How does the explicit treatment of convection alter the precipitation-soil hydrology interaction in the mid-Holocene African humid period?

Leonore Jungandreas[1,2], Cathy Hohenegger[1], and Martin Claussen[1,3]

[1]Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Bundesstraße 53, 20146 Hamburg
[2]now affiliated at German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig
[3]Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability, Universität Hamburg, Bundesstraße 53, 20146 Hamburg

Correspondence: Leonore Jungandreas (leonore.jungandreas@mpimet.mpg.de)

Abstract. Global climate models with coarse horizontal resolution are largely unable to reproduce the monsoonal precipitation pattern over North Africa during the mid-Holocene. Here we present the first regional, storm-resolving simulations with an idealized but reasonable mid-Holocene vegetation cover. In these simulations, the West African monsoon expands farther north by about 4-5°, and the precipitation gradient between the Guinea coast and the Sahara decreases compared to simulations with a barren Sahara as it is today. The northward shift of monsoonal precipitation is caused by land surface – atmosphere interaction, i.e., the coupling of soil moisture and precipitation, as well as interactions of the land surface with the large-scale monsoon circulation (e.g., the African easterly jet).

The response of the monsoon circulation to an increased vegetation cover is qualitatively similar but more pronounced in parameterized convection simulations. We attribute the differences in monsoonal precipitation to differences in soil moisture that are strongly controlled by runoff and precipitation characteristics. If precipitation is intense and falls over a spatially small region, as in our storm-resolving simulations, about 35% of all precipitation water goes into runoff instead of filling soil moisture storage. In contrast, in light and spatially more homogeneous precipitation, as produced in our parameterized convection simulations, only some 20% leave the grid cell as runoff. Therefore, much more water is available to maintain high soil moisture content. We confirm the significant role of soil moisture and runoff by performing simulations with the same constant soil moisture field in both storm-resolving and parameterized convection simulations. These constant soil moisture simulations cancel the effect of lower soil moisture on the land-atmosphere feedback cycle in our storm-resolving simulations. We show that precipitation strongly increases in the storm-resolving simulations, especially in moisture-controlled regions, such as the northern Sahel and Sahara, and reaches equally high values as in parameterized convection simulations. Our study highlights how the type of rainfall (e.g., local and intense or widespread and light) impacts soil moisture and thus land-atmosphere feedbacks. This is contrary to many studies that focus mainly on the amount of rainfall and how it modifies land-atmosphere feedbacks. Moreover, this study suggests that comprehensive land-surface schemes, which appropriately respond to varying precipitation characteristics, are needed for studying land surface – atmosphere interaction.

1 Introduction

During the mid-Holocene, about 5000 to 11000 years ago, the climate of the North African continent was wetter than today, and its landscape was characterized by a denser vegetation cover consisting of grass- and shrublands (Jolly et al., 1998) and shaped by abundant lakes and wetlands (Tierney et al., 2017). This so-called "African humid period" (AHP) was triggered by steady variations in the Earth's orbit (Kutzbach and Guetter, 1986; Street-Perrott et al., 1990). These variations lead to a stronger northern hemispheric insolation gradient and thereby to an intensified West African Monsoon circulation (Kutzbach and Otto-Bliesner, 1982; Kutzbach and Liu, 1997). It is widely accepted that the initial changes in the West African monsoon circulation were amplified by ocean-atmosphere (Kutzbach and Liu, 1997) and land-atmosphere feedbacks (Claussen and Gayler, 1997; Braconnot et al., 1999, 2012; Krinner et al., 2012; Gaetani et al., 2017; Claussen et al., 2017), causing strong changes in the hydrological cycle and vegetation, as indicated for example in sediment records or fossils in numerous proxy data (e.g., Peyron et al. (2006); Bartlein et al. (2011)).

The intensified West African monsoon shifted monsoonal precipitation further north and led to an increase in soil moisture, and hence, increased vegetation cover over the semi-arid and arid regions of the Sahel-Sahara region. In the simplest feedback, increased soil moisture and enhanced vegetation cover increased evapotranspiration which increased latent heat flux and, therefore, lower-tropospheric humidity (e.g.Kutzbach et al. (1996); Doherty et al. (2000)). This favoured convective activity and a positive feedback on precipitation.

Moreover, wetter soils and a higher vegetation cover are darker than bare soil; hence the surface albedo is lower (e.g., Kutzbach and Liu (1997); Claussen and Gayler (1997); Texier et al. (1997); Broström et al. (1998); Doherty et al. (2000); Braconnot et al. (2000); Vamborg et al. (2011)). A decreased surface albedo increased the available net surface radiation over mid-Holocene North Africa. Levis et al. (2004) argued that the increase in net surface energy has raised the temperature over the continent and enhanced the land-sea temperature gradient. This albedo-temperature feedback has dominated the direct soil moisture-precipitation feedback (as explained above) and has intensified monsoon circulation and precipitation over mid-Holocene North Africa. However, the temperature over North Africa can also decrease in response to a decrease in albedo and an increase in surface net energy as found by Ripley et al. (1976) and Claussen and Gayler (1997). Due to the higher moisture availability, most of the surplus of surface energy is transferred to the atmosphere via latent heat flux rather than sensible heat flux. The decrease in the Bowen ratio (sensible heat flux divided by latent heat flux) increases the vertical gradient of moist static energy within the boundary layer that destabilizes the atmosphere and favors convection and precipitation (Schär et al., 1999).

Moreover, the decrease in temperature over North Africa (via enhanced latent heat flux) can affect the meridional temperature gradient over North Africa and induce a dynamic land-atmosphere feedback as pointed out by Patricola and Cook (2007) and Rachmayani et al. (2015). Therefore, a weakened meridional temperature gradient slows down the African easterly jet due to the thermal wind balance (Cook, 1999; Wu et al., 2009). This slowdown of the African easterly jet includes two coupling mechanisms to convection and precipitation. Firstly, it decreases the mid-level moisture export out of North Africa

and increases the available moisture for convection and precipitation (Cook, 1999). Secondly, with the northward shift of the maximum temperature gradient, the African easterly jet core also shifts northward. This includes a broadening of the region of deep ascending motion between the African easterly jet and the Tropical easterly jet, supporting the broader occurrence of convection and precipitation (Xue and Shukla, 1993, 1996; Cook, 1999; Nicholson and Grist, 2001; Grist and Nicholson, 2001).

However, in all paleo-climate studies, climate models still struggle to reproduce the precipitation distribution (i.e., the amplitude and/or the extension of the tropical rainbelt) to support the greening of the semi-arid and arid regions of the North African continent (e.g., Joussaume et al. (1999); Braconnot et al. (2012); Harrison et al. (2015); Brierley et al. (2020)), as compared to proxy data. A possible explanation for diverging rainfall distributions could be the parameterization of convective rainfall, which is used in coarse-resolution climate models (e.g., Yang and Slingo (2001); Randall et al. (2003); Stephens et al. (2010); Dirmeyer et al. (2012); Fiedler et al. (2020); Jungandreas et al. (2021)). The influence of the representation of convection on the mid-Holocene West African monsoon but with present-day land surface cover was investigated by Jungandreas et al. (2021). Although they found no substantial effect on the meridional precipitation distribution over mid-Holocene North Africa when comparing storm-resolving with parameterized convection simulations, they identified an important feedback between the land surface and precipitation that depends on how convection is represented in the model. Resolving convection explicitly leads to less frequent but more local and intense precipitation. In contrast, parameterizing convection causes less intense but more frequent precipitation that steadily moistens the soil by generating less runoff. As the soil is not able to absorb the high amount of rainfall in storm-resolving simulations, a large fraction is removed from the system as runoff and thus causes a noticeably weaker refilling of the soil moisture during the monsoon season as compared to parameterized convection simulations. Consequently, in storm-resolving simulations, the lower soil moisture yields a lower latent heat flux and, consequently an extenuated convective activity, while in parameterized convection simulations, the higher latent heat flux further supports convection and maintains a strong positive precipitation feedback.

In their simulation, Jungandreas et al. (2021) have prescribed present-day conditions for the vegetation cover, like the simulation setup used in the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project phase 1 (PMIP1). This is, in relation to early and mid-Holocene conditions, an unrealistic specification of land-surface conditions. In this study, we first address the question of whether a more realistic land-surface coverage, i.e., more widespread vegetation cover and, likely, higher soil moisture, affects the hydrological conditions in a way that monsoonal precipitation in storm-resolving simulations is increased and shifted further north as compared to present-day conditions and, second, how the response differs between simulations with storm-resolving and parameterized convection simulations. Furthermore, motivated by the results of Jungandreas et al. (2021), we also aim to understand whether differences in runoff may explain different sensitivity to land cover change between storm-resolving and parameterized convection simulations.

Therefore, in the present study, we first examine the most important land-atmosphere feedbacks in our storm-resolving simulations that evolve due to a higher vegetation cover (Sec. 3.1). To do so, we prescribe a larger, mid-Holocene-like vegetation cover over North Africa based on the simulated total vegetation cover fraction of the transient mid-Holocene simulations of the Max-Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM) (Dallmeyer et al., 2020). Subsequently, we compare the parameterized

convection simulations (Sec. 3.2). Finally, we repeat our simulations with higher vegetation cover but additionally prescribe the same constant soil moisture field in both the storm-resolving and the parameterized convection simulations to assess the importance of runoff in shaping the precipitation response to land cover change. Based on these simulations, we investigate the dependence of the hydrological cycle on the representation of convection in our model (Sec. 3.3).

2 Methods

2.1 Model

The model and simulation setup used in this study is identical to the one used in Jungandreas et al. (2021). We use the ICON (ICOsahedral Nonhydrostatic) model framework version 2.5.0 (Zängl et al., 2015) in its operational Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) mode. The ICON-NWP model framework supports nested experiments. The nesting allows us to run coarse horizontal resolution simulations with parameterized convection and high-resolution simulations using explicitly resolved convection simultaneously. We simulate using a one-way nesting strategy. The convective parametrization used in our simulations is based on the bulk mass-flux approach introduced by Tiedtke (1989) with modifications by Bechtold et al. (2014). Zängl et al. (2015) lists the other physical parameterizations of the model framework. All simulations are limited-area simulations. The domains are outlined in Fig. 1 as well as the main analysis domain we use in our investigations.

2.2 Simulation Setup

We perform a 30 - year spinup simulation that covers the period from 7039 y b2k (years before the year 2000) to 7010 y b2k. The spinup simulation is conducted on a regional domain with a 40 km horizontal grid spacing and 75 vertical levels (blue domain outlined in Fig. 1) using parameterized convection. The soil moisture reaches a stable state after around 15 years (of the 30-year spinup period). We select two years after this 15-year soil moisture-spinup phase consistent with the procedure applied in Jungandreas et al. (2021). Based on the 30-year monthly mean timeseries of the parameterized convection simulation (40km-P), JAS-mean values, and the latitudinal extent of monsoonal precipitation for every year over the WA-domain, we selected a relatively strong and a relatively weak monsoon year (in terms of precipitation amount and northward extent). We performed the nested experiments for the boreal summer monsoon season for both the weak and the strong monsoon year. In this paper, we only present the analysis of the strong monsoon year. However, the results are qualitatively the same in the weak monsoon year.

The nesting experiments are initialized on May 30 and run for five months (JJASO). The initial and boundary data originate from the transient, global Holocene simulation conducted with the MPI - Earth System Model (ESM). More details about the transient MPI-ESM Holocene simulation are provided by Dallmeyer et al. (2020).

The parent domain of the nested simulation (blue outlined domain in Fig. 1) is identical to the domain of the spinup simulation with the same horizontal and vertical resolution. Inside the 40km domain, further nested domains are embedded with horizontal resolutions of 20 km, 10 km, and 5 km (Fig. 1). While the simulations with 40 km, 20 km, and 10 km grid spacing

Figure 1. Solid, colored domains outline the nesting domains of the simulations for the various grid spacings (as indicated). The dashed, black domain labeled "WA-Domain" (West Africa) displays the main analysis domain. The WA-Domain spans the area from 5 °N-31 °N and from 18 °E-15 °W. The three dotted, black domains within the WA-Domain are used to distinguish the coastal African region ("coastal"), the Sahel region ("Sahel"), and the Saharan region ("Sahara") that are indicated in the longitudinal-mean plots. The coastal region spans from 5 °N-13 °N and from 18 °E-15 °W. The Sahel region spans from 13 °N-22 °N and from 18 °E-15 °W and the Sahara region from 22 °N-31 °N and from 18 °E-15 °W.

use parameterized convection, the 5 km domain uses explicitly resolved convection. In the following, we refer to the simulations with 40 km horizontal grid spacing, and parameterized convection as 40km-P simulations. Similarly, the 5km resolution

125   simulation with explicitly resolved convection is labeled as 5km-E. Due to computational restrictions, we have to limit the downscaling simulations to maximum 5 km as the finest horizontal resolution. However, we do not expect qualitatively different results if we use a finer spatial resolution. First, our simulations at 10 km horizontal resolution yield qualitatively very similar results (see Appendix B), indicating no strong dependence on the spatial resolution in our study. Also, Hohenegger et al. (2020) analyzed convergence properties across resolution between 2.5 and 80 km, but could not identify a significant

130   sensitivity. Second, the large mesoscale convective systems of the West African monsoon, which are dominantly responsible for the precipitation over North Africa (Vizy and Cook, 2018), can be appropriately resolved using models with 5 km horizontal

resolution. This is also why this type of simulations are commonly referred to as storm-resolving and we will use this term throughout the text to refer to the kilometer-scale simulations with explicitly resolved deep convection.

The nested simulations are initialized by their respective parent domain one hour after another. Lateral boundary conditions for the nested simulations are also obtained from their parent simulation and updated every 6 hours. We further prescribe 6-hourly sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice (SIC) fields from the transient MPI-ESM Holocene simulation, as well as the same orbital parameters and tracer gases carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and nitrogen oxide (N_2O).

In our analysis (Sec. 3), we focus on the three strongest monsoon months from July to September (JAS). Further, we investigate both the 40 km parameterized convection (40km-P) and the 5 km storm-resolving simulations (5km-E) to analyze whether and how differently the atmosphere responds to the underlying surface conditions in both representations of convection.

2.2.1 The "Green Sahara" simulations (GS)

To investigate the influence of the land surface on the monsoonal rainbelt in simulations with parameterized convection and in storm-resolving simulations, we compare simulations with present-day vegetation cover (Jungandreas et al. (2021), Fig. 2a and c) to simulations with a higher, more realistic mid-Holocene-like vegetation cover (Fig. 2b and d). Because the largest area of North Africa in the simulations with present-day vegetation cover is bare soil (desert) or sparse vegetation, we refer to these simulations in the following as "DS"-simulations (for "Dry Sahara"). The land-surface cover of these simulations is generated by the German Weather Service (DWD) by combining GLOBCOVER2009, Harmonized world soil database (HWSD), and the GLOBE Lake Database. The simulations with a higher vegetation cover are introduced below.

We prescribe an idealized, denser vegetation cover over the whole 40km simulation domain (spanning from 70.5°W - 99.5°E and from 59°N to 49°S) guided by the MPI-ESM Holocene simulations (see Dallmeyer et al. (2020)). The MPI-ESM Holocene simulations, which cover the last 8000 years, include a prediction of vegetation cover. The desert fraction is computed as the fraction of a grid box that is not covered by vegetation. We use this desert fraction to know how far north vegetation reached and to determine the main vegetation types for our GS simulations. In our GS simulation, vegetation is specified consisting of seven vegetation types: closed broadleaved evergreen forest (dark green in Fig. 2), closed needleleaved evergreen forest (light green), mixed broadleaved and needleleaved forest (brownish), closed to open shrubland (yellow), closed to open herbaceous vegetation (dark red), sparse vegetation (lighter red) and bare area (desert, purple)(see Fig. 2b and d). We prescribe one homogeneous vegetation type per grid cell. Obviously, a specific present-day vegetation type cannot be transformed into one specific mid-Holocene vegetation type over the whole simulations domain. Therefore, depending on the region, we apply different criteria to modify the vegetation cover, as described in Table A1 and summarized below. For North Africa, our main region of interest, we extend the present-day area of evergreen tropical rainforest (Fig. 2b, dark green) to about 15°N. North of the rainforest, we then prescribe a decreasing vegetation gradient from closed to open shrubland (light yellow), to closed to open herbaceous vegetation (dark red), to sparse vegetation (lighter red). Bare soil (desert) prevails only over a small area of Egypt. In the following, we label these vegetated Sahara simulations with "GS" (for "Green Sahara").

With the vegetation cover, we adjust all variables that depend on the vegetation type, for example, the leaf area index, surface albedo, root depth, minimal stomata resistance, and several others (see Table A2), which are prescribed in the external

Figure 2. Land surface cover for the "Dry Sahara" (a,c) and "Green Sahara" (b,d) simulations for the 40 km and the 5 km simulations, respectively.

parameters. The external parameters have to represent the characteristics of the specific vegetation type in each grid cell. However, present-day external parameters for ICON-NWP, which we use to calculate the external parameters for our GS simulation, represent a mixture of different vegetation types per grid cell. We calculate the spatially constant values for our GS simulations as follows:

170
    1. We calculate the dominant land cover type ($\equiv$ vegetation type) for each grid cell of the present-day land cover distribution of the 40km-domain (see Fig. 2a).

    2. All grid cells of this simulation with the same dominant vegetation type are used to calculate the domain-mean or either the 75th or the 85th percentile value for each variable.

    3. We prescribe either the mean or the percentile values for each parameter to the idealized mid-Holocene vegetation
175
    types, respectively. The percentile values are used if the mean value underestimates the parameter value. This can happen because the parameter value of the present-day external parameters represents not the dominant vegetation type but a mixture of different vegetation types.

Table A2 in Appendix A summarizes all modified variables and indicates if we use mean or percentile values.

Figure 3. The prescribed constant soil moisture field as sum over the uppermost six soil layers (up to a depth of 3.42 m) in the 5km-E (a) and the 40km-P (b) simulation. The vertical black, dashed lines indicate the borders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig. 1.

2.2.2 GS simulations with constant soil moisture GS-cSM

180   In addition to the GS simulations, we perform a second set of GS simulations, but with a prescribed soil moisture field that we keep constant for the whole simulation period (Fig. 3). We label these simulations with GS-cSM (for "Green Sahara with constant soil moisture"). We prescribe the soil moisture field of the 1st September 00 UTC of the 40km-P GS simulation to all four domains at all times. The soil moisture field from the 1st September displays relatively high soil moisture levels, compared to the soil moisture levels at the beginning of the GS-simulations, to provide enough soil moisture for evapotranspiration. Small

185   variations between the 5km-E and 40km-P soil moisture field are possible due to interpolation. Apart from keeping the soil moisture constant, the simulation setup in the GS-cSM simulations is identical to the GS simulation setup.

3 Results and Discussion

In the following section (Sec. 3.1), we compare the storm-resolving simulations with present-day land surface cover (DS) introduced by Jungandreas et al. (2021) with the simulations with higher vegetation cover (GS, Sec. 2.2.1) to describe the

190   evolving land-atmosphere feedbacks. Subsequently, in Sec. 3.2 we briefly highlight the differences between parameterized (40km-P) and storm-resolving (5km-E) simulations. In Sec 3.3 we present the results of our sensitivity experiment in which we prescribe the same constant soil moisture field in the 5km-E and the 40km-P simulations.

    All analyses are done for the months of July to September over the WA-domain and, if not further specified, for the subregions "coastal", "Sahel" or "Sahara" as shown in Fig. 1.

3.1 Land-atmosphere coupling - how the land influences the large-scale monsoon circulation

3.1.1 Changes in vegetation and the surface energy budget

In our simulations, the main influence of the prescribed change in vegetation cover is, on the one hand, on the energy partitioning into latent and sensible heat flux and, on the other hand, on the temperature gradient over the North African continent between the drier, warmer region of the Sahara and the cooler, moister regions near the coast.

Figure 4. JAS-mean meridional distribution of the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI; a), the latent heat flux (b), the sensible heat flux (c) and the 850hPa-temperature (d) for the 5km-E storm-resolving simulations. Note the second y-axis in the panel d for the difference temperature (solid line). The longitudinal mean is taken over the WA-Domain for the 5km-E simulations. The lines indicate the distribution for the Dry Sahara-simulation (DS, blue dotted line), for the Green Sahara-simulation (GS, blue dashed line), and the difference between the GS and the DS simulation (blue solid line). The vertical black, dashed lines indicate the borders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig. 1.

In the GS simulation, we prescribe a higher vegetation cover (indicated by the Normalized Difference vegetation index (NDVI), Fig. 4 a) over the whole WA-Domain, with the strongest increase over the Sahel region compared to the DS simulation. Prescribing a higher vegetation cover strongly decreases the surface albedo by about 54% (compare energy fluxes in Table 1). The decrease in albedo implies about 50% less reflection of solar incoming radiation at the surface, thus higher absorption of

solar radiation. This remains true despite the decrease in incoming solar radiation due to higher cloud cover (Fig. A2). The thermal outgoing radiation at the surface slightly decreases due to mostly colder temperature and the longwave, downward radiation increases due to higher cloud cover. Taking these two effects together, the surface gains more thermal energy. All in all, net radiation increases and the total heat flux (sensible + latent heat flux) from the surface into the atmosphere increases. How much of the total energy is transformed into latent and sensible heat strongly depends on the water availability at the surface.

	5 km-E DS	5 km-E GS	5 km-E GS - DS
SW↓ ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	280.5	253.6	-26.9
SW↑ ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	67.9	34.2	-33.7
SW_{net} ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	212.7	219.4	6.7
LW↓ ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	393.1	402.8	9.7
LW↑ ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	476.3	470.8	-5.5
LW_{net} ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	-83.2	-68.0	15.2
R_{net} ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	129.4	151.4	22.0
LH ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	41.0	48.6	7.6
SH ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	72.8	87.9	15.1
Res ($\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$)	15.6	15.6	<0.1

Table 1. JAS-mean values of radiation components at the surface averaged over the WA-Domain (Fig. 1) for the 5 km-E DS and GS simulations. The abbreviations used are as follows: SW↓ - downward, shortwave radiation, SW↑ - upward, shortwave radiation, SW_{net} - net shortwave radiation, LW↓ - downward, longwave radiation, LW↑ - upward, longwave radiation, LW_{net} - net longwave radiation, R_{net} - net radiation, LH - latent heat flux, SH - sensible heat flux, Res - Residuum term.

Over the coastal region, moisture is generally abundant (regardless of the prevailing vegetation type i.e. dense shrubland or forest). Hence, latent heat flux is high and sensible heat flux small in both, the GS and DS simulation (Fig.4 b and c). Therefore, variations between the GS and the DS simulations are small. In contrast, over the Sahel (a region strongly controlled by water availability) the higher vegetation cover increases the interception storage of water and makes deeper soil water available for evapotranspiration. Therefore, the total increase of the surface heat fluxes (compare Fig. 4 b and c) over the Sahel is dominated by the increase in latent heat flux. Over the Sahara, water remains too limited and the increase in sensible heat flux dominates the total increase in the surface heat fluxes in the GS as compared to the DS simulation.

Differences in the temperature field are dominantly determined by differences in the latent heat flux. Latent heat flux is more effective in transporting energy from the surface into the atmosphere and can cool the surface. As shown in Fig. 4 d, lower-tropospheric temperature (here shown for 850hPa) decreases in the GS compared to the DS simulation. The strongest decrease in temperature of about $1.5°$ C is located over the Sahel region and coincides with the region of the strongest increase in latent heat flux. The maximum temperature over the Sahara shifts northward where vegetation cover is less dense and thus, latent

heat flux is smaller. Simultaneously, the temperature over the coastal region does not change substantially, consistent with the small variations in latent heat flux. Consequently and as indicated by the difference in temperature (solid line, note the second y-axis), the temperature gradient south of about 18°N (location of the maximum decrease) weakens, while it strengthens north of 18°N. The maximum temperature gradient shifts north by about 2-3° in the GS compared to the DS simulation (not shown). This temperature coupling is especially important for the formation and the location of the African easterly jet over North Africa and thus influences the dynamics of the atmosphere and will be further discussed in Section 3.1.2.

3.1.2 Changes in atmospheric dynamics

Despite the decrease in temperature (Fig. 4 d), the low pressure system (not shown) over the Sahara deepens. This strengthening of the low pressure system is caused by a stronger thermal uplift at the surface which in turn is caused by the increase in net radiation (SW+LW; see Table. 1) at the surface over the northern Sahel and Sahara region. Therefore, the surface pressure gradient between the Sahara and the tropical Atlantic Ocean increases and drives a stronger low-level, southwesterly monsoon flow (Fig. 5). The strengthened southwesterly winds transport cool and moist air from the Gulf of Guinea and the coastal region (consistent with the drying of the coastal region Fig. 7) deep into the African continent and provide additional moisture for convection and precipitation. Moreover, in response to the strengthened low-level, southwesterly winds, the location of the Innertropical Front (ITF, the location where the southwesterly monsoon flow converges with the northeasterly Harmattan winds) shifts about 2° further north (from about 20°N to 22°N; Fig. 5). The low and mid-level lifting over the northern Sahel and southern Sahara region that is associated with the lifting of air at the ITF (between 20-23°N) (Nicholson, 2009; Thorncroft et al., 2011) slightly strengthens and reaches higher altitudes in the GS simulation (Fig. 6 c).

The decrease of the temperature gradient south of about 18°N (Fig. 4 d) and the northward shift of the maximum temperature gradient implies a weakening of the meridional gradient in geopotential. The weakening of the geopotential gradient weakens the African easterly jet (AEJ; indicated by the local maximum of easterly wind speed (blueish color) at about 600hPa) and shifts its core about 3° north in the GS compared to the DS simulation (Fig. 5; Cook (1999); Wu et al. (2009)). Several studies (e.g. Cook (1999); Nicholson and Grist (2001); Grist and Nicholson (2001)) confirm that the northward displacement and a weakening of the AEJ are associated with more humid conditions over the Sahel. Hence, more moisture remains over North Africa as a source for clouds and precipitation in the GS simulations (compare to Fig. A3).

Moreover, with the northward shift of the AEJ, the region of strong ascent between the Tropical easterly jet (TEJ; maximum easterly winds at about 200hPa) and the AEJ axes (e.g.Grist and Nicholson (2001); Nicholson and Grist (2001)) broadens and reaches further north. Fig. 6 c displays a decrease in vertical upward motion at mid- and high-levels over the coastal region and an increase over the Sahel-Sahara region up to about 25°N in the GS compared to the DS simulation. The ascending motion between the jet axes is part of a deep meridional overturning circulation (Thorncroft et al., 2011). It not only distributes moisture within the whole troposphere (Fig. 7), but it also forces the low-level inflow of fresh, moist monsoonal air from the south and southwest (also compare to Fig. A3). Hence, it potentially contributes to the strengthening of the low-level, southwesterly monsoon winds in the GS simulations. Moreover, stronger convection in turn yields higher latent heat release, enhances the

Figure 5. Vertical cross-section of the wind field over the WA-domain (Fig. 1). The shading displays the mean zonal wind, and the arrows the mean meridional and vertical wind for the 5km-E and the DS (a) and the GS (b) simulation, respectively. Note that the vertical wind speed is multiplied by 100 to make arrows better visible. The location of the African easterly jet (AEJ) and the Tropical easterly jet (TEJ) are indicated by the labels. The dashed vertical lines indicate the borders of the coastal, Sahel, and Sahara domain also displayed in Fig. 1.

255 temperature gradient, and reinforces the monsoon circulation. Stronger upward motion and higher atmospheric humidity lead to more supportive conditions for convection and therefore support higher precipitation rates over the Sahel and Sahara.

Figure 6. Vertical cross section of the vertical wind component for the 5km-E DS (a) and GS (b), and for the difference between the GS and DS (c) simulation, respectively, averaged over the WA-Domain outlined in Fig. 1. Again, the location of the African easterly jet (AEJ) and the Tropical easterly jet (TEJ) are indicated by the labels. The dashed vertical lines again indicate the latitudes of the coastal, Sahel and Sahara domain also displayed in Fig. 1.

Figure 7. Vertical cross section of the difference in relative humidity between the GS and the DS simulation for the 5 km-E simulations. The field is averaged over the WA-Domain outlined in Fig. 1. The vertical dashed lines delineate the latitudes of the coastal, the Sahel and the Sahara domain (see Fig. 1).

3.1.3 Changes in atmospheric thermodynamics

The change in surface latent heat flux together with the strengthened monsoon circulation influences the moisture in the lower troposphere as shown in Fig. 7. Over the coastal region relative humidity decreases slightly in the GS compared to the DS simulation. The decrease in latent heat flux, and the intensified southwesterly monsoon winds yield a higher moisture divergence over the coastal region. In contrast, the increase in relative humidity in the lowest atmosphere layers over the Sahel and Sahara region can be linked to the increase in latent heat flux (Fig. 4 b), the decrease in temperature (Fig. 4 d) and the convergence of moisture (not shown). This increase in boundary-layer relative humidity supports the triggering of convection over these regions. In turn, the increase in convective activity likely contributes to the increase in the upper tropospheric humidity as it distributes boundary layer-moisture upwards.

Changes in the stability parameters, such as CAPE (Convective Available Potential Energy [1]) or CIN (Convective Inhibition[2]) listed in Table 2, are consistent with the less supportive conditions for the triggering of convection in the GS simulation over the coastal region and the more supportive conditions over the Sahel and Sahara region. Over the coastal region, CAPE slightly decreases and, despite the CIN becomes slightly less negative, the level of free convection (LFC[3]) is higher. Over the Sahel region, CAPE increases, CIN becoming less negative and the LFC lowers. Changes over the Sahara are very weak and indicate generally poor conditions for convection and precipitation to occur.

---

[1]CAPE is defined as the energy available to feed atmospheric convection

[2]CIN is the energy required to lift an air parcel up to the level of free convection (LFC)

[3]At the altitude of the LFC, an air parcel becomes warmer than the environment, therefore results into positive buoyancy

		5 km-E DS	5 km-E GS
coastal	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	706.7	505.6
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	-37.5	-34.1
	LFC (m)	1131	1236
	cloud cover (%)	77	79
sahel	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	159.8	220.6
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	-262.0	-211.5
	LFC (m)	2617	1940
	cloud cover (%)	36	58
sahara	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	0.0	2.1
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	0.0	-102.9
	LFC (m)	3321	2974
	cloud cover (%)	20	36

Table 2. JAS-mean values of 12 UTC CAPE and CIN and JAS-mean level of free convection (LFC) and total cloud cover for the coastal, Sahel and Sahara region (Fig. 1) for the 5 km-E DS and GS simulation.

3.1.4 Resulting changes in precipitation

The land-atmosphere coupling described above induces a positive land-atmosphere feedback over the Sahel-Sahara region, where the mean precipitation increases in response to increased vegetation cover, and a negative feedback over the coastal region (Fig. 8; increased vegetation cover that leads to a decrease in precipitation which counteracts the growing of plants). Over the coastal region, the increase in vegetation cover leads to a decrease in latent heat flux (Sec. 3.1.1) and humidity, less favourable thermodynamic conditions (Sec. 3.1.3), and weakened vertical upward motion (Fig. 6). Vice versa, over the Sahel region (and to a lesser extent over the Sahara region), latent heat flux and lower-tropospheric humidity strongly increase and yield more supportive thermodynamic conditions. The modified thermodynamic gradient between the coastal and the Sahel-Sahara region shifts the AEJ northward (Sec. 3.1.2), enhances the monsoon circulation and increases atmospheric humidity. As a result, precipitation shifts from the coastal towards the Sahel-Sahara region by about 4-5°. Therefore, we suggest that the main cause for the further northward propagation of monsoonal precipitation in the GS simulation is of dynamical nature. However, to quantify which feedback dominates the precipitation response, further analyses are needed and are beyond the scope of this paper.

3.2 Positive land-atmosphere feedbacks but with different strength - differences between 40km-P and 5km-E simulations

In the previous section, we have analyzed the differences between the 5km-E GS and DS simulation to identify the operating land-atmosphere feedbacks in our storm-resolving simulations. All feedback mechanisms explained in Sec. 3.1 qualitatively

Figure 8. JAS-mean difference in precipitation rate between the 5km-E GS and DS simulation. The dashed box indicates the WA-analysis domain.

also hold for simulations with parameterized convection and for all horizontal resolutions. Both representations of convection
290 show a positive precipitation coupling over the Sahel-Sahara region, and a negative coupling over the coastal region, in response
to an increased vegetation cover. Beside these similarities in the general feedback sign, there are pronounced differences in the
magnitude of the changes between storm-resolving and parameterized convection simulations.

Fig. 9 a displays strong differences in the precipitation response to changes in the land surface cover between both representa-
tions of convection. The decrease in precipitation in the 5km-E GS compared to the DS simulation over the coastal region
295 is stronger while the increase over the Sahel and Sahara region is noticeably weaker than in the 40km-P simulations. Over the
Sahara, the precipitation increase in the 40km-P simulations remains higher but differences to the 5km-E simulations become
smaller. We find that precipitation in the 40km-P simulations is generally much higher throughout the WA-domain and ex-
tends farther north (by about $2°$ taking $2\,\mathrm{mm\,day}^{-1}$ as threshold) as compared to the 5km-E simulations (not shown, refer to
Jungandreas et al. (2021) for the DS simulations).
300 We attribute the different precipitation signal to the precipitation-runoff-soil moisture mechanism described for the DS-
simulations in Jungandreas et al. (2021). Precipitation in the 5km-E simulations is more often more local and intense, as
indicated by the propability density function of the precipitation rate (Fig. 9 b). Kendon et al. (2021) found that strong pre-

Figure 9. (a) Difference of the JAS-mean-meridional precipitation distribution between the GS and DS simulation for the 5km-E simulations (blue line) and the 40km-P simulation (orange line), respectively. The vertical black, dashed lines indicate the borders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig. 1. (b) shows the propability density function of rain rate calculated over all land points of the WA-domain. Blue lines indicate the 5km-E DS (dashed) and GS (solid) simulations. Orange lines display the 40km-P DS (dashed) and GS (solid) simulations, respectively.

cipitation events tend to be too intense in some convection-permitting models. For the ICON-model, however, Stevens et al. (2020) showed the propability density function of precipitation intensity for simulations conducted with a grid spacing of
305   312 m, 625 m and 2.5 km over the tropical Atlantic and for simulations conducted with a grid spacing of 625 m and 2.8 km over a land area of Germany for present-day conditions. Over the tropical Atlantic, there is a clear dependency with resolution with much more frequent downpours at coarse resolution, whereas this effect is not present over the land area. Using the same model version, Paccini (2021, https://pure.mpg.de/rest/items/item_3367420_4/component/file_3367464/content) investigated the representation of the propability density function of rainfall intensity over the Amazon and show that ICON with 5 km
310   grid spacing matches very well with observations. Therefore, we believe that the probability density function of precipitation intensity is more realistic in 5km-E than in the 40km-P simulations and that the former does not significantly overestimate the occurrence of downpours.

     More frequent downpours lead to larger amounts of runoff relative to the precipitation amount (Fig. 10 a) in the 5km-E as compared to the 40km-E simulations. In the mean over the WA-domain, about 37% and 35% of precipitation become runoff in
315   the 5km-E GS and the DS simulation, respectively, while in the 40km-P GS and DS simulations only about 21% and 20% of the precipitation leaves the system as runoff, respectively. As a result of the higher runoff-precipitation ratio, the daily change

Figure 10. Relationship between different variables in the GS simulation in Panel a and b, and the difference between the GS and the DS simulation in Panel c and d for the 5km-E simulations (blue circles) and the 40km-P simulations (orange circles). Each circle indicates one day of the JAS-season averaged over the WA-domain outlined in Fig. 1. The Panels show the following relationships: (a) the ratio between runoff and precipitation rate as function of precipitation rate, (b) the daily change of soil moisture (within the six uppermost soil layers reaching up to a depth of 3.42m) as function of the runoff-precipitation ratio, (c) the difference in latent heat flux as function of the difference in total soil moisture content (again within the six uppermost soil layers reaching up to a depth of 3.42m) and (d) the difference in the precipitation rate as function of the difference of latent heat flux.

in soil moisture is much smaller in the 5km-E as compared to the 40km-P GS simulation (Fig. 10 b) (this is also true for the DS simulations). This implies that much less of the surplus of precipitation in the 5km-E GS simulation (compared to the DS simulation) is stored in the soil (especially over the Sahel and Sahara region) as compared to the 40km-P simulations, resulting in generally lower total soil moisture content in 5km-E simulations (not shown). Note that the 40km-P data points at a runoff-precipitation ratio of about 0.1 (Fig. 10 a, b) seem to be separated from the other data points. These data points correspond to the first 20 days of the analyzed monsoon season. During this period, soil moisture is still low compared to period later in the monsoon season. Therefore soil moisture storage is not filled. Later in the season when soil moisture is higher, the runoff-

precipitation ratio increases as a larger fraction of precipitation has to be turned into runoff. We can not identify coherent larger regions that these points correspond to.

We argue that the precipitation-runoff-soil moisture mechanism dampens the potential precipitation response to a vegetated Sahel-Sahara region in the 5km-E compared to the 40km-P simulations. A weaker precipitation response in the 5km-E simulation could result both from a weaker response of precipitation to a given change in latent heat flux, as for instance argued in past studies (Schär et al. (1999); Hohenegger et al. (2009)) or from a weaker change in latent heat flux due to a missing refilling of the soil moisture by precipitation. Fig. 10 c and d emphasize that the latter effect (weaker change in latent heat flux due to smaller changes in soil moisture) dominates the weaker response of precipitation to an increase in vegetation cover in the 5km-E simulations. While the response of precipitation to the change in latent heat flux is not substantially different in the 5km-E as compared to the 40km-P simulations (Fig. 10 d), Fig. 10 c indicates that much smaller changes in soil moisture yield smaller changes in latent heat flux. We hypothesize that the higher soil moisture values in the storm-resolving simulations, comparable to the ones in the parameterized convection simulations, would enhance the land-atmosphere coupling and shift monsoonal precipitation further north.

3.3 The influence of runoff-controlled soil moisture

In the following, we test our hypothesis that the high amounts of runoff influence the precipitation response in the 5km-E GS simulation via the control of soil moisture. We eliminate the limiting influence of the runoff on soil moisture in both representations of convection. For this purpose, we perform a set of simulations where we prescribe the same soil moisture fields in the 40km-P and the 5km-E GS simulations and keep this soil moisture field constant for the whole simulation period (Sec.2.2.2). We refer to these simulations as GS-cSM ("Green Sahara with constant soil moisture").

Figure 11. Difference of the JAS-mean soil moisture content in the six uppermost soil layers between the GS-cSM and the GS simulation for the 5km-E (a) and the 40km-P (b) simulations. The dashed black box indicates the WA-analysis domain.

Figure 12. Difference of the JAS-mean meridional distributions of latent heat flux (a), 850hPa-temperature (b) and precipitation (c) between the GS-cSM and the GS simulations (solid lines) and for the GS-cSM simulation (dashed lines in Panel c) for the 5km-E (blue lines), and the 40km-P (orange lines), respectively. The vertical black, dashed lines indicate the boarders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig. 1.

Figure 11 a shows that soil moisture noticeably increases in the 5km-E GS-cSM simulation while the difference between the GS-cSM and the GS simulation in the 40km-P run is much smaller (Fig. 11 b). As a result, the latent heat flux (Figure 12a)
345 strongly increases in the 5km-E GS-cSM simulation, especially over the Sahel region. In response to the strong increase in soil moisture and latent heat flux, especially over the Sahel and Sahara region, we find that the lower tropospheric humidity increases more strongly in the 5km-E GS-cSM simulations (not shown), supporting the triggering of convection. Further, the stability of the atmosphere especially over the Sahel decreases and conditions become more supportive in the 5km-E GS-cSM as compared to the GS simulation (see Table A3 in the supplementary).

350 The increase in soil moisture and latent heat flux further reduces the lower-tropospheric temperature (Figure 12 b) over the Sahel in the 5km-E GS-cSM simulation and thereby further reduces the temperature gradient over the continent. As explained in Sec. 3.1.2, the temperature gradient strongly influences the AEJ strength and its location. The temperature reduction between the 5km-E GS-cSM and GS simulation is stronger than in the 40km-P simulations. As a result, the mean winds of the AEJ weakens by $2\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ in the 5km-E GS-cSM simulation (compared to the GS simulation) and by $0.5\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ in the 40km-P
355 GS-cSM simulations. In the end, the AEJ becomes weaker in the 5km-E GS-cSM ($6.6\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$) than in the 40km-P GS-cSM simulation ($7.9\,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$). Moreover, the AEJ core shifts further north to 20.8°N in the 5km-E GS-cSM simulation and to 20.4°N in the 40km-P GS-cSM simulation. Hence, the AEJ is located at about the same location in both the 5km-E and 40km-P GS-cSM simulations. We argue that the location and strength of the AEJ are essential for the northward extent of monsoonal precipitation in our simulations, consistent with the findings of Nicholson and Grist (2001), Grist and Nicholson (2001) and
360 Cook (1999).

Consequently, over the northern Sahel, precipitation (Fig. 12 c) shows a strong increase of up to about $1.7\,\mathrm{mm\,day^{-1}}$ in the 5km-E GS-cSM simulations, while the increase in the 40km-P GS-cSM simulation is noticeably smaller with about $0.8\,\mathrm{mm\,day^{-1}}$. As a result, monsoonal precipitation extends equally far north in the 5km-E GS-cSM and the 40km-P GS-

cSM simulation (Fig. 12 c, dashed lines). According to Joussaume et al. (1999), vegetation needs about $200\,\mathrm{mm\,year^{-1}}$ of
precipitation to trigger the transition from desert-like vegetation to steppe. Assuming that most monsoon precipitation falls
during the month from June to September, a value of $200\,\mathrm{mm\,year^{-1}}$ corresponds to about $1.7\,\mathrm{mm\,day^{-1}}$ in our simulations.
Figure 12c shows that the value of $1.7\,\mathrm{mm\,day^{-1}}$ is crossed at around $24\,°\mathrm{N}$ in the GS-cSM simulation and slightly further
south in the GS simulation. This is consistent with the prescribed vegetation cover, keeping in mind that north of $24\,°\mathrm{N}$, the
Libyan Sand Sea exists in the eastern Sahara, prescribed as bare area in the model (Fig. 2). Hence in principle, the precipitation
would be sufficient to maintain the vegetation cover in the model. However, for a more precise, model-consistent analysis, we
would need to implement a dynamic vegetation model into the regional climate model, which is beyond the scope of this study.
We argue that the soil moisture north of about 17°N becomes an important factor for the northward propagation of monsoonal
precipitation. This confirms our hypothesis that, in the 5km-E DS and GS simulations, the limited soil moisture hampers the
northward extent of monsoonal precipitation in response to a change in vegetation cover.

However, south of about 17°N precipitation remains much lower in the 5km-E simulations than in the 40km-P simulations.
This is likely because precipitation in this region is not moisture-controlled. Whether convection and precipitation can develop
is determined by other processes, such as the presence of strong enough vertical lifting. Fig. 13 displays a much stronger vertical
velocity in the 40km-P GS-cSM than in the 5km-E GS-cSM simulations. This is also true for the DS and the GS simulations,
as well as for all horizontal resolutions. Therefore, we argue that the generally higher mean precipitation rates in the 40km-P
simulations result from the convective parameterization scheme used in the ICON-NWP model framework.

Figure 13. JAS-mean meridional cross-section of vertical wind speed for the 5km-E GS-cSM (a) and the 40km-P GS-cSM (b) simulation.
The vertical dashed lines indicate the boarders of the coastal, Sahel and Sahara region outlined in Fig. 1.

The precipitation increase due to the modified thermodynamic and dynamic atmospheric conditions in the 5km-E GS-cSM
compared to the GS simulation shows the strong indirect effect of increased soil moisture on the atmospheric state. To quantify

the contributions of the thermodynamic and the dynamic feedback, more simulations are necessary and are beyond the scope of this paper.

4   Summary and Conclusion

In this study, we have performed the first storm-resolving simulations (5km-E) of mid-Holocene North Africa with an idealized but reasonably increased vegetation cover. To investigate the land-atmosphere feedbacks we have compared these vegetated-Sahara simulations (GS) with simulations with mid-Holocene atmospheric conditions but with present-day vegetation cover (DS, see Jungandreas et al. (2021) for more details). In response to the higher vegetation cover, precipitation shifts from the coastal towards the Sahel and Sahara region in the GS simulation, and leads to a stronger northward extent of monsoonal precipitation of about 4-5° (regardless of the representations of convection). Our modelling results suggest, that both thermo-dynamic and dynamic feedbacks modulate precipitation over (mid-Holocene) North Africa. Which one dominates under which conditions needs to be further investigated.

We have identified the following feedback mechanisms:

1) As a result of the increased vegetation cover, soil moisture and interception water increases (also because precipitation increases), this yields higher latent heat fluxes over the Sahel and Sahara region. A higher latent heat flux increases the boundary-layer atmospheric moisture and generates more supportive thermodynamic conditions favourable for convec-tion to develop.

2) The change in vegetation cover alters the dynamics of the monsoon circulation by affecting the temperature and moisture gradient over North Africa. The decrease of the temperature and moisture gradient result in a weakening and northward shift of the AEJ. These changes in the AEJ lead to moister conditions over the Sahel in the whole troposphere, by both, enhanced upward transport (together with the TEJ) of boundary layer moisture and decreased, mid-level export of moisture from the African continent. These findings are consistent with previous results of Cook (1999), Grist and Nicholson (2001) and Nicholson and Grist (2001) who have associated a weaker and further northward located AEJ with more humid conditions over the Sahel.

When compared to simulations that use parameterized convection (40km-P) (as all global climate models, such as the ones used in the Paleo Modelling Intercomparison Project (PMIP), do up to now), we find important differences between the 40km-P and the 5km-E simulations. As shown in Jungandreas et al. (2021), the representation of convection strongly influences the hydrological cycle in our simulations. As a result of different precipitation characteristics (e.g. intensity and spatial distribution), the representation of convection influences the response of soil moisture to precipitation via the modulation of runoff. We again emphasize that the land-atmosphere feedback is not only a result of how strong precipitation changes due to a certain change in latent heat flux or soil moisture. How the land surface (soil moisture and runoff) reacts to specific precipitation characteristics (drizzle or shower) also needs to be taken into account in the feedback loop.

The consequently lower soil moisture values in the 5km-E simulation induce a weaker response of precipitation to a change in vegetation cover. To have a closer look at this difference in the response, we conducted simulations with the same constant soil moisture field in both, the 5km-E and the 40km-P simulation. The elimination of the impact of runoff on soil moisture induces stronger changes in the 5km-E than in the 40km-P simulations, especially over the northern Sahel and Sahara region. This result confirms the impact of the land surface on precipitation over the Sahel and Sahara in our simulations. In storm-resolving simulations, rainfall over the Sahel and Sahara tends to increase, while in parameterized convection simulations rainfall becomes smaller over the Sahel region and larger over the northern Sahel and the Sahara. Specifically, the meridional difference between rainfall over the coastal region and the Sahara is smaller in storm-resolving simulations.

This study highlights the importance of considering both pathways of the soil moisture-precipitation feedback: not only the precipitation response to changes in soil moisture conditions is important, but also the soil moisture response to specific precipitation characteristics plays a crucial role to maintain a strong positive feedback loop. The latter suggests that the representation of the land surface in modeling studies, especially the soil hydrology (including runoff), is of major importance for an adequate representation of land-atmosphere interactions and other atmospheric processes. Describing realistic precipitation characteristics by simulating on storm-resolving scales can have far-reaching consequences for simulating land surface - atmosphere interaction and should be considered in future studies.

Code availability. http://hdl.handle.net/21.11116/0000-000A-E8FB-6

Data availability. Will be added after acceptance.

Figure A1. MPI-ESM desert fraction from the transient Holocene simulations. 0 - indicates no desert area within a grid box, 1 - the whole grid box is desert.

Figure A2. JAS-mean cloud cover over the WA-domain for the 5km-E DS simulation (a), the GS simulation (b) and the difference between GS and DS (c). The vertical black, dashed lines indicate the borders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig. 2.

Region	value (range) of desert fraction (MPI-ESM simulations)	present-day vegetation type	new vegetation type
North Africa 0°N - 37°N, 20°E - 50°W	<0.2	0,13,14,19	12 (closed to open shrublands)
	0.2 - 0.6	19	13 (closed to open herbaceous vegetation)
	0.6 - 0.9	19	14 (sparse vegetation)
	>0.9	0, 19	19 (bare areas)
	-	1,2,3,4,5,6,11,12,15,17	4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest)
	-	8,10	12 (closed to open shrublands)
Europe and Eurasia north of 37°N	<0.1	1,2,3	9 (broadleaved and needleleaved forest)
	0.1 - 0.4	1,2,3	12 (closed to open shrublands)
	0.4 - 0.9	1,2,3	14 (sparse vegetation)
	>0.9	all	19 (bare areas)
South America west of 20°W, south of 20°N	<0.1	all	4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest)
	0.1 - 0.4	all	12 (closed to open shrublands)
	0.4 - 0.9	all	14 (sparse vegetation)
	>0.9	all	19 (bare areas)
South Africa east of 20°W, south of 10°S	-	3,10,12,13,15	4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest)
South Africa east of 20°W, south of 20°N	-	0,1,2,3	5 (closed broadleaved deciduous forest)
	-	13,14,17,19	12 (closed to open shrublands)
India and Asia east of 60°E, south of 40°N	<0.1	all	4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest)
	0.1 - 0.4	all	12 (closed to open shrublands)
	0.4 - 0.9	all	14 (sparse vegetation)
	>0.9	all	19 (bare areas)
Morocco from 10°W to 10°E, from 27°N to 37°N	-	1,2,3,5,6,14	9 (mixed broadleaved and needleleaved forest)
North Arabia from 20°E to 60°E, from 20°N to 40°N	-	0,1,2,3	9 (mixed broadleaved and needleleaved forest)

Table A1. Conditions for the prescription of the new vegetation types for the "Green Sahara" simulations. We prescribe a new, idealized vegetation cover that reflects mid-Holocene conditions, depending on 1. the region, 2. the value range of the simulated desert fraction from the MPI-ESM Holocene simulations Dallmeyer et al. (2020), and 3. the present-day vegetation type set as default value in the forcast model of the Deutsche Wetterdienst (German Meteorological Service). Which numbers correspond to which vegetation type can be obtained from the legend of Fig. 2.

Modified variables	set to constant value
Plant cover maximum (PLCOV_MX)	mean
Leaf area index maximum (LAI_MX)	85%-percentile for vegetation type 4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest) 75%-percentile for all other vegetation types
Minimal stomata resistance (RSMIN)	mean
Longwave surface emissivity (EMIS_RAD)	mean
Root depth (ROOT_DP)	mean
Normalized difference vegetation index maximum (NDVI_MAX)	85%-percentile for vegetation type 4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest) 75%-percentile for all other vegetation types
Roughness length (Z0)	85%-percentile for vegetation type 4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest) 75%-percentile for all other vegetation types
Fraction of evergreen forest (FOR_E)	set to 0.88 for each grid box with the vegetation type 4 or 7; 0 for all other vegetation types
Fraction of deciduous forest (FOR_D)	set to 0.88 for each grid box with the vegetation type 5 or 6; 0 for all other vegetation types
Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)	85%-percentile for vegetation type 4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest) 75%-percentile for all other vegetation types
Normalized difference vegetation index - (monthly) proportion of actual value/maximum normalized differential vegetation index (NDVI_MRAT)	85%-percentile for vegetation type 4 (closed broadleaved evergreen forest) 75%-percentile for all other vegetation types
Surface albedo (ALB)	mean
Near infrared albedo (ALNID)	mean
UV Albedo (ALUVD)	mean

Table A2. Values prescribed for each variable and each vegetation type in the GS simulations. The values were calculated based on all grid points where a vegetation type is dominant.

Figure A3. JAS-mean 1000 hPa to 200 hPa vertically integrated moisture flux magnitude (shading) and vertically integrated moisture flux vectors (kg m^{-1} s^{-1}) for the 5km-E DS (a) and GS (b), as well as for the 40km-P DS (c) and GS (d) simulation.

		40 km-P DS	40 km-P GS	40 km-P GS-cSM	5 km-E DS	5 km-E GS	5 km-E GS-cSM
coastal	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	737.2	561.1	503.9	706.7	505.6	718.8
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	-29.4	-25.7	-22.6	-37.5	-34.1	-18.6
	LFC (m)	724	652	683	1131	1236	918
	cloud cover (%)	87	89	90	77	79	80
sahel	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	382.1	500.7	474.1	159.8	220.6	498.8
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	-232.8	-232.8	-84.7	-262.0	-211.5	-94.1
	LFC (m)	2280	1482	1125	2617	1940	1208
	cloud cover (%)	44	68	73	36	58	67
sahara	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	0.0	12.1	25.5	0.0	2.1	6.0
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	0.0	-106.1	-102.9	0.0	-28.2	-44.4
	LFC (m)	3687	2804	2693	3321	2973	2506
	cloud cover (%)	17	34	36	20	36	43

Table A3. 12 UTC JAS-mean values of CAPE, CIN and JAS-mean values of the level of free convection (LFC) and total cloud cover for the coastal, Sahel and Sahara region (Fig. 1) and for the 40 km-P DS, GS and GS-cSM simulation and for the 5 km-E DS, GS and GS-cSM simulation.

Appendix B: Analysis of 10km-simulations

We analyze the effect of the horizontal resolution on our findings and present the results for the 10km parameterized (10km-P) and explicitly resolved convection (10km-E) simulations in the following. We show that the main mechanisms described for the 5km-E and the 40km-P simulations are also valid for the 10 km-E, and 10 km-P simulations. The results between simulations with the same representation of convection are more similar to each other than simulations with the same horizontal resolution. However, the results show that differences between the 10km-E and the 10km-P simulations are not as strong as between the 5km-E and the 40km-P simulation. We do find the characteristic precipitation-runoff-soil moisture mechanism that limits the soil moisture-precipitation feedback in the 10km-E DS and GS simulations but the difference to the 10km-P simulations coupling is not as strong. We argue that this is because, in the 10km-P simulation, the grid spacing already allows partly for explicit calculations of precipitation as compared to the 40km-P simulations. Therefore, precipitation intensity and runoff are more similar in the 10km-E and 10km-P simulations than in the 5km-E and the 40km-P simulations.

B1 Changes in vegetation, latent heat flux and temperature

Fig. B1 shows dominantly similar responses of the surface variables in the 10km-P and 10km-E simulations as in the 40km-P and 5km-E simulations, respectively. The meridional vegetation gradient (see Fig.4a) is identical to the one in the 5km-E and the 40km-P simulation because it is prescribed in the external parameters. The largest differences between the GS and DS simulations are simulated over the Sahel region, similarly to the results in the main paper. The increased vegetation cover yields higher water availability and, hence, leads to a decrease in sensible heat flux (Fig. B1a,b) and an increase in latent heat flux (Fig. B1c,d) over the Sahel. Over the Sahara region, the increase in sensible heat flux dominates the increase in the turbulent heat fluxes, because water becomes too limited to support stronger latent heat flux. This increase is approximately equally strong in the 10km-E and the 10km-P simulations. We notice that differences in the turbulent heat fluxes between the 10km-E and the 10km-P simulations are less pronounced than between the 5km-E and the 40km-P simulations. The main difference is that the maximum increase in latent heat flux in the 10km-P is located further north (at about 19°N) as compared to the 10km-E simulations (at about 17°N), with implications for temperature and moisture gradient over the continent.

The temperature over the North African continent decreases and the location of the maximum temperature shifts northward (about 2°) in both representations of convection. Changes in the meridional temperature distribution between the GS and DS simulations are noticeably stronger in the 10km-P than in the 10km-E simulations. The 10km-P simulations display a stronger maximum decrease in temperature (about 3°C) located at about 19°N (consistent to the location of the maximum increase in latent heat flux). In contrast, the maximum temperature decrease in the 10km-E simulations is only 2°C and located further south at about 17°N. As already shown in the main paper, this can have far-reaching consequences for the monsoon dynamics linked to the AEJ.

Figure B1. JAS-mean meridional distribution of sensible heat flux (a,b), latent heat flux (c,d), and 850hPa-Temperature (e,f) for the Desert Sahara simulations (blue dotted lines), the Green Sahara simulations (blue dashed lines), and the difference between Green Sahara and Desert Sahara simulation (blue solid lines) for the 10km-P (a,c,e) and the 10km-E (b,d,f) simulation, respectively. The vertical dashed lines indicate the boarders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig.1.

B2 Changes in atmospheric dynamics

The low-level southwesterly monsoon intensifies as a response to the increased vertical motion over the Sahel (due to increased energy at the surface and by the increase of vertical motion between the jet axes). With the strengthened monsoon flow, more
465 moisture is transported from the coastal region deep into the Sahel and Sahara region, contributing to the decrease in relative humidity (Fig. B4). Moreover, the ITF shifts further north and thereby the associated region of dynamical uplifting caused by

the convergence of the southwesterly monsoon winds and the northeasterly Harmattan winds shift further north. Similar to the 40km-P simulations, the southwesterly monsoon flow is stronger and the monsoon layer is deeper in the 10km-P compared to the 10km-E simulations. Therefore, the ITF is located further north and more cool, moist air is transported into the African continent to support the generation of convection and precipitation.

In response to the changes in the temperature gradient over North Africa, the AEJ (Fig. B2) strongly weakens in the GS simulations and shifts 3-4° northward in both representations of convection. With the shift in the AEJ, the region of upward motion between the axes of the TEJ and the AEJ broaden/shift northward. Consequently, in both representations of convection, the vertical upward motion (Fig. B3) decreases over the coastal and southern Sahel region and intensifies over the rest of the Sahel region. Furthermore, the descending motion over the Sahara decreases in the GS compared to the DS simulations. The upward vertical motion is generally stronger in the 10km-P than in the 10km-E simulations (Fig. B3a-d). We can also find the generally stronger vertical upward motion in the 40km-P as compared to the 5km-E simulation. We therefore suggest that the generally stronger vertical upward motion is a result of the convective parameterization scheme in our simulations. Further investigations of this feature would be necessary to confirm and explain this assumption.

B2.1 Changes in atmospheric thermodynamics

Changes in relative humidity in the 10km-P and the 10km-E simulation are consistent with the changes in the 40km-P and the 5km-E simulation, respectively. Relative humidity reveals a strong increase over the Sahel and Sahara region, which is consistent with the changes in latent heat flux (Fig. B1 c,d) and in the wind field (Fig. B2). Again, it is likely that stronger convection in the GS simulations positively feeds back on the atmospheric humidity.

Generally, the 10km-P simulations show higher relative humidity values throughout the troposphere and overall latitudes (not shown). The increase in relative humidity over the Sahel-Sahara region between the GS and the DS simulation is more pronounced in the 10km-P GS than in the 10km-E GS simulation, while the decrease in relative humidity over the coastal region is stronger in the 10km-E GS simulation.

Table B1 reveals that the stability of the atmosphere becomes more supportive for convection and precipitation in the GS compared to the DS simulations in both representations of convection. Over the coastal region, conditions for convection and precipitation are very supportive, with high CAPE and cloud cover and low (weak negative) CIN and LFC values. We find that CAPE over the Sahel increases more strongly in the 10km-E GS than in the 10km-P GS simulation. Additionally, CIN values are less negative. However, the LFC is lower and cloud cover higher in the 10km-P than in the 10km-E simulations.

Conditions for convection and precipitation are overall more supportive in the 10km-P simulations. However, differences in CAPE between the 10km-P GS and the 10km-E GS simulation are smaller or even reversed as compared to the results between the 40km-P GS and the 5km-E GS simulation.

Figure B2. Vertical cross-section of the wind field over the WA-domain (Fig. 1). The shading displays the mean zonal wind, and the arrows the mean meridional and vertical wind for the 10km-P DS (a) and GS (c) and the 10km-E DS (b) and GS (d) simulation, respectively. Note that the vertical wind speed is multiplied by 100 to make arrows better visible. The location of the African easterly jet (AEJ) and the Tropical easterly jet (TEJ) are indicated by the labels. The dashed vertical lines indicate the borders of the coastal, Sahel, and Sahara domain also displayed in Fig. 1.

B3 Changes in precipitation

In agreement with the results of the main Paper (Sec. 3.1.4), precipitation in the GS simulations decrease over the coastal and strongly increases over the Sahel region (Fig. B5 and B6). This shift of the main precipitation band is consistent with the changes in the thermodynamic gradient over North Africa. The latter is characterized by a decrease in latent heat flux (Fig. B1 c,d), in relative humidity (Fig. B4) and in vertical upward motion (Fig. B3) over the coastal region, and, vice versa, the strongest increase in these variables over the Sahel region. The strongest increase in precipitation occurs over the Sahel region (Fig. B5 and B6), coinciding with the region of the strongest increase in latent heat flux, thermodynamic and dynamic conditions. The

500

Figure B3. Vertical cross section of the vertical wind component for the 10km-E DS (a), GS (b), and for the difference between the GS and DS (c) simulation, and similarly for the 10km-P DS (d), GS (e), and GS minus DS (f) simulation, respectively. We averaged over the WA-Domain outlined in Fig. 1. Again, the location of the African easterly jet (AEJ) and the Tropical easterly jet (TEJ) are indicated by the labels. The dashed vertical lines again indicate the latitudes of the coastal, Sahel and Sahara domain also displayed in Fig. 1.

change in the thermodynamic gradient modifies the atmospheric dynamic: the AEJ weakens and shifts northward. Consistent with previous studies (Grist and Nicholson, 2001; Nicholson and Grist, 2001), this enhances the conditions for convection and precipitation over the Sahel and Sahara region. Similar to the precipitation response in the main paper, the 10km-P simulations simulate a stronger increase of precipitation over to Sahel and Sahara region and a weaker decrease over the coastal region as compared to the 10km-E simulations.

Consistent with the findings in the main paper, both representations of convection simulate a positive land-atmosphere coupling in response to the increase in vegetation cover over the Sahel and Sahara region, while a negative feedback evolves over the coastal region. This land-atmosphere coupling is stronger in the 10km-P simulations compared to the 10km-E simulations. However, differences tend to be smaller (e.g. in latent heat flux and thermodynamics).

Figure B4. JAS-mean meridional vertical crosssection of relative humidity for the difference between the GS and DS simulation for the 10km-P (a) and the 10km-E (b) simulations. The average is calculated over the WA-Domain, and the vertical dashed lines indicate the boarders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig. 1).

		10 km-P DS	10 km-P GS	10 km-E DS	10 km-E GS
coastal	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	641.5	505.0	818.5	567.9
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	-30.7	-22.8	-31.0	-31.2
	LFC (m)	738	654	945	1000
	cloud cover (%)	88	91	79	78
sahel	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	309.6	390.6	162.6	300.2
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	-257.6	-291.2	-128.1	-199.2
	LFC (m)	2253	1365	2648	1902
	cloud cover (%)	48	74	34	57
sahara	CAPE (J kg^{-1})	0.8	13.01	0.0	0.7
	CIN (J kg^{-1})	-43.7	-83.3	0.0	-4.3
	LFC (m)	3508	2692	3203	2907
	cloud cover (%)	18	37	17	33

Table B1. JAS-mean values of 12 UTC CAPE and CIN and JAS-mean level of free convection (LFC) and total cloud cover for the coastal, Sahel and Sahara region (Fig. 1) for the 10 km-E and the 10km-P DS and GS simulation, respectively.

Figure B5. JAS-mean difference in precipitation rate between the GS and DS simulation for the 10km-E (a) and the 10km-P (b) experiment, respectively. The dashed box indicates the WA-analysis domain.

Figure B6. Difference of the JAS-mean-meridional precipitation distribution between the GS and DS simulation for the 10km-E simulations (blue line) and the 10km-P simulation (orange line), respectively. The vertical black, dashed lines indicate the borders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig. 1.

Figure B7. Relationship between different variables in the GS simulation in Panel a and b, and the difference between the GS and the DS simulation in Panel c and d for the 10km-E simulations (blue circles) and the 10km-P simulations (orange circles). Each circle indicates one day of the JAS-season averaged over the WA-domain outlined in Fig. 1. The Panels show the following relationships: (a) the ratio between runoff and precipitation rate as function of precipitation rate, (b) the daily change of soil moisture (within the six uppermost soil layers reaching up to a depth of 3.42m) as function of the runoff-precipitation ratio, (c) the difference in latent heat flux as function of the difference in total soil moisture content (again within the six uppermost soil layers reaching up to a depth of 3.42m) and (d) the difference in the precipitation rate as function of the difference of latent heat flux.

B4   The soil moisture-runoff-precipitation mechanism

We can find a more pronounced response of the atmosphere to changes in the vegetation cover in the 10km-P than in the 10km-E simulations. As already examined in the main paper, this weaker land-atmosphere coupling is strongly determined by the soil moisture-runoff-precipitation mechanism that dampens the potential precipitation response to changes in the land surface in explicitly resolved convection simulations.

Fig. B7 a displays higher amounts of runoff relative to the amount of precipitation in the 10km-E than in the 10km-P simulations. In both, the 10km-E DS (not shown) and GS simulation 36% of precipitation leave the system as runoff. In comparison, in the 10km-P DS (not shown) and GS simulation only 23% and 29% of precipitation are lost into runoff.

Due to the overall higher loss of precipitation water into runoff, the daily change in soil moisture content (in the uppermost six soil layers up to a depth of 3.42m) is smaller in the 10km-E than in the 10km-P simulations (Fig. B7 b). Hence, soil moisture is generally noticeably lower in the 10km-E as compared to the 10km-P simulations (especially over the coastal and Sahel region) (not shown). Moreover, the changes in soil moisture between the GS and DS simulation are much smaller in the 10km-E than in the 10km-P simulations, resulting in smaller mean evapotranspiration rates (Fig. B7 c). This feature is identical to the results of the main paper. However, Fig. B7 d indicates that the reason for the weaker precipitation response in the 10km-E simulations is not because the coupling of precipitation to a given change in evapotranspiration is weaker. It is rather the dampened evapotranspiration due to a missing refilling of soil moisture that leads to smaller precipitation rates. Additionally, we argue that the weaker dynamic feedback contributes to smaller precipitation rates in the 10km-E simulations as compared to the 10km-P simulations. Based on these results, we argue that the precipitation-runoff-soil moisture mechanism also exists in the 10km-E simulations.

B5 The influence of runoff-controlled soil moisture

We now analyze the differences between the 10km GS and GS-cSM simulations to investigate to which extent the runoff hampers the potential precipitation response to changing surface conditions.

Figure B8. Difference of the JAS-mean soil moisture content in the six uppermost soil layers between the GS-cSM and the GS simulation for the 10km-E (a) and the 10km-P (b) simulations. The dashed black box indicates the WA-analysis domain.

Similar to the results in the main paper, soil moisture content (Fig.B8) shows a strong increase over the whole WA-Domain in the 10km-E GS-cSM simulation (as compared to the GS simulation), while changes between the 10km-P GS-cSM and GS simulation are much smaller (or even show a decrease in soil moisture).

The resulting increase in latent heat flux in the 10km-E GS-cSM simulation is noticeably higher, with a maximum increase of 40 W m^{-2} over the northern Sahel, as compared to the 10km-P GS-cSM simulation (Fig. B9 a). This increase in latent heat flux

540 modulates the increase in relative humidity (not shown) and promotes the increase in atmospheric thermodynamic conditions (Tabel B2) for convection and precipitation in the 10km-E GS-cSM simulations. Changes in CAPE, CIN, LFC height, and cloud cover between the GS-cSM and the GS simulations are stronger in the 10km-E than in the 10km-P simulations and further support the increase in precipitation in the 10km-E GS-cSM simulation. In the 10km-P GS-cSM simulation, CAPE decreases slightly over the Sahel region, but CIN values, LFC height and cloud cover support the still higher precipitation rates in the

545 10km-P GS-cSM compared to the 10km-E GS-cSM simulations. Differences in the thermodynamics of the atmosphere between the 10km-P and the 10km-E simulations are weaker than between the 40km-P and the 5km-E simulations. Nevertheless, they reflect a noticeable influence of soil moisture on the atmosphere.

Figure B9. Difference of the JAS-mean meridional distributions of latent heat flux (a), 850hPa-temperature (b) and precipitation (c) between the GS-cSM and the GS simulations (solid lines) and for the GS-cSM simulation (dashed lines in Panel c) for the 10km-E (blue lines), and the 10km-P (orange lines), respectively. The vertical black, dashed lines indicate the boarders of the coastal, the Sahel, and the Sahara region outlined in Fig. 1.

As described in the main paper, the change in soil moisture and latent heat flux affect the temperature over North Africa (Fig. B9 b). The decrease in temperature is stronger in the 10km-E GS-cSM than in the 10km-P GS-cSM simulations, with

550 the strongest decrease over the northern Sahel region. This much stronger decrease in temperature over the Sahel than over the coastal region modulates the temperature gradient over the continent and influences the atmospheric monsoon dynamics. As a result of the weakened temperature gradient south of about 20°N, the AEJ (not shown) again shifts almost 2° further north in the 10km-E GS-cSM simulation, while in the 10km-P GS-cSM simulation it remains at about the same latitude as in the GS simulation. The AEJ strength (not shown) does not change substantially between the GS-cSM and the GS simulation

555 in both the 10km-E (about 8.3 m s^{-1} and 8.2 m s^{-1}, respectively) and 10km-P simulations (about 7.9 m s^{-1} and 8.3 m s^{-1}, respectively).

		10 km-P GS	10 km-P GS-cSM	10 km-E GS	10 km-E GS-cSM
coastal	CAPE ($J\,kg^{-1}$)	505.0	423.8	567.9	792.4
	CIN ($J\,kg^{-1}$)	-22.8	-24.1	-31.2	-17.3
	LFC (m)	654	748	1000	781
	cloud cover (%)	91	90	78	79
sahel	CAPE ($J\,kg^{-1}$)	390.6	349.7	300.2	721.3
	CIN ($J\,kg^{-1}$)	-128.1	-92.27	-199.23	-84.72
	LFC (m)	1365	1076	1902	1269
	cloud cover (%)	74	76	57	66
sahara	CAPE ($J\,kg^{-1}$)	13.0	16.0	0.74	5.49
	CIN ($J\,kg^{-1}$)	-83.3	-119.52	-4.26	-77.35
	LFC (m)	2692	2448	2907	2730
	cloud cover (%)	37	41	33	38

Table B2. 12 UTC JAS-mean values of CAPE, CIN and JAS-mean values of the level of free convection (LFC) and total cloud cover for the coastal, Sahel and Sahara region (Fig. 1) and for the 10km-P DS, GS and GS-cSM simulation and for the 10km-E DS, GS and GS-cSM simulation.

In the 10km-E GS-cSM simulation, the northward shift of the AEJ core leads to a weaker vertical upward motion in the lower and middle troposphere between about 18°-23°N (Fig. B10 c). However, air masses are still lifted in this region (Fig. B10 a) and the increasing vertical upward motion in the upper troposphere (Fig. B10 c, above 500hPa) indicates that air masses can
560 be lifted further up. Hence, air masses can reach the freezing level more easily, which makes the generation of precipitation more effective. This is consistent with the maximum increase in precipitation (Fig. B9 c) in this region. Over the Sahara region vertical upward motion increases in the lower atmosphere layers and the descending motion in the upper troposphere decreases. However, only in the southern Sahara, the availability of enough moisture allows for the formation of some precipitation.

In the 10km-P GS-cSM simulation, vertical upward motion increases noticeably from 20°-31°N, especially in the upper
565 troposphere and strongly decreases in the middle and upper troposphere between 13-20°N (Fig. B10 d). This increase in vertical upward motion over the northern Sahel and Sahara region supports the strong increase in precipitation between 20°-25°N (Fig. B9 c). Generally, vertical upward motion remains substantially stronger in the 10km-P GS-cSM simulations over the whole WA-Domain (Fig. B10 a and b) as already found in the DS and GS simulations (Fig. B3) and in the main Paper (Fig. 13).

Precipitation (Fig. B9 c) increases especially over the Sahel region in the 10km-E GS-cSM simulation, while in the 10km-P
570 GS-cSM simulation, precipitation displays a strong decrease between 14°-20°N, consistent with the strong decrease in vertical upward motion (Fig. B10 d). However, over the northern Sahel (north of 20°N) and the southern Sahara region (up to about 25°N), precipitation shows an equally strong or stronger increase in precipitation in the 10km-P as compared to the 10km-E simulations, despite only very weak changes in soil moisture and latent heat flux. Hence, in contrast to the results in the

Figure B10. Vertical cross section of the vertical wind component for the GS-cSM, and for the difference between the GS-cSM and GS simulation, for the 10km-E (a,c) and the 10km-P (b,d), respectively, averaged over the WA-Domain outlined in Fig. 1. The dashed vertical lines again indicate the latitudes of the coastal, Sahel and Sahara domain also displayed in Fig. 1.

main paper, monsoonal rainfall in the GS-cSM simulations extend slightly further north in the 10km-P than in the 10km-E simulations.

In summary, the 10km-P and 10km-E simulations also show a positive land-atmosphere feedback over the Sahel-Sahara region, and a negative feedback over the coastal region. The differences between the 10km-E and 10km-P simulations are slightly weaker than between the 5km-E and the 40km-P simulations but the principle mechanisms remain the same. The comparison between the GS and the GS-cSM simulations reveal a considerable influence of the runoff (i.e of soil moisture) on the atmospheric state, hence on monsoonal precipitation in our simulations.

Author contributions. LJ, CH and MC together designed the research project and the experiments. LJ performed the simulations and analysis. CH and MC gave input, ideas and feedback to the analysis of the simulations. LJ prepared the manuscribt with contributions from all co-authors.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

585 *Acknowledgements.* We thank Anne Dallmeyer fo valueable discussions, comments and for providing and internal review. This research was supported by the International Max Planck Research School on Earth System Modelling (IMPRS-ESM), Hamburg. The model simulations were performed at the Deutsche Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ) which we acknowledge for providing technical support.

References

Bartlein, P. J., Harrison, S., Brewer, S., Connor, S., Davis, B., Gajewski, K., Guiot, J., Harrison-Prentice, T., Henderson, A., Peyron, O., et al.: Pollen-based continental climate reconstructions at 6 and 21 ka: a global synthesis, Climate Dynamics, 37, 775–802, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-010-0904-1, 2011.

Bechtold, P., Semane, N., Lopez, P., Chaboureau, J.-P., Beljaars, A., and Bormann, N.: Representing equilibrium and nonequilibrium convection in large-scale models, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 71, 734–753, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0163.1, 2014.

Braconnot, P., Joussaume, S., Marti, O., and De Noblet, N.: Synergistic feedbacks from ocean and vegetation on the African monsoon response to mid-Holocene insolation, Geophysical Research Letters, 26, 2481–2484, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL006047, 1999.

Braconnot, P., Joussaume, S., De Noblet, N., and Ramstein, G.: Mid-Holocene and last glacial maximum African monsoon changes as simulated within the paleoclimate modelling intercomparison project, Global and planetary change, 26, 51–66, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(00)00033-3, 2000.

Braconnot, P., Harrison, S. P., Kageyama, M., Bartlein, P. J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Abe-Ouchi, A., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Zhao, Y.: Evaluation of climate models using palaeoclimatic data, Nature Climate Change, 2, 417–424, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1456, 2012.

Brierley, C. M., Zhao, A., Harrison, S. P., Braconnot, P., Williams, C. J., Thornalley, D. J., Shi, X., Peterschmitt, J.-Y., Ohgaito, R., Kaufman, D. S., et al.: Large-scale features and evaluation of the PMIP4-CMIP6 midHolocene simulations, Climate of the Past, 16, 1847–1872, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-16-1847-2020, 2020.

Broström, A., Coe, M., Harrison, S. P., Gallimore, R., Kutzbach, J., Foley, J., Prentice, I., and Behling, P.: Land surface feedbacks and palaeomonsoons in northern Africa, Geophysical Research Letters, 25, 3615–3618, https://doi.org/10.1029/98GL02804, 1998.

Claussen, M. and Gayler, V.: The greening of the Sahara during the mid-Holocene: results of an interactive atmosphere-biome model, Global Ecology and Biogeography Letters, pp. 369–377, https://doi.org/10.2307/2997337, 1997.

Claussen, M., Dallmeyer, A., and Bader, J.: Theory and modeling of the African humid period and the green Sahara, in: Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Climate Science, https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.013.532, 2017.

Cook, K. H.: Generation of the African easterly jet and its role in determining West African precipitation, Journal of climate, 12, 1165–1184, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1999)012<1165:GOTAEJ>2.0.CO;2, 1999.

Dallmeyer, A., Claussen, M., Lorenz, S., and Shanahan, T.: The end of the African humid period as seen by transient comprehensive Earth system model simulation of the last 8000 years, Climate of the Past, 16, 117–120, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-16-117-2020, 2020.

Dirmeyer, P. A., Cash, B. A., Kinter, J. L., Jung, T., Marx, L., Satoh, M., Stan, C., Tomita, H., Towers, P., Wedi, N., et al.: Simulating the diurnal cycle of rainfall in global climate models: Resolution versus parameterization, Climate Dynamics, 39, 399–418, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-011-1127-9, 2012.

Doherty, R., Kutzbach, J., Foley, J., and Pollard, D.: Fully coupled climate/dynamical vegetation model simulations over Northern Africa during the mid-Holocene, Climate Dynamics, 16, 561–573, https://doi.org/10.1007/s003820000065, 2000.

Fiedler, S., Crueger, T., D'Agostino, R., Peters, K., Becker, T., Leutwyler, D., Paccini, L., Burdanowitz, J., Buehler, S. A., Cortes, A. U., et al.: Simulated tropical precipitation assessed across three major phases of the coupled model intercomparison project (CMIP), Monthly Weather Review, 148, 3653–3680, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-19-0404.1, 2020.

Gaetani, M., Messori, G., Zhang, Q., Flamant, C., and Pausata, F. S.: Understanding the mechanisms behind the northward extension of the West African Monsoon during the Mid-Holocene, Journal of Climate, 30, 7621–7642, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0299.1, 2017.

Grist, J. P. and Nicholson, S. E.: A study of the dynamic factors influencing the rainfall variability in the West African Sahel, Journal of climate, 14, 1337–1359, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<1337:ASOTDF>2.0.CO;2, 2001.

Harrison, S. P., Bartlein, P., Izumi, K., Li, G., Annan, J., Hargreaves, J., Braconnot, P., and Kageyama, M.: Evaluation of CMIP5 palaeo-simulations to improve climate projections, Nature Climate Change, 5, 735–743, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2649, 2015.

Hohenegger, C., Brockhaus, P., Bretherton, C. S., and Schär, C.: The soil moisture–precipitation feedback in simulations with explicit and parameterized convection, Journal of Climate, 22, 5003–5020, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2604.1, 2009.

Hohenegger, C., Kornblueh, L., Klocke, D., Becker, T., Cioni, G., Engels, J. F., Schulzweida, U., and Stevens, B.: Climate statistics in global simulations of the atmosphere, from 80 to 2.5 km grid spacing, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 2020.

Jolly, D., Prentice, I. C., Bonnefille, R., Ballouche, A., Bengo, M., Brenac, P., Buchet, G., Burney, D., Cazet, J.-P., Cheddadi, R., et al.: Biome reconstruction from pollen and plant macrofossil data for Africa and the Arabian peninsula at 0 and 6000 years, Journal of Biogeography, 25, 1007–1027, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.1998.00238.x, 1998.

Joussaume, S., Taylor, K., Braconnot, P., Mitchell, J., Kutzbach, J., Harrison, S., Prentice, I., Broccoli, A., Abe-Ouchi, A., Bartlein, P., et al.: Monsoon changes for 6000 years ago: results of 18 simulations from the Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project (PMIP), Geophysical Research Letters, 26, 859–862, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL900126, 1999.

Jungandreas, L., Hohenegger, C., and Claussen, M.: Influence of the representation of convection on the mid-Holocene West African Monsoon, Climate of the Past, 17, 1665–1684, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17-1665-2021, 2021.

Kendon, E., Prein, A., Senior, C., and Stirling, A.: Challenges and outlook for convection-permitting climate modelling, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 379, 20190 547, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2019.0547, 2021.

Krinner, G., Lézine, A.-M., Braconnot, P., Sepulchre, P., Ramstein, G., Grenier, C., and Gouttevin, I.: A reassessment of lake and wetland feedbacks on the North African Holocene climate, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL050992, 2012.

Kutzbach, J. and Otto-Bliesner, B.: The sensitivity of the African-Asian monsoonal climate to orbital parameter changes for 9000 years BP in a low-resolution general circulation model, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39, 1177–1188, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039<1177:TSOTAA>2.0.CO;2, 1982.

Kutzbach, J., Bonan, G., Foley, J., and Harrison, S.: Vegetation and soil feedbacks on the response of the African monsoon to orbital forcing in the early to middle Holocene, Nature, 384, 623–626, https://doi.org/10.1038/384623a0, 1996.

Kutzbach, J. E. and Guetter, P. J.: The influence of changing orbital parameters and surface boundary conditions on climate simulations for the past 18 000 years, Journal of atmospheric sciences, 43, 1726–1759, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1986)043<1726:TIOCOP>2.0.CO;2, 1986.

Kutzbach, J. E. and Liu, Z.: Response of the African monsoon to orbital forcing and ocean feedbacks in the middle Holocene, Science, 278, 440–443, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.278.5337.440, 1997.

Levis, S., Bonan, G. B., and Bonfils, C.: Soil feedback drives the mid-Holocene North African monsoon northward in fully coupled CCSM2 simulations with a dynamic vegetation model, Climate Dynamics, 23, 791–802, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-004-0477-y, 2004.

Nicholson, S. E.: A revised picture of the structure of the "monsoon" and land ITCZ over West Africa, Climate Dynamics, 32, 1155–1171, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-008-0514-3, 2009.

Nicholson, S. E. and Grist, J.: A conceptual model for understanding rainfall variability in the West African Sahel on interannual and interdecadal timescales, International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 21, 1733–1757, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.648, 2001.

Patricola, C. M. and Cook, K. H.: Dynamics of the West African monsoon under mid-Holocene precessional forcing: Regional climate model simulations, Journal of Climate, 20, 694–716, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI4013.1, 2007.

Peyron, O., Jolly, D., Braconnot, P., Bonnefille, R., Guiot, J., Wirrmann, D., and Chalié, F.: Quantitative reconstructions of annual rainfall in Africa 6000 years ago: Model-data comparison, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007396, 2006.

Rachmayani, R., Prange, M., and Schulz, M.: North African vegetation–precipitation feedback in early and mid-Holocene climate simulations with CCSM3-DGVM, Climate of the Past, 11, 175–185, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-11-175-2015, 2015.

Randall, D., Khairoutdinov, M., Arakawa, A., and Grabowski, W.: Breaking the cloud parameterization deadlock, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 84, 1547–1564, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-84-11-1547, 2003.

Ripley, E., Charney, J., Stone, P. H., and Quirk, W. J.: Drought in the Sahara: Insufficient biogeophysical feedback?, Science, 191, 100–102, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.191.4222.100, 1976.

Schär, C., Lüthi, D., Beyerle, U., and Heise, E.: The soil–precipitation feedback: A process study with a regional climate model, Journal of Climate, 12, 722–741, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1999)012<0722:TSPFAP>2.0.CO;2, 1999.

Stephens, G. L., L'Ecuyer, T., Forbes, R., Gettelmen, A., Golaz, J.-C., Bodas-Salcedo, A., Suzuki, K., Gabriel, P., and Haynes, J.: Dreary state of precipitation in global models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014532, 2010.

Stevens, B., Acquistapace, C., Hansen, A., Heinze, R., Klinger, C., Klocke, D., Rybka, H., Schubotz, W., Windmiller, J., Adamidis, P., et al.: The added value of large-eddy and storm-resolving models for simulating clouds and precipitation, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 98, 395–435, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2020-021, 2020.

Street-Perrott, F., Mitchell, J., Marchand, D., and Brunner, J.: Milankovitch and albedo forcing of the tropical monsoons: a comparison of geological evidence and numerical simulations for 9000 yBP, Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of The Royal Society of Edinburgh, 81, 407–427, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0263593300020897, 1990.

Texier, D., De Noblet, N., Harrison, S., Haxeltine, A., Jolly, D., Joussaume, S., Laarif, F., Prentice, I., and Tarasov, P.: Quantifying the role of biosphere-atmosphere feedbacks in climate change: coupled model simulations for 6000 years BP and comparison with palaeodata for northern Eurasia and northern Africa, Climate Dynamics, 13, 865–881, https://doi.org/10.1007/s003820050202, 1997.

Thorncroft, C. D., Nguyen, H., Zhang, C., and Peyrillé, P.: Annual cycle of the West African monsoon: regional circulations and associated water vapour transport, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 137, 129–147, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.728, 2011.

Tiedtke, M.: A comprehensive mass flux scheme for cumulus parameterization in large-scale models, Monthly weather review, 117, 1779–1800, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1989)117<1779:ACMFSF>2.0.CO;2, 1989.

Tierney, J. E., Pausata, F. S., and deMenocal, P. B.: Rainfall regimes of the Green Sahara, Science advances, 3, e1601 503, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1601503, 2017.

Vamborg, F., Brovkin, V., and Claussen, M.: The effect of a dynamic background albedo scheme on Sahel/Sahara precipitation during the mid-Holocene, Climate of the Past, 7, 117–131, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-7-117-2011, 2011.

Vizy, E. K. and Cook, K. H.: Mesoscale convective systems and nocturnal rainfall over the West African Sahel: role of the Inter-tropical front, Climate dynamics, 50, 587–614, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-017-3628-7, 2018.

Wu, M.-L. C., Reale, O., Schubert, S. D., Suarez, M. J., Koster, R. D., and Pegion, P. J.: African easterly jet: Structure and maintenance, Journal of Climate, 22, 4459–4480, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2584.1, 2009.

Xue, Y. and Shukla, J.: The influence of land surface properties on Sahel climate. Part 1: desertification, Journal of climate, 6, 2232–2245, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1993)006<2232:TIOLSP>2.0.CO;2, 1993.

Xue, Y. and Shukla, J.: The influence of land surface properties on Sahel climate. Part II. Afforestation, Journal of Climate, 9, 3260–3275, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<3260:TIOLSP>2.0.CO;2, 1996.

Yang, G.-Y. and Slingo, J.: The diurnal cycle in the tropics, Monthly Weather Review, 129, 784–801, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129<0784:TDCITT>2.0.CO;2, 2001.

Zängl, G., Reinert, D., Rípodas, P., and Baldauf, M.: The ICON (ICOsahedral Non-hydrostatic) modelling framework of DWD and MPI-M: Description of the non-hydrostatic dynamical core, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 141, 563–579, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2378, 2015.