Technical note: Isolating methane emissions from animal feeding operations in an interfering location

Megan E. McCabe¹, Ilana B. Pollack², Emily V. Fischer², and Dana R. Caulton¹

¹Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY, 82071, USA

²Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, 80523, USA

Correspondence: Dana R. Caulton (dcaulton@uwyo.edu)



Figure S1. Descending spiral transect (left) followed by an ascending spiral (right) transect to show the MLB during flight 2 (F2) conducted on November 13, 2019. Potential temperature (θ , red) and water vapor (H₂O, blue) were used to determine the mixed MBL. The dotted line represents the selected MBL used in calculations.



Figure S2. Total uncertainty based on Monte Carlo. (a) Total uncertainty C_2H_6 . (b) Total uncertainty of NH₃. (c) Total uncertainty of total CH₄.



Figure S3. Curtain plots of CH_4 emission by point. (a) Total emissions by point. (b) Agriculture emissions. (c) ONG emissions. (d) Any remaining emissions that are not accounted for in ONG and agriculture.

Table S1. Sensitivity of the MVR fit for Transect data.

Transect MVR Approaches	а	b	c	\mathbf{R}^2
Raw CH ₄	1933.2±0.6	$1.090 {\pm} 0.072$	5.73±0.10	0.74
Background subtracted CH ₄	0.6±0.6 (1933.2)	$1.090 {\pm} 0.072$	$5.73{\pm}0.10$	0.74
Background subtracted C ₂ H ₆ & NH ₃	$1945.9 {\pm} 0.4$	$1.090 {\pm} 0.072$	$5.73{\pm}0.10$	0.74
Background subtracted CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ & NH ₃	13.3±0.4 (1945.9)	$1.090 {\pm} 0.072$	$5.73{\pm}0.10$	0.74

Background subtracted CH₄ - 1932.6 (found from around the transects.)

Table S2. Sensitivity of the MVR fit for F2 data.

F2 MVR Approaches	а	b	с	\mathbf{R}^2
Raw CH ₄	1933.2±0.2	$0.638 {\pm} 0.010$	6.329±0.051	0.78
Background subtracted CH ₄	0.6±0.2 (1933.2)	$0.638{\pm}0.010$	$6.329{\pm}0.051$	0.78
Background subtracted C ₂ H ₆ & NH ₃	1945.2±0.2	$0.638{\pm}0.010$	$6.329{\pm}0.051$	0.78
Background subtracted CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ & NH ₃	12.6±0.2 (1945.2)	$0.638{\pm}0.010$	$6.329 {\pm} 0.051$	0.78

Background subtracted CH_4 - 1932.6 (found from around the transects).

Table S3	. Attribution	method	fit	statistics.
----------	---------------	--------	-----	-------------

Approach	Data	а	b	c	\mathbf{R}^{2a}	Average Residual	Normalized Sum of Residual ^{2 b}
SM	F2 ²	1932.6 ± 33	1.2	9.1	0.20	-14	3.02
SM	Transect	1932.6 ± 33	2.22	6.8	0.47	-11	2.04
MVR	F2	$1933.2{\pm}0.6$	$0.638{\pm}0.010$	$6.329 {\pm} 0.051$	0.72	-9	1.87
MVR	Transect	1933.2±0.2	$1.090 {\pm} 0.072$	$5.729 \pm\! 0.095$	0.74	1	1.0

^a Calculated R^2 on the transect data at 1 Hz. ^b The normalized Sum of Residual² is calculated by dividing each approach's Sum of Residual² by the minimum value (i.e., the value from the MVR Transect approach).