Simulating Bark Beetle Outbreak Dynamics and their Influence on Carbon Balance Estimates with ORCHIDEE r7791
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Abstract: New (a)biotic conditions, resulting from climate change, are expected to change disturbance dynamics, e.g., wind throw, forest fires and insect outbreaks, and their interactions. Unprecedented natural disturbance might alter the capability of forest ecosystems to buffer atmospheric CO2 increases in the atmosphere, even leading to the risk that forests transform from sinks into sources of CO2. This study aims to enhance the capability of the ORCHIDEE land surface model to study the impacts of climate change on bark beetle dynamics and subsequent effects on forest functioning. The bark beetle outbreak model is based on previous work by Temperli et al. 2013 for the LandClim landscape model. The new implementation of this model in ORCHIDEE r7791 accounts for the following differences between ORCHIDEE and LandClim: (1) the coarser spatial resolution of...
ORCHIDEE, (2) the higher temporal resolution of ORCHIDEE, and (3) the pre-existing process representation of wind throw, drought, and forest structure in ORCHIDEE. Qualitative evaluation demonstrated the model’s ability to simulate a wide range of observed post-disturbance forest dynamics: (1) resistance to bark beetle infestation even in the presence of windthrow events; (2) slow transition (3-7 years) from an endemic into an epidemic bark beetle population following medium intensity window events at cold locations; and (3) fast transition (1-3 years) from endemic to epidemic triggered by strong windthrow events. Although all simulated sites eventually recovered from disturbances, the time needed to recover varied from 5 to 10 years depending on the disturbance dynamics. In addition to enhancing the functionality of the ORCHIDEE model, the new bark beetle model represents a fundamental change in the way mortality is simulated as it replaces a framework in which mortality is conceived as a continuous process by one in which mortality is represented by abrupt events. Changing the mortality framework provided new insights into carbon balance estimates, showing the risk of overestimating the short-term sequestration potential under the commonly used continuous mortality framework.

Table 1: List of abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Act_{y-1}</td>
<td>Bark beetle activity index in the previous year</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Age of the dominant spruce trees in a spatial entity</td>
<td>year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Basal area of trees in a spatial entity</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bdb</td>
<td>Dead biomass from bark beetle attack</td>
<td>t/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bdw</td>
<td>Dead biomass from windthrow</td>
<td>t/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binf</td>
<td>Living biomass infested by bark beetles</td>
<td>t/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bmax</td>
<td>Maximum potential biomass of a European Forest</td>
<td>t/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Actual total biomass of spruce forest</td>
<td>t/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bw</td>
<td>Actual woody biomass of spruce forest</td>
<td>t/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPI</td>
<td>Bark beetle pressure index</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Distance between two patches</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dw</td>
<td>Maximum distance for which windthrow can affect surrounding patches</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cbp</td>
<td>Spatial scaling coefficient</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cst</td>
<td>Temporal scaling coefficient</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frac</td>
<td>Area fraction within a pixel</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Bark beetle generation index</td>
<td>unitless</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Introduction

Considerable uncertainties remain about the magnitude of Earth system impacts from all future climate change scenarios, even the most modest (Pörtner et al., 2022). One major source of uncertainty is that future climate will likely bring new abiotic constraints through the co-occurrence of multiple connected hazards, e.g., “hotter droughts”, which are droughts combined with heat waves (Allen et al., 2015; Zscheischler et al., 2018), but also new biotic conditions from interacting natural and anthropogenic disturbances, e.g., insect outbreaks following wind throw or forest fires (Seidl et al., 2017). Unprecedented natural disturbance dynamics might alter biogeochemical cycles specifically the capability of forest ecosystems to buffer the CO₂ increase in the atmosphere (Hicke et al., 2012; Seidl et al., 2014) and the risk that forests are transformed from sinks into sources of CO₂ (Kurz et al., 2008). The magnitude of such alteration, however, remains uncertain principally due to the lack of impact studies that include disturbance regime shifts at global scale (Seidl et al., 2011).

Land surface models are used to study the relationships between climate change and the biogeochemical cycles of carbon, water, and nitrogen (Cox et al., 2000; Ciais et al., 2005; Friedlingstein et al., 2006; Zaehle and Dalmonech, 2011; Luyssaert et al., 2018). Many of these models use background mortality to obtain an equilibrium in their biomass pools. Moreover, the classic approach of studying forest dynamics, which assumes steady-state conditions over long periods of time, may not be suitable for assessing the impacts of disturbances on shorter time scales under a climate...
of accelerating changes. This is important because such disturbances can have significant impacts on ecosystem services, such as water regulation, carbon sequestration, and biodiversity (Quillet et al., 2010).

Mechanistic approaches that account for a variety of mortality drivers, such as age, size, competition, climate, and disturbances, are now being used to simulate forest dynamics more accurately (Migliavacca et al., 2021). For example, the ORCHIDEE model considers mortality induced by interspecific competition for light in addition to background mortality. Incorporating a more mechanistic view on mortality is important for improving our understanding of the impacts of climate change on forest dynamics and the provision of ecosystem services.

Land surface models also face the challenge of better describing mortality particularly when it comes to ecosystem responses to “cascading disturbances”, where legacy effects from one disturbance affect the next (Zscheischler et al., 2018; Buma, 2015). Biotic disturbances, such as bark beetle outbreaks, strongly depend on previous disturbances as their infestation capabilities are higher when tree vitality is low, for example following drought or storm events (Seidl et al., 2018). This illustrates how interactions between biotic and abiotic disturbances can have significant effects on ecosystem dynamics and must be incorporated into land surface models to improve our understanding of the impacts of climate change on forest dynamics (Temperl et al., 2013; Seidl et al., 2011). While progress has been made towards including abrupt mortality from individual disturbance types such as wildfire (Yue et al., 2014; Lasslop et al., 2014; Migliavacca et al., 2013), windthrow (Chen et al., 2018) and drought (Yao et al., 2022), the interaction of biotic and abiotic disturbances remains both a knowledge and modeling gap (Kautz et al., 2018).

Bark beetle outbreaks are becoming increasingly important biotic disturbances across the world (Seidl et al., 2018; Bentz et al., 2010). A massive bark beetle outbreak in the Canadian and American Rocky Mountains damaged more than 90% of the Engelmann spruce trees across ~325,000 ha from 2005 to 2017 (Andrus et al., 2020). Damage caused by the spruce bark beetle *Ips typographus* is also on the rise in Europe, and is responsible for as much as 8% of all tree mortality due to natural disturbances in Europe between 1850 and 2000 (Hlášny et al., 2021). In particular, a strong link between previous windthrow and bark beetle outbreaks has been reported (Pasztor et al., 2014; Mezei et al., 2017). These observations justify the inclusion of bark beetle dynamics into land surface models. Hence, the objectives of this study are (1) to develop and implement a spatially implicit bark beetle outbreak model in the land surface model ORCHIDEE based on the work by Temperli et al. (2013), and (2) use a simulation experiment to evaluate the performance of this newly added model functionality.

2. Methods and material

2.1. The land surface model ORCHIDEE

ORCHIDEE is the land surface model of the IPSL (Institut Pierre Simon Laplace) Earth system model (Krinner et al., 2005; Boucher et al., 2020). ORCHIDEE can, however, also be run off-line as a stand-alone land surface model forced by temperature, humidity, pressure, precipitation, and wind conditions. Unlike the coupled setup, which needs to run on the global scale, the stand-alone configuration can cover any area ranging from a single grid point to the global domain.

ORCHIDEE does not enforce any particular spatial resolution. The spatial resolution is an implicit user setting that is determined by the resolution of the climate forcing (or the resolution of the atmospheric model in a coupled
configuration). ORCHIDEE can run on any temporal resolution. This apparent flexibility is somewhat restricted as processes are formalized at given time steps: half-hourly (e.g., photosynthesis and energy budget), daily (i.e., net primary production), and annual (i.e., vegetation demographic processes). Hence, meaningful simulations have a temporal resolution of one minute to one hour for the calculation of energy balance, water balance, and photosynthesis.

ORCHIDEE is a vegetation distribution model that utilizes meta-classes to describe different types of vegetation. The model includes 13 meta-classes by default, including one class for bare soil, eight classes for various combinations of leaf-type and climate zones of forests, two classes for grasslands, and two classes for croplands. Each meta-class can be further subdivided into an unlimited number of plant functional types (PFTs). The current default setting of ORCHIDEE distinguishes 15 PFTs. Within a single meta-class, various PFTs can be defined based on specific parameters, such as species-specific parameters and age classes. As a simple example, different types of broadleaf temperate forest PFTs, such as beech and oak species, could be simulated using different photosynthetic rates or phenology threshold values.

At the beginning of a simulation, each forest PFT in ORCHIDEE contains a monospecific forest stand that is defined by a user-defined but fixed number of diameter classes (three by default). Throughout the simulation, the boundaries of the diameter classes are adjusted to accommodate changes in the stand structure, while the number of classes remains constant. Flexible class boundaries provide a computationally efficient approach to simulate different forest structures. For instance, an even-aged forest is simulated by using a small diameter range between the smallest and largest trees, resulting in all trees belonging to the same stratum. Conversely, an uneven-aged forest is simulated by applying a wide range between diameter classes, such that different classes represent different strata.

The model uses allometric relationships to link tree height and crown diameter to tree diameter. Individual tree canopies are not explicitly represented, instead a canopy structure model based on simple geometric forms developed by Haverd et al. (2012) has been included in ORCHIDEE (Naudts et al., 2015). Diameter classes represent trees with different mean diameter and height, which informs the user about the social position of trees within the canopy. Intra-stand competition is based on the basal area of individual trees, which accounts for the fact that trees with a higher basal area occupy dominant positions in the canopy and are therefore more likely to intercept light and thus contribute more to stand-level photosynthesis and biomass growth compared to suppressed trees (Deleuze et al., 2004). If recruitment occurs, diameter classes evolve into cohorts. However, in the absence of recruitment, all diameter classes contain trees of the same age.

The allocation scheme is based on the pipe model theory (Shinozaki et al., 1964) and its implementation by Sitch et al. (2003); Zaehle and Friend (2010); Zaehle and Dalmonech (2011). According to this scheme, carbon is allocated to different biomass pools (leaves, fine roots, and sapwood) while respecting differences in basal area and tree height between diameter classes as well as longevity and hydraulic conductivity between biomass pools of the same diameter class (Naudts et al., 2015).

Individual tree mortality from self-thinning, wind storms, and forest management is explicitly simulated. Other sources of mortality are implicitly accounted for through a so-called constant background mortality rate. Furthermore, age classes (four by default) can be used after land cover change, forest management, and disturbance events to
explicitly simulate the regrowth of the forest. Following a land cover change, biomass and soil carbon pools (but not soil water columns) are either merged or split to represent the various outcomes of a land cover change. The ability of ORCHIDEE to simulate dynamic canopy structures (Naudts et al., 2015; Ryder et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016), a feature essential to simulate both the biogeochemical and biophysical effects of natural and anthropogenic disturbances, is exploited in other parts of the model, i.e., precipitation interception, transpiration, energy budget calculations, the radiation scheme, and the calculation of the absorbed light for photosynthesis.

Since revision 7791, mortality from bark beetle outbreaks is now explicitly accounted for and thus conceptually excluded from the so-called environmental background mortality. Subsequently, changes in canopy structure resulting from growth, forest management, land cover changes, wind storms, and bark beetle outbreaks are accounted for in the calculations of the carbon, water, and energy exchanges between the land surface.

ORCHIDEE’s functionality that is not of direct relevance for this study, e.g., energy budget calculations, soil hydrology, snow phenology, albedo, roughness, photosynthesis, respiration, phenology, land cover changes, product use, and the nitrogen cycle are detailed in (Krinner et al., 2005; Zaehle and Friend, 2010; Naudts et al., 2015; Vuichard et al., 2019)).

2.2. Bark beetle outbreaks in ORCHIDEE

2.2.1. Origin of the bark beetle module

Although mortality from windthrow (Chen et al., 2018) and forest management (Naudts et al., 2015; Luyssaert et al., 2018) were already accounted for in ORCHIDEE prior to r7791, insect outbreaks and their interaction with other disturbances were not. The LandClim model (Schumacher et al., 2004) approach and more specifically the bark beetle module developed by Temperli et al. (2013) were adjusted to develop a bark beetle module in ORCHIDEE r7791. LandClim is a spatially explicit stochastic landscape model in which forest dynamics are simulated at a yearly time step for 10–100 km² landscapes consisting of 25 × 25 m patches. Within a patch recruitment, growth, mortality and competition among age cohorts of different tree species are simulated with a gap model (Bugmann, 2001) in response to monthly mean temperature, climatic drought, and light availability. LandClim, for which a detailed description can be found in Schumacher et al., (2004), includes the functionality to simulate the decadal dynamics and consequences of bark beetle outbreaks at the landscape-scale (Temperli et al., 2013). In the LandClim approach, the extent, occurrence and severity of beetle-induced tree mortality are driven by the landscape susceptibility, beetle pressure, and infested tree biomass. While the LandClim beetle module was designed and structured to be generally applicable for northern hemisphere climate-sensitive bark beetle-host systems, it was originally parameterized to represent disturbances by the European spruce bark beetle (Ips typographus Linnaeus) in Norway spruce (Picea abies Karst.; Temperli et al. 2013). As ORCHIDEE and LandClim are developed for different purposes, their temporal and spatial scales differ. These differences in model resolution justified adjusting the original model while still following the principles embedded in the LandClim approach. LandClim assesses bark beetle damage at 25 m × 25 m patches and to do so it uses information from other nearby patches as well as landscape characteristics such as slope, aspect and altitude. The susceptibility of a landscape to bark beetle infestations is calculated using multiple factors such as drought-induced tree resistance, age
of the oldest spruce cohort, proportion of spruce in the patch’s basal area, and windthrow-damaged spruce biomass. These factors, presented as a sigmoidal relationship, range from 0 to 1, indicating no to maximum susceptibility respectively. The susceptibility index for each Norway spruce cohort in a patch is then calculated and used to estimate the biomass of trees killed by bark beetles.

Bark beetle pressure is quantified as the potential number of beetles that can infest a patch, calculated considering factors like previous beetle activity, maximum possible spruce biomass that beetles could kill, and a temperature-dependent bark beetle phenology model. This allows the determination of the total infested tree biomass, accounting for stochastic processes with a beta distribution.

Finally, the total biomass killed by bark beetles is estimated for each cohort within a patch. The main equations used in this approach, as well as required modifications to account for differences between the LandClim and ORCHIDEE models, are summarized in Table S1.

In ORCHIDEE, however, the simulation unit is about six orders of magnitude larger, i.e., 25 km x 25 km. Hence, a single pixel in ORCHIDEE exceeds the size of an entire landscape in LandClim. Where landscape characteristics in LandClim can be represented by a statistical distribution, the same characteristics in ORCHIDEE are summarized in a single value. These differences between LandClim and ORCHIDEE imply that the original bark beetle module cannot be implemented in ORCHIDEE without adjustments. Hence, the original bark beetle module was modified to obtain a pixel-level model that does not account for the spatial information and statistical distribution of landscape characteristics.

In the following we will detail the development of the bark beetle outbreak module into ORCHIDEE by following the forest stand stages and bark beetle outbreak stages introduced in Fig. 1. For clarity, we explain the mechanisms of infestation (section 2.2.2) and mortality (section 2.2.3) separately.
Figure 1: Life cycle of a bark beetle outbreak and subsequent dynamics of a forest stand. The life cycle of an outbreak includes the following stages: a) the “endemic stage” at which the forest stand experiences low bark beetle pressure enabling the forest to maintain a pseudo-climax or climax depending on whether the stand is managed or not (shown as stage 1). b) The "build-up" stage is characterized by a rapid increase in the bark beetle population due to an event that weakened part of the trees but without visible impact on healthy trees (stage 1 & 2). c) During the “epidemic stage” bark beetles are so numerous that they can successfully attack healthy trees causing a change in leaf colour (stage 2 & 3). d) In the “post-epidemic stage” a significant reduction in the bark beetle population occurs due to a lack of substrate for feeding and breeding (stage 3 & 4). Stage 4: In the “gray stage” infected trees that retain their leaves and remain standing, gradually die turning into so-called snags. Stage 5: in the “ecological transition” stage degradation from wind throws and bark beetles result in openings in the canopy reducing-between tree competitions. In Stage 6 bark beetles return to their initial population level resulting in a new endemic stage during which recruitment may help the forest to reach a (pseudo-)climax stage.
2.2.2. Mechanisms of infestation

As in LandCLIM (see table S1), the ORCHIDEE model represents the density of the bark beetle population indirectly through the beetle pressure index (BPI):

\[
BPI = C_{bp} \cdot Si \cdot \frac{(G + Act_{y-1})}{2}
\]  

(1)

The BPI is driven by the number of beetle generations (G) that could occur in the current year, the bark beetle damage from the previous year (Act\(_{y-1}\)), and the stand’s susceptibility to infestation by bark beetles (Si), which are calculated as an index ranging from 0 to 1:

\[
G = \left(1 + e^{-r-(rDD-m)}\right)^{-1}
\]  

(2)

Where \(r\) and \(m\) are parameters of the logistic function formalizing the relationship with the number of generations (rDD). rDD is calculated as:

\[
rDD = \frac{\text{sumTeff}}{K}
\]  

(3)

Where sumTeff represents the sum of effective temperatures for bark beetle reproduction in °C · day\(^{-1}\), while K denotes the thermal sum of degree days for one bark beetle generation in °C · day\(^{-1}\). rDD can reach up to three or in exceptional cases even four generations, but the index G reaches its maximum value of one when 2.5 or more generations occur in a single growing season. The sumTeff is incremented from January 1\(^{st}\) until the diapause of the first generation. In ORCHIDEE, diapause is triggered when daylength exceeds 14.5 hours (e.g., April 27\(^{th}\) for France). Each day before the diapause with a daily average temperature above 8°C is accounted for in sumTeff. This approach simulates the phenology of bark beetles, which tend to breed earlier when winter and spring are warmer, thus allowing for multiple generations in the same year (Hlásny et al., 2021).

The BPI is also driven by the bark beetle damage index from the previous year (Act\(_{y-1}\)):

\[
Act_{y-1} = \frac{Bdb_{y-1}}{Bt \cdot Cst}
\]  

(4)

Where Bdb\(_{year-1}\) denotes the bark beetle damage from the previous year, Bt is the total biomass of the stand, and Cst is a temporal scaling factor that has to be adjusted depending on the temporal resolution of the bark beetle outbreak module.
The stand's susceptibility to infestation by bark beetles (Si) is the third driver of BPI:

\[ Si = Siw \cdot Ww + Sir \cdot Wr + Sid \cdot Wd + Sis \cdot Ws \]  

(5)

Where, Siw, Sir, Sid, and Sis denote the susceptibilities of bark beetles to various environmental factors: breeding substrate (Siw), availability of trees weakened due to water stress (Sir), availability of trees weakened due to inter-tree competition (Sid), and prevalence of monospecific stands (Sis). Similarly, Ww, Wr, Wd, and Ws represent the weights associated with these susceptibilities. In ORCHIDEE, Ws and Wd are fixed at 0.1. The absolute values for the remaining weights, Wr and Ww, change depending on the stage of the bark beetle outbreak.

The transition in the outbreak stage from endemic to epidemic is determined by a risk index, which is computed as RI = SI * BPI. If the risk index surpasses the threshold of 0.1 (a value deemed high enough to confidently classify it as a critical threshold), the epidemic flag is switched to 1 and the weights Wr and Ww are computed as:

\[ Wr = \left( 1 + e^{(r1 \cdot (SI \cdot BPI - r2))} \right)^{-1} \cdot (1 - Ws + Wd) \]  

(6)

\[ Ww = 1 - (Wr + Ws + Wd) \]  

(7)

On the other hand, if the bark beetle outbreak stage is endemic, Wr and Ww are computed as:

\[ Wr = 1 - (Wd + Ws); \quad Ww = 0 \]  

(8)

By changing the susceptibility weights between the two stages, ORCHIDEE simulates hysteresis of the drivers that lead to an epidemic and the drivers that allow the forest exit the epidemic stage. Hysteresis in ecology relates to the concept that the path of “recovery” is not the same as the path of “degradation”, often due to complex interactions and feedback loops within the ecosystem (e.g., Staal et al., 2020).

The trigger that increases a forest stand's susceptibility to bark beetle infestation is the volume of trees that have recently died. The primary natural source of this woody biomass pool is windstorms. Up until about one year following a windstorm, uprooted and broken stems can be colonized by bark beetles, providing a suitable substrate for breeding and population increase (Nageleisen and Grégoire, 2022). ORCHIDEE formalizes this dependency by using a breeding substrate susceptibility index (Siw):

\[ \text{If } Siw < 1, \; Slw = \frac{Litw}{Bw} / Litt; \; \text{Else, } Slw = 1 \]  

(9)

where Litw, Bw, and Litt indicate the quantity of breeding substrate for bark beetles, total woody biomass of the stand, and the threshold at which the ratio Litw/Bw is considered maximum, respectively. A windthrow event causes a sudden increase, or pulse, in the breeding substrate in ORCHIDEE, which is employed in computation of the breeding substrate susceptibility index. This substrate becomes unsuitable for beetle breeding after one year, according to...
Nageleisen and Grégoire (2022), and is henceforth excluded from the calculation of the breeding substrate susceptibility. This susceptibility index ranges from 0 (indicating no fresh woody biomass available in the litter) to 1 (equivalent to 30% or more of the litter being fresh woody biomass).

In the original formulation of Temperli et al. (2013) the relationship between windthrow and susceptibility was empirical (correlative relationship). In our version, we try to add more realism by introducing the breeding substrate which is a consequence of windthrow and is the real driver of windthrow susceptibility. The threshold (Litt) value of 0.3 was introduced to prevent excess breeding substrate from artificially boosting the bark beetle population, as fresh woody litter does not remain fresh for more than one year. The implication is that more than 30% of new woody litter in one year cannot be exploited by a bark beetle population. In other words, adding more fresh woody litter is thought to have no further impact on the bark beetle population (Hervé Jactel personal communication). As a result, regions or younger forests with a smaller wood volume tend to have a lower threshold than mature forests. However, the susceptibility index (SI) of younger and less dense forests is also limited by susceptibility to interspecific competition.

ORCHIDEE determines the susceptibility of forests to infestation using three additional susceptibility indices:

- **Susceptibility of weakened trees (Sid).** Trees defend themselves against beetle attacks by producing secondary metabolites (Huang et al., 2020). The high carbon and nitrogen costs of these compounds limit their production to periods with environmental conditions favorable for growth (Lieutier, 2002). Trees experiencing extended periods of environmental stress are expected to have less carbon and nitrogen reserves available for defensive substance production, making them more vulnerable to successful bark beetle attacks even at relatively low beetle population densities (Raffa et al., 2008). For this study, the average drought intensity during the last three years is considered, as a proxy of tree health:

  \[
  Sid = \sum_{n=1}^{ac} \left(1 + e^{d1 \cdot \left(1 - M0_{max}\right)} - d2\right)^{-1} \cdot Frac_{ac}\tag{10}
  \]

  With,

  \[
  M0_{max} = \max\left(M0_1, \ldots, M0_{n-3}\right)\tag{11}
  \]

- **Susceptibility due to between-tree competition (Sir).** Interspecific competition among trees for limited resources leads to decreased photosynthesis and thus less carbohydrate reserves, resulting in lower investments in defense compounds. In ORCHIDEE, the relative density index (RDI) is used to estimate the average competition between trees at the stand level. At an RDI of 1, the forest is expected to be at its maximum density given the carrying capacity of the site, implying the highest level of competition between trees:

  \[
  Sir = \frac{a1 + (1 - a1)}{1 + e^{a2 \cdot (RDI_{sp} - a3)}}\tag{12}
  \]
Susceptibility to forest species purity (Sis). Many forest pests cause more damage in pure forests than in mixed stands (Jactel et al., 2021). *Ips typographus* outbreaks are also more frequent in pure spruce stands (Nardi et al., 2022). Even just a few non-host trees, like deciduous trees, may disrupt the host-searching behavior of dispersing beetles due to the emission of non-host volatile compounds (Zhang and Schlyter, 2004). ORCHIDEE r7791 cannot simulate multi-species stands but does account for landscape-level heterogeneity of forests with different plant functional types. The bark beetle module in ORCHIDEE assumes that within a pixel, the fraction of spruce over other tree species of trees is a proxy for the degree of mixture:

\[
S_{is} = \left(1 + e^{s_1 \cdot (s_{sh} - s_2)}\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{W_{spr} \cdot S_{sh}}{W_{others}}
\] (13)

Finally, the infested biomass (Binf) is calculated as:

\[
B_{inf} = B_{t} \cdot C_{st} \cdot S_{I} \cdot B_{PI}
\] (14)

Note that the susceptibility of forest to infestation (Si), and the beetle pressure index (BPI) are calculated for the pixel as a whole, despite the existence of multiple age classes.

### 2.2.3. **Mechanisms of mortality**

A tree rarely dies solely from bark beetle damage (except during mass attacks). However, female beetles often carry blue-stain fungi, which colonizes the phloem and sapwood, blocking the water-conducting vessels of the tree. This results in tree death from carbon starvation or desiccation (Nageleisen and Grégoire, 2022). As ORCHIDEE r7791 does not simulate the effects of changes in sapwood conductivity on photosynthesis and the resultant probability of tree mortality, susceptibility due to weakened trees (Sid) and susceptibility due to between-tree competition (Sir) are used as proxies in calculating the fraction of infected trees that eventually die, i.e., the mortality rate (Siac):

\[
S_{iac} = S_{ir} \cdot W_{r} + S_{id} \cdot (1 - W_{r})
\] (15)

Finally, the killed woody biomass (*Bdb*) is calculated as the product of the actual wood biomass (as a function of the basal area, *BA*) and the mortality rate.

\[
B_{db} = \sum_{nac}^{\text{ac}} \frac{S_{iac} + B_{PI}}{2} \cdot B_{inf} \cdot \frac{B_{A_{ac}}}{B_{A_{sp}}}
\] (16)

Mortality happens on the tree-level in ORCHIDEE, and thus the killed biomass must be converted into the number of trees per diameter class. Mortality first affects trees from the largest diameter class (those preferred by bark beetles) before affecting smaller diameter classes until the killed woody biomass (*Bdb*) has been met. The aboveground and
belowground biomass pools (e.g., leaves, sapwood, heartwood) in the trees killed by bark beetles are then transferred directly into the respective litter pools.

2.2.4. Difference from the original formulation from LANDCLIM

The main changes between the model implemented in ORCHIDEE and the original model from Temperli et al., 2013 include various modifications to account for the difference in spatial scale, as ORCHIDEE operates at the landscape rather than a patch. This primarily affected the calculation of the susceptibility. The ORCHIDEE version is also based on dynamic biomass values, as the maximum biomass is not fixed and instead depends on factors like soil fertility, climate, and human management.

Further changes were made to account for the different temporal scales in ORCHIDEE and the fact that ORCHIDEE does not distinguish individual species but groups them into plant functional types (PFTs). The model was also adjusted to account for practices like salvage logging and to incorporate different methods of quantifying plant water stress. Finally, the susceptibility of each age class within a pixel was introduced instead of each cohort within a forest patch.

2.2.5. Bark beetle development stages

In ORCHIDEE r7791, only two bark beetle development stages are explicitly simulated: endemic and epidemic. Simulated mechanisms of positive and negative feedback on the bark beetle pressure index mimic implicitly two transition stages. Transition stages, referred to as the build-up and post-epidemic stage, were added to the model output as an additional post-processing step in order to facilitate the evaluation and presentation of the simulation results. The thresholds proposed for these transitions affect the figures and subsequent discussion but not the course of the actual simulation as they are only added after the simulations have finished.

2.2.5.1. The endemic stage (a)

During the endemic stage both the bark beetle population and the number of trees killed are at their lowest values (Fig. 1). At low population densities, beetles can only attack weakened trees or trees that were uprooted or broken within the previous year. In the endemic stage, the susceptibility of a forest mainly depends on the amount of breeding substrate (Litw; see table 2). In ORCHIDEE, during the endemic stage bark beetle damage to the forest stand has little impact on the structure and function of the ecosystem. Losses can be considered as background mortality.

Table 2: Definition of the stages used in the study.

| Outbreak stages | Stages representing the evolution of the bark beetle population from an endemic to an epidemic situation. There are four stages represented by Latin lower case letters a, b, c, and d representing endemic, build-up, epidemic, and post-epidemic, respectively. |
| Stand forest stages | Stages representing the health status of a forest stand before, during and after a bark beetle outbreak. There are six stages represented by Arabic numbers from 1 to 6 representing |
pseudo-climax, green, red, gray, growth boost, and recruitment, respectively.

### 2.2.5.2. The build-up stage (b)

During the build-up stage, the beetle population is fuelled by an increased availability of breeding substrate that enables the beetle population to grow beyond its endemic size. The build-up stage is a transitory stage during which the population of bark beetles can either return to its endemic stage or evolve into an epidemic stage. In the build-up stage, tree defense mechanisms are activated preventing bark beetles from successfully attacking healthy trees. Consequently, tree canopies remain green and therefore this stage is also known as the green stage (Fig. 1).

As the build-up stage is not explicitly represented in ORCHIDEE, we cannot precisely tag the start and the end of the stage. Nonetheless it was estimated during post-processing by considering two thresholds:

- The threshold at which the BPI is too high to represent an endemic population. Based on the simulation results, a BPI > 0.13 was selected as the post-processing threshold to mark the end of the endemic stage (Fig. 2).
- The second threshold represents the value of BPI which inevitably results in an epidemic stage. Again, based on the simulation results a BPI > 0.3 will always lead to an epidemic stage (Fig. 2).

![Figure 2: The endemic, build-up (green), epidemic (red), and post-epidemic (blue) stages in the development of a bark beetle outbreak based on synthetic data. The beetle outbreak stages are defined on the basis of the beetle pressure index (unitless) which is a proxy of beetle population size and shown as the dotted line. The full line represents the evolution of wood volume (m$^3$/ha).](https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1216)
During the build-up stage, the number of beetle generations and the susceptibility of forest to get infested determine the future of the outbreak. Increasing values for these two drivers will increase bark beetle activity (\(A_{\text{year}}\)) which can subsequently result in positive feedback on the BPI in the following years leading to an epidemic. When the beetle generations index and the susceptibility of the forest to infestation are not favorable, e.g., cold and wet years, the bark beetles will consume all accessible breeding substrate (\(S_{\text{w}}\)) leading to a decrease in both \(S_{\text{w}}\) and beetle pressure index.

2.2.5.3. The epidemic stage (c)
The epidemic stage corresponds to the capability of bark beetles to mass attack healthy trees and overrule tree defenses (Biedermann et al., 2019). At this point in the outbreak, all trees are potential targets irrespective of their health. Owing to the widespread mortality of individual trees, the forest dies resulting in a stage also known as the red stage (Fig. 1, stage 3). In order to simulate mass attacks in ORCHIDEE, the weights of two specific susceptibilities (\(S_{\text{w}}\) and \(S_{\text{d}}\)) in the calculation of the susceptibility index (\(S_{\text{i}}\)) are different compared to the endemic stage (eq. 6a). In the epidemic stage \(W_{\text{w}} = 0\) because beetles can access all trees whether healthy or not. Consequently, the weight for \(W_{\text{r}}\) is equal to one as it represents the breeding substrate susceptibility accounting for every tree.

Three causes may explain the end of an epidemic: (1) the most likely cause is a high interspecific competition among beetles for breeding substrate when the density of tree hosts is decreasing (decreasing \(S_{\text{r}}\)) (Pineau et al., 2017; Komonen et al., 2011), (2) extreme climate events such as heat waves, flood, and frost can abruptly decrease the beetle population (decreasing \(S_{\text{d}}\)), and (3) a rarely demonstrated increasing population of beetle predators (Reeve and Turchin, 2002). In ORCHIDEE r7791, the first two causes are represented but the last, i.e., the predators are not represented.

2.2.5.4. The post-epidemic stage (d)
Similar to build-up, the post-epidemic stage is a transitory stage delineated during the post-processing of ORCHIDEE r7791 simulation results. During this stage, the forest is still subject to higher mortality than usual but signs of recovery appear (Hlásny et al., 2021). Recovery may help the forest ecosystem to return to its original state or switch to a new state (different species, change in the forest structure) depending on the intensity and the frequency of the disturbance (Van Meerbeek et al., 2021; Fig. 1).

2.3. Simulation experiments
Eight locations were selected which represent the range of climatic conditions within the distribution area of spruce in Europe (\(P\text{icea Abies}\) Karst L.) as shown in Table 4. Half-hourly weather data from the FLUXNET database (Pastorello et al., 2020) for these locations were used to drive ORCHIDEE. Some of these locations (FON, SOR, HES, COL, WET) are not populated with spruce but all are located within the species distribution. For each location, a pure spruce stand was simulated and the available FLUXNET data was looped to simulate a 100-year period. The study did not investigate the effect of species mixture in the simulation experiments. Other inputs, including soil
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Texture, pH and soil color were obtained from the USDA map derived from Eswaran et al. (2003), for the corresponding pixel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site (FLUXNET abv.)</th>
<th>Site acronyms refer to the site names used in the FLUXNET database (Pastorello et al. 2020).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Full name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYY</td>
<td>Hyytiala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOR</td>
<td>Soroe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THA</td>
<td>Tharandt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WET</td>
<td>Trebon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HES</td>
<td>Hesse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Simulated wood volume loss for the different wind speeds prescribed in this study. Wind storms were used as the disruptive event to trigger change in the ecosystem structure. Seven different wind speeds were used in the experimental setup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Max wind speed (m.s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative wood volume loss (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood volume loss (m$^3$. ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amount of fresh breeding woody substrate inputs used by the bark beetles to breed was controlled by modifying the maximum wind speed of a windthrow event in ORCHIDEE. Seven wind speeds ranging between 19 m/s and 40 m/s were selected (Table 3). This range is justified by the observation that mean wind speeds below 19 m/s could not trigger a windthrow event in ORCHIDEE (Chen et al., 2018) while for wind speeds exceeding 40 m/s, more than 60% of the trees are uprooted, leaving too few living trees to trigger a bark beetle outbreak within the same pixel.

To investigate the impact of windthrow intensity and background climate on bark beetle outbreaks, the study conducted a total of 56 [8 sites x 7 wind speed intensities] simulations as given in table 3. The same 56 simulations were also used to analyze the sensitivity of the carbon balance of spruce forests to windthrow intensity and background climate.

Where most land surface models use a turnover time to simulate continuous mortality (Thurner et al., 2014; Pugh et al., 2019), ecological reality is better described by abrupt mortality events. An idealized simulation experiment was used to qualify the impact of abrupt mortality on net biome productivity by changing from a framework in which mortality is approximated by a constant background mortality to a framework in which mortality occurs in abrupt, discrete events. To test the impact of a change in mortality framework two versions of ORCHIDEE were compared to create an idealized simulation experiment: (1) a version simulating mortality as a continuous process, labeled "the continuous version", and (2) the version capable of simulating abrupt mortality from windthrow and subsequent bark beetle outbreaks, labeled "the abrupt version". The effect of simulating abrupt mortality was evaluated over 20-, 50-, and 100-year time horizons.

The effect of changing the framework of simulating mortality from continuous to abrupt was qualified on the basis of 112 simulations (8 sites x 7 wind speeds x 2 model versions) of 100 years each. The simulations with abrupt mortality were run first. Subsequently, the number of trees killed was quantified and used as a reference value for the continuous mortality set-up. This approach resulted in the same quantities of dead trees at the end of the simulation for both frameworks, which then differed only in the timing of the simulated mortality. This precaution is necessary to avoid
comparing two different mortality regimes where the result would mainly be explained by the intensity of the mortality rather than by its underlying mechanisms.

2.4. Quantitative evaluation

This study presents a qualitative evaluation, whereas a quantitative evaluation is the topic of an ongoing study. The qualitative evaluation assesses whether the newly developed bark beetle model in ORCHIDEE is capable of reproducing bark beetle outbreak behavior along climate and windthrow gradients. As shown in Fig. 1, a bark beetle outbreak is driven by the beetle population dynamics resulting in structural and functional changes in the forest ecosystem. The length of the development stage of a bark beetle outbreak are not prescribed in ORCHIDEE, but emerge from the implemented processes. The evaluation of the beetle's population dynamics covers the 12 years following a disturbance event. The literature was searched for peer-reviewed papers that present the length of one or several of the outbreak stages. Eleven papers were identified and used in the evaluation (Table 6).

Changes in forest functioning were evaluated through the temporal evolution of the net primary production (NPP) over a 15-year time frame and net biome productivity (NBP) over a 100-year time frame. NBP is defined as the regional net carbon accumulation after considering losses of carbon from fire, harvest, and other episodic disturbances. NBP is a key variable in the carbon cycle of forest ecosystems (Chapin et al., 2006; Galloway and Melillo, 1998) as it integrates photosynthesis, autotrophic, and heterotrophic respiration. In ORCHIDEE, NBP is calculated as proposed in (Chapin et al., 2006). Changes in net biome productivity are thus the result of changes in photosynthesis, which in turn is driven by changes in leaf area, autotrophic respiration, and heterotrophic respiration. The latter is influenced by the availability of litter inputs, including litter from trees that died from the bark beetle outbreak.

3. Results

3.1. Sensitivity of bark beetles outbreaks to temperature and windthrown intensity.
Figure 3: Simulated dynamic of bark beetle outbreaks in spruce forests in the first 20 years after a windthrow event. The criteria used to attribute the simulated beetle population to the different outbreak stages is detailed in table 5. In the left panel an identical and fixed relative wood volume loss from windthrow (i.e., 12%) was applied for each site. The eight sites (Table 4) were used as reference sites for which seven additional simulations were run in order to evaluate the impact of windthrow intensity (outbreak trigger intensity) on the length of the outbreak stages. The simulated relationship between wind speeds and relative wood losses from windthrow are given in Table 3.

### 3.1.1. Back beetle outbreak dynamic along a temperature gradient.

The variation in mean annual temperature across the eight examined locations spanned from a low of 4.3°C in HYY, Finland, to a high of 11.2°C in FON, France, over the simulation period. The hottest sites, FON and HES, witnessed a substantial bark beetle outbreak in ORCHIDEE following a windthrow event, resulting in a minimum of 12% timber loss. In contrast, the coldest sites, HYY and REN, remained unaffected by bark beetles, regardless of the severity of
the windthrow event. Interestingly, the four sites with a similar average annual temperature (ranging between 7.2°C and 8.7°C) did not conform to the large-scale temperature gradient that governs bark beetle outbreaks in ORCHIDEE, as was the case in HYH, REN, FON, and COL. For instance, despite having an average annual temperature of 7.2°C, COL experienced an outbreak, while THA (8.7°C) only endured the buildup phase before reverting to the endemic phase with 12% timber loss. Additionally, SOR, which had an average annual temperature of 8.2°C, did not experience any outbreak in the simulations.

Examining the dynamics of net primary production during the outbreak, it’s noticeable that warmer sites like FON, HES, and COL recovered more quickly (within 2-3 years) than colder sites (which took 3-5 years) following a disturbance event. This held whether or not the bark beetle population developed into an outbreak (see Fig. 4). The recovery of the fluxes was relatively fast compared to the several decades necessary for the forest structure to recover (result not shown). For locations where no beetle outbreak occurred (i.e., HYH, SOR, REN, as shown in Fig. 3), the recovery was solely from the impact of the windstorm, and these locations returned to their quasi-stable state within 5 to 10 years following the windstorm. At SOR, the climate record contained two storm events within a 5-year period (one that was artificially imposed for the study and one that was naturally present in the climate series), which may have contributed to the longer recovery stage compared to HYH and THA. When considering the total net primary production over a period of 15 years, less productive sites experienced more impact from an outbreak than the more productive sites (Fig. 5).
Figure 4: Net primary production for a simulation period of 15 years. At the beginning of year two a windthrow event is forced based on its maximum wind speed (colored line). Each wind speed corresponds to a certain amount of wood loss (see Table 3 for the corresponded value). Each panel represents one of the eight FLUXNET sites studied.
3.1.2. Back beetle outbreak dynamic across windthrow intensity gradient.

The ORCHIDEE simulation revealed a consistent correlation between the intensity of windthrow events and the dynamics of bark beetle outbreaks across all examined locations. In this study, if a windthrow resulted in less than approximately 12% of timber volume loss, it did not result in an outbreak. The buildup phase, which typically lasted for three years at a 12% timber loss, reduced to two years at a 27% loss, and further shortened to one to two years at sites with a 32% loss or more (such as WET, HES, FON). The epidemic phase followed a similar trend, with its duration decreasing from six to three years at a 12% loss, to just one to two years at a 47% loss (Fig. 3). However, at a 60% timber loss, no epidemic phase was observed. Instead, an extended buildup phase lasting between five and twelve or more years was simulated. Beyond a 60% loss, the forest density became too low to trigger an outbreak, even though the vast amount of timber provided by the windthrow maintained the bark beetle population above its endemic threshold.

Analyzing the functional recovery along a gradient of windthrow intensities, it was found that a 12% loss of wood volume required 13 years to recover, while a loss of 8% required only 5 years (Fig. 4). Interestingly, when considering the total net primary production over a period of 15 years, it's apparent that the combined impact of windthrow and a bark beetle outbreak has a greater effect on ecosystem functioning than an equivalent single disturbance event, such as a windthrow that kills the same overall number of trees (Fig. 5).
3.2. Comparing simulated and observed bark beetle outbreak dynamics.

When confronting the simulation results with field observations reported in the literature, reasonable agreement was observed in terms of the duration of the four stages of bark beetle outbreak. A comprehensive summary of our findings for each of these four stages is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Key components of a bark beetle outbreak. We conducted a comprehensive literature review, specifically focusing on peer-reviewed articles that outlined the duration of one or multiple stages of the outbreak. In total, 11 papers were identified and utilized in this evaluation.
Observed elements of a bark beetle outbreak (Fig. 1) | ORCHIDEE behavior

| Climax with endemic stage (Fig. 1; outbreak stage a) | In colder regions, an increase in temperature following a windthrow event could accelerate the growth of the beetle population and potentially instigate an epidemic situation, as demonstrated in the case of COL (Fig. 3). Conversely, in warmer locations, colder temperatures after a windthrow event could halt the increase in the beetle population, thereby preventing epidemic situations, as seen in the case of THA (Fig. 3).

Windthrow provides fresh breeding substrate, thereby increasing bark beetle population (Lausch et al. 2011). Temperature impacts all bark beetle life stages, with higher temperatures facilitating multiple generations in a single year, which in turn drastically increases the bark beetle population (Benz et al. 2005). Cold and wet years decelerate bark beetle breeding (Benz et al. 2005, Nageleisen, 2022).

| Green or buildup stage (Fig. 1; outbreak stage b) | Based on our simulations, the duration of the buildup stage varied from 1 to 3 years, contingent on the intensity of the windthrow events and the prevailing climate conditions (Fig. 3).

The population of bark beetles expands due to the availability of fresh dead wood biomass. A notable surge in the population of I. typographus, a species of bark beetle, was observed in windthrow areas during the second to third summer following the storm (Wermelinger, 2004).

| Red or epidemic stage (Fig. 1; outbreak stage c) | The ORCHIDEE model simulates epidemic stages where all trees with a diameter greater than 20 cm become potential hosts. During these stages, the bark beetle population escalates, reaching levels 6 to 8 times higher than those in the endemic stage (Fig. 2).

Substantial populations of bark beetles have the capability to launch a mass attack on healthy trees, effectively overcoming their natural defenses (Lieutier et al., 2004, Nageleisen, 2022).

| Large-scale tree mortality leads to resource scarcity for the bark beetles, subsequently causing a reduction in their population due to intraspecific competition. The duration of the bark beetle epidemic stage ranges from 1 to 5 years, contingent on the severity of the outbreak and the density of the forest (Edburg et al. 2012, Hlásny et al. 2021). | The ORCHIDEE model simulates an epidemic stage lasting between 1 to 6 years, depending on the prevailing climate conditions (Fig 3). A significant decline in the beetle population is observed when the relative stem density drops too low (around 0.4)

The factors that instigate a bark beetle outbreak, such as climate conditions and the availability of fresh dead woody biomass, are different from those that lead to the conclusion of an outbreak, namely resource limitations (Edburg et al. 2012). | The ORCHIDEE model emulates the observed hysteresis, or delay in response, in the dynamics of the beetle population, as outlined in the model description.

Large-scale tree mortality leads to resource scarcity for the bark beetles, subsequently causing a reduction in their population due to intraspecific competition. The duration of the bark beetle epidemic stage ranges from 1 to 5 years, contingent on the severity of the outbreak and the density of the forest (Edburg et al. 2012, Hlásny et al. 2021).

The factors that instigate a bark beetle outbreak, such as climate conditions and the availability of fresh dead woody biomass, are different from those that lead to the conclusion of an outbreak, namely resource limitations (Edburg et al. 2012).
Grey or post-epidemic stage (Fig. 1; outbreak stage d)

The grey stage represents an extended period, spanning years to decades, during which trees die and decompose while still standing, also known as snags (Edburg et al. 2012). During this stage, a disconnection between the soil and ecosystem carbon and nitrogen cycles may be observed (Hlásny et al. 2021).

The ORCHIDEE model simulates logs but not snags. In the model, tree death is instantaneous, with 90% of logs from wind throw and bark beetle damage decomposing within a span of 1 to 3 years (data not shown). This is applicable when logs are lying on the ground. To accurately represent the process in the ORCHIDEE model, snags must be explicitly represented, or the rate of log decomposition must be artificially decreased.

Ecological transition in endemic stage (Fig. 1; outbreak stages 4 to 6)

In the aftermath of a bark beetle outbreak, which resulted in a 52% reduction in tree numbers, a combination of observational and modeling approaches estimated a recovery period of 25 years (Pfeifer et al. 2011).

Without snag decomposition, the model simulates an extended period of functional recovery, ranging from 5 to 15 years depending on the intensity of the back beetle outbreak (Fig. 4).

The gradual disappearance of snags tends to favor natural regeneration (Jonášová and Prach, 2004, Carlson et al. 2020).

The ORCHIDEE model does not simulate natural regeneration in this study. This limitation, along with the model’s inability to accurately represent snags, could be responsible for its overestimation of the recovery stage.

3.3. Continuous vs abrupt mortality

The total net biome production (NBP) was evaluated for each simulation across three different timeframes: 20, 50, and 100 years following a windthrow event. At the 20-year mark, the average accumulated NBP notably differed between the continuous and abrupt mortality frameworks: 2.10±0.82 tC.ha⁻¹ for the former, and -9.73±10.43 tC.ha⁻¹ for the latter. These differences were statistically significant (t-test, p-value<0.001). While forests under the abrupt mortality framework behaved as carbon sources, those under the continuous mortality framework acted as carbon sinks (Fig. 6). Furthermore, the variability in NBP (Fig. 6) demonstrated the broad temperature gradient in Europe and indicated that despite many locations potentially acting as sources under the abrupt mortality framework, some may transition to carbon sinks within the first 20 years following a disturbance.

When considering the 50-year horizon, the difference between the two frameworks decreased. The net biome productions were 6.00±2.09 and 0.77±6.15 tC.ha⁻¹ for the continuous and abrupt mortality frameworks, respectively. The difference in sink strength was statistically significant (t-test, p-value=0.001), with the NBP in the abrupt framework approaching carbon neutrality. However, the variability of responses depending on climatic conditions remained pronounced under the abrupt framework in comparison to the continuous one. Some locations under the abrupt mortality framework transitioned from carbon sources to carbon sinks under the continuous mortality framework (Fig. 6).

At the 100-year mark, the average accumulated NBP for the abrupt and continuous frameworks became indistinguishable (t-test, p-value=0.55), with values of 20.98±7.90 and 22.90±9.77 tC.ha⁻¹, respectively.
Figure 6: Difference in cumulative net biome production at three discrete time horizons (i.e., 20, 50 and 100 years) between a continuous (green) and abrupt (orange) mortality framework. Note that in the continuous mortality framework the mortality rate was adjusted to obtain a similar number of trees killed after 100 years as in the abrupt mortality framework. The variation of each boxplot arises due to different locations and prescribed storm intensities. Each boxplot displays the median value (thick horizontal line), the quartile range (box border), and the 95% confidence interval (vertical line).

4. Discussion

4.1. Simulating the dynamics of bark beetle outbreaks and their interaction with windthrow
Given the large-scale nature of the ORCHIDEE model we opted to start with a qualitative evaluation of the bark beetle outbreak functionality rather than focusing the evaluation on matching observed damage volumes at specific case studies. Such an approach is thought to reduce the risk of overfitting the model to specific site conditions (Abramowitz et al., 2008). Qualitative evaluation enables improving the realism of the bark beetle model in ORCHIDEE without reducing its generality (Levins, 1966). The side-by-side comparison of the observed stages in a bark beetle outbreak and model behavior by ORCHIDEE (Table 6) show the ability of ORCHIDEE to simulate the dynamics of cascading disturbances. Even if some of the simulated dynamics may rarely occur in reality, the model formulation has demonstrated its capability to simulate a broad range of disturbance dynamics. The variation in the outbreak dynamics and the response of the outbreak to its main drivers (Fig. 3) give confidence in the ability of ORCHIDEE to simulate various outbreak scenarios observed around the temperate and boreal zones under changing climate conditions.

4.2. Emerging property from interacting disturbances
While this study hasn't provided a precise quantification of the impact of incorporating abrupt mortality versus a fixed continuous background mortality, it consistently demonstrated that the impact of abrupt mortality can vary across locations and over time, i.e., ecosystem functions, such as carbon storage, are affected by natural disasters like pest outbreaks, having significant impacts on short-to-mid-term carbon balance estimates.

The experiments also highlighted that the legacy effects of disturbances can endure for decades, even in a simplified representation of forest ecosystems such as ORCHIDEE, where the recovery might be too fast due to the absence of snags or too slow due to the absence of recruitment (Senf et al., 2019).

In the model wind speeds of less than 20 m.s\(^{-1}\) weren't powerful enough to uproot or break trees (Fig. 4). The ability to simulate resistance as an emerging property is evident from Fig. 4 for locations SOR, REN, and HYY, where no bark beetle outbreaks were observed following a windthrow. However, in all simulated locations that couldn't resist a bark beetle outbreak, the forest was resilient and ecosystem functions were restored to the level from before the wind throw. The elasticity of, e.g., the carbon sink capacity ranged from 1 to 10 years. This elasticity is in line with current observational evidence from Millar and Stephenson (2015) who found very little evidence of ecosystem shifts due to natural disturbances in forests. Finally, after the disturbance and the recovery of vegetation structure, the ecosystems simulated by ORCHIDEE showed persistence, i.e. the ability to continue along their initial developmental path.

4.3. Are cascading disturbances important for carbon balance estimates?

The integration of abrupt mortality events instead of a fixed continuous mortality calculation has significantly complicated the ORCHIDEE model. However, does this increased realism offer any new insights into carbon balance estimates? The experiment suggests that over a century-long timeframe, the net biome production, which was used to estimate carbon balance, remains consistent regardless of if a continuous or abrupt framework is used. This further corroborates the model's ability to reach the same state (Fig. 6). The time needed for both frameworks to convergence implies that after a single disturbance or a cascade of disturbances, the forest experiences a prolonged growth spurt that compensates for the growth deficit during the disturbance event.

The experiment, however, did not consider fluctuations in the recurrence of disturbances. Considering the significant impact of disturbance legacy on carbon dynamics, it's plausible that a recurrence interval of less than the recovery period could trigger a tipping point, reducing the carbon sequestration beyond the 100-year horizon. In extreme cases, forest ecosystems might even collapse, although this was not simulated in the current experiments nor documented in the recent review by Millar and Stephenson (2015).

On the other hand, between 20 to 50 years, the commonly adopted continuous mortality model tends to overestimate the carbon sink capacity of forests compared to conditions with abrupt mortality events. Since most policy recommendations target these shorter timeframes (e.g. Green Deal for Europe, 2023; Paris Agreement | CCNUCC, 2023) they should rely on model simulations incorporating an abrupt mortality framework to avoid overestimation of the sink capacity of forest.

Moreover, this study emphasizes the significance of initializing the model with an accurate depiction of the forest's state. The state of the forest greatly influences the carbon assimilation rate. Integrating an abrupt mortality framework
into the ORCHIDEE model may help to enhance the accuracy and robustness of our carbon balance estimates over short, medium, and long-term periods.

4.4. Bark beetle outbreak models shortcomings

The bark beetle outbreak module developed in this study builds upon the strengths of the previously established LandClim model, though it also inherited some of its limitations.

One notable shortcoming is the submodule for beetle phenology, which is an empirical model making use of accumulated degrees. Since the model’s conception a decade ago, Europe’s climate has undergone substantial changes, primarily manifested in warmer winters and springs (European State of the Climate | Copernicus, 2023). Because of these changes the chances have increased for two or even more bark beetle generations within a calendar year (Hlásny et al., 2021). These changes call for an update of the beetle's phenology model to align with these more recent observations (Ogris et al., 2019). Another issue is the model’s consideration of drought. As outlined in the method section, drought is treated as an exacerbating factor, rather than a primary trigger as is the case for windthrow. This understanding was accurate a decade ago (Temperli et al., 2013); however, emerging evidence increasingly suggests that drought events may indeed trigger bark beetle outbreaks across Europe (Netherer et al., 2015; Nardi et al., 2022). Consequently, this extreme drought as a trigger should be incorporated in a future revision of ORCHIDEE’s bark beetle outbreak module.

5. Outlook

This study simulated how windthrow interacts with bark beetle infestations in unmanaged forests. Future research will incorporate additional interactions, such as: the interplay between droughts, storms, and bark beetles; storms, bark beetles, and fires; as well as forest management, storms, and bark beetles. The bark beetle outbreak module could also be enhanced by simulating: (a) standing dead trees (or snags), which would help account for differences in wood decomposition between snags and logs (Angers et al., 2012; Storaunet and Rolstad, 2004), (b) the migration of bark beetles to neighboring locations, which becomes significant to account for in a model that operates at spatial resolutions below approximately 10 kilometers, (c) the recruitment of trees, which would enable the simulation of ecosystem shifts (see section 4.2), and (d) an up-to-date beetle phenology module which accounts for the recent change in their behavior induced by climate change.

This research provides an initial qualitative assessment of a new model feature. However, the application of the model necessitates an evaluation of the simulations against observations of cascading disturbances at the regional scale, which is the topic of an ongoing study.

6. Conclusion

The integration of a bark beetle outbreak in interaction with other natural disturbance such as windthrow into the ORCHIDEE land surface model has resulted in a broader range of disturbance dynamics and has demonstrated ORCHIDEE’s capacity to simulate various disturbance interaction scenarios under different climatic conditions. Incorporating abrupt mortality events instead of a fixed continuous mortality calculation provided new insights into
carbon balance estimates. The study showed that the continuous mortality framework, which is commonly used in the land-surface modeling community, tends to overestimate the carbon sink capacity of forests in the 20-to-50-year range in ecosystems under high disturbance pressure, compared to scenarios with abrupt mortality events.

Apart from these advances, the study revealed possible shortcomings in the bark beetle outbreak model including the need to update the beetle's phenology model to reflect recent climate changes, and the need to consider extreme drought as a trigger for bark beetle outbreaks in line with emerging evidence. Looking ahead, future work will further develop the capability of ORCHIDEE to simulate interacting disturbances such as the interplay between extreme droughts, storms, and bark beetles, and between storms, bark beetles, and fires.
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