# Mapping of ESA-CCI land cover data to plant functional types for use in the CLASSIC land model

Libo Wang<sup>1</sup>, Vivek K. Arora<sup>2</sup>, Paul Bartlett<sup>1</sup>, Ed Chan<sup>1</sup>, and Salvatore R. Curasi<sup>34</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Climate Processes Section, Climate Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, ON, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis, Climate Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Victoria, BC, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada.

<sup>4</sup>Climate Processes Section, Climate Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Victoria, BC, Canada.

Corresponding author: Libo Wang, Libo.Wang@ec.gc.ca

#### 1 Abstract

2 Plant functional types (PFTs) are used to represent vegetation distribution in land surface models 3 (LSMs). Previous studies have shown lLarge differences are found in the geographical distribution of PFTs currently used in various LSMs, which may. These differences arise from 4 5 the differences in the underlying land cover products but also the methods used to map or 6 reclassify land cover data to the PFTs that a given LSM represents. There are large uncertainties associated with existing PFT mapping methods since they are largely based on expert judgment 7 and therefore are subjective. In this study, we propose a new approach to inform the mapping or 8 9 the cross-walking process using analyses from sub-pixel fractional error matrices, which allows 10 for a quantitative assessment of the fractional composition of the land cover categories in a dataset. We use the Climate Change Initiative (CCI) land cover product produced by the 11 European Space Agency (ESA). A pPrevious studywork has shown that compared to fine-12 13 resolution maps over Canada, the ESA-CCI product provides an improved land cover distribution compared to that from the GLC2000 dataset currently used in the CLASSIC 14 (Canadian Land Surface Scheme Including Biogeochemical Cycles) model. A tree cover fraction 15 dataset and a fine-resolution land cover map over Canada are used to compute the sub-pixel 16 fractional composition of the land cover classes in ESA-CCI, which is then used to create a 17 cross-walking table for mapping the ESA-CCI land cover categories to nine PFTs represented in 18 the CLASSIC model. There are large differences between the new PFT distributions and those 19 currently used in the model. Offline simulations performed with the CLASSIC model using the 20 21 ESA-CCI based PFTs show improved winter albedo compared to that based on the GLC2000 22 dataset. This emphasizes the importance of accurate representation of vegetation distribution for realistic simulation of surface albedo in LSMs. Results in this study suggest that the sub-pixel 23

fractional composition analyses are an effective way to reduce uncertainties in the PFT mapping
process and therefore, to some extent, objectify the otherwise subjective process.

26

### 27 **1. Introduction**

28 Land cover is a critical component of the earth system that affects the exchange of energy, water, 29 and carbon between the land surface and the atmosphere (Pielke et al., 1998; Sterling et al., 2013). Accurate representation of global land cover (LC) is important for land surface models 30 31 (LSMs) which provide the lower boundary conditions to the atmosphere in numerical weather 32 forecasting, climate, and earth system models (ESMs). Plant functional types (PFTs) are groups of plant species that share similar structural, phenological, and physiological traits, and have 33 been commonly used in LSMs to represent vegetation distribution. This simplification has 34 35 allowed the simulation of structural attributes of vegetation dynamically within ESMs (Arora & Boer, 2010; Bonan et al., 2003; Krinner et al., 2005). In order to improve the representation of 36 ecosystem ecology and vegetation demographic processes within ESMs, both species-based and 37 trait-based models have been attempted in LSMs (Fisher et al., 2018; Zakharova et al., 2019). 38 However, these individual-based models are computionally too expensive to This is because it is 39 far more challenging to model biogeochemical processes, especially photosynthesis and the 40 41 carbon cycle at the global scale, at the species level (Bonan et al., 2002; Smith et al., 1997; 2001). -As a compromise, "cohort-based" models have been developed where individual plants 42 with similar properties (size, age, functional type) are grouped together and have been 43 implemented in some ESMs (Fisher et al., 2018). Though there are limitations in PFTs-based 44 models (Scheiter et al., 2013; Zakharova et al., 2019), PFTs are commonly used in LSMs that 45

- 46 participate routinely in the Global Carbon Project (Friedlingstein et al., 2020) and in ESMs that
- participate in the Coupled Models Intercomparison Project (CMIP, Wang et al., 2016). 47
- There are three approaches for modeling PFTs: (1) static, where the fractional coverage of PFTs 48
- is prescribed and does not vary through time; (2) forced, where the fractional coverage of PFTs 49
- is still prescribed but vary through time based on scenarios of land cover/land-use change; and 50
- (3) dynamic, where the fractional coverage of PFTs is simulated dynamically with competition 51
- for available space and resources between PFTs (Fisher et al., 2018; Melton and Arora, 2016). 52
- The number and type of PFTs used in each LSM differ. Global land cover datasets are typically 53
- used to derive the fractional coverage-and geographical distribution of PFTs for use in LSMs. 54
- However, large differences exist in both the fractional coverage and the geographical distribution 55
- 56 of PFTs, which are caused by differences in the LC datasets themselves but also due to the
- methods used to map LC datasets to the PFTs represented in various models (Fritz et al, 2011; 57
- 58 Hartley et al., 2017; Ottle et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016).

59 Since different PFTs are characterized by different physical and biogeochemical processes and parameter values, the spatial distribution and fractional cover of PFTs constitute one of the 60 important geophysical fields that are required for realistic simulation of carbon, water, and 61 energy budgets in LSMs (Arora and Boer, 2010; Betts, 2001). For example, the sSurface 62 roughness for short or tall vegetation is also very different, which affects simulated turbulent 63 exchanges. Tthe surface albedos for needleleaf evergreen trees, broadleaf deciduous trees, and 64 65 grasslands are also very different, especially during winter when deciduous trees are leafless and short vegetation is largely buried by snow (Bartlett and Verseghy, 2015; Moody et al., 2007). 66 Surface roughness for short or tall vegetation is also very different, which affects simulated 67 turbulent exchanges. Wang et al. (2016) found that the bias in winter albedo in selected boreal

69 forest regions among the <u>CMIP5</u> models participating in the fifth phase of the Coupled Model 70 Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) was largely related to biases in leaf area index (<u>LAI</u>) and tree 71 cover fraction. Model experiments using the MPI-ESM by Georgievski and Hagemann (2019) 72 suggested that uncertainties in vegetation distribution may lead to noticeable variations in near-73 surface climate variables and large-scale circulation patterns.

74 The Canadian Land Surface Scheme Including Biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC) is an opensource community land model that is designed to address research questions that explore the role 75 of the land surface in the global climate system (Melton et al., 2020). It is the successor to the 76 coupled modelling framework based on the Canadian Land Surface Scheme (CLASS; Verseghy, 77 1991; 1993) and the Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM; Arora and Boer, 2005; 78 79 Melton and Arora, 2016). The physics and biogeochemistry modules of CLASSIC are based on CLASS and CTEM models, respectively. Since the development of CTEM in the early 2000s, 80 81 the GLC2000 LC product has been used to specify the spatial distribution of PFTs for CLASSIC 82 when employed as the land surface component of the Canadian Earth system model developed by Environment and Climate Change Canada (Arora et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2006). The 83 84 Climate Change Initiative (CCI) LC product recently produced by the European Space Agency (ESA) is available at an annual temporal resolution for the period 1992 to 2018 at 300 m spatial 85 resolution (ESA, 2017). It was produced based on broad user consultation, specifically to address 86 the needs of the climate modelling community (Bontemps et al., 2012). Wang et al. (2019) 87 showed that when compared to the finer resolution maps over Canada, the 300 m ESA-CCI 88 89 product provides much improved LC distribution over Canada compared to that from the 1 km 90 GLC2000 dataset.

91	To map LC classes to PFTs, a cross-walking table (CW-table) is usually created to assign
92	fractions of each LC class to the different PFTs, such that the sum of the fractions for each class
93	is always one (including fractions of water and bare ground). Previous methods for creating such
94	CW-tables are mainly based on LC class descriptions, expert knowledge, and the spatial
95	distribution of global biomes (Ottle et al., 2013; Poulter et al., 2011; 2015; Sun and Liang, 2007;
96	Wang et al., 2006). Because LC maps only provide the types of vegetation, and each class can be
97	associated with a broad range of fractional cover of either one or more vegetation types, there are
98	large uncertainties associated with any cross-walking or reclassification process. Wang et al.
99	(2019) reclassified the 10 PFTs in the default CW-table provided in the ESA-CCI LC product
100	user manual (Table 7-2, ESA, 2017) into PFTs represented in the CLASSIC model, and
101	compared them with those based on the GLC2000 dataset. The results suggest that uncertainties
102	in the CW-tables were a major source of large differences in the PFT distributions. In addition,
103	the fractional coverage of tree PFTs based on the default CW-table for the ESA-CCI LC dataset
104	was overestimated along the taiga-tundra transition zone in western Canada, which led to
105	underestimation in winter albedo in CLASSIC offline simulations driven with observation-based
106	reanalysis data (Wang et al., 2018).

107 The objective of this study is to develop a new CW-table for reclassifying the ESA-CCI LC 108 classes into PFTs representedused in the CLASSIC model for use over the model's Canadian 109 domain, and to compare and assess the performance of CLASSIC offline simulations using the 110 new and existing PFT distributions. Given the close link between the bias in winter albedo and 111 the vegetation distribution in the models (Wang et al., 2016), our assessment of model 112 performance focuses on the simulated surface albedo during the maximum snow accumulation 113 period (February–March for the boreal forest). This simplifies our analyses by excluding the

fall/spring transition periods when biases in snow accumulation and melt timing can have a large influence on surface albedo simulated by LSMs (Wang et al., 2014). In addition, we extend the CW-table for the ESA-CCI LC dataset to the global domain. A comprehensive assessment of the impact of the PFT distribution based on the new CW-table and the ESA-CCI LC dataset on the performance of the CLASSIC model at the global scale is presented in Arora et al. (2022).

#### **2. Data and the CLASSIC model**

# 120 2.1 The Hybrid LC map over Canada

The open access to the United States Geological Survey archive of Landsat imagery has provided
open and free access to georeferenced and spectrally corrected analysis-ready imagery (Wulder
et al., 2012), which makes it possible to generate time series of LC maps to study LC change.
Recently two of these products based on Landsat imagery weare generated over Canada,
including the North America Land Change Monitoring System (NALCMS) LC dataset
(Latifovic et al., 2017) and the Virtual Land Cover Engine (VLCE) framework-generated LC
dataset (Hermosilla et al., 2018).

Based on the random forest algorithm and local optimization method, the Canada Centre for
Remote Sensing has generated the NALCMS LC maps of Canada for the years 2010 and 2015 at
30 m resolution using Landsat imagery (Latifovic et al., 2017). These LC products are the
Canadian contribution to the 30 m resolution 2010/2015 LC map of North America to the joint
collaborative effort by the Mexican, American, and Canadian government institutions under the
NALCMS umbrella. The NALCMS LC map has 19 classes based on the United Nations Land
Cover Classification System (LCCS; Di Gregorio, 2005). Assessment based on reference

samples showed an overall accuracy of 76.6% for the year 2010 data (Latifovic et al., 2017),which is used in this study.

137 VLCE is an automated framework to enable change-informed annual LC mapping using time series of Landsat surface reflectance, informed by spatially explicit forest change and a priori 138 139 knowledge of ecological succession. Temporally consistent annual LC maps representative of Canada's forested ecosystems from 1984 to 2012 were generated using the VLCE framework, 140 characterizing LC dynamics following wildfire and harvesting events by Hermosilla et al. 141 (2018). The VLCE maps have 12 LC classes in a hierarchical classification structure following 142 that of the National Forest Inventory. Assessment based on reference samples showed an overall 143 accuracy of 70.3% for the map of the year 2005 (the year with the greatest number of reference 144 samples; Hermosilla et al., 2018). Land cover data from the year 2010 are used in this study. 145 Overall, the 19-class NALCMS product presents a more detailed LC distribution than the 12-146 147 class VLCE map over Canada. For example, areas classified as "Exposed/Barren lands" in the VLCE map correspond to either "Sub-polar or polar grassland-lichen-moss", "Sub-polar or polar 148 barren-lichen-moss", or "Barren lands" in the NALCMS map. Areas of cropland are not 149 separated from grassland in the VLCE map. A recent study showed that the wetland class in 150 NALCMS suffers from large uncertainty in forest cover mapping because treed-wetland was not 151 separated from herbaceous wetland in its legend (Wang et al., 2019). To take advantage of both 152 datasets, we created a hybrid product by combining them through the following steps: (1) 153 Reproject the VLCE data from its Lambert Conformal Conic projection to the same Lambert 154 155 Azimuthal Equal Area projection that is used for the NALCMS data; (2) Replace pixels classified as "Exposed/Barren lands" and "Bryoids" in the VLCE data with the more specific LC 156 classes from the NALCMS data; (3) Replace pixels classified as "Herbs" in the VLCE data with 157

the "Cropland" class in the NALCMS data <u>(remains "Herbs" if not classified as "Cropland" in</u>
<u>NALCMS</u>); (4) and merge the rest of LC classes from NALCMS to the corresponding classes in
the VLCE data. There are a total of 17 classes in this new hybrid product, which we will
henceforth refer to it as the Hybrid LC dataset and is shown in Figure 1.

#### 162 **2.2** The global LC products

163 The GLC2000 dataset was generated from SPOT/VEG data collected from November 1999 to

164 December 2000 at 1 km resolution (Bartholomé and Belward, 2005). It was produced by 21

separate regional expert groups using an unsupervised image classification method. Based on the

166 LCCS, the regional products were merged into one global product with a generalized LCCS

167 legend of 22 classes. Assessment based on a random sampling of reference sites globally

168 estimated an overall accuracy of 68.6% for the GLC2000 product (Mayaux et al., 2006).

169 The annual ESA-CCI LC data at 300 m resolution are available for the period 1992-2018, which

170 were generated from baseline data and annual LC changes (ESA, 2017). The baseline data were

171 generated using a combination of machine learning and unsupervised image classification

172 methods from the entire archive of ENVISAT/Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer for the

period of 2003-2012. The annual LC changes were detected at 1 km resolution from the

174 Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer time series between 1992 and 1999, SPOT/VEG

time series between 1999 and 2013, and the PROBA-V time series between 2013 and 2018.

176 Based on the LCCS legend, the ESA-CCI LC data have 22 level 1 classes, and 15 level 2 sub-

177 classes. Assessment based on the GlobCover validation database estimated an overall accuracy

178 of 71% for the ESA-CCI LC product (ESA, 2017).

#### 179 **2.3 Other datasets**

180 Airborne Lidar has been used to monitor forests since the 1980s and is well suited to estimate vegetation height, volume, and biomass (Hopkinson et al., 2006; Wulder et al., 2008). Vegetation 181 cover percentage for canopy height above 2 m from airborne Lidar data are used to estimate the 182 fraction of tall versus low vegetation for LC classes with a mix of woody and herbaceous 183 vegetation in this study. The Lidar data were collected along 34 survey flights across the boreal 184 forest of Canada in the summer of 2010 by the Canadian Forest Service (Wulder et al., 2012). A 185 25 by 25 m tessellation was generated with the approximately 400 m wide Lidar swath, with 186 each cell treated as an individual Lidar plot. 187 A tree cover fraction (TCF) dataset for 2010 is also used in this study (Hansen et al., 2013; 188 hereafter the Hansen TCF dataset). It was based on Landsat images at 30 m resolution. In 189 190 contrast to the discrete LC classification datasets (providing a certain number of LC classes) as described above, the Hansen dataset is a vegetation continuous field product (providing tree 191 192 cover fractions from 0 - 100%), in which the satellite spectral information was used to estimate 193 the TCF in each pixel using a regression tree algorithm (Hansen et al., 2002; 2010). This may better represent heterogeneous areas than is possible by discrete LC classification. Tree cover is 194 defined to exist over pixels where canopy closure is observed for vegetation taller than 5 m in 195 height. Forests are generally defined as woody vegetation taller than 3 m in the regional and 196 global LC datasets. The different definitions of tree heights should not result in much difference 197

198 in areas with mature forests, such as most boreal forests in Canada.

199 Simulated surface albedo by the CLASSIC model in offline experiments is evaluated against the

- 200 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (MCD43C3) broadband (0.3–5.0
- $\mu$ m) white-sky albedo (Schaaf et al., 2002), with quality flags of 0–2 (75% or more full
- inversions and 25% or fewer fill values) and solar zenith angles less than 70°. The MODIS

albedo product used in this study is at 0.05 degree resolution, and is regridded to the 0.22 degree

resolution used for the CLASSIC simulations (see Section 2.4.2).

#### 205 2.4 The CLASSIC model and simulation setup

# 206 2.4.1 The CLASSIC model

- 207 CLASSIC is the successor to the coupled modelling framework based on the Canadian Land
- 208 Surface Scheme (CLASS; Verseghy, 1991; 1993) and the Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem Model

209 (CTEM; Arora and Boer, 2005; Melton and Arora, 2016). The physics and biogeochemistry

210 components of CLASSIC are based on CLASS and CTEM, respectively.

For the physics component, the default model's vegetation is represented in terms of the

fractional coverage of the four PFTs (needleleaf trees, broadleaf trees, crops, and grasses). The

213 physics component represents a single snow layer with variable depth and a single vegetation

214 canopy layer. As a first-order treatment of subgrid-scale heterogeneity, each grid cell is divided

up into four sub-areas, consisting of vegetated and bare soil areas, each with and without snow

cover. <u>The visible and near-infrared albedos of each PFT/vegetation category are specified.</u>

217 These albedos are further modified by taking into account the fraction of the ground that is seen

from the sky above referred to as the sky view factor (which is modelled as a function of the leaf

area index). The albedo of the ground that is seen from the sky above depends on if the ground is

220 <u>snow covered or not but also on the soil moisture of the top soil layer, since wet soil is darker</u>

221 <u>than the dry soil.</u> Canopy snow processes such as interception/unloading, sublimation, and melt

- are all simulated. <u>The aggregated visible and near-infrared albedos for the bulk canopy are</u>
- <u>incremented using the current values weighted by the fractional coverage of the vegetation</u>
- 224 <u>categories (Verseghy 1993). More details can be found in Appendix A. The overall surface</u>
- albedo of a grid cell albedo is computed as a weighted mean using the fractional coverages for

each the four sub-areassurface type. Twenty ground layers represent the soil profile, starting with
 10 layers of 0.1 m thickness. The thicknesses of the layers gradually increase to 30 m for a total
 ground depth of over 61 m. Liquid and frozen soil moisture contents, and soil temperature, are

- determined prognostically for permeable soil layers.
- 230 The biogeochemistry component of CLASSIC<u>used here</u> represents vegetation in terms of nine
- 231 PFTs: Needleleaf Evergreen trees (NLE), Needleleaf Deciduous trees (NLD), Broadleaf
- 232 Evergreen trees (BLE), Broadleaf Cold Deciduous trees (BCD), Broadleaf Dry Deciduous trees
- 233 (BDD), C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> Crops (C3C/C4C), and C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> Grasses (C3G/C4G). These nine PFTs map
- directly onto the four PFTs used by CLASSIC's physics component. When the physics and
- biogeochemistry components are coupled together, as in the case of simulations carried out in
- 236 <u>this study</u>, the structural attributes of vegetation including leaf area index, canopy mass, rooting
- 237 depth, and vegetation height are simulated dynamically as a function of environmental
- conditions by the biogeochemistry component. When the biogeochemistry component is turned
- off, specified structural attributes of vegetation for use by the physics component are extracted
- from look-up tables.

#### 241 2.4.2 Simulation set up

- 242 Gridded meteorological data based on the Climatic Research Unit (CRU,
- 243 https://crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/hrg/) and Japanese reanalysis (JRA) (CRUJRA) are used to
- drive CLASSIC simulations. The data are constructed by regridding data from the JRA and
- adjusting where possible to align with the CRU TS 4.05 data. The blended product from January,
- 1901 to December, 2020 has the 6-hourly temporal resolution of the reanalysis product but
- 247 monthly means adjusted to match the CRU data (Harris, 2020). The 6-hourly data are
- disaggregated <u>on-the-fly within CLASSIC</u> into half-hourly data <u>following the methodology by</u>

249 Melton and Arora (2016) for the following seven meteorological variables that are used to force the model: 2 m air temperature, total precipitation, specific humidity, downward solar radiation 250 251 flux, downward longwave radiation flux, surface pressure, and wind speed. Surface temperature, 252 surface pressure, specific humidity, and wind speed are linearly interpolated. Long-wave radiation is uniformly distributed across a 6-hour period, and shortwave radiation is diurnally 253 distributed over a day based on a grid cell's latitude and day of year with the maximum value 254 occurring at solar noon. Precipitation is treated following Arora (1997), where the total 6-hour 255 256 precipitation amount is used to determine the number of wet half hours in a 6-hour period. The 6-257 hour precipitation amount is then spread randomly, but conservatively, over the wet half-hourly periods. In CLASSIC, the phase of precipitation is determined by a threshold surface air 258 temperature with three options available (Bartlett et al., 2006). The 0°C air temperature threshold 259 260 is used to partition precipitation into rain or snow in this study. This choice does not have a significant impact on the simulated surface albedo in CLASSIC escpecially during the February-261 March months when the snow cover is near its maximum (Wang et al. 2014). 262 Two simulations over the 1850-2020 historical period are performed using PFTs derived from 263 the ESA-CCI and the GLC2000 datasets respectively, which is the only difference between the 264 265 two simulations. Static PFTs are used in the simulations where the fractional coverage of PFTs is prescribed and does not vary through time. Besides land cover and meteorological forcings, 266 CLASSIC requires globally averaged atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and geographically 267 varying time-invariant soil texture and soil permeable depth. The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration 268 269 values are provided by the Global Carbon Project protocol (https://www.globalcarbonproject.org/index.htm). The soil texture information consists of the 270 percentage of sand, clay, and organic matter and is derived from the SoilGrids250m dataset 271

272 (Hengl et al., 2017), and permeable soil depth is based on Shangguan et al. (2017). The simulations are performed at a 0.22 degree rotated latitude-longitude grid over a domain 273 including Canada and part of Alaska (Fig. 3). Pre-industrial simulations that correspond to the 274 year 1850 are required prior to doing the historical simulations so that model's carbon pools, 275 including leaf biomass which determines leaf area index, are spun up to near equilibrium for 276 each land cover. The pre-industrial simulations use 1901-1920 meteorological data repeatedly 277 with atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration specified at its 1850 level. Each historical simulation is then 278 initialized from its corresponding pre-industrial simulation after it has reached equilibrium (with 279 280 carbon fluxes to conditions corresponding to the year 1850). For the period 1851-1900, the CRUJRA meteorological data for the first 20 years (1901-1920) are used repeatedly. For the 281 1901-2020 period the meteorological data corresponding to each actual year are used. The period 282 from 2001 to 2015 was selected for analyzing the simulated results. 283

284 **3. PFT mapping methods and results** 

285 The CW-table for the ESA-CCI LC dataset is generated through a multi-step process that

286 <u>combines multiple land cover maps at different spatial and categorical resolutions with ancillary</u>

<u>data on tree cover and vegetation height (Fig. 2). This includes the following steps: (1)</u>

288 <u>combining two existing land cover maps (NALCMS and VLCE) to produce a harmonized 30 m</u>

289 <u>land cover (Hybrid) map with improved categorical precision (as described in Section 2.1); (2)</u>

290 creating a CW-table for the Hybrid land cover map through a direct mapping of classes from the

Hybrid map onto the CLASSIC PFTs, such that each land cover class corresponds to a particular

292 <u>mix of PFTs as represented in CLASSIC. This step is supported by vegetation height data from</u>

293 <u>an airborne Lidar campaign over parts of Canada; (3) computing the sub-pixel fractional</u>

294 <u>composition for classes in the ESA-CCI land cover map (300 m resolution) based on the 30 m</u>

295 Hybrid land cover dataset and the Hansen tree cover fraction dataset; (4) using the sub-pixel 296 fractional composition analysis to create a CW-table for mapping the ESA-CCI land cover classes onto PFTs as represented in CLASSIC; and (5) since the ESA-CCI dataset is global, the 297 298 CW-table developed over Canada is extended to the whole globe. A CW-table for the Hybrid LC map based on the Lidar plots, tree species, field surveys, and high-resolution images is first 299 created. We then compute the sub-pixel fractional composition of the LC classes in the 300 m 300 ESA-CCI data using the 30 m Hansen TCF and the 30 m Hybrid LC data respectively, which are 301 in turn used to create the CW-table for the ESA-CCI dataset (Fig. 2). Finally the CW-table 302 303 developed for the ESA-CCI dataset over Canada is extended to the whole globe as explained in Section 3.3. 304

#### **305 3.1 CW-table for mapping Hybrid LC classes to CLASSIC PFTs**

Among the nine CLASSIC PFTs, BLE and BDD forests are not present in Canada. These are 306 307 primarily tropical PFTs as represented in CLASSIC. NLD only accounts for less than 1% of 308 coniferous forests in Canada (Wang et al., 2019). Therefore we do not consider NLD, BLE, and BDD from here on in this study. Considering the fine resolution (30 m) of the Hybrid map, 309 310 especially relative to the model resolution ( $\sim 16$  km) used in this study, we assign fractions of 1.0 to the two pure forest classes (LC210 and LC220), the cropland (LC15), and the five non-311 vegetative classes (LC16 to LC32) in its CW-table (Table 1). The mixed-wood category (LC230) 312 is split evenly into NLE and BCD in the table based on the definition in the VLCE legend 313 (Hermosilla et al., 2018; Wulder et al., 2003). Note that in Table 1, broadleaf deciduous trees 314 (BDD and BCD) are considered together and separated later into their cold and drought 315 316 deciduous versions. Similarly, and crops and grasses (- $C_3$  and  $C_4$ -)erops and grasses, are considered together and separated later into their C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> varieties. The reason for this is that 317

the separation of broadleaf trees into their cold and deciduous phenotypes is based on latitude (Wang et al., 2006). The separation of crops and grasses based on their photosynthetic pathway (C<sub>3</sub> or C<sub>4</sub>) is done based on the C<sub>4</sub> fraction from Still and Berry (2003), which is available at 1° <u>resolution</u>.

322 CLASSIC explicitly represents shrub PFTs (Meyer et al., 2021), but this work does not use that model version, and therefore the fraction of tall shrubs is assigned to one of the tree PFTs as was 323 done in creating the CW-table for GLC2000 for use with CLASSIC (Wang et al., 2006). Four 324 (LC2 - Sub-polar taiga needleleaf forest, LC50 - Shrubland, LC80 - Wetland, and LC81-325 326 Wetland-treed) out of the 17 classes in the Hybrid map are characterized by a mosaic of trees, 327 shrubs, and herbaceous vegetation. The vegetation coverage for canopy height above 2 m from Lidar plots is used to inform the partitioning of forest (tall vegetation) to non-forest (low 328 vegetation) fractions for these mixed classes. We overlay the Lidar plots on the Hybrid land 329 330 cover map in ArcGIS. Samples (20 to 40, note that these classes do not cover large areas in 331 Canada) for the four mixed classes in the Hybrid map are selected where there are Lidar data. 332 The vegetation coverage data (for canopy height above 2 m) from Lidar plots for samples of each 333 class are used to compute an average coverage of tall vegetation (> 2 m) for that class, which is then used to assign forest fractions for these four classes in Table 1. 334

The distribution of tree species from Beaudoin et al. (2014) is used to guide the separation of coniferous versus broadleaf forest fractions. For example, for the Wetland-treed category (LC81), maps of tree species show that coniferous forests dominate wetland-treed regions, while broadleaf forests are generally non-existent. We, therefore, assign most of the forest fraction to NLE (0.55), only 0.05 to BCD, 0.35 to grasses, and the remaining to the bare ground for LC81 (Table 1). The presence of evergreen shrubs is rare in Canada according to National Forest

341 Inventory ground plots data (Gillis et al., 2005), thus we only assign an estimated tall shrub fraction (0.20) in the shrub class (LC50) to BCD. The sub-polar or polar classes (LC11 to LC13) 342 are located above the treeline and mainly consist of low shrubs and grass. The fractions of grass 343 (including low shrubs) and bare ground are based on field surveys of fractional vegetation cover 344 345 and tundra PFT data in Bjorkman et al. (2018) and Macander et al. (2020) (by computing the average fractions at the field sites which overlap with the sub-polar or polar classes in the 346 Hybrid/NALCMS land cover map). High-resolution images from Google Earth engine or Bing 347 Maps are also used to examine the ratio of vegetated versus bare ground for all classes in which 348 349 bare ground is present.

#### 350 **3.2.** CW-table for mapping ESA-CCI LC classes to CLASSIC PFTs over Canada

#### 351 **3.2.1** The error and sub-pixel fractional error matrices

352 A standard approach for the accuracy assessment of LC products is to use an error matrix. It is a square array or table of numbers arranged in rows and columns, in which the classification from 353 354 the LC product (usually represented by the rows) is compared to the reference data (usually represented by the columns) for each category (Congalton, 1991). The major diagonal of the 355 matrix presents the number of correct classifications indicating the agreement between the LC 356 and the reference data for each category. In practice, fine-resolution regional maps are often used 357 to assess large-scale LC products derived from coarse-resolution data (Cihlar et al., 2003). In 358 such cases, the fine-resolution reference data are aggregated/regridded to match the grid of the 359 coarse-resolution data. Several classes in the reference data may be present in a single coarse-360 resolution pixel depending on the homogeneity of the landscape. In order to compare the 361 362 reference and the LC data on a one-to-one basis, the dominant LC class (the class with the most

abundant fractions based on all fine-resolution pixels in the reference data) is often assigned tothe regridded reference pixel.

The sub-pixel fractional error matrices have been introduced as a more appropriate way of 365 assessing the accuracy of mixed pixels by Latifovic and Olthof (2004). In contrast with an error 366 matrix where only the dominant LC class is used as described above, the sub-pixel fractional 367 368 error matrix is produced by assigning sub-dominant LC classes from all fine-resolution pixels in the reference data to the corresponding single coarse-resolution pixel. It thus allows a 369 quantitative assessment of the fractional composition of the LC classes in the coarse resolution 370 371 dataset. In this study, both the 30 m Hansen TCF data and the 30 m Hybrid LC map are used to compute the sub-pixel fractional error matrices of the 300 m ESA-CCI dataset (Table 2 and 372 Table 3). However, the objective here is not an accuracy assessment as in Latifovic and Olthof 373 (2004) but rather to obtain the fractional composition of the LC classes in the ESA-CCI product 374 375 and to inform the PFT mapping process. We refer to this process as the sub-pixel fractional composition analyses in the rest of this paper. Sub-pixel fractional composition analyses is first 376 377 performed for each ecozone and then weighted mean fractions for each ESA-CCI cslass are computed based on pixel counts in each of the ecozones (see the location of ecozones in Fig. 1). 378 379 For the Hansen TCF data, results are shown only for the ESA-CCI LC classes containing forests in Canada (Table 2). In the ESA-CCI legend (Table 4), two sub-classes for broadleaf (LC61 and 380 LC62) and needleleaf (LC71 and LC72) forests are included as the closed (>40% forest cover) 381 382 and open (10-40% forest cover) categories apart from the main classes (LC60 and LC70, closed to open (>15%)). As expected, the TCF is larger for the closed classes than for the main and the 383 384 open classes (Table 2). In Table 2, we also include ratios of TCF between the main class and the 385 closed class, and between the open class and the closed class. We note that the ratios are

different for broadleaf (main class vs. closed class: 68.5/86.7=0.8; open class vs. closed class:
0.43/86.7=0.43) and needleleaf (main class vs. closed class: 39.3/61.7=0.6; open class vs. closed
class: 23.2/61.7=0.38) forests, which need to be taken into account when creating the CW-table
for the ESA-CCI dataset.

390 To obtain representative class compositions of the ESA-CCI dataset, only homogenous ESA-CCI

391 pixels are included in the sub-pixel composition analyses based on the Hybrid LC data. The

homogenous pixels are defined following the method in Herold et al. (2008). To quantify

landscape heterogeneity, 3×3 pixel neighborhoods are assessed for the ESA-CCI data. A

neighborhood is considered homogenous if only one LC class is present. The weighted mean

fraction for each class is computed from ecozones with more than 10 homogenous ESA-CCI

pixels for that class (Table 3). Only 13 out of the 37 ESA-CCI classes are included in Table 3,

the rest of the classes either have limited presence in Canada or are non-vegetative (Table 4).

In the Hybrid CW-table (Table 1), four LC classes (2, 81, 210, and 230) contribute to the
fractional cover of NLE, and five LC classes (50, 80, 81, 220, and 230) contribute to the
fractional cover of BCD. In Table 3, we also include an integrated fractional cover (F) for NLE
and BCD (last two rows) for each of the ESA-CCI classes based on the following formula:

402  $F = \sum_{i=1}^{N} F \mathbf{1}_{i} * F \mathbf{2}_{i}$ (1)

Where  $F1_i$  are fractions in Table 3,  $F2_i$  are fractions in Table 1, and N is the number of Hybrid LC classes contributing to NLE (N = 4) or BCD (N = 5) as shown in Table 1. As an example, the fraction of NLE for the LC70 (Tree cover needleleaf evergreen closed to open) in ESA-CCI data in Table 3 (see the NLE row and the column for class 70) is obtained as follows: F =  $0.02 \times 0.20 +$  $0.17 \times 0.55 + 0.29 \times 1.0 + 0.09 \times 0.5 = 0.44$ . This process reduces the subjectivity in assigning the ESA-CCI land cover classes to CLASSIC's two tree PFTs (NLE and BCD) that are present in
Canada since the process is based on the high-resolution Hybrid LC data.

#### 410 **3.2.2** CW-table for the ESA-CCI LC dataset over Canada

Table 2 and Table 3 thus form the basis for creating the CW-table for mapping the ESA-CCI LC 411 classes to CLASSIC's PFTs (Fig. 2 and Table 4). For the ESA-CCI class LC61 (Tree cover 412 broadleaved deciduous closed) (not included in Table 3 due to limited presence in Canada), 413 ratios of TCF for LC60 vs LC61 in Table 2 and the fractions of LC60 (Tree cover broadleaved 414 deciduous closed to open) in Table 3 are used to derive fractions for LC61 in Table 4. The 415 remapping of LC62 (Tree cover broadleaved deciduous open) and LC72 (Tree cover needleleaf 416 evergreen open) into CLASSIC's PFTs is done in a similar way. Since NLD is not included in 417 418 either Table 2 or Table 3, the needleleaf deciduous tree cover classes (LC80-82) are assigned to the same fractions as the needleleaf evergreen tree cover classes (LC70-72). For simplicity, the 419 fractions in Table 3 are rounded to values with either "0" or "5" at the hundredth place when 420 421 used in Table 4. For the rest of the classes not included in either Table 2 or Table 3, values are based on the default CW-table from the ESA-CCI user guide (Table 7-2, ESA, 2017). The spatial 422 423 distribution of LC classes is also taken into consideration when determining the fractions in the CW-table. For example, the sparse vegetation class (LC150) is mainly distributed above the 424 treeline in alpine and Arctic tundra environments, thus we only assign 0.05 to BCD, the rest to 425 C3G/C4G and bare ground (Table 4). 426

The six CLASSIC PFTs (those present in Canada) are produced from the Hybrid and the ESACCI maps based on Table 1 and Table 4 respectively. The PFTs from the Hybrid map are used as
a reference here to map ESA-CCI land cover classes to CLASSIC's PFTs. To make the spatial

distribution of PFTs from ESA-CCI agree better with those from the Hybrid dataset, fractions for
the following classes in Table 4 are adjusted upward by 0.05: LC60 from 0.65 to 0.70 for BCD;
LC71 and LC81 from 0.80 to 0.85 for NLE; and LC120 from 0.10 to 0.15 for BCD. Values for
LC10-20 are also slightly adjusted to reduce crop fractions.

#### 434 **3.3. CW-table for the ESA-CCI LC dataset over the globe**

Based on Table 4, the fractional coverage of nine CLASSIC PFTs are also produced on a global 435 scale and used in offline CLASSIC simulations in Arora et al. (2022), who carry out a 436 comprehensive assessment of the impact of using two different LC datasets (ESA-CCI versus 437 GLC2000) for representing the nine PFTs in the CLASSIC model. However, some adjustments 438 to Table 4 are found to be necessary. This is because fractions of NLE (Needleleaf evergreen 439 440 forests) in Eurasia are found to be too low relative to the Hansen TCF data, with maximum values only around 0.45 in most NLE dominated areas, where the maximum TCF from the 441 Hansen dataset is around 0.80. This indicates that the needleleaf evergreen forests classes (LC 442 443 70-72) in the ESA-CCI map may represent different forest/tree cover fractions in Canada and Eurasia, which is confirmed by sub-pixel fractional composition analyses based on the Hansen 444 445 TCF dataset. Details are presented in Appendix B. Needleleaf evergreen forests are represented by LC classes 70 (closed to open), 71 (closed), and 72 (open). Examining the ESA-CCI LC map 446 447 shows that in Eurasia nearly all needleleaf evergreen forests are classified as LC70 (closed-to-448 open), with only less than 400 pixels as LC71 (closed), and none as LC72 (open). In contrast, in Canada 36% of needleleaf evergreen forest is classified as LC70 (closed-to-open), 64% as LC71 449 (closed), and less than 1% as LC72 (open). Sub-pixel fractional composition analyses of the 450 451 ESA-CCI classes based on the Hansen TCF dataset show that in Eurasia TCF for LC70 (closedto-open) is 66% and for LC71 (closed) is 35% (note few pixels with this class). This is in 452

453 contrast with those in Canada where TCF for LC70 ( closed to open) is 39% and for LC71 (closed) is 62%, explaining the too low NLE fractions in Eurasia when mapping PFTs based on 454 Table 4, and also the too high TCF in northwestern Canada when mapping PFTs based on the 455 default CW-table (Wang et al., 2018). In order to apply Table 4 globally, the original LC70 456 (closed-to-open) was split into two classes: LC73 (a new class) which is the same as LC70 over 457 Canada (and zero everywhere else), and LC70 (revised) which is the same as before except zero 458 over Canada. The fractions for the new LC70 class are made the same as for LC71 in Table 4, 459 which applies to NLE outside of Canada. Essentially, the closed-to-open needleleaf forest LC70 460 461 class over Eurasia is treated as the closed needleleaf forest. The global PFTs based on Table 4 are They also assess the effect of using land cover 462

reconstructions based on the ESA-CCI and GLC2000 datasets on the simulated surface energy,
 water, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in the CLASSIC model.

## 465 **<u>4. Results</u>**

# 466 **3.4<u>.1</u> Comparison of PFTs from Hybrid, ESA-CCI, and GLC2000 data**

467 Figure 3 shows the spatial distribution of PFTs derived from the Hybrid, ESA-CCI, and

468 GLC2000 LC datasets respectively. C<sub>4</sub> crops (C4C) and grasses (C4G) are sparse in Canada as

469 would be expected since C4 PFTs grow only in warmer temperatures when the average monthly

temperature exceeds 22 °C (Fox et al., 2018). <u>Based on the fractional distribution of C4</u>

471 <u>vegetation in Still and Berry (2003) and the Hybrid map, the average fraction is 0.5% for C4</u>

472 <u>crops and 0.1% for C4 grasses in Canada.</u> Therefore, only four out of the six PFTs (those present

473 in Canada) are shown in Figure 3. In general, the spatial distributions of the PFTs from the ESA-

474 CCI and the Hybrid datasets agree well except for C<sub>3</sub> grasses (C3G) (Fig. 3j and Fig. 3k). This is

475	not surprising given that the CW-table for the ESA-CCI dataset is based on the Hybrid map.
476	Areas mapped as C3G in Hybrid (Fig. 3j), were mainly classified as sparse vegetation (LC150)
477	in the ESA-CCI legend (Table 4). However, LC150 from ESA-CCI was also found in some areas
478	of the high Arctic islands, where barren land is the dominant class in the Hybrid map (grey
479	coloured areas in Fig. 1). If too much grass were assigned to LC150, it would yield
480	unrealistically large fractional coverage of grass in the high Arctic islands. In Table 4, for
481	LC150, 0.05 is assigned to BCD, 0.35 to grasses, and the rest to the bare ground for LC150,
482	which yields a total vegetation cover of 40% and is more than the definition (<15% vegetation)
483	used in the ESA-CCI legend. Yet, this still results in less C3G and less bare ground in the ESA-
484	CCI map (Fig. 3k and Fig. 3n) than those from the Hybrid map (Fig. 3j and Fig. 3m). This
485	suggests that it is not ideal to classify areas in the high Arctic islands and in the Arctic tundra
486	region as being in the same land cover category.
487	There are large differences in the spatial distribution of the PFTs based on the GLC2000 LC

489 PFTs from ESA-CCI, GLC2000 has less NLE and more BCD in northwestern Canada, and more

product and those based on the Hybrid and ESA-CCI datasets (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Relative to

490 NLE in southern and eastern Canada (Fig. 4a and Fig. 4b). GLC2000 based CLASSIC PFT

491 fractions also exhibit more crops, less grass, and more bare ground (Fig. 4c-4e). These

492 differences partly stem from the differences in the ESA-CCI and GLC2000 LC datasets, but are

493 also due to the fact how the fractions in the CW-tables of the two datasets are used to translate

494 LC data to fractional coverage of PFTs as demonstrated in Wang et al. (2019).

# 495 **3.54.2** Bias in simulated surface albedo and LAI

488

496 The top row of Figure 5 shows the bias in winter albedo (March) simulated by CLASSIC when using PFT distributions based on the ESA-CCI (Fig. 5a) and GLC2000 products (Fig. 5b). While 497 model biases are the result of both the driving geophysical and meteorological data that are used 498 to force the model, as well as the model itself, the comparison between the two simulations does 499 show the effect of differences in the distribution of PFTs. Relative to observed surface albedo 500 501 from MODIS, there are relatively large negative biases in the southwest of Hudson Bay and central Quebec, while there are relatively large positive biases in western Canada and Alaska in 502 the simulation when using the GLC2000 product to obtain PFT distributions (Fig. 5b). Both the 503 504 negative and the positive biases are largely reduced in the simulation using PFT distributions based on the ESA-CCI product (Fig. 5a). The lower row of Figure 5 shows the spatial 505 distribution of the difference in surface albedo (Fig. 5c) and leaf area index (Fig. 5d) between the 506 two simulations, which are closely correlated (r = -0.85). Given the same meteorological forcing 507 dataset is used to drive both simulations, the differences in the simulated LAI are due mainly to 508 the different PFT distributions used in the two simulations. Since NLE is the only PFT with 509 LAI > 0 during winter in Canada, the LAI difference in March as shown in Figure 5d is mainly 510 due to the different fractional coverage of NLE based on the ESA-CCI and GLC2000 products 511 512 (Fig. 4a).

In contrast, the large positive albedo biases (up to  $\sim 0.4$ ) in southern Canada are more or less the same in both simulations (Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b), where the dominant PFT is C3 crops (Fig. 3h and Fig. 3i). Those positive albedo biases are likely due to the standing crop stubble <u>and the lack of</u> the representation of blowing snow and its sublimation currently in CLASSIC (Harder et al., <u>2018; Pomeroy et al., 1993)</u>. Harder et al. (2018) showed that the height of the stubble over wheat and canola field in Saskatchewan, Canada may range from 10 to 40 cm, with a maximum

PAI (plant area index) of 1.0. Wang et al. (2016) showed that surface albedo in CLASSIC
decreased exponentially with increasing PAI for the bare or snow-covered canopy over snow,
while most reductions of the albedo were achieved through the increase of PAI from 0 to 1.0.
They showed that surface albedo decreased from 0.75 to 0.31 in CLASSIC when PAI increased
from 0 to 1.0 for the bare canopy over snow, which appears to account for most of the positive
albedo biases in the agricultural areas of southern Canada (Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b). Improvements to
the crop module of CLASSIC to improve cropland albedo are currently being considered.

#### 526 4<u>5</u>. Summary and conclusions

A hybrid land cover map at 30 m resolution is created by merging the NALCMS and VLCE land 527 cover products over Canada. Vegetation height data from Lidar plots, tree species, and high 528 529 resolution images are used to inform the creation of a CW-table for mapping the 17 LC classes of the Hybrid map to six CLASSIC PFTs that are present in Canada. Both the Hybrid map and 530 the Hansen tree cover fraction data are used to compute the sub-pixel fractional composition of 531 532 the LC classes in the ESA-CCI LC dataset, which is then used to create a cross-walking table for 533 mapping the 37 ESA-CCI categories to CLASSIC PFTs over the model's Canadian domain. Based on the new CW-tables, PFT distributions are produced from the Hybrid and the ESA-CCI 534 LC products, respectively, and are compared with those based on the GLC2000 dataset currently 535 used in CLASSIC. The results show that the spatial distribution of PFTs from the ESA-CCI 536 dataset is in better agreement with those from the Hybrid map, while there are large differences 537 in the PFTs from the GLC2000 dataset and from the Hybrid/ESA-CCI datasets. The CW-table 538 539 developed over Canada is adjusted and also used to map PFTs based on the ESA-CCI LC 540 product for use in CLASSIC simulations at the global scale.

541 Our PFT mapping approach for the ESA-CCI dataset is mainly based on sub-pixel fractional composition analyses using the Hybrid map and the Hansen tree cover fraction data, and 542 therefore the accuracy of the latter two datasets affects the PFT mapping process. Some LC 543 categories in the ESA-CCI legend either have limited presence or no presence in Canada, such as 544 the Needleleaf deciduous trees, Broadleaf Evergreen trees, and Broadleaf Dry Deciduous trees 545 546 etc., and the sub-pixel fractional composition analyses therefore can not be performed for these LC categories. The needleleaf deciduous tree cover classes are assigned to the same fractions as 547 the needleleaf evergreen tree cover classes in the CW-table, and values based on the default CW-548 549 table from the ESA-CCI user guide are used for the other LC categories. Therefore potentially large uncertainties may be associated with these classes in the resulting fractional coverage of 550 PFTs especially at the global scale. Similar analyses for other regions (e.g. Eurasia and tropics) 551 for which high quality regional land cover maps are available will be helpful in reducing these 552 uncertainties in the future work. In addition, the exercise of mapping PFTs at the global scale in 553 this study reveals that there are inconsistencies in the representation of fractional coverage for 554 some LC categories in the ESA-CCI map for different regions of the globe. Future improvements 555 in the consistency of the LC categories globally in the ESA-CCI LC product would greatly 556 557 benefit the land surface and the earth system modelling community. In the meantime, caution should be exercised when using this product for mapping PFTs represented in any LSM based on 558 a single cross-walking table at the global scale. 559 CLASSIC simulations driven with meteorological data from the CRU-JRA product show that the 560 simulated winter albedo is improved when using PFT distributions based on the ESA-CCI LC 561 product compared to that based on the GLC2000 product, which is consistent with findings from 562

563 previous studies. While, CLASSIC simulations could also have been performed using its PFT

564 distributions based on the Hybrid LC product, the reason for using the ESA-CCI based PFT fractions for CLASSIC is that ESA-CCI is a global product. CLASSIC simulations are routinely 565 performed at the global scale both in the framework of the Canadian Earth System Model (Swart 566 et al., 2019), where CLASSIC serves as its land component, and offline where global CLASSIC 567 simulations driven with the CRU-JRA meteorological data contribute to the annual global carbon 568 569 budget assessments of the Global Carbon Project (Friedlingstein et al., 2020; Seiler et al., 2021). Untreated crop stubble appears to be contributing to the cause for positive winter albedo biases 570 in southern Canada, which needs to be addressed in a future version of CLASSIC. These results 571 572 underscore the importance of accurate representation of vegetation distribution in a realistic simulation of surface albedo in LSMs. 573

Previous methods for mapping PFTs from LC datasets have mainly been based on class 574 descriptions, expert knowledge, and the spatial distribution of global biomes, which is a largely 575 576 subjective process. As a consequence, a PFT method developed for mapping one LC dataset to PFTs represented in one model can not be easily transferred to other LC datasets even for 577 deriving PFTs in the same model. The development of satellite and computing technology has 578 enabled the creation of more detailed global LC products at finer spatial resolutions in recent 579 years, however, the lack of an objective PFT mapping method impedes the implementation of the 580 new improved LC products in LSMs. Here, we have proposed a method to inform the cross-581 walking process using sub-pixel fractional composition analyses based on a tree cover fraction 582 dataset and a fine-resolution LC map. Our results suggest that the sub-pixel fractional 583 composition analyses provide an effective way to reduce uncertainties in the cross-walking 584 process and therefore, to some extent, objectifies the otherwise subjective process. The PFT 585

mapping approach developed in this study can also be applied to other LC datasets for mappingPFTs used in other LSMs.

588	
589	<u>Appendix A</u>
590	In CLASSIC, the surface albedo for a canopy over snow ( $\alpha$ ) is:
591	$\underline{\alpha} = \alpha_c (1 - \chi)(1 - f_{snow}) + \alpha_{c,snow}(1 - \chi)(f_{snow}) + \alpha_{snow}\chi\tau_c $ (1)
592	$\underline{\chi} = \exp\left(-K^*PAI\right) \tag{2}$
593	
594	calculated using separate parameters ( $\alpha_c$ , $\alpha_{c,snow}$ , $\tau_c$ and K) for both the visible (VIS) and near
595	infrared (NIR) bands, where $\alpha_c$ is the snow-free canopy albedo, $\alpha_{c,snow}$ the snow-covered canopy
596	albedo, $f_{snow}$ the fraction of the canopy with snow on it, $\alpha_{snow}$ the snowpack albedo. $\tau_c$ is canopy
597	transmissivity and is modeled using a Beer's law approach, ignoring multiple reflections
598	(Verseghy et al. 1993). K is an extinction coefficient that varies with vegetation type. The
599	appearance of $\tau_c$ in the last term of Eq.1 accounts for the shading of the snowpack by the canopy,
600	converting the simulated snowpack albedo to an effective value of the canopy gaps. PAI is plant
601	area index which is the sum of leaf area index and stem area index.
602	
603	<u>Appendix B</u>
604	Based on Table 4, the fractional coverage of nine CLASSIC PFTs are also produced on a global
605	scale. However, some adjustments to Table 4 were found necessary. This is because fractions of
606	NLE (Needleleaf evergreen forests) in Eurasia are found to be too low relative to the Hansen

607	TCF data, with maximum values of only around 0.45 in most NLE dominated areas, where the
608	maximum TCF from the Hansen dataset is around 0.80. Needleleaf evergreen forests are
609	represented by LC classes 70 (closed to open), 71 (closed), and 72 (open). Examining the ESA-
610	CCI LC map shows that in Eurasia nearly all needleleaf evergreen forests are classified as LC70
611	(closed to open), with only less than 400 pixels as LC71 (closed), and none as LC72 (open). In
612	contrast, in Canada 36% of needleleaf evergreen forest are classified as LC70 (closed to open),
613	64% as LC71 (closed), and less than 1% as LC72 (open). This is understandable given that sub-
614	classes were only assigned where surface samples were available (ESA, 2017). Sub-pixel
615	fractional composition analyses of the ESA-CCI classes based on the Hansen TCF dataset show
616	that in Eurasia TCF for LC70 (closed to open) is 66% and for LC71 (closed) is 35% (note the
617	few pixels within this class). This is in contrast with those in Canada where the TCF for LC70
618	(closed to open) is 39% and for LC71 (closed) is 62%, explaining the too low NLE fractions in
619	Eurasia when mapping PFTs based on Table 4, and also the too high TCF in northwestern
620	Canada when mapping PFTs based on the default CW-table (Wang et al., 2018). In order to
621	apply Table 4 globally, the original LC70 (closed to open) was split into two classes: LC73 (a
622	new class) which is the same as LC70 over Canada (and zero everywhere else), and LC70
623	(revised) which is the same as before except zero over Canada. The fractions for the new LC70
624	class are made the same as for LC71 in Table 4, which applies to NLE outside of Canada.
625	Essentially, the closed-to-open needleleaf forest LC70 class over Eurasia is treated as the closed
626	needleleaf forest.
627	

# 628 Acknowledgements.

629	We'd like to thank Mike Brady (ECCC) for his help with data processing and especially for
630	creating the Hybrid map, and Mike Wulder from the Canadian Forest Service of Natural
631	Resources Canada for providing the Lidar plots data and for helping interpret the Lidar data and
632	the VLCE land cover map.
633	
634	References
635	Arora, V.: Land surface modelling in general circulation models: a hydrological perspective,
636	PhD thesis, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Melbourne,
637	<u>1997.</u>
638	Arora, V. K., Boer, G. J.: A parameterization of leaf phenology for the terrestrial ecosystem
639	component of climate models. Glob. Chang. Biol., 11, 39-59, 2005.
640	Arora, V. K. and Boer, G. J.: Uncertainties in the 20th century carbon budget associated with
641	land use change, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 3327–3348, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
642	2486.2010.02202.x, 2010.
643	Arora, V. K., Boer, G. J., Christian, J. R., Curry, C. L., Denman, K. L., Zahariev, K., Flato, G.
644	M., Scinocca, J. F., Merryfield, W. J., and Lee, W. G.: The Effect of Terrestrial Photosynthesis
645	Down Regulation on the Twentieth-Century Carbon Budget Simulated with the CCCma Earth
646	System Model, J. Clim., 22, 6066–6088, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI3037.1, 2009.
647	Arora, V. K., Seiler, C., Wang, L., and Kou-Giesbrecht, S.: Towards an ensemble-based
648	evaluation of land surface models in light of uncertain forcings and observations, EGUsphere
649	[preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-641, 2022.
	30

- Beaudoin, A., Bernier, P.Y., Guindon, L., Villemaire, P., Guo, X.J., Stinson, G., Bergeron, T.,
- Magnussen, S., and Hall, R.J.: Mapping attributes of Canada's forests at moderate resolution
- through kNN and MODIS imagery, Can. J. For. Res. 44: 521–532, dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-20130401, 2014.
- Bartholomé, E., and Belward, A. S.: GLC2000: A new approach to global land cover mapping
- from Earth Observation data, Int. J. Remote Sens., 26, 1959–1977, 2005.
- Bartlett, P. A., MacKay, M. D., and Verseghy, D. L.: Modified snow algorithms in the Canadian
- 657 land surface scheme: Model runs and sensitivity analysis at three boreal forest stands, Atmos.-
- 658 Ocean, 44, 207–222, 2006.
- Bartlett, P. A., and Verseghy, D. L.: Modified treatment of intercepted snow improves the
- simulated forest albedo in the Canadian land surface scheme, Hydrol. Process., 29, 3208–3226,
- 661 https://doi:10.1002/hyp.10431, 2015.
- 662 Betts, R. A.: Biogeophysical impacts of land use on present-day climate: near-surface
- temperature change and radiative forcing, Atmos. Sci. Lett., 2, 39–51,
- 664 https://doi.org/10.1006/asle.2001.0037, 2001.
- Bjorkman, A. D., Myers-Smith, I. H., Elmendorf, S.C. et al.: Plant functional trait change across
- a warming tundra biome, Nature, 562, 57–62, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0563-7, 2018.
- Bonan, G. B., Levis, S., Kergoat, L., Oleson, K. W.: Landscapes as patches of plant functional
- types: An integrating concept for climate and ecosystem models, Global Biogeochem. Cycles,
- 669 16(2), 1021, https://doi:10.1029/2000GB001360, 2002.

- 670 Bonan, G. B., Levis, S., Sitch, S., Vertenstein, M., and Oleson, K. W.: A dynamic global
- 671 <u>vegetation model for use with climate models: Concepts and description of simulated vegetation</u>
  672 dynamics. Global Change Biology, 9, 1543–1566, 2003.
- Bontemps, S., Herold, M., Kooistra, L., van Groenestijn, A., Hartley, A., Arino, O., Moreau, I.,
- 674 Defourny, P.: Revisiting land cover observation to address the needs of the climate modeling
- 675 community, Biogeosciences, 9, 2145–2157, https://doi:10.5194/bg-9-2145-2012, 2012.
- Di Gregorio, A.: Land Cover Classification System Classification concepts and user manual
- 677 for Software version 2, FAO Environment and Natural Resources Service Series, No. 8, Rome,
- 678 208pp, 2005.
- 679 ESA: Land Cover CCI Product User Guide Version 2. Tech. Rep., Available at:
- 680 maps.elie.ucl.ac.be/CCI/viewer/download/ESACCI-LC-Ph2-PUGv2\_2.0.pdf, 2017.
- Fisher, R. A., Koven, C. D., Anderegg, W. R. L. et al.: Vegetation demographics in Earth System
- 682 <u>Models: A review of progress and priorities. Glob Change Biol., 24, 35–54,</u>
- 683 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13910, 2018.</u>
- 684 Fox, D.L., Pau, S., Taylor, L., Strömberg, C. A. E., Osborne, C.P., Bradshaw, C., Conn, S.,
- Beerling, D.J., and Still, C.J.: limatic Controls on C4 Grassland Distributions During the
- 686 Neogene: A Model-Data Comparison, Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 6,
- 687 <u>https://doi:10.3389/fevo.2018.00147</u>, 2018.
- 688 Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Hauck, J., Olsen, A., Peters, G.
- 689 P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Sitch, S., Le Quéré, C., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B.,
- Alin, S., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Arneth, A., Arora, V., Bates, N. R., Becker, M., Benoit-Cattin, A.,
- Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Bultan, S., Chandra, N., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Evans, W., Florentie,

- L., Forster, P. M., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gilfillan, D., Gkritzalis, T., Gregor, L., Gruber, N.,
- Harris, I., Hartung, K., Haverd, V., Houghton, R. A., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Joetzjer, E., Kadono,
- 694 K., Kato, E., Kitidis, V., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre, N., Lenton, A., Lienert, S.,
- 695 Liu, Z., Lombardozzi, D., Marland, G., Metzl, N., Munro, D. R., Nabel, J. E. M. S., Nakaoka, S.-
- 696 I., Niwa, Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pierrot, D., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L.,
- 697 Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Schwinger, J., Séférian, R., Skjelvan, I., Smith, A. J. P., Sutton,
- A. J., Tanhua, T., Tans, P. P., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., van der Werf, G., Vuichard, N., Walker, A.
- 699 P., Wanninkhof, R., Watson, A. J., Willis, D., Wiltshire, A. J., Yuan, W., Yue, X., and Zaehle,
- 700 S.: Global Carbon Budget 2020, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 3269–3340,
- 701 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020, 2020.
- Fritz, S., See, L., McCallum, I., Schill, C., Obersteiner, M., van der Velde, M., Boettcher, H.,
- 703 Havlík, P., Achard, F.: Highlighting continued uncertainty in global land cover maps for the user
- community, Environ. Res. Lett., 6, 44005. https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/044005,
- 705 2011.
- 706 Georgievski, G., Hagemann, S.: Characterizing uncertainties in the ESA-CCI land cover map of
- the epoch 2010 and their impacts on MPI-ESM climate simulations, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 137,
- 708 1587–1603, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-018-2675-2, 2019.
- 709 Gillis, M. D., Omule, A. Y., and Brierley, T.: Monitoring Canada's forests: The National Forest
- 710 Inventory, The Forestry Chronicle, 81(2): 214-221, <u>https://doi.org/10.5558/tfc81214-2</u>, 2005.
- 711 Harris, I. C.: CRU JRA v2.1: A forcings dataset of gridded land surface blend of Climatic
- 712 Research Unit (CRU) and Japanese reanalysis (JRA) data; Jan. 1901 Dec. 2019, Centre for

- 713 Environmental Data Analysis, University of East Anglia Climatic Research Unit,
- 714 https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/10d2c73e5a7d46f4ada08b0a26302ef7, 2020
- Hartley, A. J., MacBean, N., Georgievski, G., Bontemps, S.: Uncertainty in plant functional type
- distributions and its impact on land surface models, Remote Sens. Environ., 203, 71–89,
- 717 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.07.037, 2017.
- Harder, P., Warren D. H., and Pomeroy, J. W.: Modeling the Snowpack Energy Balance during
- 719 Melt under Exposed Crop Stubble, J. Hydrometeorol., 19, 1191-1214, https://DOI:
- 720 10.1175/JHM-D-18-0039.1, 2018.
- Hansen, M. C., DeFries, R. S., Townshend, J. R. G., Sohlberg, R., Dimiceli, C., Carroll, M. L.:
- 722 Towards an operational MODIS continuous field of percent tree cover algorithm: Examples us-

ing AVHRR and MODIS data, Remote Sens. Environ., 83, 303–319, 2002.

- Hansen, M. C., Stehman, S. V., Potapov, P. V.: Quantification of global gross forest cover loss,
- P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107, 8650–8655, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912668107, 2010.
- Hansen, M. C., Potapov, P. V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S. A., Tyukavina, A.,
- 727 Thau, D., Stehman, S. V., Goetz, S. J., Loveland, T.R., et al.: Highresolution global maps of
- 21st-century forest cover change, Science, 342, 850–853, https://DOI: 10.1126/science.1244693,
  2013.
- Hengl, T., Mendes de Jesus, J., Heuvelink, G. B. M., Ruiperez Gonzalez, M., Kilibarda, M.,
- 731 Blagotić, A., Shangguan, W., Wright, M. N., Geng, X., Bauer-Marschallinger, B., Guevara, M.
- A., Vargas, R., MacMillan, R. A., Batjes, N. H., Leenaars, J. G. B., Ribeiro, E., Wheeler, I.,

- 733 Mantel, S., and Kempen, B.: SoilGrids250m: Global gridded soil information based on machine
- rad learning, PLOS ONE, 12, 1–40, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169748, 2017
- 735 Hermosilla, T., Wulder, M. A., White, J. C., Coops, N. C., Hobart, G.W.: Disturbance informed
- annual land cover classification maps of Canada's forested ecosystems for a 29-year Landsat
- time series. Can. J. Remote. Sens., 44, 67–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/07038992.2018.1437719,
  2018.
- 739 Herold, M., Mayaux, P., Woodcock, C. E., BaESACCIni, A., Schmullius, C.: Some Challenges
- in Global Land Cover Mapping: An Assessment of Agreement and Accuracy in Existing 1 km
- 741 Datasets, Remote Sens. Environ., 112, 2538–2556, 2008.
- Hopkinson, C., Chasmer, L., Lim, K., Treitz, P., and Creed, I.: Towards a universal lidar canopy
- height indicator, Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing, 32 (2), 139-152, https://doi:
- 744 10.5589/m06-006, 2006.
- <u>Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudre, N., Ogee, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P. et al.: A</u>
   dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the coupled atmosphere-biosphere system,
- 747 <u>Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 19(1), GB1015, 2005.</u>
- Latifovic, R., Pouliot, D., and Olthof, I.: Circa 2010 Land Cover of Canada: Local Optimization
- 749 Methodology and Product Development, Remote Sens., 9, 1098,
- 750 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9111098, 2017.
- 751 Latifovic, R., and Olthof, I.: Accuracy assessment using sub-pixel fractional error matrices of
- global land cover products derived from satellite data, Remote Sens. Environ., 90, 153–165,
- 753 2004.

- 754 Macander, M. J., Frost, G. V., Nelson, P. R., and Swingley, C. S.: ABoVE: Tundra Plant
- Functional Type Continuous-Cover, North Slope, Alaska, 2010-2015, ORNL DAAC, Oak
- 756 Ridge, Tennessee, USA, https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1830, 2020.
- 757 Mayaux, P., Eva, H., Gallego, J., Strahler, A. H., Herold, M., Agrawal, S., Naumov, S., De
- 758 Miranda, E. E., Di Bella, C. M., Ordoyne, C., et al.: Validation of the Global Land Cover 2000
- 759 Map. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 44, 1728–1739, 2006.
- 760 Melton, J. R. and Arora, V. K.: Competition between plant functional types in the Canadian
- 761 Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM) v. 2.0, Geosci Model Dev, 9, 323–361,
- 762 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-323-2016, 2016.
- 763 Melton, J. R., Arora, V. K., Wisernig-Cojoc, E., Seiler, C., Fortier, M., Chan, E., and
- 764 Teckentrup, L.: CLASSIC v1.0: the open-source community successor to the Canadian Land
- Surface Scheme (CLASS) and the Canadian Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM) Part 1:
- Model framework and site-level performance, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 1–40,
- 767 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2019-329, 2019.
- 768 Meyer, G., Humphreys, E. R., Melton, J. R., Cannon, A. J., and Lafleur, P. M.: Simulating
- 769 <u>shrubs and their energy and carbon dioxide fluxes in Canada's Low Arctic with the Canadian</u>
- 770 Land Surface Scheme Including biogeochemical Cycles (CLASSIC), Biogeosciences, 18, 3263–

771 <u>3283, 2021.</u>

- Moody, E. G., King, M. D., Schaaf, C. B., Hall, D. K., Platnick, S.: Northern Hemisphere five-
- year average (2000–2004) spectral albedos of surfaces in the presence of snow: statistics
- computed from Terra MODIS land products, Remote Sens. Environ., 111, 337–345, https://DOI:
- 775 10.1016/j.rse.2007.03.026, 2007.

- 776 Ottlé, C., Lescure, J., Maignan, F., Poulter, B., Wang, T., and Delbart, N.: Use of various remote
- sensing land cover products for plant functional type mapping over Siberia, Earth Syst. Sci. Data,
- 5, 331–348, https://doi:10.5194/essd-5-331-2013, 2013.
- 779 Pielke, R. A., Avissar, R., Raupach, M., Dolman, A. J., Zeng, X., Denning, S.: Interactions
- between the atmosphere and terrestrial ecosystem: influence on weather and climate, Global
- 781 Change Biol., 4, 461–475, 1998.
- 782 Poulter, B., Ciais, P., Hodson, E., Lischke, H., Maignan, F., Plummer, S., and Zimmermann, N.
- E.: Plant functional type mapping for earth system models, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 993–1010,
- 784 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-993-2011, 2011.
- 785 Poulter, B., MacBean, N., Hartley, A., Khlystova, I., Arino, O., Betts, R., Bontemps, S.,
- 786 Boettcher, M., Brockmann, C., Defourny, P., Hagemann, S., Herold, M., Kirches, G., Lamarche,
- 787 C., Lederer, D., Ottlé, C., Peters, M., and Peylin, P.: Plant functional type classification for earth
- system models: results from the European Space Agency's Land Cover Climate Change
- 789 Initiative, Geosci. Model Dev., 8, 2315–2328, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2315-2015, 2015.
- 790 <u>Pomeroy, J. W., Gray, D. m., and Landine, P. G.: The Prairie Blowing Snow Model:</u>
- Characteristics, validation, operation. J. Hydrol., 144, 165–192, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022 1694(93)90171-5, 1993.
- 793 Schaaf, C. B., et al.: First operational BRDF, albedo nadir reflectance products from MODIS,
- 794 Remote Sens. Environ., 83, 135–148, https://doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00091-3, 2002.

- Scheiter, S., Langan, L., Higgins, S.I.: Next-generation dynamic global vegetation models:
   learning from community ecology, New Phytol., 198, 957–969,
- 797 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12210, 2013.</u>
- 798 Seiler, C., Melton, J. R., Arora, V. K., and Wang, L.: CLASSIC v1.0: the open-source
- 799 community successor to the Canadian Land Surface Scheme (CLASS) and the Canadian
- 800 <u>Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (CTEM) Part 2: Global Benchmarking, Geoscientific Model</u>
- 801 Development, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-2371-2021, 2021.
- 802 Shangguan, W., Hengl, T., Mendes de Jesus, J., Yuan, H., and Dai, Y.: Mapping the global depth
- to bedrock for land surface modeling, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 9, 65–88,
- 804 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016MS000686, 2017.
- 805 Smith, T. M., Shugart, H. H., and Woodward, F. I.: Plant functional types: their relevance to
- ecosystem properties and global change, Cambridge University Press, New York, 369 pp., 1997.
- 807 <u>Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., & Sykes, M. T. : Representation of vegetation dynamics in the</u>
- 808 modelling of terrestrial ecosystems: Comparing two contrasting approaches within European
- 809 <u>climate space. Global Ecology & Biogeography</u>, 10, 621–637, 2001.
- 810
- 811 Sterling, S. M., Ducharne, A., Polcher, J.: The impact of global land-cover change on the
- terrestrial water cycle. Nat. Clim. Chang., 3, 385–390. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1690,
  2013.
- 814 Still, C. J., and Berry, J. A.: Global distribution of C3 and C4 vegetation: Carbon cycle
- implications, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 17(1), 1006, doi:10.1029/2001GB001807, 2003.

- 816 Sun, W., Liang, S., Xu, G., Fang, H., and Dickinson, R. E.: Mapping plant functional types from
- 817 MODIS data using multisource evidential reasoning, Remote Sens. Environ., 112, 1010–1024,
- 818 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2007.07.022, 2008.
- 819 Swart, N. C., Cole, J. N. S., Kharin, V. V., Lazare, M., Scinocca, J. F., Gillett, N. P., Anstey, J.,
- Arora, V., Christian, J. R., Hanna, S., Jiao, Y., Lee, W. G., Majaess, F., Saenko, O. A., Seiler, C.,
- 821 Seinen, C., Shao, A., Sigmond, M., Solheim, L., von Salzen, K., Yang, D., and Winter, B.: The
- Canadian Earth System Model version 5 (CanESM5.0.3), Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 4823–4873,
- 823 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-4823-2019, 2019.
- 824 Tsendbazar, N. E., de Bruin, S., Mora, B., Schouten, L., Herold, M.: Comparative assessment of
- thematic accuracy of GLC maps for specific applications using existing reference data, Int. J.
- Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf., 44, 124–135, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2015.08.009, 2016.
- 827 Wulder, M.A., Nelson, T.: EOSD land cover classification legend report: Version 2, Natural
- 828 Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, British Columbia,
- 829 Cana-da, 13 January 2003, 83pp., Available from
- 830 http://www.pfc.forestry.ca/eosd/cover/EOSD\_Legend\_Report-v2.pdf.
- 831 Wulder, M.A., Bater, C.W., Coops, N.C., Hilker, T., and White, J.C.: The role of LiDAR in
- sustainable forest management, The Forestry Chronicle, 84 (6), 807-826, 2008.
- 833 Wulder, M.A., White, J.C., Bater, C.W., Coops, N.C., Hopkinson, C., Chen, G.: Lidar plots a
- new large-area data collection option: context, concepts, and case study. Can. J. Remote. Sens.
- 835 38, 600–618, https://doi.org/10.5589/m12-049, 2012.
- 836 Verseghy, D. L.: CLASS—A Canadian Land Surface Scheme for GCMs, I. Soil model, Int. J.
- 837 Climatol., 11, 111–133, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370110202, 1991.

- 838 Verseghy, D., McFarlane, N., and Lazare, M.: Class A Canadian land surface scheme for
- 639 GCMs. II: Vegetation model and coupled runs, Int. J. Climatol., 13, 347–370,
- 840 https://doi:10.1002/joc.3370130402, 1993.
- Wang, A., Price, D. T., Arora, V. K.: Estimating changes in global vegetation cover (1850-2100)
  for use in climate models, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 20, https://doi:10.1029/2005GB002514,
  2006.
- 844 Wang, L., MacKay, M., Brown, R., Bartlett, P., Harvey, R., and Langlois, A.: Application of
- satellite data for evaluating the cold climate performance of the Canadian Regional Climate
- model over Québec, Canada, J. Hydrometeorol., 15, 614–630, https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-
- 847 13-086.1, 2014.
- 848 Wang, L., Cole, J. N.S., Bartlett, P., Verseghy, D., Derksen, C., Brown, R., von Salzen, K.:
- 849 Investigating the spread in surface albedo for snow-covered forests in CMIP5 models, J.
- 850 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 1104–1119, https://doi:10.1002/2015JD023824, 2016.
- 851 Wang, L., Bartlett, P., Chan, E., and Xiao, M.: Mapping of Plant Functional Type from Satellite-
- 852 Derived Land Cover Datasets for Climate Models, In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International
- 853 Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, Valencia, Spain, 22–27, https://DOI:
- 854 10.1109/IGARSS.2018.8518046, 2018.
- Wang, L., Bartlett, P., Pouliot, D., Chan, E., Lamarche, C., Wulder, M.A., Defourny, P., Brady,
- 856 M.: Comparison and Assessment of Regional and Global Land Cover Datasets for Use in
- 857 CLASS over Canada, Remote Sens., 11(19), 2286; https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11192286, 2019.

Zakharova, L., Meyer, K. M., Seifan, M.: Trait-based modelling in ecology: A review of two
decades of research, Ecological Modelling, 407,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.05.008, 2019.

Table 1. Cross-walking table for mapping the 30 m Hybrid land cover map to CLASSIC PFTs in Canada.

Nine PFTs in CLASSIC: NLE - Needleleaf Evergreen trees, NLD - Needleleaf Deciduous trees, BLE Broadleaf Evergreen trees, BCD - Broadleaf Cold Deciduous trees, BDD - Broadleaf Dry Deciduous

trees, C3C – C3 Crops, C4C - C4 Crops, C3G – C3 Grasses, and C4C - C4 Grasses.

ID	Map description	1 NLE	2 NLD	3 BLE	4+5 BCD BDD	6+7 C3C C4C	8+9 C3G C4G	Urban	Lake	Bare
2	Sub-polar taiga needleleaf forest	0.20					0.60			0.20
11	Sub-polar or polar shrubland-lichen- moss						0.65			0.35
12	Sub-polar or polar grassland-lichen- moss						0.45			0.55
13	Sub-polar or polar barren-lichen- moss						0.10			0.90
15	Cropland					1.0				
16	Barren lands									1.0
17	Urban							1.0		
20	Water								1.0	
31	Snow_ice									1.0
32	Rock_rubble									1.0
50	Shrubland				0.20		0.60			0.20
80	Wetland				0.05		0.85			0.10
81	Wetland-treed	0.55			0.05		0.35			0.05
100	Herbs						0.80			0.20
210	Coniferous	1.0								
220	Broadleaf				1.0					
230	Mixedwood	0.50			0.50					

- 897 Table 2. The sub-pixel fractional tree cover fraction for ESA-CCI (European Space Agency Climate
- 898 <u>Change Initiative) land cover</u>LC classes (with forest cover) based on the Hansen TCF (<u>Tree Cover</u>
- 899 <u>Fraction</u> dataset in Canada. Ratios of TCF between the main class and the closed class, and between the 900 open class and the closed class are also included.

ESA- CCI class	ESA-CCI class description	Tree cover Fraction (%)	Ratio of TCF relative to closed class
30	Mosaic cropland (>50%) / natural vegetation (<50%)	13.7	
40	Mosaic natural vegetation (>50%) / cropland (<50%)	45	
60	Tree cover broadleaved deciduous closed to open (>15%)	68.5	0.8
61	Tree cover broadleaved deciduous closed (>40%)	86.7	1
62	Tree cover broadleaved deciduous open (15-40%)	37.4	0.43
70	Tree cover needleleaf evergreen closed to open (>15%)	39.3	0.6
71	Tree cover needleleaf evergreen, closed (>40%)	61.7	1
72	Tree cover needleleaf evergreen open (15-40%)	23.2	0.38
90	Tree cover Mixed	80.9	
100	Mosaic tree and shrub (>50%) / herbaceous cover (<50%)	37.3	
110	Mosaic herbaceous cover (>50%) / tree and shrub (<50%)	19.6	
120	Shrubland	28.1	
150	Sparse vegetation (tree shrub herbaceous cover) (< 15%)	4	
160	Tree cover, flooded fresh/brackish	43	
180	Shrub or herbaceous cover, flooded	26.9	

- Table 3. The sub-pixel fractional composition for ESA-CCI classes (columns, homogenous ESA-CCI
- 910 pixels) based on the Hybrid LCland cvoer map (rows) for dominant LCland cover classes in Canada. The
- 911 fractions for NLE and BCD are computed based on equation (1).

Hybrid/ ESACCI Class	Hybrid description	30	40	60	70	71	90	100	120	130	140	150	160	180
2	Sub-polar taiga needleleaf forest				0.02			0.01		0.01				
11	Sub-polar/polar shrubland-lichen- moss										0.01	0.05		
12	Sub-polar/polar grassland-lichen- moss				0.04				0.03	0.01	0.24	0.27	0.03	0.04
13	Sub-polar/polar barren-lichen-moss				0.02			0.01	0.02	0.01	0.34	0.09		0.02
15	Cropland	0.92	0.37	0.02						0.1				
16	Barren lands									0.01	0.15	0.17		
50	Shrubland	0.01	0.07	0.06	0.13	0.05	0.04	0.32	0.46	0.09	0.14	0.25	0.06	
80	Wetland		0.03	0.08	0.2	0.05	0.03	0.27	0.2	0.02	0.06	0.09	0.37	0.75
81	Wetland treed		0.01	0.01	0.17	0.07	0.03	0.11	0.12				0.43	0.15
100	Herbs	0.06	0.27	0.08	0.02		0.02	0.06	0.09	0.72	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01
210	Coniferous		0.01	0.02	0.29	0.72	0.07	0.04	0.03		0.01	0.02	0.06	
220	Broadleaf	0.01	0.13	0.57	0.02	0.01	0.28	0.07	0.01	0.01			0.01	
230	Mixedwood		0.1	0.14	0.09	0.07	0.52	0.12	0.03				0.02	
NLE	Needleleaf evergreen		0.07	0.09	0.44	0.8	0.32	0.19	0.16	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.31	0.08
BCD	Broadleaf cold deciduous	0.01	0.19	0.66	0.09	0.06	0.57	0.18	0.09	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03

			•		4+5	6+7	8+9				
ID	ESA-CCI class	1	2	3	BCD	C3C	C3G	Urban	Lake	Ocean	Bare
10	description	NLE	NLD	BLE	RDD		C/G	oroun	Lune	occum	Dure
	Constant as infat				воо	C4C	C4U				
10	Cropland, rainled					0.80	0.20				
	(CR)										
11	CR Herbaceous cover					0.90	0.10				
12	CR Tree or shrub				0.60		0.30				0.10
12	cover				0.00		0.50				0.10
20	Cropland, irrigated or				0.05	0.05	0.10				
20	post-flood				0.05	0.85	0.10				
	Mosaic cropland										
	(>50%) / natural										
30	vagetation (tree	0.05			0.15	0.60	0.20				
	shout hand										
	shrub, herb)										
	Mosaic natural										
40	vegetation	0.10			0.20	0.40	0.30				
10	(tree,shrub,	0.10			0.20	0.10	0.50				
	herb) >50% / crop										
	Tree cover										
50	broadleaved			0.05	0.05		0.0				
50	evergreen closed to			0.95	0.05		0.0	).0			
	open										
	Tree cover										
	broadleaved										
60	desiduous alosed to				0.70		0.25				0.05
	deciduous closed to										
	т										
(1	I ree cover				0.00		0.10				
61	broadleaved				0.90		0.10				
	deciduous closed										
	Tree cover										
62	broadleaved				0.40		0.40				0.20
	deciduous open										
	Tree cover needleleaf										
70	evergreen closed to	0.85			0.05		0.10				
	open										
	Tree cover needleleaf	0.0 <b>-</b>			0 0 <b>-</b>		0.40				
71	evergreen closed	0.85			0.05		0.10				
	Tree cover needleleaf										
72	avergreen open	0.35			0.10		0.40				0.15
	Replace L C70 in										
73	Replace LC/0 in	0.45			0.10		0.30				0.15
	Canada										
	Tree cover needleleaf										
80	deciduous closed to	0.05	0.40		0.10		0.35				0.10
	open										
81	Tree cover needleleaf	0.05	0.80		0.05		0.15				
01	deciduous closed	0.05	0.80		0.05		0.15				
00	Tree cover needleleaf	0.05	0.20		0.10		0.45				0.15
82	deciduous open	0.05	0.30		0.10		0.45				0.15
90	Tree cover Mixed	0.25	0.05		0.60		0.10				
	Mosaic tree and	0.20									
	shruh (>50%) /										
100	herbaceous cover	0.15	0.05		0.20		0.45				0.15
	(<50%)										
	(\3U%)										
110	Niosaic herbaceous	0.05	0.05		0.10		0.65				0.15
110	cover (>50%) / tree	0.05	0.05		0.10		0.65				0.15
ļ	and shrub (<50%)										
120	Shrubland				0.30		0.45				0.25
121	Shrubland evergreen	0.15		0.15			0.45				0.25
122	Shrubland deciduous				0.30		0.45				0.25

919 Table 4. Cross-walking table for mapping ESA-CCI land cover dataset to CLASSIC PFTs.

130	Grassland				0.70				0.30
140	Lichens and mosses				0.20				0.80
150	Sparse vegetation (tree shrub herbaceous cover) (< 15%)			0.05	0.35				0.60
151	Sparse tree (<15%)			0.05	0.35				0.60
152	Sparse shrub (<15%)				0.30				0.70
153	Sparse herbaceous cover (<15%)				0.30				0.70
160	Tree cover, flooded fresh/brackish	0.30		0.10	0.45		0.1		0.05
170	Tree cover, flooded saline water	0.30		0.10	0.40			0.1	0.10
180	Shrub or herbaceous cover, flooded	0.10		0.05	0.45		0.15	0.15	0.10
190	Urban areas	0.02 5		0.025	0.15	0.75	0.05		
200	Bare areas								1.0
201	Consolidated bare areas								1.0
202	Unconsolidated bare areas								1.0
210	Water bodies						1.0		
220	Permanent snow and ice								1.0



Figure 1. The Hybrid land cover map of Canada based on VLCE and NALCMS land cover maps for2010. The red polygons represent 18 ecozones used in this study.





Figure 3. The spatial distribution of CLASSIC PFTs based on the Hybrid (left), ESA-CCI (middle), and
GLC2000 (right) land cover datasets respectively. The maps for C4C and C4G are not shown for their
fractions are <u>smallnegligible (0.5% for C4 crops and 0.1% for C4 grasses)</u> in Canada. The last panel
shows fractions for bare ground from the three datasets.





- 985 (b) BCD, (c) C3C, (d) C3G, and (e) Bare.



Figure 5. Surface albedo bias (relative to MODIS) in CLASSIC simulations using PFT distributions based on (a) ESA-CCI, and (b) GLC2000 land cover products. Panels (c) and (d) show the difference in

simulated surface albedo (c) and leaf area index (d) between the two simulations.