Scrutinizing and rooting the multiple anomalies of Nepal earthquake sequence in 2015 with Deviation-Time-Space criterion and homologous Lithosphere-Coversphere-Atmosphere-Ionosphere coupling physics
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Abstract. The continuously increasing of earth observations benefits geosciences and seismicity study, but increases greatly the difficulties in understanding and discriminating multiple source data. Although the Lithosphere-Coversphere-Atmosphere-Ionosphere (LCAI) coupling paradigm and the Deviation-Time-Space (DTS) criterion were presented for better searching for and understanding the potential seismic anomalies from multiple observations, the strict consistency of spatio-temporal characteristics and homologous physics of multiple-parameter seismic anomalies has not been investigated sufficiently. With the 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence being a typical case, the reported multi-parameter anomalies were systematically reviewed, and their space-time characteristics were summarized thoroughly in this study. Numerical simulation with refined geological structures in three-dimensional space revealed the inhomogeneous crustal stress field alteration (CSFA) along the faults and around the hypocentres of 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence, which is expected to be the root of the seismic anomalies. The stress-activated positive charge carriers would have given rise to different responses near the ground surface (coversphere), including the microwave dielectric reduction, the additional infrared radiation, and the atmospheric ionization, which subsequently affected the physical properties of atmosphere and ionosphere and resulted in abnormal phenomena therein. Based on the DTS criterion and LCAI coupling paradigm, the seismic anomalies of 2015 Nepal earthquakes were scrutinized strictly, and the retained anomalies were rooted carefully to the regional CSFA as well as its local blocking. Therefore, an integrated LCAI coupling framework with strict space-time correspondence and homologous physics in CSFA was proposed for the 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence. This research provides a definite philosophy as well as a practical solution for scrutinizing the rootable seismic anomalies from multi-parameter observations of earthquake, which is of scientific meanings for searching earthquake precursor and reaching earthquake prediction.

1 Introduction

Earthquake is one of the major natural disasters impacting greatly on human life and social development. The geophysical processes of the gestation and occurrence of earthquake is very complicated, which is always accompanied by mass migration, energy release and information exchange (Teng 2001). Supported with increasing earth observations, studies on earthquake
perception, cognition and prediction have achieved a lot during the past decades. The information acquisition of seismic/tectonic activity has developed from the ground stations with limited number, uneven distribution and discontinuous observation to the satellite platform with wide coverage, all-day and all-weather capability (Shen 2007; Shen et al., 2016; Jing et al., 2008). The continuous enrichment of satellite missions and enhancement of observation capability have given rise to the huge increment of data and information of seismicity, and provided great possibility for searching seismic anomalies and earthquake precursors with collaborative analysis. However, the multiple-source observations on the information from beneath the earth’s surface, ground surface, atmosphere and ionosphere, and occur before, during and after the earthquake, have become extremely complex, which make it tricky for researchers to judge the reliability of a single anomaly and to interpret the coupling mechanism of multiple anomalies.

Although the crustal stress field alteration (CSFA) is commonly considered as the intrinsic cause of seismic anomalies, there are many different opinions on how the atmosphere and ionosphere respond to CSFA. Great attempts have been devoted to search for earthquake precursors and to explore its mechanisms (Gokhberg et al., 1985; Liperovsky et al., 1992; Liperovskaya et al., 1994; Shalimov and Gokhberg, 1998; Sorokin and Chmyrev, 1998; Pulinets et al., 1994, 2000). Currently, the chain of seismic anomalies observed in multiple spheres has been frequently reported (Pulinets and Ouzounov 2011; Wu et al., 2012a, 2016; Jing et al., 2019; Marchetti et al., 2020; Hayakawa et al., 2021; Qin et al., 2021; Jing and Singh, 2022). Two fundamental hypothesis or theories, being mostly recognized, were presented to interpret the phenomena of chain-like seismic anomalies appeared in multiple geospheres including lithosphere, coversphere, atmosphere and ionosphere. One is the radon emission-and-decay, presented by Eurasian scientists such as Sergey Alexander Pulinets from Russia, who believes that tectonic activity would release radon gas trapped originally in the soil and crust rock, and the air ionization due to radon decay will give rise to multiple seismic anomalies; hence the other abnormal perturbances in aerosol, atmospheric humidity, latent heat, ionospheric plasma and etc. were considered to be physically homologous with radon emission-and-decay (Pulinets and Boyarchuk 2004; Pulinets 2009). The other is the activation-and-aggregation of positive holes (P-holes in brief), presented by American scientists with Friedemann Freund being the important initiator (Freund et al., 2002, 2009, 2011), who believes that the transition of P-holes from hypocentre and its accumulation on ground surface will change the earth's electromagnetic field and ionize the air molecules at the ground-air interface, which subsequently give rise to a variety of abnormal phenomena in the atmosphere and ionosphere (Freund et al., 2006, 2007, 2009; Kuo et al., 2011). The P-hole theory was initiated from rock loading experiments, which discovered that the dormant peroxy bond could be activated by deviatoric rock stress and acts as P-holes being able to transit from stressed rock to unstressed surface (Freund et al., 2002, 2006).

To explain synergically the seismic anomalies appeared in atmosphere and ionosphere above the seismogenous area, the lithosphere-atmosphere-ionosphere (LAI) coupling paradigm was firstly presented to interpret the correlations of multiple seismic anomalies and searching for potential mechanism of earthquake precursor (Liperovskaya et al., 2008; Pulinets and Ouzounov 2011). Considering that the geo-part between the lithosphere and atmosphere (soil, desert, water, ice and snow, vegetation, etc.), called coversphere, has a vital impact on satellite observations and on the upward propagation of signals from lithosphere in process of earthquake preparation, a lithosphere-coversphere-atmosphere (LCA) coupling paradigm was later
presented (Wu et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2016). Subsequently, the lithosphere-coversphere-atmosphere-ionosphere (LCAI) coupling paradigm, being the unity of LAI and LCA, has been presented and become a basic and integrated paradigm for multi-parameter analysis of seismic anomalies (Zheng et al., 2014; Jing et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2021; Xiong et al., 2021). Meanwhile, inspired by the international consensus on the characteristics of seismic anomalies (Tronin 2000; Dey and Singh 2003; Genzano et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2012), a Deviation-Time-Space (DTS) criterion was proposed (Wu et al., 2012a; Qin et al., 2013) to investigate the correlations and reliability of abnormal variation of multiple parameters. The DTS criterion contains three parts: deviation of the parameter was notable enough to act as a potential anomaly; the occurrences of multiple anomalies behave common features of quasi-synchronism in time and geo-adjacency in space. Nevertheless, sometime we are not able to identify correctly the anomalies that seem to be related to an earthquake but have primal contradictions, such as contradictory effects or incorrect order of occurrence. A large earthquake usually causes numerous anomalies, which are assigned to different parameters and located in different spheres of the Earth. It is very challenging to screen out reliable anomalies from multiple parameters and explore their physical mechanisms. The joint employment of DTS criterion and LCAI coupling paradigm is expected to be well in scrutinizing potential seismic anomalies with strict spatio-temporal consistency, and in rooting the seismic anomalies to CSFA with the same physical connotation, respectively.

The Mw 7.8 Gorkha, Nepal earthquake in 2015 was the largest earthquake to strike the Himalayan arc in the past 70 years, and the strongest aftershock recorded a magnitude of Mw 7.3. The earthquake sequence attracted wide attention and a large number of publications, which provides us a good case of studying how to screen reliable seismic anomalies from diversified reports. In this study, we reviewed carefully the reported multiple seismic anomalies associated with the 2015 Nepal earthquakes, and conducted a three-dimensional (3D) numerical simulation on the seismogenic process along the Himalayan. The root of the seismic anomalies was proved to be regional CSFA and local stress blocking. The reviewed seismic anomalies with physics homologous to the CSFA were strictly scrutinized and rooted to a special LCAI coupling chain, which shared the homologous physics as regional CSFA and behaved with a reasonable spatio-temporal pattern.

2 Case and methodology

2.1 Nepal earthquake sequence and geology background

The 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence began with a destructive Mw 7.8 earthquake 80 km northwest of Kathmandu (depth=8.2 km) on April 25, followed by a series of great aftershocks (see Fig. 1), including a great Mw 7.3 aftershock in Dolakha (depth=15 km) on May 12. The earthquake sequence occurred in the front of the India-Eurasia collision belt, where is an extremely active area of geo-mechanical energy accumulation-and-release, many strong and devasting earthquakes had occurred along the belt in history (Kumar et al., 2006; Shan et al., 2015). The Gorkha earthquake and Dolakha aftershock were believed to be caused by a sudden release of built-up crustal stress along the major faults (Avouac et al., 2015). The Mw 7.8
mainshock and the Mw 7.3 aftershock generated a 60-km-wide×150-km-long rupture along the Main Himalayan Thrust (MHT) fault, with an average slip of 3.5m and a local maximum slip being 7m at about 15 km north of Kathmandu (Mencin et al., 2015).

The Himalayan suture zone consists of several thrust faults (as in Fig. 1), including the Himalayan Main Frontal Thrust fault (MFT), the Main Boundary Thrust fault (MBT’, differentiated from the abbreviation of microwave brightness temperature, MBT), the Main Central Thrust fault (MCT) and the detachment fault system in southern Tibet (Guo et al., 2009). The first three faults expose on the ground surface and merge into the Main Himalayan Thrust fault (MHT) in the deep crust, the rupture of which was related to almost all the major earthquakes occurring on the Himalayan front fault (Bilham 2004). The Himalayan seismic belt also has obvious segmental activity characteristics. The eastern segment is accompanied by extrusion and clockwise rotation, the middle segment is dominated by extrusion, while the western segment is accompanied by counter-clockwise rotation (Su et al., 2015). The Nepal earthquake sequence in 2015 mainly occurred at the transferring zone of the counter-clockwise rotation segment and clockwise rotation segment.

Figure 1: The distribution of earthquakes with magnitude greater than 3.0 in and nearby Nepal from 1912 to 2022 (from USGS). GPS velocity field refers to Su et al. (2015). Black arrows indicate the average velocities and directions of ground movement in 1990-2015 from GNSS observations (Kreemer et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2017).

2.2 Materials and methods

In general, the LCAI coupling analysis of a seismic event requires lots of observations of multiple parameters. There are various methods for data processing and different knowledge backgrounds for studying the diversified parameters. Since the 2015 Gorkha earthquake and Dolakha earthquake occurred years ago, many researchers with knowledge of remote sensing, seismology, geophysics, respectively, have reported a large number of abnormal phenomena considered as seismicity-related,
which can be acquired from literatures so that the duplicated work on searching seismic anomalies can be avoided. A preliminary screening of these literatures was firstly carried out, the basic criteria was referred to He et al. (2019), among which the most critical factors to be considered were the clear space-time information and the specific physical connotation. A total of more than 40 related literatures were then sorted out, including 22 abnormal parameters as in Tab. 1.

Table 1: Anomalous parameters in multiple geospheres reported on the 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sphere</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lithosphere</td>
<td>Deformation</td>
<td>Su et al., 2015; Zhan et al., 2015; Shan et al., 2015; Sreejith et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geo-electric filed</td>
<td>Xi et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Underground fluid</td>
<td>Yang et al., 2016; Ma and Huang, 2017; He et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface soil moisture (SSM)</td>
<td>Jing et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thermal infrared radiation (TIR)</td>
<td>Lu et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Snow surface temperature (SST)</td>
<td>Bhardwaj et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coversphere</td>
<td>Snow cover level</td>
<td>Bhardwaj et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land surface temperature (LST)</td>
<td>Chen et al., 2020; Shah et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microwave brightness temperature (MBT)</td>
<td>Jing et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil radon (Rn)</td>
<td>Kumar et al., 2017; Deb et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface air temperature (SAT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jing et al., 2019; Hazra et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO, NO₂, Ozone (O₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ganguly, 2016; Phanikumar et al., 2018; Jing et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air humidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hazra et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aerosol optical depth (AOD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Akhoondzadeh and Chehrebargh, 2016; Ouzounov et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Atmospheric chemical potential (ACP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jing et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface latent heat flux (SLHF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ouzounov et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Outgoing longwave radiation (OLR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ouzounov et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Li et al., 2016; Oikonomou et al., 2016; Ouzounov et al., 2021; He et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2017; Ulukavak et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Very/extremely low frequency (VLF/ELF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ouzounov et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the general spatio-temporal features of multiple-parameter anomalies can be obtained after the preliminary screening, their spatio-temporal correlation and homologous physics still need to be strictly scrutinized and rooted. Only those reported anomalies behaving spatio-temporal consistency and sharing the homologous physics in CSFA can be regarded as reliable seismic anomalies with potential earthquake precursor meanings. Eventually, an integrated LCAI coupling framework with
definite space-time-physics connotation will be retained after the strictly scrutinizing and physical rooting actions. The basic flow diagram of this study is shown in Fig. 2.

![Flow Diagram]

*Figure 2: The technical route of scrutinizing and rooting multiple seismic anomalies using DTS criterion and homologous physics*

### 3 Spatio-temporal features of reported seismic anomalies

#### 3.1 Brief description of individual anomaly

For systematic summarization and clear comparison, the temporal characteristics and spatial distributions of all the reported seismic anomalies related to the Nepal earthquake sequence in 2015 are described in Fig. 3 and Fig.4, respectively, in a classification of lithosphere, coversphere, atmosphere and ionosphere.

In the lithosphere (Fig. 3 and 4b), the GPS velocity field shows that the continuous extrusion deformation between the Indian plate and the Eurasian plate had been significantly enhanced since 2012 (Zhan et al., 2015), which reflected that the strengthened pushing effect of the Indian plate on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau preceding the Gorkha earthquake. Coseismic displacement of the Gorkha earthquake and early postseismic (4-88 days after the mainshock) surface displacements were both detected by GPS and InSAR measurements (Shan et al., 2015; Sreejith et al., 2016). Observations of geoelectric field in Lhasa station (29.1° N, 91.0° E) showed that geoelectric anomaly had gradually appeared since June 2014 with the maximum variation exceeding 1000 mV/km. The geoelectric field tended to be stable 20d about before the mainshock with the variations remaining below 100 mV/km, and showed very small disturbances after the Dolakha earthquake (Xi et al., 2016). The temperature of underground water at Yushu seismic station (33.0° N, 97.0° E) showed that water temperature had broken the normal upward trend since early March 2015, with a change rate of 48 times that of the original, and reached the peak one month about before the Gorkha earthquake (Yang et al., 2016). Besides, co-seismic underground water-level oscillation before and during the 2015 Nepal earthquakes were recorded in the Jingle well in Shanxi Province, China (38.4° N, 112.0° E) (He et al., 2017) and another
159 wells throughout the Chinese mainland. (Ma and Huang 2017).

In the coversphere (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4b), SSM around the epicenter areas behaved abnormally 10 and 5 days before the Gorkha earthquake, which had been excluded from the contribution of rainfall (Jing et al., 2019). Significant TIR anomalies were found to concentrated mainly to the west of the epicenter before the Gorkha earthquake by processing FY-2 satellite data (Lu et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017). TIR anomalies revealed by Zhang et al. (2017) started from middle March 2015, gradually strengthened until the mainshock and then weakened afterwards. Lu et al. (2016) reported that TIR anomalies appeared in early April, peaked a week before the mainshock, then began to weaken and dissipate after the mainshock. Bhardwaj et al. (2017) found obvious departures in snow temperature 12d before and in snow cover level 20d before the Gorkha earthquake, by using of 16 years MODIS data. Chen et al. (2020) reported that a strip of LST appearing to the north of the epicenters along the Himalayas cooled slowly before, reached a minimum during and returned to normal after the Gorkha earthquake. Baral et al. (2016) found distinct LST anomalies appeared 150 km about to the southwest of the epicenter on 18 April, which extended along the Main Himalayan Frontal Thrust (MFT) until 23 April, and dissipated one day before the Gorkha earthquake. LST was also found to exceed significantly the normal range around the epicenter 10d before the Gorkha earthquake (Shah et al. 2016). Jing et al. (2019) reported that significant MBT anomalies appeared to the west of the epicenter 10d before the mainshock. Positive MBT anomalies, reported by Qi et al. (2021), appeared shortly before, peaked on, and dissipated after the occurrence of the two major earthquakes, respectively, with the abnormal stripes distributing along the Himalayas, covering the epicenters of the forthcoming earthquakes. The four-month simultaneous observations at two adjacent soil radon sites in Kolkata, India (22.6° N, 88.4° E) displayed two potential radon anomalies on April 20 and April 29 (Deb et al. 2016). Observations in Ghuttu, India (30.5° N, 78.8° E) also showed obvious variation of soil radon concentration in early April 2015, and a sudden increase from 10d before to 3d after the Gorkha earthquake (Kumar et al. 2017).
### Figure 3: The temporal distribution of multiple anomalies from lithosphere up to ionosphere before, during and after the Gorkha earthquake and Dolakha earthquake in 2015.

The dotted icon indicates that the anomaly occurred on a particular day, solid line means the anomaly was persistent, while dashed line means that the anomaly was fluctuant or intermittent.

In the atmosphere (Fig. 3 and 4c), Jing et al. (2019) reported that SAT from AIRS satellite over the epicentral and surrounding regions had behaved abnormally since 50d before the mainshock. Afterwards, the SAT anomaly peaked on the dates 7d and 1d before the Gorkha earthquake, and 2d prior to the Dolakha earthquake, respectively. Using NOAA Surface Data, Ouzounov et al. (2021) revealed that SAT anomalies appeared near the epicenters and peaked on the dates 5d before the Gorkha earthquake and 7d prior to the Dolakha earthquake, respectively. Using SAT data from three stations near the mainshock epicenter, Hazra et al. (2017) found obvious SAT anomalies occurring 5-7 days before the Gorkha earthquake, which lasted for >10d. SLHF anomalies peaked on the date 1d prior to the Gorkha earthquake and disappeared 4d after, and then peaked on the date 3d prior to the Dolakha earthquake and dissipated afterwards (Jing et al. 2019). Using FY-2E satellite data, Lu et al. (2016) uncovered that OLR anomalies started from April 10, peaked on April 24 and then weakened, with anomalous region being strip-shaped cross the Nepal. By analysing NOAA time series, Chakraborty et al. (2018) found that OLR anomaly
appearing around the epicenter started 3d prior to the mainshock and disappeared gradually after the earthquake, while Ouzounov et al. (2021) found that the OLR anomaly peaked around April 4-7 and May 2-3, 2015. Investigating the OLR data observed by FY-2D and NOAA, Sun et al. (2017) found that OLR anomaly occurred to the west of the future mainshock epicenters on April 15, peaked on April 24, dissipated subsequently, and reappeared 2d before the Dolakha earthquake.

Inside the atmosphere, tropospheric columnar NO$_2$ around the epicenter started increasing from 45d before and peaked on the date 3d after the Gorkha earthquake, and then oscillated in the next few months (Ganguly 2016). Two enhancements of columnar O$_3$ were observed in the same place 20d about before the Gorkha earthquake and 2d before the Dolakha earthquake, respectively, and then the amplitude of O$_3$ anomaly showed a downward trend (Ganguly 2016). In April 23-25 and May 10-12, 2015, the vertical profiles of mesospheric O$_3$ around the two epicenters had exceeded the normal threshold (Phanikumar et al. 2018). CO concentration (900 hPa) exhibited two abnormal enhancements in the southern region near the epicenters, 16d and 2d before the Gorkha earthquake and 10d before the Dolakha earthquake, respectively (Jing et al. 2019). Average atmospheric relative humidity from three meteorological stations near the mainshock epicenter reached minimal values 13d before the Gorkha earthquake and 7d before the Dolakha earthquake, respectively (Hazra et al. 2017). Wu et al. (2015) also reported a significant reduction in relative humidity at Kathmandu weather station 2d before the mainshock. Akhoondzadeh and Chehrebargh (2016) analysed the AOD data derived from MODIS and found that significant AOD anomaly at 550 nm appeared 13d and 2d prior to the Gorkha earthquake and Dolakha earthquake, respectively. Ouzounov et al. (2021) analysed the ACP data from the GEOS-5 assimilation and suggested that ACP had behaved unusually on March 11-12, April 4-5, and April 20, 2015.

In the ionosphere (Fig. 3 and 4d), De Santis et al. (2017) found a very evident disturbance of geomagnetic field on April 14, 2015 by analysing the Swarm satellite data along the night orbital tracks. Investigating the daytime TEC time series over the seismogenic zones, Li et al. (2016) observed that the TEC had decreased 14d and 6d before the Gorkha earthquake with a duration of 6-8 h. Oikonomou et al. (2016) detected some enhancements of ionospheric diurnal TEC variations appearing 1-11 days before the Gorkha earthquake. Ulukavak et al. (2019) suggested that the positive ionospheric TEC anomaly, observed on April 22 (day-time) and April 23 (night-time), 2015, might be related to the Gorkha earthquake in that the space weather conditions and geomagnetic activity were quite in these days. Ouzounov et al. (2021) also found a strong night-time negative TEC anomaly occurring on April 21, and a strong night-time positive anomaly appearing on April 24, 2015. Besides, Tang and Yuan (2017) found significant ionospheric TEC fluctuations and multi-dimensional structures appearing around the Gorkha earthquake, and coseismic ionospheric disturbances appearing to the northeast and northwest of epicenter 10-20 minutes about after the mainshock. VTEC rising before and dropping after the mainshock directly above the faults and VTEC enhancing 14 minutes about prior to the Dolakha earthquake were observed by using GPS observations (He and Heki 2017). Night-time VLF signal transmitted from Australia and received in Allahabad, India (25.4° N, 81.9° E) showed that fluctuation of VLF appeared 1 d before both the Gorkha earthquake and the Dolakha earthquake (Maurya et al. 2016; Phanikumar et al. 2018). VLF/LF signals in the night-time transmitted from Sakhalin Island and received in Varanasi, India (25.3° N, 82.9° E) had exhibited significant depression from April 21 to April 23, while that transmitted from south India and received in
Varanasi, India displayed obvious decrease from April 20 to April 24, 2015 (Ouzounov et al. 2021).

Figure 4: The spatial distribution of multiple anomalies in four geospheres before, during and after the Gorkha earthquake and Dolakha earthquake in 2015. The geographical locations of the stations were marked for the anomalies recorded by the ground station, the areas of significant anomalies with detailed description were marked for the anomalies observed by satellites. In Fig. 4a, the blue area only represents the long-term and large-scale deformation area, not the short-term deformation anomaly. In Fig. 4d, the red area represents the northern part of the conjugate ionospheric anomalies.

3.2 Aggregative characteristics of multiple anomalies

As shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, the parameters of the lithosphere, such as deformation, geoelectric field, underground water temperature and level, could be the first to change at long-term scale. The long-period surface deformation was mainly concentrated in the boundary zone between the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and the Indian plate, which basically covered the whole Himalayan region as a stripe. The observation sites for geoelectric anomalies and underground fluids locate in Lhasa, Tibet, which is farther northeast of the epicenters but falls inside the primary deformation zone.

The anomalies in the coversphere mainly appeared 20d before, and lasted until more than 10d after the Gorkha mainshock. A few parameters, such as MBT, appeared repeatedly before and disappeared after the Dolakha aftershock. These anomalies were mainly concentrated in a strip region along the southern foothills of the Himalayas. TIR anomaly was the most extensive, while the other anomalies in coversphere were generally occurred inside the region of TIR anomaly. Snow cover and snow
surface temperature anomalies occurred at high altitudes close to the epicenter, while SSM anomalies and LST anomalies occurred at lower altitude regions. Stations for detecting radon gas in soil and in underground water were located in the southeast India (Kolkata) and northwest India (Ghuttu), which was far away from the two epicenters.

In the atmosphere, the earliest anomalies were thermal parameters, such as SAT, OLR and ACP, following by other gas anomalies occurring within 20d before the Gorkha earthquake. Most of the gas anomalies only lasted until the Dolakha earthquake, while the thermal parameter anomalies continued until more than 10d after the Dolakha earthquake. Except for the ozone stations locating far away from the epicenters, the other atmospheric anomalies were generally distributed in the belt region to the south of the Himalayas. These atmospheric anomalies showed strong spatial aggregation and corresponded well to the union scope of seismic anomalies in lithosphere and coversphere.

The ionospheric anomaly appeared 13d before the Gorkha earthquake and displayed intermittent peak values. Its appearance was significantly later than that in the lower geospheres. From 3d before the Gorkha earthquake to the coseismic day, significant ionospheric anomalies frequently appeared. Subsequently, electronic anomalies and electromagnetic anomalies appeared intensively 3d before and on the date Dolakha shocking, and then disappeared. Due to the scattered distribution of GNSS stations and sparse orbits of electromagnetic satellites, the spatial range of ionospheric anomalies was relatively broad, which lead to some distant stations that detected also ionospheric anomalies cannot be displayed in Fig. 4.

4 CSFA in Nepal Himalayas

4.1 Numerical simulation of CSFA

It is well established that tectonic earthquake is attribute to the gradual accumulation and localized concentration of crustal stress. The CSFA before the 2015 Gorkha earthquake in Nepal is critical to understand the observed seismic anomalies and unravel the corresponding LCAI coupling mechanisms. In this work, considering the hypocentres at a depth of approximately 15 km, a refined 3D geological model with detailed information of main faults and strata in the study area was constructed based on the existing data and reported studies (Decelles et al., 1998, 2001; Lavé and Avouac 2001; Avouac 2003; Bollinger et al., 2004; Sapkota et al., 2013), which is shown in Fig. 5. The major tectonic faults in Nepal (including MFT, MBT', MCT, and MHT) and the Yarlung Zangbo Suture Zone (YZSZ) to the north of Nepal Himalayas are built in the 3D model. The surface topography was reconstructed by using DEM data (ASTER GDEMv3) with resolution downscaled to 500m. By using a 3D finite element method (ANSYS software), the accumulation of equivalent stress (named also von Mises stress) caused by tectonic movement in the Nepal Himalayas over the last 500 years was numerically simulated referring to the average annual ground surface velocity fields from 1990 to 2015 (Gan et al., 2007; Ader et al., 2012; Kreemer et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2017). The upper and lower parts of the Moho surface was considered to be elastic and viscoelastic, respectively (Zhao et al., 2017; Tian et al., 2020). The physical parameters used were referred to existed studies (Castaldo et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2017; Wang and Fialko, 2018; Tian et al. 2020), and the nonlinear frictional contact was applied to the faults with its friction
coefficient being set as 0.4 (Luo and Liu 2010). Self-weighing of elements was considered due to the large differences in surface topography in Nepal Himalaya. The boundary conditions of model were constrained by the velocity fields and the bottom domain is free horizontally but fixed vertically.

Figure 5: 3D geometrical model of geological structure in Nepal and its nearby areas. MFT, MBT', MCT and MHT mean the same as before, while YZSZ means Yarlung Zangbo suture zone. The X-axis is west-east, the Y-axis is south-north, and the Z-axis is bottom-up. For each domain, the density values ($\rho$), Young’s Modulus ($E$), and Poisson’s ratio ($\nu$) were reported as: $\rho = 2550$ kg/m$^3$, $E = 20$ GPa, and $\nu = 0.25$ in strata a and b; $\rho = 2700$ kg/m$^3$, $E = 40$ GPa, and $\nu = 0.25$ in strata c and d; $\rho = 2700$ kg/m$^3$, $E = 70$ GPa, $\nu = 0.25$ in strata e; $\rho = 2700$ kg/m$^3$, $E = 170$ GPa, $\nu = 0.25$, and coefficient of viscosity = $10^{20}$ Pa·s in strata f.

The equivalent stress (von Mises stress) suggests that the rock will get yield if the second invariant of the deviatoric stress reach some critical value (Jaeger et al., 2009), which could be used to reflect the crust stress background. An increment in the equivalent stress will accelerate the failure of solid medium, while a decrement will prevent the solid medium from yielding. The 3D distribution of computed equivalent stress in the volume of the geological model was presented in Fig. 6a. Particularly, the computed equivalent stress on the ground surface (Fig. 6b) as well as that on the MHT structural surface (Fig. 6c) were sectioned and analysed. In order to better show the equivalent stress change at different depths, the equivalent stress distribution in two specially selected sections (A and B) were shown in Fig. 6d and Fig. 6e, respectively.
Figure 6: The spatial distribution of equivalent stress in a 3D perspective (a), on the surface (b) and MHT (c), and on section A (d) and section B (e). Red circles in (a) represent the hypocentres of seismic activities (Mw ≥3.0, 1921–2022) from USGS.

Obviously, the stress field varied a lot with the tectonic structures (Fig. 6a). The high-stress build-up was mainly associated with the MBT’ and MCT, shaped with a narrow belt along the faults, which coincides with the belt-shaped seismicity illustrated in Fig. 1 and reported by Pandey et al. (1999). Particularly, remarkable stress accumulation, with a maximum stress of up to 90–120 MPa, appeared around the hypocentres of the 2015 Mw 7.8 mainshock and its largest aftershock (Mw 7.3) (Fig. 6c), which might have reached the yield strength of local rock mass. In addition, both the magnitudes and areas of the concentrated stress on the ground surface (Fig. 6b) were relatively smaller than that on the MHT fault plane (Fig. 6c), suggesting an overall upward stress gradient between the MHT and ground surface. Fig. 6e shows that the maximum stress accumulation zone located at the depth of MHT. The stress distribution was relatively uniform with low value in the deep part under MHT, and the stress in the shallow part shows a clear trend decreasing from the MHT plane to ground surface (resulting an upward stress gradient), which can be observed in section B (Fig. 6e). Moreover, the stress distributions at north-western of Nepal is relatively lower than that at southern and eastern regions, suggesting an overall northward stress gradient in Nepal. In short, the CSFA in the Nepal Himalayas was mainly determined by the tectonic structures, and displayed inhomogeneous spatial pattern in 3D space. It is expected that CSFA in the Nepal Himalayas was closely related to the multiple seismic anomalies before the occurrence of 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence.

4.2 Response chains of CSFA

Rock mechanics have demonstrated that many physical properties of rocks, such as geological structure, physical temperature, acoustic emission, electric property, and even electromagnetic radiation, will change with the increasing of additional stress. Since most of them appeared or occurred remarkably before the final rock failure, the significant variations of local stress are considered as their sources or roots. However, the change in ground stress near the Earth’s surface is merely in the order of several MPa (Liao et al., 2003; Yukutake et al., 2010; Hasegawa et al., 2012), which is substantially smaller than that at depths of 10–45 km, where approximately 85% of destructive earthquakes occurred with considerable stress enhancement. Thus, how to understand the spatial gap between the observed anomalies near and above ground surface and the significant stress changes...
at hypocentres (depth of 15km) of Nepal earthquake is very critical. In addition, an increase in seismicity rate was found 3-4
days prior to the mainshock of 2015 Nepal earthquakes (Huang et al. 2016), which means that local stress had changed
significantly during this period. However, the reported seismic anomalies associated with the Nepal earthquakes (Fig. 3 and
4) were generally observed or appeared several to tens of days before the occurrence of earthquakes; thus, the physical process
without significant stress change is expected to be responsible for the anomalies. Therefore, a stress-associated physical process
considering both the spatial and temporal gaps should be further explored.

In the previous study, the spatio-temporal evolution of MBT anomalies related to the 2015 Nepal earthquakes were uncovered
in detail (Fig. 2 in Qi et al., 2022). The revealed MBT anomalies shows a strong spatiotemporal correlation with seismic
activity and a significant local topographic coincidence. A theoretical explanation for seismic MBT anomalies was proposed,
i.e. ‘crustal stress enhancing—P-hole producing and flowing down stress gradients—surface P-hole accumulating—dielectric
constant decreasing—microwave radiation increasing’. However, there still lacks direct evidence or intuitive presentation of
how and where the P-holes was produced, along what routes the P-holes propagated, and where it accumulated on ground
surface. Fortunately, the spatial distribution of the simulated crust stress in the study can perfect the theoretical response chain
of CSFA, and the simulation results can be mutually confirmed with the MBT anomaly and P-hole theory.

Figure 6 shows that the crustal stress distributing on and above the MHT fault plane, especially corresponding to the Nepal,
was highly concentrated and unevenly distributed. The volume between MCT and MBT’ belongs to the Lesser Himalaya
region, of which the geological lithology is basically composed of low-grade metamorphic and sedimentary rocks (Tater et al.,
1983; Burrard et al., 1907). Some amphibolites, volcanic rocks, granites, and augen gneisses exist also within different strata
(Dhital et al., 2015). Thus, as the crust stress build up locally, the area of high-grade metamorphic rocks schist and gneisses
(Fig. 5) which contain numerous peroxy bonds or oxygen defects (Freund et al., 2002, Freund, 2007), would have produced
massive positive charge carries (or positive holes, P-holes in brief) (Freund, 2010). The activated P-holes are to flow out from
the source area to upper portions of the crust with the stress gradients acting as the driving force (St-Laurent et al., 2006). The
3D distribution of uneven stress accumulation in Fig. 6a indicates that the regional stress gradient developed vertically from
MHT to ground surface and horizontally from south to north, which determined that the produced P-holes were able to transmit
upward and northward along the stress gradient from the hypocenter zone. Therefore, the ground surface above the high stress
concentration zone could be the core area of P-holes aggregation. Thus, the CSFA response chain of ‘CSFA—P-hole producing
and flowing down stress gradients—surface P-hole accumulating’ are supposed existed before the Nepal earthquake sequence
in 2015.

In laboratory experiments, enhanced TIR radiation was observed on the free end of compressed rock using a spectr-
radiometer, which was considered to be caused by P-holes recombination as it reaches to and recombine on the rock surface
(Freund 2007). Theoretical analysis and practical observation show that continuous P-holes accumulation on ground surface
will produce additional electric field and lead to sequential anomalies in the atmosphere and ionosphere (Freund et al., 2011).
In addition, the aggregation of P-holes in the shallow surface of rock volume is bound to generate a regional electric field
(Freund 2007), and the lattice ions in the rock volume will shift under the action of the additional electric field. Thus, the
microwave dielectric constant of rock materials (Mao et al., 2020) get reduced, which is also confirmed by laboratory observation of the dielectric change in non-stressed volume during rock loading (Mao et al., 2020, 2021). According to the microwave remote sensing physics, when the microwave dielectric of a substance decreases, its ability to radiate microwave energy will increase. Therefore, the MBT anomalies occurred with the same spatial pattern of P-holes aggregation on ground surface.

Therefore, as massive CSFA-activated P-holes migrate upward and reach to ground surface or mountain peaks, there are at least three different response chains with homologous physics. The first is the change of microwave permittivity caused by the electric field enhancement in shallow rock volume, the second is the additional infrared radiation emitted during the recombination of P-holes on ground surface, and the third is the atmospheric ionization and sequential productions of atmospheric and ionospheric anomalies caused by atmospheric electric field (AEF) enhancement and upward ionic motion.

5 CSFA-based rooting and LCAI coupling analysis

As shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, the seismic anomalies appeared in the four geospheres displayed an obvious upward evolution as the mainshock approaching, and also exhibited strong spatial aggregation and great correspondence to the seismogenic zone. Nevertheless, there still existed some inconsistency in the abnormal behaviours of different anomalies, such as the rise, fall or fluctuation behaviours in time domain, and the distributions of different anomalies did not overlap fully each other in space domain. In Sec. 4, CSFA is identified as the fundamental driver of the seismic anomalies and three potential stress response chains are presented. The reported multi-parameter seismic anomalies should be further scrutinized and rooted, only those anomalies matching perfectly the homologous physics in CSFA and behaved spatio-temporal consistency can be retained and considered as reliable seismic anomalies with potential earthquake precursor meanings.

The ionospheric anomalies appearing shortly before an earthquake are supposed to be potential precursors of an impending earthquake. However, the preseismic ionospheric anomalies are always characterized by large scopes and long distances away from the epicentres, even accompanied by conjugate phenomenon. Although a significant ionospheric anomaly could be observed preceding an earthquake, it is difficult to determine the potential seismogenic area only depending on a single ionospheric parameter. By analysing the spatio-temporal coincidence between the parameters in the same geosphere including ionosphere through the DTS criterion, the unreliable seismic anomalies can be eliminated. From the top down to the bottom, the anomalies with inconsistent physical mechanisms in different geospheres can be further excluded by analysing the possible physical homology between the anomalies appeared in adjacent geospheres through LCAI coupling mechanism. Therefore, the ionospheric anomaly can be rooted gradually down to the lithosphere and correlated with the CSFA firmly, and the potential seismogenic zone can be identified, even the locked-in areas of crustal stress can be roughly ascertained.

5.1 Ionospheric anomalies

The ionospheric anomalies before the 2015 Nepal earthquakes have been summarized in Sec.3 and displayed in Fig. 4d. The
transmission path of the reported VLF/ELF abnormal signals (Ouzounov et al., 2021; Phanikumar et al., 2018; Maurya et al., 2017) passed over the stress concentration area shown in the simulation results, and the moments of VLF/ELF anomalies appearance were also concentrated in the very short moment before the mainshock. Therefore, the reported VLF/ELF disturbances can be preliminarily identified to satisfy the DTS criterion. However, it is generally considered that the upward drift of ions (driving by CSFA induced additional electric field) from lower-atmosphere to the interface of upper atmosphere and lower ionosphere would form a vertical current and participated into the global electrical circuit (Rycroft et al. 2008), hence to impacts on the very low frequency (VLF) signals reflected by or transmitting thorough the ionosphere. Whether the reported VLF/ELF disturbances can be regarded as reliable earthquake precursor still requires further investigation of the spatio-temporal characteristics and physical homology of atmospheric parameters.

The reported TEC/VTEC anomalies exhibited typical conjugate distribution (Li et al., 2016; Ouzounov et al., 2021; Oikonomou et al., 2016), with the north part of the conjugated abnormal region appearing in the Nepal Himalayas (in Fig. 4d). We noticed the orbits of the satellites and the locations of GPS/GNSS stations, which were used for detecting TEC/VTEC anomalies, all passed over (He et al., 2017; Tang and Yuan 2017) or located in the stress concentration zone (Tang and Yuan 2017; Ulukavak et al., 2016). The abnormal geomagnetic signals detected by the Swarm mission (De Santis et al., 2017) appeared also near the west of the epicenter of Gorkha earthquake. Besides, these reported anomalies all appeared quite short before the shocking. However, the additional vertical electric field and electrostatic action of accumulated charges at the ground-to-air interface would have caused the positive ions to move upward with fine particles of light mass and reduce the electron content in the bottom ionosphere, resulting in negative TEC anomaly (Freund 2011). Therefore, the negative TEC/VTEC anomaly (Li et al., 2016; Ouzounov et al., 2021) can be firstly retained. Like VLF/ELF anomalies, before the reported TEC/VTEC anomalies can be considered as a response of CSFA, the coupling mechanism between them and anomalies in lower altitude needs to be further validated.

5.2 Atmospheric anomalies

ACP will change once the ionization process start in the near-surface atmosphere. Therefore, it is reasonable that ACP anomalies (Ouzounov et al 2021) appeared first among all the atmospheric anomalies before the Gorkha earthquake. The decrease of air humidity and the increase of AOD are twin seismic phenomena in atmosphere. The occurrence of these two anomalies confirmed the increased concentration of nucleating particles in the low layer of atmosphere, which means that a large number of ionizations had been produced in near-surface atmosphere and inevitably led to the rise of SAT (Jing et al., 2019; Hazra et al., 2017) and the drop of near-surface atmospheric electric field (unfortunately lack of in-situ observation). During the process of hydration or condensation, the phase of atmospheric molecules was transited from a free to a bound state, and additional latent heat was released (Jing et al., 2019). The atmospheric anomalies thus exhibited a scientifically correct temporal order as shown in Fig. 7. OLR reflects the total radiation energy (4-∞ μm) from ground surface, atmosphere and clouds (Ohring et al., 1982), its enhancement could not be definitely contributed by the thermal anomalies in the
atmosphere. From this perspective, the anomaly of OLR before the 2015 Nepal earthquakes (Ouzounov et al., 2021; Lu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2017; Chakraborty et al., 2018) cannot be attributed sufficiently to the coupling process in the atmosphere. The reported change of carbon and nitrogen gas (Ganguly 2016; Phanikumar et al., 2018; Jing et al., 2019) might be owing to the surface cracks caused by local CSFA, but not directly correlated with any of the three response chains of CSFA, which tells that the carbon and nitrogen anomalies cannot be coupled to ionospheric anomalies. Besides, based on the temporal characteristics of atmospheric anomalies, the reported decrease of TEC 14d before the mainshock reported by Li et al., (2016) should be denied due to its earlier occurrence than the top atmospheric anomaly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sphere</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Gorkha Mw 7.8 EQ, April 25</th>
<th>Dorlakha Mw 7.3 EQ, May 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>VLF/ELF</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>Ouzounov 2021, Manuya 2017, Phanikumar 2018</td>
<td>Phanikumar 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEC/ATEC</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Li 2016, Ouzounov 2021</td>
<td>Phanikumar 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geomagnetism</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>De Santis 2017</td>
<td>De Santis 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>SLHF</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Akhoozadeh 2019</td>
<td>Akhoozadeh 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOD</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Wu 2015</td>
<td>Wu 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air humidity</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Jing 2019, Haar 2017</td>
<td>Jing 2019, Hart 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Jing 2019, Haar 2017</td>
<td>Jing 2019, Hart 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Ouzounov 2021, Ouzounov 2018</td>
<td>Ouzounov 2021, Ouzounov 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>MBT</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Jing 2019</td>
<td>Jing 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Snow cover level</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Jing 2019</td>
<td>Jing 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TIR</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Ouzounov 2021, Ouzounov 2018</td>
<td>Ouzounov 2021, Ouzounov 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSFA</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>Shan 2015</td>
<td>Shan 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7:** The temporal distribution of screened seismic anomalies, which could be attributed to CSFA and stress-activated P-holes. ↑ denotes positive anomaly, while ↓ means negative anomaly, and ~ represents fluctuating or discrete anomaly.

### 5.3 Coverspheric anomalies

TIR radiation was observed not only on the fracturing surface (3.6-14 μm) (Wu et al., 2000, 2006a, 2006b; Liu et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2019) but also on the free end (8-12.5 μm) (Freund et al. 2011) of the compressed rock using infrared imager or spectra-radiometer in laboratory, which could be attributed partly to a synergic physics (Liu et al., 2021) including the recombination of P-holes (Freund 2007). TIR anomalies (Lu et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017) were the earliest to
be observed by satellites (between 8-12.5 μm) before the Gorkha earthquake. The reported MBT anomalies were more concentrated than the TIR anomalies in space (Jing et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2022). In particular, positive abnormal MBT stripes not only behaved in temporal consistency with the repeated process of earthquake preparation and occurrence, but also exhibited a significant topographic consistency with the north-south elevation profile of the Himalayas (Qi et al., 2022). The recombinant of P-holes might have started rightly and led to TIR anomalies as soon as it reached to ground surface, while the enhancement of MBT only appeared when and where the P-holes got sufficiently accumulated as the major events approaching. Hence the TIR anomaly could have occurred earlier than the MBT anomaly. Besides, the snow cover north near the Gorkha epicenter got an accelerated melting, which was attributed to the strengthened thermal gradient between snow pack and charges layer and resulted in an increase in SST (Bhardwaj et al., 2019). The snow cover anomaly overlapped the MBT anomaly in space, which could be served as the responses of CSFA induced P-holes aggregation. All the anomalies mentioned above occurred in the high stress concentration zone as that revealed by numerical simulation. Therefore, same as the atmospheric anomalies, they can all act as aftereffects of P-holes aggregation.

5.4 Lithospheric anomalies

The pre-seismic and co-seismic displacement fields observed by GPS and InSAR technology showed that the crustal deformation before the Nepal earthquakes was featured by a striped compression zone (Shan et al., 2015; Sreejith et al., 2016), which fit well with the simulated results in Fig. 6. The coincidence between the deformation and the high stress concentration on earth surface further indicates the reliability of the simulation results. According to the three response chains of CSFA, the upward migration of P-holes from the highly compressed seismogenic zone would have inevitably disturbed the amount of charged particles and the conductivity of ground soil or shallow rocks, thus changing the geoelectric field (Xi et al., 2016). However, we are not able to accept the reported geoelectric anomaly in the LCAI coupling in that the location of geoelectric station is far away from where the seismic anomalies appeared in coversphere and atmosphere. Although the variation of underground water anomalies, including temperature (Yang et al., 2016) and water-level (He et al., 2017; Ma and Huang 2017), might be caused by the CSFA, it might not directly interact with the compressive stress (Fig. 6) in that the observation location...
of underground water was almost separated from the principal compression region (Fig. 4a). Hence, the reported underground water anomalies are considered as non-homologous in this study.

5.5 Discussion on the homologous physics

Finally, the rootable seismic anomalies of multiple geospheres with homologous physics in CSFA as local crust stress accumulation are reached. The temporal process of the screened-out seismic anomalies being scientifically associated with the 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence is shown in Fig. 7. To better understand the spatio-temporal process of the LCAI coupling, the spatial evolution of the retained rootable seismic anomalies is visualized in a 3D manifold space (Fig. 8).

Figure 8: The integrated framework of rootable seismic anomalies as well as the evolution of LCAI coupling in a 3D manifold space. Line arrows and dashed arrows tell the coupling actions have been verified and not been proved, respectively.

The 3D numerical simulation shows the detailed pattern of CSFA as well as a high degree of local stress concentration in the study area before the earthquake. The appearance of MBT anomalies indicates that the surface microwave dielectric did reduce, which confirms the existence of the first response chain of CSFA. Surface TIR anomalies indicate that the existence of the second response chain, while the atmospheric and ionospheric anomalies confirmed the third response chain of CSFA, as in Fig. 8. The three stress response chains coexisted before the Nepal earthquake sequence in 2015, which shared the homologous physics in CSFA.

Freund et al. (2002, 2009, 2011) believe that P-holes are mobile and capable of flowing down stress gradients into an unstressed rock mass and finally accumulating on a distant rock surface, thus leading to sequential productions of anomalies in the
atmosphere and ionosphere. Based on thorough investigation of geological and structural conditions, the 3D numerical simulation in this study uncovered the source, the path and the destination of P-holes generation, transmission and aggregation. Pulinets et al. (Pulinets and Boyarchuk 2004; Pulinets 2009) believes that the released radon gas due to CSFA will also give rise to multiple seismic anomalies. Unfortunately, there was no radon observation site found falling in the stress concentration zone given by the simulation results, and the radon-driven chain cannot be proved for the Nepal earthquakes in 2015. However, it does not mean that radon induced seismic anomalies did not actually exist before the Nepal earthquakes sequence, it might work if available data is found in the stress concentration zone. Besides, in another of our studies, the hydrothermal anomalies before the 2009 L'Aquila earthquake in Italy showed evident quasi-synchronous features, which indicated a geosphere coupling process (Wu et al., 2016). No matter whether the seismic anomaly is caused by P-holes or/and by the decay of radon, or by the hydrotherm, it could all be rooted to regional CSFA as well its local blocking. Since the existence of P-holes can stimulate the release of radon to a certain extent (Freund 2010), the two theories are not isolated from each other but compatible with the CSFA-driven framework.

6 Conclusions

In this study, a large number of literatures on the seismic anomalies possible related with 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence were collected and reviewed carefully. A spatio-temporal diagram of the reported seismic anomalies was preliminary constructed under the umbrella of LCAI coupling paradigm, which exhibits an obvious temporal sequence of developing upward as the mainshock approaching and a strong spatial aggregation corresponding to the seismogenic zone. By numerically simulating the equivalent stress accumulation over the past 500 years, inhomogeneous CSFA along the faults and around the hypocentres of 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence are illustrated clearly. The joint analysis of crust stress simulation and tectonic environment shows that there existed a chain of ‘CSFA—P-hole producing and flowing down stress gradients—surface P-hole accumulating’ before the Nepal earthquakes in 2015. The regional CSFA as well as its local blocking can be regarded as the root of seismic anomalies in the Nepal Himalayas. Three different stress response chains with homologous physics in CSFA were theoretically summarized, i.e., the decrease of microwave dielectric caused by electric field enhancement in shallow rock volume, the additional infrared radiation due to P-holes recombination on ground surface, and the atmospheric ionization together with sequential anomalies caused by atmospheric electric field enhancement due to P-holes accumulation.

Accordingly, the preliminary obtained spatio-temporal diagram of reported seismic anomalies was scrutinized and rooted retroactively with the homologous physics in CSFA. Finally, an integrated LCAI coupling framework with strict space-time coincidence and the homologous physics in CSFA was presented, and a synergic chain of CSFA—P-hole activation, migration and aggregation—seismic anomalies associated with the Nepal earthquakes in 2015 was proposed and verified. Furthermore, the spatio-temporal evolutions of the rootable seismic anomalies are visualized in a 3D manifold space to better understanding the LCAI coupling process. This study and the results are of scientific meanings for searching earthquake precursors and for
reaching earthquake prediction in the near future.

However, the change of near surface vertical AEF, which is an important atmospheric parameter that could be directly respond to the P-holes aggregation on ground surface and its subsequent electric effect, have not been reported after the 2015 Nepal earthquake sequence. The radon observation and the induced seismic anomaly in the local stress concentration area, which is very important to establish another coupling process related to CSFA, have not been reported so far for the lack of effective observation sites. To further enhance the synergic method of LCAI coupling of homologous physics for scrutinizing seismic anomalies and searching earthquake precursors, some other parameters in the lithosphere being able to reflect the propagation of P-holes should be carefully investigated. Especially, the observation on vertical AEF as well as the investigation to its seismic disturbance should be fully addressed.
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