

# Agricultural use of compost under different irrigation strategies in a hedgerow olive grove under Mediterranean conditions. A comparison with traditional systems

Laura L. de Sosa<sup>1</sup>, María Jose Martín-Palomo<sup>2,3</sup>, Pedro Castro-Valdecantos<sup>2,3</sup>, Engracia Madejón<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS-CSIC) Av. Reina Mercedes 10 41012 Sevilla

<sup>2</sup> Dpto. Ciencias Agroforestales, ETSIA, Universidad de Sevilla, Crta de Utrera Km 1, E-41013 Sevilla, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Unidad Asociada al CSIC de Uso Sostenible del Suelo y el Agua en la Agricultura (US-IRNAS), Crta de Utrera Km 1, E-41013, Sevilla, Spain

Correspondence to: Laura L. de Sosa ([lauralozano@irnsa.csic.es](mailto:lauralozano@irnsa.csic.es))

**Abstract.** Soil and water efficient management are key factors to ensuring olive sector's sustainable production practices. The use of compost based on olive waste (alperujo) as fertilizer could enhance ecosystem services while the need to transition to a zero waste approach based on circular economy is achieved. The present work includes a comparative study of the effect of alperujo compost (AC) vs inorganic fertilization under different management systems: an traditional adult olive grove under rainfed conditions and a young hedgerow olive system, in which a factorial test of tree irrigation regimes (full, deficit and no irrigation) is implemented as well. At the hedgerow plots, the addition of AC and soil sampling time greatly impacted soil chemical parameters and to a lesser extent, enzymatic activities whereas irrigation regimes did not exert a marked influence. In the traditional rainfed system, the addition of AC proved to be an efficient tool for carbon sequestration. The first soil sampling revealed a clear stoichiometric relationship between soil organic matter (SOM) and the NPK contents at both systems whereas the correlations were weak and scarce in the second sampling at the hedgerow plots. This fact was related to the decay of the compost effect. Compost in combination with irrigation tended to trigger a certain priming effect on the native SOM with time since the carbon stocks were reduced between 6-38% from one sampling to the other in the hedgerow system depending on the irrigation intensity. However, the deficit irrigation caused a less intense reduction of the SOM and essential nutrients representing the best alternative to maximize the agronomics effects of the compost under a water-saving strategy. Recurrent application of compost would be necessary to maintain soil quality, especially with high tree densities. The combined management of AC and the deficit irrigation proved to be an efficient tool toward a zero waste circular economy and a water conservation strategy.

## 1 Introduction

Olive-growing is a pivotal piece in Mediterranean countries as an economic engine and a source of employment due to its production under adverse conditions, adaptability, and natural abundance (Kostelenos and Kiritsakis, 2017). Spain, one of the leading producers, accounts for 2.62 million hectares which represents 20% of the world's olive area (FAO, 2021). Over the last decades, olive cultivation has experienced a fast and large-scale intensification process in areas characterized by medium to poor soil fertility and water scarcity (Kavvadias and Koubouris, 2019). Typically, the traditional olive-growing systems characterized by low-tree density (<250 trees/ha) under a rainfed strategy are moving rapidly to intensive and high-density irrigated systems (>300-1200 trees/ha) guided by profit-maximizing objectives and cost reduction (Camposeo et al., 2022). However, these strong changes in olive grove structures (e.g., higher densities and hedgerow formation) are leading to a great deal of pressure on these ecosystems where the control of irrigation and soil resources has become essential to ensure its sustainability and production (García-Garvía et al., 2022; Morgado et al., 2022). Main environmental impacts of the expansion of higher-density or hedgerow olive groves include biodiversity and local genotype loss, increased consumption of soil and water resources and more intensive agrochemicals use (phytosanitary treatments and fertilizers) hence their transformation should be gradually optimized (Guerrero-Casado et al., 2021). In this sense, there are studies such as Pellegrini

45 et al. (2016) or Camposeo et al. (2022) that show how the different olive agronomic cropping systems could heavily affect the carbon and water footprints.

New sustainable strategies are needed to adapt efficient water use and fertilization according to the phenological tree state and its nutritional needs (Cano-Lamadrid et al., 2015). In fact, there is evidence that suggests that this tree phenology could be altered by a differential development related to the use of organic amendment as fertilizers (Mekki et al., 2019). Consequently, the new European strategies (such as the EU Green deal) foster and fund sustainable management practices based on increasing soil organic carbon inputs and optimizing water resources to maintain long-term productivity and preserve agroecosystem services (Hernández et al., 2015). In this sense, the use of by-products from olive oil production (e.g., solid by-products of the two-phase centrifugation method for olive oil extraction called in Spanish alperujo) is gaining more attention as it constitutes an interesting and sustainable option as a source of nutrients and carbon (Calvano and Tamborrino, 2022; de Sosa et al., 2022). Alperujo which is one of the major wastes from the oil industry is of particular interest due to its high water percentage, high salt concentration, and organic matter (Ghilardi et al., 2022). Its agricultural use allows an effective valorisation of a widely spread agricultural waste into a value-added product with nutritional benefits for the soil, reducing in turn the need for inorganic fertilizers. Studies have shown that amendments based on alperujo can influence soil enzymatic activity that controls the patterns of organic matter decomposition (Panettieri et al., 2022), increase soil fertility through the slow release of nutrients (Albuquerque et al., 2011), control weed proliferation (Camposeo and Vivaldi, 2011; Russo et al., 2015), improve soil water-soluble carbon (Madejón et al., 2016) and modify soil chemical properties (Podgornik et al., 2022) or the oil quality (Proietti et al., 2015). In this sense, irrigation management has been proposed as a central piece to maximize the effect of organic amendments and as a tool to palliate the effect of climate change (Kavvadias and Koubouris, 2019; Mairech et al., 2021; Michalopoulos et al., 2020). However, little attention has been paid to how the agronomic effects of the compost or organic amendments can evolve under different irrigation regimes, essential with high tree densities. Hirich et al., (2014) showed how the combined effect of deficit irrigation (in contrast to full irrigation) and the organic amendment was able to maximized yield and biomass production while Baghbani-Arani et al. (2020) observed better water use efficiency and an increase in sunflower productivity when an organic amendment was applied regardless of the irrigation regime. Kavvadias and Koubouris (2019) also showed that most of soil properties were favoured by irrigation. However, this combined effect of the organic amendment and irrigation has a number of limitations that need to be considered. Thus, research in this field has showed some disagreement on the negative (and positive) effects of the compost due to the variability in experimental conditions (e.g., the dose used, phenological stage of the crop, climatic conditions, or spreading methods) (Regni et al., 2017). Moreover, there are some major drawbacks of irrigation such as poor irrigation water quality, water overuse, exacerbation of soil erosion and leaching of soil nutrients (Khaliq and Kaleem Abbasi, 2015; Morgado et al., 2022; Sousa et al., 2019). Consequently, studies that clarify the relationships between nutrition and water application are of great interest to maximize resources under a climate change scenario.


The present work was aimed at (i) evaluating the effect of alperujo compost (AC) on soil physical-chemical properties and enzyme activities under two different management systems (hedgerow/traditional olive groves) after repeated AC applications (ii) verifying the feasibility and sustainability of the AC as fertilizers under high tree densities and different irrigation regimes. We hypothesize that the supply of stabilized organic matter could alleviate the negative effects of water stress while improving water efficiency and soil fertility. To that end, we evaluated changes in some key soil physical, chemical and biochemical parameters as indicators of soil quality.

## 2 Methods

### 2.1 Experimental area and experimental design

A study was carried out at the agriculture experimental farm “La Hampa” of the “Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS-CSIC)” (37°17'01.8"N 6°03'57.4"W). The soil was a calcic Cambisol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015) characterized by a sandy clay loam texture, low fertility, and low organic matter content (pH: 7.5; TOC: 8 g kg<sup>-1</sup>; N: 0.8 g kg<sup>-1</sup>; Olsen P: 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Available-K: 200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The climate is typically Mediterranean, with 3–5 months of summer droughts and moderately wet cool winters. A summary of the meteorological data of the experimental area can be found in Figure S1.

The experiment was conducted between December 2020 and June 2022 in two olive grove areas with different managing strategies. The first experimental site comprises an area of 0.7 ha of a young olive grove of cv. Manzanilla, planted in a pattern of 4 m × 1.5 m as a hedgerow system established in 2018. The area was divided into 18 plots (ca. 410 m<sup>2</sup> consisting of 5 lines of trees, 1.666 trees ha<sup>-1</sup>) and had a completely randomized design with irrigation and fertilization as the main experimental factors as explained in Figure 1. The second study site was set in an area of 1.2 ha of an adult rainfed olive grove of the cv Manzanilla, planted in a pattern of 7 m × 5 m with traditional management established in 1997. The study site was divided into 20 plots (ca. 400 m<sup>2</sup>, 285 trees ha<sup>-1</sup>) of which 8 were selected to carry out the present experiment (Fig. 1).



**Figure 1** Experimental plots in two olive grove areas with different management strategies at the experimental farm ‘La Hampa’ located in Coria del Río, Seville (Spain).

### 2.1.1 Fertilization

Fertilization treatments included control plots with mineral fertilization and plots treated with AC (60% “alperujo” and 40% pruning wastes and legumes). A detailed description of the main parameters of the AC can be found in Table 1.

#### Application of AC

In December 2020, AC, the compost based on solid waste from two-phase olive oil extraction (alperujo), was applied at a rate of 17 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with a fertilizer spreader in the lanes in between the tree rows in the traditional plots. The product was supplied by an olive oil cooperative after a composting process for more than 12 months. The same product with the same dose was applied in the hedgerow plots in July 2021 to test the short-lasting effect of the organic amendment under high densities. Additionally, trees of all treatments were supplemented with three foliar applications of KNO<sub>3</sub> at a rate of 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> each time and one application of B (2 l ha<sup>-1</sup>) before fruit set.

In March 2022, AC was applied at the same rate of 17 t ha<sup>-1</sup> as described before in both sites (hedgerow/traditional).

**Table 1** Characterization of the alperujo compost (AC) used in this study. Data are mean values ( $n = 3$ ) ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

	Parameter	Average Value	Parameter	Average Value
120	Humidity (%)	21.6 ± 0.33	SO <sub>3</sub> (%)	2.14 ± 0.06
	pH	9.19 ± 0.01	Fe (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.42 ± 0.94
	EC (mS cm <sup>-1</sup> )	5.88 ± 0.24	Mn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	332 ± 34.9
	OM (%)	58.4 ± 1.34	Cu (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	69.5 ± 7.83
	N (%)	2.50 ± 0.26	Zn (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	301 ± 21.3
125	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N	56.8 ± 2.69	B (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	52.9 ± 0.92
	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	26.1 ± 0.78	As (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	<0.10 ± 0.000
	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (%)	2.52 ± 0.21	Cd (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.09 ± 0.03
	K <sub>2</sub> O (%)	3.38 ± 0.07	Co (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.70 ± 0.11
	CaO (%)	9.40 ± 1.04	Cr (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	38.6 ± 2.65
130	Mg O (%)	2.34 ± 0.01	Ni (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	19.0 ± 1.06
	Na (%)	0.93 ± 0.003	Pb (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.47 ± 0.64

#### Mineral fertilization:

• In March 2022, Nitrofoska perfect (15-5-20) was supplied at a rate of 1.7 kg/árbol at the traditional site and 63 g/árbol at the hedgerow site to meet plant needs.

135 • The hedgerow site was completed with fertirrigation at a variable rate (Table 2) for the treatments of full and deficit irrigation along 2022 season. nitrofoska

As in 2021, trees of all treatments were supplemented with three foliar applications of KNO<sub>3</sub> at a rate of 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> each time and one application of B (2 l ha<sup>-1</sup>) before fruit set.

Phytosanitary treatments consisted of the application of Cu as a fungicide and two applications of dimethoate as an insecticide.

140 **Table 2** Nutrient concentrations (kg/ha) calculated depending on the crop demands. The irrigation dose was adapted to deliver the same nutrient concentration adjusting the amount of water for the full and deficit treatments.

Kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct
N	11	24	24	24	11	11	5
P	2.5	5	5	5	5	5	2.5
K	5	13	13	27	27	27	13

#### **2.1.2 Irrigation regimens**

145 Three irrigation treatments were selected for the hedgerow plots: (1) full irrigation, (2) deficit irrigation and (3) no irrigation. Irrigation was scheduled weekly in each plot according to the trees water status. The trees water status was characterised with stem water potential ( $\Psi$ ) and leaf conductance following the same procedure as Corell et al., 2020. The water potential was measured at midday in one leaf per tree, using the pressure chamber technique (Scholander et al., 1965). The leaves near the main trunk were covered in aluminium foil at least two hours before measurements were taken every 7-10 days. Leaf

150 conductance was measured at midday in the same trees that water potential with a dynamic diffusion porometer (DC-1, Decagon, UK). Data of the stem water potential and the leaf conductance of the area of study can be found in detailed in Sánchez-Piñero et al. (2023).

Irrigation was carried out during the night by drip, using one lateral pipe per row of trees and three emitters per plant, delivering

155 2 L h<sup>-1</sup> each. All the measurements were made on the central tree of each plot.

(1) **Full irrigation (F)**: when the irrigation schedule is programmed to supply the 100% of the crop evapotranspiration (ET<sub>c</sub>). The ET<sub>c</sub> was estimated by means of a soil water balance approach following the FAO methodology (Doorenbos and Pruitt, 1977) in which ET<sub>c</sub> is calculated as a product of three terms:  $ET_c = ET_o \times K_c \times K_r$  where ET<sub>o</sub> is the reference evapotranspiration obtained from the nearest agro weather station, K<sub>c</sub> is a crop coefficient set in 0.6 for our case and K<sub>r</sub> is the reduction coefficient based on the soil surface covered by the plantation crown set in 1 for our particular case (Steduto et al., 2012). This water dose was increased to 125% ET<sub>c</sub> if the water potential measurements were more negative than those estimated by the baseline established in Corell et al. (2016). Same irrigation treatment combined with the compost addition is stated as FC.

(2) **Deficit irrigation (D)**: when conditions of low or moderate stress are maintained during several phenological stages. The water dose was 1mm/day along the irrigation season. This applied water was changed accordingly to the water status and phenological stages of the trees. During all the seasons, except the pit hardening period, from mid-June to the end of August, water potential was compared with Corell et al. (2016)'s baseline. Applied water was increased (in 1, 2, 3 mm) when measured values were more negative than expected (10%, 20% 30% more negative). During the pit hardening, the threshold value decreased until -2 MPa according to Girón et al. (2015). Same irrigation treatment combined with the compost addition is stated as DC.

(3) **No irrigation (NI)**: no irrigation was applied from even under conditions of severe water stress. Same irrigation treatment combined with the compost addition is stated as NIC.

A detailed description of the amount of water provided monthly according to the irrigation treatment can be found in Table S1.

A traditional rainfed regime without supplemental irrigation was implemented at the traditional plots.

## 2.2 Soil sampling, chemical analysis and enzyme activities analysis

Three soil cores (0-10 cm) per plot were taken from the tree rows at approximately 15 cm from the tree trunk and drippers and were merged together to obtain a composite sample per plot in November 2021 (after the first compost application) and April 2022 (after the second compost application) in both experimental sites. After sieving at 2 mm, soil samples were split into two subsamples: the first one was stored at 4 °C prior to enzymatic analysis in laboratory; the second one was air for chemical analysis.

Sample dry weights were used to calculate soil water content (SWC) by the gravimetric method. Soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured in the water extract (1:5, m/v) after shaking for 1 h using a pH meter (CRISON micro pH 2002), respectively. Soil organic matter (SOM) was calculated by dichromate oxidation and titration with ferrous ammonium sulphate (Walkley and Black, 1934). Water-soluble carbon (WSC) content was determined using a TOC-VE Shimadzu analyzer after extraction with water using a sample-to-extractant ratio of 1:10. Total Kjeldahl-N (TN) was determined by the method described by Hesse (1971). Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N) was extracted in water (1:5 w/v) and quantified in the aqueous extracts by a continuous flow auto-analyser Luebbe GmbH AA3 dual channel (Norderstedt, Germany). Ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N) was extracted in KCl 2 M (1:5 w/v) and determined using the same flow auto-analyzer. Available-P was determined after extraction with sodium bicarbonate at pH 8.5 (Olsen et al., 1954), while available-K was determined after extraction with ammonium acetate at pH 7.5 (Freitas, 1970). Dehydrogenase activity (DHA) was determined according to Trevors (1984) after soil incubation with p-iodo nitrotetrazolium chloride (INT) and measurement of the p-iodo-nitrotetrazidin formazan (INTF) absorbance at 490 nm. Glucosidase activity (β-Glu) was measured as indicated by Eivazi and Tabatabai (1988) after soil incubation with p-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucopyranoside and measurement of the p-nitrophenol absorbance at 400 nm. Urease activity was determined according the method proposed by Kandeler and Gerber (1988) and modified by Kandeler et al. 1999. A chronogram of the compost addition, soil sampling and irrigation months performed during the experiment is displayed in Figure S1.

200 **2.3 Statistical analysis**

A multi-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test was performed for the hedgerow plots to test the effect of compost addition, irrigation and sampling time on soil physico-chemical parameters and enzymatic activities and a two-way ANOVA with compost addition and sampling time as main factors was performed in the traditional plots. For all statistical tests,  $p < 0.05$  was selected as the significance cut-off value. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS v25 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Heatmaps of pearson’s correlation coefficients was performed with Origin Pro v2022 software.

**3. Results**

**3.1 Main drivers controlling soil physical and chemical parameters in a hedgerow grove with irrigation**

210 Compost addition and sampling time exerted the greatest influenced on soil chemical parameters and to a lesser extent irrigation (Table 3 and S2). The first compost addition increased SWC in 33% for the DC and 6% for the FC treatment compared to their respective control, whereas no changes were detected for the NIC treatment and its control. The second compost addition improved SWC in 13% and 26% for NIC and DC compared to their controls respectively.

**Table 3** Results of ANOVA (F and  $p$ -value) showing the main significant factors (i.e. compost addition, irrigation, sampling time or interactions) controlling changes in soil physical and chemical properties at the hedgerow plots. SWC: soil water content, EC: electrical conductivity, SOM: soil organic matter, WSC: water-soluble carbon, Avail-K: available K.

ANOVA results	SWC		pH		EC		SOM		WSC		TN		NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N		NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N		Olsen-P		Avail-K	
	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>										
Compost addition	7.58	*	ns		ns		22.2	***	53.0	***	12.6	**	ns		ns		18.7	***	28.2	***
Irrigation	15.6	***	ns		8.28	*	ns		7.17	**	ns		ns		ns		ns		ns	
Sampling time	17.1	***	49.0	***	25.2	***	14.9	***	58.6	***	ns		13.0	***	54.2	***	ns		ns	
Compost*irrigation* sampling time	ns		ns		ns		ns		3.77	*	ns		ns		ns		ns		ns	
Compost*sampling time	ns		ns		ns		6.10	*	7.17	*	ns		ns		5.33	*	ns		ns	
Irrigation*sampling time	ns		5.70	**	ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns	
Compost*irrigation	ns		ns		3.92	*	ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns	

Significance level: \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$

220 Values of pH were highly sensitive to seasonal changes and to a lesser degree, to the irrigation management but not a clear trend regarding the latter was observed during the second sampling time.

Values of EC increased with the addition of compost and under a higher irrigation dose (DC, F and FC) (Table S2). This effect tended to disappear over time (Table 3 and S2).

225 Compost addition increased SOM on average a 28% regardless the irrigation treatment at the first sampling time whereas an overall increment of 12% after the compost addition and irrespective of the irrigation dose was detected during the second sampling (Table 3 and S2). The amount of WSC was influenced by the combination of the three factors (i.e. compost, sampling time and irrigation) showing an increase of 34% for the NIC and DC treatments and 54% for the FC during the first sampling while the percent improvement over their control was 34%, 58% and 18% for NIC, DC, FC respectively for the second sampling. Compost had a significant positive effect in TN, P and K concentration ( $p < 0.01$ ). Thus, TN increased on average by 23%, P in 46% and K in 41% with the addition of compost and irrespectively of sampling time, and irrigation regime.

230 No significant changes were detected with respect to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N contents but some trends were identified. During the first sampling, the compost addition increased by 26% and 54% NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N content in NIC and DC treatments whereas the

FC treatment caused a decreased of 74% in  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N content in comparison with its control. The content of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N increased on average with AC addition irrespective of the irrigation regime. Both inorganic forms were greatly reduced in the second sampling for all treatments.

235

### 3.2 Main drivers controlling soil physical and chemical parameters with an traditional management with no irrigation

As the hedgerow plots, the addition of compost significantly impacted some of the main soil chemical parameters but to a lesser extent. The SWC differed by 20% between the plots with AC addition and their control irrespective of the sampling time although this difference was not significant.

240 Values of soil pH increased by 0,6-0,8 units on average with the addition of AC and the same trend was also observed for the EC that increased by 28% after compost addition regardless of the sampling time (Table 4 and S3). The percentage of SOM only differed by 1% between the control plots and the plots treated at the first sampling time (11 months after compost addition). However, after the second compost addition, SOM increased by 23% in the AC amended plots compared to the control. The content of TN improved by 8% with the addition of compost whereas this amount rose up to 20% in the second sampling. Different accumulation patterns of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N were seen during the two samplings. Thus, the addition of compost caused an increase of 16% in the organic amended plots whereas the control plots showed 2.5 times greater  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N concentrations than those with compost (Table 4 and S3).

**Table 4** Results of ANOVA (F and *p*-value) showing the main significant factors (i.e. compost addition, sampling time or interaction) controlling changes in soil physical and chemical properties at the traditional plots. SWC: soil water content, EC: electrical conductivity, SOM: soil organic matter, WSC: water-soluble carbon, Avail-K: available K.


250

ANOVA results	SWC		pH		EC		SOM		WSC		Kjeldahl-N		$\text{NO}_3^-$ -N		$\text{NH}_4^+$ -N		Olsen-P		Avail-K		
	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	
Compost addition	ns		12.1*		8.14*		9.01*		ns		ns		ns		5.43*		ns		ns		ns
Sampling time	ns		ns		ns		10.0*		ns		363**		ns		7.21*		5.61*		ns		ns
Compost*sampling time	ns		ns		ns		ns		ns		4.85*		ns		ns		ns		ns		ns

### 3.3 Soil enzyme activity in hedgerow grove with irrigation

255 Strong seasonal patterns were found with respect to the DHA showing a decrease of the activity of 67% on average irrespective of the treatment from the first sampling to the second (Figure 2,  $p < 0.05$ ). Compost addition did not seem to have a great effect on this activity but some trends were detected. During the first sampling, both the NIC and the FC, showed an increase of the DHA of approximately 40% on average, whereas the DC experienced a decrease of 11% compared to its control. However, for the second sampling the NIC treatment did not show any difference with NI treatment while the compost addition enhanced 40% on average the DHA in the DC and the FC treatments. Irrigation impacted significantly the DHA but only the NI and the F treatments were different ( $p < 0.05$ ). On average in both years, DHA increased ca. 42% from the NI regime to the F treatment irrespective of the compost addition.

265



ANOVA results	Dehydrogenase		$\beta$ -glucosidase		Urease	
	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>
Compost addition		ns		ns		ns
Irrigation	4.42	*		ns		ns
Sampling time	96.5	**		ns		ns

(\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.001$ )


**Figure 2** Mean values of Dehydrogenase (A)  $\beta$ -glucosidase (B) and Urease (C) activities measured for control soils and amended with alperujo compost under different irrigation regimes at the hedgerow plots showing the seasonal changes from November (no shaded bars) to April (shaded bars). Error bars represent standard deviations. No interaction between the Anova factors (compost addition, irrigation regime and sampling time) were found.

The  $\beta$ -Glu and the urease activity did not show any significant difference with respect to the parameters considered (i.e. compost, irrigation regime and sampling time) (Figure 2,  $p > 0.05$ ). As opposed to the DHA, there seemed to be an increase of both activities at the second sampling time except for the D and the FC treatment.

### 3.4 Soil enzyme activity under traditional management and no irrigation

In general, the enzyme's activity showed similar patterns as the hedgerow plots, with the DHA displaying a decreasing trend in the second soil sampling (Figure 3,  $p < 0.05$ ) whereas the  $\beta$ -Glu and the urease activity tended to increase irrespective of the compost addition (Figure 3). In the first sampling (eleven months after the compost addition), the compost addition did not cause higher enzymatic activities with respect to the control. However, during the second sampling, an increase of 38%, 26%, and 31% of DHA,  $\beta$ -Glu, and urease respectively was detected with the addition of compost, although this was not significant (Figure 3,  $p > 0.05$ ).

290



295

ANOVA results	Dehydrogenase		$\beta$ -glucosidase		Urease	
	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>	F	<i>p</i>
Compost addition		ns		ns		ns
Sampling time	35.0	**	5.22	*	14.4	*
Compost*Sampling time	8.45	*		ns		ns


(\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.001$ )

**Figure 3** Mean values of Dehydrogenase (A)  $\beta$ -glucosidase (B) and Urease (C) activities measured for control soil and amended with alperujo compost under a rainfed regime at the traditional plots showing the seasonal changes from November (no shaded bars) to April (shaded bars). Error bars represent standard deviations.

305

### 3.5 Soil physico-chemical correlations and seasonal patterns


A different patterns of correlations among the variables measured were found at the hedgerow and traditional plots according to the sampling time (Figures 4 and 5). The first sampling time showed the greatest number of correlations at the hedgerow plots, displaying a clear stoichiometric relationship between SOM and the NPK content ( $p < 0.01$ ). It was also true for the traditional plots although the SOM content was also highly correlated with the  $\beta$ -Glu and the urease activity (Figure 4). Additionally, SWC seemed to exert a great influence on some key chemical parameters (e.g., pH, SOM, inorganic N, TN and enzyme activity) at the traditional plots (with no supplementary irrigation).



**Figure 4** Pearson's correlation coefficients of soil chemical parameters for the first sampling time (November) at the hedgerow and traditional plots. The circle's color in the correlogram corresponds to the correlation coefficient, wherein a positive correlation (red end of the scale) is closer to 1 and a negative correlation (blue end of the scale) is closer to -1. The size of the circles corresponds to the significance level (\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ). Nonsignificant correlations are not shown. SWC: soil water content, EC: electrical conductivity, SOM: soil organic matter,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ : ammonium,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ : nitrate, Deh: dehydrogenase,  $\beta$ -glu:  $\beta$ -glucosidase, TN: total nitrogen, Avail-K: available potassium, WSC: water-soluble carbon.

On the other hand, the second sampling time revealed stronger correlations at the traditional plots. Thus, the SWC, SOM highly correlated with most of the variables and the inorganic N correlated negatively with all enzymatic activities. However, at the hedgerow plots the correlations exhibited among the variables were weak and scarce (Figure 5).

Regarding the seasonal patterns, different rates of soil carbon mineralization between the sampling times were observed and this was dependent on the compost addition and irrigation as well. The percentage of reduction of SOM between the first and the second sampling time was 6% for both NI and NIC treatments and 4%, 25%, 13%, 39% for D, DC, F, and FC treatments respectively.



330 **Figure 5** Pearson's correlation coefficients of soil chemical parameters for the second sampling time (April) at the hedgerow  
 and traditional plots. The circle's color in the correlogram corresponds to the correlation coefficient, wherein a positive  
 correlation (white end of the scale) is closer to 1 and a negative correlation (brown end the of scale) is closer to -1. The size  
 of the circles corresponds to the significance level (\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ). Nonsignificant correlations are not shown. SWC:  
 soil water content, EC: electrical conductivity, SOM: soil organic matter,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ : ammonium,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ : nitrate, Deh:  
 335 dehydrogenase,  $\beta$ -glu:  $\beta$ -glucosidase, TN: total nitrogen, Avail-K: available potassium, WSC: water-soluble carbon.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Compost and irrigation as drivers of soil properties and enzymatic activities

Intensification of olive groves demands new management strategies to avoid over-fertilization and environmental pollution.  
 340 Contrasting results are often presented depending on some factors such as the amount of irrigation, tillage practices, nature of  
 the organic amendment, and timing of fertilization (Kavvadias et al., 2018b, 2018a; Zipori et al., 2020). In this sense, numerous  
 studies point to irrigation among all these agronomic factors as the pivotal piece driving soil carbon dynamics (Fraga et al.,  
 2020; Pascazio et al., 2018; Sofo et al., 2014). In our case, it is somewhat surprising, considering the scarcity of rainfall, that  
 the compost addition had the most striking effect on soil physical-chemical properties, and the irrigation effect was very limited  
 345 to some soil properties. Likewise, Kavvadias et al. (2018b) could not find a significant effect of irrigation management on soil  
 properties with the exception of some soil cations concentration (e.g., Mg). However, in their case, they attributed this lack of  
 effect to the fact that their study was based on an area with high precipitations (>950 mm). Pérez et al. (2001) also concluded  
 that irrigation could take up to one year to have a response on vegetative growth and olive grove yield. With our conditions, a  
 much more decisive effect of the irrigation practices would be expected in soil properties. A plausible explanation could be  
 350 that the seasonal fluctuation of the sampling times masked the irrigation effect, a decision that was made to test the lasting  
 effect of the compost.

On the other hand, Kavvadias et al. (2018a) found in other study a substantial decrease in soil carbon content in irrigated plots  
 after the addition of the organic amendment compared to the non-irrigated sites. Here, on the contrary, at first, the addition of  
 compost remarkably improved SOM contents in the full irrigated plots if compared to the non-irrigated but this effect only  
 355 remained during the first sampling. In the second sampling (just one month after the second compost application) the trend  
 was reversed and we found the lowest SOM content in the full irrigated parcels. Our results suggest that the compost was  
 steadily mineralized at higher moisture contents and once there was a source of carbon available, this was rapidly consumed  
 or leached into deeper soil layers being practically exhausted in the second sampling.

As mentioned in previous studies, a strong relationship between the compost addition and the increase in the availability of N,  
 360 P and K, and SOM content was here observed. Koubouris et al. (2017) reported a marked improvement in SOM (+30%)

following two years of organic amendments and Regni et al. (2017) also reported a positive effect on carbon sequestration after the addition of a mixture of fresh olive pomace deriving from a mixture of a three-phase oil extraction and shredded olive tree pruning residues. Likewise, Fernández-Hernández et al. (2014) detected an improvement of most of the soil characteristics including an increase of SOM content.

365 Although the compost addition had a clear impact on the SOM and TN, this was not translated into a greater enzymatic response. The DH and  $\beta$ -glu enzymes, which are highly related to the oxidation and hydrolysis of SOM, can be considered as proxies of the intensity of microbial metabolism and as an index of soil quality related to the agronomic management (Baležentienė, 2012; Moreno et al., 2009). Numerous studies reported a raise in their activity after the addition of an exogenous source of organic carbon (Federici et al., 2017; Magdich et al., 2020; Panettieri et al., 2022). However, their potential to  
370 mineralize organic compounds is often associated with a complex balance between the autochthonous and allochthonous microbial communities that weigh the metabolic investment of the different decomposition pathways of the exogenous and the native source of carbon and are also limited with nutrient and substrate constraints (Lehmann et al., 2020; Panettieri et al., 2022). This balance can be altered in turn by the climatic conditions, irrigation management as in our case, or tillage operations. It is well known that irrigation can exert a strong influence on microbial growth and composition and biogeochemical cycles  
375 through the control of soil moisture (Bastida et al., 2017; Michalopoulos et al., 2020; Placella et al., 2012) but for us this was mainly reflected in the DHA while the other enzymes were less responsive to this factor.

#### **4.2 Seasonal influence on soil physico-chemical properties and enzymatic activities**

Understanding the agronomic performance of organic amendments under different irrigation regimes and systems over time  
380 is essential to predict future needs and the evolution of the amendments. Here, an overall decay of the compost effect was detected in most of the soil physico-chemical properties over time independently of the irrigation regime or system. In a previous study de Sosa et al. (2021) showed the same seasonal patterns in soil chemical properties following two years of compost application under traditional management but at higher densities where irrigation is essential, the synergetic effect of both factors over time should be considered. Little information is available on the combined effects of irrigation regimes  
385 and organic amendments and even less if more traditional management is considered. Our results revealed that our source of carbon in combination with water tended to trigger a certain priming effect on the native SOM with time since the carbon stocks were reduced between 6-38% in the hedgerow plots from the first sampling to the second as other studies also reported (Kavvadias et al., 2018b). In this sense, it is interesting to note that the deficit irrigation caused a less intense reduction of the SOM and essential nutrients (i.e. N, P, K) than the full irrigation treatment so it could represent the best alternative to maximize  
390 the agronomics effects of the compost under a water saving strategy. Another aspect to consider is that carbon stocks did increase over time in the traditional intensive plots with compost amendment so it is clear that tree density played a key role in controlling soil carbon storage and that the amount of compost provided at high densities was not enough to meet with the crop needs and to promote carbon sequestration. Rui et al. (2016) concluded that the formation of new biomass increasing soil carbon could be limited by insufficient inorganic nutrients in systems with low inputs and Ferrara et al. (2015) also observed  
395 limited changes in SOM related to a late response of soil quality indicators that could be the case in our experiment as well. Our results evidenced that limitations in soil fertility would make necessary a recursive application of compost to maintain productivity since this type of compost resulted highly biodegradable with time which has been identified before (Panettieri et al., 2022). However, considering the time factor in the agronomic effect of the compost, it was clear that the deficit irrigation treatment managed a more efficient compost use by slowing the nutrient loss over time or favouring nutrient storage. For  
400 instance, it is interesting to note that there was an enrichment of P and K contents over time with non-irrigate and deficit treatments whereas the full irrigation treatment enhanced P mobility as it has been described before (Ibrahimi and Gaddas, 2015; Ojekanmi et al., 2011; Proietti et al., 2015). Given the fundamental role that both macronutrients play in olive trees'

nutrition and drought tolerance, their evolution should be monitored after successive applications of AC (Christopoulou et al., 2021; Fernández-Escobar, 2019).

405 The study of the evolution of the compost over time reflected different strategies of SOM decomposition within the hedgerow and the intensive plots. During the first sampling, SOM highly correlated with NPK content in both management systems which suggested both processes were coupled after a few months of compost application. However, during the second sampling, right after the second compost addition, where the soil nutrient status was greatly reduced under hedgerow management, the correlations among all variables turned weak and were difficult to establish. On the contrary, under  
410 traditional management, a steady carbon assimilation rate mediated by the SWC, the pH, and the enzymatic activities continued its course.

No major fluctuations of the enzyme activities were detected over time apart from the DHA which was clearly affected by a seasonal pattern. Panettieri et al. (2022) identified either greater or no differences in DHA in plots treated with AC according to the sampling time and  $\beta$ -glu activity also remained unaltered after the organic amendment. Likewise, Peña et al. (2022)  
415 identified a seasonal response of the DH,  $\beta$ -glu and urease activities after the compost addition whereas Ciadamidaro et al. (2016) only observed a response of DHA depending on the sampling area and no response for  $\beta$ -glu activity.

## 5. Conclusions

Agricultural techniques need to be optimized to manage the best compost use and an efficient irrigation management with low  
420 environmental impacts. The application of alperujo compost improved the soil organic matter content in both cultivation systems. However, the traditional management proved to have a better nutrient balance over time without any supplemental irrigation. On the other hand, it was clear that when the conversion from traditional to more intensive systems need to be done, the combined effect of the compost and the irrigation regime has to be taken into account. Our results showed that the deficit irrigation regime helped to maximize the agronomic effects of the compost and the nutrient supply promoting in turn a water  
425 saving strategy. The full irrigation regime caused a priming effect of the native soil organic matter besides consuming an amount of water that will surely not be available in a climate change scenario. Moreover, the repeated application of compost managed a high availability of N, P and K in the soil, effect that tended to disappear under a full irrigation regime.

The sustainability of hedgerow olive groves depends largely on guaranteeing soil fertility in tandem with good water availability at the most critical stages of the crop and in this sense, the AC in combination with the deficit irrigation regime  
430 proved to be an efficient tool toward a zero waste strategy within a circular economy framework and for reducing the vulnerability of water resources caused by climate change.

## Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Junta de Andalucía within the framework of the project 'Alternative management to ensure the sustainability of table olive groves in Andalusia' (P20\_00492). de Sosa thanks the Junta Andalucía and European Union for  
435 the research grant awarded in the area of the Andalusian Research Development and Innovation (PAIDI 2020). Authors thank the collaboration of Dr. Girón in the field tasks and Technicians Cristina García, Patricia Puente and Carmen Navarro for their help in laboratory analysis. This work was framed in the net of experiments of the "Unidad Asociada Uso Sostenible del Suelo y el Agua en Agricultura".

- Albuquerque, J. A., de la Fuente, C. and Bernal, M. P.: Improvement of soil quality after “ alperujo” compost application to two contaminated soils characterised by differing heavy metal solubility, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 92(3), 733–741, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.10.018, 2011.
- 445 Baghbani-Arani, A., Ghasem Jami, M., Namdari, A. and Karami Borz-Abad, R.: Influence of Irrigation Regimes, Zeolite, Inorganic and Organic Manures on Water Use Efficiency, Soil Fertility and Yield of Sunflower in a Sandy Soil, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 51(6), 711–725, doi:10.1080/00103624.2020.1729791, 2020.
- Baležentienė, L.: Hydrolases Related to C and N Cycles and Soil Fertility Amendment : Responses to Different Management Styles of Agro-Ecosystems, *Pol. J. Environ. Stud. Vol.*, 21(5), 1153–1159 [online] Available from: [http://www.pjoes.com/pdf-88852-22711?filename=Hydrolases Related to C.pdf](http://www.pjoes.com/pdf-88852-22711?filename=Hydrolases%20Related%20to%20C.pdf) (Accessed 14 September 2022), 2012.
- 450 Bastida, F., Torres, I. F., Romero-Trigueros, C., Baldrian, P., Větrovský, T., Bayona, J. M., Alarcón, J. J., Hernández, T., García, C. and Nicolás, E.: Combined effects of reduced irrigation and water quality on the soil microbial community of a citrus orchard under semi-arid conditions, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 104, 226–237, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.10.024, 2017.
- Calvano, C. D. and Tamborrino, A.: Valorization of Olive By-Products: Innovative Strategies for Their Production, Treatment and Characterization, *Foods*, 11(6), 768, doi:10.3390/foods11060768, 2022.
- 455 Camposeo, S. and Vivaldi, G. A.: Short-term effects of de-oiled olive pomace mulching application on a young super high-density olive orchard, *Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam)*, 129(4), 613–621, doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2011.04.034, 2011.
- Camposeo, S., Vivaldi, G. A., Russo, G. and Melucci, F. M.: Intensification in Olive Growing Reduces Global Warming Potential under Both Integrated and Organic Farming, *Sustain.*, 14(11), 2407–2418, doi:10.3390/su14116389, 2022.
- 460 Cano-Lamadrid, M., Girón, I. F., Pleite, R., Burló, F., Corell, M., Moriana, A. and Carbonell-Barrachina, A. A.: Quality attributes of table olives as affected by regulated deficit irrigation, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 62(1), 19–26, doi:10.1016/j.lwt.2014.12.063, 2015.
- Christopoulou, N., Chatzistathis, T., Papatheodorou, E. M., Aschonitis, V. and Monokrousos, N.: The crucial role of soil organic matter in satisfying the phosphorus requirements of olive trees (*olea europaea* L.), *Agric.*, 11(2), 1–14, doi:10.3390/agriculture11020111, 2021.
- 465 Ciadamidaro, L., Madejón, P., Camacho, F., Boy, E. F. and Madejón, E.: Organic compost to improve contaminated soil quality and plant fertility, *Soil Sci.*, 181(11–12), doi:10.1097/SS.000000000000186, 2016.
- Corell, M., Martín-Palomo, M. J., Girón, I., Andreu, L., Galindo, A., Centeno, A., Pérez-López, D. and Moriana, A.: Stem water potential-based regulated deficit irrigation scheduling for olive table trees, *Agric. Water Manag.*, 242, 106418, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106418, 2020.
- 470 Doorenbos, J., and W. O. Pruitt. "Crop water requirements. FAO irrigation and drainage paper 24." Land and Water Development Division, FAO, Rome 144,1, 1977.
- Eivazi, F. and Tabatabai, M. A.: Glucosidases and galactosidases in soils, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 20(5), 601–606, doi:10.1016/0038-0717(88)90141-1, 1988.
- 475 FAO 2021. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Data – 2019. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home> (accessed 1 September 2022).

- 480 Federici, E., Massaccesi, L., Pezzolla, D., Fidati, L., Montalbani, E., Proietti, P., Nasini, L., Regni, L., Scargetta, S. and Gigliotti, G.: Short-term modifications of soil microbial community structure and soluble organic matter chemical composition following amendment with different solid olive mill waste and their derived composts, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 119, 234–241, doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.06.014, 2017.
- Fernández-Escobar, R.: Olive Nutritional Status and Tolerance to Biotic and Abiotic Stresses, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 10, 1151, doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.01151, 2019.
- 485 Fernández-Hernández, A., Roig, A., Serramiá, N., Civantos, C. G. O. and Sánchez-Monedero, M. A.: Application of compost of two-phase olive mill waste on olive grove: Effects on soil, olive fruit and olive oil quality, *Waste Manag.*, 34(7), 1139–1147, doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2014.03.027, 2014.
- Ferrara, G., Mazzeo, A., Matarrese, A. M. S., Pacifico, A., Fracchiolla, M., Al Chami, Z., Lasorella, C., Montemurro, P. and Mondelli, D.: Soil management systems: Effects on soil properties and weed flora, *South African J. Enol. Vitic.*, 36(1), 11–20, doi:10.21548/36-1-932, 2015.
- 490 Fraga, H., Pinto, J. G. and Santos, J. A.: Olive tree irrigation as a climate change adaptation measure in Alentejo, Portugal, *Agric. Water Manag.*, 237, 106193, doi:10.1016/J.AGWAT.2020.106193, 2020.
- Freitas, F, J. D.-. 1970. Métodos físicos y químicos de análisis de suelos y aguas. *Boletín de Suelos*, 10, 1970.
- García-Garvía, J. M., Sánchez-Bravo, P., Hernández, F., Sendra, E., Corell, M., Moriana, A., Burgos-Hernández, A. and Carbonell-Barrachina, Á. A.: Effect of Regulated Deficit Irrigation on the Quality of ‘Arbequina’ Extra Virgin Olive Oil Produced on a Super-High-Intensive Orchard, *Agronomy*, 12(8), 1892, doi:10.3390/agronomy12081892, 2022.
- 495 Ghilardi, C., Negrete, P. S., Rodriguez-Gutierrez, G., Monetta, P., Arroyo-López, F. N., Hornero-Méndez, D., Carelli, A. A. and Borroni, V.: Influence of olive mill waste phenolic compounds levels on carotenoid production by *Rhodotorula* spp, *Process Biochem.*, 120, 275–286, doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2022.06.013, 2022.
- 500 Girón, I. F., Corell, M., Galindo, A., Torrecillas, E., Morales, D., Dell’Amico, J., Torrecillas, A., Moreno, F. and Moriana, A.: Changes in the physiological response between leaves and fruits during a moderate water stress in table olive trees, *Agric. Water Manag.*, 148, 280–286, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2014.10.024, 2015.
- Guerrero-Casado, J., Carpio, A. J., Tortosa, F. S. and Villanueva, A. J.: Environmental challenges of intensive woody crops: The case of super high-density olive groves, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 798, 149212, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149212, 2021.
- Hernández, T., Garcia, E. and García, C.: A strategy for marginal semiarid degraded soil restoration: A sole addition of compost at a high rate. A five-year field experiment, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 89, 61–71, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.06.023, 2015.
- 505 Hesse, P. Hesse, P. 1971. A textbook of soil chemical analysis. John Murray, London (1971).
- Hirich, A., Choukr-Allah, R. and Jacobsen, S. E.: Deficit irrigation and organic compost improve growth and yield of Quinoa and Pea, *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, 200(5), 390–398, doi:10.1111/jac.12073, 2014.
- Ibrahimi, K. and Gaddas, F.: Soil nutrient content and olive tree nutritional status after composted olive husk application in an olive orchard of Northern Tunisia. *Journal of new sciences, Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 19(2):708–714, 2015.
- 510 IUSS Working Group WRB. World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2014, update 2015 International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. World Soil. Rome: FAO, 2015.

- Kandeler, E. and Gerber, H.: Short-term assay of soil urease activity using colorimetric determination of ammonium, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 6(1), 68–72, doi:10.1007/BF00257924, 1988.
- 515 Kandeler, E., Stemmer, M. and Klimanek, E. M.: Response of soil microbial biomass, urease and xylanase within particle size fractions to long-term soil management, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 31(2), 261–273, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(98)00115-1, 1999.
- Kavvadias, V. and Koubouris, G.: Sustainable soil management practices in olive groves, in *Soil Fertility Management for Sustainable Development*, pp. 167–188, Springer Singapore., 2019.
- 520 Kavvadias, V., Papadopoulou, M., Vavoulidou, E., Theocharopoulos, S., Koubouris, G., Psarras, G., Manolaraki, C., Giakoumaki, G. and Vasiliadis, A.: Effect of sustainable management of olive tree residues on soil fertility in irrigated and rain-fed olive orchards, *J. Water Clim. Chang.*, 9(4), 764–774, doi:10.2166/WCC.2018.143, 2018a.
- Kavvadias, V., Papadopoulou, M., Vavoulidou, E., Theocharopoulos, S., Malliaraki, S., Agelaki, K., Koubouris, G. and Psarras, G.: Effects of Carbon Inputs on Chemical and Microbial Properties of Soil in Irrigated and Rainfed Olive Groves, in *Soil Management and Climate Change: Effects on Organic Carbon, Nitrogen Dynamics, and Greenhouse Gas Emissions*, pp. 137–150, Academic Press., 2018b.
- 525 Khaliq, A. and Kaleem Abbasi, M.: Improvements in the physical and chemical characteristics of degraded soils supplemented with organic-inorganic amendments in the Himalayan region of Kashmir, Pakistan, *Catena*, 126, 209–219, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2014.11.015, 2015.
- Kostelenos, G. and Kiritsakis, A.: Olive Tree History and Evolution, in *Olives and Olive Oil as Functional Foods*, pp. 1–12, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2017.
- 530 Koubouris, G. C., Kourgialas, N. N., Kavvadias, V., Dagalaki, N. and Psarras, G.: Sustainable Agricultural Practices for Improving Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Content in Relation to Water Availability—An Adapted Approach to Mediterranean Olive Groves, *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 48(22), 2687–2700, doi:10.1080/00103624.2017.1416395, 2017.
- 535 Lehmann, J., Hansel, C. M., Kaiser, C., Kleber, M., Maher, K., Manzoni, S., Nunan, N., Reichstein, M., Schimel, J. P., Torn, M. S., Wieder, W. R. and Kögel-Knabner, I.: Persistence of soil organic carbon caused by functional complexity, *Nat. Geosci.*, 13(8), 529–534, doi:10.1038/s41561-020-0612-3, 2020.
- Madejón, P., Alaejos, J., García-Ábala, J., Fernández, M. and Madejón, E.: Three-year study of fast-growing trees in degraded soils amended with composts: Effects on soil fertility and productivity, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 169, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.11.050, 2016.
- 540 Magdich, S., Rouina, B. Ben and Ammar, E.: Olive Mill Wastewater Agronomic Valorization by its Spreading in Olive Grove, *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 11(4), 1359–1372, doi:10.1007/s12649-018-0471-y, 2020.
- Mekki, A., Chaouch, A., Vargougui, L., M., C. and Amar, F. B.: Ecophysiological Responses of Olive Trees ( *Olea Europaea* L. ) Hybrid Varieties in Soil Amended with Olive Mill Waste Waters, *J. Water Sci. Eng.*, 1(5), 1–10 [online] Available from: <http://unitedprimepub.com> (Accessed 25 August 2022), 2019.
- 545 Michalopoulos, G., Kasapi, K. A., Koubouris, G., Psarras, G., Arampatzis, G., Hatzigiannakis, E., Kavvadias, V., Xiloyannis, C., Montanaro, G., Malliaraki, S., Angelaki, A., Manolaraki, C., Giakoumaki, G., Reppas, S., Kourgialas, N. and Kokkinos,

- G.: Adaptation of Mediterranean olive groves to climate change through sustainable cultivation practices, *Climate*, 8(4), 54, doi:10.3390/cli8040054, 2020.
- 550 Moreno, B., Vivas, A., Nogales, R., MacCì, C., Masciandaro, G. and Benitez, E.: Restoring biochemical activity and bacterial diversity in a trichloroethylene-contaminated soil: The reclamation effect of vermicomposted olive wastes, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 16(3), 253–264, doi:10.1007/s11356-008-0035-y, 2009.
- Morgado, R., Ribeiro, P. F., Santos, J. L., Rego, F., Beja, P. and Moreira, F.: Drivers of irrigated olive grove expansion in Mediterranean landscapes and associated biodiversity impacts, *Landsc. Urban Plan.*, 225, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2022.104429, 2022.
- 555 Ojekanmi, A., Ige, D., Hao, X. and Akinremi, O.: Phosphorus Mobility in a Soil with Long Term Manure Application, *J. Agric. Sci.*, 3(3), doi:10.5539/jas.v3n3p25, 2011.
- O Isen, S. R., C. V Cole, F. Watandbe, and L. Dean. 1954. Estimation of Available Phosphorus in Soil by Extraction with sodium Bicarbonate. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* 53(9):1689–1699.1954.
- 560 Panettieri, M., Moreno, B., de Sosa, L. L., Benítez, E. and Madejón, E.: Soil management and compost amendment are the main drivers of carbon sequestration in rainfed olive trees agroecosystems: An evaluation of chemical and biological markers, *Catena*, 214, 106258, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2022.106258, 2022.
- Pascazio, S., Crecchio, C., Scagliola, M., Mininni, A. N., Dichio, B., Xiloyannis, C. and Sofo, A.: Microbial-based soil quality indicators in irrigated and rainfed soil portions of Mediterranean olive and peach orchards under sustainable management, *Agric. Water Manag.*, 195, 172–179, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2017.10.014, 2018.
- 565 Pellegrini, G., Ingrao, C., Camposeo, S., Tricase, C., Contò, F. and Huisingsh, D.: Application of water footprint to olive growing systems in the Apulia region: A comparative assessment, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 112, 2407–2418, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.10.088, 2016.
- Peña, D., Fernández, D., Albarrán, A., Gómez, S., Martín, C., Sánchez-Terrón, J., Vicente, L. and López-Piñeiro, A.: Using olive mill waste compost with sprinkler irrigation as a strategy to achieve sustainable rice cropping under Mediterranean conditions, *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 42(3), 1–17, doi:10.1007/s13593-022-00769-5, 2022.
- 570 Pérez, D., Ribas, F. and Olmedilla, J.N.: Influence of irrigation on a traditional rainfed olive orchard ( cv Cornicabra ). *Options méditerranéennes* 60:85-89(60):85–90, 2001..
- Placella, S. A., Brodie, E. L. and Firestone, M. K.: Rainfall-induced carbon dioxide pulses result from sequential resuscitation of phylogenetically clustered microbial groups, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 109(27), 10931–10936, doi:10.1073/pnas.1204306109, 2012.
- 575 Podgornik, M., Bučar-Miklavčič, M., Levart, A., Salobir, J., Rezar, V. and Butinar, B.: Chemical Characteristics of Two-Phase Olive-Mill Waste and Evaluation of Their Direct Soil Application in Humid Mediterranean Regions, *Agron.* 2022, Vol. 12, Page 1621, 12(7), 1621, doi:10.3390/AGRONOMY12071621, 2022.
- 580 Proietti, P., Federici, E., Fidati, L., Scargetta, S., Massaccesi, L., Nasini, L., Regni, L., Ricci, A., Cenci, G. and Gigliotti, G.: Effects of amendment with oil mill waste and its derived-compost on soil chemical and microbiological characteristics and olive (*Olea europaea* L.) productivity, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 207, 51–60, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2015.03.028, 2015.
- Regni, L., Gigliotti, G., Nasini, L., Agrafioti, E., Galanakis, C. M. and Proietti, P.: Reuse of olive mill waste as soil amendment, in *Olive Mill Waste: Recent Advances for Sustainable Management*, pp. 97–116., 2017.

- Rui, Y., Murphy, D. V., Wang, X. and Hoyle, F. C.: Microbial respiration, but not biomass, responded linearly to increasing light fraction organic matter input: Consequences for carbon sequestration, *Sci. Rep.*, 6, doi:10.1038/srep35496, 2016.
- 585 Russo, G., Vivaldi, G. A., De Gennaro, B. and Camposeo, S.: Environmental sustainability of different soil management techniques in a high-density olive orchard, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 107, 498–508, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.06.064, 2015.
- Sánchez-Piñero, M., Corell, M., Moriana, A., Castro-Valdecantos, P. and Martín-Palomo, M.-J.: Managing Water Stress in Olive (*Olea europaea* L.) Orchards Using Reference Equations for Midday Stem Water Potential, *Hortic.* 2023, Vol. 9, Page  
590 563, 9(5), 563, doi:10.3390/HORTICULTURAE9050563, 2023.
- Scholander, P. F., Hammel, H. T., Bradstreet, E. D. and Hemmingsen, E. A.: Sap pressure in vascular plants, *Science* (80-. ), 148(3668), 339–346, doi:10.1126/science.148.3668.339, 1965.
- 595 Steduto, P., Hsiao, T.C., Fereres, E., D. Raes, D., Crop Yield Response to Water. FAO Irrigation and Drainage, Paper N° 66 FAO, Rome, 2012.
- Sofo, A., Ciarfaglia, A., Scopa, A., Camele, I., Curci, M., Crecchio, C., Xiloyannis, C. and Palese, A. M.: Soil microbial diversity and activity in a Mediterranean olive orchard using sustainable agricultural practices, *Soil Use Manag.*, 30(1), 160–167, doi:10.1111/sum.12097, 2014.
- de Sosa, L. L., Benítez, E., Girón, I. and Madejón, E.: Agro-industrial and urban compost as an alternative of inorganic  
600 fertilizers in traditional rainfed olive grove under mediterranean conditions, *Agronomy*, 11(6), 1223, doi:10.3390/agronomy11061223, 2021.
- de Sosa, L. L., Panettieri, M., Moreno, B., Benítez, E. and Madejón, E.: Compost application in an olive grove influences nitrogen dynamics under Mediterranean conditions, *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, 175, 104462, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2022.104462, 2022.
- 605 Sousa, A. A. R., Barandica, J. M. and Rescia, A.: Ecological and economic sustainability in olive groves with different irrigation management and levels of erosion: A case study, *Sustain.*, 11(17), doi:10.3390/su11174681, 2019.
- Trevors, J. T.: Dehydrogenase activity in soil: A comparison between the INT and TTC assay, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 16(6), 673–674, doi:10.1016/0038-0717(84)90090-7, 1984.
- Walkley, A. and Black, I. A.: An examination of the degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed  
610 modification of the chromic acid titration method, *Soil Sci.*, 37(1), 29–38, doi:10.1097/00010694-193401000-00003, 1934.
- Zipori, I., Erel, R., Yermiyahu, U., Ben-gal, A. and Dag, A.: Sustainable management of olive orchard nutrition: A review, *Agric.*, 10(1), doi:10.3390/agriculture10010011, 2020.