Abrasion of sedimentary rocks as a source of hydrogen peroxide and nutrients to subglacial ecosystems
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Abstract. Glaciers and ice-sheets are renowned for their abrasive power, yet little is known of the mechanochemical reactions which are initiated by abrasion in these environments and their effect on subglacial biogeochemistry. Here, we use sedimentary rocks representative of different subglacial environments and from a previously glaciated terrain, along with subglacial sediments to investigate the potential for subglacial erosion to generate H₂O₂ and release bio-utilisable organic carbon and nutrients (N, Fe). Samples were crushed using a ball mill, water added to rock powders within gastight vials, and samples incubated in the dark at 4°C. Headspace and water samples were taken immediately after the addition of water and then again after 5 and 25 h. Samples generated up to 1.5 µmol H₂O₂ g⁻¹. The total sulphur content, a proxy for the sulphide content, did not correlate with H₂O₂ generation, suggesting that the pyrite content was not the sole determinant of net H₂O₂ production. Other factors, including the presence of carbonates, Fe-driven Fenton reactions and the pH of the solution were also likely to be important in controlling both the initial rate of production and subsequent rates of destruction of H₂O₂. Further, we found erosion can provide previously unaccounted sources of bio-utilisable energy substrates and nutrients, including up to 880 nmol CH₄ g⁻¹, 680 nmol H₂ g⁻¹, volatile fatty acids (up to 1.7 µmol acetate g⁻¹) and 8.2 µmol NH₄⁺ g⁻¹ to subglacial ecosystems. These results highlight the potentially important role that abrasion plays in providing nutrient and energy sources to subglacial microbial ecosystems underlain by sedimentary rocks.

1 Introduction

The link between physical erosion and the products of chemical weathering has long been established (Anderson, 2005). However, only a few studies have attempted to understand the chemical reactions triggered explicitly by mechanical abrasion within these environments. These have primarily focused on the potential reactivity of silicates after comminution (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021; Telling et al., 2015) and the release or production of gases during comminution (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021; Macdonald et al., 2018). It has been shown that comminution of certain rocks and minerals can generate strong redox agents, such as H₂ (Saruwatari et al., 2004; Takehiro et al., 2011; Telling et al., 2015; Wakita et al., 1980; Edgar et al., 2022) and H₂O₂ (Bak et al., 2017; Borda et al., 2003; He et al., 2021; Edgar et al., 2022; Stone et al., 2022). These are expected to have an
influence on the wider hydrochemistry of subglacial systems, particularly as biogeochemical reactions in these environments rely heavily on redox gradients (Tranter et al., 2005).

To date, studies of subglacial biogeochemistry have largely focused on both abiotic and biotically mediated weathering reactions (Hodson et al., 2008; Tranter et al., 2002b; Skidmore et al., 2010). Subglacial environments are often dominated by the comminution products of silicate and/or siliciclastic rocks (Wadham et al., 2010; Hodson et al., 2000). Nevertheless, it was thought until recently that their effect on the hydrochemistry of glacier systems was minimised by their slow dissolution kinetics (Lerman, 1988), with significant quantities of solute derived from silicate weathering only being associated with larger ice-sheets, where there is a longer subglacial water residence time (Wadham et al., 2010). In contrast, carbonate weathering, which has more rapid dissolution kinetics, is thought to dominate the subglacial hydrochemistry even when only present in trace quantities in the comminuted bedrock (Tranter et al., 1993). This is particularly true of smaller catchment glaciers (Wadham et al., 2010). Nevertheless, carbonate weathering is partly constrained by the availability of protons (H⁺; Eq. (R1); Plummer et al. (1978)). H⁺ can be derived from carbon dioxide (CO₂) dissolving in water and generating carbonic acid (H₂CO₃; Eq. (R2); Plummer et al. (1978)). In subglacial systems, where atmospheric CO₂ is limited, Eq. (R1) and (R2) are partly regulated by CO₂ generated from organic matter oxidation (Tranter et al., 2002a). Weathering may become dominated by carbonate hydrolysis in the absence of other proton sources,, which does not require a source of H⁺ or CO₂ (Eq. (R3); Plummer et al. (1978)).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CaCO}_3 (s) + \text{H}^+ (aq) & \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}^{2+} (aq) + \text{HCO}_3^- (aq) \\
\text{CaCO}_3 (s) + \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 (aq) & \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}^{2+} (aq) + 2\text{HCO}_3^- (aq) \\
\text{CaCO}_3 (s) + \text{H}_2\text{O} (l) & \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}^{2+} (aq) + \text{HCO}_3^- (aq) + \text{OH}^- (aq)
\end{align*}
\]

Sulphide (most commonly, FeS₂) oxidation is often a source of H⁺ in subglacial environments (Montross et al., 2013b). Sulphide oxidation can be coupled to both carbonate and silicate hydrolysis (Tranter, 2003) (Eq. (R4) and (R5), respectively). These reactions occur when glacial flour, crushed bedrock, comes into contact with water at the glacier bed. It is often the case that trace quantities of sulphides and carbonates are liberated from the matrix of silicate minerals by crushing, but there are other effects of physical abrasion or comminution on subglacial biogeochemistry, our knowledge of which, is still in its infancy. The potential solute contribution from abraded sediments of Subglacial Lake Whillans (SLW), West Antarctica, was briefly discussed in Gill-Olivas et al. (2021). These heavily weathered sediments released significant concentrations of biologically relevant solutes, such as ammonium (NH₄⁺) and acetate (CH₃COO⁻), as well as other major ions, most likely from fluid
inclusions, following comminution (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021). The abrasion of silicate minerals under simulated subglacial conditions has been shown to produce hydrogen (H₂) (e.g. Telling et al., 2015) through mechanochemical reactions (Eq. R6 – R8; Kita et al. (1982)). The solubility of H₂ in water increases at low temperatures and high pressures (Wiebe and Gaddy, 1934). This makes mechanochemical reactions a potentially significant source of H₂ for microbial processes including methanogenesis in subglacial waters (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021; Telling et al., 2015; Dunham et al., 2021). Abrasion of minerals can also generate protons through the generation and dissolution of CO₂ (Macdonald et al., 2018) or through the heterolytic cleavage of Si-O bonds (Eq. (R9); Stillings et al. (2021)), further lowering the saturation index with respect to carbonates.

\[
\equiv \text{Si} - \text{O} - \text{Si} \equiv \rightarrow \equiv \text{Si} \cdot + \cdot \text{O} - \text{Si} \equiv \quad \text{(R6)}
\]

\[
\equiv \text{Si} \cdot + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SiOH} + \text{H} \cdot \quad \text{(R7)}
\]

\[
\text{H} \cdot + \text{H} \cdot \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad \text{(R8)}
\]

\[
\equiv \text{Si}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{SiOH} + \text{H}^+ \quad \text{(R9)}
\]

Abrasion of FeS₂ can also trigger chemical reactions, including Fenton reactions (Gil-Lozano et al., 2017). Crushing, breaks S-S bonds in FeS₂, generating dangling S⁻ sites (Nesbitt et al., 1998). These S⁻ sites are then stabilised by acquiring an electron from the adjoining Fe²⁺ ions, thus producing surface Fe³⁺ and S²⁻ (Eq. (R10)). Water is split by the surface Fe³⁺ to produce ·OH (Eq. (R11); Borda et al. (2003)). Thereafter, two ·OH can react together to produce H₂O₂ (Eq. (R12); Borda et al. (2001)). There are multiple sources and sinks for surface and free radicals in natural systems (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021), and there is currently a need to address how the complex mineralogy of natural rock and sediment samples may hinder or enhance the relative magnitude of these reactions.

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{surface}} + \text{S}^-_{\text{surface}} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+}_{\text{surface}} + \text{S}^{2-}_{\text{surface}} \quad \text{(R10)}
\]

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+}_{\text{surface}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+}_{\text{surface}} + \cdot \text{OH}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}^+ \quad \text{(R11)}
\]

\[
\cdot \text{OH} + \cdot \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R12)}
\]

The production of H₂O₂ by comminution may have significant consequences for the organic matter (OM) found in these environments. Subglacial environments are largely isolated from external OM inputs, but as certain glaciers form, they may override and incorporate pre-existing soil (Kohler et al., 2017), vegetation (Souchez et al., 2006) and/or lake and marine sediments (Michaud et al., 2016), thus integrating any associated OM into subglacial sediments (Wadham et al., 2019). This overridden OM can fuel microbial activity to produce CO₂ or CH₄ (Stibal et al., 2012). These gases are then either utilised by further microbial activity (Michaud et al., 2017), transported within the hydrological system or, in the case of CH₄, may be stored as gas hydrates (Wadham et al., 2019). Additionally, OM contains organic acids which can act as an additional proton source for subglacial weathering (Montross et al., 2013b). Hydrogen peroxide is a strong oxidising agent which can oxidise
OM to CO$_2$. Thus, H$_2$O$_2$ production via erosion of these sediments could be problematic to microbial ecosystems, if the concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ exceeds 0.08% ([Medina-Cordoba et al., 2018]). However, it has been suggested that H$_2$O$_2$ might serve to oxidise and reactivate otherwise refractive organic molecules in subglacial systems (Tranter, 2015). A number of studies have concluded that DOC and POC exported from glacial systems is highly bio-available (Lawson et al., 2014), yet is also paradoxically ancient (Hood et al., 2009), lending some credence to the potential re-activation of ancient OM through erosion (Tranter, 2015).

Here, we aim to further understand the generation of H$_2$O$_2$ by the comminution of various pyrite-containing sedimentary rocks and subglacial sediments. We hypothesise that the concentration of sulphide in sedimentary rocks would have a first order impact on the amount of H$_2$O$_2$ that is generated when the rocks are crushed. Further, we aim to investigate how the generation of H$_2$O$_2$ may affect the availability of OM present in these rocks and sediments and the potential release of bio-available compounds. We do this by investigating the H$_2$O$_2$ generation, the overall water chemistry and the release of gases when three different shale sedimentary rocks, shale, siltstone and muddy carbonate samples and one subglacial sediment sample, chosen for their varying C, OC and S contents (Table 1), were crushed and incubated with water at 4 °C. The experimental design for this study is consistent with previous subglacial abrasion studies. These experiments are not perfect analogues of subglacial environments, being conducted at slightly warmer temperature and lower pressure than real systems, but they illustrate the potential contribution of subglacial abrasion and wetting of crushed sediments to the chemical weathering and provision of chemicals that occur in biogeochemical reactions in these environments. We elaborate on these issues in the discussion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>%N</th>
<th>%IC</th>
<th>%OC</th>
<th>%S</th>
<th>SSA (m$^2$/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Svalbard Siltstone (SSv)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coarse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crushed</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurassic Shale (JS)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coarse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crushed</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson Muddy Carbonate (RMC)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coarse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crushed</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercer Subglacial Lake (SLM)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coarse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crushed</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methodology

2.1 Sample Sites

A selection of rocks and a sediment sample spanning a range of carbon (C), nitrogen (N), sulphur (S), and organic carbon (OC) from three different glaciated catchments and a rock sampled from a previously glaciated terrain were utilised in this study. Jurassic Shale (JS) from Yorkshire (UK), purchased from Northern Geological Supplies Ltd., was used as a positive control for these experiments as it is known to be relatively high in pyrite and has an organic carbon content that is in the mid-range of the sample types chosen. Further, these rocks were likely overlain by ice during the last glacial maximum. Samples from glaciated environments included rock samples collected near Longyearbreen (SSv; Svalbard 78.1941° N, 15.5475° W) and Robertson Glacier (RMC; Canadian Rockies 50.738200° N, 115.331734° W), and a subglacial sediment sample from Mercer Subglacial Lake (SLM; Antarctica, (84.640287° S, 149.501340° W). The bedrock underlaying Longyearbyen and surrounding areas are primarily from the Early Cretaceous Epoch (Helvetiafjell and Carolinefjell formation) and from the late Paleocene to Early Eocene Epoch (Firkanten, Basilika and Battfjellet Formations) and consist primarily of sedimentary rocks including sandstones, siltstones, mudstones and shales (Elvevold et al., 2007). The local bedrock underlying Robertson Glacier is Upper Devonian in age (Mount Hawk, Palliser, and Sassenach Formations) and consists of impure limestones, dolostones, and dolomitic limestones, with interbeds of shale, siltstone, and sandstone (Mcmechan, 1998). The sample from SLM, was material from the core catcher from the base of sediment gravity core (SLM1801-02FF-2), sediment depth 2.06 m (Priscu et al., 2021). The basal sediments from the core were clast-rich massive, muddy glacial diamict (Campbell et al., 2019), similar in composition to the diamicts from beneath the neighboring Whillans Ice Stream (Tulaczyk et al., 1998) and Subglacial Lake Whillans (SLW) (Hodson et al., 2016). The matrix supported diamict was very poorly sorted (Folk and Ward, 1957) with the matrix composed of predominantly silt (52%), with contributions of sand (25%) and clay (23%) (Campbell et al., 2019).

2.2 Elemental Analysis

C, N and S concentrations in the samples were determined using an elemental analyser (Elementar Vario PYRO Cube, Langenselbold, Hesse, DE). Triplicate 5 mg to 20 mg of crushed samples were weighed into tin capsules and introduced into the machine. The organic carbon (OC) concentrations was determined following techniques in Harris et al. (2001). Some 20 mg to 30 mg of crushed samples were weighed into silver capsules (part num.: S05003397). The capsules were transferred to a microtiter plate, and wetted with 50 µL of 18.2 MΩ water, then transferred to a desiccator. A beaker with ~100 ml 12 M HCl was also placed inside this desiccator and the samples were exposed to the HCl vapours overnight (~14 h), then dried in an oven at 60 °C for 4 h, then placed within another silver capsule and closed. These fumigated samples were then introduced into the elemental analyser (Elementar Vario PYRO Cube, Langenselbold, Hesse, DE) as before. The detection limit was 0.001% for all three elements and the coefficient of variation (CV) for C, N and S for eight replicates of a soil analytical
standard (NCS Soil Standard 338 40025, cert. 133317, C = 2.29%, N = 0.21%, S = 0.031%; Elemental Microanalysis Ltd., United Kingdom) were 4.9%, 5.3% and 18%, respectively.

2.3 Sample Preparation and Dry Crushing

The rock samples were washed with 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹ water and dried at 75 °C for over 18 hours (after which there was no mass change). RMC and JS samples were then wrapped in several layers of paper roll bags (to avoid metal–rock interaction) and broken using sledgehammer on a metal plate. SSv was broken using an agate pestle and mortar, and SLM sediments disaggregated also using an agate pestle and mortar. The broken rocks and sediment were sieved and the 125 μm to 2 mm size fraction was collected (hereafter referred to as the ‘Coarse’ samples). The coarse samples were then washed with 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹ water, transferred to a foil tray and covered with a loosely fitted foil cover, and dried at 75 °C overnight, or until there was no change in mass. The ‘Crushed’ samples were prepared by crushing 15 g of the clean and dried coarse samples in air for 30 minutes within a zirconium oxide ball mill at 500 r.p.m using a Fritsch Planetary Mono Mill Pulverisette 6 (FRITSCH GmbH, Idar-Oberstein, Germany). After crushing, the size of rock and sediment samples ranged from 6 to 806 μm (measured using a Malvern Mastersizer 3000 laser particle size analyser (Malvern Panalytical, Worcestershire, UK)).

2.4 Microcosm Experiments

Triplicate 1 g coarse samples for each timepoint, were weighed into 50 mL borosilicate serum vials (Wheaton®, VWR), which had been previously acid washed, rinsed six times with 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹ water and then maintained at 450 °C for 4 hours in a muffle furnace. These vials were then sealed with grey butyl rubber stoppers, which had been previously washed in 3% Decon® 90, acid washed, soaked in 18.2 MΩ water overnight before rinsing six times with 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹ water, autoclaved and dried. The same procedure was followed for crushed samples immediately after milling. Three borosilicate vials were sealed without the addition of samples for use as rock free controls (blanks) at each timepoint. Then, 15 mL aliquots of 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹ water, which had been pre-autoclaved and cooled to 4 °C, were added to each vial in turn. The vials were shaken by hand to mix, and a 10 mL headspace gas sample was taken by over pressurizing the vials with 10 mL of N₂ and transferring the gas into a 5.9 mL Exetainer® (Labco, Lampeter, UK). Next, the serum vials were opened, and the slurry was filtered using a 0.22 μm PES Steriflip® Vacuum Filtration System (Millipore). A 4 mL filtrate aliquot was taken to analyse pH (using Hach® Sension+ 5208), followed by analysis of H₂O₂ concentration. The same procedure was followed for samples taken 5 and 25 hours after the addition of water.

2.5 Hydrogen Peroxide Analysis

A 4 mL aliquot of the filtered solution was used for H₂O₂ analysis using neocuprine (2,9-Dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (Product #: N1501; Sigma-Aldrich), based on the methods in Baga et al. (1988) and Borda et al. (2001). Standards (0 to 100 μM) were prepared using known concentrations of H₂O₂ (ESMURE® ISO, 30% Hydrogen Peroxide, Perhydrol®, Millipore).
4 mL of each standard was pipetted into a 15 mL centrifuge tube, and to this, 1 mL of 0.01 M Copper (II) Sulphate, and 1 mL of neocuprine solution (10 g L⁻¹ in ethanol) were added. The solution was then made up to 10 mL with 18.2 MΩ water and the absorbance of the solution was measured at 454 nm using a Shimadzu UV mini 1240 UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

2.6 Gas Analysis

Headspace gas samples were taken from the ball mill before and after crushing by over pressurizing the ball mill with 10 mL of oxygen-free N₂ (zero grade, BOC). Then, a 10 mL headspace gas aliquot was transferred into a 5.9 mL pre-evacuated double-waded Exetainer® (Labco, Lampeter, UK) using a gas-tight syringe. Headspace gases from the vials were sampled immediately after the addition of water and after 5 and 25 hours. The contents of the Exetainers® were then analysed using an Agilent 8860 Gas Chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Concentrations of CH₄ and CO₂ were determined by a Flame Ionization Detector (FID). The sample loop was 0.5 mL, Helium (He) was used as the carrier gas, and a Porapak Q 80-100 mesh, 2 m × 1/8 inch × 2 mm SS column and a methaniser were used to distinguish the compounds. The concentrations of H₂ and O₂ were determined using a Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD), using a 1 mL sample loop, Argon (Ar) as the carrier gas, and a Hayesep D 80–100 mesh, 2 m × 1/8 inch SS column, in series with a molecular sieve 5a, 60–80 mesh, 8 ft × 1/8 inch column. The oven temperature was set at 30 °C for the initial 4 mins, and then the temperature ramped up at rate of 50 °C min⁻¹ until the oven reached a temperature of 200 °C. This temperature was maintained for 2.5 mins, when the run was concluded. The concentrations of headspace gases were calculated based on a standard-curve generated from the dilution of an 11 mixed gas standard (173738-AH-C, BOC). The standard-curve was linear over the concentration ranges for H₂: 4.1 ppm to 502 ppm, R² = 0.9988, n = 6; for CH₄: 1.6 ppm to 198 ppm, R² = 0.9988, n = 7; for CO₂: 3.4 ppm to 398 ppm, R² = 0.9992, n = 7. Standards were run daily and gave a CV of 1.2% (n = 31) for H₂, with a detection limit of 2.2 ppm, equivalent to 5.2 nmol g⁻¹, a CV of 1.2% (n = 31) for CH₄, with a detection limit of 0.11 ppm, equivalent to 0.26 nmol g⁻¹ and a CV of 4.3% (n = 31) for CO₂, with a detection limit of 0.40 ppm, equivalent to 0.93 nmol g⁻¹. The ideal gas law was used to convert to molar concentrations, and concentrations were corrected for dilution during sampling and for gases dissolved in the water using Henry’s diffusion coefficient (where relevant). The results were also blank corrected and normalised to dry sediment mass.

2.7 Water Chemistry Analysis

Anions and organic acids, including: acetate (Limit of detection (LOD): 0.052 ppm, equivalent to 0.14 µmol g⁻¹; CV: 0.76%), formate (LOD: 0.018 ppm, equivalent to 0.06 µmol g⁻¹; CV: 1.07%), SO₄²⁻ (LOD: 0.22 ppm, equivalent to 0.37 µmol g⁻¹; CV: 1.41%), and oxalate (LOD: 0.020 ppm, equivalent to 0.04 µmol g⁻¹; CV: 0.48%) were analysed using a Dionex ICS 6000 (ThermoScientific), fitted with a Dionex IonPac™ AS11-HC 4 µm column.
Additional aliquots of the filtered solution were subsampled to analyse for NH$_4^+$ (LOD: 0.026 ppm, equivalent to 0.3 µmol g$^{-1}$; CV: 2.3%), and total Fe (LOD: 0.17 ppm, equivalent to 0.5 nmol g$^{-1}$; CV: 1.5%) using the Gallery Automated Photometric Analyzer (Thermofisher). For NH$_4^+$ analysis, aliquots of JS and SLM were diluted 1 in 10, and aliquots of SSv and RMC were diluted 1 in 20 (due to their concentration being greater than the highest standard). Concentrations of NH$_4^+$ were then determined using an adapted version of the salicylate method outlined in Le and Boyd (2012). The concentration of total Fe was determined using an adapted version of the ferrozine method described in Viollier et al. (2000), using undiluted aliquots of the filtered solution.

2.8 Specific Surface Area

The specific surface area (SSA) of materials was measured using a NOVA 1200e BET Analysis System. Approximately 1 g of dried sample was loaded into a pre-calibrated sample cell. The whole system was then evacuated and dried at 110 °C for a minimum of six hours (Zhou et al., 2019). The surface area of materials was measured using nitrogen gas as an adsorbent at 77 K.

2.9 Statistical Analysis

Simple regression lines were fitted to infer potential correlations between the concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ produced by crushed and coarse samples and sulphate, iron or specific surface area using Sigma-Plot. An $R^2$-value of 0.7 or above was considered to indicate a strong correlation between two variables (Moore et al., 2013). A Kruskal-Wallis test was conducted followed by a post-hoc Wilcoxon test using RStudio to determine significant differences in the concentrations of NH$_4^+$, acetate, formate and pH, between crushed and coarse samples. The data for these compounds and pH did not show a temporal trend, thus the values were pooled into one population and the Kruskal-Wallis test determined the distribution of the data was non-parametric. Due to this, and the low number of samples, a Wilcoxon test was then used to determine statistical differences in the concentrations of these compounds and pH in crushed and coarse samples.

3 Results

3.1 Hydrogen Peroxide

Overall, crushed samples produced more H$_2$O$_2$ than their coarse counterparts, and the concentration increased over the first 25 hours. SSv samples produced the highest concentration of H$_2$O$_2$, with crushed samples producing up to 1.5 µmol H$_2$O$_2$ g$^{-1}$ (Fig. 1a), and coarse samples producing up to 1.3 µmol H$_2$O$_2$ g$^{-1}$ (Fig. 1a). The concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ in SSv increased between the 5 min and 5 hour time points, but then decreased at the 25 hour time point, in contrast with the continual rise in concentration shown by the other samples. The crushed RMC and JS samples, which also produced quantifiable H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations, generated an order of magnitude less H$_2$O$_2$ than crushed SSv samples, with maximum concentrations of 0.23 and 0.20 µmol g$^{-1}$ respectively (Fig. 1b). The concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ produced by coarse JS samples was only slightly lower than that of its
crushed counterpart (maximum of 0.12 µmol g⁻¹, Fig. 1b). The concentration produced by coarse RMC was considerably lower than its crushed equivalent, reaching a maximum of 0.02 µmol g⁻¹ (Fig. 1b). SLM samples barely produced any H₂O₂ above the LOD; only after 25 hours was the concentration of H₂O₂ in crushed samples quantifiable (maximum value of 0.03 µmol g⁻¹; Fig. 1b). This contrasts with the concentrations of H₂O₂ produced by crushed Subglacial Lake Whillans samples, which were much higher (up to 17 µmol g⁻¹; Gill-Olivas et al. (2021)).

**Figure 1** Concentration of H₂O₂ generated by coarse and crushed sedimentary rock (SSv, JS and RMC) and subglacial sediment samples (SLM). (a) Blank corrected H₂O₂ µmol produced per gram of crushed and coarse samples during a 25 hour aerobic incubation of these samples with water. (b) Focus on lower concentrations of H₂O₂, excluding results of coarse and crushed SSv samples, to allow a clearer view of the data distribution of the remaining samples.

Production of H₂O₂ was weakly correlated to the concentration of SO₄²⁻ in the solution in samples with low H₂O₂ (R² = 0.432; Fig. 2a) and more strongly correlated with the SSA of these samples (R² = 0.706; Fig. 2c). The concentration of H₂O₂ produced by SSv did not fall on these regression lines. The production of H₂O₂ from SSv samples shows a weak correlation with SO₄²⁻ and SSA (R² = 0.530, Fig. 2a; R² = 0.484, Fig. 2c), however, this is a limited sample size so care should be taken in interpreting these correlations. Total dissolved Fe was only detected in SSv samples, and this also showed a weak correlation with H₂O₂ concentrations (R² = 0.493, Fig. 2b).
Figure 2 Relationships between concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ (µmol) produced per gram of crushed and coarse rock and sediment samples relative to (a) sulphate in solution, (b) iron in solution and (c) specific surface area of samples. The concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$, sulphate (a) and dissolved Fe (b) measured in solution are normalised to gram of sample and treated as one population throughout the 25 hours of incubation. Note Fe concentrations were above detection limits only in the SSv samples. R$^2$ and p values obtained from linear regression model in RStudio.

3.2 Ammonium in Solution

All crushed samples produced significantly higher concentrations of NH$_4^+$ in solution compared to their coarse counterparts (Wilcoxon test, p $\leq$ 0.01; Fig. 3a). There was no clear temporal trend to the production of NH$_4^+$, other than potentially a slight increase with time for RMC samples (Fig. 3b). After 25 hours, crushed RMC samples showed the highest NH$_4^+$ concentrations (up to 8.2 µmol g$^{-1}$), closely followed by crushed JS samples (up to 6.6 µmol g$^{-1}$). This is considerably higher than their coarse counterparts with concentrations of up to 0.20 and 0.99 µmol g$^{-1}$, for RMC and JS samples respectively (Fig. 3a).

Figure 3 Concentration of NH$_4^+$ in solution during 25 h incubation of crushed and coarse rock and sediment samples. (a) Boxplot showing the range of NH$_4^+$ measured in solution (normalised to µmol NH$_4^+$ g$^{-1}$) for all time points (5 mins, 5 h and 25 h) in the incubation of coarse and crushed samples (n = 9 for each sample type). Highly significant difference (p $\leq$ 0.01) between crushed and coarse samples is denoted by (*). The minimum and maximum values are represented by the whiskers, the interquartile range is
represented by the box, and the median value is shown by the line in the centre of the box (b) Concentration of NH$_4^+$ in solution (normalised to µmol NH$_4^+$ g$^{-1}$) after approximately 5 minutes, and 5 and 25 h of incubation.

3.3 Organic Acids

All crushed and coarse samples produced quantifiable concentrations of acetate (Fig. 4a), and, in some cases, formate (Fig. 4b). There was a significant range of values for acetate concentrations and no clear temporal trend throughout the 25 hour incubations. However, SSv and JS samples showed a highly significant (Wilcoxon test, $p \leq 0.01$) and significant (Wilcoxon test, $p \leq 0.05$) difference between crushed and coarse samples (Fig. 4a). Formate concentrations were lower, than acetate but all samples, except for RMC, showed a highly significant difference between crushed and coarse samples (Wilcoxon test, $p \leq 0.01$). JS produced the highest concentrations of both acetate (median of 1.7 µmol g$^{-1}$ for crushed samples; Fig. 4a) and formate (median of 0.38 µmol g$^{-1}$ crushed samples; Fig. 4b). SLM and SSv both produced considerably less acetate (median of 0.11 and 0.21 µmol g$^{-1}$ for crushed samples respectively; Fig. 4a). Formate concentrations were also low in SSv samples, SLM samples produced slightly more formate (median of 0.03 and 0.11 µmol g$^{-1}$ respectively, Fig. 4b). RMC samples showed considerable variability in acetate concentrations and little if any formate.

Figure 4 Concentration of acetate and formate in solution from incubation of crushed and coarse rock and sediment samples with ultrapure water at all timepoints (5 mins, 5 h, 25 h). Boxplots of (a) acetate concentration in solution (normalised to µmol acetate g$^{-1}$) and (b) formate concentration in solution (normalised to µmol formate g$^{-1}$) after the incubation of coarse and crushed samples (n = 9, for each sample type). Highly significant difference ($p \leq 0.01$) between crushed and coarse samples is denoted by (*). Significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) between crushed and coarse samples is denoted by (**). The minimum and maximum values are represented by the whiskers, the interquartile range is represented by the box, and the median value is shown by the line in the centre of the box.
3.4 pH

Overall, the pH of all samples stayed relatively stable over the incubation time of 25 hours. Crushed samples appeared to have slightly higher pH than their coarse counterparts, potentially due to the release of calcite leading to carbonate reactions, elevating the pH (Fig. 5). Most samples had pH close to neutral or above, the only exception was SSv incubations which were acidic, with a median pH of 3.61 and 3.11 in crushed and coarse samples, respectively (Fig. 5).

![Figure 5 pH of solutions at all time points (5 mins, 5 h, 25 h) of the incubation of crushed and coarse rock and sediment samples with ultra-pure water (n = 9 for each sample and treatment). Highly significant difference (p ≤ 0.01) between crushed and coarse samples is denoted by (*). The minimum and maximum values are represented by the whiskers, the interquartile range is represented by the box, and the median value is shown by the line in the centre of the box.]

3.5 Gases Released

All rock samples produced quantifiable concentrations of CH4, CO2 and H2 gas above atmospheric concentrations (Table 2). RMC produced the highest concentrations of CH4 and CO2 (880 nmol CH4 g⁻¹ and 2800 nmol CO2 g⁻¹; Table 2) during crushing, and produced quantifiable C2H6 concentrations (3.5 nmol g⁻¹; Table 2). JS samples produced noticeable concentrations of CH4 and CO2 as well C2H6 (47, 1100 and 4.5 nmol g⁻¹, respectively; Table 2). JS samples also produced the highest H2 concentrations measured (680 nmol g⁻¹; Table 2). SSv produced less CH4, CO2, C2H6 and H2 than JS and RMC samples and SLM produced the lowest concentration of CH4 out of these samples (7.2 nmol g⁻¹; Table 2) and no CO2 and C2H6 above the LOD. However, they did release significant concentrations of H2 during crushing (220 nmol g⁻¹, Table 2).
Table 2 Gases released to ball mill headspace during high energy crushing (500 RPM for 30 mins) of sedimentary rock (SSv, JS and RMC) and subglacial sediment (SLM) samples. Crushing was conducted under air, therefore values shown in this table have been corrected for the initial concentration of atmospheric gases in the ball mill headspace.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>nmol Gas produced /g during initial crushing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svalbard Siltstone (SSv)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coarse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crushed</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurassic Shale (JS)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coarse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crushed</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson Muddy Carbonate (RMC)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coarse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crushed</td>
<td>882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subglacial Lake Mercer (SLM)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coarse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Crushed</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During incubations, the concentrations of the gases CO₂, H₂ and C₂H₆ were, for the most part, indistinguishable from the noise in the analysis of these gas concentration in laboratory air. However, crushed RMC samples did produce CH₄ concentrations over the 25 hour incubation which could be quantified, increasing from an average of 20 to 64 nmol g⁻¹ (Fig. 6). JS crushed samples also produced quantifiable CH₄, although at much lower concentrations, below LOD at 5 min and an average of 1.1 and 1.3 nmol g⁻¹ after 5 and 25 h, respectively (Fig. 6).

Figure 6 Generation of CH₄ during incubation of coarse (63 µm – 2 mm) and crushed sedimentary rock and subglacial sediment samples. Headspace gas samples were analysed after 5 min, 5 h and 25 h of incubation, blank corrected and normalised to the grams of sample.
4 Discussion

4.1 The effect of mineralogy on oxidant production

The concentration of S in the samples (Table 1) was assumed to be indicative of pyrite content, since no other sulphur containing minerals (e.g. anhydrite, gypsum) have been reported for the rocks/sediments (Mcmechan, 1998; Campbell et al., 2019; Elvevold et al., 2007). Thus, it was expected that samples with higher S content would also produce more H₂O₂ when crushed, if crushed samples had similar surface areas. However, our results showed SSv samples produced considerably more H₂O₂ (Fig. 1a) than other rock samples, despite the relatively low S content and SSA (Table 1), indicating other factors played a role in the generation and/or consumption of H₂O₂. We focus on generation first.

The rupture of Fe-S and S-S bonds in pyrite has been shown to produce H₂O₂ in both oxic (Nesbitt et al., 1998) and anoxic conditions (Eq. (R10) - (R12); Borda et al. (2003)). Simultaneously, the release of Fe²⁺ into solution due to dissolution of FeS₂ can catalyse the Fenton and Haber-Weisse reaction, removing H₂O₂ and generating other reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Eq. (R13) – (R16); Gil-Lozano et al. (2017)). Hydroxyl radicals (·OH) are often suggested as an intermediate, which can react together to regenerate H₂O₂ (Eq. (R17)). However, this only occurs under acidic conditions (Bataineh et al., 2012). Solutions from SSv samples had an acidic pH (Fig. 5), and so these samples may have generated more ·OH, and thus sustain H₂O₂ concentrations generated by crushing (Fig. 1a).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{2+} &\rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- + \cdot \text{OH} \tag{R13} \\
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{3+} &\rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}^+ + \cdot \text{O}_2\text{H} \tag{R14} \\
\cdot \text{O}_2\text{H} &\rightarrow \text{O}_2^- \cdot + \text{H}^+ \tag{R15} \\
\text{O}_2^- \cdot + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 &\rightarrow \cdot \text{OH} + \text{O}_2 + \text{OH}^- \tag{R16} \\
\cdot \text{OH} + \cdot \text{OH} &\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \tag{R17}
\end{align*}
\]

Fe(IV) is preferentially produced as a Fenton intermediate at circumneutral pH (Bataineh et al., 2012), thus rapidly utilising any H₂O₂ produced from abrasion of pyrite. Further, studies into the Fenton reaction in biological systems suggest that where HCO₃⁻ is present, carbonate-radical-anions (CO₃⁻·) will be the most likely product of the Fenton reaction (Illes et al., 2019). Solutions incubated with JS and RMC samples had a circumneutral to basic pH (Fig. 5), and the rocks had relatively high carbonate contents (Table 1). Therefore, it is likely that CO₃⁻· was produced as a Fenton product despite the higher pyrite content in these samples, so yielding less H₂O₂. The SLM samples produced limited H₂O₂, (Fig. 1a), despite the lower carbonate content of the sediments (Table 1) This may be due to the much lower S content of these samples (Table 1) generating lower concentrations of initial H₂O₂ combined with the basic pH of the solution (Fig. 5).
SSA is (strongly) correlated with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production in samples with non-acidic pH and similar S content, \( (R^2 = 0.706, \text{Fig. 2c}) \).

It has been previously suggested that grain size (and thus SSA) is a primary control on the rate of pyrite oxidation (Rimstidt and Vaughan, 2003), and surface area has also been shown to play a key role on the kinetics of degradation of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) by the Fenton reaction (Gil-Lozano et al., 2014).

4.2 Release of OC sources to the subglacial system

Microbes within subglacial environments are capable of recycling OM (e.g. Wadham et al. (2019), Lanoil et al. (2009), Priscu (2008), Christner et al. (2014), Skidmore (2011)), and concentrations above 0.08% (24 mM) \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) could inhibit these reactions by decreasing microbial populations (Medina-Cordoba et al., 2018) or by oxidising OM. Conversely, the generated \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) could have a net positive effect in these ecosystems by potentially re-activating legacy OM into more labile forms (Tranter, 2014).

Previous crushing experiments found a significant increase in acetate between crushed and coarse sediment samples from Subglacial Lake Whillans (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021) which would provide a carbon source for both aerobic and anaerobic metabolisms, depending on redox conditions. All samples used in these experiments produced quantifiable concentrations of acetate (Fig. 4a), but only SSv and JS samples showed statistically significant difference between crushed and coarse samples (Fig. 4a). There was no temporal trend or apparent correlation with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production, SSA or %OC content of samples (Supplementary A, Figure S1). It is worth noting that there are several methodological differences between these and previous studies, one of the most significant being the incubation time. These samples were only incubated for 25 hours, whilst previous studies which showed major differences in acetate production between crushed and coarse samples were conducted over 41 days (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021). Further, the current study was conducted at 4 °C, a slightly higher incubation temperature than the previous study, 0 °C (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021). This temperature difference may have a minor effect on the rate of acetate production, with in situ rates closer to those at 0 °C.

There were no discernible temporal trends or correlations between formate and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) production, SSA or %OC content of samples, but there was a noticeable increase in formate concentrations in crushed samples versus their uncrushed counterparts, even in these short incubations (Fig. 4b). There was no formate detected in previous incubations of crushed SLW samples (Gill-Olivas et al., 2021). Formate is a biologically significant compound, particularly in anaerobic environments where it has been shown to be utilised as an electron donor in a range of metabolisms (e.g. Madigan et al. (2020)).

The lack of a temporal trend in organic acid concentrations would suggest \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) did not affect the increase or decrease of organics. SSv had the highest OC content (Table 1), yet it had some of the lowest concentrations of acetate and formate in both crushed and coarse samples (Fig. 4). Conversely, JS and SLM samples, which produced much lower \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations, had much higher concentrations of these short chain, bio-available organics, despite having considerably lower %OC. Furthermore, the increase in formate between coarse and crushed samples was much more noticeable for these samples, where
H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations were lower. These results could suggest that while abrasion may increase the production of bio-available OC in solutions, they may be oxidised when H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations are also generated.

4.3 Bio-available gases

Methanogens have been found in many subglacial systems, including glaciers on Svalbard (Stibal et al., 2012; Kašťovská et al., 2007), Robertson Glacier (Boyd et al., 2010), SLW (Michaud et al., 2017), which is within the same broad hydrological system as SLM (Carter et al., 2013). It is likely that subglacial methanogens are capable of hydrogenotrophy, utilising H$_2$ and CO$_2$ to generate CH$_4$ (Stibal et al., 2012). Thus, the release of H$_2$ in all samples, and of CO$_2$ from most samples, during crushing has the potential to stimulate methanogenic populations found in these environments. Further, there have been reports of methanotrophic organisms in certain subglacial environments (Michaud et al., 2017) which could utilise the CH$_4$ released by all crushed samples (Table 2).

Thermal degradation of OM during the formation of shales can generate H$_2$, CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ which can then be trapped within pore spaces of these and associated sedimentary rocks (Suzuki et al., 2017). Erosion of these sedimentary rocks opens interpore spaces and releases any gases trapped in them (Macdonald et al., 2018). The CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_6$ and CO$_2$ released by crushing RMC samples were within the range found in previous crushing studies using muddy carbonate rock samples from Robertson Glacier (Macdonald et al., 2018). Crushed RMC samples also continued to release CH$_4$ during their incubation suggesting wetting and mineral dissolution are important in this process (Fig. 6).

There are a few other mechanisms by which H$_2$ might be produced, both of which involve surface silica radicals (Si·) generated during erosion of these samples. One mechanism involves H$_2$ generation from the reaction of water with Si· (Eq. (R7) and (R8); e.g. Hasegawa et al. (1995), Kita et al. (1982), Telling et al. (2015)). The other mechanism is the potential reaction of hydroxyl functional groups (-OH) from within the crystal structure with Si· (Eq. (R18); Kameda et al. (2004)). This mechanism generates H·, which react together to generate H$_2$ (Eq. (R8)) from -OH rich minerals and clays (Kameda et al., 2004), and was suggested as a potential mechanism for H$_2$ generation when crushing of Robertson Glacier muddy carbonate samples (Macdonald et al., 2018). The gases measured during crushing and, in the case of RMC, during the 25 h incubation, are likely a result of a combination of these mechanisms.

\[
\equiv \text{Si} \cdot + \text{AlOH} \rightarrow \equiv \text{Si} + \text{O} - \text{Al} + \text{H} \cdot
\]

(R18)

4.4 Erosion as a source of ammonium for nitrifiers

Nitrification involves the sequential oxidation of NH$_4^+$ to NO$_3^-$ (Eq. (R19) and (R20)).

\[
\text{NH}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + 3\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^-
\]

(R19)

\[
\text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^-
\]

(R20)
There is evidence of nitrification in several subglacial systems, including Svalbard (Hodson et al., 2010; Wynn et al., 2007), Robertson Glacier (Boyd et al., 2011), and Subglacial Lake Whillans (Christner et al., 2014). Foght et al. (2004), suggested that the source of NH$_4^+$ to these environments is from in situ nitrogen fixation. However, incubations of subglacial sediments have been unsuccessful in detecting N-fixation despite the detection of nitrogen fixing bacteria (Boyd et al., 2011). Others have suggested exogenous sources of organic N to the system, such as wind-blown debris which was originally deposited on the glacier surface (Stibal et al., 2008). This debris is high in organic carbon, and so is likely to contain significant concentrations of organic N (Boyd et al., 2011). It is then washed into the subglacial system through moulins and crevasses (Boyd et al., 2011; Stibal et al., 2008), where it could then be mineralised and utilised for nitrification. Saros et al. (2010), reported that in catchments in the northern Rockies, lakes fed by snowpack and glacial meltwaters, often contained ten (and up to 200) times the amount of nitrate when compared to similar adjacent lakes fed only by snowpack meltwaters (SF lakes), implying processes such as nitrification occurred in the glaciated/glacial catchment. Crushing of sedimentary rocks from alpine catchments and incubation with water at higher temperatures (20°C) has shown release of ammonium (Montross et al., 2013a), similarly, from gneissic rocks from a glaciated catchment at (4°C) (Allen, 2019). Our results also indicate rock comminution can act as a source of NH$_4^+$ to the subglacial system. Crushing of glacial rock samples in the ball mill generates heat (Stone et al., 2022), which might have contributed to the NH$_4^+$ measured. However, there was no correlation between NH$_4^+$ and %N or %OC in the samples. Most likely, NH$_4^+$ in solution is a direct result of the release of NH$_4^+$ from clay minerals (Boyd, 1997) or from silicate mineral surfaces (Sugahara et al., 2017).

No matter the source, it is clear that abrasion increases the availability of NH$_4^+$ for nitrification. Crude calculations can be made to estimate the potential NH$_4^+$ generated from abrasion at a catchment level using suspended sediment fluxes. We estimate that Robertson Glacier (for RMC) and Longyearbreen (for SSv) could generate 3.5 and 4.7 µmol NH$_4^+$ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$, respectively (Supplementary B). Incubations of subglacial samples from Robertson Glacier suggest that nitrifiers utilised 0.2 µmol NH$_4^+$ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ (Supplementary B; Boyd et al. (2011)), at peak activity, yet no nitrogen fixers were found. Therefore, subglacial abrasion could be a key source of NH$_4^+$ to these ecosystems. This finding would be consistent with recent research that showed bedrock N inputs from weathering are of a similar magnitude to atmospheric inputs in many terrestrial environments (Houlton et al., 2018). Further, H$_2$O$_2$ dissociates into O$_2$ and H$_2$O in the presence of catalase. Thus, H$_2$O$_2$ can be a source of dissolved oxygen which improves nitrification in systems with limited O$_2$, such as wetlands (Dinakar et al., 2020), and could theoretically aid nitrification in subglacial systems.

A final consideration is how representative these experiments are of the production of crushed debris beneath glaciers and the potential for their reactivity during subsequent wetting. The mechanical crushing of rock in the laboratory is not analogous of subglacial comminution in many ways. First, the temperature is lower and the pressure is higher in the subglacial environment as a whole. The overall lower temperature may not be so much of an issue, since this is only a few degrees at most, but the
temperatures that are reached during subglacial comminution, where rock fragments in ice may be dragged across the bed for example, are unknown, but may be locally high at rock-rock contact points. Likewise, the temperatures reached in laboratory crushing at rock-rock interaction points can be locally high, and potentially much higher than in a subglacial environment where ice may thermally buffer against higher temperatures. These higher temperatures may increase the reaction rates of surface free radicals, increasing rates of \( \text{H}^\bullet \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) (Kita et al., 1982) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (Stone et al., 2022) generation. The higher pressures of subglacial environments may not be of such great importance to the generation of free radicals, but they may influence the reaction pathways and products. Higher pressures favour interactions between gases which reduce the number of moles of gases in the system (e.g. \( 2\text{CO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{CO}_2 \), three moles of gas have been converted into two moles of gas).

Laboratory and in situ crushing beneath glaciers share at least one common element, that minerals are sheared, and so must have broken bonds on the surface. Comminution, and the deformation of pre-existing sediments, occurs often in the vicinity of meltwater beneath temperate-based and polythermal-based glaciers. Additionally, sediment entrainment onto cold based glaciers may involve regelation waters or waters under the glacier freezing onto the base, trapping sediment as a consequence. Regelation is a common process at glacier beds, when pressure melting occurs as ice flows over protuberances or bumps in the bed. The ice melts at the high pressure side of the bump, facing up flow, and meltwater travels around the bump and refreezes on the lower pressure down flow side. Hence, overall, there is a reasonable probability that comminuted rock, otherwise called glacial flour, will come into contact with meltwater. However, the timescale in which this happens cannot be known with certainty, given current understanding of glacial erosion. Timescales may range from almost instantaneously in some areas of the bed, particularly if regelation and comminution occur at the same place and at the same time, but equally there may be much longer delays. This begs the question of how much water, and indeed whether even water vapour, is needed to initiate the surface free radical catalysed reactions. Further, how comminuted minerals interact with ice during their entombment in debris-rich basal ice, for example, has yet to be examined. The simplicity of these experiments shows the potential of the comminution to supply chemicals for biogeochemical reactions in subglacial environments, but just how the experiments may map onto the production and wetting of subglacial sediment in real environments is more difficult to assess, because pertinent subglacial parameters, some of which have been discussed here, are poorly known and quantified.

5 Conclusions

It is often difficult to disentangle the many confounding factors when trying to understand the interactions of competing processes in natural systems. Subglacial environments are no exception. We anticipated that the concentration of sulphide in sedimentary rocks would have a first order impact on the amount of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) that is generated when the rocks are crushed. Instead, we found that the presence or concentration of pyrite is not enough to predict the generation of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) from abrasion. Our results suggest that the presence of carbonates and the pH of the solution will impact the concentrations of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) generated. Other factors, such as SSA, will influence \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) generation at similar pH. This study suggests that erosion can provide sources of
nutrients and energy (including CH₄, H₂, labile forms of OC, as organic acids and NH₄⁺) to subglacial ecosystems. Further, our experiments highlight the importance of additional experiments, which more closely represent conditions under glaciers and ice-sheets (e.g. at pressure, wet crushing, several lower energy crushing and wetting cycles), as a worthy pursuit. Caution should be taken to avoid over-extrapolation of these results as these experiments use only a limited range of subglacial sedimentary rock and sediment samples. However, these results serve to highlight the potential role that abrasion plays in sustaining subglacial sedimentary rock-hosted aquatic ecosystems.
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