Multi-decadal pacemaker simulations with an intermediate-complexity climate model

Franco Molteni^{1,2}, Fred Kucharski¹ and Riccardo Farneti¹

¹ Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy

5 ² European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, United Kingdom

Correspondence to: Fred Kucharski (kucharsk@ictp.it)

Abstract. In this paper, we first describe the main features of a new version of the International Centre for Theoretical Physics global atmospheric model (SPEEDY) with improved simulation of surface fluxes, and the formulation of a 3-layer thermodynamic ocean model (TOM3) suitable to explore the coupled extratropical response to tropical ocean variability.

10 Then, we present results on the atmospheric model climatology, highlighting the impact of the modifications introduced in the model code, and show how important features of interdecadal and interannual variability are simulated in a "pacemaker" coupled ensemble of 70-year runs, where portions of the tropical Indo-Pacific are constrained to follow the observed variability.

Despite the very basic representation of variations in greenhouse forcing and heat transport to the deep ocean (below the 300m domain of the TOM3 model), the coupled ensemble reproduces the variations in surface temperature over land and sea with very good accuracy, confirming the role of the Indo-Pacific as a "pacemaker" for the natural fluctuations of global-mean surface temperatures found in earlier studies. Atmospheric zonal-mean temperature trends over 50 years are also

realistically simulated in the extratropical lower troposphere and up to 100 hPa in the tropics.

interannual and inter-decadal changes of tropical SST in ensemble experiments.

- On the interannual scale, SST variability in sub-tropical and tropical regions not affected by SST relaxation is 20 underestimated (mostly because of the absence of dynamically induced variability), while extratropical SST variability during the cold seasons is comparable to that observed. Atmospheric teleconnections patterns and their connections with SST are reproduced with high fidelity, although with local differences in the amplitude of regional features (such as a largerthan-observed response of extratropical SST to North Atlantic Oscillation variability). The SPEEDY-TOM3 model also reproduces the observed connection between averages of surface heat fluxes over the oceans and land surface air temperature
- 25 in the wintertime northern extratropics.

Overall, as in earlier versions of SPEEDY, the fidelity of the simulations (both in terms of climatological means and variability) is higher near the surface and in the lower troposphere, while the negative impacts of the coarse vertical resolution and simplified parametrizations are mostly felt in the stratosphere. However, the improved simulation of surface heat fluxes and their impact on extratropical SST variability in this model version (obtained at a very modest computational cost) make the SPEEDY-TOM3 model a suitable tool to investigate the coupled response of the extratropical circulation to

30

1 Introduction

Since its first release twenty years ago (Molteni 2003), the intermediate-complexity general circulation model (GCM) developed at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP) has been used in several studies on atmospheric and

- climate variability, both in its atmosphere-only version (see Kucharski et al. 2006, 2013 and references within) and coupled 35 to both regional and global ocean models (Bracco et al. 2005, Kucharski et al. 2016). In its globally-coupled version, the model has also been used to develop prototypes of coupled data assimilation schemes (Sluka et al. 2016), and to estimate the large-scale impact of land surface modifications by human activities (Li et al. 2018). Atmospheric fields from simulations with prescribed sea-surface temperature (SST) have also been used to force global ocean models in studies of oceanic
- 40

decadal variability (Farneti et al. 2014). In the following, we will refer to the model using its acronym SPEEDY (for Simplified Parametrizations, primitivE-Equation DYnamics); an extensive list of publications where the SPEEDY model was used can be found on http://users.ictp.it/~kucharsk/speedy-doc.html .

One of the problems investigated with the atmosphere-only version of SPEEDY was the relationship between planetarywave variability in the northern extratropics during boreal winter and the thermal forcing originated by surface heat fluxes

- 45 from the northern oceans (Molteni et al. 2011). Since such a forcing is actually dependent on the specific phase of the planetary waves with respect to the land-sea distribution, as originally postulated by theories of thermal equilibration (Mitchell and Derome 1983; Marshall and So 1990), the feedbacks between atmospheric circulation and surface heat flux variability can produce a regime behaviour in non-linear dynamical models (Molteni and Kucharski 2019). This variability also induces a change in total amount of heat transferred from the northern oceans to the extratropical atmosphere, and acts as a source of natural fluctuations for surface air temperature (SAT) on continental scales (Molteni et al. 2017).
- Decadal-scale variability in ocean-atmosphere heat exchanges was advocated as a major driver of the slowdown in nearsurface global warming occurred at the beginning of the 21st century (Trenberth et al. 2014). By using a coupled GCM in a so-called "pacemaker" configuration, where ocean variables in a specific region are constrained to follow the observed variability, Kosaka and Xie (2013, 2016) investigated the role of decadal SST variability in eastern and central tropical
- Pacific as a driver of global and regional SAT variability. In a subsequent analysis of those century-scale pacemaker 55 simulations, Yang et al. (2020) argued that an increased natural variability in northern-hemisphere SAT was originated in recent decades by a 'synchronization' between forcings originated by tropical Pacific variability and anomalies in a specific planetary wave pattern over the northern extratropics (the Cold Ocean – Warm Land, or COWL pattern defined by Wallace et al. 1996). Although Yang et al. (2020) argued that such synchronization was just coincidental, since it was not found in 60

50

the record for earlier decades, one cannot rule out the possibility that interdecadal changes in tropical-extratropical teleconnections may have caused the COWL variability to go in phase with tropical Pacific SST in the most recent decades.

Due to the large amount of internal atmospheric variability in the northern extratropical circulation, reliable estimates of the impact of both tropical and extratropical thermal forcings require the production of ensemble simulations with many members (Kay et al. 2015; Maher et al. 2019) Although the reduced complexity of the SPEEDY atmospheric model allows

- 65 the production of multi-decadal ensembles with prescribed SST at a small computational cost (Bracco et al. 2004), such an advantage over more complex GCMs is significantly reduced when SPEEDY is coupled to a full-complexity ocean model (which takes most of the required computing resources). On the other hand, if the goal is to produce pacemaker simulations where a significant part of tropical SST variability is constrained to follow the observed variability, and the main focus of investigation are the extratropical teleconnections, one may wonder if a realistic simulation of the extratropical coupled
- 70 variability can also be achieved using a thermodynamical ocean model at a much reduced computational cost. This may be particularly relevant if one is specifically interested in ocean-atmosphere variability associated with significant surface heat flux variations. Indeed, intriguing results on the decadal variability of North Atlantic SST have been obtained with atmospheric GCMs coupled to a simple 'slab' ocean (e.g. Clement et al. 2015).

This study presents results from multi-decadal simulations obtained with a new version of the SPEEDY model (named version 42, v.42 in brief), either forced by prescribed SST or coupled to a 3-layer thermodynamic ocean model (referred to as TOM3) which can be constrained to reproduce the observed SST variability in selected regions. Since the coarse resolution of the SPEEDY versions used so far (with a horizontal grid of 96 x 48 points) prevents a detailed simulation of the pattern of surface heat fluxes in regions of complex coastlines or strong SST gradients, SPEEDY v.42 includes code to spatially interpolate near-surface atmospheric variables to a higher resolution grid, on which the evolution of land and ocean conditions is either prescribed or evolved by coupled modules. For the ocean, the TOM3 model is run on a 1-degree gaussian grid, and simulates ocean temperature variability in the top 300 m of the ocean. As in all thermodynamic ocean models, a

- seasonally-varying forcing representing the convergence of heat flux due to ocean transport (often referred to as Q-flux) needs to be prescribed within TOM3 in order to maintain a realistic climatology.
- Section 2 of the paper provides a description of the main changes introduced in version 42 of SPEEDY, and describes the geometry and formulation of the TOM3 model. Since the tuning of the new atmospheric code was performed by comparing the results of 30-year integrations with prescribed SST, the impact of the SPEEDY changes is illustrated in Section 3 using results from a 5-member ensemble forced by SST from the ERA5 re-analysis (Hersbach et al. 2020). Section 4 presents results from a second 5-member ensemble, performed by coupling SPEEDY to the TOM3 model in pacemaker mode, with SST variability constrained in those parts of the tropical Indo-Pacific known to be sources of important teleconnections. This
- 90 coupled ensemble covers the period 1950-2020, which includes the 'historical' period used in HighResMIP simulations (Haarsma et al. 2016). Diagnostics on interdecadal and interannual variability simulated by the coupled ensemble are discussed in Sect. 4, showing how this intermediate-complexity coupled model manages to reproduce a realistic relationship between variability of surface heat fluxes and continental SAT over the northern extratropics. Finally, results are summarised and discussed in Section 5, where plans for future developments of the coupled model and its use in specific research
- 95 projects are also presented.

2 Model formulation

2.1 New features of SPEEDY version 42

The modifications introduced in version 42 of SPEEDY (with respect to the version used in Kucharski et al. 2013) affect both the dynamics and the physical parametrizations of the model. First of all, while the default spectral truncation of the model has been kept at T30 (triangular truncation at zonal and total wavenumbers m=30, n=30), the gaussian grid on which non-linear terms are computed has been changed from the standard "quadratic" grid of 96x48 point to a "cubic" grid of 120x60 points, with resolution of 3 degrees. A "cubic" grid, which prevents aliasing of third-order non-linear terms, was introduced recently in the dynamical core of the ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts) atmospheric model (Malardel et al. 2016), where it produced a more realistic energy distribution in waves close to the spectral truncation, also requiring a smaller amount of horizontal diffusion.

The second and most important change is in the interface between atmospheric and surface variables, and the computation of surface fluxes. In order to compensate for the coarse vertical resolution, SPEEDY does not use directly variables at the lowest model level to compute surface fluxes; instead, the model interpolates wind components, temperature and humidity from the two lowest model levels to the actual surface height to create near-surface air variables used in the flux computations. In v.42, all variables needed to define the near-surface values are also horizontally interpolated to a surface gaussian grid of higher resolution; for computational efficiency, the surface grid is defined as having 3 times the points of the atmospheric grid in both directions, and therefore has a default resolution of 1 degree.

Land and ocean variables are also prescribed (or evolved by a coupled module) on the surface grid, and surface fluxes are computed on the 1-degree grid from these variables and the near-surface air fields. When either a land or ocean model is

- 115 coupled to SPEEDY, these fluxes are passed as input at the surface grid resolution; in order to compute physical tendencies for the atmospheric model, the fluxes are also interpolated back to the atmospheric cubic grid. Because of non-linearities involved in the computation of surface fluxes (e.g, their dependence on near-surface wind speed and stability), the fluxes returned to the atmospheric model differed from those derived from surface fields defined on the coarser atmospheric grid. This methodology also provides a more accurate input to coupled land and ocean/ice models, and allows a proper
- 120 comparison with fluxes derived from re-analyses or complex climate models. The process is illustrated in Fig. 1 using mean model variables for January, showing the input to the SAT computation, the interpolated SAT, the observed SST and the turbulent heat flux computed on the surface grid, and finally the heat flux interpolated back to the atmospheric grid. More detailed results on surface fluxes are presented in Sect. 3 below.

With regard to parametrizations, apart from minor changes in the parameters of the surface flux bulk formulae, main
 125 changes have been introduced in the formulation of radiative processes and both the horizontal and vertical diffusion of moisture.

For shortwave radiation, modifications are as follows:

- The top-of-the-atmosphere solar input can now be specified either as a daily-mean field (the only option available in the standard version 41) or a field evolving through a daily cycle (a feature introduced in version 41.5 and used to produce estimates of daily maximum/minimum temperature, as in Gore et al. 2019).
- A revised ozone climatology (defined by simple functions of seasonal time and latitude, tuned by comparison with ERA5 ozone data for the recent decades) has been introduced, covering the top three (instead of two) levels of the model, and therefore accounting for the lower tropopause height in high-latitude regions.
- The albedo of non-stratiform clouds depends on solar zenith angle, and is therefore higher at high latitudes.
- 135 For longwave radiation:
 - The increase in absorptivity due to clouds in the two water-vapour bands has been revised, and it is now height dependent.
 - The empirical correction terms active in the top two levels (to compensate for the absence or poor representation of ozone and water vapour long-wave cooling in the stratosphere) have been modified and reduced, as a result of a better specification of ozone-induced warming.

140

145

130

Modifications to moisture diffusion are as follows:

- Vertical diffusion of specific humidity is now limited to the lowest 3 layers (below $\sigma = p/p_s \approx 0.7$) in cloud-free areas, to the cloud-top level otherwise (instead of being applied everywhere below $\sigma = p/p_s \approx 0.5$).
- In order to avoid spurious diffusion on mountain slopes (a consequence of adopting a $\sigma = p/p_s$ vertical coordinate), horizontal diffusion of humidity acts on the deviation of the specific humidity field from a reference, topographydependent state. While in earlier versions such a reference state was computed in spectral space using a standard vertical profile, in v.42 the reference state is computed in grid-point space by horizontally smoothing the relative humidity field and multiplying it by the saturation specific humidity corresponding to the actual temperature. In this way, local topographic gradients are represented much more accurately.
- 150

The impact of the changes described above on the model climatology will be shown and discussed in Sect. 3. In terms of computing costs, version 42 requires about 25% more computing time than the previous versions, but still runs very efficiently on the latest generation of workstations: when the model is allocated 4 cores and 8 GB of memory, one year of simulation requires only 6.5 to 7 minutes (depending on the specific processor).

155 **2.2 The TOM3 thermodynamic ocean model**

TOM3 is a 3-layer thermodynamic ocean model, which replaces a single-layer slab model as the default, singleexecutable option for ocean coupling in SPEEDY v.42. The model computes the evolution of sea water and sea ice temperature in the top 300 metres of the oceans, with a minimum imposed depth of 90 m. In the sub-sections below, all variables are defined at each ocean grid point, so their dependence on horizontal coordinates is omitted.



165

Figure 1: Interpolations involved in the computation of surface fluxes in SPEEDY v.42, illustrated using January-mean temperature and heat fluxes from an integration with observed SST. The temperature on the lowest two atmospheric models (panels a and b) are vertically and horizontally interpolated to produce a near-surface air temperature on the 1-degree surface grid (c). The same process is applied to compute near-surface wind and humidity. Using these fields and the surface temperature (d) defined on the surface grid, turbulent (sensible + latent) heat fluxes are computed (e), and passed as input to coupled ocean and land models. Turbulent heat fluxes are then interpolated back to the atmosphere grid (f) to produce tendencies for the atmospheric model.

2.2.1 TOM3 geometry and variable definitions

If D^* is the actual ocean depth at any model grid point, the total depth D covered by the TOM3 model is:

$$D = max (90m, min (300m, D^*))$$
(1a)

This domain is divided into three layers, the top two representing a mixed layer of total depth D_{ml} :

$$175 \qquad D_{ml} = \min\left(D/3, D'(\varphi)\right) \tag{1b}$$

In the experiment described in this paper, $D'(\varphi)$ is a simple function of latitude ranging from 30m at the equators to 60m at the poles. Maps of *D* and D_{ml} are shown in Fig. S1 of Supplementary Information. The three individual layers have depths given (from top to bottom) by:

$$D_1 = 10m, \quad D_2 = D_{ml} - D_1, \quad D_3 = D - D_{ml}$$
 (1c)

180 and mass per unit surface given by:

$$M_k = \rho_w D_k, \quad k = 1, ..., 3$$
 (1d)

where ρ_w is a reference density of sea water. Furthermore, the mass M_I of the top layer is divided into a sea-ice fraction $f_i M_1$ and a water fraction $(1 - f_i) M_I$. The fraction of ice mass f_i can be expressed as the product of the surface concentration of ice s_i and an equivalent mass depth d_i :

$$185 f_i = s_i d_i (2a)$$

where d_i is related to the actual ice thickness θ_i by:

$$d_i = \theta_i \,\rho_i \,/\, D_1 \,\rho_w \tag{2b}$$

and ρ_i is a reference density of sea ice ($\rho_i \approx 0.9 \rho_w$). In the following, we will refer to the ice fraction of layer 1 as to the seaice layer.

- 190 The TOM3 model can be run in either a prescribed-ice mode (mode 1) or in an interactive-ice mode (mode 2). In mode 1, the evolution of the following prognostic variables is computed:
 - T_1^w, T_2^w, T_3^w : temperature of sea water in the three layers;
 - T_m^i : mean temperature of the sea-ice layer.

while s_i and d_i are prescribed from observed or climatological values. In mode 2, s_i and d_i become additional prognostic variables. In both modes, two additional ice temperatures are prescribed or defined diagnostically:

- T_0 : temperature at the bottom of the sea-ice layer, assumed to be equal to the freezing temperature of sea water;
- T_{I}^{i} : temperature in the near-surface part of the ice layer, used by the atmospheric model to compute skin temperature and surface heat fluxes.

If we indicate the temperature of 0 °C as T_{0C} , T_1^{i} is defined by fitting a parabolic profile $T^i(z)$ to the temperature within the

200 ice layer, consistent with the mean value T_m^i , the lower-boundary value T_o and an upper-boundary value T_u^i given by the empirical relationship:

$$T_{u}{}^{i} - T_{0C} = \gamma_{i} \left(T_{m}{}^{i} - T_{0} \right) \tag{3}$$

where γ_i ranges between 2 and 2.5 depending on whether the surface heat fluxes are warming or cooling the ice (more details are given in the Appendix).

205

All experiments described in Sect. 4 have been run in mode 1; tuning of additional parameters specific for mode-2 integrations is under way, and results will be presented in a subsequent paper.

2.2.2 Heat content and sub-surface heat fluxes

From the variables defined above, the heat content (per unit surface) of sea water and sea ice in the three layers is defined as the difference from a reference state where all sea water is at freezing temperature T_0 :

210
$$HC_{I^{w}} = M_{I} (1 - f_{I}) c_{w} (T_{I^{w}} - T_{0})$$
 (4a)

$$HC_{I}^{i} = M_{I}f_{i} \left[c_{i}(T_{m}^{i} - T_{0}) - L_{f} \right]$$
(4b)

$$HC_2 = M_2 c_w (T_2^w - T_0)$$
(4c)

$$HC_3 = M_3 c_w (T_3^w - T_0)$$
(4d)

where c_w , c_i and L_f are respectively the specific heat for sea water and sea ice and the latent heat of fusion. To compute the

- 215 evolution of the heat content, heat fluxes at the top and bottom of each layer are needed. The surface heat fluxes, computed by the atmospheric model, are:
 - F_s^w : net solar heat flux over sea water
 - F_{ns}^{w} : net non-solar (sensible + latent + net longwave radiation) heat flux over sea water
 - F_s^i : net solar heat flux over sea ice
- 220 F_{ns}^{i} : net non-solar (sensible + latent + net longwave radiation) heat flux over sea ice

In addition, TOM3 computes the heat fluxes at the boundaries between the water layers:

$$F_1^w = 2 k_1 (T_1^w - T_2^w) / (D_1 + D_2)$$
(5a)

$$F_{2^{w}} = 2 k_{2} (T_{2^{w}} - T_{3^{w}}) / (D_{2} + D_{3})$$
(5b)

and the flux at the lower boundary of the sea ice, computed from the derivative of the parabolic temperature profile $T^{i}(z)$ at the ice bottom:

$$F_{0}{}^{i} = -k_{i} \partial T^{i}(z) \partial z \quad at \ z = \theta_{i}$$
(5c)

with all fluxes defined as positive downward. In Eq. 5c, k_i is the thermal conductivity of ice. In Eq. 5a and 5b, the coefficients k_1 and k_2 assume different values according to the sign of the vertical temperature gradient, being smaller/larger

when temperature decreases/increases with depth to account for convective mixing. Furthermore, where sea-ice is present 230 and T_1^w is lower than both T_2^w and T_{0C} , an additional (negative) term F_c^w is added to both F_1^w and F_2^w to account for convection bringing cold water from the top to the bottom water layer.

A schematic illustration of the model variables and fluxes is given in Fig. 2.



235

240

Figure 2: Prognostic variables (in black) and heat fluxes in TOM3. Red and blue arrows at the ocean surface indicate solar (red) and nonsolar (blue) heat fluxes at the water and ice surface. The purple arrows with red outline indicate heat fluxes between the three water layers, and the blue-grey arrow represents the heat flux between water and ice in layer 1. The green dots indicate the Q terms, representing the climatological convergence of the dynamical heat transport (possibly modified by regional relaxation to observed SST). See text in Sect. 2.2 for further explanations.

2.2.2 Prognostic equations for sea water and sea ice temperature

245

260

265

With all heat fluxes defined as in the previous sub-section, the time evolution of the heat content is determined by the convergence of net heat flux into each layer, leading to prognostic equations for sea water and ice temperature. For sea water, the equations read:

$$[c_w(1 - f_i)M_1] \partial T_1^w / \partial t = (1 - s_i)(F_s^w + F_{ns}^w) + s_i F_0^i - F_1^w + Q_1$$
(6a)

$$(c_w M_2) \,\partial T_2^w / \partial t = F_1^w - F_2^w + Q_2 \tag{6b}$$

$$(c_w M_3) \partial T_3^w / \partial t = F_2^w + Q_3 \tag{6c}$$

In Eq. 6a-c, the terms Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_3 represent the climatological, seasonally-varying convergence of heat from dynamical transport. In brief, they are computed by first running the model driven by near-surface air and ice variables from the ERA5 re-analysis (Hersbach et al. 2020), and with the Q terms set to zero. The average monthly tendencies of heat content produced in this way are compared with tendencies from the World Ocean Atlas (WOA09; Locarnini et al., 2010) ocean climatology: the Q terms are defined by the differences between the WOA09 and model tendencies.

For sea ice, the time derivative of the heat content is given by:

$$255 \qquad \partial HC_1^i/\partial t = s_i \left(F_s^i + F_{ns}^i \right) - s_i F_0^i \tag{7a},$$

However, the model assumes that only a fraction α_i of the heat entering the ice layer is converted in temperature change, since in the real world part of energy gain/loss is used to decrease/increase the ice mass. Specifically, we assume that ice mass increases when sea ice is cooling and decreases when sea ice is warming; therefore the ice temperature tendency is reduced, in absolute value, with respect to schemes which assume a constant ice thickness. With this assumption, which for consistency applies to both modes of the sea-ice scheme, the prognostic equation for sea ice mean temperature is given by:

$$(c_i \ f_i \ M_1) \ \partial T_m^i / \partial t = \alpha_i \ \partial H C_1^i / \partial t \tag{7b}$$

Starting from values of HC_1^i and T_m^i at time t, Eqs. 7a and 7b are used to compute the corresponding values at time t+ δt . When the sea-ice scheme is run in operating mode 1, only the change in ice temperature is retained, while the ice mass at each time step is derived from prescribed, time-evolving values of ice concentration and thickness. If instead the ice scheme is run in mode 2 (i.e. with interactive mass), the mass fraction of sea ice f_i at time $t+\delta t$ is set to the value which satisfies the heat content conservation:

$$HC_{I}^{i}(t+\delta t) = M_{I}f_{i}(t+\delta t) \left[c_{i}(T_{m}^{i}(t+\delta t) - T_{0}) - L_{f}\right]$$

$$\tag{8}$$

and an empirical relationship is used to compute values of s_i and d_i at time $t+\delta t$ from the updated value of f_i (see Appendix). Also in mode 2, the model creates new sea ice if T_i^w becomes lower than T_0 , melts sea ice if T_m^i becomes greater than (or

270 close enough to) T_0 . Since the coupled experiments discussed in this paper are run in mode 1, details about the rules used for such phase transitions are omitted here; it suffices to say that any such transformation conserves the total heat content of layer 1, so that the evolution of HC_1 is only determined by the fluxes at the layer boundary and the Q_1 flux:

$$\partial (HC_{I}^{w} + HC_{I}^{i}) / \partial t = (I - s_{i}) (F_{s}^{w} + F_{ns}^{w}) + s_{i} (F_{s}^{i} + F_{ns}^{i}) - F_{I}^{w} + Q_{I}$$
(9)

2.2.4 Regional relaxation towards observed SST

275 Pacemaker experiments, such as those performed by Kosaka and Xie (2016), require the relaxation of upper-ocean variables towards observed, time-evolving values. In TOM3, a relaxation of near-surface sea water temperature towards observed SST can be activated in a domain specified by spatial masks. In any day and at any grid point *j*, a relaxation heat flux is defined as:

$$F_{rel}(j) = k_{rel} \left[SST_{obs}(j) - T_l^{w}(j) \right] R(j)$$
(10)

280 where R(i) is the local value of the relaxation mask R (varying between 0 and 1). The area-weighted average of $F_{rel}(i)$ over all grid points, F^*_{rel} , is then computed at each step. If this is different from zero, the relaxation flux would produce a spurious source or sink of energy for the global ocean. In TOM3, since the relaxation is supposed to be applied in tropical regions where tendencies are mainly driven by ocean transport, we assume that changes in mixed-layer heat content induced by the relaxation should implicitly be seen as the results of changes in equatorial upwelling and sub-tropical cell motion. 285 Therefore, we impose that the global change in mixed-layer heat content be compensated by a change in the heat content of the bottom layer, such that the global integral of the two terms is zero.

This is achieved by defining a so-called compensation mask $R_c(j)$ (also varying between 0 and 1), covering the region of the sub-tropics where the compensating transport is supposed to take place. If we indicate with R_c^* the area-weighted global average of $R_c(i)$, the relaxation process acts by modifying the climatological transport term O in Eqs. 6a-c as follows:

290
$$Q'_{1}(j) = Q_{1}(j) + (1/3) F_{rel}(j)$$
 (11a)

$$Q'_{2}(j) = Q_{2}(j) + (2/3) F_{rel}(j)$$
 (11b)

$$Q'_{3}(j) = Q_{3}(j) - (F^{*}_{rel}/R^{*}_{c}) R_{c}(j)$$
(11c)

For the pacemaker experiments presented in Sect. 4, the relaxation mask has non-zero values over the central-eastern tropical Pacific and the western Indian Ocean. These regions are chosen because they are important sources of atmospheric 295 teleconnections, characterised by a positive correlation between SST and rainfall anomalies (see e.g. Fig. 1 in Molteni et al. 2015). However, heat fluxes play a different role in the SST variability of two regions: in the central/eastern Pacific, they tend to dissipate the SST anomalies induced by ocean dynamics, while in the Indian ocean they may have a reinforcing role. As a consequence, a stronger relaxation is needed in the former region to constrain SST variability, and therefore the relaxation mask (shown in the upper panel of Fig. 3) has been set to larger values in the Pacific than in the Indian sector. The compensation mask in our experiments, also shown in Fig. 3, covers the whole sub-tropical region in the Indo-Pacific Ocean.



Figure 3: Relaxation mask (top) and compensation mask (bottom) used in the pacemaker experiments described in Sect. 4. See Sect. 2.2.4 for further details.

305 **3 Impact of atmospheric model changes in simulations with prescribed SST**

selected indices) are estimated from data covering the full 40-year integrations.

In this section, we discuss the impact of the changes to the atmospheric model formulation (described in Sect. 2.1) on the model mean state and its variability. Results come from a 5-member ensemble of runs in which SST and sea-ice concentration from ERA5 are prescribed as boundary conditions. The ensemble members cover the period January 1981 – December 2020, and are initialised from different initial states. Statistics on the annual and seasonal means are presented for the period 1981-2010, which is used as a reference period for the assessment of the SPEEDY climatology because of the availability of multiple observational datasets and state-of-the-art GCM simulations used for comparison. Since the

estimation of second-order moments is affected by a higher uncertainty, teleconnection patterns (defined as covariances with

310 t

3.1 Surface heat fluxes 315

the same kind of boundary conditions at the ocean surface.

SPEEDY v.42 and v.41, are shown in the Supplementary Information figure S2.

Since the main objective of the changes to the model formulation is to produce a more realistic simulation of surface fluxes, we first assess how the annual-mean patterns of such fluxes are simulated and to what extent they achieve a global balance consistent with current estimates of net surface warming. Since re-analyses run with prescribed SST (as ERA5) are not expected to achieve a closed energy balance at the surface (see Hersbach et al. 2020), we prefer to compare the annual-mean

- 320 fluxes from SPEEDY with those from an ensemble of prescribed-SST simulations performed with the ECMWF model as a contribution to the EU-funded PRIMAVERA project (Roberts et al. 2018), following the HighResMIP protocol of CMIP6 (Haarsma et al. 2016) and covering the historical period 1950-2014. The version of the ECMWF model used for this ensemble is close to the version used in ERA5, and includes some minor parameter adjustments to achieve a realistic surface energy balance. Although surface heat fluxes from prescribed-SST runs may show inconsistencies with those derived from 325 coupled runs in regions where SST are mainly driven by turbulent fluxes, here we prefer to compare experiments run with

Fig. 4 presents the balance of the solar (net short-wave radiation) and non-solar (net long-wave radiation, sensible and latent heat fluxes) components of the annual-mean surface heat fluxes; in Fig. 5, maps for the three components of the nonsolar flux are shown separately. Global averages of these fluxes are listed above each panel, and also reported in Table 1, 330 where they are compared with estimates from Wild et al. (2015) based on a combination of observations and CMIP5 model data, and with values derived from the previous version (v41) of SPEEDY (again from a prescribed-SST ensemble). In addition, maps of differences between surface fluxes from the SPEEDY v.42 and ECMWF ensembles, and between

- The spatial patterns of solar and non-solar fluxes from SPEEDY, shown in Fig. 4, compare quite well with the ECMWF 335 counterparts. The SPEEDY net solar radiation is lower than the ECMWF flux over most of the tropical oceans, higher in the extratropics and over the tropical continents (see Fig. S2). The SPEEDY global average is about 6 W/m² larger than the ECMWF value, and just outside the range estimated by Wild et al. (2015), but still quite realistic. A positive difference of about 6 W/m² with respect to the ECMWF model is also found in the global average of the (upward) non-solar heat flux, resulting in a close match between the global averages of the net surface heat flux: 0.5 W/m² for SPEEDY and 0.7 W/m² for 340 the ECMWF model, both values being well within the range estimated by Wild et al. (2015). Also, the maps for the net
- surface radiation in the two models (bottom panels in Fig. 4) show a good correspondence between ocean regions gaining or losing energy, an important requisite if users want to couple SPEEDY to an ocean model.

When looking at the individual components of the non-solar heat flux (in Fig. 5), the net longwave radiation emerges as the fields with the larger discrepancies between SPEEDY and the ECMWF model, with the former showing larger values

345 except over the tropical Indo-Pacific ocean. Among the various components of the heat fluxes produced by SPEEDY, this is the only one which shows a global average significantly outside the range estimated by Wild et al. (2015). Conversely, the patterns and global averages of sensible and latent heat flux show a good agreement between the two models: the strong

fluxes associated with western boundary currents are clearly visible in both models. The global averages from SPEEDY are well within the uncertainty range in Wild et al. (2015), and the lower average of latent heat flux with respect to the ECMWF

350 model partially compensates the excess in net upward longwave radiation.

When comparing the surface heat fluxes in SPEEDY v.42 with those produced by the previous model version (again in an ensemble with prescribed SST), the main improvements are the reductions of the net solar radiation and sensible heat flux, while in v.41 the global averages of net longwave radiation and latent heat flux were slightly closer to the values by Wild et al. (2015). Overall, the net global balance is much improved in v.42, decreasing from 3.6 W/m² to 0.5 W/m². Spatial

355 maps of the differences between the fluxes in the two model versions are shown in the right-hand column of Fig. S2. Since the upward flux of longwave radiation over the oceans can be reproduced with little uncertainty, the errors in the net longwave radiation over the ocean are bound to come from an insufficient downward emission from the SPEEDY atmosphere. To put this error in a proper context, it should be noted that the difference of 12 W/m² between SPEEDY v.42

and the ECMWF model corresponds to less than 4% of the average downward flux of longwave radiation at the surface.

	1	1	1	1
	SPEEDY v.42	SPEEDY v.41	ECMWF-Ah	Wild et al. (2015)
Net solar radiation (downw.)	167.8	175.0	162.1	160 (154 / 166)
Net longwave radiation (upw.)	69.8	67.3	58.0	56 (48 / 62)
Sensible heat flux (upw.)	17.3	22.2	17.6	21 (15 / 25)
Latent heat flux (upw.)	80.3	81.9	85.8	82 (70 / 85)
Net surface heat flux (downw.)	0.48	3.57	0.74	0.6 (0.2 / 1.0)

360

Table 1: Global averages of surface heat fluxes (for the 1981-2010 period) in multidecadal ensemble simulations with SPEEDY v.42, SPEEDY v.41 and the ECMWF atmospheric model (ECMWF-Ah; Roberts et al. 2018), all with prescribed SST, compared with mean
 estimates and uncertainty ranges from Wild et al. (2015). All data in W/m².



Figure 4: Left column: annual-mean climatology (for 1981-2010) of solar (top), non-solar (centre), and net surface heat fluxes (bottom),
in W/m², simulated by the SPEEDY ensemble with prescribed SST (v.42). Solar and net fluxes are downward, non-solar flux is upward.
Right column: as in left column, but from an ensemble of 65-yr simulations with prescribed SST run at ECMWF (ECMWF-Ah; Roberts et al. 2018).



Figure 5: Left column: annual-mean climatology (for 1981-2010) of net surface long-wave radiation (top), sensible heat (centre), and latent heat fluxes (bottom), in W/m², simulated by the SPEEDY ensemble with prescribed SST (v.42). All fluxes are upward. Right column: as in left column, but from an ensemble of 65-yr simulations with prescribed SST run at ECMWF (ECMWF-Ah; Roberts et al. 2018).

3.2 Atmospheric mean state and teleconnections

- We now look at the impact of the model changes listed in Sect. 2.1 on the atmospheric mean state and variability. Since we want to focus on the changes to physical parametrizations, in Figures 6 to 8 we compare the mean state in the 5-member ensemble with prescribed SST with the state achieved in integrations performed with the same grid setting as in version 42, but no change in parametrizations with respect to the previous version. In the figure captions, we will refer to this model setting as v.42go (for *grid-only*).
- Fig. 6 illustrates the impact of changes in solar and longwave radiation (including the new ozone climatology) on the bias of atmospheric temperature in the lower troposphere (at 100 hPa) and the upper troposphere (at 300 hPa). The Hovmoller diagrams show the biases in the northern hemisphere across the seasonal cycle for the two model configurations, and their difference. In the tropics, the warm biases have been reduced by about a factor of 2, with maximum values going from 8 to 4 degrees (similar changes are seen in the southern-hemisphere tropics, not shown). In high-latitude regions, a strongly
- 390 seasonally varying bias (cold in winter-spring and warm in summer) was present in the lower stratosphere because of a too simplified prescription of the ozone climatology in the previous SPEEDY version. Changes to the ozone climatology and a consequent reduction of empirical correction terms have produced a more seasonally uniform cold bias in the northern polar region, with an amplitude comparable with the biases of state-of-the art models in this part of the atmosphere.

These changes have produced a strong reduction in the lower-stratosphere horizontal temperature gradient between the 395 northern sub-tropics and the polar region (see Fig. 6e); this is bound to produce a reduction in the strength of the stratospheric polar vortex. We will comment on the implications of this reduction for the tropospheric flow in the North Atlantic region in the paragraphs below.

Fig. 7 shows the impact of the changes in the horizontal and vertical diffusion of moisture on the climatology of rainfall and 850 hPa wind during the Asian monsoon season. Before such changes, a too-weak correction of diffusion along orographic slopes produced a split between rainfall in the northern and central part of the Indian subcontinent (as well as in the adjacent seas), leaving the Ganges valley unrealistically dry (Fig. 7c). As a result, instead of the observed bimodal distribution with ocean and continental maxima, the rainfall climatology over South Asia showed a tri-polar structure with a strong maximum around 10°N. This bias has been largely corrected by the new formulation of horizontal diffusion for humidity (see Fig. 7a), with smaller contributions also coming from the changes in vertical diffusion and long-wave absorptivity by clouds. The 850 hPa wind climatology has also been affected, with a further northward extension of westerly winds into the Indian sector (Fig. 7b). Unfortunately, the representation of the East Asian monsoon shows only minor improvements, and the simulation of rainfall connected to the Meiyu–Baiu front is still clearly deficient.

While the main focus in the development of v.42 has been on an improved representation of surface fluxes, earlier versions of SPEEDY were primarily tuned to produce a realistic simulation of the northern hemisphere (NH) circulation during the boreal cold season, and of the main teleconnection patterns which characterise its variability. It is therefore

appropriate to verify whether v.42 has maintained a good fidelity in the simulation of the boreal winter circulation.



415 Figure 6: Bias of temperature (in °K) at 100 hPa (left) and 300 hPa (right) in SPEEDY ensembles with prescribed SST, computed against ERA5 data, for DJF 1981-2010. a) and b): v.42 ensemble. c) and d): v.42go ensemble, with v.42 grid configuration but v.41 parametrizations. e) and f): difference between the two ensembles, showing the impact of v.42 parametrizations.



u850

b)

40N 35N

30N

25N

20N

v.42

JJA 1981-2010

JJA 1981-2010

a) prec

50N

401

30N

20N

v.42

Figure 7: Asian monsoon rainfall (left, in mm/day) and 850-hPa zonal wind (right, in m/s) in SPEEDY ensembles with prescribed SST,
for JJA 1981-2010. a) and b): v.42 ensemble. c) and d): v.42go ensemble, with v.42 grid configuration but v.41 parametrizations.
e): rainfall climatology from GPCPv2.3 (Adler et al. 2003). f): zonal wind climatology from ERA5.

Fig. 8 shows the mean field of NH 500 hPa geopotential height in the December-to-February (DJF) season as simulated by the v.42 ensemble; both the full field (fig. 8a) and the deviation from its zonal mean (8b) are shown, and compared with the same fields from ERA5 data. Panels 8c and 8f show the difference of the v.42 height climatology from those computed respectively from ERA5 data and from simulations without parametrization changes.

425

430

445

450

Overall, SPEEDY v.42 has maintained a realistic representation of the NH wintertime climatology, although with some underestimation of the stationary wave amplitude in the Atlantic sector; the most evident discrepancy is found in the depth of the stationary trough over eastern North America and the Labrador Sea. The mean bias with respect to ERA5, shown in Fig. 8c, reaches a maximum amplitude of about 80 m over small portions of the northern oceans, but over most of the extratropical NH the amplitude of the bias is less than 40 m. Although the best state-of-the-art GCMs show smaller biases in the boreal winter, mid-tropospheric circulation, the amplitude of the v.42 bias is comparable to those of many models used for CMIP5 historical runs (see fig. 1 in Pithan et al. 2016).

However, comparing the 500-hPa height bias with the impact of the parametrization changes (Fig. 8f), it is evident that the two fields are positively correlated, particularly over Canada and the North Atlantic, where the parametrization changes

- 435 have produced a dipole pattern projecting on the negative phase of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). Since, in the real atmosphere, a connection between a stronger/weaker stratospheric polar vortex and a positive/negative phase of the NAO is well documented (Kidston et al. 2015; Charlton-Perez et al. 2018 and references within), the shift towards a negative NAO phase would appear to be consistent with the changes in polar stratospheric temperature shown in Fig. 6.
- We further explore this issue in the context of a more general assessment of NH extratropical teleconnection patterns. For this purpose, and guided by many earlier studies, we define three teleconnection indices from the difference of anomalies in selected regions of the NH:
 - North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index: mean-sea-level pressure anomaly, (35W-15E, 35N-50N) (50W-0E, 55N-75N)
 - Pacific North American (PNA) index: 500-hPa height anomaly, 1/3 (180W-140W, 15N-25N) 2/3 (180W-140W, 35N-55N) + 2/3 (125W-85W, 45N-65N) 1/3 (95W-65W, 25N-40N)
 - Stratospheric polar temperature (SPT) index: 100 hPa temperature anomaly, NH extratropics (25N-90N) polar region (60N-90N)

The definition of the SPT index is such that a positive value is associated with an intensification of the lower stratosphere polar vortex; also, measuring the deficit of the polar temperature with respect to the whole northern extratropics, we prevent the index variability to be strongly dominated by the overall stratospheric cooling trend induced by the CO_2 increase.

Although each of the three indices is defined from a different anomaly field, to compare the associated variability in the mid-tropospheric circulation we show in Fig. 9 the covariances of the 500 hPa field with the normalised time series of the three indices: these can be interpreted as the 500-hPa anomaly associated with one positive standard deviation of each index. For consistency with the tropical teleconnections discussed in Sect. 4, which display a significant sub-seasonal variability

455 (Ayarzaguena et al 2018; King et al. 2021), we compute these covariances from bi-monthly mean anomalies in January and

February (JF) over the 1981-2020 period. The same teleconnection patterns, computed from ERA5 data, are also shown for comparison. (In all model covariance calculations data are taken from individual members, not from the ensemble mean.)

For the NAO and PNA teleconnections, the SPEEDY anomaly patterns compare well with the ERA5 counterparts, although the amplitude of the PNA anomaly is about 25% smaller in the SPEEDY pattern than in ERA5 over the North

460 Pacific, and the anomalies do not extend as far into the North Atlantic and Europe. For the NAO pattern, the overall amplitude is quite close between model and re-analysis, but again the SPEEDY anomalies do not extend as far eastwards as

the ERA5 anomalies.

The height anomaly associated with SPT variability, on the other hand, has a significantly smaller amplitude in SPEEDY

- than in ERA5, although the projection on the positive NAO phase is correctly (and very clearly) reproduced by the model.
 However, when we look at the standard deviation of the SPT index in SPEEDY and ERA5, we find that a value of 1.4 °K for the model and 2.9 °K for ERA5. Therefore, while the stratospheric variability in SPEEDY is much lower than in reality (as may be expected because of the very coarse vertical resolution), the 500 hPa anomaly induced by the *same* stratospheric temperature variation is actually comparable with the re-analysis. This is shown more clearly in Fig. S3 of Supplementary Information, where the regressions of 500-hPa height against the *dimensional* SPT index are shown in units of m/°K. Using
 the SPEEDY regression to estimate the linear contribution of the changes in the lower-stratosphere climatology, Fig. S2
- confirms the hypothesis that the shift towards a negative NAO induced by parametrization changes in v.42 (shown in Fig. 8f) is caused to a large extent by the reduction of the polar stratospheric cold bias (see Fig. 6).

Overall, we find no deterioration in the simulation of extratropical teleconnections in the latest version of SPEEDY, despite the increase in the mid-tropospheric geopotential bias in the northern extratropics during the boreal winter. The fact

- 475 that changes which were beneficial for the reduction of stratospheric biases turned out to have a negative effect on the 500hPa model climatology is most likely an indication of the presence of compensating errors in the representation of stratospheric and tropospheric processes. Interestingly, Pithan et al. (2016) showed that an underestimation of the stationary wave amplitude over North America and the North Atlantic, quite similar to that found in the SPEEDY v.42 climatology (see fig. 8d) and many CMIP5 models, could be reproduced by decreasing the strength of the orographic low-level drag in
- 480 simulations performed with the UK Met Office Unified Model. Since currently the enhancement of surface drag over topography is rather crudely parameterized in SPEEDY, these results point to a direction for possible improvements which will be explored in future experimentation.



Figure 8: Left column: climatology of 500-hPa geopotential height for DJF 1981-2010 (in dam), from: **a)** the ensemble with prescribed SST (v.42), and **d)** ERA5 data. Middle column (**b**, **e**): as on left column, but for the stationary waves at 500 hPa (i.e differences from the zonal-mean climatology). **c)**: bias of the 500-hPa height ensemble climatology with respect to ERA5. **f)**: difference with respect to the v.42go ensemble, with v.42 grid configuration but v.41 parametrizations.



Figure 9: Covariance of JF 500-hPa height (in m) with the NAO (top), PNA (centre) and SPT (bottom) indices, for the v.42 ensemble with prescribed SST (left) and ERA5 data (right) in JF 1981-2020.

4 Ocean and atmosphere variability in coupled pacemaker experiments. 495

We now present results from multidecadal simulations run with SPEEDY v.42 coupled to the TOM3 model. Two 5member ensembles were run, in which the temperature of sea water and sea ice evolved interactively in TOM3 according to Eqs. 6 and 7 in Sect. 2.2. In order to ensure consistency with the time-evolving radiative forcing used in multi-decadal SPEEDY simulations (see section 4.1 below), both ensembles used prescribed ice concentration and thickness derived from ERA5 data (shown in Supplementary Fig. S7).

500

cannot be compared with observed data.

505

- A 5-member coupled ensemble (v.42c) was run for the period 1980-2020 without any relaxation to observed SST. The 5 • members were initialised from slightly different atmospheric initial conditions, while the initial conditions for the water temperature in the TOM3 model were set, for all members, to the values from the WOA09 climatology. The main purpose of this ensemble is to verify that the O-flux term in the TOM3 equations maintains an SST climatology close to the observed one for the recent decades (the Q-flux terms were actually computed using data for 1981-2010). Due to the absence of tropical ocean dynamics (and the associated teleconnections), the interannual variability in this ensemble
- A second 5-member ensemble has been run from January 1950 to December 2020 with relaxation to observed SST . activated in parts of the tropical Indo-Pacific ocean (as explained in Sect. 2.4); this is referred to as the pacemaker 510 ensemble (v.42p). As in v.42c, perturbed initial conditions were used for the atmospheric fields, while in all members TOM3 was initialised from climatological fields appropriate for the decade started in 1950. These were obtained as a modification of the WOA09 climatology, adding corrections proportional (at any grid point) to the difference between ERA5 SST in the 1950-1959 and the 1981-2010 periods; an appropriate scaling factor and a seasonal time lag were defined for the second and third TOM3 layer based on observational data.
- 515 A comparison between the SST bias in v42c and v42p are shown in Supplementary Fig. S4 for winter and summer seasons in 1981-2010. In both ensembles, the bias is mostly negative (apart from the polar regions) and is largest over the extratropical oceans in the summer hemisphere, with a typical amplitude of $0.4 \sim 0.5$ °K. Smaller positive biases are found over the North Atlantic and North pacific during boreal winter. By construction, the SST bias of the pacemaker v.42p ensemble is null over the relaxation domain in the Indo-Pacific, but it is also reduced over the tropical Atlantic, as a result of 520 atmospheric teleconnections linking the different tropical basins. On the other hand, the difference in tropical SST bias has no discernible impact on the extratropical atmospheric circulation. The bias of 500-hPa height during the boreal winter, also shown in Fig. S4, is practically identical in the two ensembles, and shows no significant difference from the bias of the prescribed SST ensemble shown in Fig. 8c.

In the following sub-sections, the interannual and decadal variability simulated in the pacemaker ensemble will be 525 presented and compared with the observed variability; as stated above, no meaningful comparison with observed interannual variability can be done on v.42c, due to the absence of tropical coupled dynamics (ENSO in primis) and the associated teleconnection, while global warming trends are discussed in the next sub-section.

4.1 Inter-decadal variability and trends

Although the dynamical processes which generate interannual and interdecadal variability can be studied in so-called

- 530 'control' simulations, where sources of anthropogenic climate change are neglected, comparisons of multi-decadal model simulations with observed data become more straightforward when long-term changes of anthropogenic origin are also reproduced by a climate model. Integrations where changes in the concentration of radiatively active gases and aerosols are prescribed from historical data are usually referred to as 'historical' simulations. Given the simplified nature of the radiative parametrizations in SPEEDY, it is not possible to produce historical multi-decadal simulations starting directly from
- atmospheric concentration data. However, by prescribing a time-dependent absorptivity in the CO_2 band of the long-wave radiation code, it is possible to simulate a change in radiative forcing leading to a realistic warming trend in the model atmosphere. In the experiments described here, the CO_2 absorptivity is increased by 0.5% per year, with a prescribed reference value being assigned for the absorptivity in 1981.
- While the change in CO₂ absorptivity is enough to produce a fairly realistic atmospheric warming in simulation with 540 prescribed SST (such as those documented in Sect. 3), the coupling with the TOM3 model requires another time-varying adjustment. This is because, when computing the Q-flux terms needed to simulate the dynamical heat transport in the ocean (see Sect. 2.2.3), it is assumed that the annual mean tendencies of the ocean temperatures average to zero in the presence of climatological surface heat fluxes. Since no heat flux is explicitly computed by TOM3 at the bottom of its deepest layer, the Q term for layer 3 implicitly accounts for the heat transport between the TOM3 domain (the top 300 metres) and the deeper 545 part of the ocean.

On the other hand, if the annual-mean surface heat flux changes with time because of the variation in downward longwave radiation (as a result of the increased greenhouse effect), maintaining a constant Q-flux implies that none of the changes in downward heat flux is transmitted to the deep ocean below the 300m depth. This would produce an overestimate in the increase of the 300m heat content and the upper-ocean temperatures. For this reason, the Q-flux in the bottom layer of

550 TOM3 has to be adjusted to maintain the upper-ocean in a near-equilibrium state in the presence of long-term atmospheric warming.

Simulations with prescribed SST running from 1950 to 2020 allow us to measure the simulated change in annual-andglobal-mean surface heat-flux from the 1950s to the latest decade. Based on this estimate, and assuming a simple latitudinal variation for the bottom heat flux, the Q_3 is modified by adding the following term:

555
$$\delta Q_3 = q^*(t) [\cos(\varphi)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (12)

where $q^{*}(t)$ varies at an average rate of 0.8 W/m² per decade (taking into account the decreased amplitude of the latitudinal profile at the poles, the global-mean change in heat flux is actually ≈ 0.7 W/m² per decade).

Since the pacemaker ensemble had been run with relaxation to observed SST in parts of the tropical Indo-Pacific ocean, and the sea-ice component of the model uses an observed sea-ice concentration from the ERA5 re-analysis, these two elements potentially contribute to the simulation of the global warming trend in our pacemaker ensemble. In Fig. 10, we show diagnostics which illustrate how well are long-term temperature variations reproduced in our pacemaker ensemble. Fig. 10a shows time series of global-mean surface sea-water temperature (SSWT, excluding sea-ice temperature) anomaly with respect to the 1981-2020 average; curves are plotted for the model ensemble-mean, the individual ensemble members and the ERA5 SSWT. Apart from two short periods around 1960 and following the Pinatubo

- 565 eruption in 1991 (SPEEDY does not reproduce the effects of time-varying aerosols), the SSWT ensemble-mean anomaly follows closely the ERA5 curve, the difference being mostly within the intra-ensemble variation. Interannual variations induced by the ENSO cycle are clearly visible, confirming the role of the tropical Indo-Pacific as a pacemaker for natural variations of surface temperature (as in Kosaka and Xie 2013, 2016).
- A more interesting comparison comes from looking at the evolution of near-surface air temperature over land (Fig. 10b), 570 taking into account that no time-varying forcing terms are included in the simple land model. Therefore, the variations of SAT over land are driven only from variations of the simulated surface heat fluxes and the advection of heat from the oceans, with the latter process being dominant (see Compo and Sardeshmukh 2009). Again, the ensemble-mean anomaly follows closely the observed anomaly (computed from GISTEMPv4 data; Lenssen et al. 2019), with the same short periods of deviations as in the SSWT record and the evident effect of the ENSO cycle. This figure indicates that, at least on a global
- 575 scale, SPEEDY is able to correctly reproduce the main factors driving interannual and interdecadal variations of surface temperature over land.

It should be remarked that, in our pacemaker ensemble, SSWT over most of the Indo-Pacific Ocean and the sea-ice concentration are constrained to follow the ERA5 values. It is therefore appropriate to look at the variability of SSWT and SAT averaged only in the extratropical regions not affected by such constraints. Time series of SSWT and SAT averaged in

- 580 the extratropical domain (25N-65N and 25S-65S) are shown in Fig. 10c and 10d respectively, using the same format as in the panels for global values. The removal of the tropical domain clearly reduces the signature of ENSO-driven interannual variability in the SSWT time series, but the overall trends are still closely captured over both sea and land. Consistently, a realistic simulation of SSWT and SAT trends was also found in the v.42c ensemble, where SSWT relaxation is not applied (see Supplementary Fig. S5).
- 585 Given the very basic representation of the increase in greenhouse effect within the SPEEDY radiation code, it is instructive to verify if the latitudinal and vertical distribution of atmospheric trends are realistically simulated. The linear trend of atmospheric temperature from the SPEEDY pacemaker ensemble, computed from overlapping 10-year means from 1961/70 to 2011/20, is shown in Fig. 10e. The main features seen in many observational and modelling studies are also reproduced here, including a significant polar amplification, a tropical upper-tropospheric maximum and a rather strong
- 590 stratospheric cooling. To allow a quantitative comparison with re-analysis data, the trend has also been estimated from ERA5 data taken on the same pressure levels as in the SPEEDY output; while the full cross-section is shown in Supplementary Information as Fig. S6, here we show (in Fig. 10f) a comparison of vertical profiles of area-averaged trends, namely in the tropical band (20S-20N) and in northern high latitudes (50N-80N).



595 Figure 10: Top row: time series of global and annual-mean variability of **a**) surface sea-water temperature (SSWT), and **b**) SAT over land, from the SPEEDY-TOM3 pacemaker ensemble for 1951-2020 (v.42p). All data are anomalies from a 1981-2010 climatology, in °K. Red curve: ensemble mean; orange curves: individual ensemble members; blue curve: observational data from ERA5 (for SSWT) and GISTEMPv4 (for land SAT). Middle row: **c**) and **d**) as in a) and b) respectively, but only for the extratropical domain (25N-65N, 25S-65S) where no observational constraint is applied. Bottom row: linear trends of atmospheric temperature computed from overlapping 10-yr

600 means, from 1961/70 to 2011/20. Units: °K/(50 yr). e): vertical cross section from the pacemaker ensemble (v.42p); f): vertical profiles of trends integrated in two latitudinal bands, from the ensemble in 20S-20N (red curve) and 50N-80N (blue curve), and from ERA5 in 20S-20N (orange curve) and 50N-80N (cyan curve).

For the tropical regions, the vertical profile of the warming trend in SPEEDY fits quite well the ERA5 profile, with a 50-97 yr variation going from about +0.5 °K near the surface to about +1 °K in the upper troposphere, and then to -1 °K in the 97 lower stratosphere. Conversely, in the northern high latitudes the discrepancies are higher: SPEEDY shows a stronger polar 97 amplification in the lower troposphere than ERA5, and a much stronger stratospheric cooling (\approx 3 °K/50-yr, about three 98 times larger than in ERA5).

- Although the difference in the high-latitude stratospheric cooling trend may seem excessively large, it should be pointed out that the ERA5 trend in this region is actually much stronger (and only 10% smaller than the SPEEDY 50-yr value) during the last quarter of the 20th century. In the 21st century, observed lower-stratospheric trends are much reduced (or even reversed; see Randel et al. 2017; Philipona et al. 2018), with ozone recovery in the polar regions being a likely contributing factor. Also, the ERA5 100-hPa cooling trend is strongly asymmetrical between the two hemispheres, and is closer to the SPEEDY value over the southern polar region (see supplementary Fig. S6).
- 615 Given the simplicity of the SPEEDY parametrizations and time-varying forcing terms, we can conclude that the SPEEDY-TOM3 model does a reasonably good job in reproducing the surface and upper-air features of long-term warming trends. Although SPEEDY-TOM3 is clearly not an appropriate tool for detailed studies on anthropogenic climate change, it can reproduce observed SST and tropospheric trends (especially near the surface) with sufficient fidelity to allow a meaningful validation of interannual and decadal variations even in pacemaker simulations spanning a full 70-year period.

620 4.2 Interannual variability

We now look at how regional aspects of atmosphere-ocean variability on interannual scales are simulated in the pacemaker ensemble; in order to limit the influence of long-term trends on such statistics, we analyse data in the period 1981-2020.

In Fig. 11, we show maps of SSWT standard deviation for December-February (DJF) and June-August (JJA) in the SPEEDY-TOM3 ensemble and in ERA5. TOM3 does not reproduce interannual variations in dynamical transport, such as those associated with variability in the position of western boundary currents or in the intensity of the meridional overturning circulation; therefore we should expect the model variability to be generally lower than observed, except in regions where ocean variability is mainly driven by surface heat fluxes (and of course in the tropical areas where relaxation is activated, see Fig. 3). Overall, Fig. 11 confirms this expectation; specifically, we note the following:

- 630
- In the tropics, SSWT variability is clearly underestimated over the Atlantic, where no relaxation is active;
- Sub-tropical variability is underestimated in both hemisphere during the respective summer season;
- During the boreal winter, variability in the region of the western boundary currents is also lower than observed, although by a smaller proportion than in the cases above; however, the model variability in the high-latitude sub-polar gyres is close to observations, or even larger;

• The high-latitude North Atlantic is the region where the model variability is largest compared to observations; this can be interpreted as due to a too shallow mixed layer in TOM3 for this region, but also as an indication that changes in dynamical transport may partially compensate those induced by surface heat fluxes (as would be the case if an increase in heat transport from the tropics would follow an intensification of surface cooling and the associated convection; see Khatri et al. 2022 and references within).



60E

120E

0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8

0.2

180

1200

6ÓW

1 1.2 1.4

635



Figure 11: Interannual variability of SSWT in DJF 1981-2020 (left) and JJA 1981-2020 (right), from the SPEEDY-TOM3 pacemaker ensemble (v.42p, top) and ERA5 (bottom). Data are standard deviations of seasonal means, in °K

60E

6ÓE

0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6

120E

180

1201

0.7

0.8 1 1.2 1.4

6ÓW

Since the variability over the northern oceans in boreal winter is mostly driven by changes in surface fluxes associated with circulation anomalies, we look in more detail at the relationship between the main NH teleconnection patterns (the NAO and PNA) and the associated changes in SST over the Atlantic and Pacific oceans. In the top panels of Fig. 12, we

650

660

show the covariance of 500-hPa height with the NAO and PNA indices computed from the pacemaker ensemble in JF. When these patterns are compared from those from the ensemble with prescribed SST (see Fig. 9), no significant difference is seen for the NAO pattern, while in the case of the PNA the extension over the Atlantic Ocean is stronger in the pacemaker ensemble (as in ERA5). As discussed at the beginning of Sect.4, the bias in 500-hPa height climatology is very close between the uncoupled and coupled ensembles, therefore the stronger PNA extension cannot be attributed to a different atmospheric climatology in the coupled runs.

655

From coupled model data, we can also compute the covariance of the teleconnection indices with SST; since the maximum ocean response is delayed with respect to the anomalies in atmospheric circulation and surface fluxes, these covariances are computed between NAO/PNA indices in JF and SST in February-March (FM). They are shown in the second row of Fig. 12, and compared with the same diagnostics from ERA5 data in the bottom row. Overall, the TOM3 model produces SST anomalies which are highly correlated with those from ERA5. The SST anomaly induced by the PNA is also close to observations in terms of amplitude, with a partial overestimation close to the North America coast, while the SST signal associated with the NAO is stronger in TOM3 than in ERA5 over the whole North Atlantic, on average by about 50% (and almost by a factor of 2 over the Labrador Sea).

It is likely that a more accurate prescription of the mixed-layer depth in future model versions, accounting for regional 665 variations in wind-driven mixing, may bring the amplitude of the North Atlantic signal closer to observed values. However, the high spatial correlation between the model and observed patterns is a clear indication that the TOM3 model is a suitable tool to explore the relationship between circulation, surface fluxes and temperature anomalies during the boreal cold season. We will return to this topic in Sect. 4.3.

Finally, we investigate if the coupling to TOM3 affects the simulation of the most important tropical-extratropical 670 teleconnection, namely the one induced by ENSO SST anomalies. Following earlier findings about sub-seasonal variations in this teleconnection (particularly over the North Atlantic; see Ayarzaguena et al. 2018 and King et al. 2021), we concentrate here on the late winter teleconnection, as represented by anomalies averaged over JF. We use the traditional Nino3.4 SST anomaly (170W-120W, 5S-5N) as a teleconnection index, and we show in Fig. 13 the patterns of Indo-Pacific SST and NH 500 hPa height co-varying with the normalised index in the pacemaker and uncoupled ensembles (v.42p and 675 v.42u in the captions), as well as in ERA5.



Figure 12: Covariances with the NAO (left) and PNA (right) indices in JF 1981-2020, as defined in Sect. 3.2. Top: covariance with 680 500-hPa height (in m) in the pacemaker ensemble (v.42p). Centre: 1-month-lag covariance with February-March SSWT (in °K). Bottom: as in central panels, but from ERA5 data.



Figure 13: Covariances of SST (left, in °K) and 500-hPa height (right, in m) with the Nino3.4 SST index in JF 1981-2020. Top: from the
 pacemaker ensemble (v.42p). Centre: from the uncoupled ensemble with prescribed SST (v.42u). Bottom: from ERA5 data. SST
 covariances from the uncoupled ensemble and ERA5 are identical by definition.

Looking first at the SST pattern in the pacemaker ensemble, while the positive anomalies over the central Pacific and

690

western Indian Ocean are the obvious result of the relaxation towards observed data, the negative anomalies around the Maritime continent and the sub-tropical Pacific appear to be realistically reproduced despite being mostly outside the relaxation domain. A second positive result is found for the extratropical teleconnection over the North Atlantic, where the dipolar pattern of height anomalies (projecting onto the negative phase of the NAO) is better simulated in the pacemaker than in the uncoupled ensemble: in the latter, a wider than observed negative anomaly extends over most of the North Atlantic. In both the pacemaker and the uncoupled ensembles, the amplitude of the North Pacific anomaly is about 25% 695 weaker than the observed signal, and its maximum is shifted to the east by 10 degrees approximately; while the pacemaker ensemble provides a better match to observations over the western North Pacific and eastern Asia, the uncoupled simulation shows a stronger amplitude over eastern Canada.

4.3 Relationship between sea surface heat fluxes and land surface air temperature

In this sub-section, we discuss if the SPEEDY-TOM3 model is a suitable tool to investigate the relationship between 700 changes in the NH wintertime circulation and hemispheric-scale anomalies in land-surface air temperature, and how the circulation-induced SAT anomalies relate to long-term warming trend at regional scale (as in Molteni et al. 2017 and Yang et al. 2020). Although a thorough investigation of this topic is beyond the scope of this paper, here we assess an important prerequisite for this type of studies: namely, the ability of the model to reproduce a realistic pattern of SAT anomalies as a response to changes in planetary-scale circulation anomalies (such as the COWL pattern).

- 705 In the original definition by Wallace et al. (1996), the COWL index is computed from the difference in average lowertropospheric temperature anomalies over the continents and the oceans in a latitudinal band covering the northern extratropics. Although the correlation between lower-tropospheric (typically below 500 hPa) and near-surface air temperature is not perfect, it is still very high during the winter season; therefore, using the original COWL definition, a positive correlation between the COWL index and average land SAT is a rather straightforward consequence.
- 710 An alternative definition, which is more indicative of the physical processes leading to extratropical SAT anomalies, can be based on the heat exchanges between the atmosphere and the land/ocean surface. This approach was adopted by Molteni et al. (2011, 2017), who aimed to relate the COWL pattern to the circulation and heating anomalies induced by thermal equilibration of planetary waves (Mitchell and Derome 1983; Marshall and So 1990). Here, we also choose to base our investigation on surface heat fluxes, but to remain closer to the original COWL definition we do not impose a specific, wave-
- like pattern to the heat flux anomalies (as in Molteni et al. 2011), and simply take differences between average anomalies 715 over land and sea. Also, to focus on heat exchanges between the troposphere and the surface, we base our COWL-like index on the non-solar component of the surface heat fluxes. Specifically, we define an HF-COWL index as:

13) HF-COWL = ave [F'_{ns} over land, 35N-70N] - ave [F'_{ns} over ocean, 35N-70N]

where F'_{ns} is the anomaly of (downward) non-solar heat flux. A positive value of the HF-COWL index implies that (on average within the 35N-70N band) heat is transferred from the ocean to the atmosphere, and from the atmosphere to the land surface, at a higher rate than in climatological conditions. Note that, while SAT anomalies are generally larger over land than over the ocean, non-solar heat-flux anomalies are typically stronger over the oceans due to the contribution of latent heat flux, so there is no built-in correlation between the HF-COWL index and land SAT.

Using data from both our pacemaker and uncoupled ensemble members, and from ERA5, we have computed the HF-725 COWL index from seasonal DJF means from 1981 to 2020, and the covariances of this index with NH fields of non-solar heat flux, 500-hPa height and SAT. The covariance maps are compared in Fig. 14, where the standard deviation of the HF-COWL index and the average land SAT anomaly (in the 35N-70N band) are also listed above the relevant panels.

Looking first at the heat flux anomalies (left column), the model-simulated patterns show a strong spatial correlation with the ERA anomaly; the average anomaly amplitude, as measured by the HF-COWL standard deviation, is much closer to

730 ERA5 in the uncoupled ensemble (4.3 vs 4.2 W/m² in ERA5), while is reduced by about 10% in the coupled runs (3.7 W/m²). This result is to be expected, since fluxes in ERA5 are derived from short-range forecasts with prescribed SST, while in the coupled system the surface warming/cooling induced by surface fluxes acts as a negative feedback on the strength of the downward heat fluxes.

The 500 hPa covariances shown in the middle column of Fig. 14 represent the circulation anomalies inducing (and 735 possibly responding to) the heat flux anomaly. For both models and the re-analysis, the height anomaly shows a wavenumber-2-like pattern with lows over the northern ocean and highs at lower latitudes; the amplitude of the circulation anomaly is closer to ERA5 in the pacemaker ensemble than in the uncoupled one. However, in the pacemaker ensemble the position of the ocean lows is shifted north-westwards, in a NAO-like pattern, and the regions of increased ocean heat loss correspond to increased westerly (or north-westerly) flow. Therefore, in the SPEEDY coupled runs, heat fluxes from the ocean are enhanced not only because the air above is colder, but also because the positive westerly anomaly increases the average surface wind speed (see similar results from a seasonal forecast model in Molteni et al. 2017). In ERA5, the increase in surface heat fluxes on the western North Atlantic is likely to be due to increased meridional advection of polar air on the

western side of the negative geopotential anomaly.

An even stronger impact of the ocean coupling is seen in the covariances with SAT (right column in Fig. 14). The amplitude of the SAT anomalies is much larger in the pacemaker than in the uncoupled ensemble, and much closer to those found in ERA5. When the SAT anomaly is averaged over land in the 35N-70N band, the SAT anomaly is close to 0.2 degrees in both the pacemaker ensemble and ERA5, while is only 0.05 degrees in the uncoupled experiment. Therefore, coupling to TOM3 appears to improve significantly the fidelity of the SPEEDY model in reproducing the contribution to SAT variability arising from increased/decreased heat transfer from the northern oceans. The coupled simulations produce results which are comparable (at least qualitatively) to those obtained by Molteni et al. (2017) and Yang et al. (2020) from runs with state-of-the-art coupled models, showing the potential for meaningful further investigations on this topic with the SPEEDY-TOM3 model.



v.42p cov(hf-cowl, gh500) DJF 1981-2020 v.42p cov(hf-cowl, Tas) DJF 1981-2020 land-av. = 0.19

v.42p cov(hf-cowl, nsolhf) DJF 1981-2020 s.dev. = 3.70

Figure 14: Covariances of downward non-solar heat flux (left, in W/m²), 500-hPa height (centre, in m) and SAT (right, in °K) with the HF-COWL index in DJF 1981-2020, as defined in Sect. 4.3. Top row: from pacemaker ensemble (v.42p). Middle row: from the uncoupled ensemble with prescribed SST (v.42u). Bottom row: from ERA5 data. The interannual standard deviation of the HF-COWL index (in W/m²) is listed above the heat flux maps; the average SAT anomaly over land between 35N and 70N (in °K) is listed above the SAT maps.

5 Discussion and conclusions

The function of a main features of a new version (v.42) of the SPEEDY model with improved simulation of surface fluxes, and the formulation of a 3-layer thermodynamic ocean model (TOM3) suitable to explore the coupled extratropical response to tropical ocean variability. We have also presented results on the atmospheric model climatology, highlighting the impact of the modifications introduced in the model code, and shown how important features of interdecadal and interannual variability are simulated in a "pacemaker" coupled ensemble of 70-year runs, where portions of the tropical

765 Indo-Pacific are constrained to follow the observed variability.

The main messages derived from our results can be summarised as follows.

- The new version of SPEEDY produces a realistic simulation of the surface heat fluxes and their annual mean balance. Compared to estimates from Wild et al. (2015), the main error in the model fluxes appears to be an underestimation of downward longwave radiation from the atmosphere; however, the global and annual mean of the net surface heat flux is of the order of 0.5 W/m², in good agreement with current estimates and state-of-the-art model simulations.
- Changes in the parametrization of radiation and moisture diffusion have a clear positive impact on stratospheric biases and the Asian monsoon rainfall climatology, although they lead to a partial weakening of the NH wintertime stationary waves in the Atlantic sector.
- The global mean variations of SST and SAT simulated in the 70-year coupled ensemble follow observations closely, confirming the role of the Indo-Pacific as a "pacemaker" for the natural fluctuations of global-mean surface temperatures (Kosaka and Xie, 2013, 2016).
 - For most aspects of variability investigated in this study, the spatial patterns of anomalies simulated in the pacemaker ensemble are highly correlated with the observed counterparts; in terms of amplitudes, regional differences may be noticed, such as an under-estimation of sub-tropical SST variability, a too strong polar amplification of lowertroposphere temperature trends, and a larger-than-observed response of Atlantic SST to NAO variability.
 - As in earlier versions of SPEEDY, the fidelity of the simulations (both in terms of climatological means and variability) is higher near the surface and in the lower troposphere, while the negative impacts of the coarse vertical resolution and simplified parametrizations are mostly felt in the stratosphere.
- The coupled simulations described here have been run with prescribed, time-evolving sea-ice mass. Work is now in progress to finalise an interactive version of the sea-ice component of the model, where surface concentration and thickness are also evolved prognostically. At the same time, better prescriptions for the mixed-layer depth and the turbulent thermal conductivity between ocean layers are going to be tested: results shown here suggest that mixed-layer anomalies are currently damped too strongly in regions with strong thermal stratification and too weakly in parts of the sub-polar oceans.

In terms of scientific investigations to be performed with the SPEEDY-TOM3 model, the decadal modulation of extra-790 tropical variability by tropical SST is clearly a highly suitable candidate. For example, coming back to the question raised in Yang et al. 2020, to what extent is the decadal variability of hemispheric-scale modes such as the COWL and the Arctic

775

770

Oscillation constrained by tropical heating anomalies? Observational results suggest an influence from heat sources in the Indian Ocean and West Pacific (see Molteni et al. 2015, Jeong et al. 2022), but it is difficult to confirm such hypothesis from coupled model experiments because of a generally deficient simulation of the Indian Ocean teleconnections (Molteni et al.

795 2020). Although it would be too optimistic to expect SPEEDY-TOM3 to outperform many state-of-the-art models in this respect, the possibility of performing a plurality of large-ensemble experiments at a modest computational cost may overcome part of the difficulties related to the low signal-to-noise ratio associated with specific tropical teleconnections.

Appendix: Specification of parameters in TOM3

In this appendix, we provide further information on how some parameters controlling heat exchanges and sea-ice properties 800 in TOM3 are prescribed or computed.

Turbulent thermal conductivity between ocean layers

In Eqs. 5a and 5b, the coefficients k_1 and k_2 represent the effective thermal conductivity between the ocean layers due to turbulent and convective eddies. They are set by requiring that the heat fluxes between two layers reduce the temperature difference between the layers with a prescribed e-folding time. Considering the temperature tendency in the upper of the two layers, we set:

$$(c_w M_I)^{-1} F_I^w = (T_I^w - T_2^w) / \tau_I$$
(A1a)

$$(c_w M_2)^{-1} F_2^w = (T_2^w - T_3^w) / \tau_2$$
(A1b)

and from the definition of F_1^w and F_2^w in Eqs. 5a and 5b (assuming an average mixed-layer depth) we derive values of k_1 and k_2 , which are inversely proportional to τ_2 and τ_2 .

For the coupled ensemble described in Sect. 4, τ_1 and τ_2 are set to 10 and 120 days respectively where the water temperature decreases with depth; values 5 times smaller are used where temperature increases with depth, to account for convective motions.

Parabolic profile for sea-ice temperature

805

If z is the depth measured from the ice surface, and θ_i is the ice thickness, the temperature profile within the ice is given by:

815
$$T^i(h) = a h^2 + b h + T_0$$
 (A2)

where $h = (1 - z/\theta_i)$ is an upward-oriented, non-dimensional coordinate, which is 0 at the ice lower boundary and 1 at the upper boundary. Given the mean temperature of the ice T_m^i , which is a prognostic variable of TOM3, first Eq. 3 is used to compute the upper-boundary temperature T_u^i . In Eq. 3, the parameter γ_i varies linearly as a function of the net heat flux F_{net}^i into the ice surface, with minimum and maximum values set as:

820
$$\gamma_i = 2 \text{ for } F^i_{net} > 0, \ \gamma_i = 2.5 \text{ for } F^i_{net} < 2 F^*_{net}$$
 (A3)

where F_{net}^* is the annual-mean value of F_{net}^i computed from re-analysis data over regions of total sea-ice cover. Here we assume $F_{net}^* = -12 \text{ W/m}^2$.

The coefficients *a* and *b* are determined by imposing that $T^i = T_u^i$ at h = 1, and that the average of T^i between 0 and 1 is equal to T_m^i . These conditions are satisfied by setting:

825
$$a = 3 (T_u^i - 2T_m^i + T_0)$$
 (A4a)
 $b = 2 (3T_m^i - T_u^i - 2T_0)$ (A4b)

The derivative of T^i at the ice bottom, which is used to compute the heat flux at the ice-water boundary according to Eq. 5c, is given by:

$$\partial T^i / \partial z = -\theta_i^{-1} \partial T^i / \partial h = -b / \theta_i \quad at h = 0 \tag{A5}$$

For given values of T_m^i and θ_i , increasing the values of γ_i in Eq. 3 decreases the amplitude of the derivative at the ice bottom and increases it at the ice surface. The limit values given in Eq. A3 ensure that *b* is always negative, and therefore the heat flux at the ice bottom is always upward (i.e., warming the ice).

Partition of sea-ice heat content tendency into temperature and mass changes

As discussed in Sect. 2.2.3, TOM3 assumes that the time derivative of sea-ice heat content, computed from the net heat flux into the ice, is converted partly into a temperature change and partly into an ice mass change (see Eqs. 7b and 8). We assume that the proportion of heat converted into a mass change decreases with increasing ice mass, because with increasing thickness (which in TOM3 is a monotonic function of ice mass, see below) the ice at the lower boundary becomes gradually more insulated from the changes occurring at the ice surface. Therefore, the fraction of heat α_i converted into a temperature tendency (Eq. 7b) must increase with ice mass; specifically, we assume:

840
$$\alpha_i = 0.5 [1 + min(1, 2f_i^2)]$$
 (A6)

For small ice fractions the change of heat content is partitioned equally between the temperature and mass contributions, while for $f_i = (0.5)^{1/2}$ the change of heat content is entirely converted into a temperature change, and therefore ice mass cannot grow beyond that value.

Relationship between sea-ice concentration and thickness

Sea-ice mass can be either prescribed or evolved prognostically in TOM3. In the first mode, time-evolving fields of sea-ice surface concentrations are available from different observational sources (here, we use ERA5 data), but ice thickness data are available only for limited periods. In the second mode, the model predicts the fraction of ice mass f_i, and a diagnostic relationship between surface concentration and thickness must be specified to compute these two variables from the ice mass.

850 In order to ensure a consistency between the two operating modes, in both cases we assume that, for concentration values $s_i < 1$, the ice thickness θ_i varies linearly between a prescribed minimum value θ_1 and a maximum value θ_2 :

$$\theta_i = \theta_1 + s_i \left(\theta_2 - \theta_1 \right) \tag{A7a}$$

The equivalent equation for the water-equivalent depth (as a fraction of the layer-1 depth) is:

$$d_i = d_1 + s_i \left(d_2 - d_1 \right) \tag{A7b}$$

where values of θ_i and d_i are related as in Eq. 2b. For the experiments described in this paper, $\theta_1 = 0.6$ m and $\theta_2 = 1.5$ m. In the interactive mode 2, Eqs. 2a and A7b are combined into a quadratic equation relating f_i and s_i for $f_i < d_2$:

$$f_i = s_i \left[d_1 + s_i \left(d_2 - d_1 \right) \right]$$
(A8)

Once s_i is computed from Eq. A8, d_i is set to f_i/s_i . If $f_i \ge d_2$, we set $s_i = 1$ and $d_i = f_i$.

In mode 1 (used in our pacemaker ensemble), the ice thickness is prescribed from Eq. A7a if $s_i < 1$. If $s_i = 1$, a provisional thickness value θ_i ' is estimated from the climatological surface ice temperature T_u^i (from ERA5), assuming that the vertical temperature gradient is equal to an annual-mean gradient β_i computed from re-analysis data in regions of full ice-cover:

$$\theta_i' = (T_0 - T_u^i) / \beta_i \tag{A9}$$

where we set the temperature at the ice bottom to be equal to the freezing temperature T_0 of sea water. If $\theta_i' \leq d_2$, we set $\theta_i = d_2$; otherwise:

865
$$\theta_i = \theta_2 + w_i^* (\theta_i^* - \theta_2)$$
 (A10)

where the weight w_i^* is a function of the climatological concentration averaged over the six winter/spring months of each hemisphere (December to May for the NH). In this way, when ice cover is 100% at a given time, the prescribed thickness is determined by the climatological surface-to-bottom temperature difference in regions where full ice cover persists throughout the cold season.

The climatological mean thickness obtained from Eqs. A7a, A9 and A10 for the periods February-March and August-September 1981-2010 is shown in supplementary Fig. S7 for the northern polar regions. Although these estimates may differ substantially from observed thickness data, they should be considered as an improvement with respect to the assumption of a fixed thickness, and provide a consistent approach (at least for $s_i < 1$) between the two operating modes of the TOM3 ice model.

Code and data availability. The model code used for this paper is available upon request from the corresponding author. The model output for the prescribed SST and pacemaker ensembles are available on Zenodo respectively as: Ens. 653 (prescribed SST): Molteni et al. 2023a, <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7947858</u>

Ens. 104 (pacemaker): Molteni et al. 2023b, <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7947932</u>
Observational datasets used in the verifications are available from the following web sites:
ERA5 re-analysis: <u>https://climate.copernicus.eu/climate-reanalysis</u>
GPCP precipitation: <u>https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.gpcp.html</u>
GISTEMPv4 surface air temperature: <u>https://data.giss.nasa.gov/gistemp/</u>

885

Authors contributions. The development of the SPEEDY model is the results of a long-standing collaboration among the authors; the TOM3 model was designed by FM and developed in consultation with the other authors. The ensemble experiments were run by FM, and all authors contributed to the assessment of the results.

890 *Competing interests.* The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Adler, R.F., Huffman, G.J., Chang, A., Ferraro, R., Xie, P., Janowiak, J., Rudolf, B., Schneider, U., Curtis, S., Bolvin, D.,
 Gruber, A., Susskind, J., Arkin, P. and Nelkin, E.: The Version 2 Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP)
 Monthly Precipitation Analysis (1979-Present). J. Hydrometeor., 4, 1147-1167, 2003.
- 895 Ayarzagüena B., Ineson S., Dunstone N.J., Baldwin M.P., and Scaife A.A.: Intraseasonal effects of El Niño-Southern Oscillation on North Atlantic climate. J. Climate, 31, 8861–8873, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0097.1</u>, 2018.
 - Bracco A., Kucharski F., Kallummal R., and Molteni F.: Internal variability, external forcing and climate trends in multidecadal AGCM ensembles. Climate Dyn., 23, 659-678, 2004.

Bracco A., Kucharski F., Molteni F., Hazeleger W., and Severijns C.: Internal and forced modes of variability in the Indian

- 900 Ocean. Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L12707, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023154</u>, 2005.
 - Charlton-Perez A.J., Ferranti L., and Lee R.W.: The influence of the stratospheric state on North Atlantic weather regimes. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 144, 1140-1151, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3280</u>, 2018
 - Clement A., Bellomo K., Murphy L.N., Cane M.A., Mauritsen T., Rädel G., and Stevens B.: The Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation without a role for ocean circulation. Science, 350, 320-324, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab3980, 2015.
- 905 Compo G.P. and Sardeshmukh P.D.: Oceanic influences on recent continental warming. Climate Dyn. 32, 333-342, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-008-0448-9, 2009.
 - Farneti, R., Molteni F., and Kucharski F.: Pacific interdecadal variability driven by tropical–extratropical interactions. Climate Dyn., 42, 3337–3355, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-013-1906-6</u>, 2014.

Gore, M., Abiodun B. J., and Kucharski F.: Understanding the influence of ENSO patterns on drought over southern Africa using SPEEDY. Climate Dvn. 54, 307-327; https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-05002-w, 2019.

- Haarsma R.J., and Co-Authors: High resolution model intercomparison project (HighResMIP v1.0) for CMIP6. Geosci Model Dev, 9, 4185–4208. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-4185-2016, 2016.
 - Hersbach H., and Co-Authors: The ERA5 global reanalysis. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 146: 1999–2049. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
- 915 Jeong, Y-C., Yeh S-W., Lim Y-K., Santoso A., and Wang G.: Indian Ocean warming as key driver of long-term positive trend of Arctic Oscillation. NPJ Clim. Atmos. Sci. 5, 56, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-022-00279-x</u>, 2022.
 - Kay, J. E., and Co-Authors: The Community Earth System Model (CESM) Large Ensemble Project: A Community Resource for Studying Climate Change in the Presence of Internal Climate Variability, Bull. Amer. Met. Soc., 96, 1333-1349, https://doi.org/ 10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00255.1, 2015
- 920 Khatri H., Williams R.G., Woollings T., and Smith D.M.: Fast and slow subpolar ocean responses to the North Atlantic Oscillation: Thermal and dynamical changes. Geoph. Res. Lett., 49, e2022GL101480. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101480</u>, 2022.
 - Kidston, J., Scaife A., Hardiman S., Mitchell D.M., Butchart N., Baldwin M.P., and Gray L.J.: Stratospheric influence on tropospheric jet streams, storm tracks and surface weather. Nature Geosci. 8, 433–440. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2424</u>, 2015.

925

- King M.P., Li C., and Sobolowski S.: Resampling of ENSO teleconnections: accounting for cold-season evolution reduces uncertainty in the North Atlantic. Weather Clim. Dyn., 2, 759–776. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/wcd-2-759-2021</u>, 2021.
- Kosaka Y. and Xie S.-P.: Recent global-warming hiatus tied to equatorial Pacific surface cooling. Nature 501, 403-407, 2013.
- 930 Kosaka Y. and Xie S.-P.: The tropical Pacific as a key pacemaker of the variable rates of global warming. Nature Geoscience, 9, 669-673. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO2770</u>, 2016
 - Kucharski F., Molteni F., and Bracco A.: Decadal interactions between the western tropical Pacific and the North Atlantic Oscillation. Climate Dyn., 26, 79-91, 2006.
 - Kucharski F., Molteni F., King M.P., Farneti R., Kang I-S., and Feudale L.: On the need of intermediate complexity General
- 935 Circulation Models: a "SPEEDY" example. Bull. Amer. Met. Soc., 94, 25-30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00238.1</u>, 2013.
 - Kucharski F, Flkram F., Molteni F., Farneti R., Kang I-S., No H.H., King M.P., Giuliani G., and Mogensen K.: Atlantic forcing of Pacific decadal variability. Climate Dyn. 46, 2337-2351; <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2705-z</u>, 2016.
- Lenssen, N., Schmidt G., Hansen J., Menne M., Persin A., Ruedy R., and Zyss D.: Improvements in the GISTEMP 940 uncertainty model. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 124, 6307-6326, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029522, 2019.
 - Li Y., Kalnay E., Motesharrei S., Rivas J., Kucharski F., Kirk-Davidoff D., Bach E., and Zeng N.: Climate model shows large-scale wind and solar farms in the Sahara increase rain and vegetation. Science, 361, 1019-1022, 2018.

Locarnini, R.A., Mishonov A.V., Antonov J.I., Boyer T.P., Garcia H.E., Baranova O.K., Zweng M.M, and Johnson D.R.: World Ocean Atlas 2009, Volume 1: Temperature. S. Levitus, Ed. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 68, U.S. Government Printing

945 Office, Washington, D.C., 184 pp, 2010

- Maher, N., and Co-Authors: The Max Planck Institute Grand Ensemble: Enabling the Exploration of Climate System Variability. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 1-21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001639</u>, 2019.
- Malardel S., Wedi N., Deconinck W., Diamantakis M., Kühnlein C., Mozdzynski G., Hamrud M., and Smolarkiewicz P.: A new grid for the IFS. ECMWF Newsletter No. 146, 23-28, 2016.
- 950 Marshall J., and So D.W.K.: Thermal equilibration of planetary waves. J. Atmos. Sci., 47, 963–978, 1990.
 - Mitchell H.L., and Derome J.: Blocking-like solutions of the potential vorticity equation: their stability at equilibrium and growth at resonance. J. Atmos. Sci., 40, 2522–2536, 1983.
 - Molteni F.: Atmospheric simulations using a GCM with simplified physical parametrizations. I: Model climatology and variability in multi-decadal experiments. Climate Dyn., 20, 175-191, 2003.
- 955 Molteni F. and Kucharski F.: A heuristic dynamical model of the North Atlantic Oscillation with a Lorenz-type chaotic attractor. Climate Dyn., 52, 6173-6193. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4509-4</u>, 2019.
 - Molteni F., King M.P., Kucharski F, and Straus D.M.: Planetary-scale variability in the northern winter and the impact of land-sea thermal contrast. Climate Dyn., 37, 151–170, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0906-z</u>, 2011.
- Molteni F., Stockdale T.N., and Vitart F.: Understanding and modelling extra-tropical teleconnections with the Indo-Pacific region during the northern winter. Climate Dyn. 45, 3119-3140, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2528-y, 2015.
 - Molteni F., Farneti R., Kucharski F., and Stockdale T.N.: Modulation of air-sea fluxes by extratropical planetary waves and its impact during the recent surface warming slowdown. Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 1494–1502, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072298, 2017.
 - Molteni F., Roberts C.D., Senan R., Keeley S.P.E., Bellucci A., Corti S., Fuentes Franco R., Haarsma R., Levine X.,
- 965 Putrasahan D., Roberts M.J., and Terray L.: Boreal-winter teleconnections with tropical Indo-Pacific rainfall in HighResMIP historical simulations from the PRIMAVERA project. Climate Dyn., 55, 1843-1873, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05358-4, 2020.
 - Molteni F., Kucharski F., and Farneti R.: Output files from SPEEDY v.42 ensembles described in the paper: "Multi-decadal pacemaker simulations with an intermediate-complexity climate model" by F. Molteni, F. Kucharski and R. Farneti (part
- 970 1 of 2) (v.42) [Data set]. Zenodo. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7947858</u>, 2023a.
 - Molteni F., Kucharski F., and Farneti R.: Output files from SPEEDY v.42 ensembles described in the paper: "Multi-decadal pacemaker simulations with an intermediate-complexity climate model" by F. Molteni, F. Kucharski and R. Farneti (part 2 of 2) (v.42) [Data set]. Zenodo. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7947932</u>, 2023b.

- 975 Philipona, R., Mears C., Fujiwara M., Jeannet P., Thorne P., Bodeker G., Haimberger L., Hervo M., Popp C., Romanens G., Steinbrecht W., Stübi R., and Van Malderen R.: Radiosondes show that after decades of cooling, the lower stratosphere is now warming. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 12509–12522, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028901</u>, 2018.
 - Pithan, F., Shepherd T.G., Zappa G., and Sandu I.: Climate model biases in jet streams, blocking and storm tracks resulting from missing orographic drag. Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 7231–7240, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069551</u>, 2016.
- 980 Randel, W. J., Polvani, L., Wu, F., Kinnison, D. E., Zou, C.-Z., & Mears, C.: Troposphere-stratosphere temperature trends derived from satellite data compared with ensemble simulations from WACCM. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 9651– 9667, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027158, 2017.
 - Roberts, C.D., Senan R., Molteni F., Boussetta S., Mayer M., and Keeley S.: Climate model configurations of the ECMWF Integrated Forecast System (ECMWF-IFS cycle 43r1) for HighResMIP. Geosci. Model Dev., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-3681-2018, 2018.
 - Sluka T.C., Penny S.G., Kalnay E., and Miyoshi T.: Assimilating atmospheric observations into the ocean using strongly coupled ensemble data assimilation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 752–759. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067238</u>, 2016.

- Trenberth K.E., Fasullo J.T., Branstator G., and Phillips A.S.: Seasonal aspects of the recent pause in surface warming, Nat. Clim. Change, 4, 911–916, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2341, 2014.
- 990 Wallace J.M., Zhang Y., and Bajuk L.: Interpretation of interdecadal climate trends in Northern Hemisphere surface air temperature. J Clim., 9, 249–259, 1996.
 - Wild, M., Folini D., Hakuba M.Z., Schär C., Seneviratne S.I., Kato S., Rutan D., Ammann C., Wood E.F., and König-Langlo G.: The energy balance over land and oceans: an assessment based on direct observations and CMIP5 climate models. Clim. Dyn., 44, 3393–3429, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2430-z</u>, 2015.
- 995 Yang J-C., Lin X., Xie S-P., Zhang Y., Kosaka Y., and Li Z.: Synchronized tropical Pacific and extratropical variability during the past three decades. Nat. Clim. Change, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0753-9</u>, 2020.