## Supplement of

## Infrared-based retrieval of dust optical depth and coarse-mode effective size from collocated MODIS and CALIOP observations

Jianyu Zheng et al.

Correspondence to: Zhibo Zhang (zhibo.zhang@umbc.edu)



## 1 The a priori monomodal lognormal volume size distribution

Figure S1: The assumed monomodal coarse-mode volume size distribution with the geometric volume median diameter ranging from 1.0  $\mu$ m to 12.0  $\mu$ m, the fixed standard deviation at 0.7, and the effective diameter ranging from 0.8  $\mu$ m to 9.2  $\mu$ m.

2 The refractive index assignment based on the dust source fractional contribution from DustCOMM



 Dust Complex Refractive Index (Di-Biagio Database) assigned regimes in winter referenced by Kok et al.,(2021)

 stern North Africa
 Southern Sahara and Sahel

Figure S2: The assignment of the source region-resolved dust refractive indices from Di Biagio et al. (2017) is based on which of the nine main source regions provided a fractional contribution to SW DAOD that exceeds 0.1, which is shown here for winter based on the DustCOMM-2021 dataset.



Dust Complex Refractive Index (Di-Biagio Database) assigned regimes in spring referenced by Kok et al.,(2021)

Figure S3: Same as Figure S2 but for spring based on the DustCOMM-2021 dataset.



Dust Complex Refractive Index (Di-Biagio Database) assigned regimes in autumn referenced by Kok et al.,(2021) Western North Africa Southern Sahara and

Figure S4: Same as Figure S2 but for autumn based on the DustCOMM-2021 dataset.

## 3 The sensitivity of TIR radiative signature to the $\sigma$ of dust particle size distributions and dust refractive indices



Dust effective absorption in LW spectrum regarding to  $\sigma$  of PSD with Algeria RI

Figure S5: (a) The  $\beta$ -ratio to 11  $\mu$ m calculated based on  $D_m = 2 \ \mu$ m (blue), 3  $\mu$ m (red), 5  $\mu$ m (green) and 10  $\mu$ m (pink) with three different  $\sigma$  (i.e.,  $\sigma = 0.5$  (real curves), 0.6 (dash curves), 0.7 (dot-dash curves)) of dust PSD and the Algeria dust RI from Di-Biagio Database within the TIR spectrum between 7.5  $\mu$ m and 13.5  $\mu$ m. (b) The zoom-in area of the black rectangle in (a).



Figure S6: The atmospheric profile and dust vertical distribution used for building the LUT in Figure 4 and LUTs in Figures S7 and S8.



Figure S7: The example of the LUT of BTD<sub>8-12</sub> (y-axis), BTD<sub>11-12</sub> (x-axis) and BT<sub>11</sub> (colour-filled contours) corresponding to DAOD at 10  $\mu$ m ranging from 0.0 to 1.0 (dashed lines) and D<sub>eff</sub> ranging from 0.8  $\mu$ m to 9.0  $\mu$ m (solid lines) and eighteen dust RIs except the Algeria RI (Figure 2a) from Di-Biagio Database. At DAOD = 0.0, the BTD<sub>8-12</sub> and BTD<sub>11-12</sub> correspond to the cloud-free clean scenario. The red dots represent an identical assumed observation point projected on the nine LUTs, leading to different retrieval solutions.







Figure S9: The five-year global seasonal distribution in a 5° longitude by 2° latitude resolution of the cloud-free aerosol samples ( $N_{aerosol}$ ; left column), the cloud-free aerosol samples ( $N_{dust}$ ; middle-left column), the successfully retrieved samples ( $N_{retrieval}$ ; middle-right column) and the retrieval success rate ( $N_{retrieval} / N_{dust}$ ; right column). From the top row to the bottom presents seasons from winter to fall.