chosen to be ~ 7×10⁻⁷ s⁻¹ based on previous studies (Ye et al., 2016b). JHNO_{3(MCM)} is calculated by the box model. Recent research has shown that the photolysis rate of particulate nitrate is significantly faster than that in the gas and aqueous phases (Zhou et al., 2003; Ye et al., 2016a). We adopt a median value of 8.3×10^{-1} 5 s⁻¹ in our simulation based on a range provided by Ye et al. (2017). Considering the uncertainty of the parameter values of the above-mentioned HONO formation mechanisms, we conducted the sensitivity tests with lower and upper values in Sections 3.2 and 3.3.

204 Photolysis of HONO

$$HONO + hv \rightarrow OH + NO \tag{R7}$$





- The primary loss pathway of HONO is through photolysis following sunrise, which significantly contributes to the atmospheric OH budget. The photolysis rate of HONO, J(HONO), in the OBM, is
- 207 constrained by JNO₂.
- 208 Homogeneous reaction between HONO and OH HONO + OH \rightarrow H₂O + NO₂ (R8)
- 209 The relevant kinetic parameter of the reaction between HONO and OH is available from the MCM
- 210 mechanism, and its reaction rate coefficient is dependent solely on the temperature.
- 211 Dry deposition of HONO

$$k = \frac{v_{HONO}}{BLH}$$
(E9)

- 212 Here, v_{HONO} is the dry deposition velocity of HONO (cm s⁻¹). Harrison and Kitto (1994) suggested the
- range of v_{HONO} was 0.2–1.7 cm s⁻¹, and a value of 1.0 cm s⁻¹ was employed in this study.
- 214 3 Results and discussion

215 3.1 Concentration levels and temporal variations

Figure 2 displays the time series of HONO, HONO/NO₂, NO_x, O₃, CO, SO₂, PM_{2.5}, and pNO₃⁻, 216 217 along with meteorological parameters (i.e., temperature, RH, and wind) measured throughout the field campaign. The presence of missing data in the time series resulted from instrument maintenance and 218 219 calibration. Instrument maintenance and calibration resulted in gaps in the time series data. The observation site underwent dust periods on April 27-28 and May 7-8, as well as periods of 220 photochemical pollution on May 5-6, 13, and 17-18. In this study, a photochemical pollution period 221 is classified as a day when the maximum daily 8-hour average O₃ concentration (MDA8O₃) exceeds 222 75 ppbv(the Grade II National Ambient Air Quality Standard). A dust period is recognized when the 223 peak PM₁₀ concentration surpasses 150 μ g m⁻³, and the PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio falls below 0.4, based on 224 previous research (Liu et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2020). Section 3.2 provides a comprehensive explanation 225 of the differences in pollutant concentrations and HONO budgets during dust and photochemical 226 227 pollution periods.

Table 2 summarizes the descriptive statistics of the species and meteorological parameters measured during the observation period. The average (\pm standard deviation, SD) temperature and RH were 15.1 \pm 3.4 °C and 68.7 \pm 26.1%, respectively, indicating a moderate spring temperature and relatively high RH influenced by marine air masses. The primary pollutant concentrations were relatively low, as indicated by the mean mixing ratios of 0.9 \pm 1.7 ppbv, 5.9 \pm 4.8 ppbv, 284.0 \pm 118.8 ppbv, and 1.0 \pm 0.8 ppbv for NO, NO₂, CO, and SO₂, respectively. These low levels suggest Mount





Lao is a relatively clean site with minimal impact from nearby anthropogenic sources. The high O₃ concentration (60.4 ± 15.8 ppbv) implies that photochemical reactions were relatively strong during observation. The mean concentrations of PM_{2.5} and pNO₃⁻ were 21.2 ± 21.09 µg m⁻³ and 4.6 ± 5.0 µg m⁻³, respectively.

During the campaign, the mean concentration of HONO was 0.46 ± 0.37 ppbv, with a maximum 238 mixing ratio of 3.14 ppbv recorded at 17:00 on May 4th. The concentration level of HONO at Mount 239 Lao is lower than at urban sites with higher NO₂ concentrations (Li et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2022b; Hao 240 et al., 2020). However, it is notably higher than other clean coastal and remote marine sites, as Table 241 S2 illustrates (Zhu et al., 2022; Zha et al., 2014; Meusel et al., 2016; Crilley et al., 2021; Villena et al., 242 2011). Past research conducted in urban and rural areas found that the HONO/NO₂ ratio, which 243 indicates the extent of NO₂ conversion to HONO, typically ranges from 0.02 to 0.08 (Jiang et al., 2022). 244 The higher HONO/NO₂ value (0.13) measured at Mount Lao highlights the potentially significant role 245 246 of non-NO_x related HONO sources or higher heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ efficiency at this site.

Figure 3 illustrates the average diurnal patterns of HONO and related species. The diurnal cycle 247 of CO and SO₂ is similar, with peak concentrations observed during midday and relatively stable 248 249 concentrations during nighttime. The concentration of O₃ increases with the accumulation of photochemical generation during the afternoon and decreases steadily after sunset. Contrary to most 250 urban or rural locations, the concentration of HONO at Mount Lao peaks at noon, similar to remote 251 areas (Jiang et al., 2022; Ye et al., 2016a). NOx, comprising NO and NO₂, shows a similar temporal 2.52 variation trend to HONO, suggesting potential photolytic sources for them (Reed et al., 2017). During 253 254 the daytime (7:00–17:00), the average concentration of HONO was 1.56 times higher than at night (17:00–7:00), with concentrations of 0.54 ppbv during the day and 0.35 ppbv at night. Given the short 255 lifetime of HONO during the day-only a matter of minutes-the noon HONO peak concentration 256 suggests an in situ photochemical source for HONO (Kasibhatla et al., 2018). The ratio of HONO to 257 NO2 shows an increasing trend until sunrise, suggesting heterogeneous conversion from NO2 to HONO 258 during nighttime. However, unlike urban areas where the ratio of HONO to NO₂ decreases during the 259 daytime (Zhang et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2022a), the ratio even increases during the midday period at 260 Mount Lao, implying that HONO from sources other than NO₂ conversion also significantly 261 contributes to HONO concentration (Yang et al., 2021a). Considering that the influence of HONO on 262 the OH radical and O_3 is primarily observed during the daytime, the higher concentration of HONO 263 during the daytime at the Mount Lao site suggests the presence of strong daytime HONO sources. The 264 primary objective of our following study is to analyze the daytime budgets of HONO in the coastal 265 atmosphere of Mount Lao. 266





267 **3.2 Daytime HONO budgets in dust and photochemical pollution periods**

The daytime HONO budgets were examined during periods of dust and photochemical pollution 268 using an updated OBM, with the aim of assessing whether our current understanding of HONO sources 269 270 is sufficient to explain observed concentrations. Table 4 presents the mean daytime concentrations of HONO and other species during the dust, photochemical pollution, and non-polluted periods (i.e., days 271 devoid of dust and photochemical pollution). On average, the daytime HONO concentrations during 272 dust and photochemical pollution periods were 0.57 ± 0.39 ppbv and 0.44 ± 0.29 ppbv, respectively. 273 The dust period exhibited significantly higher concentrations of NO₂, PM_{2.5}, pNO₃⁻, and Sa, with 274 increased factors of 1.4, 2.6, 2.3, and 2.3, respectively, compared to the non-polluted period. During 275 the photochemical pollution period, the daytime mean values of O₃, CO, SO₂, PM_{2.5}, pNO₃⁻, and JNO₂ 276 were 78.8 ppbv, 353.8 ppbv, 1.7 ppbv, 25.0 μg m⁻³, 6.2 μg m⁻³, and 7.0×10⁻³ s⁻¹, respectively. These 277 values were approximately 1.4, 1.3, 2.4, 1.5, 2.1, and 1.6 times higher than those during the non-278 279 polluted period.

Figure 4 compares the observed and modeled HONO concentrations during dust and 280 photochemical pollution periods and illustrates the contribution of various sources and sinks to the 281 282 HONO budget. The study examined two scenarios: the base case, which only considered the homogeneous reaction NO + OH, and the model case, which considered all seven HONO sources 283 outlined in Table 1. The results indicated that the base case significantly underestimated the HONO 284 concentration, consistent with previous studies (Liu et al., 2019b; Zhu et al., 2022). However, the 285 model case effectively replicated the observed HONO concentrations for both periods, even the high 286 287 noon concentrations. The index of agreement (IOA) values for HONO during the dust and photochemical pollution periods were 0.96 and 0.88, respectively. This suggests that the updated 288 parameterization scheme employed in the model can adequately account for the observed HONO 289 concentrations at Mount Lao. 290

During the daytime, the average modeled production rates of HONO were 1.66 ppbv h^{-1} and 0.90 ppbv h^{-1} for the dust and photochemical pollution periods, respectively. The maximum HONO production rate was significantly higher during the dust period (3.50 ppbv h^{-1}) compared to the photochemical pollution period (1.69 ppbv h^{-1}) and was even comparable to levels observed during haze periods at polluted urban or rural sites (Xue et al., 2020; Gu et al., 2022a; Zhang et al., 2019).

Based on the model results of detailed HONO budgets, the dominant pathway for daytime HONO production during the dust period was photo-enhanced heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ on the aerosol surface, accounting for 53% (0.87 ± 0.66 ppbv h⁻¹) of the simulated daytime HONO production





rate. Wang (2003) reported sudden increases in HONO concentration during nocturnal dust storm 299 events and observed a higher ratio of HONO to NO_2 (0.18). The enhanced efficiency of NO_2 to HONO 300 on mineral dust particles suggests a potentially significant impact of dust aerosol on nitrogen 301 302 compound distribution. Further research is needed to understand the contribution of dust to HONO 303 formation and nitrogen cycling during the daytime, as well as its global impact. For the photochemical pollution period, the major sources of HONO included the photolysis of particulate nitrate, the photo-304 enhanced heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ on the aerosol surface, and the homogeneous reaction of 305 OH and NO, which contributed 34%, 27%, and 27% of the daytime HONO production rate, 306 307 respectively. This points to the significant role of photochemical processes under intense solar radiation. Direct emissions had a negligible contribution during both the dust and photochemical pollution 308 periods, accounting for less than 2%. The photolysis of HONO was the dominant loss pathway 309 310 throughout the day for all measurement periods, accounting for more than 90% of HONO sinks.

311 The model was subjected to sensitivity tests by increasing or decreasing selected parameters by factors of 5 and 2 (Table S4, Figures S2, and S3). Even with such a broad range of parameter variation, 312 the heterogeneous reaction of NO₂ on aerosols and the photolysis of nitrate to form atmospheric HONO 313 remained significant sources of HONO under both dust and photochemical pollution periods. This 314 315 suggests that our current understanding of HONO sources, based on existing mechanisms, can generally explain the observed concentrations of HONO. However, it is important to note that 316 differences in parameter selection can significantly affect the relative contributions of each pathway. 317 Given the considerable uncertainties in the uptake coefficient of NO₂ and the enhancement factors of 318 photolysis of nitrate, further experimental studies are necessary to evaluate their effects on HONO in 319 different environmental conditions. 320

321 3.3 Missing daytime HONO source in "sea case"

322 Recent field studies suggest potential unidentified daytime sources of nitrous acid (HONO) in the marine atmosphere, with high daytime HONO levels recorded (Yang et al., 2021a; Ye et al., 2016a). 323 Figure 5 shows the diurnal variation of the selected "sea case" and "land case", with corresponding 324 statistical results in Table S3. In the "sea case", daytime concentrations of typical primary pollutants, 325 such as CO and SO₂, are significantly lower than those in the "land case" (251 ± 59 ppbv vs. $335 \pm$ 326 327 115 ppbv and 0.7 ± 0.4 ppbv vs. 1.4 ± 0.8 ppbv for CO and SO₂, respectively). Concurrently, the "sea case" shows a lower daytime temperature $(15.2 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$ C vs. $18.7 \pm 3.8^{\circ}$ C) and higher RH ($76.3 \pm 25.9\%$ 328 vs. $47.3 \pm 20.3\%$) compared to the "land case". This is consistent with our understanding of marine air 329 330 masses, which tend to be cleaner and more humid. These findings validate our classification method of "land case" and "sea case" based on the backward air mass trajectory. 331





Secondary pollutants in marine air masses, such as O₃, PM_{2.5}, and pNO₃⁻, also register lower 332 daytime concentrations than in the "land case" (59.4 \pm 10.3 ppbv vs. 63.4 \pm 13.3 ppbv, 13.2 \pm 5.8 μ g 333 m^{-3} vs. 29.9 ± 22.8 µg m^{-3} , and 1.3 ± 0.5 µg m^{-3} vs. 10.0 ± 3.3 µg m^{-3} , respectively). Though the 334 HONO concentration in marine air masses is less than that in the "land case" (0.42 ± 0.25 ppbv vs. 335 336 0.51 ± 0.22 ppbv), it maintains a relatively high level, particularly during intense photolysis periods around noon when the HONO concentration in the "sea case" marginally increases. NOx 337 concentrations in the "sea case" are also lower than in the "land case", but the difference is less 338 substantial than primary pollutants, with both NO and NO2 showing concentration peaks around noon. 339 Nighttime observations in the "sea case" show a higher HONO/NO₂ ratio (0.12), which has been noted 340 in earlier studies (Zha et al., 2014), suggesting strong nocturnal HONO formation in marine air masses. 341 Here, we focus on the sources of HONO during the day under the influence of marine air masses. 342

Utilizing the updated chemical model, we examine the HONO budgets in both "sea" and "land" cases. 343 344 In the "land case", the simulated HONO concentration aligns well with the observed HONO concentration, with a high index of agreement (IOA) value of 0.94 (Figure 6a). The peak HONO 345 production rate observed at Mount Lao (2.69 ppbv h^{-1}) surpasses that calculated in continental air 346 masses at Hok Tsui, Hong Kong (less than 1.5 ppbv h⁻¹) (Gu et al., 2022b). The contributions of photo-347 enhanced heterogeneous reactions of NO_2 on the aerosol surface (22%) and photolysis of pNO_3^- (20%) 348 are comparable (Figure 6c). Model results reveal that the homogeneous reaction between NO and OH 349 is the predominant HONO formation pathway, contributing an average of 44% (0.52 ± 0.38 ppbv h⁻¹). 350 Despite a lower absolute rate than in urban areas, the relative contribution is significant(Gu et al., 351 2022a; Yu et al., 2022b). This result suggests that similar to the findings in the cases of dust and 352 photochemical pollution, the current model's parameterization reasonably accounts for the observed 353 HONO concentration in the "land case". 354

355 However, in the "sea case", while the updated model has improved in simulating HONO concentrations, with an average concentration increase from 0.05 ppbv to 0.11 ppbv, it falls short of 356 the observed concentration (0.42 ppbv), indicating a substantial unidentified HONO source. At noon, 357 the missing HONO production rate (P_{missing}) can reach up to 0.70 ppbv h⁻¹. This value is slightly higher 358 than the result calculated by Meusel et al. (2016) on Cyprus Island (about 0.5 ppbv h^{-1}), but lower than 359 that reported by Yang et al. (2021a) in coastal Qingdao (up to 1.83 ppbv h⁻¹, including all non-NO+OH 360 pathways). Sensitivity tests were conducted to assess the impact of parameter selection on simulation 361 results, but even with much larger parameters (Table S4), the model fails to explain the observed 362 363 HONO concentrations (Figure S4).





The correlation analysis reveals that the missing HONO production rate correlates strongly with 364 JNO₂ and JNO₂× pNO_3^- (Figure S6), with correlation coefficients (r) of 0.90 and 0.73, respectively. 365 This indicates that the missing HONO sources are closely related to photochemical processes. This 366 concurs with recent multi-site HONO analysis results, which propose a significant role of 367 368 photochemical processes in observed HONO concentrations in remote areas (Jiang et al., 2022). We postulate that all missing HONO originates from photochemical processes and have calculated the 369 required enhancement factors (EF) for nitrate photolysis rates (Text S2) and the uptake coefficient 370 required for NO₂ on aerosol and ground surfaces (Figure S5). To account for the observed HONO 371 372 concentrations, the required EF is approximately 4000. While Andersen et al. (2023) noted that the EF increases with decreasing nitrate concentration, a 4000-fold difference exceeds all laboratory and field 373 observations to date (Ye et al., 2017; Andersen et al., 2023). The required uptake coefficient of NO₂ 374 on aerosol and ground surface reach 4×10^{-4} , exceeding previous laboratory studies (Liu et al., 2019a; 375 Stemmler et al., 2007). This suggests that the observed missing HONO source in the "sea case" cannot 376 be explained by the current photochemical processes. This deviates from the findings of Zhu et al. 377 (2022), who discovered that nitrate photolysis could explain the observed HONO concentrations in 378 clean marine air masses using a moderate EF of 29. In recent years, many observations have noted 379 distinct HONO characteristics under the influence of marine air masses, differing from those in 380 continental air masses, but the specific mechanisms are still lacking (Yang et al., 2021a; Zhu et al., 381 2022; Crilley et al., 2021; Meusel et al., 2016). The ocean surface contains abundant nitrogen-382 383 containing substances (e.g., dissolved nitrate, ammonia, aliphatic amine, dissolved free amino acids) (Donaldson and George, 2012; Altieri et al., 2016), encompassing both organic and inorganic nitrogen. 384 385 This is particularly true in polluted coastal areas where surface nitrogen content is rich. It merits investigation whether these nitrogen-containing substances in the alkaline sea-surface microlayer can 386 directly affect HONO production or enhance HONO formation by photolysis on the formed sea salt 387 aerosols. Additionally, the presence of halogens in oceanic air masses might promote nitrate photolysis 388 (Zhang et al., 2020). 389

390 3.4 Impacts of HONO on O3 and OH production

To quantify the impact of HONO, especially in the marine atmosphere, on O_3 and OH radicals, we conducted further scenario simulations using a chemical box model. In the "with HONO" scenario, we input the observed HONO concentrations to constrain the model. In contrast, in the "without HONO" scenario, the model set HONO concentrations to zero. The differences between these scenarios illustrate the impact of HONO chemistry on O_3 and OH radicals in the atmosphere. To further investigate the effect of the missing HONO sources in marine air masses, we established a third





simulation scenario, "without missing HONO", in the marine air mass simulation. In this scenario, the
model includes the latest HONO formation mechanisms discussed earlier but without the constraint of
observed HONO.

400 HONO significantly influences the production of O₃ and OH radicals, regardless of whether the overall situation during the observation period ("overall case") or the situation within the marine air 401 masses ("sea case") is considered (Figure 7). The net O_3 production rate can be determined by the 402 difference between the O_3 production rate (P(O_3)) and loss rate (L(O_3)) (Xue et al., 2014). Specifically, 403 the absence of HONO resulted in a decrease in the O_3 and OH radical production rates in the "overall 404 case" from 7.39 ppbv h^{-1} and 1.44×10^7 molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹ to 2.99 ppbv h^{-1} (a 59% reduction) and 405 2.78×10^6 molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹ (an 81% reduction), respectively. Similarly, in the marine air masses, the 406 O_3 and OH radical production rates decreased from 6.22 ppbv h⁻¹ and 7.69×10⁶ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹ to 407 3.20 ppbv h⁻¹ (a 49% reduction) and 2.11×10⁶ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹ (a 73% reduction), respectively. 408 Regarding concentration, the absence of HONO chemistry resulted in a reduction in the average O₃ 409 concentration in the overall situation from 40.4 ppbv to 35.0 ppbv and a reduction in the average OH 410 radical concentration from 3.6×10^6 molecules cm⁻³ to 1.7×10^6 molecules cm⁻³. Furthermore, the peak 411 concentrations of O₃ and OH radicals decreased by 15% and 53% (from 59.3 ppbv to 50.3 ppbv and 412 from 5.2×10^6 molecules to 2.4×10^6 molecules cm⁻³), respectively. These findings are consistent with 413 previous observational studies (Gu et al., 2022a; Yang et al., 2021a), highlighting the significant 414 impact of HONO on O3 and OH radicals. 415

The simulated O₃ and OH radical concentrations for marine air masses also significantly decrease 416 417 when the missing HONO source is not considered ("without missing HONO"). Specifically, the average O₃ concentration decreased from 29.1 ppby to 26.8 ppby (an 8% reduction), while the average 418 OH radical concentration decreased from 2.5×10^6 molecules cm⁻³ to 1.8×10^6 molecules cm⁻³ (a 30%) 419 reduction). The peak concentrations of O_3 and OH radicals decreased by 8% and 28% (from 40.8 ppbv 420 to 37.4 ppbv and from 3.4×10^6 molecules cm⁻³ to 2.4×10^6 molecules cm⁻³), respectively. Regarding 421 the relative contribution to OH radicals, HONO accounts for 79% and 55% in the "overall case" and 422 "sea case", respectively, both exceeding the combined contribution of other pathways (photolysis of 423 O3 contributes 14% and 25%, respectively). Notably, in the "sea case", if the observed values are not 424 input as constraints, and only the updated mechanisms are used, the model still significantly 425 underestimates the impact of HONO on O₃ and OH radicals. Given the relatively limited observational 426 data on HONO in coastal or marine areas and the unclear understanding of the missing HONO sources 427 428 in the ocean, the impact of marine emissions on atmospheric oxidizing capacity may be significantly





underestimated. This underscores the importance of further research in this area to enhance ourunderstanding of the role of HONO in atmospheric chemistry, especially in marine environments.

431 4 Conclusions

This study presents a comprehensive investigation of the characteristics and sources of nitrous acid (HONO) in the coastal environment of Qingdao. The analysis utilizes observational data from the Mount Lao site in Qingdao during spring 2021 and an updated chemical box model that integrates HONO mechanisms. The focus lies on discerning the unidentified HONO sources in marine air masses and comprehending their effects on atmospheric chemistry, emphasizing O₃ and OH concentrations.

Despite a relatively pristine coastal atmosphere, HONO concentrations are considerably higher 437 than previously thought (0.46 ± 0.37 ppby), notably during daytime. This observation persists in lower 438 primary pollutant concentrations such as CO and SO₂ within marine air masses, suggesting missing 439 HONO sources tied to photochemical processes. An updated chemical model's simulation reveals that 440 441 the mechanisms behind HONO formation can satisfactorily account for the observed HONO concentrations during the dust and photochemical pollution periods. Yet, in marine scenarios, the 442 model falls short of matching observed concentrations, pointing to a strong unidentified HONO source 443 within the marine atmosphere. Sensitivity tests and correlation analyses emphasize the importance of 444 photochemical processes in unidentified HONO sources. Nevertheless, if these unknown sources are 445 attributed to either nitrate photolysis or heterogeneous NO₂ reactions, the necessary nitrate photolysis 446 rate and the heterogeneous uptake coefficient of NO₂ would surpass the upper thresholds established 447 by current laboratory studies. In light of these findings, future research must target uncovering the 448 mechanisms behind the missing HONO sources in marine air masses. Specifically, the role of nitrogen-449 containing substances at the ocean's surface and the potential influence of halogens in promoting 450 nitrate photolysis warrant further examination. 451





453 Acknowledgments

- 454 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants nos.
- 455 42061160478 and 42105106) and the National Key Research and Development Programme of the
- 456 Ministry of Science of Technology of China (grant no. 2022YFC3701101). We would like to express
- 457 our gratitude to the University of Leeds for providing the Master Chemical Mechanism and to NCAR
- 458 for the Tropospheric Ultraviolet Visible (TUV) radiation model. We also thank Yaqiang Wang for
- 459 developing the open-source software MeteoInfo.

460 Author contributing

- 461 LX and HS conceptualized the research. XZ drafted the initial manuscript and analyzed the data. CD
- 462 supported funding the observation. YZ, CD, and XW designed the field campaign. MZ, JZ, YS, YL,
- 463 YS, HL, and JM conducted the field campaign. JZ and YL analyzed the aerosol samples and VOC
- 464 samples, respectively. MZ and YZ assisted with the model simulation. YY, YN, and JT contributed to
- 465 figure creation. LX, HS, MG, and WW revised the original manuscript.

466 **Competing interests**

467 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

468 Data availability

469 The data supporting this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.





471 References

472	Acker, K., Möller, D., Wieprecht, W., Meixner, F. X., Bohn, B., Gilge, S., Plass-Dülmer, C., and
473	Berresheim, H.: Strong daytime production of OH from HNO ₂ at a rural mountain site,
474	Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, 4, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024643, 2006.
475	Alicke, B., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Konrad, S., Pätz, H. W., Schäfer, J., Stutz, J.,
476	Volz-Thomas, A., and Platt, U.: OH formation by HONO photolysis during the BERLIOZ
477	experiment, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, PHO 3-1-PHO 3-17,
478	https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000579, 2003.
479	Altieri, K. E., Fawcett, S. E., Peters, A. J., Sigman, D. M., and Hastings, M. G.: Marine biogenic source
480	of atmospheric organic nitrogen in the subtropical North Atlantic, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 113,
481	925-930, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1516847113, 2016.
482	Andersen, S. T., Carpenter, L. J., Reed, C., Lee, J. D., Chance, R., Sherwen, T., Vaughan, A. R.,
483	Stewart, J., Edwards, P. M., Bloss, W. J., Sommariva, R., Crilley, L. R., Nott, G. J., Neves, L.,
484	Read, K., Heard, D. E., Seakins, P. W., Whalley, L. K., Boustead, G. A., Fleming, L. T., Stone,
485	D., and Fomba, K. W.: Extensive field evidence for the release of HONO from the photolysis
486	of nitrate aerosols, Science Advances, 9, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.add6266, 2023.
487	Aumont, B., Chervier, F., and Laval, S.: Contribution of HONO sources to the NO _x /HO _x /O ₃ chemistry
488	in the polluted boundary layer, Atmos. Environ., 37, 487-498, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-
489	2310(02)00920-2, 2003.
490	Bröske, R., Kleffmann, J., and Wiesen, P.: Heterogeneous conversion of NO ₂ on secondary organic
491	aerosol surfaces: A possible source of nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere?, Atmos. Chem.
492	Phys., 3, 469-474, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-469-2003, 2003.
493	Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L. J., Pope, F. D., Reed, C., Lee, J. D., Carpenter, L. J., Hollis, L. D. J., Ball,
494	S. M., and Bloss, W. J.: Is the ocean surface a source of nitrous acid (HONO) in the marine
495	boundary layer?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 18213-18225, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-
496	18213-2021, 2021.
497	Cui, L. L., Li, R., Fu, H. B., Li, Q., Zhang, L. W., George, C., and Chen, J. M.: Formation features of
498	nitrous acid in the offshore area of the East China Sea, Sci. Total Environ., 682, 138-150,
499	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.004, 2019.
500	Czader, B. H., Rappenglück, B., Percell, P., Byun, D. W., Ngan, F., and Kim, S.: Modeling nitrous
501	acid and its impact on ozone and hydroxyl radical during the Texas Air Quality Study 2006,
502	Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 6939–6951, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-6939-2012, 2012.
503	Donaldson, D. J. and George, C.: Sea-Surface Chemistry and its Impact on the Marine Boundary Layer,
504	Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 10385-10389, https://doi.org/10.1021/es301051m, 2012.
505	Eisnorbany, Y. F., Stell, B., Bruni, C., and Leneveld, J.: Impact of HONO on global atmospheric
506	Device 12, 0077, 10000, https://doi.org/10.5104/acm.12.0077.2012, 2012
507	Phys., 12, 9977–10000, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9977-2012, 2012.
508	oten, M., Liang, Z., Zhang, K., Go Madato, B. K., and Chan, C. K.: Particulate intrate photolysis in the
509	Cu P Shop H Yuo I Wang T Coo I Li H Liong V Yie M Yu C Liu V and Wang
510	W. Investigating the courses of etmographic nitrous and (HONO) in the magnetic of Pailing
511	China Soi Total Environ 812 152270 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitotany.2021.152270
512	China, Sci. 10tai Environ., δ_{12} , 152270 , https://doi.org/10.1010/j.scholenv.2021.152270, 2022_{0}
513	2022a. Gu D. Wang W. Dang Y. Yia M. Zhao M. Zhang V. Wang V. n. Liu V. Shan H. Yua I.
515	Wang T and Wang W: Nitrous acid in the nolluted coastal atmosphere of the South China
515	Sea: Ship emissions hudgets and impacts Sci Total Environ \$26 153607
517	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitoteny.2022.153692.2022b
518	Hap O Jiang N Zhang R Vang I and Li S: Characteristics sources and reactions of nitrous
510	may, y., standy, W., Zhang, K., Tang, E., and El, S., Characteristics, sources, and reactions of introduc

acid during winter at an urban site in the Central Plains Economic Region in China, Atmos.
Chem. Phys., 20, 7087–7102, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-7087-2020, 2020.





Harrison, R. M. and Kitto, A.-M. N.: Evidence for a surface source of atmospheric nitrous acid, Atmos. 521 522 Environ., 28, 1089-1094, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90286-0, 1994. Jenkin, M. E., Young, J. C., and Rickard, A. R.: The MCM v3.3.1 degradation scheme for isoprene, 523 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11433–11459, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11433-2015, 2015. 524 Jiang, Y., Xue, L. K., Shen, H. Q., Dong, C., Xiao, Z. S., and Wang, W. X.: Dominant Processes of 525 526 HONO Derived from Multiple Field Observations in Contrasting Environments, Environ. Sci. 527 Technol. Lett., 9, 258-264, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00004, 2022. Jiang, Y., Xue, L., Gu, R., Jia, M., Zhang, Y., Wen, L., Zheng, P., Chen, T., Li, H., Shan, Y., Zhao, 528 Y., Guo, Z., Bi, Y., Liu, H., Ding, A., Zhang, Q., and Wang, W.: Sources of nitrous acid 529 (HONO) in the upper boundary layer and lower free troposphere of the North China Plain: 530 531 insights from the Mount Tai Observatory, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 12115-12131, 532 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12115-2020, 2020. 533 Kasibhatla, P., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Carpenter, L. J., Reed, C., Alexander, B., Chen, Q., Sulprizio, 534 M. P., Lee, J. D., Read, K. A., Bloss, W., Crilley, L. R., Keene, W. C., Pszenny, A. A. P., and 535 Hodzic, A.: Global impact of nitrate photolysis in sea-salt aerosol on NO_x, OH, and O₃ in the marine boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 11185-11203, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-536 537 18-11185-2018, 2018. Kebede, M. A., Bish, D. L., Losovyj, Y., Engelhard, M. H., and Raff, J. D.: The Role of Iron-Bearing 538 Minerals in NO₂ to HONO Conversion on Soil Surfaces, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 8649-539 8660, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01915, 2016. 540 Kleffmann, J.: Daytime sources of nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmospheric boundary layer, 541 Chemphyschem, 8, 1137-1144, https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.200700016, 2007. 542 543 Kleffmann, J., Becker, K., and Wiesen, P.: Heterogeneous NO₂ conversion processes on acid surfaces: possible atmospheric implications, Atmos. 2721-2729, Environ., 32, 544 545 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00065-X, 1998. Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., Lörzer, J., Wiesen, P., Kalthoff, N., Vogel, B., and Vogel, H.: Measured 546 and simulated vertical profiles of nitrous acid-Part I: Field measurements, Atmos. Environ., 547 548 37, 2949-2955, https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00242-5, 2003. Kleffmann, J., Gavriloaiei, T., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Koppmann, R., Rupp, L., Schlosser, E., 549 Siese, M., and Wahner, A.: Daytime formation of nitrous acid: A major source of OH radicals 550 in a forest, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L05818, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005gl022524, 2005. 551 Kramer, L. J., Crilley, L. R., Adams, T. J., Ball, S. M., Pope, F. D., and Bloss, W. J.: Nitrous acid 552 (HONO) emissions under real-world driving conditions from vehicles in a UK road tunnel, 553 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 5231–5248, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5231-2020, 2020. 554 Kurtenbach, R., Becker, K. H., Gomes, J. A. G., Kleffmann, J., Lorzer, J. C., Spittler, M., Wiesen, P., 555 Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., and Platt, U.: Investigations of emissions and heterogeneous 556 formation of HONO in a road traffic tunnel, Atmos. Environ., 35, 3385-3394, 557 https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(01)00138-8, 2001. 558 Lee, J. D., Whalley, L. K., Heard, D. E., Stone, D., Dunmore, R. E., Hamilton, J. F., Young, D. E., 559 560 Allan, J. D., Laufs, S., and Kleffmann, J.: Detailed budget analysis of HONO in central London reveals a missing daytime source, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 561 2747-2764, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-2747-2016, 2016. 562 Lelièvre, S., Bedjanian, Y., Laverdet, G., and Le Bras, G. : Heterogeneous Reaction of NO₂ with 563 564 Hvdrocarbon Flame Soot. J. Phys. Chem. A. 108. 10807 - 10817, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp0469970, 2004. 565 Li, D., Xue, L., Wen, L., Wang, X., Chen, T., Mellouki, A., Chen, J., and Wang, W.: Characteristics 566 and sources of nitrous acid in an urban atmosphere of northern China: Results from 1-yr 567 continuous observations, Atmos. Environ., 182, 296-306, 568 569 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.03.033, 2018.

2021.





- Li, M., Su, H., Li, G., Ma, N., Pöschl, U., and Cheng, Y.: Relative importance of gas uptake on aerosol
 and ground surfaces characterized by equivalent uptake coefficients, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19,
 10981-11011, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10981-2019, 2019.
- Liao, S., Zhang, J., Yu, F., Zhu, M., Liu, J., Ou, J., Dong, H., Sha, Q., Zhong, Z., Xie, Y., Luo, H.,
 Zhang, L., and Zheng, J.: High Gaseous Nitrous Acid (HONO) Emissions from Light-Duty
 Diesel Vehicles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 55, 200-208, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05599,
- 576
- Liu, C.-M., Young, C.-Y., and Lee, Y.-C.: Influence of Asian dust storms on air quality in Taiwan,
 Science of The Total Environment, 368, 884-897,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.03.039, 2006.
- Liu, J., Li, S., Mekic, M., Jiang, H., Zhou, W., Loisel, G., Song, W., Wang, X., and Gligorovski, S.:
 Photoenhanced Uptake of NO₂ and HONO Formation on Real Urban Grime, Environ. Sci.
 Technol. Lett., 6, 413-417, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.9b00308, 2019a.
- Liu, Y., Shen, H., Mu, J., Li, H., Chen, T., Yang, J., Jiang, Y., Zhu, Y., Meng, H., Dong, C., Wang,
 W., and Xue, L.: Formation of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and its impact on ozone production
 in the coastal atmosphere of Qingdao, North China, Sci. Total Environ., 778, 146265,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146265, 2021.
- Liu, Y. H., Lu, K. D., Li, X., Dong, H. B., Tan, Z. F., Wang, H. C., Zou, Q., Wu, Y. S., Zeng, L. M.,
 Hu, M., Min, K. E., Kecorius, S., Wiedensohler, A., and Zhang, Y. H.: A Comprehensive
 Model Test of the HONO Sources Constrained to Field Measurements at Rural North China
 Plain, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 3517-3525, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06367, 2019b.
- Plain, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 3517-3525, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06367, 2019b.
 Meusel, H., Kuhn, U., Reiffs, A., Mallik, C., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Schuladen, J., Bohn, B.,
 Parchatka, U., Crowley, J. N., Fischer, H., Tomsche, L., Novelli, A., Hoffmann, T., Janssen, R.
 H. H., Hartogensis, O., Pikridas, M., Vrekoussis, M., Bourtsoukidis, E., Weber, B., Lelieveld,
 J., Williams, J., Poschl, U., Cheng, Y. F., and Su, H.: Daytime formation of nitrous acid at a
 coastal remote site in Cyprus indicating a common ground source of atmospheric HONO and
- NO, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14475-14493, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14475-2016, 2016.
 Nie, W., Ding, A. J., Xie, Y. N., Xu, Z., Mao, H., Kerminen, V. M., Zheng, L. F., Qi, X. M., Huang,
 X., Yang, X. Q., Sun, J. N., Herrmann, E., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C. B.: Influence of
- biomass burning plumes on HONO chemistry in eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1147 1159, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1147-2015, 2015.
- Reed, C., Evans, M. J., Crilley, L. R., Bloss, W. J., Sherwen, T., Read, K. A., Lee, J. D., and Carpenter,
 L. J.: Evidence for renoxification in the tropical marine boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 17, 4081-4092, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-4081-2017, 2017.
- Romer, P. S., Wooldridge, P. J., Crounse, J. D., Kim, M. J., Wennberg, P. O., Dibb, J. E., Scheuer, E.,
 Blake, D. R., Meinardi, S., Brosius, A. L., Thames, A. B., Miller, D. O., Brune, W. H., Hall, S.
 R., Ryerson, T. B., and Cohen, R. C.: Constraints on Aerosol Nitrate Photolysis as a Potential
 Source of HONO and NO_x, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 13738-13746,
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03861, 2018.
- Shi, Q., Tao, Y., Krechmer, J. E., Heald, C. L., Murphy, J. G., Kroll, J. H., and Ye, Q.: Laboratory
 Investigation of Renoxification from the Photolysis of Inorganic Particulate Nitrate, Environ.
 Sci. Technol., 55, 854-861, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06049, 2021.
- Stemmler, K., Ammann, M., Donders, C., Kleffmann, J., and George, C.: Photosensitized reduction of
 nitrogen dioxide on humic acid as a source of nitrous acid, Nature, 440, 195-198,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04603, 2006.
- Stemmler, K., Ndour, M., Elshorbany, Y., Kleffmann, J., D'Anna, B., George, C., Bohn, B., and
 Ammann, M.: Light induced conversion of nitrogen dioxide into nitrous acid on submicron
 humic acid aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4237-4248, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-42372007, 2007.





- Su, H., Cheng, Y. F., Shao, M., Gao, D. F., Yu, Z. Y., Zeng, L. M., Slanina, J., Zhang, Y. H., and 619 Wiedensohler, A.: Nitrous acid (HONO) and its daytime sources at a rural site during the 2004 620 J. 621 PRIDE-PRD experiment in China, Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009060, 2008. 622
- Su, H., Cheng, Y., Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Trebs, I., Meixner, F. X., Andreae, M. O., Cheng, P.,
 Zhang, Y., and Poschl, U.: Soil nitrite as a source of atmospheric HONO and OH radicals,
 Science, 333, 1616-1618, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207687, 2011.
- Tang, Y., An, J., Wang, F., Li, Y., Qu, Y., Chen, Y., and Lin, J.: Impacts of an unknown daytime
 HONO source on the mixing ratio and budget of HONO, and hydroxyl, hydroperoxyl, and
 organic peroxy radicals, in the coastal regions of China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9381-9398,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-9381-2015, 2015.
- Theys, N., Volkamer, R., Müller, J. F., Zarzana, K. J., Kille, N., Clarisse, L., De Smedt, I., Lerot, C.,
 Finkenzeller, H., Hendrick, F., Koenig, T. K., Lee, C. F., Knote, C., Yu, H., and Van
 Roozendael, M.: Global nitrous acid emissions and levels of regional oxidants enhanced by
 wildfires, Nat. Geosci., 13, 681-686, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-020-0637-7, 2020.
- Tong, S., Hou, S., Zhang, Y., Chu, B., Liu, Y., He, H., Zhao, P., and Ge, M.: Exploring the nitrous
 acid (HONO) formation mechanism in winter Beijing: direct emissions and heterogeneous
 production in urban and suburban areas, Faraday Discuss., 189, 213-230,
 https://doi.org/10.1039/c5fd00163c, 2016.
- Underwood, G. M., Song, C. H., Phadnis, M., Carmichael, G. R., and Grassian, V. H.: Heterogeneous
 reactions of NO₂ and HNO₃ on oxides and mineral dust: A combined laboratory and modeling
 study, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 106, 18055-18066, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900552,
 2001.
- VandenBoer, T. C., Brown, S. S., Murphy, J. G., Keene, W. C., Young, C. J., Pszenny, A. A. P., Kim,
 S., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Maben, J. R., Wagner, N. L., Riedel, T. P., Thornton, J. A.,
 Wolfe, D. E., Dube, W. P., Ozturk, F., Brock, C. A., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B., Lerner, B.,
 Middlebrook, A. M., and Roberts, J. M.: Understanding the role of the ground surface in
 HONO vertical structure: High resolution vertical profiles during NACHTT-11, J. Geophys.
 Res. Atmos., 118, 10155-10171, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50721, 2013a.
- VandenBoer, T. C., Brown, S. S., Murphy, J. G., Keene, W. C., Young, C. J., Pszenny, A. A. P., Kim,
 S., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Maben, J. R., Wagner, N. L., Riedel, T. P., Thornton, J. A.,
 Wolfe, D. E., Dubé, W. P., Öztürk, F., Brock, C. A., Grossberg, N., Lefer, B., Lerner, B.,
 Middlebrook, A. M., and Roberts, J. M.: Understanding the role of the ground surface in
 HONO vertical structure: High resolution vertical profiles during NACHTT-11, J. Geophys.
 Res. Atmos., 118, 10,155-110,171, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50721, 2013b.
- Villena, G., Wiesen, P., Cantrell, C. A., Flocke, F., Fried, A., Hall, S. R., Hornbrook, R. S., Knapp, D.,
 Kosciuch, E., Mauldin, R. L., McGrath, J. A., Montzka, D., Richter, D., Ullmann, K., Walega,
 J., Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A., Staebler, R. M., Liao, J., Huey, L. G., and Kleffmann, J.:
 Nitrous acid (HONO) during polar spring in Barrow, Alaska: A net source of OH radicals?, J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 116, D00R07, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011jd016643, 2011.
- Vogel, B., Vogel, H., Kleffmann, J., and Kurtenbach, R.: Measured and simulated vertical profiles of
 nitrous acid—Part II. Model simulations and indications for a photolytic source, Atmos.
 Environ., 37, 2957-2966, https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00243-7, 2003.
- Wang, S.: Atmospheric observations of enhanced NO₂-HONO conversion on mineral dust particles,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003gl017014, 2003.
- Wang, Y. Q.: MeteoInfo: GIS software for meteorological data visualization and analysis, MeApp, 21,
 360-368, https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1345, 2012.
- Wojtal, P., Halla, J. D., and McLaren, R.: Pseudo steady states of HONO measured in the nocturnal
 marine boundary layer: a conceptual model for HONO formation on aqueous surfaces, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 11, 3243-3261, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3243-2011, 2011.





669	Wu, C., Zhang, S., Wang, G., Lv, S., Li, D., Liu, L., Li, J., Liu, S., Du, W., Meng, J., Qiao, L., Zhou,
670	M., Huang, C., and Wang, H.: Efficient Heterogeneous Formation of Ammonium Nitrate on
671	the Saline Mineral Particle Surface in the Atmosphere of East Asia during Dust Storm Periods,
672	Environ Sci Technol, 54, 15622-15630, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04544, 2020.
673	Xing, L., Wu, J., Elser, M., Tong, S., Liu, S., Li, X., Liu, L., Cao, J., Zhou, J., El-Haddad, I., Huang,
674	R., Ge, M., Tie, X., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Li, G.: Wintertime secondary organic aerosol
675	formation in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH): contributions of HONO sources and
676	heterogeneous reactions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 2343-2359, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-
677	2343-2019, 2019.
678	Xue, C., Ye, C., Kleffmann, J., Zhang, C., Catoire, V., Bao, F., Mellouki, A., Xue, L., Chen, J., Lu,
679	K., Zhao, Y., Liu, H., Guo, Z., and Mu, Y.: Atmospheric measurements at Mt. Tai-Part I:
680	HONO formation and its role in the oxidizing capacity of the upper boundary layer, Atmos.
681	Chem. Phys., 22, 3149-3167, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-3149-2022, 2022.
682	Xue, C. Y., Zhang, C. L., Ye, C., Liu, P. F., Catoire, V., Krysztofiak, G., Chen, H., Ren, Y. G., Zhao,
683	X. X., Wang, J. H., Zhang, F., Zhang, C. X., Zhang, J. W., An, J. L., Wang, T., Chen, J. M.,
684	Kleffmann, J., Mellouki, A., and Mu, Y. J.: HONO Budget and Its Role in Nitrate Formation
685	in the Rural North China Plain, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 11048-11057,
686	https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c01832, 2020.
687	Xue, L. K., Wang, T., Gao, J., Ding, A. J., Zhou, X. H., Blake, D. R., Wang, X. F., Saunders, S. M.,
688	Fan, S. J., Zuo, H. C., Zhang, Q. Z., and Wang, W. X.: Ground-level ozone in four Chinese
689	cities: precursors, regional transport and heterogeneous processes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14,
690	13175-13188, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-13175-2014, 2014.
691	Yang, J., Shen, H., Guo, MZ., Zhao, M., Jiang, Y., Chen, T., Liu, Y., Li, H., Zhu, Y., Meng, H.,
692	Wang, W., and Xue, L.: Strong marine-derived nitrous acid (HONO) production observed in
693	the coastal atmosphere of northern China, Atmos. Environ., 244, 117948,
694	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117948, 2021a.
695	Yang, Y., Li, X., Zu, K., Lian, C., Chen, S., Dong, H., Feng, M., Liu, H., Liu, J., Lu, K., Lu, S., Ma,
696	X., Song, D., Wang, W., Yang, S., Yang, X., Yu, X., Zhu, Y., Zeng, L., Tan, Q., and Zhang,
697	Y.: Elucidating the effect of HONO on O ₃ pollution by a case study in southwest China, Sci.
698	Total Environ., 756, 144127, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144127, 2021b.
699	Ye, C., Zhang, N., Gao, H., and Zhou, X.: Photolysis of Particulate Nitrate as a Source of HONO and
700	NO _x , Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 6849-6856, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00387, 2017.
701	Ye, C., Zhou, X., Pu, D., Stutz, J., Festa, J., Spolaor, M., Tsai, C., Cantrell, C., Mauldin, R. L., 3rd,
702	Campos, T., Weinheimer, A., Hornbrook, R. S., Apel, E. C., Guenther, A., Kaser, L., Yuan, B.,
703	Karl, T., Haggerty, J., Hall, S., Ullmann, K., Smith, J. N., Ortega, J., and Knote, C.: Rapid
704	cycling of reactive nitrogen in the marine boundary layer, Nature, 532, 489-491,
705	https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17195, 2016a.
706	Ye, C. X., Gao, H. L., Zhang, N., and Zhou, X. L.: Photolysis of Nitric Acid and Nitrate on Natural
707	and Artificial Surfaces, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 3530-3536,
708	https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05032, 2016b.
709	Yu, C., Wang, Z., Ma, Q., Xue, L., George, C., and Wang, T.: Measurement of heterogeneous uptake
710	of NO ₂ on inorganic particles, sea water and urban grime, J. Environ. Sci., 106, 124-135,
711	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2021.01.018, 2021.
712	Yu, C., Huang, L., Xue, L., Shen, H., Li, Z., Zhao, M., Yang, J., Zhang, Y., Li, H., Mu, J., and Wang,
713	W.: Photoenhanced Heterogeneous Uptake of NO2 and HONO Formation on Authentic Winter
714	Time Urban Grime, ACS Earth Space Chem., 6, 1960-1968,
715	https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.2c00054, 2022a.
716	Yu, Y., Cheng, P., Li, H., Yang, W., Han, B., Song, W., Hu, W., Wang, X., Yuan, B., Shao, M., Huang,
717	Z., Li, Z., Zheng, J., Wang, H., and Yu, X.: Budget of nitrous acid (HONO) at an urban site in





- 718
 the fall season of Guangzhou, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 8951-8971,

 719
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-8951-2022, 2022b.
- Zha, Q. Z., Xue, L. K., Wang, T., Xu, Z., Yeung, C. P., Louie, P. K. K., and Luk, C. W. Y.: Large
 conversion rates of NO₂ to HNO₂ observed in air masses from the South China Sea: Evidence
 of strong production at sea surface?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 7710-7715,
- 722 of strong production at sea surface?, Ge 723 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014gl061429, 2014.
- Zhang, R., Gen, M., Huang, D., Li, Y., and Chan, C. K.: Enhanced Sulfate Production by Nitrate
 Photolysis in the Presence of Halide Ions in Atmospheric Particles, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54,
 3831-3839, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b06445, 2020.
- 727 Zhang, W., Tong, S., Ge, M., An, J., Shi, Z., Hou, S., Xia, K., Ou, Y., Zhang, H., Chu, B., Sun, Y., 728 and He, H.: Variations and sources of nitrous acid (HONO) during a severe pollution episode 729 in Beijing in winter 2016, Sci. Total Environ., 648, 253-262, 730 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.133, 2019.
- Zhou, X., Zhang, N., TerAvest, M., Tang, D., Hou, J., Bertman, S., Alaghmand, M., Shepson, P. B.,
 Carroll, M. A., Griffith, S., Dusanter, S., and Stevens, P. S.: Nitric acid photolysis on forest
 canopy surface as a source for tropospheric nitrous acid, Nat. Geosci., 4, 440-443,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1164, 2011.
- Zhou, X. L., Gao, H. L., He, Y., Huang, G., Bertman, S. B., Civerolo, K., and Schwab, J.: Nitric acid
 photolysis on surfaces in low-NO_x environments: Significant atmospheric implications,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003gl018620, 2003.
- Zhu, Y., Wang, Y., Zhou, X., Elshorbany, Y. F., Ye, C., Hayden, M., and Peters, A. J.: An investigation
 into the chemistry of HONO in the marine boundary layer at Tudor Hill Marine Atmospheric
 Observatory in Bermuda, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 6327-6346, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-226327-2022, 2022.
- 742 743





744 Figures and Tables

745



746

Figure 1. Maps showing the location of the monitoring site. Figure 1a is colored by tropospheric NO₂
column density in May 2021 from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI,
https://www.earthdata.nasa.gov/), and Figure 1b and Figure 1c are colored by the geographical height
from the Geospatial Data Cloud (http://www.gscloud.cn/).







Figure 2. Time series of HONO, meteorological parameters, and related species measured during the
campaign. The yellow shaded areas correspond to the period of dust, while the pink shaded areas
represent the period of photochemical pollution.

756







757

Figure 3. Average diurnal variations of (a) HONO, (b) HONO/NO₂, (c) particle nitrate, (d) O₃, (e) CO,
(f) SO₂, (g) NO₂, (h) NO, (i) PM_{2.5}, (j) temperature, (k) RH, and (l) pressure during the observation
period. The shaded area indicates the range of half of the standard deviation.







762

Figure 4. Daytime HONO budgets in dust (a, c) and (b, d) photochemical period at Mount Lao. The
base case only considered the homogeneous reaction of NO + OH, and the model case considered the
updated HONO sources described in this study.







Figure 5. Average diurnal variations of HONO and related parameters in the "sea case" and the "land
case" during the campaign at Mount Lao. The shaded area indicates half of the standard deviation.







771

- 772 Figure 6. Comparison of the observed and modeled daytime (7:00–17:00) HONO concentrations and
- 773 modeled HONO budgets in the "land case" (a, c) and the "sea case" (b, d).







Figure 7. Comparison of simulated O₃ and OH radical concentration (solid lines) and production rate (dashed lines) with and without HONO measurement data constraints and relative diurnal contributions of different OH radical sources with HONO constrained in the "land case" (a, c, e) and the "sea case" (b, d, f). The shaded area indicates the standard deviation.





Pathways	Parametrization	References	
Direct emission	$k_{emission} = 0.8\%$	Kleffmann et al. (2003)	
$OH + NO \rightarrow HONO$	k _{OH+NO}	Calculated in model	
$NO_2 + H_2O \xrightarrow{aerosol surface} HONO + HNO_3$	$\label{eq:k} \begin{split} k &= 0.25 {\times_{V_{NO_2}}} {\times} Sa {\times} \gamma_a \\ \gamma_a &= 8 {\times} 10^{-6} \end{split}$	Vandenboer et al. (2013a)	
$NO_2 + H_2O \xrightarrow{\text{ground surface}} HONO + HNO_3$	$\begin{split} k &= 0.25 \times_{VNO_2} \times_{\gamma g} \times \frac{s}{v} \\ \gamma_g &= 1 \times 10^{-6}, \frac{s}{v} = \frac{1.7}{BLH} \end{split}$	Kleffmann et al. (1998); Vogel et al. (2003)	
$NO_2 + hv \xrightarrow{aerosol surface} HONO$	$\begin{split} k &= 0.25 \times_{VNO_2} \times Sa \times \gamma_{a,\ \hbar\nu} \times \frac{JNO_2}{JNO_{2,noon}} \\ \gamma_{a,\ h\nu} &= 4 \times 10^{-5} \end{split}$	Lelièvre et al. (2004)	
$NO_2 + hv \xrightarrow{\text{ground surface}} HONO$	$\begin{split} k &= 0.25 \times v_{NO_2} \times \gamma_{g, \ h\nu} \times \frac{S}{V} \times \frac{JNO_2}{JNO_{2,noon}} \\ \gamma_{g, \ h\nu} &= 2 \times 10^{-5}, \ \frac{S}{V} = \frac{1.7}{BLH} \end{split}$	Stemmler et al. (2006); Vogel et al. (2003)	
$pNO_3^- + hv \rightarrow HONO$	$k = \frac{8.3 \times 10^{-5}}{7 \times 10^{-7}} \times J(HNO_3)_{MCM}$	Ye et al. (2017)	
$HONO + hv \rightarrow NO + OH$	k = J(HONO)	Calculated in model	
$\rm HONO + OH \rightarrow \rm H_2O + \rm NO_2$	k _{OH+HONO}	Calculated in model	
Deposition	$k = \frac{v_{HONO}}{BLH}$	Calculated in model	

781	Table 1 Summar	z of HONO sou	irce and sinks	included in th	e box model
/01	Tuble I. Summar		and binnes	moraaea m en	e oon moden





Parameters	Mean	SD	Minimum	Median	Maximum
HONO (ppbv)	0.46	0.37	< DL (0.005)	0.38	3.14
HONO/NO ₂	0.13	0.24	-	0.07	2.97
NO (ppbv)	0.9	1.7	0.1	0.2	38.3
NO ₂ (ppbv)	5.9	4.8	0.4	4.6	65.1
O ₃ (ppbv)	60.4	15.8	11.6	58.8	118.1
CO (ppbv)	284.0	118.8	104.2	250.3	1046.7
SO ₂ (ppbv)	1.0	0.8	< DL (0.12)	0.7	8.9
$PM_{2.5} (\mu g \ m^{-3})$	21.2	21.0	< DL (0.5)	14.4	120.7
Sa (m ² m ⁻³)	6.2×10^{-4}	5.8×10^{-4}	2.8×10^{-4}	4.2×10^{-4}	3.1×10^{-3}
$pNO_{3}^{-}(\mu g \ m^{-3})$	4.6	5.0	0.02^{*}	2.8	26.4
TEMP (°C)	15.1	3.4	7.5	15.6	27.9
RH (%)	68.7	26.1	9.0	64.8	99.9
P (kPa)	991.1	4.4	979.0	991.0	1003.0
WS (m s^{-1})	1.23	0.96	0^*	1.00	9.30
WD (°)	_	_	0	247	354
Sa (m ² m ⁻³) pNO ₃ ⁻ (μg m ⁻³) TEMP (°C) RH (%) P (kPa) WS (m s ⁻¹) WD (°)	6.2×10 ⁻⁴ 4.6 15.1 68.7 991.1 1.23 -	5.8×10 ⁻⁴ 5.0 3.4 26.1 4.4 0.96 -	2.8×10^{-4} 0.02^{*} 7.5 9.0 979.0 0^{*} 0	4.2×10 ⁻⁴ 2.8 15.6 64.8 991.0 1.00 247	3.1×10^{-3} 26.4 27.9 99.9 1003.0 9.30 354

783 Table 2. Statistics of measured species and meteorological parameters during the campaign.

784 DL: detection limit.





- 786 Table 3. Comparison of the statistics for the measured species and meteorological parameters during
- 787 dust, photochemical pollution, and non-polluted periods in the daytime (7:00–17:00).

Parameters	Dust period	Photochemical period	Non-polluted period
HONO (ppbv)	0.57 ± 0.39	0.44 ± 0.29	0.40 ± 0.34
HONO/NO ₂	0.07 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.13	0.10 ± 0.12
NO (ppbv)	1.8 ± 1.8	1.2 ± 1.4	1.8 ± 2.0
NO ₂ (ppbv)	9.8 ± 5.0	7.1 ± 4.4	7.1 ± 4.6
O ₃ (ppbv)	58.0 ± 10.8	78.8 ± 17.3	54.9 ± 11.7
CO (ppbv)	371.8 ± 151.9	353.8 ± 117.5	277.6 ± 98.0
SO ₂ (ppbv)	1.6 ± 1.3	1.7 ± 0.8	0.7 ± 0.6
PM _{2.5} (µg m ⁻³)	45.4 ± 32.3	25.0 ± 17.4	17.2 ± 12.6
PM ₁₀ (µg m ⁻³)	235.3 ± 200.8	68.0 ± 47.2	32.8 ± 21.8
Sa (m ² m ⁻³)	$1.28{\times}10^{-3}\pm8.41{\times}10^{-4}$	$6.81{\times}10^{-4}\pm4.73{\times}10^{-4}$	$5.58{\times}10^{-4}\pm4.22{\times}10^{-4}$
pNO ₃ - (µg m ⁻³)	7.0 ± 6.2	6.2 ± 5.6	3.0 ± 3.4
TEMP (°C)	19.0 ± 3.4	20.5 ± 2.7	15.1 ± 2.4
RH (%)	47.8 ± 24.7	47.4 ± 17.2	71.6 ± 27.0
WS (m s^{-1})	0.42 ± 0.35	0.65 ± 0.33	0.38 ± 0.25
JNO_2 (s ⁻¹)	$6.6{\times}10^{-3}\pm2.2{\times}10^{-3}$	$7.0{\times}10^{-3}\pm2.1{\times}10^{-3}$	$4.5{\times}10^{-3}\pm2.2{\times}10^{-3}$