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Abstract

The effects of mineral dust emitted from arid and semiarid surfaces upon climate and ecosystems depend fundamentally on the particle size–distribution (PSD) and size-resolved mineralogical composition. However, there is very limited quantitative knowledge on the particle size and composition of the parent sediments along with their variability within dust source regions, particularly in dust emission hotspots. This article presents a relevant dataset of dust-emitting sediments from, not only a Saharan, dust hotspot, but also its surroundings, which is important to better understand their respective impacts on climate and air quality, and also their interactions. However, soil mineralogy atlases used for mineral-specified dust modelling are highly uncertain as they are derived extrapolating mineralogical analyses of soil samples that are particularly scarce in dust-source regions. This extrapolation neglects the processes affecting the formation of different dust-emitting surface sediments, such as dunes, crusts, and paved sediments. The Lower Drâa Valley, an inland drainage basin and dust hotspot region and preferential dust-source located in southern Morocco, the Moroccan Sahara, was chosen for a comprehensive analysis of sediment grain size and mineralogy. Different sediment types samples (n=42) were collected, including paleo-sediments, paved surfaces, crusts, and dunes, and analysed for particle size distribution through PSD analysis of minimally and fully dispersed samples and mineralogy, and X-ray diffraction mineralogical analysis of bulk samples. We also performed a sequential Fe extraction. Furthermore, Fe sequential wet extraction was carried out to characterize the modes of occurrence of Fe, including Fe in Fe (oxyhydr)oxides, mainly from goethite and hematite, which are key to dust radiative effects, the and poorly crystalline pool of Fe (readily exchangeable ionic Fe and Fe in nano-Fe-oxides), relevant to dust impacts upon ocean biogeochemistry, and structural Fe. Based on the results, we propose a conceptual model where both particlesize and mineralogy are segregated by transport and deposition of sediments during runoff of water across the basin, and by the precipitation of salts, which causes a sedimentary fractionation. The proportions of coarse particles (substantially enriched in quartz) is higher where more present in elevated areas in the high-lands, and while that of finer particles (rich in clay, carbonates, and Fe-oxides) is higher in the low-lands. The are present in depressed areas, where dust emission is maximized dust emission hotspots. There, when water ponds and evaporates, secondary carbonates and salts precipitate, and the clays are enriched in readily exchangeable ionic Fe, due to sorption of dissolved Fe by illite. These results differ from currently available mineralogical atlases and highlight the need for observationally-constrained global high-resolution mineralogical data for mineral-specified dust modeling. The obtained dataset represents an important resource for future evaluation of surface mineralogical retrievals from spaceborne spectroscopy.
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1. Introduction

Desert dust is atmospheric particulate matter (PM), mostly mineral in composition, emitted into the atmosphere by wind erosion of arid and semi-arid surfaces. The global dust source regions include North Africa, the Middle East, Central Asia, Western Australia, South America, Southern Africa and Southern US-Northern Mexico. These regions include most of the so-called dust emission “hotspots”, defined as localized, persistent areas of intense dust production within an overall landscape which generally does not emit dust (Gillette, 1999, Baddock et al., 2016). From these regions, North Africa accounts for around 50% of the global dust emissions, followed by Central Asia, the Middle East and East Asia (Kok et al., 2021). Dust storms arise when strong winds generate a large amount of dust particles that drastically reduce visibility nearby and are transported over distances of hundreds of kilometres (Prospero et al. 2002). During transport, dust perturbs the energy and water cycles by direct radiative forcing and influences cloud formation, precipitation and the associated indirect radiative forcing (Weaver et al., 2002). Dust transports nutrients across the planet affecting ocean productivity (Boyd et al., 2007), plant nutrient gain or loss (Sullivan et al., 2007, Doronzo et al., 2016, Alshemmari et al., 2013, Al-Dousari et al., 2020)), and glacier mass budgets (Goudie & Middleton, 2006). Dust can also directly affect human health by inhalation or by favouring the propagation of diseases (Goudie & Middleton, 2006, De Longeville et al., 2010; Karanasiou et al., 2012; Pérez García- Pando et al., 2014, Al-Dousari et al., 2018). It can reduce renewable solar energy output due to attenuation of solar radiation and soiling of solar panels (Al-Dousari et al., 2019; Monteiro et al., 2022), create poor visibility on roads increasing the risk of traffic accidents (Middleton, 2017) and cause disturbances in airport operations and air traffic (Monteiro et al., 2022).

Dust is emitted mostly from arid inland drainage basins (Dubief, 1977, Prospero et al., 2002; Goudie & Middleton, 2006; Bullard et al., 2011; Querol et al., 2019). These basins encompass different sedimentary environments, many of which are potentially efficient sources of dust emission hotspots, including unconsolidated aeolian deposits, endorheic depressions, and fluvial and alluvial dominated systems (Bullard et al., 2011). Consolidated or compacted fine sediments in the form of crusts and paved sediments, for instance on ephemeral lake beds, can also be important dust emitting surfaces when loose sand size sediments provided by adjacent sand dunes are available (Stout, 2003). These sand particles are efficiently mobilised by wind and strike blast the consolidated surface breaking the sediment aggregates and releasing dust (Shao et al., 2011).
Models developed to simulate the atmospheric dust cycle and its impact on climate represent
dust transport, interactions with radiation and clouds, and removal by wet and dry
deposition (Tegen and Fung, 1994; Ginoux et al., 2001; Zender et al., 2004; Perez et al., 2011,
Klose et al., 2021). Modelling efforts have mostly focused on the representation of dust sources
and emission (Kok et al., 2021) and the characterization of dust sources and hotspots is one of
the crucial aspects for representing dust mobilisation in models. Traditionally, models used
and/or vegetation cover as a criterion to identify potential dust sources (e.g. Tegen and
Fung, 1994). Satellite retrievals subsequently showed that the most prolific sources occupy a
smaller fraction of arid regions (Prospero et al., 2002; Ginoux et al., 2012). These so-called
hotspots or “preferential sources” are found within enclosed basins, where easily eroded soil
particles accumulate after fluvial erosion and transport of from the surrounding high-lands. The
implementation of preferential source functions in global models based on topography (Ginoux
et al., 2001), hydrology (Tegen et al. 2002; Zender et al. 2003), geomorphology (Bullard et al.,
2011), or satellite proxies (Prospero et al., 2002; Ginoux et al., 2012), has significantly improved
the skill of models by approximately locating large-scale natural sources dust hotspots. However,
models are not able yet to capture the small-scale spatial and temporal variability in emissions
apparent from observations. Some studies—Models assume relatively homogeneous soil
properties everywhere due to the lack of data—while there can be an important significant
heterogeneity. Furthermore, some studies have provided small-scale understanding on the role
of geomorphology and sedimentology upon dust emissions (Bullard et al., 2011; Baddock et al.,
2016). For instance, Bullard et al. (2011) developed a conceptual model of how different
geomorphologic surfaces affect the intensity and temporal variability in dust emissions.

While it is key to understand dust source hotspots locations and emission intensity, climate
impacts by dust also depend upon its mineralogy (Alshemmari et al., 2013). Dust is a mixture of
different minerals including quartz, clay minerals (mica/illite, kaolinite, palygorskite,
chlorite/clinochlore and smectite/montmorillonite), feldspars (albite/anorthite and orthoclase),
carbonate minerals (mainly calcite and dolomite), salts (mainly halite and gypsum), Fe-oxides
and hydroxides (mostly goethite and hematite) and other oxides or hydroxides of Ti, Mn and Al
(Coudé-Gaussen et al., 1987; Schultz & Sebert, 1987; Molinaroli et al., 1993; Gomez, 1990; Sabre,
1997; Caquineau, 1997; Avila et al., 1997; Caquineau et al., 1998; Claquin et al., 1999; Al-Ghadban
et al., 1999; Cattle et al., 2002; Formenti et al., 2008; Nickovic et al., 2012; Alshemmari et al.,
2013, Scheuens et al., 2013; Joumert et al., 2014; Scanza et al., 2015; Subramaniam et al., 2015;
Doronzo et al., 2016; Al-Dousari et al., 2018, 2019, 2020; Ito & Wagai, 2017; Querol et al., 2019).

The relative abundances, size, shape, and mixing state of these minerals influence the effect of
dust upon climate. For instance, the absorption of solar radiation by dust depends upon the iron
oxide content (Tegen et al., 1997; Sokolik and Toon, 1999; Reynolds et al., 2014, Di Biagio et al.,
2019), ice nucleation in mixed-phase clouds is highly sensitive to the amount of K-feldspar and
quartz (Boose et al., 2016b; Harrison et al., 2019), and the bioavailability of iron in dust depends
upon its iron mineralogy and speciation (Shi et al., 2012). Recent studies have shown that cloud
pH is controlled in great part by calcite from dust (Grider et al., 2023). Furthermore, Ca is
controlling heterogeneous reactions of acids on the surface of dust, which ultimately
affect O3 production (Bauer et al., 2004; Paulot et al., 2016). According to the geological,
geomorphological and climate (weathering) patterns of the desert regions, the type, and
proportions of minerals might greatly vary (Caquineau, 1997; Caquineau et al., 1998, Claquin et
al., 1999; among others). For example, Sahelian dust is composed mainly of quartz,
kaolinite and hematite, the mineralogy of Middle Eastern dust is dominated by quartz and carbonates (Al-Dousari, 2018, 2019, 2020), while in North-eastern China and the Sahara dust mica/illite, kaolinite, quartz and carbonates prevail (Shen et al., 2009; Claquin et al., 1999).

Despite the potential importance of dust mineralogical variations, climate models typically assume dust composition as globally uniform, which is partly due to the limited knowledge of the composition of the parent sources at global scale. The few models that explicitly represent dust mineralogical composition (e.g., Scanza et al., 2015; Perlwitz et al., 2015, Li et al., 2021; Gonçalves Ageitos et al., 2023) use global atlases of soil type and the relation of this variable to soil mineralogy. This relation is inferred using massive extrapolation from a limited amount of mineralogical analyses, particularly in dust source regions, ancillary information on soil texture and colour, and a number of additional assumptions (Claquin et al., 1999; Journet et al., 2014).

The mineralogical composition Conventionally, the particle size of dust is characterized by measuring in two traditional particle grain-size ranges (Wentworth (1922) and Urquhart (1959)), i.e. clay (<2 μm) and silt (2-63 μm) linked to FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United States) soil texture datasets based on measurements following wet sieving, a technique that disperses (breaks up) the mineral aggregates found in the undisturbed parent soil into smaller particles (Chatenet et al., 1996). Furthermore, the samples that underpin these atlases consider the first 10-15 cm of soil sediment, which is much deeper than the thin layer that is relevant to wind erosion and dust emission, and mineralogy is normally analysed after removing organic matter with hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), which can partially dissolve carbonate minerals.

The assumed relationship between mineralogy and soil type in these atlases neglects the role of geomorphology and sedimentology affecting the formation of different dust-emitting surface sediments, such as dunes, crusts, and paved sediments. In this study, we provide a comprehensive analysis of the variability in grain size, mineralogical composition and Fe mineralogy and specification mode of occurrence of sediments collected across the Lower Drâa Valley, an inland drainage basin and prolific dust-source located in the north-western border of the Saharan desert in southern Morocco (Figure 1). The data collection was performed during a wind erosion and dust field campaign in September 2019 in the context of the FRontiers in dust minerAlOgical coMposition and its Effects upOn climaTe (FRAGMENT) project. Based on the analysis of the results we propose a conceptual model that links formation processes of potential dust-emitting sediments to their particle size distribution (PSD) and mineralogy across the basin.

2. Methodology

2.1 The FRAGMENT field campaign and the study area

The sediment samples analysed in this study were collected during a field campaign that took place in September 2019 in the Lower Drâa Valley, west of M’Hamid, between the Erg Chigaga and L’Bour (Figure 1a), a dry inland drainage basin where dust emission is frequent as evidenced by satellite data (Ginoux et al. 2012) (Figure 1b). The region lies where the Sahara Desert begins, to the south of the Atlas Mountain, near the Algerian border, in the Drâa River Basin. Preliminary results from the Earth Surface Mineral Dust Source Investigation, EMIT, (Green et al., 2020) show the presence of a complex regional mineralogy with fine-grained goethite, hematite (with
substantial nano-sized hematite), gypsum sulphate salts in the lowlands (depressions) and illite/muscovite, with local outcrops of carbonates in the study area (Figure 1c). The EMIT mineral maps show that the study area is representative of the larger area.

The campaign was conducted in the framework of the FRAGMENT project, in which distinct desert dust source regions are being characterised to better understand the size-resolved dust emission and composition for different meteorological and soil conditions. The aim of FRAGMENT is to better understand dust emission, its mineralogical composition and the effects of dust upon climate, by combining field measurements, laboratory analyses, remote and in situ spectroscopy, theory and modelling. FRAGMENT field campaigns consist of intensive sediment sampling and meteorological and airborne dust measurements in one specific location, along with sediment sampling across the broader basin. The intensive meteorological and airborne dust measurements were performed in the dry lake L’Bour and are analysed in e.g., González-Florez et al., 2022; Panta et al., 2022; Yus et al., in prep. Here the focus is on the sediment sampling across the basin.

The study area records very low annual precipitation (ranging from <50 to 800 mm) and extremely variable droughts interrupted by extreme floodings (Berger et al., 2021). The Drâa River was anthropogenically dried in this area mostly due to the construction of El Mansour Eddahbi dam in 1972 (near Ouarzazate). The Jbel Hassan Brahim range reaches the highest altitude in the area (840 m.a.s.l.), while the Drâa River is the lowest point (570 m.a.s.l.). The study region corresponds to a low relief alluvial system, unarmored and unincised according to Bullard et al. (2011). Rains are scarce, but sometimes they concentrate in the mountains (highlands) and even more sporadically they can directly affect the area during convective storms, creating flash floods with a high sediment load canalised by torrents or wadis, such as wadi Latache (high-lands) (Figure 1a), which flood flat areas. In specific areas across the basin, highly sediment-loaded waters can be shortly ponded on the way to Drâa River in small depressions, such as dry Lake Iriki, Erg Smar or L’Bour (low-lands) (Figure 1a), among other areas, along the floodplain. Dunes are concentrated in small flat areas, near depressions, where, after wind erosion, sediment can be dragged and be entrapped by the very scarce and low vegetation.

2.2 Sediment sampling

The sampled sediments include paleo-sediments (hereafter named sediments), paved sediments, crusts, and dunes, according to the classification by Watt & Valentin (1992) and Valentin & Bresson (1992). Paved sediments result from cyclic drying and aeolian erosion of the surface of paleo-sediments and range from 0.5 to 2 cm of vertical depth. Crusts ranged from 0.1 to 2 cm of vertical depth and were differentiated: i) thin depositional crusts formed as result of the deposition of sediments from running water during floods, and ii) thicker sedimentation crusts resulting from the sedimentation and drying of highly sediment-loaded waters in ponded areas of different sizes. The difference between paved sediments and crusts is mostly the period of formation. The former dates from can date up to thousands of years ago, while the latter was formed recently. However, crust might have finer sediments because these are formed by ponding. Sediments are below the crusts (not exposed to the atmosphere) and dunes are aeolian deposits. A 50 cm² inox steel shovel was used to sample surfaces (first top cm), sediments (below surface, from 1 to 5 cm in the vertical depth) and dunes (from surface to 5 cm). We registered coordinates, type of sample, surroundings
description, and we also recorded any other important information were registered and made concept drawings. We obtained a total of 42 sediment samples were obtained, including crusts (12), dunes (12), paved sediments (11) and sediments (7) (Figure 2) from different locations in the Drâa River Basin (Figure S1). These were considered representative because the study focuses on sediments (not deposited dust) and one basin.

2.3 Sample treatment

To analyse mineral-size fractionation (<10 and 10-63 µm), we applied a fully dispersed size fractionation using MilliQ-grade water and shaking the samples was applied previous prior to separation for 12-24 h. First, samples were subjected to 250, 63 and 10 µm sieves to obtain the <63 and <10 µm fractions. Due to availability, the smallest opening size of the sieve was 10 µm. Sonic sieving was applied for 60 s at maximum sustainable power for 3 min in every sieve. Finally, subsequent drying at 80 °C was applied to recover the solid fraction from the suspension.

2.4 Analysis

2.4.1 Particle size distribution and texture

The particle size analysis was carried out for fully (natural aggregates totally dispersed) and minimally (natural aggregates minimally dispersed) dispersed PSD to obtain the fully dispersed particle size distribution (FDPSD) and the minimally dispersed particle size distribution (MDPSD) to evaluate (i) how aggregates and particles occur in natural conditions (MDPSD) and (ii) the distribution of single particles that form the aggregates (FDPSD). The MDPSDs were obtained with laser diffraction using a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 Scirocco accessory (hereinafter, Scirocco) for minimally dispersed conditions. In this case, samples of 0.3-0.5 g of the fraction <2 mm were introduced into the Scirocco vibration plate with a 2 mm aperture and 5 s measuring time. FDPSDs were determined using the Malvern Mastersizer 2000 Hydro G accessory (hereinafter, Hydro) with a water suspension and ultrasound assistance for totally dispersed conditions. In that case, the samples were pre-treated following the method by Sperazza et al. (2004). The suspension was introduced into the Hydro’s sample container, pumping at 1750 rpm and stirring at 500 rpm. Results were obtained in both cases using the Fraunhofer method (Etzler et al., 1997).

To investigate the possible occurrence of vertical segregation of the PSD (top layers are the ones that are emitting dust), 7 crust and 5 paved sediment samples were selected for vertically resolved PSD analyses. To this end, 3 sub-samples were extracted from each sample (top, middle, and bottom sections) by scratching the surface with a cutter from top to bottom and were analysed separately. The thickness of these crusts varied between 4 to 8 mm.

The pipette method was also used to analyse the texture of a soil layer or boundary according to FAO-UNESCO (1990) of a total of six samples. This allows to separate a suspension of the sample in MilliQ-grade water into different size fractions (>63, 2-63 and <2 µm), dry and analyse each size-fraction individually.

2.4.2 Mineralogical composition

Quantitative mineralogical analyses of bulk sediment samples and segregated size fractions were carried out by means of powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), using a Bruker D8 A25 Advance, with Cu Kα radiation of 1.5405 Å wavelength, a Bragg Brentano geometry and a LynxEyeXE
detector. Analysis was performed at 40 mA and 40 kV with a range of angles from 4 to 60° and angle steps of 0.019° and 10 Hz for 1 h/sample. The mineral identification was made with the EVA software package by Bruker. For quantitative analyses we used the method of the internal reference material by Chung (1974) was applied, with quartz as the internal reference. The ratios of intensities of the different minerals versus quartz were obtained by preparing and analysing binary mixtures of the specific minerals and quartz. The accuracy of the XRD quantitative approach was tested by analysing 16 mixtures of reference materials with known concentrations of minerals. Figure S1 summarises major results, which yield relative standard deviations versus the known contents of quartz (13 % of error), albite/anorthite (10 %), calcite (31 %), dolomite (14 %), mica/illite (29 %), kaolinite (11 %), gypsum (27 %), anhydrite (19 %), goethite (42 %), hematite (50 %).

For an in-depth evaluation of clay mineralogy, XRD analyses of oriented aggregates following the procedure by Thoren (1976) were carried out for the same six samples of the texture. We treated the samples for air drying (AO), glycolation (AG) and heating (AC). Mica/illite, chlorite/kaolinite, palygorskite and smectite were found in all the samples, as evidenced from the bulk XRD analysis. Calcite and dolomite were dissolved by acidifying soil suspension with a strong acid as HCl and the excess used to quantify stoichiometrically the content of carbonates using the method proposed by Horváth et al. (2005) also for the same six samples of the clay-oriented aggregates and texture.

To investigate the possible occurrence of mineralogical vertical segregation, the 7 crust and 5 paved sediment unaltered samples used for particle size analysis (see section 2.4.1) were also used for vertically-resolved mineralogy analyses.

2.4.3 Mode of occurrence of Fe

Fe is a key ingredient to climatic and biological processes affected by dust. For instance, the amount, mixing state and size of Fe-oxo/hydroxides determine the degree of absorption of solar radiation by dust (Engelbrecht et al., 2016) and the potential solubility of the dust deposited into the ocean (Shi et al., 2012). However, the XRD semiquantitative analysis for Fe-oxo/hydroxides is affected by large uncertainties due to the low concentrations (increasing relative errors) and is not sensitive to nano-Fe-oxides (Shi et al., 2012). We complemented the XRD analyses were complemented by quantifying the levels and mode of occurrence of Fe in the bulk samples using the methodologies described in Shi et al. (2009). The method is based-latter being based-through which is based on a sequential extraction protocol to obtain the proportions of, we determine the amount of, readily exchangeable (adsorbed) Fe ions and Fe in nano-Fe-oxides (FeA) and the amount of Fe in crystalline Fe-oxides, mainly from hematite and goethite (FeD) in the samples were determined. We used 30 mg of Arizona Test Dust (ATD; ISO 12103-1, A1 Ultrafine Test Dust; Powder Technology Inc.) were used to test the accuracy of the method and extractions were done with 15 ml of extractant solution. For total Fe content (FeT), we used a two-step wet acid digestion method developed by Querol et al. (1993, 1997) and a coal fly ash (1633b) standard sample were used to test accuracy. The 1633b gave 7.5 % with a standard deviation of 0.14 % for total Fe (reference content of 7.8 % of Fe), while ATD gave 0.076 % with a standard deviation of 0.002 % of FeA and 0.49 % with a standard deviation of 0.07 % for FeD + FeA (reference content of 0.067 % of FeA and 0.41 % of FeD). Furthermore, by subtraction, we obtained the contents of structural Fe (FeS = FeT - (FeA + FeD)) were obtained, corresponding
to the Fe fraction as elemental Fe into the structure of minerals other than Fe-oxides, such as illite or other Fe-bearing minerals. Furthermore, the FeD contents were converted stoichiometrically to hematite (Fe₂O₃) and goethite (FeO(OH)) by using the hematite/goethite proportions from XRD.

2.4.5 Electron microscopy of crust and paved sediment sections

The PSD, mineralogy and morphology of crust and paved sediments can vary along the vertical profile, especially in crusts where progressive sedimentation and subsequent evaporation leads to inter-layering of sediments with different properties. For that purpose, crust and paved sediment sections were impregnated with epoxy resin, cut, and polished with diamond paste for microscopy analysis. The polished samples were coated with graphite before analysis with a JEOL JSM-7001F SEM-EDX Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Regional variability

3.1.1 Particle size distribution

We analyse the PSDs of the samples collected across the basin to detect possible trends or size segregation patterns from high- to low-lands for the different types of sediment. The mean median diameter values of each group of sediments provided in this section represent the mean and standard deviation of the median diameters. Because the PSDs are generally bimodal, other PSD metrics can be found in Table 1, including the maximum, minimum and mean of the median diameters for different types of sediments, location, PSD type (MDPSD and FDPS), and size fraction (full range, <63 µm and >63 to <2000 µm).

MDPSDs, excluding dune samples, show a major mode centred around 100 µm in diameter and a secondary one between 2 to 20 µm (Figure 3a; Table 1). FDPSDs also show two modes at 5 and 100 µm (Figure 3b; Table 1). The MDPSDs and FDPSDs of dune samples are very similar with a main mode centred around 150 µm and a secondary small one at 5 µm (30 times lower) (Figure 3c and d). Crust samples show the largest fine (0-5 µm) fraction in MDPSD, followed by paved sediments and sediments (Figure 3e). FDPSDs show a similar trend but with a larger proportion of fine particles compared to MDPSD (Figure 3f). The mean median diameter of the MDPSDs (Figure 4a), excluding dune samples, is 88±63 µm; and that of the FDPSDs, is 27±51 µm (Figure 4a). Therefore, aggregates are about 3 times coarser than individual mineral particles. As expected, dunes were coarser than other types of sediments, with a mean median diameter of 219±70 µm of the FDPSDs, which is very similar to that of the MDPSDs (Figure 4b). The mean median diameters of MDPSDs are 70±48, 74±45 and 113±79 µm for sediments, paved sediments and crusts, respectively (Figure 4c); whereas the mean diameters of FDPSDs are 19±11, 21±26 and 37±77 µm for sediments, paved sediments and crusts respectively, about 3 to 4 times finer (Figure 4d).

The spatial variation of the mean diameter of the FDPSDs (Figure 5) shows coarser crusts (>40 µm) close to the high-land areas, and finer crusts (<40 µm) near the Drâa River, likely due to flooding (causing transport and deposition of fine sediments, especially in the low-lands) caused during scarce and intensive rains. For paved sediments, sediments and dunes, spatial PSD trends
were not evident, with mean median diameters ranging from 10 to 120, 10 to 40 and 120 to 300 µm, respectively, randomly located across the basin (Table 1).

According to the size classification by Valentin & Bresson (1992) and using the FDPSD data (Figure S12), dune samples can be classified as sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam; sediments as silt loam and loam; paved sediments as sandy loam, loam and silt loam; and crusts as sandy loam, loam, silty clay loam and silt loam. As shown in Figure S12 and due to the higher transport potential of clays during rain episodes, and their accumulation during ponding, crusts tend to be further enriched in clay fractions, especially in low-lands, compared to paved sediments and sediment samples (see section 3.4).

3.1.2 Mineralogical composition

We describe here the mineralogy of samples collected across the basin to detect possible trends or mineral segregation patterns from high- to low-lands for the different types of sediment. The mineralogical composition (mass % composition of the bulk sample) of dunes, crusts, paved sediments and sediment samples is summarised in Table 2. Dunes show a homogeneous mineralogy across the study area, with mineral abundances of 74±9.7 % quartz, 5.8±2.9 % calcite, 6.7±3.6 % microcline, 6.9±3.1 % albite/anorthite, 4.1±2.3 % clay minerals, 1.0±1.4 % dolomite, 0.38±0.26 % goethite and 0.12±0.11 % hematite and trace amounts of halite and gypsum (<0.1 %) (Figure 6). In comparison to dunes, crusts are depleted in quartz (48±11 %) and feldspars (5.0±2.1 % albite/anorthite and 4.4±3.1 % microcline), and enriched in clay minerals (17±8.0 %), calcite (19±8.0 %), dolomite (3.0±1.3 %) and Fe-oxides (0.24±0.28 % hematite and 0.42±0.56 % goethite) (Figure 6). The content of gypsum (0.23±0.56 %) and halite (2.9±5.1 %) is higher than in dune samples, but variability is large because it depends on the exact point of crust sampling. Paved sediments have a similar mineralogy than crusts, for quartz (51±8.7 %), calcite (17±4.9 %), clay minerals (16±7.3 %), albite/anorthite (6.3±1.8 %), microcline (4.5±2.5 %), dolomite (3.5±0.79 %), hematite (0.34±0.25 %), and goethite (0.38±0.38 %), but with lower content of gypsum (<0.1 %) (Figure 6). Sediments are also similar to paved sediments and crusts with a mean quartz content (55±11 %), calcite (17±4.6 %), clay minerals (14±6.8 %), albite/anorthite (5.8±1.5 %), microcline (3.7±1.6 %), dolomite (3.4±1.3 %), hematite (0.28±0.37 %) and goethite (0.37±0.32 %). Trace amounts of gypsum (<0.1 %) and halite (0.32±0.55 %) were also found in sediments (Figure 6).

In comparison with the bulk sediment, the fully dispersed silt fraction (10-63 µm) shows a lower amount of quartz (35±6.4 %) and feldspars (7.4±2.5 %), a higher content of carbonates (25±5.2 %), clays (22±10 %) and hematite (1.07±0.38 %) and a similar content of goethite (0.61±0.38 %). In the fully dispersed <10 µm sieved fraction, the amount of quartz (23±5.2 %) and feldspars (4.7±1.1 %) is two times lower than in the bulk sediments. The fraction of carbonates remains similar (21±9.0 %) and the content of clays increases substantially (38±9.8 %) compared to the bulk and silt-size mineralogy. The Fe-oxide content increases by about a factor two for both hematite (2.2±2.0 %) and goethite (1.8±1.2 %). Table 3 compares our the mineralogical results in the clay and silt size ranges, both with the fully dispersed separation and the pipette methods, against the corresponding values provided by the available global mineralogical atlases of Claquin et al. (1999) and Journet et al. (2014), which assume our the sample locations to be either fluvisols or yermosols in terms of soil type. In the silt-size fraction, we find similar contents of quartz, total clay, mica/lillite, chlorite+kaolinite, calcite and Fe-oxides, were found, but 3 times
less feldspars and 5 times more dolomite. Compared to the clay-size fraction in the atlas, the sample types except dunes, were considered. The low-lands, such as L’Bour and Erg Smar, are enriched in clay minerals (17±9.6 and 14±3.4 %, respectively) compared to the high-lands (9.1±0.97 %) (Figure 6). Mica/illite is the most common clay mineral reaching mean contents of 9.1±8.4, 8.1±2.0 in Erg Smar and L’Bour, respectively, and 5.0±0.70 % in the high-lands. Kaolinite reaches 7.2±5.4, 4.9±2.1 and 3.5±0.30 % and clinochlore 1.7±1.8, 1.3±0.67 and 0.49±0.38 %, respectively. Smectite and palygorskite were detected only in trace amounts (<0.1 %) in most samples, with only palygorskite at Erg Smar and high-lands reaching a mean content of 0.34±0.58 and 0.15±0.06 %, respectively. The same trend is found for calcite (24±13, 16±3.1 and 11±2.7 %, Erg Smar, L’Bour and high-lands), dolomite (5.0±5.1, 3.6±0.51 and 1.7±0.50 %, at Erg Smar, L’Bour and high-lands) and Fe-oxides (0.78±1.4, 0.37±0.43 and 0.08±0.04 % for hematite at Erg Smar, L’Bour and high-lands and 0.42±0.51, 0.39±0.35 and 0.32±0.21 % for goethite at Erg Smar, L’Bour and high-lands) being steeper for hematite than goethite (Figure 6). Quartz follows an opposite trend, increasing towards the high-lands (42±18, 53±5.0 and 61±5.4 %, at Erg Smar, L’Bour and high-lands, respectively) (Figure 6). Albite/anorthite and microcline do not show a clear trend, with 5.5±2.3, 5.9±1.8 and 5.4±1.2 % at Erg Smar, L’Bour and high-lands, and 3.4±2.4, 5.0±3.4 and 4.6±1.7 %, respectively (Figure 6). Salt concentrations peak randomly and depend on very local scale conditions, being higher at concave areas where ponding is favoured (see section 3.4). The mean content of halite is 1.0±2.2, <0.1 and 4.0±7.7 % at Erg Smar, L’Bour and high-lands and that of gypsum is 0.18±0.35, <0.1 and 0.15±0.92 %, respectively (Figure 6).

A soft crust occurred on the surface of several dunes (Figure 2). The PSD and mineralogical analysis of the crust and the underlying sands did not reveal significant differences. Pye & Tsar (2015) reported that surface hardening of dunes is due to the scavenging and deposition of clays.
from suspended dust in light rains and by cementation of sand particles (meniscus) by precipitation of carbonates and silica in the retained interstitial pore water. In both cases the potential variability caused by the slight increase of this clay and carbonate/silica cementation is obscured by variations in the bulk mineralogy.

3.2 Vertical segregation in crust and paved sediments

The examination of thin vertical cross-sections provides insight into how particle size and mineral composition vary within the top few µm or mm of the surface. These differences are relevant to the mineralogy and PSD of newly emitted dust.

The MDPSDs of crust sections (top, middle and bottom) are very similar, with two modes of occurrence at 5-7 and 200 µm (Figure S1a). Yet, while the FDPSDs show similar two modes at 1-5 and 100 µm for the top and middle sections and a second mode at 300 µm for the bottom section (Figure S1b). The MDPSD mean median diameter of the 7 crust profiles reach 25±25, 54±80 and 25±26 µm for the top, middle and bottom sections, respectively, while FDPSD means are 9.4±9.4 and 11±9.5 µm in the top and middle sections and 94±145 µm in the bottom one (Figure S1c and d). Therefore, during the initial stages of ponding, coarser particles are deposited first while finer particles remain suspended (see section 3.4) in the later stages before evaporation of the water. Even some oxides, carbonates and salts may precipitate in the top layers of the crust as water evaporates and the ionic strength increases.

No vertical PSD segregation is observed in paved sediments, but some top sections analysed show enrichment in coarser fractions in FDPSD (the median diameter increases from bottom and middle sections (14±6.8 and 12±5.8 µm) to the top section (23±28 µm)), likely due to preferential erosion of finer fractions through sandblasting (see section 3.4).

The mean levels of quartz and feldspars are enriched in the bottom sections of the crusts (46±17 and 8.7±4.6 %, respectively) compared to the middle (38±11 and 8.3±2.5 %) and top sections (41±12 and 6.9±2.2 %) due to the higher quartz content of the coarse fraction that is deposited first (see section 3.4). The content of clay minerals, salts and Fe-oxides is similar in the top (20±7.2, <0.1 and 3.3±1.9 %, respectively), middle (21±5.0, <0.1 and 2.8±1.6 %), and bottom sections (19±9.1, <0.1 and 1.9±1.0 %). Carbonate minerals are relatively homogeneous, but slightly enriched in the middle and top sections (29±9.7 and 28±7.9 %, respectively) compared to the bottom section (24±8.4 %). This can arise from both detrital carbonate particles and precipitation from high ionic strength waters that are ponded and dried in the low-lands.

The mineral composition of the paved sediment profiles differs slightly from that of crust profiles. This is because the latter are affected by particle segregation during transport and subsequent sedimentation. The top section of the paved sediment profiles has more quartz than in the middle and bottom sections (44±8.1, 38±5.7 and 40±9.8 %, respectively), whereas feldspars decrease from the bottom and middle to the top sections (9.1±4.2, 9.3±2.2 and 6.9±2.7 %). Carbonates and clay are relatively homogeneous (26±4.9, 26±2.0 and 25±4.2 % for carbonates, and 22±8.4, 23±9.2 and 25±4.9 % for clays, respectively). The slight depletion of minerals in the top section may be due to sandblasting, which tends to erode the fine fraction of the surface over time (see section 3.4). Fe-oxides are more present in the top section than in the middle and bottom sections (2.1±0.47, 2.0±0.38 and 1.7±0.27 %, respectively) and the presence of salts is very low (<0.1 % for all sections).
3.3 Mode of occurrence of Fe

We implemented a sequential Fe extraction procedure to evaluate the levels and mode of occurrence of Fe in dust samples from the basin. Due to limitations of XRD analysis for low Fe-oxide contents, this procedure provided a much more precise quantitative evaluation.

The mean FeT content of bulk crusts, paved sediments and sediments was found to be 3.6±0.71, 3.4±0.47, and 3.2±0.44 %, respectively, while bulk dunes had a much lower FeT content (2.0±0.33 %). Fe-speciation studies reveal that FeS percentage from FeT (FeS/FeT) is the prevailing Fe mode of occurrence (67±2.4, 69±3.0, 68±2.7 and 73±5.9 % in crusts, paved sediments, sediments and dunes, respectively), followed by FeD percentage from FeT (FeD/FeT) (31±2.3, 29±3.0, 26±5.8 %), and FeA percentage from FeT (FeA/FeT) (1.9±0.55, 1.7±0.56, 1.4±0.55 and 1.0±0.54 %). These results show that FeT is very similar between crusts, paved sediments and sediments while FeT in dunes is depleted by almost 50 %. Compared to Shi et al. (2011) samples from northwestern Africa, our samples of this study are depleted in total iron (4.7 % FeT from Shi et al. (2011)), quite similar in FeS (67 % from Shi et al. (2011)), similar in FeD (33 % from Shi et al. (2011)) and much higher in FeA (0.43 % from Shi et al. (2011)).

The mean FeT content in the basin is similar in Erg Smar (3.6±0.27 %) and L’Bour (3.2±0.66 %) compared to high-lands (3.0±0.24 %). The ratio FeA/FeT was slightly higher at Erg Smar (1.9±0.53 %) but similar at L’Bour and high-lands (1.3±0.44 and 1.5±0.47 %, respectively). This is probably due to the preferential accumulation of exchangeable and nano-Fe-Oxides (FeA) in the low-lands, where flooding results in red-water ponds and red surfaces after drying. Subsequently, highly concentrated ionic Fe is trapped in the last stages of ponding, and nano-Fe-oxides may precipitate during drying. Once the ponded is dried, the crusts of the low-lands tend to have a reddish patina (see section 3.4). However, a slightly higher mean FeD/FeT of 33±2.4 % is obtained in the high-lands compared to 31±2.7 and 29±2.4 % at L’Bour and Erg Smar, respectively. The FeS/FeT mean content is slightly lower at the high-lands (65±2.5 %) compared to Erg Smar and L’Bour (69±2.6 and 68±2.6 %, respectively).

FeD levels were apportioned between hematite and goethite using XRD proportions. These results show that in crusts, 0.79±0.66 % of hematite and 0.55±0.67 % of goethite are present, in paved sediments 0.83±0.51 and 0.64±0.54 %, in sediments 0.73±0.58 and 0.69±0.59 %, and in dunes 0.20±0.17 % and 0.68±0.24 %.

The proportions of FeD + FeA are higher in crusts, probably due to preferential transport of non-FeS to the low-lands and the trapping of Fe ions (FeA) by clay adsorption during ponding, and the formation of nanosized Ferrilhydrite (Fe₄₋₅(OH,O)₁₂). This readily exchangeable Fe has very low impact on radiative forcing but a high impact in Fe fertilisation of oceans during dust events (Gobler et al., 2001), as ionic Fe adsorbed by clays and nano-Fe-oxides are easily released in water solutions. The correlation of FeS, FeD and FeA with FeT is linear, with coefficients of determination (R²) reaching 0.96, 0.89 and 0.67 for FeS, FeD and FeA respectively (Figure S5). Thus, when increasing total Fe content all modes of occurrence of Fe increase, but the increase is preferentially driven by FeS, while it seems that the basin FeA segregation causes a lower correlation with FeT.
3.4 Conceptual model for grain-size and mineralogy fractionation in crusts and paved sediments

According to Bullard et al. (2011) and as previously discussed in this study, heavy rainfall results in the selective deposition of coarser particles from runoff and floodwaters in higher elevations. Conversely, smaller particles enriched in clays, colloidal Fe-oxides (which give the water a reddish hue), and dissolved salts tend to be transported to lower elevations. Figure 7 summarises a conceptual model that outlines the formation of crusts and paved sediment in the study area, with a focus on particle-size and mineralogical fractionation.

In the low-lands, floodwaters carrying fine sediments flood extensive flat areas, such as Erg Smar or Iriki lake. Prospero et al. (2002), Bullard et al (2011) and Ginoux et al (2012), among others, have shown that dust emissions originate from relatively small and localised areas where sediments are supplied by floodwaters, and that the occurrence of dust emissions from these areas may be partly due to the occurrence or absence of floodings. During ponding in low-lands, coarser particles deposit first and form a high sand-rich bottom layer of the crust (as described in section 3.2) (Figure 7a & 8a). Subsequently, the clay fraction deposits on top of the bottom layer until total dryness (Figure 7a & 8a) forming a second clay-rich fraction layer in the crust.

However, the particle-size in crust surfaces is heterogeneous (Figure S6 & S7), which can result in erodible dust-emitting sediment (heterogeneity enhances sandblasting). The finer and more easily exchangeable FeA fraction remains in suspension until the last drying stages on the most superficial layer of the crust, during drying out of the remaining ponds (as described in section 3.3) (Figure 7a & 8a). During this ponding, dissolved Fe ions interact with clays in such a way that they can be adsorbed on clay surfaces according to the ionic composition of the waters (as described in section 3.3) (Echeverría et al., 1998). This typical ion adsorption by clays is higher for montmorillonite than for other clays but the content of montmorillonite is low compared to illite. In this study a high correlation is obtained for FeA and illite contents (Figure S8). Furthermore, crusts contain a higher proportion of hematite(oxide)/goethite(hydroxide) in the FeD, due to the weathering with water during transport and ponding and precipitation of nano-Fe-oxides during drying.

After the pond drying, the continuous heating of the clay rich surface layer causes the hardening of the crust and mud-cracking, giving a ‘ceramic-like’ compactness to the thick crusts in the low-lands, usually with a reddish colour induced by the Fe-oxides (Figure S5a). Complete drying causes mud cracks due to loss of volume, breaking the crust into polygonal pieces, whose thickness and area depend on the amount of clay deposited. Furthermore, these concave mud-crust pieces resulting from the cracking usually have a grey-colour patch in the middle due to the superficial precipitation of salts, which together with carbonates accumulate by capillarity (see section 3.1.2) (Figure S5b). This capillary ascension and precipitation of salts (the latter being an expansive process) causes sponging and breaking of the surface layers. Thus, a third (top) layer is formed in the crusts of the low-lands, which is very easily eroded by wind because of the spongy structure and enriched in clay and readily exchangeable Fe. In some cases, in Erg Smar, we observed an additional breaking and sponging of the third (upper layer) due to expansive clays, was observed. Both the ceramic-like compactness and the cementing of salts give the fine-clay rich crusts in the low-lands a compact pattern with coarser MDPSD compared with the high-lands where ponding is limited and very thin crusts occur. This could explain why
the crusts from the low-lands have finer FDPSDs and coarser MDPSDs compared to the high-
lands (see section 3.1.1). Also, wind erosion of the few top millimetres of these crusts may result
in dust with higher contents of clay, Fe-oxide and salts compared to a 15 cm sediment profile.

In the high-lands, washout erosion occurs during rainfall, leading to the formation very thin
crusts in reduced areas. This results in sources of dust made of very thin crusts and fields of
stony surfaces with lower emission rates compared to the low-lands (Bullard et al., 2011). As
illustrated in Figure S76 the surfaces of paved sediments and their thin crusts might resemble
crusts profiles, but with the top section depleted on clay minerals due to preferential erosion
over time, and with a very thin layer (a few micrometres) of clay minerals from the previous
intact formed crust after flooding or running water. The top paved sediments are more compact,
finer and have homogeneous distribution of the particle than crusts, which makes them less
erodible and less likely to emit dust compared to crusts (which have heterogeneous particle
size, see section 3.2).

4. Conclusions

This study analysed the particle size and mineralogy of dust-emitting sediments in
the region of Drâa basin in Northern Africa, at the northwestern fringe of the
-Sahara. The study aimed to compare these patterns for different types of dust-emitting
sediments and their variations across the basin. The results are consistent with the conceptual
models of dust emission sources in desert areas of Prospero et al. (2002) and Bullard et al.
(2011), which predict higher dust emissions in the low-lands than in the high-lands. The study
shows a clear size and mineralogical fractionation between paleo-sediments and low-land dust-
emitting sediments, indicating that collecting samples of parent paleo-sediments for particle
size and mineralogy may not fully represent the highly emitting dust emission
sources.

Both PSDs and mineralogy are segregated by transport and deposition of sediments during
runoff of water across the basin, and by the precipitation of salts, which causes a sedimentary
fractionation. Coarser particles such as quartz, feldspars, and carbonates (detrital) deposit first
due to friction and gravity and are enriched in high-lands. In contrast, waters reaching the low-
lands are enriched in fine particles (clays), carbonate, salt and Fe ions from partial dissolution of
minerals of the source lands. When these waters are ponded in low-lands, coarser minerals
deposit first, followed by a second layer enriched in clays minerals. Evaporation of the last
ponded water layer causes the deposition of the finest particles and clays enriched in readily
exchangeable ions of Fe. Once dried, the heating of the surfaces by insolation causes
evaporation of interstitial solutions moving towards the surface by capillarity, leading to the
precipitation of salts and secondary carbonates in the upper layer. This expansive process
sponges the surface of the crust, in some cases accelerated by the occurrence of expansive clays,
which might favour dust emission from a top clay-Fe-salts rich micro-layer. Therefore, dust
emission is not only higher but also has a different mineral composition in the low-lands than in
high-lands that is also controlled by the type of sediment.

Our-The results of this study show that modeling mineral-speciated dust emission
requires understanding of the mineralogical and particle size fractionation of accumulated
sediments and their variation across the Drâa basin.
sediments across inland enclosed basins. Large areas may act as sediment suppliers, while reduced areas may act as dust emitters with differences in sediment composition. Models that represent mineral-speciated dust emission and transport should be developed to properly account for these factors.

Our results have also shown that global atlases fail to describe the clay-size fraction of dust-emitting sediments in the region, overestimating the clay mineral content and underestimating that of quartz, feldspars, and Fe-oxides. Quartz and feldspars are overestimated and clay minerals underestimated in the silt-size fractions. Kaolinite-chlorite are not differentiated, while our study observes major differences. The classical procedure loses salts during fractionation, and Fe-oxides are detected mainly by color without precision. Our study detects dolomite, palygorskite, and smectite, and provides more precision for Fe-oxides, with the mode of occurrence of Fe in different types of samples and locations. However, the study was unable to obtain a sample below 10 µm without losing salts in the process.

Dust models need global observationally constrained high-resolution mineral maps, which will soon become available based on high-quality spaceborne spectroscopy measurements performed from the International Space Station (Figure 1c, Green et al., 2020). A key challenge of mineral mapping based on spectroscopy for dust emission modeling is to constrain not only the presence (Figure 1c) but also the abundance of the different surface minerals. The data gathered and analyzed in this study will be used to evaluate these spaceborne retrievals in forthcoming studies.

The large dam built in the Drâa River has caused the drying of this part of the basin, a reduction of vegetation and probably increased dust emissions. The region exemplifies how anthropogenic activities can promote wind erosion and represents a unique location for research on the topic. Future studies may indeed explore many other aspects related to sedimentology, mineralogy, wind erosion, dust emission and anthropogenic impacts, including the study of the introduction of native plants and green belts to reduce wind erosion as has already been done in other regions (Al-Dousari et al. 2020).
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Figure captions

Figure 1. a) Location of the study area (exact location of data measurement “star”: 29°49’30”N, 5°52’25”W), near M’Hamid el Ghizlane, into the Drâa basin in S Morocco. Base layer from world imagery of Google Earth Pro v.7.3.6.9345. b) Frequency of occurrence (%) of dust optical depth above 0.2 in September, October and November between 2003 and 2016 derived from MODIS Deep Blue. c) EMIT scenes emit20220903t082303_o24606_x001_l2a_rfl_b0106_v01 and emit20230206t101334_o03707_x000_l2a_rfl_b0106_v01 at 60 meters per pixel show the diversity of Fe2+ and Fe3+ bearing minerals (left) and the EMIT phyllosilicates, carbonates, and sulphates (right). The mineral maps were produced by tetracorder 5.27c1 (Clark, 2023). There is some mapped mineralogy difference at the scene boundaries, possibly due to the changing viewing geometry, and variation in atmospheric removal between the two scenes. Cirrus clouds in the scene on the right may also be impacting derived mineralogy.

Figure 2. Images of samples collected during a field campaign near M’Hamid el Ghizlane, into the Draa Basin, S Morocco.

Figure 3. Median minimally and fully dispersed PSDs of crusts, sediments, paved sediments and dunes. (a) MDPSDs and (b) FDPADs combined from crust, sediment and paved sediment samples; (c) and (d) are MDPSDs and FDPADs for dune samples; (e) and (f) are MDPSDs and FDPADs differentiated by type of sample.

Figure 4. Boxplot of median particle-size diameters in μm including both fully and minimally dispersed analysis (a) for all samples combined excluding dunes and (b) for dune samples only. Also particle-size diameter in μm for crusts, sediment and paved sediment for (c) minimally dispersed and (d) fully dispersed results. Means median diameters for each sediment type are shown with crosses.

Figure 5. Spatial variation map with crust fully dispersed mean median particle diameter.

Figure 6. Mean mineral group content of dune, crust, paved sediment and sediment samples, and also at Erg Smar, L’Bour and High-lands. Solid lines mark the mean content of all the samples (excluding dune samples). The dashed line divides between type and location of the samples.

Figure 7. Schematic model of sedimentation and deposition processes in the study site from high-lands to low-lands for a) crusts and for b) paved sediments.

Figure 8. Dust emission conceptual model integrating particle-size distributions and mineralogy of dust source hotspots sediments. a) Refers to the conceptual thickness and particle-size distributions along the basin, b) to the particle-size distribution and segregation of mineralogy and c) to the dust emission quantity expected depending on the place in the basin.
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a. Macro-scale (basin) size and mineral segregation of sediments

A: Washout, erosion and sporadic flooding with deposition of coarser sediments enriched in quartz and feldspars
B: Flooding and deposition of finer sediments enriched in clays and Fe oxides
C: Evaporation and deposition of fine clays and readily exchangeable Fe oxide, salt crystallization in upper layers

b. Micro-scale (profiles of deposited sediments) size and mineral segregation

c. Higher dust emissions (high Fe oxide and clay) in low-lands with thicker & finer deposited sediments

\[a+b+c=\text{Emitted dust might be markedly enriched in clays and Fe oxides compared to the parent sediments/soils}\]
Table 1. Full range, <63µm and >63 to 2000 µm mean diameter, standard deviation, min., max. and for Minimally dispersed particle-size distribution and fully dispersed particle-size distribution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Nº of samples</th>
<th>MDPSD</th>
<th>Mean of medians ± sd [Min,Max]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full range</td>
<td>≤ 63 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunes</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>221 ± 64 [132,355]</td>
<td>32 ± 9.3 [20,46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-Land</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>212 ± 27 [195,243]</td>
<td>45 ± 1.3 [44,46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L'Bour</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>174 ± 45 [132,244]</td>
<td>27 ± 7.4 [20,36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crusts</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>113 ± 79 [20,320]</td>
<td>15 ± 3.7 [7,19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L'Bour</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 ± NA [NA,NA]</td>
<td>15 ± NA [NA,NA]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paved Sediments</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>74 ± 48 [19,152]</td>
<td>17 ± 6.7 [11,33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-Land</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L'Bour</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>90 ± 61 [29,148]</td>
<td>18 ± 7.1 [13,26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediments</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>70 ± 45 [20,147]</td>
<td>18 ± 5.1 [15,29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-Land</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97 ± NA [NA,NA]</td>
<td>18 ± NA [NA,NA]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L'Bour</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40 ± 23 [20,68]</td>
<td>17 ± 0.9 [16,17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74 ± 48 [19,152]</td>
<td>17 ± 6.7 [11,33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-Land</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>250 ± 73 [169,312]</td>
<td>41 ± 6.8 [33,46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L'Bour</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>166 ± 61 [128,272]</td>
<td>16 ± 7.5 [9,06]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crusts</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37 ± 77 [2.7,272]</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.6 [3,6,16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-Land</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>124 ± 132 [20,272]</td>
<td>13 ± 1.1 [12,14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erg Smar</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7 ± 3 [2.7,10]</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.5 [3,6,11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-Land</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erg Smar</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18 ± 24 [5.9,78]</td>
<td>12 ± 4.6 [8,21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediments</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19 ± 11 [5.8,39]</td>
<td>14 ± 3.9 [7,19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-Land</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 ± NA [NA,NA]</td>
<td>9.9 ± NA [NA,NA]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>Qtz</th>
<th>Feldspars</th>
<th>Carbonates</th>
<th>Clays</th>
<th>Salts</th>
<th>Iron Oxides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Mineralogy of specific soils according to Claquin et al. (1999) and Journet et al. (2014) and comparison with the one obtained in this study for six selected samples. Bulk, clay and silt fractions mineralogy (obtained from texture fractionation) and <10 µm and silt (10-63 µm) fractions mineralogy using fully dispersed separation. All content is in mass %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Carbonates</th>
<th>Clays</th>
<th>Salts</th>
<th>Fe-oxides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qtz</td>
<td>Feld</td>
<td>Cal</td>
<td>Dol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay Ye Claquin</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay Ye Journet</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay Fl Claquin</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay Fl Journet</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay classic Drâa</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt Fl DRâa &lt;10µm FD</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt Ye Claquin</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt Ye Journet</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt Fl Claquin</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt Fl Journet</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt classic Drâa</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt FD Drâa</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fl: Fluvisol sediment type; Ye: Yermosol; Qtz: Quartz; Feld: Feldspars; Cal: Calcite; Dol: Dolomite; Mca: Mica/illite; Chl: Chlorite; Sme: Smectite; Plg: Palygorskite; Kln: Kaolinite; Gp: Gypsum; Hal: Halite; Hem: Hematite; Gt: Goethite; FD: fully dispersed.