

Linkages between atmospheric rivers and humid heat across the United States

Colin Raymond¹, Anamika Shreevastava², Emily Slinsky³, and Duane Waliser²

¹Joint Institute for Regional Earth System Science and Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 90095, USA

²Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, 91109, USA

³Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, 90095, USA

Correspondence to: Colin Raymond (crraymond@ucla.edu)

Abstract. The global increase in atmospheric water vapour due to climate change tends to heighten the dangers associated with both humid heat and heavy precipitation. Process-linked connections between these two extremes, particularly those which cause them to occur close together in space or time, are of special concern for impacts. Here we investigate how atmospheric rivers relate to the risk of summertime humid heat in the US. We find that the hazards of atmospheric rivers and humid heat often occur in close proximity, most notably across the northern third of the US. In this region, high levels of water vapour — resulting from the spatially organised horizontal moisture plumes that characterise atmospheric rivers — act to amplify humid heat, generally during the transition from dry high-pressure ridge conditions to wet low-pressure trough conditions. In contrast, the Southeast, Southwest, and Northwest US tend to experience atmospheric rivers and humid heat separately, representing an important negative correlation of joint risk.

1 Introduction

Hot and humid weather — prime conditions for heat stress — is increasing in occurrence and severity over most of the globe, a consequence of both rising temperature and specific humidity (Raymond et al. 2020; Buzan & Huber 2020). Several recent studies have found that wet and hot conditions can occur in rapid sequence, posing the compound threat of infrastructure damage followed by a public-health crisis to which response capacities are diminished (Zhang & Villarini 2020; Liao et al. 2021; Gu et al. 2022; Sauter et al. 2023), and more generally the challenge of enhanced impacts due to resource limitations from two damaging events happening close together in space or time (de Ruiter et al. 2020).

The joint wet-hot risk is underlain by physical connections in the form of both atmospheric-circulation patterns and land-surface feedbacks. Soil moisture is a particularly important modulator, with high-humid-heat days being favoured after wet days in arid areas of the subtropics (Liu et al. 2019; Speizer et al. 2022). Conversely, high temperatures are followed by an increased likelihood of precipitation in situations where there is a mechanism that facilitates or forces ascent, whether large-scale as in North China, Central Europe, or the Midwest US (Deng et al. 2020; You & Wang 2021; Sauter et al. 2023; Zhang & Villarini 2020) or mesoscale as in Florida, USA (Raghavendra et al. 2019). In the former cases, moisture

convergence occurs due to the same circulation regime that favours subsidence, anomalous radiation, and southerly flow. Similarly, the occurrence of successive heat and flood events on the Australian east coast has been attributed to a slight geographic shift in position of a ridge east of Queensland, with warm and humid onshore flow rapidly transitioning to hot and dry offshore flow that raises temperatures while moisture levels are already high (Sauter et al. 2022; Boschhat et al. 2015). However, of the aforementioned studies, only Zhang and Villarini (2020) investigate humid heat, rather than high temperatures alone. Consideration of mechanisms for tripartite heat-vapour-precipitation connections has also been underdeveloped.

Atmospheric rivers [ARs] are broadly defined as long-distance conveyors of water vapour, serving to effect poleward moisture transport and also favouring high winds and heavy precipitation (Ralph et al. 2020; Ralph et al. 2018; Guan & Waliser 2015; Neiman et al. 2008). Related terms from the literature which more precisely locate and describe vapour-transport features include warm conveyor belts (Madonna et al. 2014) and moist low-level jets (Ralph et al. 2018; Stensrud 1996). ARs have been almost exclusively discussed phenomenologically (Gimeno et al. 2021), and consequently a wide diversity of meteorological patterns may be categorised as ARs, even within the same region and season. ARs are most closely related to maxima of moisture transport, otherwise known as integrated vapour transport [IVT], which occur principally in connection with extratropical cyclones but also with deep monsoon-related flow and continental low-level jets, among other systems (de Vries 2021; Gimeno et al. 2021; Corringham et al. 2019). Notable instances of the latter two phenomena are located in the Midwest US, northern India, and southern South America (de Vries 2021; Higgins et al. 1997). ARs can be further divided along dimensions including moisture versus wind-dominated (Gonzales et al. 2020), transient versus quasi-stationary (Park et al. 2023), and tropical versus extratropical (Reid et al. 2022), as well as other distinct regional characteristics — all differences which affect ARs themselves and their impacts (Park et al. 2021; Guan & Waliser 2019; Nayak & Villarini 2017). This variety of systems falling under a single broad heading is also the case for other important climate phenomena, such as droughts (Haile et al. 2019). Although the first-described and best-known AR types occur in the extratropical cold season, warm-season varieties can have a substantial imprint on regional hydroclimate (Slinskey et al. 2020). To take North America as an illustrative case, about half of summer extreme-precipitation days in the Eastern and Central US are caused by ARs. Summer ARs over the US originate from the Pacific Ocean or (especially) the Gulf of Mexico, and tend to be weaker but wetter than their cold-season counterparts due to the higher temperatures and associated background water-vapor quantities (Slinskey et al. 2020; Neiman et al. 2008).

Recognizing this state of existing literature as well as the weather-system perspective that ARs offer with respect to ensuring the physical meaningfulness of risk relationships, we investigate here the spatiotemporal patterns of humid heat and ARs across the contiguous US, and in doing so explore the potential for ARs to encapsulate a strong and process-based link between humid heat, precipitation, and moisture transport.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Time period and regions

Our analysis spans 1980-2020, for the extended warm season of May-September, and relies primarily on variables
65 from the MERRA-2 reanalysis (Gelaro et al. 2017) as described further below. We consider both the gridcell level and
spatial means across seven regions of the contiguous US defined by the US National Climate Assessment: Northwest [NW],
Southwest [SW], Northern Great Plains [NGP], Southern Great Plains [SGP], Midwest [MW], Southeast [SE], and Northeast
[NE] (Jay et al. 2018). These regions are included in Figure 1.

70 2.2 Atmospheric rivers

For ARs, we use the MERRA-2-based Guan-Waliser AR-detection algorithm (Guan & Waliser 2019). This
algorithm incorporates a percentile-based thresholding of IVT, as well as geometric and direction-of-motion criteria, to
define AR presence/absence at each gridcell and 6-hour timestep. Using the Guan-Waliser AR catalogue, we subsequently
define AR gridcell-days as those for which an AR is present at a gridcell for at least two of that day's four timesteps. The
75 entire AR need not fall within the US domain, as the catalogue is defined globally and we evaluate AR occurrence gridcell-
by-gridcell. Each AR is also assigned a single intensity category for each day based on a scale of 1 (weak) to 5 (strong)
(Ralph et al. 2019); we consider strong ARs to correspond to categories 4 and 5.

2.3 Humid heat

80 To characterise humid heat, we use daily maxima of 2-m wet-bulb temperature [Tw], calculated from hourly
MERRA-2 dry-bulb temperature and dewpoint temperature (Davies-Jones 2008). We compute Tw percentiles for each day
at each gridcell against the climatology of the surrounding 30 days, then define a 'humid-heat day' as a day with Tw above
the 95th percentile. A 'peak humid-heat day' is a humid-heat day that additionally satisfies the constraints of having the
highest Tw value within 3 days on either side, as well as Tw having been below the 90th percentile within the preceding 3
85 days (see Figure S1). This 'peak' framing is intended to capture sequences associated with high humid heat that has recently
and notably intensified, as we wish to examine most closely the processes that exacerbate humid heat rather than those that
prolong it. Lastly, 'regional humid-heat days' and 'regional peak humid-heat days' are fully analogous to their individual-
gridcell equivalents but with each criterion applied instead to the mean of all gridcells in a region. We find that 1.6% of all
May-September days are peak humid-heat days, or approximately 2.5 days per year on average; regional peak humid-heat
90 days range in frequency from 1.1 per year (Southwest) to 2.8 per year (Northeast). Composites are then constructed as the
mean across all days in a particular category.

2.4 Interaction between atmospheric rivers and humid heat

We define as 'interaction' between ARs and humid heat those cases where humid-heat days at a gridcell occur within 1 day and 100 km of an AR. Spatially, this means a gridcell could be included within an AR, or the edge of an AR is no more than 100 km away; temporally, it means the spatial criterion is satisfied on the day before, the day after, or the same day as a humid-heat day. Purely to avoid excessive repetition of terms, 'interaction' is also described in the text as an AR occurring in 'close proximity' to humid heat or 'nearby'. According to these definitions, 2.4% of all MJJAS gridcell-days across the US exhibit AR/humid-heat interaction. Relative risk in general refers to the risk of an event of interest in a certain case relative to its risk in a control case; here, it refers to the computed probability of ARs near peak humid-heat days (i.e., of AR/humid-heat interaction) versus the probability which would be expected if ARs and humid heat were randomly distributed relative to one another throughout the warm season. We analogously compute relative risk for precipitation/humid heat and IVT/humid heat, using the thresholds of 1 mm/day for precipitation and the local 75th percentile for IVT. As an additional metric for assessing how ARs and humid heat are connected, we compare two sets of days: one comprising all regional-humid-heat days, the other comprising a random selection of non-regional-humid-heat warm-season days with identical regional-mean 500-hPa geopotential height [Z500] anomalies. In other words, normalised by Z500 anomalies, we ask whether days with larger AR extents are more likely to experience humid heat within one day before or after.

3 Results

3.1 Region-specific AR/humid-heat interaction statistics

We find three primary areas where ARs and humid heat tend to interact: the northern tier of the US from Montana eastward; southeastern Texas; and the low elevations between central California and Arizona (Figure 1). In each area, conditioned on humid-heat days, the probability of a nearby AR is at least doubled relative to chance. For brevity, in this study we henceforth consider only the first area, which is the largest and bears the most relevance to existing literature. Other parts of the country such as the Southeast, coastal Northwest, and high-mountain Southwest show a notable reduction in joint risk, with AR/humid-heat interactions being approximately half as likely as they would if the two hazards were unrelated. Where they occur, these interactions follow a clear temporal signature: relative to peak humid heat, ARs are typically present on the same day or the following day for all regions except the Southern Great Plains, where ARs precede humid heat by about a day (Figure 1, inset plots).

Separating strong ARs from weak-to-moderate ones shows an enhancement of AR/humid-heat interaction probability with increasing AR intensity for the Southwest, Midwest, and Northeast, though with some uncertainty due to sample-size effects (Figure 2a). Conversely, the absence of an AR translates to lower-than-normal humid-heat risk in the Northern Great Plains, Midwest, and Northeast, while a risk reduction is also seen for the case of strong ARs in the Southeast. We then test the meaningfulness of the AR/humid-heat interaction more rigorously by comparing AR extent on regional peak humid-heat days to that on a set of days with identical 500-hPa geopotential-height [Z500] anomalies — in

other words, we control for the possibility that strong ARs simply occur in tandem with amplified ridges. With this effect accounted for, more-extensive coverage of ARs over a region is still found to be associated with a higher probability of humid heat for the same three northern regions that stand out by other measures: the Northern Great Plains, Midwest, and Northeast (Figure 2b). ARs that extend over 50% or more of these regions are at least 2 times as likely to occur in close
130 proximity to humid heat, for the same Z500 anomaly, versus no- or small-AR situations. Spatially extensive ARs are rare in the Northwest and Southwest, but there correlate negatively with humid-heat occurrence.

To better visualise the meteorology leading to the summary statistics presented above, we map AR and Tw composites for regional peak humid-heat days, thus aiming to illuminate the centroids of AR/humid-heat interaction for each region. Coherent large areas with high AR probabilities are again seen in association with humid heat, especially across the
135 entire Great Plains, Midwest, and Northeast (Figure 3). The latter two also have highly spatially correlated humid heat, with most of each region exceeding the Tw 90th percentile simultaneously. Maximum anomalies of humid heat are generally located several hundred km from the AR center points, except in the Southeast and Southwest where the two are nearly co-located.

Lastly, because ARs typically involve positive anomalies of both precipitation and IVT, it is natural to ask whether
140 the interactions we describe can be satisfactorily explained by either of the latter variables alone. Repeating the humid-heat risk-ratio analysis for precipitation and extreme IVT separately (Figure 4) indicates that where interaction probabilities are largest (and especially in the northern tier of the US from Montana to Maine), ARs have an additional explanatory power for humid-heat risk; in other words, the relative risk of AR/humid-heat interaction is significantly greater than for either precipitation/humid heat or IVT/humid heat interactions. Also notable in Figure 4a is the important humid-heat role played
145 by precipitation from storms in the arid Southwest (Speizer et al. 2022), much of which is connected to the slow broad (i.e. non-AR) intrusion of moisture and related enhanced convection of the North American Monsoon (Adams & Comrie 1997).

3.2 Multivariate timeseries for the Midwest

Motivated by the intra-regional coherence and high probability of AR/humid-heat interaction in the Midwest, we
150 now focus more closely on the timeline and variables involved there (Figure 5), with analogous figures for other regions in the Supplement (Figures S2-S7). First, expanding upon Figure 3, we examine composites one day before and after regional peak humid-heat days. As components of humid heat, we plot daily maximum temperature and specific humidity, and as AR signatures, we plot daily mean precipitation and IVT (Figure 5). We observe here the simultaneous development of the AR and Tw anomalies as they shift eastward, with the Tw maximum anomaly always slightly ahead of the AR, echoing Figure 1.
155 A coherent AR structure extends into the Midwest from Texas, suggesting long-range (>1000 km) vapour transport, indicated also by Figure 5c,f,i and agreeing with previous AR case studies in this vicinity (Gimeno et al. 2021; Lavers & Villarini 2013). The greatest relative risk of precipitation is observed several hundred km from the maximum humid heat anomaly, in a poleward direction perpendicular to the AR axis.

All of the above relationships are finally distilled, in a regional-average sense, into timeseries of multiple variables
160 for the Midwest (Figure 6). We find that although peak values of AR probability and IVT amount are sustained for two
consecutive days, dry-bulb temperature decreases on the second day of the pair due to the shifting position of the ridge-
trough system, while specific humidity remains nearly as high as on the first day. A positive anomaly of net surface
longwave radiation on the peak Tw day more than compensates for a decrease in net surface shortwave radiation,
presumably due to cloudiness and/or water-vapour feedbacks associated with the growing humidity and precipitation
165 likelihood.

4 Discussion and conclusions

In much of the US, we find that warm-season ARs are often associated with preceding humid heat, and more
specifically with a heat-then-flood timeline — a relationship that derives from the typical orientations and trajectories of
mid-latitude synoptic weather systems, with AR-related IVT progressing from southwest to northeast between a surface low
170 and high (Ralph et al. 2020). Heat followed by heavy precipitation is consistent with earlier results for multiple seasons and
for several temperate climate zones including the Midwest (Zhang & Villarini 2020; Sauter et al. 2023). Our analysis also
suggests that the AR/humid-heat connection is due more to ARs' water-vapour transport than to their precipitation effects, at
least east of the Rocky Mountains (Figure 4), where spatially widespread Tw extremes are likeliest to co-occur with high
IVT but moderate precipitation (Figure S8).

175 Focusing on the Midwest, broader hemispheric context reveals that southerly low-level flow over the region —
which has a previously demonstrated humid-heat importance (Raymond et al. 2017) — is attributable to quasi-stationary
planetary waves of wavenumber 5, which increase both temperature and moisture through a combination of advective and
radiative processes (Lin & Yuan 2022). Simultaneously, this flow is also often manifest as an amplified state of the warm-
season Great Plains Low-Level Jet, itself often enhanced by proximity to the North Atlantic Subtropical High (Zhou et al.
180 2020; Budikova et al. 2010). Our work ties this mechanistic view to the detailed regional statistics of Zhang and Villarini
(2020) by showing that southerly low-level flow in the Midwest is frequently classified as an AR, and that these ARs mostly
occur on the west or north flank of a ridge, resulting in precipitation that tends to lag humid heat because of the usual
eastward motion of mid-latitude weather systems (Figure 5). Intense IVT and precipitation adjacent to a ridge may even
contribute to ridge amplification via ascent and condensational warming (Pfahl et al. 2015), and indeed this was found to be
185 an important factor in the 2021 Western North America heat wave by several recent papers (Mo et al. 2022; Loikith &
Kalashnikov 2023). In that case, an AR landfalling in southern Alaska transported anomalous heat and moisture to the
nascent ridge over British Columbia, amplifying it through both a sensible-heat effect and a water-vapour radiative feedback
effect.

The tendency for ARs and humid heat to be distinct hazards in certain regions (Figure 1) can be understood through
190 analyses of this sort. Considering first the Northwest, humid-heat days there are in fact mostly hot and dry, driven by

processes (sensible heating, warm-air advection) antithetical to those associated with ARs (Raymond et al. 2017). Despite the exceptional anomalies involved, the above example, specifically the geographic offset between landfall location and peak temperature anomaly, may be illustrative in this regard. A valuable reduction of joint risk is also apparent for the Southeast and Southwest. In the Southeast, it may be linked to the dynamics of the summertime westward expansion of the North Atlantic Subtropical High (Luo et al. 2021), which would also explain why humid heat is most unlikely near strong ARs there; in the Southwest, this joint-risk reduction may stem from the diffuse and sporadic nature of North American Monsoon moisture incursions generally not meeting the Guan-Waliser AR definition (Slinskey et al. 2020; Guan & Waliser 2019; Adams & Comrie 1997). A more in-depth study could consider these sorts of subregional variations apparent from Figure 1 in more detail, adjusting definitions to create customised AR compendia.

While warm-season ARs are relatively common across much of the Midwestern and Eastern US, their contribution to extreme precipitation is mostly lower than that of cold-season ARs when assessed as a fraction of the seasonal total (Slinskey et al. 2020; Nayak & Villarini 2017). Nonetheless, they have been tied to major flood events in the Midwest, including in 2008 and 1993, the latter of which caused \$31 billion (2022 USD) in damages (Budikova et al. 2010; Lavers & Villarini 2013). Many sites in the Midwest had half or more of their 1980-2011 annual-maxima flood events associated with ARs (Lavers & Villarini 2013). An important area for future work will be interrogating this AR-mediated humid heat/precipitation connection more directly, including at the subdaily timescale, as well as the extent to which it can be considered a direct signature of the Great Plains Low-Level Jet (Higgins et al. 1997). However, uncertainties related to the hourly ordering of humid heat and precipitation are embedded in Figures 5 and 6 and present a key challenge for high-temporal-resolution precipitation analysis in this context: MERRA-2 suggests that in a composite sense precipitation and maximum humid heat precisely coincide, while in station data precipitation is most likely to occur 6-12 hours after the humid-heat peak (Figure S9). MERRA-2 hydrological variables, including observation-corrected precipitation, in fact fare well in comparisons against other gridded products (Reichle et al. 2017). Relative to station observations, MERRA-2 also has the advantage of self-consistently representing how humid heat and precipitation line up against each other and evolve in space and time, particularly with respect to related quantities such as water vapour and its transport.

Our results emphasise distinct regional patterns across the US in the nature and strength of AR/humid-heat interactions. In much of the country, and most notably in the northern tier, humid heat is closely linked to warm-season ARs in a spatiotemporally coherent, process-based way. Additionally, this linkage cannot be fully explained by either IVT or precipitation, two of ARs' signature features (Figure 4). Alternatively stated, in these regions, ARs integrate high IVT and a positioning on the trailing side of high-pressure systems to contribute to increasing humid heat in the hours-to-days before the temperature fall of an arriving trough (frequently accompanied by convective precipitation) (Kunkel et al. 2012). This integration of likely nonlinear humidity effects also helps explain why the interaction signal tends to be larger for stronger ARs, even when controlling for ridge amplitude. However, the exact physical mechanisms involved remain uncertain and a worthy subject for exploration. We thus argue that consideration of AR dynamics can provide a valuable perspective for

future humid-heat and multi-hazard studies in this and other mid-latitude regions, particularly those studies aiming to
225 validate models, diagnose processes, or improve humid-heat predictions at weather-system timescales.

Code/Data availability

All code needed to replicate the findings of this study is available at doi:10.5281/zenodo.10628209. MERRA-2 data
can be obtained from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office [GMAO]:
https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets?project=MERRA-2 (GMAO, 2015). Self-describing code for detecting ARs using the
230 Guan-Waliser algorithm is available at https://dataverse.ucla.edu/dataverse/ar (Guan, 2021).

Author contributions

CR initiated the study, performed the data analysis, and wrote the manuscript. AS, ES, and DW revised the manuscript. DW
also contributed to providing the supporting funding.

235

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

240 The authors would like to thank Bin Guan for assistance in reviewing the atmospheric-river literature. A portion of the work
for this study was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the
National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004).

References

- 245 Adams, D. K., and Comrie, A. C.: The North American Monsoon, *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, 78, 10, 2197-2213,
doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1997)078<2197:tnam>2.0.co;2, 1997.
- Boschat, G., Pezza, A., Simmonds, I., Perkins, S., Cowan, T., and Purich, A.: Large scale and sub-regional connections in
the lead up to summer heat wave and extreme rainfall events in eastern Australia, *Clim. Dynam.*, 44, 1823-1840,
doi:10.1007/s00382-014-2214-5, 2015.
- 250 Budikova, D., Coleman, J. M. S., Strobe, S. A., and Austin, A.: Hydroclimatology of the 2008 Midwest floods, *Water
Resour. Res.*, 46, w12524. doi:10.1029/2010wr009206, 2010.
- Buzan, J. R., and Huber, M.: Moist heat stress on a hotter Earth, *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.*, 48, 623-655,
doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060100, 2020.

- Corringham, T. W., Ralph, F. M., Gershunov, A., Cayan, D. R., and Talbot, C. A.: Atmospheric rivers drive flood damages
255 in the western United States, *Sci. Adv.*, 5, eaax4631, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax4631, 2019.
- Davies-Jones, R.: An efficient and accurate method for computing the wet-bulb temperature along pseudoadiabats, *Mon.
Wea. Rev.*, 136, 2764-2785, doi:10.1175/2007mwr2224.1, 2008.
- De Ruiter, M. C., Couasnon, A., van den Homberg, M. J. C., Daniell, J. E., Gill, J. C., and Ward, P. J.: Why we can no
longer ignore consecutive disasters, *Earth's Future*, 8, e2019ef001425, doi:10.1029/2019ef001425, 2020.
- 260 De Vries, A. J.: A global climatological perspective on the importance of Rossby wave breaking and intense moisture
transport for extreme precipitation events, *Wea. Clim. Dynam.*, 2, 129-161, doi:10.5194/wcd-2-129-2021, 2021.
- Deng, K., Jiang, X., Hu, C., and Chen, D.: More frequent summer heat waves in southwestern China linked to the recent
declining of Arctic sea ice, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 15, 074011, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab8335, 2020.
- Gelaro, R., et al.: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2), *J. Clim.*,
265 30, 5419-5454, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-16-0758.1, 2017.
- Gimeno, L., Algarra, I., Eiras-Barca, J., Ramos, A. M., and Nieto, R.: Atmospheric river, a term encompassing different
meteorological patterns, *WIREs Water*, 8, e1558, doi:10.1002/wat2.1558, 2021.
- Global Modeling and Assimilation Office: Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2
[data set], doi:10.5067/7mcpbj41y0k6.
- 270 Gonzales, K. R., Swain, D. L., Barnes, E. A., and Diffenbaugh, N. S.: Moisture- versus wind-dominated flavors of
atmospheric rivers, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 47, e2020gl090042, doi:10.1029/2020gl090042, 2020.
- Gu, L., et al.: Global increases in compound flood-hot extreme hazards under climate warming, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 49,
e2022gl097726, doi:10.1029/2022gl097726, 2022.
- Guan, B., and Waliser, D. E.: Detection of atmospheric rivers: Evaluation and application of an algorithm for global studies,
275 *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 120, 12514-12535, doi:10.1002/2015jd024257, 2015.
- Guan, B., and Waliser, D. E.: Tracking atmospheric rivers globally: Spatial distributions and temporal evolution of life cycle
characteristics, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 124, 12523-12552, doi:10.1029/2019jd031205, 2019.
- Guan, B.: Tracking atmospheric rivers globally as elongated targets, version 3 [data set], doi:10.25346/s6/b89kxf, 2021.
- Haile, G. G., Tang, Q., Li, W., Liu, X., and Zhang, X., Drought: Progress in broadening its understanding, *WIREs Water*, 7,
280 e1407, doi:10.1002/wat2.1407, 2019.
- Higgins, R. W., Yao, Y., Yarosh, E. S., Janowiak, J. E., and Mo, K. C.: Influence of the Great Plains Low-Level Jet on
summertime precipitation and moisture transport over the Central United States. *J. Clim.*, 10, 481-507,
doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010<0481:iotgpl>2.0.co;2, 1997.
- Jay, A., Reidmiller, D. R., Avery, C. W., Barrie, D., DeAngelo, B. J., Dave, A., Dzaugis, M., Kolian, M., Lewis, K. L. M.,
285 Reeves, K., and Winner, D.: Overview. In *Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National
Climate Assessment, Volume II* [Reidmiller, D. R., C. W. Avery, D. R. Easterling, K. E. Kunkel, K. L. M. Lewis,
T. K. Maycock, and B. C. Stewart (eds.)], U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, pp. 33-71,
doi:10.7930/nca4.2018.ch, 2018.
- Kunkel, K. E., Easterling, D. R., Kristovich, D. A. R., Gleason, B., Stoecker, L., and Smith, R.: Meteorological causes of the
290 secular variations in observed extreme precipitation events for the conterminous United States, *J. Hydrometeorol.*,
13, 3, 1131-1141, doi:10.1175/jhm-d-11-0108.1, 2012.
- Lavers, D. A., and Villarini, G.: Atmospheric rivers and flooding over the Central United States, *J. Clim.*, 26, 7829-7836,
doi:10.1175/jcli-d-13-00212.1, 2013.
- Liao, Z., Chen, Y., Li, W., and Zhai, P.: Growing threats from unprecedented sequential flood-hot extremes across China,
295 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, e2021gl094505, doi:10.1029/2021gl094505, 2021.

- Lin, Q., and Yuan, J.: Linkages between amplified quasi-stationary waves and humid heat extremes in Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, *J. Clim.*, 35, 4645-4658, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-21-0952.1, 2022.
- Liu, X., Tang, Q., Liu, W., Yang, H., Groisman, P., Leng, G., Ciais, P., Zhang, X., and Sun, S.: The asymmetric impact of abundant preceding rainfall on heat stress in low latitudes, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 14, 044010, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab018a, 2019.
- Loikith, P. C., and Kalashnikov, D. A.: Meteorological analysis of the Pacific Northwest June 2021 heatwave, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, doi:10.1175/mwr-d-22-0284.1, 2023.
- Luo, H., Adames, Á. F., and Rood, R. B.: A Northern Hemispheric wave train associated with interannual variations in the Bermuda High during boreal summer, *J. Clim.*, 34, 6163-6173, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-20-0608.1, 2021.
- 305 Madonna, E., Wernli, H., Joos, H., and Martius, O.: Warm conveyor belts in the ERA-Interim dataset (1979-2010). Part I: Climatology and potential vorticity evolution, *J. Clim.*, 27, 3-26, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-12-00720.1, 2014.
- Mo, R., Lin, H., and Vitart, F.: An anomalous warm-season trans-Pacific atmospheric river linked to the 2021 western North America heatwave, *Nat. Commun. Earth Environ.*, 3, 127, doi:10.1038/s43247-022-00459-w, 2022.
- Nayak, M. A., and Villarini, G.: A long-term perspective of the hydroclimatological impacts of atmospheric rivers over the central United States, *Water Resour. Res.*, 53, 1144-1166, doi:10.1002/2016wr019033, 2017.
- 310 Neiman, P. J., Ralph, F. M., Wick, G. A., Lundquist, J. D., and Dettinger, M. D.: Meteorological characteristics and overland precipitation impacts of atmospheric rivers affecting the west coast of North America based on eight years of SSM/I satellite observations, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 9, 22-47, doi:10.1175/2007jhm855.1, 2008.
- Park, C., Soon, S.-W., and Kim, H.: Distinct features of atmospheric rivers in the early versus late East Asian Summer Monsoon and their impacts on monsoon rainfall, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 126, e2020jd033537, doi:10.1029/2020jd033537, 2021.
- 315 Park, C., Son, S.-W., and Guan, B.: Multiscale nature of atmospheric rivers, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 50, e2023gl102784, doi:10.1029/2023gl102784, 2023.
- Pfahl, S., Schwierz, C., Croci-Maspoli, M., Grams, C. M., and Wernli, H.: Importance of latent heat release in ascending streams for atmospheric blocking, *Nat. Geosci.*, 8, 610-615, doi:10.1038/ngeo2487, 2015.
- 320 Raghavendra, A., Dai, A., Milrad, S. M., and Cloutier-Bisbee, S. R.: Floridian heatwaves and extreme projections: Future climate projections, *Clim. Dynam.*, 52, 495-508, doi:10.1007/s00382-018-4148-9, 2019.
- Ralph, F. M., Dettinger, M. D., Cairns, M. M., Galarneau, T. J., and Eylander, J.: Defining “atmospheric river”: How the Glossary of Meteorology helped resolve a debate, *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, 99, 837-839, doi:10.1175/bams-d-17-0157.1, 2018.
- 325 Ralph, F. M., Dettinger, M. D., Rutz, J. J., and Waliser, D. E. [Eds.] *Atmospheric Rivers*, Springer, 252 pp., doi:10.1007/978-3-030-28906-5, 2020.
- Ralph, F. M., Rutz, J. J., Cordeira, J. M., Dettinger, M., Anderson, M., Reynolds, D., Schick, L. J., and Smallcomb, C.: A scale to characterize the strength and impacts of atmospheric rivers, *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, doi:10.1175/bams-d-18-0023.1, 2019.
- 330 Raymond, C., Singh, D., and Horton, R. M.: Spatiotemporal patterns and synoptics of extreme wet-bulb temperature in the contiguous United States, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 122, doi:10.1002/2017jd027140, 2017.
- Raymond, C., Matthews, T. K., and Horton, R. M.: The emergence of heat and humidity too severe for human tolerance, *Sci. Adv.*, 6 (19), doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw1838, 2020.
- 335 Reichle, R. H., Draper, C. S., Liu, Q., Giroto, M., Mahanama, S. P. P., Koster, R. D., and De Lannoy, G. J. M.: Assessment of MERRA-2 land surface hydrology estimates, *J. Clim.*, 30, 2937-2960, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-16-0720.1, 2017.

- Reid, K. J., King, A. D., Lane, T. P., and Hudson, D.: Tropical, subtropical, and extratropical atmospheric rivers in the Australian region, *J. Clim.*, 35, 2697-2708, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-21-0606.1, 2022.
- 340 Sauter, C., White, C. J., Fowler, H. J., and Westra, S.: Temporally-compounding heatwave-heavy rainfall events in Australia, *Int. J. Climatol.*, doi:10.1002/joc.7872, 2022.
- Sauter, C., Fowler, H. J., Westra, S., Ali, H., Peleg, N., and White, C. J.: Compound extreme hourly rainfall preconditioned by heatwaves most likely in the mid-latitudes, *Wea. Clim. Extr.*, 40, 100563, doi:10.1016/j.wace.2023.100563, 2023.
- 345 Slinsky, E. A., Loikith, P. C., Waliser, D. E., Guan, B., and Martin, A.: A climatology of atmospheric rivers and associated precipitation for the seven U.S. National Climate Assessment regions, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 21, 2439-2456, doi:10.1175/jhm-d-20-0039.1, 2020.
- Speizer, S., Raymond, C., Ivanovich, C., and Horton, R. M.: Concentrated and intensifying humid heat extremes in the IPCC AR6 regions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 49, e2021gl097261, doi:10.1029/2021gl097261, 2022.
- Stensrud, D.: Importance of low-level jets to climate: A review, *J. Clim.*, 9, 1698-1711, 1996.
- 350 You, J., and Wang, S.: Higher probability of occurrence of hotter and shorter heat waves followed by heavy rainfall, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, e2021gl094831, doi:10.1029/2021gl094831, 2021.
- Zhang, W., and Villarini, G.: Deadly compound heat stress-flooding hazard across the central United States, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1029/2020gl089185, 2020.
- 355 Zhou, W., Leung, L. R., Song, F., and Lu, J.: Future changes in the Great Plains Low-Level Jet governed by seasonally dependent pattern changes in the North Atlantic Subtropical High, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, e2020gl090356, doi:10.1029/2020gl090356, 2020.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: AR/humid-heat interaction statistics

- (Map) Relative risk of an AR occurring in close proximity (within 1 day and 100 km) to a humid-heat day at each gridcell.
- 360 Relative risk > 1 corresponds to a risk larger than that expected by chance. (Inset plots) For each region (black outlines), composited AR probability for the 9 days surrounding peak humid-heat days.

Figure 2: Relative risk of humid heat by AR intensity and extent

- a) For each region, the relative risk of a humid-heat day that has no AR within 1 day and 100 km ("nearby"); with an AR of category 1-3 nearby; and with an AR of category 4-5 nearby. b) Relative risk of humid heat, normalised by regional Z500 anomalies (see Methods), for different AR extents. Note that most regions lack any days with >80% regional AR coverage.
- 365

Figure 3: AR/humid-heat interaction maps

- AR/humid-heat interactions for each region: (a) Northwest, (b) Southwest, (c) Northern Great Plains, (d) Southern Great Plains, (e) Midwest, (f) Southeast, (g) Northeast. Shading shows where mean humid heat, for the composited humid-heat days, exceeds the MJJAS 95th percentile (dark red), 90th percentile (red), or 75th percentile (light red). Contours indicate
- 370

where the AR relative risk within 1 day of these composited events exceeds 3 (dark teal) or 2 (light teal). Gridcells with mean AR probability <10% are masked for reliability.

375 **Figure 4: Relative risk of humid heat conditioned on precipitation and extreme integrated vapor transport**

a) Relative risk of >1 mm daily precipitation occurring within 1 day and 100 km of a humid-heat day at each gridcell. (b) As in (a) but for 75th-percentile IVT occurring near humid heat. (c) Ratio of AR/humid heat relative risk (Figure 1) to precipitation/humid heat relative risk. (d) As in (c) but for IVT.

380 **Figure 5: Spatiotemporal progression of Midwest AR- and humid-heat-related quantities**

(a,d,g) Tw percentiles (shaded) and AR relative risks (hatched contours) for the Midwest for 1 day prior to a peak humid-heat day, the peak day, and 1 day afterward. Shading shows where composited mean Tw exceeds the May-September 95th percentile (dark red), 90th percentile (red), or 75th percentile (light red). Hatched contours indicate where the relative risk of a nearby AR on composited humid-heat days exceeds 3 (dark teal) or 2 (light teal). (b,e,h) As in (a,d,g) but for temperature [T] and specific humidity [q], each with shaded intervals representing the 95th, 90th, and 75th percentiles. (c,f,i) As in (a,d,g) but for precipitation [P] and integrated vapour transport [IVT], with intervals for the former representing a relative risk of 2, 1.75, and 1.5 on composited humid-heat days, and for the latter the 80th, 75th, and 67th percentiles. These specific thresholds were chosen for visual clarity. Gridcells with mean May-September precipitation probability <10% (primarily in California) are masked for reliability.

390

Figure 6: Midwest multivariate timeseries

For Midwest peak humid-heat days, composited daily timeseries of (a) AR probability and of May-September percentiles of (a) wet-bulb temperature; (b) temperature and specific humidity; (c) integrated vapour transport and precipitation; (d) 0-5 cm soil moisture and evaporation; (e) surface net downward shortwave and net longwave radiation.

395