On the ocean's response to enhanced Greenland runoff in model experiments: relevance of mesoscale dynamics and atmospheric coupling

Torge Martin¹ and Arne Biastoch^{1,2}

¹GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany ²Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel, Kiel, Germany **Correspondence:** Torge Martin (tomartin@geomar.de)

Abstract. Increasing Greenland Ice Sheet–melting is anticipated to impact watermass transformation in the subpolar North Atlantic and ultimately the meridional overturning circulation. Complex ocean and climate models are widely applied to predict estimate magnitude and timing of related impacts under projected future climate. global warming. We discuss the role of the ocean mean state, subpolar gyre circulationwatermass transformation, mesoscale eddies and atmospheric coupling in shaping

- 5 the response of the subpolar North Atlantic Ocean to enhanced Greenland runoff. In a suite of eight dedicated 60 to 100-year long model experiments with and without atmospheric coupling, with eddy processes parameterized and explicitly simulated, with regular and significantly enlarged Greenland runoff, we find (1) a major impact by the interactive atmosphere in enabling a compensating temperature feedback, (2) a non-negligible influence by the ocean mean state biased towards greater stability in the coupled simulations, both of which making the Atlantic Merdional Overturning Circulation less susceptible to the fresh-
- 10 water perturbation applied, and (3) a more even spreading and deeper mixing of the runoff tracer in the subpolar North Atlantic and enhanced inter-gyre exchange with the subtropics in the strongly eddying simulations. Overall, our experiments demonstrate the important role of mesoscale ocean dynamics and atmosphere feedbacks in projections of the climate system response to enhanced Greenland Ice Sheet-melting and hence underline the necessity to advance scale-aware eddy parameterizations for next-generation climate models.

15 1 Introduction

Watermass transformation in the subpolar North Atlantic (SPNA) plays a key role in the global thermohaline circulation. Warm and salty Atlantic water being transported northward by the Atlantic Merdional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) cools as it loses heat to the atmosphere but also freshens by mixing with polar waters exported from the Arctic Ocean, coastal runoff and precipitation. The AMOC strength is one of the major tipping elements in the climate system (Lenton et al., 2008, 2019;

20 Drijfhout et al., 2015), its poleward heat transport is important to the inhabitability of northern Europe as well as northern hemisphere ice sheet growth and decay. A remnant of larger land ice areas, the present-day Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) still holds a freshwater mass equivalent to about 7.2 m of global sea-level rise, which qualifies the ice sheet as a tipping element itself (Lenton et al., 2008; Aschwanden et al., 2019). Observations and model-supported estimates show an acceleration of GrIS melting over the past couple of decades (Chen et al., 2006; Barletta et al., 2013; Mouginot et al., 2019; The IMBIE Team,

- 25 2020) leaving so that the ice sheetat a negative 's net mass balance was negative in each of the last 25 years (Polar Portal Season Report 2021, polarportal.dk). This led to an increase in freshwater flux from Greenland of almost 50% from the mid-1990s to 2010 (Bamber et al., 2018). However, Greenland meltwater still makes a relatively small contribution to the SPNA freshwater budget considering Arctic Ocean export (Haine et al., 2015; Steur et al., 2018). Submarine meltwater is hard to trace Tracing glacial meltwater in Greenland's boundary currents and was not yet detected in into the deep water formation regions
- 30 (Rhein et al., 2018; Huhn et al., 2021) is a major challenge requiring enormous observational effort with some but limited recent success (Rhein et al., 2018; Huhn et al., 2021; Hendry et al., 2021).

Numerous model studies have demonstrated that enhanced mass loss by the GrIS has the potential to reduce the strength of the AMOC (e.g. Rahmstorf et al., 2005; Swingedouw et al., 2013; Jackson and Wood, 2018; Golledge et al., 2019). The additional freshwater spread across the SPNA stabilizes the water column, eventually limits overall heat loss in the eastern North

- 35 Atlantic, inhibits deep convection, and thus reduces the amount and density of North Atlantic Deep Water being formed. Uncertainty remains regarding the true sensitivity of the AMOC to global warming and associated additional freshwater input from the GrIS (Rahmstorf et al., 2015; Bakker et al., 2016; Böning et al., 2016; Weijer et al., 2020). Availability of computing power restricts studies of millennial timescales to less comprehensive, non-eddying models (e.g. Rahmstorf et al., 2005; Hawkins et al., 2011) whereas models of full complexity applied to mesoscale-resolving grids are limited to multi-decadal applica-
- 40 tions (e.g. Böning et al., 2016; Dukhovskoy et al., 2019)(e.g. Böning et al., 2016; Dukhovskoy et al., 2019; Love et al., 2021). Comprehensive climate models indicate robustly that the consistently show a large-scale ocean circulation response on a multi-decadal timescale to sudden changes in Greenland meltwater runoff (Weijer et al., 2012; Swingedouw et al., 2013; Jackson and Wood, 2018; Martin et al., 2022). Mesoscale dynamic features, such as eddies and boundary currents, play a critical role in watermass transformation in the SPNA, where for instance eddies advect fresh polar waters water from the
- 45 boundary into the central Labrador Sea and are crucial for the process of restratification after deep convection, and thus need to be simulated or properly parameterized (Gelderloos et al., 2011; Böning et al., 2016; Rieck et al., 2019; Castelao et al., 2019; Georgiou et al., 2019; Tagklis et al., 2020; Pennelly and Myers, 2022). The implications of failing to correctly represent mesoscale processes in the deep convection regions of the SPNA in GrIS melt related studies are not well quantified. In the present study, we aim to bridge the gap between most complex, eddy-rich ocean hindcasts (Böning et al., 2016) limited to
- 50 the past few decades and comprehensive but non-eddying climate model simulations (Martin et al., 2022) typically applied to idealized freshwater scenarios for centennial projections or millennial paleo applications.

We conduct a freshwater-release experiment of 0.05 Sv enhanced runoff from Greenland using a hierarchy of model configu-

rations. The magnitude of this perturbation is less than in traditional hosing experiments (≥ 0.1 Sv, e.g. Gerdes et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2011; (≥ 0.1 Sv, e.g. Stouffer et al., 2006; Gerdes et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2011; Weijer et al., 2012; Swingedouw et al., 2013; Jackson and Wood, 2018) and within the range of estimates for the end of the 21^{st} century using linear trend extrapolation (0.06–0.08 Sv, Bamber et al., 2018;

55 The IMBIE Team, 2020) or sophisticated ice-sheet modeling of global warming effects under the RCP8.5 scenario (0.018– 0.075 Sv Golledge et al., 2019; Goelzer et al., 2020). For comparison, the imbalance in GrIS mass over the passed decade past two decades equals an average runoff increase of 0.007–0.008 Sv (Bamber et al., 2018; The IMBIE Team, 2020). We also deliberately separate the effect of the freshwater from any other factors potentially causing an AMOC decline, such as global warming. In this, we neglect the warming that would have caused the melting in the first place (Mikolajewicz et al., 2007;

60 Golledge et al., 2019). Our-

Primarily, our approach is tailored to shedding shed light on the role of atmospheric feedbacks and mesoscale ocean eddies. The baseline simulations are conducted with a Further, we consider a potential dependency of the model sensitivity on the general ocean mean state though earlier studies suggest no systematic relationship between the AMOC reference mean strength and its response (Stouffer et al., 2006; Swingedouw et al., 2013). We conduct four experiments with freshwater release from

- 65 Greenland and compare these with the respective reference runs without freshwater perturbation. The four experiments are executed each with a different model configuration: (a) a comprehensive global climate model with non-eddying ocean on a 1/2° grid. We then carry out the same experiments with (a) just the ocean component forced by an atmospheric reanalysis product and (, (b) the horizontal coupled model with the horizontal ocean grid refined to 1/10° in the North Atlantic between 30°N and 85°N, which yields a strongly eddying ocean in the region of interest, and (c) both. just the non-eddying ocean
- 70 component forced by an atmospheric reanalysis product, and (d) the ocean component with horizontal grid refinement and atmospheric forcing. Such a systematic comparison of coupled vs. forced and eddying vs. non-eddying model configurations was not yet conducted to our knowledge. Similar comparisons using forced ocean simulations of non-eddying to strongly eddying grid resolutions have been performed previously by, for instance, Weijer et al. (2012), Böning et al. (2016) and Dukhovskoy et al. (2016). The effect of atmospheric coupling was investigated by Stammer et al. (2011) using a non-eddying

75 ocean model component.

Our goal is to demonstrate and attribute the sensitivity of the AMOC to the processes of atmosphere-ocean interaction and mesoscale ocean dynamics. We address the following questions: (1) How important is the mean state for the ocean? (2) Are atmospheric feedbacks a major player in the ocean's response? (3) Does the explicit simulation of mesoscale eddies matter for the response? Our results also provide insight on the suitability of certain model configurations for investigating the ocean

80 response to enhanced GrIS melting. The hierarchy of model configurations is introduced in the next section along with details of the freshwater perturbation. There, we also provide an overview of the most critical improvements by major improvements from the grid refinement. Results of the freshwater experiments are presented in Section 3 and discussed in Section 4. A brief summary and concluding remarks are provided in the last section. Lastly, an appendix is attached discussing our choice of averaging periods and the influence of internal variability on our results.

85 2 Model configurations and experiment

The Flexible Ocean and Climate Infrastructure (FOCI) at GEOMAR (Matthes et al., 2020) combines the ECHAM6.3 atmosphere (Stevens et al., 2013; Müller et al., 2018) and the JSBACH land models (Reick et al., 2013) with the NEMO3.6 (Madee, 2016) ocean ocean (Madec, 2016) and LIM2 sea-ice models (Fichefet and Maqueda, 1997) using the coupler OASIS3-MCT (Valcke, 2013). Atmosphere (and land) components are applied to a T63 (1.9°) grid with 95 vertical levels reaching up to

90 0.01 hPa. Ocean (and sea ice) models run on the ORCA05 grid $(1/2^{\circ})$ with 46 vertical levels resolving the top $\frac{200100}{100}$ m of the water column at $\frac{5-10 \text{ m } 6-20 \text{ m and using partial cells at the bottom}}{1000 \text{ m}}$. The coupled FOCI simulations presented here all branch



Figure 1. (a) Snap shot (5-day mean) of upper ocean current speed at about 100 m depth exemplifying the impact of the grid refinement. The area covered by the 2-way nested model VIKING10and, in which the grid is refined from $1/2^{\circ}$ to $1/10^{\circ}$, is marked by the red frame. (b) Concentration of the passive tracer tagging the freshwater perturbation (enhanced Greenland runoff) at the end of the experiment after 100 years (same 5-day mean, also at 100 m depth). Red arrows sketch the main pathways of the freshwater added Greenland runoff.

off from a 1500-year long pre-industrial control run (internal ID FOCI1.3-SW038)that, which was started with an atmosphere and ocean at rest and was initialized using ocean potential temperature and salinity fields of the PHC3.0 climatology (Steele et al., 2001). Further details of FOCI and the pre-industrial climate control experiments are found in Matthes et al. (2020).

95 FOCI was specifically designed for applying 2-way high-resolution regional nesting to the ocean component of a coupled climate model using Adaptive Grid Refinement in Fortran (AGRIF, Debreu et al., 2008). For the VIKING10 nest used here and first introduced in Matthes et al. (2020), the grid refinement is applied to 30–85°N in the Atlantic focusing on subpolar processes while including entire to study subpolar processes and to include the entire coastline of Greenland for the freshwater-release experiment described below (Figure 1a). The nest features a 5-times finer horizontal grid resolution of

100 1/10° , and hence simulates a strongly eddying ocean, i.e. resolves the Rossby radius, in large parts of the refinement region. Since the ORCA05 tripolar grid is stretched towards the North Pole, the resolution around Greenland of 24–32 km at 1/2° reaches 4.5–6.5 km with the refinement to 1/10° applied. Still, this must be considered only eddy-permitting poleward of about 50° latitude (Smith et al., 2000; Hallberg, 2013). In contrast, with Eddy formation along the southwestern coast of **Table 1.** Overview of numerical experiments: Coupled experiments apply the ECHAM6 atmosphere model, forced ones use CORE-II CORE-II atmosphere reanalysis. In the 1/2° global ocean model eddies are parameterized using GM (Gent and McWilliams, 1990) whereas in the North Atlantic nest with a grid resolution of 1/10° mesoscale eddies are simulated explicitly instead (*Note, GM is also applied to the global host model of the nested configurations.). A freshwater flux (FWF) of 0.05 Sv is added as spatially and seasonally varying runoff using a spatially heterogeneous but time-invariant pattern along Greenland's coasts in the perturbation experiments. See main text for details.

experiments	model	atmosphere	ocean grid	eddy	climate	FWF	internal run ID
	configuration	representation	resolution	representation	state	(Sv)	
	coupled	model	1/2°	parameterized	pre-industrial	-	FOCI1.10_TM020
reference	coupled-nested	model	1/10°	$explicit^*_{\sim}$	pre-industrial	-	FOCI1.10_TM026
simulation	forced	reanalysis	1/2°	parameterized	present historical	-	ORCA05.L46_KTM03p15
	forced-nested	reanalysis	1/10°	explicit*	present-historical	_	ORCA05.L46_KTM03p25
	coupled	model	1/2°	parameterized	pre-industrial	0.05	FOCI1.10_TM024
freshwater	coupled-nested	model	1/10°	explicit*	pre-industrial	0.05	FOCI1.10_TM028
perturbation	forced	reanalysis	1/2°	parameterized	present historical	0.05	ORCA05.L46_KTM03p16
	forced-nested	reanalysis	1/10°	explicit*	present historical	0.05	ORCA05.L46_KTM03p26

Greenland is enhanced by applying no-slip boundary conditions in the nest model near Cape Desolation; free slip is used otherwise. With a default $1/2^{\circ}$ ocean grid spacing the standard FOCI ocean clearly is non-eddying and the effect of mesoscale eddies is parameterized following Gent and McWilliams (1990) applying a space invariant eddy induced velocity coefficient (rn_aeiv_0) of 1000 m²/s. This also applies to the global $1/2^{\circ}$ host model running with the eddying nest. The benefit of resolving mesoscale ocean fronts with the nested configuration for air-sea fluxes is limited, because the exchange fluxes are computed by the atmosphere model on its coarser grid. Larger scale improvements in surface conditions due to the more realistic ocean

110 dynamics do imprint on the coupled system. For details of the coupling approach with 2-way nesting please see Matthes et al. (2020).

Using the same ocean and sea-ice model, we run ocean-only simulations without and with the VIKING10 nest using CORE-II-CORE-II atmospheric forcing (Large and Yeager, 2009). These hindcast experiments cover the historical period 1948–2009, i.e. extend over 62 years. The initial state of these simulations, both without and with nest, is generated by initial-

- 115 izing the model with the PHC3.0 climatology (Steele et al., 2001) and running a 30-year spinup from 1980 to 2009. Parameter settings are the same as for the coupled model configurations with the exception of applying a weak surface sea-surface salinity restoring towards the PHC3.0 climatology in the open ocean ($rn_deds = -33.33$ mm/d, i.e. 180 days for the model's top layer)6 m thick top layer, and $rn_sssr_ds = 0.5$ additionally limits the associated salinity change) being added to the surface freshwater flux (cf. Behrens et al., 2013; Danabasoglu et al., 2014).
- 120 In the following, we refer to FOCI simulations simulations with interactive atmosphere as 'coupled', ocean-only experiments as 'forced', and simulations including the VIKING10 nest as 'nested' (see Table 1 and Fig. 2 for an overview). The latter we also



Figure 2. Sketch of the experiment setup showing the spinup, branching off and duration of all model simulations. All simulations were initialized using the PHC3.0 ocean climatology (Steele et al., 2001) starting from rest. Coupled non-eddying and strongly eddying experiments share the same low-resolution 1500-year long spinup; coupled freshwater experiments where branched off of the respective control run after 100 years. See main text for details.

address as 'eddying' or 'strongly eddying' whereas the standard setup without nest is 'non-eddying' or 'eddy-parameterized'. For the analysis and results presented in the following, it is important to understand that model parameters were optimized for the coupled simulations with FOCI and that parameters used for the nested configuration FOCI-VIKING10 are only scaled proportionally according to (non-linear) dependencies on grid resolution and time stepping, which mostly affects viscosity and diffusion settings. The ocean-only experiments were simply executed with the same set of parameters as their coupled counterpart—no further "tuning" was undertaken. Coupled and forced simulations differ in their climate state as all FOCI simulations are run under pre-industrial boundary conditions whereas the ocean-only simulations are forced with "historical"

125

atmospheric conditions.

130 The strongly eddying simulations feature much more pronounced boundary currents and a strongly meandering North Atlantic Current (NAC), see Figure 1a. As a result of the improved dynamics, strength and shape of the subpolar gyre are well represented and the NAC follows a less zonal, much more realistic path forming the so-called Northwest Corner (Lazier, 1994), a northward and then eastward turning of the currents off Newfoundland and Flemish Cap. This is shown by the standard devi-



3. Examplary Examples of improvements by the regional grid refinement from $1/2^{\circ}$ (coupled) to Figure $1/10^{\circ}$ (coupled nested coupled nested): (a) Enhanced mesoscale activity indicated by sea-surface height (SSH) variance in 5-day mean output, (b) reduced North Atlantic cold bias in sea-surface temperature (SST) and (c) improved representation of sea-surface salinity (SSS). All examples from the coupled model experiments FOCI and FOCI-VIKING10 based on 50-year means. The SST and SSS biases are computed as difference from HadISST (Rayner, 2003), mean over 1870-1899 to compare with pre-industrial model experiments, and WOA98 climatological salinity (Levitus et al., 1998), respectively.

ation in sea-surface hight height anomalies computed from 5-daily mean model output of a 50-year reference period (Fig. 3a).
The realistic simulation of the NAC path nearly eliminates the cold bias, a mismatch of simulated and observed sea-surface temperature (SST) in the mid-latitude North Atlantic, which is prominent feature of the non-eddying simulations (Fig. 3b). Less often referred to, the cold bias is associated with a fresh bias, a salinity low of up to 2, equally caused by an overestimated south-eastward spreading of polar waters in non-eddying simulations (Fig. 3c). The eddying simulations have saltier overflow water and hence tend towards saltier conditions in the Labrador and Irminger Seas, which promotes intensified, partly overly

140 pronounced yields more intense, sometimes overly strong deep convection in winter as is discussed in the next section. All of these features concur with earlier studies comparing NEMO-based simulations of similar resolution spread (Treguier et al., 2005; Talandier et al., 2014; Marzocchi et al., 2015; Hewitt et al., 2020; Koenigk et al., 2021)

The freshwater-release experiment presented is simplified in both, overall magnitude and the overall magnitude as well

- as its step-like spontaneous onset of the perturbation, but it is most realistic in the spatial distribution and seasonality of
- 145 the additional freshwater flux inserted along the coast of Greenland. The perturbation is constructed from the monthly-mean runoff plus discharge fluxes of Bamber et al. (2018) by averaging the period 1992–2016, which includes better mass balance estimates and covers the pattern of recently increased GrIS melting. Spatial heterogeneity and seasonal cycle of the original data are maintained, data extending beyond. Data from glaciers outside of Greenland is not considered though. The resulting climatology is then scaled to a moderate but significant perturbation of 0.05 Sv on the annual mean (see Martin et al., 2022,
- 150 their Fig. S1). This flux is released as an interannually invariant liquid runoff along Greenland's coast over 62 and 100 years in the forced and coupled experiments, respectively, in addition to the simulated (coupled) or prescribed runoff (forced). We note, that this perturbation yields a barystatic sea-level rise of 0.44 m over 100 years (Martin et al., 2022), which is about five times the magnitude projected by simulations under the RCP8.5 climate scenario (Goelzer et al., 2020).

We are aware that the way we prescribe the freshwater perturbation is a simplification: applied to the ocean surface layer, 155 maximum runoff in June to August, all liquid runoff. In reality, half of the mass loss of Greenland's glaciers is dynamical discharge (The IMBIE Team, 2020), such as iceberg calving, though surface melting has made the bigger (~ 2/3) contribution in recent years (Enderlin et al., 2014). Further, meltwater runoff is first entrained into the fjord circulation, which causes both , a vertical redistribution and a temporal delay of several weeks before entering the open ocean (Straneo and Cenedese, 2015; Straneo et al., 2016). However, we consider associated errors small for three reasons: Firstly, it is estimated that about half

- 160 of all icebergs already melt inside the fjords (Enderlin et al., 2016), secondly, Marson et al. (2021) have not found a major impact on deep convection by explicitly simulating icebergs, and thirdly, we find that the ocean model effectively distributes the prescribed freshwater over depth on the prescribed freshwater rapidly mixed over the depth of the Greenland shelf by the ocean model also shifting the seasonal peak by a month whereby a delay or accumulation arises so that the seasonal peak in freshwater content on the shelf is shifted by about a month compared to the prescribed perturbation.
- We trace the distribution pathways of the added freshwater by applying a passive tracer. The related model output provides tracer concentration in kg/m³. This means that at any given time, the global tracer mass computed as global sum of the product of tracer concentration and grid-cell volume equals the accumulated freshwater perturbation added as runoff from Greenlandadded as freshwater perturbation. We find. Using the passive tracer concentrations, we compute an error of <1% and \leq 5% after 62 years for the non-nested and nested configurations, respectively, due to the not entirely conservative nature of
- 170 the NEMO tracer and AGRIF codes for the given setup (e.g. linear free surface). The tracer proves a powerful tool to visualize and understand the pathways of Greenland meltwater redistribution in the ocean as demonstrated in Figure 1b.

3 Results

We compute the response to the freshwater perturbation as deviation of the experiment from the reference simulation (perturbation minus control run). As such, the difference is affected by variations differences in internal variability between the simula-

175 tions. Variations in internal variability is much Internal variability is more pronounced in the coupled experiments, which evolve

Table 2. AMOC mean state and response to freshwater perturbation measured by the maximum transport in the overturning stream function computed from zonally averaged Atlantic basin meridional velocities. Mean state and response are computed over years 1–100 (1–62) and 51-100 (43–62) for coupled (forced) experiments, respectively. Additionally, responses for the two coupled experiments computed as average over years 43–62 are provided in brackets. The standard deviation σ based on monthly mean model output is provided as a measure of internal variability and noise in the signal of the response. Correlation coefficients (Pearson's r) of decadal running mean between annual-mean AMOC strength and Denmark Strait (DS) overflow potential density ($p_{ref} = 0$) as well as March-mean mixed-layer depths (MLD) in the Labrador Sea <u>after applying a decadal boxcar averaging filter to all time series</u>. Correlations are computed from the reference experiment over 100 and 62 years for the coupled and forced configurations, respectively, applying a 11-year rolling mean to highlight a linkage on decadal and longer time scales. All values given in Sverdrup (1 Sv = $10^6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$), except for the correlation coefficients in the last two columns.

model configuration	mean state	standard deviation	mean response	standard deviation of	temporal correlation with	
	(Sv)	monthly data (Sv)	(Sv)	monthly response (Sv)	DS overflow	Lab.Sea MLD
coupled	16.8	3.8	-1.5 [-1.8]	3.9	0.57	0.74
coupled-nested	21.7	4.3	-2.3 [-1.5]	4.3	0.63	0.57
forced	13.8	2.9	-4.9	0.3	0.80	0.25
forced-nested	17.1	3.4	-4.6	1.7	0.82	0.21

freely within the pre-industrial boundary conditions provided atmosphere evolves freely under preindustrial forcing, whereas the forced experiments are bound by the historical atmospheric conditions, which are the same for each simulation. Further, mesoscale dynamics simulated explicitly in the strongly eddying experiments enhance ocean internal variability. Lastly, the perturbation experiments all have a certain adjustment period and a new "equilibrium" stateguasi-equilibrium state, especially

for the AMOC, can only be expected to exist after several decades (e.g. Swingedouw et al., 2013; Jackson and Wood, 2018). Considering all this , we found optimal signal-to-noise ratios (see Appendix A for details), we found optimal signal-to-noise ratios by using the averaging periods illustrated in Figure 2: In the coupled case we compute the reference mean state over years 101-200-1-100 and the perturbed state from the period 151-20051-100. For the forced experiments noise can be optimally reduced by averaging over the same years 43-62 for the reference and perturbed states. To present the control long-term mean state of each model configuration we use years 101-200-1-100 and 1-62 for the coupled and forced simulations, respectively.

These periods are used throughout this study if not stated otherwise.

We present our results structured by quantities and processes rather than discussing the reference states first and then the responses. This is to highlight the role of the respective mean state on the consequences of the freshwater perturbation.

3.1 Ocean mean states and responses

190 AMOC

The strength of the AMOC can be considered a diagnostic integrating all the effects triggered by the additional freshwater input into the SPNA since the overturning is sensitive to changes in temperature, salinity, surface heat flux and deep mixing in



Figure 4. Statistical distribution of monthly-mean AMOC maximum strength (Sv) in model reference states (black bars) and perturbed states . Light (blue outline bars, transparent). Blue outlines in panels (a) and (b) shows show response distribution for years 43–62 and light gray lines in panels (c) and (d) depiets depict the distribution of the long-term mean period (years 1–62).

this region, all of which will be addressed in the following. We summarize the AMOC mean states and changes in response to the freshwater perturbation of the four configurations in Table 1 Table 2 and present the associated monthly to interannual variability in Figure 3. Figure 4. AMOC strength is defined here as the maximum of the Atlantic streamfunction in latitude-depth space computed from the zonally averaged meridional velocity of monthly mean model output.

The weakest AMOC is simulated by the non-eddying forced configuration at 13.8 Sv, with 21.7 Sv the coupled-nested setup features the strongest overturning at 21.7 Sv (see Tab. 2). Coupled and forced-nested experiments are relatively close at 16.8 and 17.1 Sv. In both, For comparison, the observed strength of the AMOC at 26.5°N is about 17–18 Sv with a standard deviation of

3.4 Sv in monthly data (McCarthy et al., 2015; Biastoch et al., 2021). In both the coupled-nested and the forced-nested configurations - the explicit simulation of mesoscale eddies increases magnitude and internal variability to of the AMOC, which agrees well with similar comparisons (Hirschi et al., 2020; Biastoch et al., 2021). Our simulations also confirm Hirschi et al. (2020) in finding a systemtic increase in AMOC strength by coupling the same ocean model to Furthermore, the coupled configurations simulate a stronger AMOC than their forced counterparts, which could either be related to coupling with an interactive atmoses of the same as in Hirschi et al. (2020) or to the different climate mean states, historical (forced) and pre-industrial (coupled).

Variability is measured as monthly standard deviation of the maximum strength (Tab. 2) and the eddy-related increase amounts to 0.5 Sv in both, coupled and forced configurations the coupled as well as the forced configuration. However, the interactive coupling with the atmosphere adds 'noise', too, more noise and AMOC variability is enhanced by about 1 Sv in the coupled setups compared to the respective forced ones. This is well depicted by the histograms of AMOC mean states in Trice at the laboration.

210 Figure 4 (black bars).

195

In response to the freshwater perturbation we expect the AMOC to weaken, which is simulated by all four model configurations. This is depicted by <u>light the</u> blue histograms in Figure 4. The magnitude of the reduction in maximum overturning strength varies considerably. We find the two forced configurations <u>much</u> more sensitive simulating declines of 4.9 and 4.6 Sv (36% and 27%) with the overall weakest AMOC being most vulnerable. The coupled configurations simulate reductions of 1.5

215 to 2.3 Sv (9% and 11%). These longer simulations also show that internal variability—and thus the period used as reference for



Figure 5. (a) Long-term mean state of upper ocean (0-200m) annual-mean salinity and (b) the change due to the freshwater perturbation. The response is computed as difference of the means over years $\frac{151-200}{51-100}$ and 43-62 in the coupled and forced cases, respectively.

computing the change — matters, which can be seen in the difference between the two runs growing with extended perturbation. In fact, decadal mean-variability matters and thus a 50-year period is used to compute the response. For comparison, decadal averages of AMOC weakening in the these last 50 years of the perturbed run (i.e. 5 independent samples) ranges range from 1.0 to 1.9 Sv for the coupled and varies within 1.1–3.0 Sv for the coupled-nested experiment.

220 The relatively wide distributions of AMOC strength seen in Figure 4 are due to both, interannual variability and a prominent annual cycle of about 7.1–8.5 Sv and 4.5–5.3 Sv in the two coupled and two forced reference experiments with systematically larger amplitude in the eddying simulations. A significant change in seasonality in response to the freshwater perturbation is not found, however.

Large-scale upper ocean salinity and freshening

- The additional freshwater released from Greenland enters the East and West Greenland Currents (EGC and WGC) and is transported south with the Labrador Current (Fig. 1b). Reaching Newfoundland, or rather the so-called Northwest Corner, we find major deviations in its further path (see the freshening patterns (Fig. 5). Lack of mesoscale dynamics (being parameterized instead) and a resulting too zonal positioning of the NAC lead to a massive, NAC-focused advection of freshwater across the Atlantic in the non-eddying simulations. In contrast, the strongly eddying simulations, which simulate narrower, stronger
- 230 boundary currents and a more realistic Gulf Stream separation and Northwest Corner dynamics (see Figures 1 and 3), accumulate freshwater along the American coast down to the Mid-Atlantic Bight. In these simulations, explicitly resolved eddies then entrain the freshwater into the Gulf Stream extension and NAC, which is further discussed in Section 3.2. The described redistribution pathways agree with earlier tracer simulations by Dukhovskoy et al. (2016) and Böning et al. (2016).

The explicitly simulated eddies and stronger meandering cause a much wider spreading of the freshwater across the SPNA

- 235 than achieved by the eddy parameterization in the coarse-resolution models, which are limited by their biased mean flow. This is well expressed in the change in upper ocean salinity, here averaged over the top 200 m, in response to the freshwater perturbation (Fig. 5b). While all four configurations yield an overall freshening of the SPNA, the upper ocean freshening is stronger in the two non-eddying simulations including simulations—including a significant intensification towards the east. This causes a massive freshening of >1 on the very eastern side of the SPNA, on the European shelf, with implications for
- regional sea-level change (not shown). Such piling-up of a fresh anomaly is not present east—than in the eddying simulations. 240 Instead, horizontal gradients are much weaker than in the non-eddying counterparts, counterparts,

Similarly prominent is the stronger freshening of in the forced experiments compared to the coupled counterparts. This is an indirect effect in consequence of the greater weakening of the AMOC in these simulations compared to the coupled ones as shown above. The reduced AMOC means less northward advection of saline and warm subtropical waters (see e.g. Smeed

- et al., 2018). As detailed by Griffies et al. (2009) and discussed further below, forced model configurations are prone to an 245 overly strong positive salinity feedback. Other secondary processes causing an upper ocean salinity response are a southward advancement of the marginal sea-ice zone A visible secondary process causing local upper ocean freshening along the northern rim of the Labrador Sea and associated southward is a southward advancement of the marginal sea-ice zone leading to decreased evaporation as well as southward shifted melting of sea ice in all but the coupled experiment, and a major decrease in deep
- 250 mixing in the Nordic Seas in the forced experiments, of which notably only the non-eddying one shows a related freshening here (further discussed below).

Large-scale upper ocean temperature and cooling

In consequence of a weaker AMOC, the upper SPNA experiences wide-spread cooling. Averaging over the top 200 m representative for the upper ocean and all seasons, this cooling can reach 2.1–2.3°C in some areas on annual mean (Fig.

- 6). SST exhibits an even larger decline by 3.1–4.5°C, especially in mid-winter (February or March), where cooling occurs in 255 the Labrador Sea due to reduced deep convection activity and a-more extensive sea-ice coverage. This is except for the coupled experiment with smallest AMOC decline, in which annual mean cooling is limited to $<1.3^{\circ}$ C. All experiments also exhibit pronounced cooling in the eastern SPNA, which is as strong as—in coupled even stronger than—the temperature decline in the northern Labrador Sea. This eastern cooling is carried by the Irminger current from the ENA eastern North Atlantic (ENA) 260
- into the Irminger Sea.

The response is different and quite diverse for the Nordic SeaSeas. We observe a warming of up to 2.3° C in the top 200 m in the coupled experiment but only $<1^{\circ}$ C in all other cases. The relatively strong warming in the coupled experiment is caused by an overall comparatively weaker advection of the freshwater perturbation into the Nordic Seas (Fig. 5b) so that the mixed layer is actually slightly deepening across the Nordic Seas (Fig. 7). The warming extends to 1000 m and is associated with a

265 slight increase of around 50 m in the overturning north of the overflows. In the, which is in agreement with the findings of Stouffer et al. (2006) for similar models. In contrast, the Nordic Seas warming in the forced non-eddying forced experiment, the freshening in the upper 200 m is much more pronounced, also in experiment, is limited to the recirculation path of the Atlantic water and associated with a considerable shoaling of the Nordic Seas. In consequence, we find a similar warming



Figure 6. (a) Long-term mean state of upper ocean (0-200m) annual-mean potential temperature and (b) the change in consequence of the freshwater perturbation. The response is computed as difference of the means over years $\frac{151-200-51-100}{151-200-51-100}$ and 43-62 in the coupled and forced cases, respectively.

pattern along the recirculation path of the Atlantic water as in the coupled run, but the warming is about 0.5°C weaker as the
 mixed layer rather shoals. The two nested experiments both feature an overall stronger inflow of Atlantic water into the Nordie Seas and the freshening in the perturbation experiment is spatial much more evenly distributed (Fig. 5). There are only rather local regions of warming found in these two experiments. Instead, the cooling response found in the SPNA extends into the Nordie Seas (Fig. 6b). mixed-layer by 100–150 m along this path.

Watermass transformation

- For the illustration of the different watermasses and their transformation in the four model configurations as well as their property changes under the freshwater perturbation we define a number of regions following the path of the Atlantic water to the deep convection sights sites in the SPNA and Nordic Seas. For simplification, we only show the spatial means for these areas and averaged over Further, we confine the volume-weighted averages of potential temperature and salinity to the top 200 mif not mentioned otherwise. All averages are computed as grid-cell volume weighted means. In addition, we provide
- 280 these properties from the overflow depth at Denmark Strait (DS). The regions of interest are depicted in Figure 8 with the color coding associated with the warm northward inflow on the eastern side (red-orange-yellow colors) and cold southward flow of Polar polar water (cyan) and deep convection regions in the west (blue and purple colors). This color coding is being used in subsequent plots of Figures 9 and 11. The eastern North Atlantic (ENA) ENA is defined as the region between 15–30°W and 50–60°N (cf. Koul et al., 2020; Holliday et al., 2020). In addition, we provide mean potential temperature and salinity at the
- 285 overflow depth of Denmark Strait (DS) following Koul et al. (2020) and Holliday et al. (2020).



Figure 7. (a) Long-term mean state of annual-mean mixed-layer depth and (b) the change in consequence of the freshwater perturbation. The response is computed as difference of the means over years $\frac{151-200}{51-100}$ and 43-62 in the coupled and forced cases, respectively. Areas covered by sea Sea-ice coverage (ice concentration $\geq 15\%$) in the reference state are depicted in is indicated by white areas with hatching, sea-ice expansion in the perturbed state is bright blue.

Focussing Focusing first on the mean states and beginning in the ENA (dark red filled circles) our simplified TS-diagrams diagrams of temperature vs. salinity (TS) clearly illustrate the strong fresh-bias present in the mean state of the two non-eddying simulations due to the misrepresentation of the NAC, in which ENA salinity is 0.8–0.9 lower than in the two nested, strongly eddying simulations (Fig. 9, c.f. (3c). Interestingly, taking the average just east of the ENA region, toward the European shelf (here referred to as ENA shelf, bright red) the salinity deviations are much smaller, within 0.1. In particular in the coupled-nested configuration, properties in the ENA and on the ENA and ENA shelf are almost identical—considering the large scales we focus onidentical. It is this temperature and salinity (TS)-TS characteristic that sets the baseline for the watermass transformation in the SPNA and Nordic Seas (Lozier et al., 2019). With 10–11°C the potential temperature is very similar for all model configurations. The coupled except for the coupled, non-eddying one, in which the ENA region is strongly influenced by the cold bias with respect to late 10th contury reapplysic (see Fig. 3b). The forced experiments must

295

300

290

5 strongly influenced by the cold bias with respect to late 19th century reanalysis (see Fig. 3b). The forced experiments must thus be considered relatively warm as these are conducted under pre-industrial conditions whereas the forced ones represent present day climate.

In the cool running with historical atmospheric forcing but having a weaker AMOC and hence less northward heat transport. The TS-diagram , we can draw an almost straight line illustrates the transition of the Atlantic water by freshening and predominantly cooling along its path from the ENA shelf to the Norwegian Sea (orange) , the central region (red) to the

Nordic Seas (yellow) and the Denmark Strait overflow (ultimately imprinting its characteristic onto the overflow water at



Figure 8. Map of regions selected for computing water properties and mixed-layer statistics. All regions are defined by geographic coordinates. Shelf regions are excluded by prescribing a minimum depth of 1500 m for Nordic, Irminger and Labrador Seas and of 1000 m for the Norwegian Sea. The ENA shelf region excludes areas shallower than 100 m. In contrast, the Greenland Sea region is defined for areas shallower than 500 m. White lines indicate the Denmark Strait (DS, solid) and Labrador/Irminger Sea_OSNAP (LIS, dashed) cross-sections. For reference, the long-term mean salinity of the coupled simulation averaged over the top 200 m is shown (cf. Fig. 5a) along with the March-mean 500-metre mixed-layer depth contour because these quantities guided the region selection. [Note, this is meant as single-column figure hence the legend with large font below the map.]

Denmark Strait (DS, black). The line would have the approximately same slope for all model configurations. It would depict the freshening and predominantly cooling of the Atlantic water along its recirculation path though the Nordic Seas and deep convection. However, in the nested simulations the Nordic Seas convective mixing reaches deeper, exceeding 1500 m, than in their non-nested counterparts. Consequently, Denmark Strait overflow water remains 1.5–3°C warmer than in the eddy-parameterized runs. The deeper the winter mixed layer in the central Nordic Seas, the warmer the overflow water. latter is about 2°C warmer in the eddying than the non-eddying runs likely due to more vigorous deep convection (deeper maximum mixed-layer depths, not shown).



Figure 9. Mean water properties of regions depicted in Figure 8 (same color coding) from the reference simulation (filled circles) and the perturbation experiment ('plus' symbols). Symbols depict properties averaged over the upper 200 m, except for the Denmark Strait (DS) overflow (in black) where values from deepest grid cells (approx. 600 m depth) along the section at approx. about 65.7°N are averaged. For the Labrador Sea region (purple) properties on the continental shelf (smaller symbols) are shown in addition to the ones from the interior part (regular sized symbols). The shelf is defined as areas shallower than 500 m in the region $62-46^{\circ}W$ and $56-65^{\circ}N$, i.e. within the same latitude bands geographical box as the deep, interior Labrador Sea (purple frame in Fig. 8).

- A source of strong freshening is the cold Arctic-sourced Polar The relatively fresh and cold polar water (cyan circles) - **Transported exported from the Arctic Ocean and advected** south in the EGC, it interacts south of Denmark Strait interacts with waters of the Irminger Current carrying properties of the ENA (or rather ENA shelf) regionand ENA shelf regions. We find upper ocean properties of the Irminger (blue) and Labrador Seas (purple) situated roughly half way between these two source waters. The watermass in the interior Labrador Sea has a tendency to be slightly cooler and/or fresher than the one in the Irminger Sea. Interestingly, the density difference between the two is a little larger in the eddying models, which resolve the
- 315 boundary currents much better. While boundary current salinity is relatively similar among the simulations In both regions the coupled reference simulations are a little saltier than their forced counterparts and the same holds for the comparison between eddying and respective non-eddying simulations. We suggest a lack of offshore Ekman transport in the coupled configurations

and generally stronger deep convection in the nested ones as causes and discuss these further below. Another more obvious salinity and thus density difference is found between the boundary current (small purple eirele), the mixing between shelf and

320 circles) and the interior Labrador Sea are fundamentally different between eddy-parameterized and eddying models resulting in a greater salinity gradient across the shelf front in the two nested simulations. Moreover, the coupled runs exhibit a stronger salinity and thus density gradient (purple). This difference is significantly smaller in the non-eddying than in the respective eddying simulations and can be related to a insufficient exchange across the shelf front than the forced configurations. We identify deep convection and Ekman transport as likely causes. These will be discussed in more detail below and in section

325 Section 3.3 break in the latter (more details in Sec. 3.2).

The freshwater perturbation leads to a freshening and cooling in the ENA and on the ENA shelf ENA shelf regions in all configurations (compare crosses '+' with filled circles). The response is larger in the forced configurations than in the coupled ones and larger in the non-eddying than in the respective strongly eddying simulations. Particularly in In the forced experiment this leads to a density decrease of 0.4 kg/m³ whereas in the coupled-nested one there barely is a density change noticeable.

- 330 In particular the freshening has consequences for the imaginary linear connection to the Nordic Seas in TS-space (connect red-orange-yellow-black crosses). The slope of this line steepens considerably with the northward decrease in salinity present in the reference state practically vanishing in the two non-eddying perturbation experiments. This is except for the forced run, where the ENA presents with excessive freshening, the density change is minor. The freshening effect by the perturbation weakens as the Atlantic water progresses into the Nordic Seas.
- With respect to the AMOC weakening discussed above, we stress that the density of the Denmark Strait overflow hardly changes in the perturbed coupled experiments. There occurs, however, a significant density decrease by 0.1-0.15 kg/m³ in the two forced experiments. The latter two happen to be the model configurations with the overall stronger AMOC decline, which we suggest is related to the change in overflow properties. This is supported by a strong correlation on decadal time scales between AMOC strength and DS overflow density (~0.8). The correlation with Labrador Sea winter mixed-layer depth
- 340 is much weaker (~0.23, see Tab. 2), which agrees well with the results of <u>Behrens et al. (2013)</u> and Biastoch et al. (2021). The freshening in the central Labrador and Irminger Seas follows a similar pattern with a stronger response in the forced and the non-eddying experiments compared to their respective counterparts. The response in temperature is roughly equal among all configurations for this particular region. Again, the forced models with the stronger freshening in the Labrador and Irminger Seas deep convection regions also show greater AMOC weakening. However, it does not become clear from these watermass
- 345 property changes why the coupled-nested configuration has a tendency for a stronger AMOC decline than the coupled one (2.3 vs. 1.5 Sv, cf. Tab. 2).

Lastly, we point out that the freshwater perturbation enhances the salinity and density discrepancy between Labrador Sea shelf and interior (compare smaller and larger purple circles and similarly the crosses in Fig. 9). While the freshening in the boundary current is similar for all experiments (except for the coupled case), the interior Labrador Sea freshens more in the

350 two forced cases. We believe that this is related to the Ekman transport in the WGC and will shed some more light on this in Section 3.3.



Figure 10. Cross-section through Labrador and Irminger Seas at approx. 60° N-showing (a) March-mean potential density (σ_0) and (b) the change due to the freshwater perturbation. The white solid and dashed lines depict the March-mean mixed layer depth of the reference and perturbed states, respectively. The red contour in panels (a) highlights densities >27.85 kg/m³. The cross-section follows the location of the OSNAP line (see Fig. 8).



Figure 11. March-mean ventilated water volume for the reference state (pale bars in background) and perturbation experiment (in foreground) for regions with deep convection. Ventilated volume is computed as mixed layer depth (MLD) times grid-cell area where MLD>500m. Gray error bars indicate ± 1 standard deviation of inter-annual variability.

The density structure of cross-sections along $\sim 60^{\circ}$ N the OSNAP line (Lozier et al., 2019) through the Labrador and Irminger Seas presented in Figure 10 differs considerably between model configurations and has implications for the response to the freshwater perturbation. The watermass in the interior Labrador and Irminger Seas is denser in the two eddying configurations than in the non-eddying ones. Of the latter two, the forced The forced non-eddying configuration exhibits a stronger vertical density gradient than its coupled counterpart. This is likely a consequence of the shallower deep convection mixed layer in

18

355

the forced configuration (Fig. 7) as well as the much shorter spinup (30 years) compared to the coupled experiment (1500 years)enabling. Therefore, properties of the initialization fields are still visible in the deep ocean here. The non-eddying forced experiment of the forced run. The forced non-eddying experiment also features the smallest ventilated volume in the Labrador

- and Irminger Seas (Fig. 11, purple and blue bars). We define ventilated volume as mixed-later depth (MLD) times grid-cell area where MLD exceeds 500 m to focus on deep overturning. The larger the ventilated volume, the closer dense overflow waters rise to a mid-depth of approx. about 1000 m. Here, overflow waters are identified by a density of $\sigma_0 > 27.85$ kg/m³ (red contour in 10Fig. 10a) having been diluted along the way from ≥ 27.9 kg/m³ at Denmark Strait (black circles in Fig. 9). In particular the eddying configurations show large content feature large volumes of overflow water in the deep Labrador and
- 365 Irminger Seas. In contrast, the non-eddying coupled simulation with the densest overflow water at Denmark Strait shows no water mass identifiable as such in the Labrador and Irminger Seas cross-section. Interestingly, this configuration yields the weakest correlation between AMOC strength and DS overflow density (Tab. 2).

We also note that the two eddying configurations The cross-section in Figure 10a show much steeper isopycnal slopes between the boundary currents (bright colors light blue) and the interior (dark blue) in Figure 10a for the two eddying configurations.

370 As will be further discussed below this is related to the running the ocean model at 1/2° models running grid resolution with an eddy parameterization but the at 1/10° ones withoutthough without. However, the higher resolution is not quite sufficient to simulate the full eddy spectrum and hence lacks some mixing between the boundary and interior, which is well parameterized in the non-eddying configuration.

Greenland meltwater enters the boundary currents enhancing the density gradient to the interior Labrador and Irminger

- 375 Seas. Larger eddies spawned off the WGC due to unique topography around Cape Desolation and smaller, local ones created by baroclinic instability act to reduce this gradient (Rieck et al., 2019). The magnitude of freshening and the reference mean state of the interior Labrador and Irminger Seas in the perturbation experiments are key to understanding the AMOC response. It is the forced non-eddying experiment with the smallest difference between Labrador Sea shelf and interior water properties (Fig. 9) that presents with a complete loss of ventilated volume for areas of deep convection (MLD>500 m) under the freshwater
- 380 perturbation as shown in Figure 11. This configuration features the freshest mean state (not shown) Fig. 5a) and therefore has the lowest barrier for any additional freshwater carried by the EGC and WGC to enter the deep convection sites. With deep mixing being shut off, most meltwater stays in the upper subpolar gyre (Fig. 12)further enforcing, reinforcing the response, which ultimate leads to the most pronounced AMOC decline of almost 5 Sv among all configurations (Fig. 4 and Tab. 2). Eventually, this even leads to an accumulation of saltier overflow water at depths >2500 m in the Labrador Sea and a warming
- 385 between 100 and 1000 m (not shwon). As another consequence, the least and less meltwater tracer is found to leave the SPNA with the deep water in this configuration (Fig. 13 than in any other (Fig. 13, >1200 m).

The other extreme is the coupled non-eddying experiment. While similar amounts of meltwater enter the upper interior Labrador Sea (Fig. 13), the entire water column is more saline. In addition, deep mixing is stronger than in the forced counterpart with the Irminger Sea adding considerably to the ventilated volume (Fig. 11). As a result, the depth of the winter mixed layer does not change—or is compensated by deepening in the Irminger Sea, and deep water formation is less affected

by the freshwater perturbation. In general, deep convective mixing brings In the two coupled configurations, deep convective

390



Figure 12. Total meltwater-tracer mass for selected regions of the North Atlantic integrated over the entire basin width for the upper 500 m (solid lines) and below 10001200 m (dashed lines). Blue lines depict the tracer mass in the subpolar gyre between 42° and 66°N, orange lines in the subtropical gyre (15–42°N). The black lines combine Nordic Seas and Baffin Bay tracer-mass inventory, which are approximately of same magnitude.

mixing is generally more intense (11, purple and blue bars) and more heat and salt is brought into the upper oceanin the coupled configurations since there is. These simulations feature a non-negligible heat (and saltwarm (and saline) bias in these (Matthes et al., 2020). This helps mid to deep ocean layers (Matthes et al., 2020), which may help to maintain the overturning against the freshwater perturbation and in consequence, AMOC strength weakens only by 1.5 Sv. We find more meltwater tracer being exported with the deep western boundary current in these configurations, especially in the coupled-nested one (13, >1200 m).

The response in the two eddying simulations suffers from the lack of exchange between the boundary currents and interior in the Labrador and Irminger Seas already mentioned. Here, the meltwater is rather entrained to greater depths through mixing across the SPNA, which is enhanced compared to the non-eddying configurations(Fig. 7)a. Ventilated volume in the Nordic Seas decreases significantly in both experiments. With a generally saltier and warmer ocean, however, the AMOC of the coupled configuration responds less strongly and the two nested configurations rather group with their non-eddying counterparts than separating into an own category (Tab. 2).

3.2 Mesoscale ocean dynamics

395

405 In this section we discuss the outcome of explicitly simulating mesoscale eddies in the nested configurations instead of parameterizing their effect. We focus on regions and processes of particular relevance for the distribution of Greenland meltwater and its potential impact on deep water formation. This is These are exchange between the boundary current and interior in the Labrador Sea, entrainment into the North Atlantic Current and leakage into the subtropical gyre.

Boundary currents



Figure 13. Snap shots (5-day mean) of meltwater passive tracer concentration at three depth levels after 5 years (top row) and 50 years of simulation with freshwater (lower three rows) into the perturbation experiments. For the later period the average concentration for three depth layers is shown: upper (0–200 m), mid (200–1200 m) and deep ocean (below 1200 m).

- The ocean-grid refinement improves the yields realistic dynamics in the nest region significantly (Fig. 1a). We find a strongly eddying ocean where the 1/10° grid sufficiently resolves the Rossby radius, which is the case south of approximately 50°N. In higher latitudes the finer resolution yields stronger and more focused boundary currents, such as in the Nordic Seas and the Labrador as well as Irminger Sea, but is inadequate to simulate the full dynamical mesoscale spectrum. For example, the western boundary current transport in the Labrador Sea at 53°N of the coupled model amounts to 33(below 400 m) amounts to 19.4 Sv and that of 39.3 Sv in the coupled and coupled-nested to 53 Sv, which is much closer to observations. We
 - also configurations, respectively, where observations yield an estimate of 30.2 Sv (Zantopp et al., 2017). The grid refinement

significantly improves mesoscale variability over large parts of the SPNA (Fig. 3a) but is inadequate to simulate the full dynamical mesoscale spectrum north of 50°N. Nevertheless, we find individual WGC eddies—or Irminger Rings—entering the interior northern Labrador Sea (not shown). But smaller mesoscale eddies are crucial for restratification after deep con-

- 420 vection in winter (e.g. Rieck et al., 2019) are not explicitly simulated by the nested configurations. This results in generally steeper isopycnals separating separating the Labrador Sea shelf from the interior (Fig. 10a). Here, the models parameterizing the cross-frontal transport by eddies show a more realistic hydrography. We suspect that this is an important factor for the overestimation of stronger deep mixing already in the mean state of the nested simulations (Fig. 11) and an underestimation of Greenland freshwater tracer concentration in western subpolar gyre in the nested perturbation experiments (Fig. 13for finding).
- 425 less meltwater tracer in the interior Labrador Sea Fig. 13, 0–200 m). Due to the latter, our results appear contradictory to those of Böning et al. (2016) at first glance but in fact simply highlight the necessity of using at least 1/20° grid resolution suggest that the resolution in our eddying simulations is not high enough to properly simulate the mesoscale in this region whereas the eddy parameterization in the coarser configurations performs relatively well. This is further discussed in Section 4.

The Northwest Corner

- 430 The Northwest Corner off Newfoundland is a dynamically highly active region where the southward flowing Labrador Current carrying fresh and cold Polar polar waters meets the North Atlantic Current (NAC) transporting warm, salty subtropical water northward. At this switchyard mesoscale ocean dynamics determine how much Polar polar water—and hence Greenland freshwater—is meltwater—is either mixed into the NAC or continues travelling south into the Mid Atlantic Bight (Böning et al., 2016; New et al., 2021). Here, we find major divergence between the non-eddying and strongly eddying model configurations.
- 435 The refined grid of the nests do not only yield a largely improved nest does not only significantly mitigate the North Atlantic cold bias but also a much (Fig. 3b) but also yields a more realistic, reduced entrainment of Greenland-sourced freshwater into the NAC near Flemish Cap (13, 0–200 m). As in the study of Böning et al. (2016), our strongly eddying simulations eddying configurations transport significantly more meltwater along the coast all the way into the Mid Atlantic Bight than the non-eddying modelsones. The eddy parameterization used in the latter prescribes a too strong entrainment into the NAC at the
- 440 Northwest Corner, which is well seen in the tracer concentration of the upper ocean (Fig. 13, at 50 m depthillustrated by the upper ocean tracer concentration in Fig. 13(top row). Differences in the wind field and exact position of the NAC may explain the elevated tracer concentrations in the forced compared to the respective coupled experiments in this region. We find imprints of the different Northwest Corner dynamics on meltwater tracer concentrations as deep as about 1000 m.

Gyre-gyre exchange

- Not only the meltwater concentration in the NAC itself is affected by resolving the mesoscale but also the cross-frontal exchange between subpolar and subtropical gyres along the NAC axis. In the strongly eddying simulations the NAC consists of a wide field of meanders and eddies whereas it resembles a laminar flow associated with clearly defined fronts in the noneddying configurations. The dynamically rich eddy field does not only yield a much-more homogeneous distribution of the meltwater across the SPNA but also an enhanced exchange with the subtropical gyre. This exchange already occurs far west in
- 450 the Gulf Stream extension and persists across the entire Atlantic basin width. For example, this is visible in cold-core eddies carrying meltwater tracer across the front of the Gulf Stream and NAC (Fig. 1b). This mixing is extending over the entire upper

and mid-depth ocean, at 50 as well as 500 m depth from the surface down to about 1000 m (Fig. 13). Therefore, leakage of meltwater into the subtropical gyre is stronger with explicitly simulated eddies than with the typical eddy parameterization. Figure 12 shows an earlier occurrence and faster growth of meltwater-tracer mass in the subtropical gyre for both the upper

- 455 ocean above 500 m depth and for the deep ocean below 1000 m in the nested configurations (solid orange lines). Different meltwater export behavior with the deep currents discussed above is depicted here by tracer mass below 1200 m (dashed orange). The contrast is particularly strong between the coupled experiments. Here, the non-eddying simulation maintains twice as much tracer in the upper 500 m of the subpolar (solid blue) than in the subtropical gyre (solid orange) after 50 years of continued freshwater perturbation whereas the tracer content of the subtropical gyre exceeds the one in the subpolar latitudes
- 460 after 20 years in the strongly eddying experiment. The divergence is smaller between the two forced configuration. We suspect different wind forcing and a stronger meridional density gradient in the NAC region to play a role in this weaker contrast among the forced than the coupled configurations.

3.3 Atmospheric coupling

Lastly, we address the relevance of ocean-atmosphere coupling for Greenland freshwater-release experiments by comparing the two coupled with the respective forced simulations. The stark contrast in the AMOC response between these two sets of configurations (see Tab. 2) suggests that atmosphere-ocean fluxes play a major role. Coupled models are likely less sensitive to a perturbation such as the additional freshwater inserted here. This is because changes in AMOC strength are associated with both , a positive salinity and a compensating negative temperature feedback with the latter only being active if the atmosphere is able to respond to changes in SST like in a coupled model configuration (Griffies et al., 2009). However, coupled modeling may

470 have its downside in <u>case stronger biases affecting</u> the atmospheric fluxes<u>are biased as the coupled model has more freedom</u>. In the following we address both topics using the surface heat flux and coastal winds to discuss large-scale and regional effects of ocean-atmosphere coupling and its impact on freshwater-release experiments off Greenland.

Surface heat flux

- We focus our analysis of the surface heat flux (SHF) on the winter season, averaging from December to February (DJF), 475 which dominates the annual mean. Heat loss from the ocean to the atmosphere across the SPNA during fall and winter increases upper ocean density and hence plays an eminent role in preconditioning the ocean for deep convection with a peak in March. All four model configurations show similar patterns and magnitudes of SHF in their mean states (Figure 14a). A maximum Maximum heat loss, which exceeds 400 W/m² is located along the northwestern side of the , is found in the northwestern Labrador Sea along the sea-ice edge , which expands from Davis Strait along the Canadian shelf. There also is pronounced
- 480 heat loss in the northeastern part of the SPNA (southeast of Iceland as well as over the Irminger Current) and over the boundary currents in the Nordic Seas and around Greenland and similarly in the Nordic Seas; there, also over the path of the Atlantic water. A vast area of major air-sea heat exchange is in the northeastern SPNA, however. The block-like structure in the SHF output of the coupled configurations is due to the surface fluxes being computed on the coarser grid of the atmospheric model at a horizontal resolution of about 1.9° (but stored on the ocean model grid at 0.5°). While the large-scale pattern is very
- 485 similar in all reference simulations, we note a difference in the region of the Northwest Corner, east of Newfoundland. In the



Figure 14. (a) Long-term mean surface heat flux (negative is ocean heat loss) *averaged over December to February (DJF)*. (b) Response in surface heat flux (positive means reduced heat loss) to freshwater perturbation, DJF mean. Magenta and yellow lines depict the sea-ice edge (15% ice concentration contour) for the reference and perturbed states, respectively.



Figure 15. Changes in surface heat flux (SHF) due to the freshwater perturbation. SHF is averaged over the <u>eastern SPNA-ENA region</u> ($35-15^{\circ}W$ and $45-60^{\circ}N$ see Fig. 8) and over December to February (DJF). Circles for each of the first 62 years of the perturbed simulations vs. the respective years of the reference runs are displayed. Years 1–5 and 6–10 are highlighted in red and yellow respectively. Axis scales are shifted by -50 W/m² for the two nested configurations. The dashed line depicts the 1:1 line.

non-eddying configurations, which feature a strong SST cold bias in this region (cf. Fig. 3), a near-zero SHF can be found. In contrast, the coupled-nested configurationsconfiguration, almost completely lacking this bias, simulates a heat loss of around 200–250 W/m² in this region. However, this has little effect on downstream upper ocean temperatures, which are in the ENA (and ENA shelf) region roughly all the same across the different configurations (Fig. 9).

- In the coupled experiments the atmosphere can adjust to changing ocean surface conditions. In contrast, the atmosphere may act as an infinite source (or sink) of energy to the ocean in the forced experiments. This is well expressed in by the DJF-mean SHF change presented in Figure 14b. The two coupled experiments yield SHF changes of less than 20 W/m² in most of the SPNA and nowhere more than 50 W/m². The forced experiments, however, present with a distinct pattern of SHF increase, which here means reduced ocean heat lossdecrease. The pattern resembles very much the cooling pattern of
- 495 upper ocean temperature shown in Figure 6b. Without an adjustment in atmospheric temperatures, the upper ocean cooling in response to the freshwater perturbation and AMOC weakening reduces the temperature difference between ocean and wintery cold atmosphereatmosphere, which temperature is below SST in winter, and thus yields a reduced heat loss of up to 100 W/m², over the entire but mostly eastern SPNA. This reduction evolves rather slowly with the decline of the AMOC and is negligible during the first 5 years after onset of the perturbation but grows to 20–40 W/m² in the eastern SPNA during the first decade
- 500 (not shown). AMOC weakening yields a comparable response in the coupled experiments during the first 5–10 years, which however is masked by internal variability of similar or larger magnitude and by the damping effect of the coupled atmosphere. across the entire SPNA with a maximum on its eastern side. The SHF difference between perturbed and reference run of the forced simulations remains positive (i.e. in a state of reduced heat loss) continuously throughout the experiment , whereas in (Fig. 15). This shift evolves slowly over the first decade after perturbation onset (red and yellow circles). In contrast, internal
- 505 <u>variability in air-sea exchange dominates in</u> the coupled system internal variability and the interactive atmosphere drive and <u>SHF</u> differences of opposing sign (Fig. 15). In the coupled-nested configuration differences are skewed towards positive values, which may explain or contribute to the slightly stronger AMOC response on the long run (2.3 vs. 1.5 Sv, see Tab. 2).

are found. We also note a consistently larger heat loss by the two strongly eddying configurations compared to the noneddying ones ranging from -250 to -150 W/m² rather than -200 to -100 W/m² (Fig. 15), which we attribute to sharper SST

510 gradients from mesoscale filaments, a generally wider NAC and a stronger AMOC in the nested configurations (cf. Fig. 14a). The positive SHF anomalies in the freshwater perturbation experiments (14b) are tightly associated with a reduction in the upward surface freshwater flux (evaporation minus precipitation, not shown). This provides another positive feedback favoring further AMOC weakening in particular in the forced experiments.

Ekman transport

- The Greenland high and Iceland low pressure systems create predominant north-easterly winds over the continental shelf in the Irminger Sea. As a resultonshore, downwelling favorable, onshore downwelling-favorable Ekman transport (Fig. 16a) confines freshwater carried with the EGC (and its coastal sibling, the EGCC) to the shelf and shelf break (Fig. 5a). This is present in the atmospheric fields applied to the forced experiments as well as in the coupled configuration with the latter showing 30-40% larger Ekman transport magnitudes. Over the WGC region this is different. The coupled atmosphere tends
- 520 to extend the low pressure regime into the Labrador Sea creating a similar gradient toward the Greenland high, which drives onshore Ekman transport here as well. In contrast, the forced simulations feature <u>realistic</u> offshore Ekman transport pushing fresh <u>Polar polar</u> waters away from the shelf. We consider this a major cause for finding a generally fresher upper ocean in the central Labrador Sea of the two forced experiments and much fresher conditions on the Labrador Sea shelf of the coupled simulations (Fig. 9).



Figure 16. (a) Long-term annual mean Ekman transport magnitude (colored contours) and direction (arrows, length relates to magnitude). (b) Response in Ekman transport to freshwater perturbation.

- 525 While there is only negligible wind stress change in the response to the freshwater perturbation in the two forced experiments, both coupled experiments yield a slight weakening of the Icelandic low showing sea-level pressure increases of 0.5-1-1.0 hPa over the Labrador and Irminger Seas with a stronger increase in the non-eddying experiment (not shown). In the latter this results in a weakening of the onshore Ekman transport in the WGC and Irminger Sea regions (Fig. 16b). Interestingly, the opposite is the case in the coupled-nested experiment, which may be related to the southward expansion of the sea-ice edge
- 530 along the west coast of Greenland only showing in and associated surface heat flux reduction, which only emerge in the coupled-nested but not coupled experiments (cf.Fig. 14b the coupled experiments and yield a local wind stress reduction over Davis Strait (Figs. 14b and 16b). The particular reinforcement of the onshore Ekman transport over the WGC very likely keeps the coupled-nested configuration from yielding a larger freshening response in the interior Labrador Sea though it features the freshest boundary current (Fig. 9). The slackening of the Ekman transport in the non-eddying coupled experiment may
- 535 facilitate the relatively similar freshening of interior and shelf regions found for this configuration(compare distances of purple circles and crosses in Fig. 9). adds to the lack of eddy mixing and further limits the leakage of freshwater from the boundary current into the interior Labrador Sea in the coupled-nested configuration.

Summarizing, there certainly is a non-negligible influence on wind stress by an interactive atmosphere, which seems to reside less in a large-scale response to the broad surface cooling of the SPNA but rather in changes or differences in critical

540 locations. In our case, this difference is most aligns with a wind bias also crucial for the mean state of the model and has only indirect influence on the response to the freshwater perturbation. Regarding the impact of the negative temperature feedback associated with AMOC changes missing in the forced experiments, we consider directly affecting deep water formation. Although we cannot completely rule out an influence by the initial ocean mean states or climate conditions, we conclude that the damping of the surface heat and freshwater flux feedbacks in the coupled experiments a major is the main reason for

545 their weaker AMOC response - However, we cannot rule out an important influence by the different ocean mean states at the beginning of coupled and forced experiments as wellin comparison to their forced companion experiments.

4 Discussion

As the mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet grows increasingly negative the impact thereof on the ocean has become a major topic for the SPNA community. The meltwater is difficult to detect by oceanographic observations and hence has lead to

an enhanced interest in numerical model simulations to project the near-term implications. While the potential of As we note in the introduction, the potential for GrIS mass loss making having a significant long-term and large-scale impact on ocean circulation and sea level has been explored in many model studies before—like we did in Martin et al. (2022), the. The subtle beginnings and regional effects as they may have occurred over the passed last decade already, likely require very sophisticated, high-resolution, strongly eddying and even coupled models, however. This is what we argue for in the following. We also keep the focus to the SPNA as this is where we can expect changes to show first.

To set the stage we use the recently observed shift in deep convection from the Labrador to the Irminger Sea as an example (de Jong et al., 2018; Zunino et al., 2020; Rühs et al., 2021). Rühs et al. (2021) argued for a potential role of enhanced Greenland runoff in this shift and showed that salinity anomalies in the northern part of the Labrador Sea correlate well with those in the EGC, in particular its coastal branch, which carries most of the runoff. Strongly eddying models have been used to show that WGC eddies are essential for this connection (Böning et al., 2016; Castelao et al., 2019; Georgiou et al., 2019). According to the model analysis of Rühs et al. (2021), the recent extreme freshening of the eastern North Atlantic (Holliday et al., 2020) arrives a little farther south, to the central Labrador Sea beign being advected with the Irminger current located slightly further offshore. Again (sub-)mesoscale processes play a role for connecting boundary current, deep convection and downwelling (Georgiou et al., 2019; Tagklis et al., 2020). Recent freshening by polar waterswater, runoff and Atlantic water

- 565 salinity anomalies may thus have contributed to hindering-hinder deep convection in the northwestwern northwestern Labrador Sea. Potentially in consequence thereof, Recently enhanced deep convection in the Irminger Sea has certainly offset any impact of recently enhanced (Rühs et al., 2021) may have compensated a lack of deep water formation in the Labrador Sea and hence offset an impact by recently increased runoff from Greenlandon deep water formation. In this respect, it is an intriguing feature of both of our coupled simulations that deep convection expands considerably southeast and east of Cape Farewell into the
- 570 Irminger Sea (Fig. 7b). We do not find such response in the forced experiments. In contrast to forced simulations by Böning et al. (2016) and Rühs et al. (2021), the atmospheric forcing we apply does neither cover here does neither include the recent increase in Greenland runoff nor match matches with the applied freshwater perturbationin time.

Atmospheric coupling

With respect to the role of atmospheric feedbacks and forcing, our experiments are tailored towards the objective of whether 575 forced ocean (and sea-ice) simulations can be used to demonstrate and diagnose the impact of enhanced GrIS melting in the ocean. But the question regarding the influence of ocean-atmsophere interaction is not that simply answered. On the one hand, our results show the strong influence of a missing negative temperature feedback for stabilizing the AMOC

in forced experiments (c.f. Rahmstorf and Willebrand, 1995; Gerdes et al., 2006; Griffies et al., 2009)(cf. Rahmstorf and Willebrand, 1995) in which the AMOC weakens twice as much as in the coupled experiments (Tab. 2). This is despite differences in climate mean 580 state (pre-industrial for coupled vs. present in forced experiments), spinup length (1500-1600 vs. 30 years, i.e. separation from observation-based initial ocean status), and surface salinity restoring (none vs. weak). The coupled model develops a quite typical negative/positive salinity bias above/below 600 m during the extended spinup (Matthes et al., 2020, their Fig. 14). This may make the coupled ocean also help to maintain the mode of recurring deep convection making the coupled ocean less susceptible to the prescribed moderate freshwater perturbation and support stronger deep convection once triggered. Further, 585 the change in winter surface heat loss is less than 10% in the coupled experiments (Fig. 14), which may lead to doubting the importance of a positive feedback related to temperature-adjusted atmosphere-ocean fluxes and rather give preference to. In both forced freshwater-release experiments, the cooling of the subpolar North Atlantic reduces evaporation while precipitation is unaffected being prescribed resulting in an increase in the net surface freshwater flux. The surface salinity restoring acts quite efficiently against this increase on basin scale with similar magnitude but opposite sign. The restoring thus mitigates part of the missing negative temperature feedback in the forced experiments by compensating for the temperature feedback on 590 the surface freshwater flux (cf. Griffies et al., 2009). Nevertheless, the negative temperature feedback is still largely reduced as surface heat fluxes are unaffected by the salinity restoring. However, we cannot exclude a significant influence by the ocean

mean state and climate mean state, which differs between coupled and forced experiments.

On the other hand, to unambiguously show the influence of ocean-atmosphere interaction on the response of the climate 595 system to such enhanced freshwater input, the forced experiments would need to be conducted using the surface fluxes of the coupled control experiment as has been done by Stammer et al. (2011). Interestingly, our results oppose disagree with those of Stammer et al. (2011) though the arguments are similar: In our setup the coupled configuration is less sensitive to the freshwater perturbation than the forced one. We see a similar causality of enhanced heat loss associated with enhanced precipitation over the SPNA supporting a further weakening of the AMOC just like Stammer et al. (2011) but in the forced instead of the coupled

- experiment. While upper ocean cooling and freshening in their forced ocean-only experiment is considerably weaker than in 600 their coupled one, larger changes in surface fluxes over the SPNA in our forced experiment also drive greater cooling and freshening compared to our coupled one (Figures 6b and 5b). We suspect that this opposing outcome is due to computing the surface fluxes in the ocean model prescribing atmosphere temperature and winds from an unrelated reanalysis rather than prescribing surface fluxes of a related unperturbed coupled simulation. This may seem trivial but is an important argument for
- 605 taking atmosphere feedbacks into account when quantifying the impact of current and future increases of freshwater input to the SPNA using models.

For studying and projecting the impact by GrIS mass loss using a coupled climate or ocean-only model, the representation of the coastal winds around Greenland will have major implications on the results of the simulation, too. As shown here but also by Castelao et al. (2019) and Duyek et al. (2022) earlier studies (Luo et al., 2016; Schulze Chretien and Frajka-Williams, 2018; Castelao et al

610 favorable Ekman transport plays a significant role in spreading relatively fresh coastal waters offshore into the Labrador and Irminger Seas. Forcing an ocean model with reanalysis winds may thus yield a better representation of the Ekman transport, an issue that may be overcome by coupling with a high-resolution atmosphere component.

Role of mesoscale eddies

Böning et al. (2016) investigated spreading of Greenland meltwater in an eddy-permitting $(1/4^{\circ})$ and a strongly eddying

- 615 $(1/20^{\circ})$ ocean-only simulation and found that significantly more meltwater entered and accumulated also earlier in the central Labrador Sea in the strongly eddying model. At first glance our results seem contradictory with greater freshening (Fig. 5) and higher meltwater concentrations (Fig. 13, 0–200 m) in this region found in the non-eddying models presented here. However, the non-eddying model presented here uses the GM-parameterization to account for the effect of missing eddies, whereas the $1/4^{\circ}$ model of Böning et al. (2016) did not include such parameterization. Further, our eddying model using a grid resolution
- 620 of 1/10° (compared to 1/20° in Böning et al., 2016) only features <u>some</u> larger eddies, so-called Irminger Rings or WGC eddies, which carry relatively fresh water from the boundary current into the interior Labrador Sea and therefore play a role in preconditioning of deep convection, but not the many smaller ones, which are also crucial for the restratification process after deep convection in winter (Rieck et al., 2019). The <u>WGC</u> eddies resolved in our model are <u>obviously not-not numerous</u> and hence not sufficient for bringing enough meltwater to the deep convention sites to achieve results comparable to Böning
- et al. (2016). In a similar comparison of 1° and 1/10° model configurations, Weijer et al. (2012) already found that stronger boundary currents keep the freshwater anomaly away from the deep convection sites in the eddying model. Dukhovskoy et al. (2016) also highlight the importance of eddy fluxes for Greenland meltwater runoff to enter the interior Labrador Sea in a model intercomparison involving similar ocean grid resolutions. This observation gains relevance as the global climate modeling community of CMIP increasingly employs grid resolutions of 1/4° –1/12° without eddy parameterizations, which is
 630 insufficient for regions of, for instance, deep and bottom water formation. We consider implementation of scale-aware eddy
- parameterizations such as proposed by Jansen et al. (2019) a promising solution for future application in high-resolution but

635

not quite Rossby-radius resolving models.

Biastoch et al. (2021) and Yeager et al. (2021) noted the importance of explicitly simulating mesoscale dynamics for an improved representation of the transport of Denmark Strait overflow water and the maintenance of its characteristics along the way for forced ocean-only and coupled climate model simulations, respectively. Our results clearly support this and—as we a apply a relatively coarse vertical grid with only 46 levels—stress and stress the relevance of more accurately simulating the

- boundary current in addition to the overflow itself for reducing dilution of this crucial water mass on its way to the Labrador Sea. Gillard et al. (2022) recently highlighted the importance of vertical model grid resolution and an associated improved representation of the local topography for the exchange between the WGC and the interior Labrador Sea. An aspect our nested
- 640 simulations do not reflect as the vertical resolution of 46 levels is the same as in the non-eddying configuration. In addition we note, that our forced experiments show a higher correlation between AMOC strength and the overflow than the coupled ones though the latter tend to have a slightly greater overflow water density. With respect to the above discussion, we speculate that the atmospheric fluxes have significant influence on this. Likely also the much shorter spinup of the forced experiments play a role. After more than 1500 years the coupled experiments have certainly drifted away from the observed initialization state
- 645 whereas the initialization may still have a beneficial effect on the forced configurations.

Our results are in line with the notion that explicitly simulating mesoscale eddies—rather than parameterizing their effect does not significantly impact the response of the AMOC to global warming or freshwater anomalies. This specifically holds for the magnitude of the response and less for the adjustment time scale (similar to Weijer et al., 2012). Winton et al. (2014) noted that AMOC state and variability are more important for the model's sensitivity than grid resolution. And Gent (2018)

- 650 summarizes studies comparing non-eddying and eddying models for their AMOC sensitivity to buoyancy forcing and finds neither clear evidence for the AMOC being more sensitive in strongly eddying models nor grid resolution a dominant factor. Hirschi et al. (2020) and Jüling et al. (2021) have recently added evidence along these lines. However, like earlier studies (e.g. Weijer et al., 2012; Böning et al., 2016; Jüling et al., 2021), our experiments show that redistribution of meltwater and response patterns to enhanced input thereof become significantly more realistic with increasingly strong eddying simulations.
- The realistic simulation of processes in the deep water formation regions require grid resolutions of $1/20^{\circ}$ or finer to resolve 655 the necessary eddy spectrum (Böning et al., 2016; Rieck et al., 2019; Castelao et al., 2019; Georgiou et al., 2019; Tagklis et al., 2020; Pennelly and Myers, 2022). In turn, this suggests that the above conclusion of the eddying capability of the model having little impact on an AMOC response to buoyancy forcing in the SPNA might be premature and based on simulations with still insufficient eddy presence.
- 660 Lastly, we argue that systematic model configuration comparisons like the one presented here are essential to understand the influence of different model components and parameterizations. Our study shows that such systematic comparisons are difficult to carry out, however. Coupled and forced models naturally have different mean states. Should model parameters be optimized for each configuration or rather kept unchanged for a fair comparison? Grid resolution of each model component plays a role, too. Which resolution is sufficient for completely abandoning a related parameterization, such as GM for eddies? The presented 665 results can certainly qualitatively support the assessment of model projections on AMOC weakening in a warming climate and to some degree also provide quantitative guidance though each model has its own sensitivity.

5 **Summary and conclusions**

A systematic set of freshwater-release experiments with both, coupled climate and forced ocean-only model configurations using eddy parameterization and explicit simulation of mesoscale features was carried out for understanding the role of at-670 mospheric feedbacks and mesoscale eddies in the ocean's response to enhanced Greenland runoff. We find an interactive atmosphere to play a major role in stabilizing the AMOC against an overly strong decline in response to a multi-decadal increase in Greenland runoff. Our simulations demonstrate that mesoscale dynamics have a major impact on the regional response patterns everywhere, from the Labrador Sea to the subtropical gyre. We thus conclude that both processes should be considered for projections of regional North Atlantic changes in a warming climate.

- 675
 - The decline of the AMOC in the forced experiments is more than twice the magnitude of the response in the coupled ones. This agrees well with the one forced simulation in Swingedouw et al. (2013) presenting with simulating the strongest weakening among a set of six, otherwise coupled models. We attribute this sensitivity to the dominance of the positive salinity feedback in the absence of a compensating temperature feedback when the atmosphere is not able to adjust to changes in SST

(Griffies et al., 2009). Our results show up to an order of magnitude difference in the change in surface heat flux between the
 two configurations. This lends strong support to the understanding that the AMOC in ocean-only simulations is too sensitive
 to its own changes once triggered by external forcing, such as enhanced freshwater input.

Although having a minor impact on the AMOC response — a response of AMOC strength — a large-scale integrated quantity — mesoscale dynamics play a major role in the regional distribution of and response to the freshwater added. This is due to improved representations of the North Atlantic Current (NAC), the boundary currents and overflows in the strongly eddy-

- ing simulations. The effect of eddies in cross-frontal transport, i.e. "leaking" freshwater from the boundary current into the Labrador Sea, and restratification can be parameterized comparatively well in non-eddying simulations using for instance the GM method (Gent and McWilliams, 1990). Comparing our results with those of Böning et al. (2016), we find that meltwater concentrations in the central Labrador Sea as simulated with a $1/20^{\circ}$ strongly eddying model are better matched by our $1/2^{\circ}$ simulation applying GM than the $1/4^{\circ}$ one without GM used as reference by Böning et al. (2016). Export and recircula-
- 690 tion of the freshwater with the subpolar gyre deviates deviate significantly between non-eddying and strongly eddying models. Here, our non-eddying simulation cannot capture sufficiently the westward propagation along the North American coast and rather simulates a massive eastward spreading near Flemish Cap (Fig. 13). Further, the eddy parameterization fails in our case to achieve the same magnitude of exchange between subpolar and subtropical gyres as simulated by the strongly eddying model. Obviously, the path and role of the NAC_North Atlantic Current as a strongly eddying current is less easily corrected by parameterization (Drews et al., 2015; Park et al., 2016).
 - We note , that model sensitivity to a freshwater perturbation is further influenced by local processes, such as overflow dynamics, deep mixing and wind conditions over the Greenland shelf (Ekman transport, tip jets). While atmospheric coupling is important for the large-scale response, coupled models with coarse atmosphere grid resolution, such as used here, may suffer from local wind biaseshave deficits in representing local winds, in particular in coastal regions. Unfortunately, the GrIS
- 700 meltwater unfolds its impact involving processes such as ocean eddies and Ekman transport from strong wind events on deep convection in the Labrador Sea particularly through Ekman transport resulting from wind conditions along the narrow continental shelf of Greenland (Luo et al., 2016; Schulze Chretien and Frajka-Williams, 2018). Thus, for a most realistic redistribution of Greenland freshwater in a model ocean sufficient resolution in both -ocean and atmosphere - are is required.
- Model experiments aiming at longer, such as centennial or millennial time scales, may perform well without resolving such processes, in particular when being used for studying responses on much broader basin-wide spatial scales. In this case, local processes can also be skipped by the concept of the hosing experiments prescribing the freshening to the entire subpolar region. We suspect the model mean state and internal variability to dominate sensitivity and response in this case, and local mixing processes become less important. Also for the results presented here, we cannot rule out an influence by the mean state of the model configuration, i.e. the initialization and the spinup length. A priori this will have a greater impact the smaller the
- 710 freshwater perturbation, which in our case is only 0.05 Sv. For such moderate perturbation Martin et al. (2022) already noted a significant influence by internal variability of the climate system. Recent increases in freshwater flux off Greenland are even five times smaller.

Some of this may sound trivial but it cannot be overly emphasized that the subpolar North Atlantic is a highly complex ocean region and different models (or model configurations) may yield different responses to the same freshwater pertur-

- 715 bation for different reasons. We conclude, that to seriously project the impact of enhanced Greenland runoff over the next decades a high-resolution, near Rossby radius resolving but at least 1/20° model is required. This is to resolve eddy processes important for restratification in the Labrador and Irminger Seas as well as dynamic processes at the Northwest Corner. Alternatively, scale-aware parameterizations for such processes need to be applied to and further developed for eddying but not-quite Rossby radius resolving ocean models. In this we agree with the assessment of Hewitt et al. (2020)other recent assessments
- 720 (Hewitt et al., 2020; Swingedouw et al., 2022). For projections beyond 5–10 years into the perturbed state we see a necessity to include full ocean-atmosphere interaction to account for the compensating temperature feedback of a weakening AMOC. Ocean historical hindcast simulations being forced with atmosphere reanalysis include this feedback to some extent though as long as the reanalysis considered observed SST and realistic, time-varying estimates of freshwater input from Greenland are applied as boundary condition the degree that the observed atmosphere adjusted to a real ocean cooling.
- 725 Despite its rapid increase over the past two decades, Greenland meltwater runoff and solid ice discharge still are relatively minor player players in the freshwater budget of the subpolar North Atlantic being influenced by Arctic Ocean export, salinity variations in the Gulf Stream, precipitation and sea-ice melt. The AMOC will weaken under global warming—even without ice-sheet melt (Weijer et al., 2020). A weaker AMOC is likely less sensitive to Greenland runoff (Swingedouw et al., 2015). Climate model experiments disagree on the potential impact of Greenland meltwater among all other consequences of global
- 730 warming (e.g. Swingedouw et al., 2006; Mikolajewicz et al., 2007) <u>And ocean models present with diverse sensitivity to</u> freshwater added from Greenland as discussed in the present study. but typically result in a weaker AMOC response than forced ocean models (Martin et al., 2022; Swingedouw et al., 2022). However, such coupled simulations should not be dismissed per se in favor of very high resolution ocean-only configurations as recently conveyed by Swingedouw et al. (2022). Our results emphasize that large-scale atmosphere-ocean feedback and local winds are as important as simulating a strongly eddying ocean.
- 735 Therefore, it remains a major challenge to answer the question whether Greenland meltwater can and when it will potentially tip the scale on deep convection.

Code and data availability. FOCI1 is composed of several components, which prohibit distributing the full source code due to licensing issues; ECHAM6.3 is provided by the MPI-M (https://mpimet.mpg.de/en/science/models/mpi-esm/echam); NEMO3.6 (rev. 6721) is available at https://forge.ipsl.jussieu.fr/nemo/svn/NEMO/releases/release-3.6/NEMOGCM; FOCI-specific code changes and runtime en-

vironment are provided at http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3568061 (see Matthes et al., 2020). Model output from all experiments and the Jupyter notebooks required to reproduce the analysis and figures are available through GEOMAR at https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12085/263da22c-247f-4cd1-8080-b221e3f0e2c0



Figure A1. Difference in monthly mean AMOC strength (blue) between the perturbation experiment and reference run of all four model configurations. Gray shading of the background marks the respective averaging periods for computing the response to the freshwater perturbation, years $\frac{151-200}{51-100}$ for the coupled and 43–62 for the forced experiments. The orange lines depicts the running mean based on a boxcar window anchored at the cumulative sum computed end of the time series and expanding backwards in timestarting with the last month of each simulation. Black dashed and dotted lines indicate the overall mean for the response period (gray shading) and for the entire time series, respectively. The white yellow dashed line in the upper panels depicts the mean of model years $\frac{143-16243-62}{143-16243-62}$, which corresponds to the 20-year response period of the forced experiments (cf. lower panels).

Appendix A: Selecting a reference period

Internal variability of the climate system has the potential to mask the response of the ocean to a moderate freshwater perturbation of 0.05 Sv as demonstrated by Martin et al. (2022). For the present study we have carefully chosen the time periods for computing the reference mean state and the perturbed state. We deliberately chose different approaches and periods for the coupled and forced experiments as summarized at the beginning of Section 3. Here, we present the response in AMOC strength (Fig. A1) and in SST (Fig. A2) to support our approach.

Figure A1 offers a very clear impression of the internal variability simulated by the four model configurations. While 750 the difference in AMOC strength between the perturbation experiment and the reference run is dominated by the weakening trend of the AMOC in the forced experiment, monthly and inter-annual variability is significantly larger in the forcednested configuration. Since atmospheric variability variability is prescribed in these simulations, we can attribute the larger variability to the explicit simulation of mesoscale eddies. Despite this enhanced variability The eddy paramterization by Gent and McWilliams (1990) adds isopycnal mixing to non-eddying simulations, which otherwise would lack the conversion of

potential to kinetic energy from local baroclinic instability, but misses additional sub-grid scale effects and kinetic backscatter, 755 and hence rather acts to smooth variability Zanna et al. (e.g. 2017); Hewitt et al. (e.g. 2020). Despite the enhanced variability in the eddying simulation, we can still see the multi-decadal decline at the beginning of the experiment and that the trend in the two forced experiments is similar. In contrast, internal variability on monthly to decadal time scales dominates the time series of the two coupled configurations (Fig. A1 upper panels) and the decline at the beginning is difficult to identify. The former is 760 also indicated by the standard deviations given in Table 2.

This behavior of internal variability motivated our decision to compute the response to the freshwater perturbation in two different ways for the coupled and forced experiments: By construction the forced experiments experience the same temporal evolution of atmosphere driven variability and we can directly subtract the results of the perturbed experiment from the reference run for each time slice. The white noise added by the freely running atmosphere in the coupled experiments requires a statistical approach and we compute mean state and response over longer time periods (cf. 2Fig. 2). 765

In the forced experiments we can identify the AMOC strength to reach a seemingly stabel state stable state of difference from the reference run for the last 20 years. This is supported by computing a running mean of the AMOC response based on the cumulative sum expanding backwards in time from the last instance strength difference between perturbed and reference run using a boxcar window always anchored at the end of the time series and expanding backwards in time. This mean stays stable until approximately year 40 (orange line in Fig. A1). Similarly, we find a relatively stable state of difference for the

- 770 last 30+ years for the coupled experiments. As noted by Martin et al. (2022), the AMOC decline in the coupled experiments is difficult to separate separate from internal decadal variability but the adjustment period is likely shorter than in the forced experiments due to the overall weaker response. Therefore, we simply use the second half of these experiments to improve reduce noise from internal variability for improved statistics.
- 775 The AMOC strength is an integrative quantity and internal variability is typically even larger at the surface. We thus also present the influence of the averaging periods on the SST response in Figure A2. Here, we distinguish the average over the entire simulation length (long-term mean) and averages over 20 and 50 years, i.e. over model years 43–62 (forced and coupled runs) and $\frac{151-200}{51-100}$ (coupled runs only) as depicted in Figure 2. Firstly, we note that the years 42–63 are slightly warmer compared to the respective long-term mean in the forced configurations. Secondly, 20 and 50-year reference periods 780 differ in their spatial distribution of warm and cold anomalies with respect to the long-term mean in the SPNA of the coupled

configurations. We consider these variations, which are mostly $<0.2^{\circ}$ C and nowhere exceed 0.6° C, as uncertainty related to internal variability, i.e. the selection of the reference period. An exception is the Nordic Seas where sea ice retreat drives larger warming in the forced experiments.

The four lower rows in Figure A2 show differences in SST between freshwater perturbation experiments and the reference 785 runs. Using the forced experiments as an example, we see that the response in SST is biased low when using the long-term mean in both, reference and perturbation run (EXPltm - REFltm). In contrast, warming in the Nordic Seas (is overestimated and cooling in the SPNA) is overestimated (underestimated) underestimated when comparing the last 20 years of the perturbation experiment with the long-term mean of the reference run (EXP20y - REFltm)). Hence, the response to the freshwater perturbation is best isolated when subtract subtracting the average of the exact same time period (EXP20y - REF20y)—at

- 790 least in experiments where atmospheric forcing is prescribed. Since atmospheric variability cannot be controlled in coupled simulations and thus varies in each run, the optimal approach is to average over longer time periods to reduce the impact of internal variability but consider an adjustment period. The latter is typically shorter for surface properties than the deep ocean and for regions closer to the perturbation site, such as the SPNA in the present study , than more distant ones as shown in Martin et al. (2022)(see Martin et al., 2022).
- 795 *Author contributions*. TM and AB together conceived the idea for the present study and wrote the text. TM conducted all of the numerical experiments and carried out the analysis including production of the figures.

Competing interests. There are no competing interests.

800

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Franziska Schwarzkopf, Jan Harlaß, Sebastian Wahl and the FOCI development team for their invaluable support in setting up the model simulations. We thank two anonymous reviewers for their detailed and helpful comments improving the final manuscript. This study was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) as a Research for Sustainability initiative (FONA) through the project PalMod: From the Last Interglacial to the Anthropocene – Modeling a Complete Glacial Cycle; WP1.2.2: Scale Interactions: Analysis of ocean dynamics (FKZ: 01LP1503D). The work was supported by the North-German Supercomputing Alliance (HLRN) providing computational resources and technical support.

References

820

- 805 Aschwanden, A., Fahnestock, M. A., Truffer, M., Brinkerhoff, D. J., Hock, R., Khroulev, C., Mottram, R., and Khan, S. A.: Contribution of the Greenland Ice Sheet to sea level over the next millennium, Science Advances, 5, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav9396, 2019.
- Bakker, P., Schmittner, A., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Abe-Ouchi, A., Bi, D., van den Broeke, M. R., Chan, W.-L., Hu, A., Beadling, R. L., Marsland, S. J., Mernild, S. H., Saenko, O. A., Swingedouw, D., Sullivan, A., and Yin, J.: Fate of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation: Strong decline under continued warming and Greenland melting, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 12,252–12,260, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016g1070457, 2016.
- Bamber, J. L., Tedstone, A. J., King, M. D., Howat, I. M., Enderlin, E. M., van den Broeke, M. R., and Noel, B.: Land Ice Freshwater Budget of the Arctic and North Atlantic Oceans: 1. Data, Methods, and Results, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 123, 1827–1837, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017jc013605, 2018.
- Barletta, V. R., Sørensen, L. S., and Forsberg, R.: Scatter of mass changes estimates at basin scale for Greenland and Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 7, 1411–1432, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1411-2013, 2013.
 - Behrens, E., Biastoch, A., and Böning, C. W.: Spurious AMOC trends in global ocean sea-ice models related to subarctic freshwater forcing, Ocean Modelling, 69, 39–49, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.05.004, 2013.
 - Biastoch, A., Schwarzkopf, F. U., Getzlaff, K., Rühs, S., Martin, T., Scheinert, M., Schulzki, T., Handmann, P., Hummels, R., and Böning, C. W.: Regional imprints of changes in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation in the eddy-rich ocean model VIKING20X, Ocean Science, 17, 1177–1211, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-17-1177-2021, 2021.
 - Böning, C. W., Behrens, E., Biastoch, A., Getzlaff, K., and Bamber, J. L.: Emerging impact of Greenland meltwater on deepwater formation in the North Atlantic Ocean, Nature Geoscience, 9, 523–527, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2740, 2016.
- Castelao, R. M., Luo, H., Oliver, H., Rennermalm, A. K., Tedesco, M., Bracco, A., Yager, P. L., Mote, T. L., and Medeiros, P. M.: Controls on the Transport of Meltwater From the Southern Greenland Ice Sheet in the Labrador Sea, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 3551–3560, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jc015159, 2019.
 - Chen, J. L., Wilson, C. R., and Tapley, B. D.: Satellite Gravity Measurements Confirm Accelerated Melting of Greenland Ice Sheet, Science, 313, 1958–1960, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1129007, 2006.
 - Danabasoglu, G., Yeager, S. G., Bailey, D., Behrens, E., Bentsen, M., Bi, D., Biastoch, A., Böning, C., Bozec, A., Canuto, V. M., Cassou, C., Chassignet, E., Coward, A. C., Danilov, S., Diansky, N., Drange, H., Farneti, R., Fernandez, E., Fogli, P. G., Forget, G., Fujii, Y., Griffies,
- S. M., Gusev, A., Heimbach, P., Howard, A., Jung, T., Kelley, M., Large, W. G., Leboissetier, A., Lu, J., Madec, G., Marsland, S. J., Masina, S., Navarra, A., Nurser, A. G., Pirani, A., y Mélia, D. S., Samuels, B. L., Scheinert, M., Sidorenko, D., Treguier, A.-M., Tsujino, H., Uotila, P., Valcke, S., Voldoire, A., and Wang, Q.: North Atlantic simulations in Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments phase II (CORE-II). Part I: Mean states, Ocean Modelling, 73, 76–107, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.10.005, 2014.
 - de Jong, M. F., Oltmanns, M., Karstensen, J., and de Steur, L.: Deep Convection in the Irminger Sea Observed with a Dense Mooring Array,
- 835 Oceanography, 31, 50–59, https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2018.109, 2018.
 - Debreu, L., Vouland, C., and Blayo, E.: AGRIF: Adaptive grid refinement in Fortran, Computers & Geosciences, 34, 8–13, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2007.01.009, 2008.
 - Drews, A., Greatbatch, R. J., Ding, H., Latif, M., and Park, W.: The use of a flow field correction technique for alleviating the North Atlantic cold bias with application to the Kiel Climate Model, Ocean Dynamics, 65, 1079–1093, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-015-0853-7, 2015.

- 840 Drijfhout, S., Bathiany, S., Beaulieu, C., Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Huntingford, C., Scheffer, M., Sgubin, G., and Swingedouw, D.: Catalogue of abrupt shifts in Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change climate models, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1511451112, 2015.
- Dukhovskoy, D. S., Myers, P. G., Platov, G., Timmermans, M.-L., Curry, B., Proshutinsky, A., Bamber, J. L., Chassignet, E., Hu, X., Lee, C. M., and Somavilla, R.: Greenland freshwater pathways in the sub-Arctic Seas from model experiments with passive tracers, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 121, 877–907, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015jc011290, 2016.
- Dukhovskoy, D. S., Yashayaev, I., Proshutinsky, A., Bamber, J. L., Bashmachnikov, I. L., Chassignet, E. P., Lee, C. M., and Tedstone, A. J.: Role of Greenland Freshwater Anomaly in the Recent Freshening of the Subpolar North Atlantic, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 3333–3360, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jc014686, 2019.
- Duyck, E., Gelderloos, R., and de Jong, M. F.: Wind-Driven Freshwater Export at Cape Farewell, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
 127, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jc018309, 2022.
 - Enderlin, E. M., Howat, I. M., Jeong, S., Noh, M.-J., van Angelen, J. H., and van den Broeke, M. R.: An improved mass budget for the Greenland ice sheet, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 866–872, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013gl059010, 2014.
 - Enderlin, E. M., Hamilton, G. S., Straneo, F., and Sutherland, D. A.: Iceberg meltwater fluxes dominate the freshwater budget in Greenland's iceberg-congested glacial fjords, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl070718, 2016.
- 855 Fichefet, T. and Maqueda, M. A. M.: Sensitivity of a global sea ice model to the treatment of ice thermodynamics and dynamics, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 102, 12 609–12 646, https://doi.org/10.1029/97jc00480, 1997.
 - Gelderloos, R., Katsman, C. A., and Drijfhout, S. S.: Assessing the Roles of Three Eddy Types in Restratifying the Labrador Sea after Deep Convection, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 41, 2102–2119, https://doi.org/10.1175/jpo-d-11-054.1, 2011.

Gent, P. R.: A commentary on the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation stability in climate models, Ocean Modelling, 122, 57–66,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.12.006, 2018.

- Gent, P. R. and McWilliams, J. C.: Isopycnal Mixing in Ocean Circulation Models, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 20, 150–155, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1990)020<0150:imiocm>2.0.co;2, 1990.
- Georgiou, S., van der Boog, C. G., Brüggemann, N., Ypma, S. L., Pietrzak, J. D., and Katsman, C. A.: On the interplay between downwelling, deep convection and mesoscale eddies in the Labrador Sea, Ocean Modelling, 135, 56–70, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.02.004, 2019.
- 865
 - Gerdes, R., Hurlin, W., and Griffies, S. M.: Sensitivity of a global ocean model to increased run-off from Greenland, Ocean Modelling, 12, 416–435, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2005.08.003, 2006.
 - Gillard, L. C., Pennelly, C., Johnson, H. L., and Myers, P. G.: The Effects of Atmospheric and Lateral Buoyancy Fluxes on Labrador Sea Mixed Layer Depth, Ocean Modelling, 171, 101 974, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2022.101974, 2022.
- Goelzer, H., Nowicki, S., Payne, A., Larour, E., Seroussi, H., Lipscomb, W. H., Gregory, J., Abe-Ouchi, A., Shepherd, A., Simon, E., Agosta, C., Alexander, P., Aschwanden, A., Barthel, A., Calov, R., Chambers, C., Choi, Y., Cuzzone, J., Dumas, C., Edwards, T., Felikson, D., Fettweis, X., Golledge, N. R., Greve, R., Humbert, A., Huybrechts, P., clec'h, S. L., Lee, V., Leguy, G., Little, C., Lowry, D. P., Morlighem, M., Nias, I., Quiquet, A., Rückamp, M., Schlegel, N.-J., Slater, D. A., Smith, R. S., Straneo, F., Tarasov, L., van de Wal, R., and van den Broeke, M.: The future sea-level contribution of the Greenland ice sheet: a multi-model ensemble study of ISMIP6, The Cryosphere, 14,
- 875 3071–3096, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-3071-2020, 2020.
 - Golledge, N. R., Keller, E. D., Gomez, N., Naughten, K. A., Bernales, J., Trusel, L. D., and Edwards, T. L.: Global environmental consequences of twenty-first-century ice-sheet melt, Nature, 566, 65–72, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0889-9, 2019.

- Griffies, S. M., Biastoch, A., Böning, C., Bryan, F., Danabasoglu, G., Chassignet, E. P., England, M. H., Gerdes, R., Haak, H., Hallberg, R. W., Hazeleger, W., Jungclaus, J., Large, W. G., Madec, G., Pirani, A., Samuels, B. L., Scheinert, M., Gupta, A. S., Severijns, C. A.,
- 880 Simmons, H. L., Treguier, A. M., Winton, M., Yeager, S., and Yin, J.: Coordinated Ocean-ice Reference Experiments (COREs), Ocean Modelling, 26, 1–46, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2008.08.007, 2009.
 - Haine, T. W., Curry, B., Gerdes, R., Hansen, E., Karcher, M., Lee, C., Rudels, B., Spreen, G., de Steur, L., Stewart, K. D., and Woodgate, R.: Arctic freshwater export: Status, mechanisms, and prospects, Global and Planetary Change, 125, 13–35, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2014.11.013, 2015.
- 885 Hallberg, R.: Using a resolution function to regulate parameterizations of oceanic mesoscale eddy effects, Ocean Modelling, 72, 92–103, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.08.007, 2013.
 - Hawkins, E., Smith, R. S., Allison, L. C., Gregory, J. M., Woollings, T. J., Pohlmann, H., and de Cuevas, B.: Bistability of the Atlantic overturning circulation in a global climate model and links to ocean freshwater transport, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, n/a–n/a, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011gl047208, 2011.
- 890 Hendry, K. R., Briggs, N., Henson, S., Opher, J., Brearley, J. A., Meredith, M. P., Leng, M. J., and Meire, L.: Tracing Glacial Meltwater From the Greenland Ice Sheet to the Ocean Using Gliders, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 126, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jc017274, 2021.
 - Hewitt, H. T., Roberts, M., Mathiot, P., Biastoch, A., Blockley, E., Chassignet, E. P., Fox-Kemper, B., Hyder, P., Marshall, D. P., Popova, E., Treguier, A.-M., Zanna, L., Yool, A., Yu, Y., Beadling, R., Bell, M., Kuhlbrodt, T., Arsouze, T., Bellucci, A., Castruccio, F., Gan, B.,
- 895 Putrasahan, D., Roberts, C. D., Roekel, L. V., and Zhang, Q.: Resolving and Parameterising the Ocean Mesoscale in Earth System Models, Current Climate Change Reports, 6, 137–152, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-020-00164-w, 2020.
 - Hirschi, J. J.-M., Barnier, B., Böning, C., Biastoch, A., Blaker, A. T., Coward, A., Danilov, S., Drijfhout, S., Getzlaff, K., Griffies, S. M., Hasumi, H., Hewitt, H., Iovino, D., Kawasaki, T., Kiss, A. E., Koldunov, N., Marzocchi, A., Mecking, J. V., Moat, B., Molines, J.-M., Myers, P. G., Penduff, T., Roberts, M., Treguier, A.-M., Sein, D. V., Sidorenko, D., Small, J., Spence, P., Thompson, L., Weijer, W., and
- 900 Xu, X.: The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation in High-Resolution Models, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019jc015522, 2020.
- Holliday, N. P., Bersch, M., Berx, B., Chafik, L., Cunningham, S., Florindo-López, C., Hátún, H., Johns, W., Josey, S. A., Larsen, K. M. H., Mulet, S., Oltmanns, M., Reverdin, G., Rossby, T., Thierry, V., Valdimarsson, H., and Yashayaev, I.: Ocean circulation causes the largest freshening event for 120 years in eastern subpolar North Atlantic, Nature Communications, 11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14474-y, 2020.
 - Hu, A., Meehl, G. A., Han, W., and Yin, J.: Effect of the potential melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet on the Meridional Overturning Circulation and global climate in the future, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 58, 1914–1926, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.069, 2011.
- Huhn, O., Rhein, M., Kanzow, T., Schaffer, J., and Sültenfuß, J.: Submarine Meltwater From Nioghalvfjerdsbræ (79 North Glacier), Northeast
 Greenland, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 126, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jc017224, 2021.
 - Jackson, L. C. and Wood, R. A.: Timescales of AMOC decline in response to fresh water forcing, Climate Dynamics, 51, 1333–1350, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-017-3957-6, 2018.
 - Jansen, M. F., Adcroft, A., Khani, S., and Kong, H.: Toward an Energetically Consistent, Resolution Aware Parameterization of Ocean Mesoscale Eddies, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 2844–2860, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019ms001750, 2019.

- 915 Jüling, A., Zhang, X., Castellana, D., von der Heydt, A. S., and Dijkstra, H. A.: The Atlantic's freshwater budget under climate change in the Community Earth System Model with strongly eddying oceans, Ocean Science, 17, 729–754, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-17-729-2021, 2021.
- Koenigk, T., Fuentes-Franco, R., Meccia, V. L., Gutjahr, O., Jackson, L. C., New, A. L., Ortega, P., Roberts, C. D., Roberts, M. J., Arsouze, T., Iovino, D., Moine, M.-P., and Sein, D. V.: Deep mixed ocean volume in the Labrador Sea in HighResMIP models, Climate Dynamics, 57, 1895–1918, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-05785-x, 2021.
 - Koul, V., Tesdal, J.-E., Bersch, M., Hátún, H., Brune, S., Borchert, L., Haak, H., Schrum, C., and Baehr, J.: Unraveling the choice of the north Atlantic subpolar gyre index, Scientific Reports, 10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57790-5, 2020.
 - Large, W. G. and Yeager, S. G.: The global climatology of an interannually varying air-sea flux data set, Climate Dynamics, 33, 341–364, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-008-0441-3, 2009.
- 925 Lazier, J. R. N.: Observations in the Northwest Corner of the North Atlantic Current, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 24, 1449–1463, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1994)024<1449:oitnco>2.0.co;2, 1994.
 - Lenton, T. M., Held, H., Kriegler, E., Hall, J. W., Lucht, W., Rahmstorf, S., and Schellnhuber, H. J.: Tipping elements in the Earth's climate system, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105, 1786–1793, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0705414105, 2008.

Lenton, T. M., Rockström, J., Gaffney, O., Rahmstorf, S., Richardson, K., Steffen, W., and Schellnhuber, H. J.: Climate tipping points - too

- 930 risky to bet against, Nature, 575, 592–595, https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-03595-0, 2019.
 - Levitus, S., Boyer, T. P., Conkright, M. E., Brien, T. O., Antonov, J., Stephens, C., Stathoplos, L., Johnson, D., and Gelfeld, R.: World Ocean Database 1998, Vol. 1, Introduction, NOAA Atlas NESDIS 18, Tech. rep., U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1998.
 - Love, R., Andres, H. J., Condron, A., and Tarasov, L.: Freshwater routing in eddy-permitting simulations of the last deglacial: the impact of realistic freshwater discharge, Climate of the Past, 17, 2327–2341, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17-2327-2021, 2021.
- 935 Lozier, M. S., Li, F., Bacon, S., Bahr, F., Bower, A. S., Cunningham, S. A., de Jong, M. F., de Steur, L., deYoung, B., Fischer, J., Gary, S. F., Greenan, B. J. W., Holliday, N. P., Houk, A., Houpert, L., Inall, M. E., Johns, W. E., Johnson, H. L., Johnson, C., Karstensen, J., Koman, G., Bras, I. A. L., Lin, X., Mackay, N., Marshall, D. P., Mercier, H., Oltmanns, M., Pickart, R. S., Ramsey, A. L., Rayner, D., Straneo, F., Thierry, V., Torres, D. J., Williams, R. G., Wilson, C., Yang, J., Yashayaev, I., and Zhao, J.: A sea change in our view of overturning in the subpolar North Atlantic, Science, 363, 516–521, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau6592, 2019.
- 940 Luo, H., Castelao, R. M., Rennermalm, A. K., Tedesco, M., Bracco, A., Yager, P. L., and Mote, T. L.: Oceanic transport of surface meltwater from the southern Greenland ice sheet, Nature Geoscience, 9, 528–532, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2708, 2016.

Madec, G.: NEMO ocean engine, Note du Pôle modélisation de l'Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace No 27, p. 406, 2016.

- Marson, J. M., Gillard, L. C., and Myers, P. G.: Distinct Ocean Responses to Greenland's Liquid Runoff and Iceberg Melt, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 126, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jc017542, 2021.
- 945 Martin, T., Biastoch, A., Lohmann, G., Mikolajewicz, U., and Wang, X.: On Timescales and Reversibility of the Ocean's Response to Enhanced Greenland Ice Sheet Melting in Comprehensive Climate Models, Geophysical Research Letters, 49, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021gl097114, 2022.
 - Marzocchi, A., Hirschi, J. J.-M., Holliday, N. P., Cunningham, S. A., Blaker, A. T., and Coward, A. C.: The North Atlantic subpolar circulation in an eddy-resolving global ocean model, Journal of Marine Systems, 142, 126–143, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.10.007, 2015.
- 950 Matthes, K., Biastoch, A., Wahl, S., Harlaß, J., Martin, T., Brücher, T., Drews, A., Ehlert, D., Getzlaff, K., Krüger, F., Rath, W., Scheinert, M., Schwarzkopf, F. U., Bayr, T., Schmidt, H., and Park, W.: The Flexible Ocean and Climate Infrastructure version 1 (FOCI1): mean state and variability, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 2533–2568, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-2533-2020, 2020.

- McCarthy, G., Smeed, D., Johns, W., Frajka-Williams, E., Moat, B., Rayner, D., Baringer, M., Meinen, C., Collins, J., and Bryden, H.: Measuring the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation at 26° N, Progress in Oceanography, 130, 91–111, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.10.006, 2015.
- Mikolajewicz, U., Vizcaíno, M., Jungclaus, J., and Schurgers, G.: Effect of ice sheet interactions in anthropogenic climate change simulations, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007gl031173, 2007.
- Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., Bjørk, A. A., van den Broeke, M., Millan, R., Morlighem, M., Noël, B., Scheuchl, B., and Wood, M.: Forty-six years of Greenland Ice Sheet mass balance from 1972 to 2018, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 9239–9244,
- 960 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904242116, 2019.

955

- Müller, W. A., Jungclaus, J. H., Mauritsen, T., Baehr, J., Bittner, M., Budich, R., Bunzel, F., Esch, M., Ghosh, R., Haak, H., Ilyina, T., Kleine, T., Kornblueh, L., Li, H., Modali, K., Notz, D., Pohlmann, H., Roeckner, E., Stemmler, I., Tian, F., and Marotzke, J.: A Higherresolution Version of the Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM1.2-HR), Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 10, 1383–1413, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017ms001217, 2018.
- 965 New, A. L., Smeed, D. A., Czaja, A., Blaker, A. T., Mecking, J. V., Mathews, J. P., and Sanchez-Franks, A.: Labrador Slope Water connects the subarctic with the Gulf Stream, Environmental Research Letters, 16, 084 019, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac1293, 2021.
 - Park, T., Park, W., and Latif, M.: Correcting North Atlantic sea surface salinity biases in the Kiel Climate Model: influences on ocean circulation and Atlantic Multidecadal Variability, Climate Dynamics, 47, 2543–2560, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-2982-1, 2016.
 Pennelly, C. and Myers, P. G.: Tracking Irminger Rings' properties using a sub-mesoscale ocean model, Progress in Oceanography, 201,

970 102 735, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2021.102735, 2022.

- Rahmstorf, S. and Willebrand, J.: The Role of Temperature Feedback in Stabilizing the Thermohaline Circulation, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 25, 787–805, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1995)025<0787:trotfi>2.0.co;2, 1995.
- Rahmstorf, S., Crucifix, M., Ganopolski, A., Goosse, H., Kamenkovich, I., Knutti, R., Lohmann, G., Marsh, R., Mysak, L. A., Wang, Z., and Weaver, A. J.: Thermohaline circulation hysteresis: A model intercomparison, Geophysical Research Letters, 32,
- 975 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005gl023655, 2005.
 - Rahmstorf, S., Box, J. E., Feulner, G., Mann, M. E., Robinson, A., Rutherford, S., and Schaffernicht, E. J.: Exceptional twentieth-century slowdown in Atlantic Ocean overturning circulation, Nature Climate Change, 5, 475–480, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2554, 2015.
 - Rayner, N. A.: Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002jd002670, 2003.
- 980 Reick, C. H., Raddatz, T., Brovkin, V., and Gayler, V.: Representation of natural and anthropogenic land cover change in MPI-ESM, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 5, 459–482, https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20022, 2013.
 - Rhein, M., Steinfeldt, R., Huhn, O., Sültenfuß, J., and Breckenfelder, T.: Greenland Submarine Melt Water Observed in the Labrador and Irminger Sea, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gl079110, 2018.
- Rieck, J. K., Böning, C. W., and Getzlaff, K.: The Nature of Eddy Kinetic Energy in the Labrador Sea: Different Types of
 Mesoscale Eddies, Their Temporal Variability, and Impact on Deep Convection, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 49, 2075–2094, https://doi.org/10.1175/jpo-d-18-0243.1, 2019.
 - Rühs, S., Oliver, E. C. J., Biastoch, A., Böning, C. W., Dowd, M., Getzlaff, K., Martin, T., and Myers, P. G.: Changing Spatial Patterns of Deep Convection in the Subpolar North Atlantic, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 126, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021jc017245, 2021.

- 990 Schulze Chretien, L. M. and Frajka-Williams, E.: Wind-driven transport of fresh shelf water into the upper 30 m of the Labrador Sea, Ocean Science, 14, 1247–1264, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-14-1247-2018, 2018.
 - Smeed, D. A., Josey, S. A., Beaulieu, C., Johns, W. E., Moat, B. I., Frajka-Williams, E., Rayner, D., Meinen, C. S., Baringer, M. O., Bryden, H. L., and McCarthy, G. D.: The North Atlantic Ocean Is in a State of Reduced Overturning, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 1527–1533, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017g1076350, 2018.
- 995 Smith, R. D., Maltrud, M. E., Bryan, F. O., and Hecht, M. W.: Numerical Simulation of the North Atlantic Ocean at 1/10°, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 30, 1532–1561, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2000)030<1532:nsotna>2.0.co;2, 2000.
 - Stammer, D., Agarwal, N., Herrmann, P., Köhl, A., and Mechoso, C. R.: Response of a Coupled Ocean–Atmosphere Model to Greenland Ice Melting, Surveys in Geophysics, 32, 621–642, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10712-011-9142-2, 2011.
 - Steele, M., Morley, R., and Ermold, W.: PHC: A Global Ocean Hydrography with a High-Quality Arctic Ocean, Journal of Climate, 14, 2079–2087, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<2079:pagohw>2.0.co;2, 2001.
 - Steur, L., Peralta-Ferriz, C., and Pavlova, O.: Freshwater Export in the East Greenland Current Freshens the North Atlantic, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018gl080207, 2018.
 - Stevens, B., Giorgetta, M., Esch, M., Mauritsen, T., Crueger, T., Rast, S., Salzmann, M., Schmidt, H., Bader, J., Block, K., Brokopf, R., Fast, I., Kinne, S., Kornblueh, L., Lohmann, U., Pincus, R., Reichler, T., and Roeckner, E.: Atmospheric component of the MPI-M Earth
- 1005 System Model: ECHAM6, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 5, 146–172, https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20015, 2013.
 Stouffer, R. J., Yin, J., Gregory, J. M., Dixon, K. W., Spelman, M. J., Hurlin, W., Weaver, A. J., Eby, M., Flato, G. M., Hasumi, H., Hu, A.,
 Jungclaus, J. H., Kamenkovich, I. V., Levermann, A., Montoya, M., Murakami, S., Nawrath, S., Oka, A., Peltier, W. R., Robitaille, D. Y.,
 Sokolov, A., Vettoretti, G., and Weber, S. L.: Investigating the Causes of the Response of the Thermohaline Circulation to Past and Future
 Climate Changes, Journal of Climate, 19, 1365–1387, https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli3689.1, 2006.
- 1010 Straneo, F. and Cenedese, C.: The Dynamics of Greenland's Glacial Fjords and Their Role in Climate, Annual Review of Marine Science, 7, 89–112, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135133, 2015.
 - Straneo, F., , Hamilton, G., Stearns, L., and Sutherland, D.: Connecting the Greenland Ice Sheet and the Ocean: A Case Study of Helheim Glacier and Sermilik Fjord, Oceanography, 29, 34–45, https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2016.97, 2016.
- Swingedouw, D., Braconnot, P., and Marti, O.: Sensitivity of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation to the melting from northern glaciers in climate change experiments, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gl025765, 2006.
 - Swingedouw, D., Rodehacke, C. B., Behrens, E., Menary, M., Olsen, S. M., Gao, Y., Mikolajewicz, U., Mignot, J., and Biastoch, A.: Decadal fingerprints of freshwater discharge around Greenland in a multi-model ensemble, Climate Dynamics, 41, 695–720, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-012-1479-9, 2013.
 - Swingedouw, D., Rodehacke, C. B., Olsen, S. M., Menary, M., Gao, Y., Mikolajewicz, U., and Mignot, J.: On the reduced sensitivity of
- 1020 the Atlantic overturning to Greenland ice sheet melting in projections: a multi-model assessment, Climate Dynamics, 44, 3261–3279, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2270-x, 2015.
 - Swingedouw, D., Houssais, M.-N., Herbaut, C., Blaizot, A.-C., Devilliers, M., and Deshayes, J.: AMOC Recent and Future Trends: A Crucial Role for Oceanic Resolution and Greenland Melting?, Frontiers in Climate, 4, https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2022.838310, 2022.
 - Tagklis, F., Bracco, A., Ito, T., and Castelao, R. M.: Submesoscale modulation of deep water formation in the Labrador Sea, Scientific
- 1025 Reports, 10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74345-w, 2020.

1000

- Talandier, C., Deshaves, J., Treguier, A.-M., Capet, X., Benshila, R., Debreu, L., Dussin, R., Molines, J.-M., and Madec, G.: Improvements of simulated Western North Atlantic current system and impacts on the AMOC, Ocean Modelling, 76, 1-19, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.12.007, 2014.
- The IMBIE Team: Mass balance of the Greenland Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2018, Nature, 579, 233-239, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1030 1855-2, 2020.
 - Treguier, A. M., Theetten, S., Chassignet, E. P., Penduff, T., Smith, R., Talley, L., Beismann, J. O., and Böning, C.: The North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre in Four High-Resolution Models, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 35, 757-774, https://doi.org/10.1175/jpo2720.1, 2005.

- Weijer, W., Maltrud, M. E., Hecht, M. W., Dijkstra, H. A., and Kliphuis, M. A.: Response of the Atlantic Ocean circulation to Greenland Ice Sheet melting in a strongly-eddying ocean model, Geophysical Research Letters10.1029/2007GL031173, 39, n/a-n/a, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012gl051611, 2012.
- Weijer, W., Cheng, W., Garuba, O. A., Hu, A., and Nadiga, B. T.: CMIP6 Models Predict Significant 21st Century Decline of the Atlantic
- 1040 Meridional Overturning Circulation, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl086075, 2020.
 - Winton, M., Anderson, W. G., Delworth, T. L., Griffies, S. M., Hurlin, W. J., and Rosati, A.: Has coarse ocean resolution biased simulations of transient climate sensitivity?, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 8522–8529, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014g1061523, 2014.
 - Yeager, S., Castruccio, F., Chang, P., Danabasoglu, G., Maroon, E., Small, J., Wang, H., Wu, L., and Zhang, S.: An outsized role for the Labrador Sea in the multidecadal variability of the Atlantic overturning circulation, Science Advances, 7, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abh3592, 2021.
- 1045
 - Zanna, L., Mana, P. P., Anstey, J., David, T., and Bolton, T.: Scale-aware deterministic and stochastic parametrizations of eddy-mean flow interaction, Ocean Modelling, 111, 66-80, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2017.01.004, 2017.
 - Zantopp, R., Fischer, J., Visbeck, M., and Karstensen, J.: From interannual to decadal: 17 years of boundary current transports at the exit of the Labrador Sea, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 122, 1724–1748, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016jc012271, 2017.
- 1050 Zunino, P., Mercier, H., and Thierry, V.: Why did deep convection persist over four consecutive winters (2015-2018) southeast of Cape Farewell?, Ocean Science, 16, 99-113, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-16-99-2020, 2020.

Valcke, S.: The OASIS3 coupler: a European climate modelling community software, Geoscientific Model Development, 6, 373-388, 1035 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-373-2013, 2013.



Figure A2. Reference mean state of sea surface temperature (SST) from all four model configurations (top row) and deviations thereof depending on either time period or freshwater perturbation or both. The labelling on the left reads as follow: REF refers to the unperturbed reference experiments, EXP to the freshwater perturbation experiments; temporal averaging is applied to either the entire run yielding a long-term mean (ltm, 100 years for the two coupled, 62 years for the two forced configurations), or to the years 43–62 (20y) and $\frac{151-200}{51-100}$ (50y, coupled only) after onset of the perturbation.