1 **Stable isotopic evidence for the excess leaching of unprocessed atmospheric**

2 **nitrate from forested catchments under high nitrogen saturation**

Weitian Ding[1], Urumu Tsunogai[1], Fumiko Nakagawa[1], Takashi Sambuichi[1], Masaaki

Chiwa[2], Tamao Kasahara[3], Ken'ichi Shinozuka[4]

[1]Graduate School of Environmental Studies, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku,

Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

[2]Kyushu University Forest, Kyushu University

[3]Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University

[4]River Basin Research Center, Gifu University, 1-1 Yanagido, Gifu, 501-1193, Japan

Corresponding author: Weitian Ding

Email: ding.weitian.v2@s.mail.nagoya-u.ac.jp

**Abstract**

Owing to the elevated loading of nitrogen through atmospheric deposition, some forested ecosystems become nitrogen saturated, from which elevated levels of nitrate are exported. The average concentration of stream nitrate eluted from upstream and downstream of the Kasuya Research ~~FK~~ forested catchments (FK1 and FK2 catchments) in Japan were~~as~~ more than 90 μM, implying that these forested catchments were under nitrogen saturation. To verify that these forested catchments were under the nitrogen saturation, we determined the export flux of unprocessed atmospheric nitrate relative to the entire deposition flux ($M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio) in these catchments, because the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio has recently been proposed as a reliable index to evaluate nitrogen saturation in forested catchments. Specifically, we determined the temporal variation in the concentrations and stable isotopic compositions, including $\Delta^{17}O$, of stream nitrate in the FK catchments for more than 2 years. In addition, for comparison, the same parameters were also monitored in the Shiiba Research ~~MY~~ forested catchment (MY catchment) in Japan during the same period, where the average stream nitrate concentration was low, less than 10 μM. While showing the average nitrate concentrations of 109.5, 90.9~~94.2~~, and 7.1 μM in FK1, FK2, and MY, respectively, the catchments showed average $\Delta^{17}O$ values of +2.6, +1.5~~7~~, and +0.6 ‰ in FK1, FK2, and MY, respectively. Thus, the average concentration of unprocessed atmospheric nitrate ($[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]$) was estimated to be 10.8, 5.1~~6.1~~, and 0.2 μM in FK1, FK2, and MY, respectively, and the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio was estimated to be 14.1~~13.9~~, 6.6~~7.9~~, and 1.3~~2~~ %

in FK1, FK2, and MY, respectively. The estimated $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio in FK1 (14.1~~13.9~~ %) was the highest ever reported from temperate forested catchments monitored for more than 1 year. Thus, we concluded that nitrogen saturation was responsible for the enrichment of stream nitrate in the FK catchments, together with the elevated $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ leaching from the catchments. While the stream nitrate concentration ($[NO_3^-]$) can be affected by the amount of precipitation, the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio is independent of the amount of precipitation; thus, the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio can be used as a robust index for evaluating nitrogen saturation in forested catchments.

**1 Introduction**

Nitrate is important as a nitrogenous nutrient in the biosphere. Traditionally, forested ecosystems have been considered as nitrogen limited (Vitousek and Howarth, 1991). However, owing to the elevated loading of nitrogen through atmospheric deposition, some forested ecosystems become nitrogen saturated (Aber et al., 1989), from which elevated levels of nitrate are exported (Mitchell et al., 1997; Peterjohn et al., 1996). Such excessive leaching of nitrate from forested catchments degrades water quality and causes eutrophication in downstream areas (Galloway et al., 2003; Paerl and Huisman, 2009). Thus, evaluating the stage of nitrogen saturation in each forested catchment including its temporal variation, is critical for sustainable forest management, especially for forested ecosystems under high nitrogen deposition.

Both concentration and seasonal variation of stream nitrate have been used as indexes

to evaluate the nitrogen saturation of each forested catchment in past studies (Aber, 1992; Rose et al., 2015; Stoddard, 1994). A forested stream eluted from Fernow Experimental Forest USA, for instance, showed an elevated average nitrate concentration of 60 μM, along with the absence of a seasonal variation in the stream nitrate concentration, so the forest was classified into stage 3, the highest stage of nitrogen saturation (Rose et al., 2015).

However, using both the concentration level (high or low) and seasonal variation (clear or absent) of stream nitrate as indexes to evaluate nitrogen saturation has limitations, including the following (1) seasonal variation of soil~~stream~~ nitrate can be buffered by groundwater with~~in forests~~ long residence time ~~under humid, temperate climates such as Japan, so the~~, so that the seasonal variation ~~in stream nitrate concentrations~~ is unclear in stream nitrate concentration in Japan, even in normal forests under the nitrogen saturation stage of 0 (Mitchell et al., 1997); and (2) the stream nitrate concentration can be enriched or diluted depending on the volume of rainfall, so the concentration level can be high in low precipitation area irrespective of the stage of nitrogen saturation.

Nakagawa et al. (2018) lately proposed that the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio, the export flux of unprocessed atmospheric nitrate ($M_{atm}$) relative to the deposition flux of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ ($D_{atm}$), can be an alternative, more robust index for evaluating nitrogen saturation in each forested catchment, because the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio directly reflects the demand for atmospheric nitrate deposited onto each forested catchments as a whole, and thus reflect

66     the nitrogen saturation in each forested catchment. That is, we can expect high

67     $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratios in forested catchments under nitrogen saturation and low $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$

68     ratios in forested catchments with nitrogen deficiency.

69         To estimate the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio accurately and precisely in each forested catchment,

70     the fraction of unprocessed atmospheric nitrate ($NO_3^-{}_{atm}$) in the stream needs to be

71     estimated accurately and precisely. ~~In recent, t~~Triple oxygen isotopic compositions of

72     nitrate ($\Delta^{17}O$) have recently been used as a conservative tracer of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ deposited

73     onto each forested catchment (Inoue et al., 2021; Michalski et al., 2004; Nakagawa et

74     al., 2018; Tsunogai et al., 2014; Ding et al., 2022), showing distinctively different $\Delta^{17}O$

75     from that of remineralized nitrate ($NO_3^-{}_{re}$), derived from organic nitrogen through

76     general chemical reactions, including microbial N mineralization and microbial

77     nitrification. While $NO_3^-{}_{re}$, the oxygen atoms of which are derived from either

78     terrestrial $O_2$ or $H_2O$ through microbial processing (i.e., nitrification), always shows the

79     relation close to the "mass-dependent" relative relation between $^{17}O/^{16}O$ ratios and

80     $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratios; $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ displays an anomalous enrichment in $^{17}O$ reflecting

81     oxygen atom transfers from atmospheric ozone ($O_3$) during the conversion of $NO_X$ to

82     $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ (Alexander et al., 2009; Michalski et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2011; Nelson et

83     al., 2018). As a result, the $\Delta^{17}O$ signature defined by the following equation (Kaiser et

84     al., 2007) enables us to distinguish $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ ($\Delta^{17}O > 0$) from $NO_3^-{}_{re}$ ($\Delta^{17}O = 0$):

85     $$\Delta^{17}O = \frac{1+\delta^{17}O}{(1+\delta^{18}O)^{\beta}} - 1 \tag{1}$$

86     where the constant $\beta$ is 0.5279 (Kaiser et al., 2007), $\delta^{18}O = R_{sample}/R_{standard} - 1$ and $R$ is

87    the $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratio (or the $^{17}O/^{16}O$ ratio in the case of $\delta^{17}O$ or the $^{15}N/^{14}N$ ratio in the case

88    of $\delta^{15}N$) of the sample and each standard reference material. In addition, $\Delta^{17}O$ is almost

89    stable during "mass-dependent" isotope fractionation processes within terrestrial

90    ecosystems. Therefore, while the $\delta^{15}N$ or $\delta^{18}O$ signature of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ can be overprinted

91    by the biological processes subsequent to deposition, $\Delta^{17}O$ can be used as a robust tracer

92    of unprocessed $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ to reflect its accurate mole fraction within total $NO_3^-$,

93    regardless of the progress of the partial metabolism (partial removal of nitrate through

94    denitrification and assimilation) subsequent to deposition (Michalski et al., 2004;

95    Nakagawa et al., 2013, 2018; Tsunogai et al., 2011, 2014, 2018).

96        Past studies reported that the maximum concentration of stream nitrate was 58.4 µM

97    in the KJ forested catchment in Japan, with the maximum value of the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio

98    was 9.4 % (Nakagawa et al., 2018; Sase et al., 2022). Whether the index of the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$

99    ratio can be applied to forested catchments, where the leaching of stream nitrate is much

100   higher than the KJ forested catchment, remained unclarified. Besides, the advantages

101   of the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio within the past indexes of nitrogen saturation have not been

102   discussed.

103   ~~In recent,~~ Chiwa (2021) has recently reported the enrichment of nitrate of more than

104   90 µM on the annual average in forested streams eluted from the FK catchments (FK1

105   and FK2) in Kasuya Research Forest, Kyushu University, Japan (Figs. 1a and 1b). The

106   observed enrichment of stream nitrate implied that these forested catchments were

107   under nitrogen saturation. Thus, in this study, we determined the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio in the

108  FK1 and FK2 forested catchments by monitoring both the concentration and $\Delta^{17}O$ of

109  stream nitrate for more than 2 years to verify that these forested catchments were under

110  nitrogen saturation. For comparison, the MY forested catchment in Shiiba Research

111  Forest, Kyushu University, Japan (Figs. 1a and 1c), was also monitored during the same

112  period, where the average stream nitrate concentration was low (less than 10 μM).

113  Furthermore, the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratios in these forested catchments were compared with

114  those reported in past studies to verify the reliability of the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio as an index

115  of nitrogen saturation.

116

117  **2 Methods**

118  2.1 Study sites

119  The FK forested catchments (33°38′N, 130°31′E) are located in a suburban area,

120  about 15 km west of the Fukuoka metropolitan area (the fourth largest metropolitan

121  area in Japan). The main plantation in these catchments was Japanese cedar/cypress

122  (Table 1). The MY forested catchment (32°22′N, 131°09′E) is located in a rural area at

123  the village of Shiiba in southern Japan's Central Kyushu Mountain range. This

124  catchment is a mixed forest consisting of coniferous trees such as *Abies firma Sieb. et*

125  *Zucc.,* and *Tsuga sieboldii Carr.,* and deciduous broadleaved trees such as *Quercus*

126  *crispula Blume*, *Fagus crenata Blume*, and *Acer sieboldianum* Miq. ~~The annual average~~

127  ~~precipitation was 1769 mm and 3837 mm at FK and MY forested catchment,~~

128  ~~respectively, and the annual average temperature was 15.9 °C and 10.8 °C at FK and~~

129  ~~MY forested catchment, respectively.~~ Details on the studied forested catchments have

130  been described in the past studies (Chiwa, 2020, 2021).

131

132  2.2 Sampling

133  The stream water eluted from the FK1 (14 ha), FK2 (62 ha), and MY (43 ha)

134  forested catchments were collected about once every month in principle from 2019/11

135  to 2021/12 (Fig. 1). At the FK catchments, stream water was collected at upstream

136  (~~FK1~~station A) and downstream (~~FK2~~station B) locations (Fig. 1b). At the MY

137  catchment, stream water was collected at station C (Fig. 1c). Samples of stream water

138  to determine the concentration and stable isotopic compositions ($\delta^{15}$N, $\delta^{18}$O, and $\Delta^{17}$O)

139  of stream nitrate were collected manually in bottles washed with deionized water before

140  sampling and then rinsed at least twice with the sample before sampling at each

141  sampling site.

142

143  2.3 Analysis

144  All the stream water samples were passed through a membrane filter (pore size 0.45

145  μm) within two days after sampling and stored in a refrigerator (4 °C) until

146  analysis. The concentrations of nitrate were measured by ion chromatography

147  (Prominence HIC-SP, Shimadzu, Japan). To determine the stable isotopic compositions

148  of nitrate in the stream water samples, nitrate in each sample was chemically converted

149  to $N_2$O using a method originally developed to determine the $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N and $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O ratios

150    of seawater and freshwater nitrate (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005) that was later modified

151    (Konno et al., 2010; Tsunogai et al., 2011; Yamazaki et al., 2011). In brief, 11 mL of

152    each sample solution was pipetted into a vial with a septum cap. Then, 0.5 g of spongy

153    cadmium was added, followed by 150 μL of a 1 M $NaHCO_3$ solution. The sample was

154    then shaken for 18-24 h at a rate of 2 cycles $s^{-1}$. Then, the sample solution (10 mL) was

155    decanted into a different vial with a septum cap. After purging the solution using high-

156    purity helium, 0.4 mL of an azide–acetic acid buffer, which had also been purged using

157    high-purity helium, was added. After 45 min, the solution was alkalinized by adding

158    0.2 mL of 6 M NaOH. Then, the stable isotopic compositions ($\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, and $\Delta^{17}O$) of

159    the $N_2O$ in each vial were determined using the continuous-flow isotope ratio mass

160    spectrometry (CF-IRMS) system at Nagoya University. The analytical procedures

161    performed using the CF-IRMS system were the same as those detailed in previous

162    studies (Hirota et al., 2010; Komatsu et al., 2008a). The obtained values of $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$,

163    and $\Delta^{17}O$ for the $N_2O$ derived from the nitrate in each sample were compared with those

164    derived from our local laboratory nitrate standards to calibrate the values of the sample

165    nitrate to an international scale and to correct for both isotope fractionation during the

166    chemical conversion to $N_2O$ and the progress of oxygen isotope exchange between the

167    nitrate derived reaction intermediate and water (ca. 20 %). ~~The local laboratory nitrate~~

168    ~~standards used for the calibration had been calibrated using the internationally~~

169    ~~distributed isotope reference materials (USGS-34 and USGS-35).~~ In this study, we

170    adopted the internal standard method to calibrate the stable isotopic compositions of

171     sample nitrate ~~(Ding et al., 2022; Nakagawa et al., 2013, 2018; Tsunogai et al., 2014)~~.

172     Specifically, three kinds of the local laboratory nitrate standards were used in this study,

173     which were named to be GG01 ($\delta^{15}N = -3.07$ ‰, $\delta^{18}O = +1.10$ ‰, and $\Delta^{17}O = 0$ ‰),

174     HDLW02 ($\delta^{15}N = +8.94$ ‰, $\delta^{18}O = +24.07$ ‰), and NF ($\Delta^{17}O = +19.16$ ‰), which the

175     GG01 and the HDLW02 were used to determine the $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ of stream nitrate,

176     and the GG01 and the NF was used to determine the $\Delta^{17}O$ of stream nitrate. The GG01,

177     HDLW02, and NF had been calibrated using the internationally distributed isotope

178     reference materials (USGS 34 and USGS 35). The oxygen exchange rate between

179     nitrate and water during the chemical conversion was calculated through Eq. (2):

180     Oxygen exchange rate (%) = $\Delta^{17}O(N_2O)_{NF} / \Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)_{NF}$         (2)

181     where the $\Delta^{17}O(N_2O)_{NF}$ denote the $\Delta^{17}O$ value of $N_2O$ that convert from the NF

182     nitrate, the $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)_{NF}$ denote the $\Delta^{17}O$ value of NF nitrate ($\Delta^{17}O = +19.16$ ‰)

183     (Tsunogai et al., 2016; Nakagawa et al., 2013, 2018; Ding et al., 2022).

184     The $\delta^2H$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values of $H_2O$ of the stream water samples were analyzed using

185     the cavity ring-down spectroscopy method by employing an L2120-i instrument

186     (Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with an A0211 vaporizer and

187     autosampler. The errors (standard errors of the mean) in this method were ±0.5‰ for

188     $\delta^2H$ and ±0.1‰ for $\delta^{18}O$. Both the VSMOW and standard light Antarctic precipitation

189     (SLAP) were used to calibrate the values to the international scale. The $\delta^{18}O$ values of

190     $H_2O$ were used to calibrate the differences in $\delta^{18}O$ of $H_2O$ between the samples and

191     those our local laboratory nitrate standard samples (Tsunogai et al., 2010, 2011, 2014).

192   To determine whether the conversion rate from nitrate to $N_2O$ was sufficient, the

193  concentration of nitrate in the samples was determined each time we analyzed the

194  isotopic composition using CF-IRMS based on the $N_2O^+$ or $O_2^+$ outputs. We adopted

195  the $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, and $\Delta^{17}O$ values only when the concentration measured via CF-IRMS

196  correlated with the concentration measured via ion chromatography prior to isotope

197  analysis within a difference of 10 %. We repeated the analysis of $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, and $\Delta^{17}O$

198  values for each sample at least three times to attain high precision. All samples had a

199  nitrate concentration of greater than 3.5 μM, which corresponded to a nitrate quantity

200  greater than 35 nmol in a 10 mL sample. Thus, all isotope values presented in this study

201  have an error (standard error of the mean) better than ±0.2 ‰ for $\delta^{15}N$, ±0.3 ‰ for $\delta^{18}O$,

202  and ±0.1 ‰ for $\Delta^{17}O$.

203   Nitrite ($NO_2^-$) in the samples interferes with the final $N_2O$ produced from nitrate

204  because the chemical method also converts $NO_2^-$ to $N_2O$ (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005).

205  Therefore, it is sometimes necessary to remove $NO_2^-$ prior to converting nitrate to $N_2O$.

206  In this study, however, we skipped the processes for removing $NO_2^-$ because all the

207  stream samples analyzed for stable isotopic composition had $NO_2^-$ concentrations lower

208  than the detection limit (0.05 μM).

209

210  2.4 Deposition rate of atmospheric nitrate

211   The annual deposition rate of atmospheric nitrate ($D_{atm}$; total dry and wet deposition

212  rate of atmospheric nitrate) in each catchment was estimated using the annual "bulk"

deposition rate of atmospheric nitrate ($D_{bulk}$) calculated in Chiwa (2020) at each catchment by multiplying the volume-weighted mean concentration of nitrate in the bulk deposition samples collected every 2 weeks at each catchment for 10 years (from 2009/1 to 2018/12) by the annual amount of precipitation. The bulk deposition samples were ~~samples~~ those accumulated in a plastic bucket installed in an open site of each catchment 55 cm above the ground. ~~The concentrations of nitrate in these samples were measured by ion chromatography.~~ The distances between the monitoring sites of bulk deposition in the FK1, FK2, and MY forested catchments and the stations of stream water sampling (stations A, B, and C) were 3.9, 2.9, and 4.5 km, respectively. The concentrations of nitrate in the bulk deposition samples were measured by ion chromatography.

The $D_{bulk}$ determined through this method, however, is less than $D_{atm}$ (Aikawa et al., 2003) because the dry deposition velocities of gases and particles on the water surface of the plastic bucket are smaller than those on the forest (Matsuda, 2008). Thus, we corrected the differences by using Eq. (3~~2~~) to estimate $D_{atm}$ from $D_{bulk}$:

$$D_{atm} = D_{bulk} - D_{dry}(W) + D_{dry}(F) \tag{3\sout{2}}$$

where $D_{dry}(W)$ and $D_{dry}(F)$ denote the annual dry deposition rates onto water and forest, respectively.

The $D_{dry}(W)$ and $D_{dry}(F)$ at each catchment were determined using an inferential method (Endo et al., 2011) through Eqs. (4~~3~~) and (5~~4~~), respectively:

$$D_{dry}(W) = [NO_3^-{}_{atm}]_{gas} \times V_{gas}(W) + [NO_3^-{}_{atm}]_p \times V_p(W) \tag{4\sout{3}}$$

234  $D_{dry}(F) = [NO_3{}^-{}_{atm}]_{gas} \times V_{gas}(F) + [NO_3{}^-{}_{atm}]_p \times V_p(F)$  (54)

235  where $[NO_3{}^-{}_{atm}]_{gas}$ denotes the concentration of gaseous nitrate in air; $[NO_3{}^-{}_{atm}]_p$

236  denotes the concentration of particle nitrate in air; $V_{gas}(W)$ and $V_{gas}(F)$ denote the

237  deposition velocities of gaseous nitrate on the water surface and forest, respectively;

238  and $V_p(W)$ and $V_p(F)$ denote the deposition velocities of particulate nitrate on the water

239  surface and forest, respectively. Those determined by Chiwa (2010) using the annular

240  denuder method from 2006/5 to 2007/4 were used for the $[NO_3{}^-]_{gas}$ and $[NO_3{}^-]_p$ in the

241  FK catchments. Those determined by the National Institute for Environmental Studies

242  (Environmental Laboratories Association of Japan, 2017) using the filter-pack method

243  at Miyazaki (31°83′N, 131°42′E) from 2011 to 2017 were used for the $[NO_3{}^-]_{gas}$ and

244  $[NO_3{}^-]_p$ in the MY catchment. The $V_{gas}(F)$, $V_{gas}(W)$, $V_p(F)$, and $V_p(W)$ of each

245  catchment were determined by applying the estimation file for dry deposition (Matsuda,

246  2008;

247  http://www.hro.or.jp/list/environmental/research/ies/katsudo/acid_rain/kanseichinchak

248  u/kanseichinchaku.html), where $V_{gas}$ and $V_p$ were calculated using the meteorological

249  data of wind speed, temperature, humidity, radiation, and cloud amount and land use.

250  The meteorological data monitored by Japan Meteorological Agency at the nearest

251  Fukuoka station (33°34′N, 130°22′E) and Miyazaki station (31°56′N, 131°24′E) from

252  2009 to 2021~~18~~ were used for the FK and MY catchments, respectively. The forested

253  land use of 100 % was chosen for each area.

254

255   2.5 Flux of stream water

256   The flux of stream water ($F_{stream}$) in each catchment was not measured ~~directly~~ fully

257   in this study. Instead, the water balance in each catchment was used to estimate $F_{stream}$,

258   assuming that the outflux of water from the study catchments to deep groundwater was

259   negligible:

260   $F_{stream} = P - E$                                                    (6̶5̶)

261   where P denotes the annual average precipitation and E denotes the annual

262   evapotranspiration flux of water in each catchment. In this paper, the equation obtained

263   by Komatsu et al. (2008) was used to estimate the E of the FK and MY catchments.

264   Details on this equation are shown below.

265   Komatsu et al. (2008) compiled the annual flux of evapotranspiration determined in

266   43 forested catchments in Japan and found that E shows a positive correlation with the

267   average temperature ($T_{avg}$) of each catchment. Thus, they proposed the modeled relation

268   of E (mm) = $31.4T_{avg}$ (°C) + 376 to estimate E in each forested catchment in Japan,

269   where the standard error of 162.3 mm was included in the estimated evapotranspiration

270   flux (E).

271

272   2.6 Concentration of unprocessed $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ in each water sample

273   The $\Delta^{17}O$ data of nitrate in each sample was used to estimate the concentration of

274   $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ ([$NO_3^-{}_{atm}$]) in each water sample by applying Eq. (7̶6̶):

275   $[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]/[NO_3^-] = \Delta^{17}O/\Delta^{17}O_{atm}$                    ————(7̶6̶)

where $[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]$ and $[NO_3^-]$ denote the concentrations of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ and nitrate (total) in each water sample, respectively, and $\Delta^{17}O_{atm}$ and $\Delta^{17}O$ denote the $\Delta^{17}O$ values of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ and nitrate (total) in the stream water sample, respectively. In this study, we used the annual average $\Delta^{17}O$ value of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ determined at the Sado-Seki monitoring station in Japan (Sado Island; Fig. 1a) from April 2009 to March 2012 ($\Delta^{17}O_{atm} = +26.3$ ‰; Tsunogai et al., 2016) for $\Delta^{17}O_{atm}$ in Eq. (72) to estimate $[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]$ in the stream. We allow for an error range of 3 ‰ in $\Delta^{17}O_{atm}$, where the factor changes in $\Delta^{17}O_{atm}$ from +26.3 ‰ caused by both areal and seasonal variations in the $\Delta^{17}O$ values of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ have been considered (Nakagawa et al., 2018; Tsunogai et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2022).

The annual export flux of unprocessed $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ per unit area of the catchment ($M_{atm}$) was determined by applying Eq. (87):

$$M_{atm} = [NO_3^-{}_{atm}]_{avg} \times F_{stream} \tag{87}$$

where $[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]_{avg}$ denotes the annual average $[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]$ in each stream. The index of nitrogen saturation ($M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio) was calculated by dividing $M_{atm}$ with $D_{atm}$ in each catchment.

2.7 Concentration and isotopic compositions of stream nitrate eluted only from the FK2 catchment

The concentration and isotopic compositions ($\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, and $\Delta^{17}O$) of stream nitrate determined at the station B were the mixture of those eluted from FK1 and FK2

catchments (Fig. 1b). Assuming that the stream nitrate eluted from FK1 catchment was stable during the flow path from station A to station B. The concentration of stream nitrate eluted from the FK2 catchment was determined by applying Eq. (9):

$$[NO_3^-]_{FK2} = ([NO_3^-]_{FK1+FK2} * F_{FK1+FK2} - [NO_3^-]_{FK1} * F_{FK1}) / F_{FK2} \qquad (9)$$

where $F_{FK1}$, $F_{FK2}$, and $F_{FK1+FK2}$ denote the flux of stream water eluted from the FK1, FK2 (only), and FK1+FK2 catchment, respectively. $[NO_3^-]_{FK1}$, $[NO_3^-]_{FK2}$, and $[NO_3^-]_{FK1+FK2}$ denote the concentration of stream nitrate eluted from the FK1, FK2 (only), and FK1+FK2 catchment, respectively. In this study, the flow rates measured at stations A and B on 2021/01/15 by using the salt dilution method (Sappa et al., 2015) was used for $F_{FK1}$ (0.85 L/s) and $F_{FK1+FK2}$ (4.75 L/s), respectively, and the measured $[NO_3^-]$ at stations A and B was used for $[NO_3^-]_{FK1}$ and $[NO_3^-]_{FK1+FK2}$, respectively. Because the relation between the measured flow rates was comparable with the relation between the catchment area of FK1 (14 ha) and that of FK1+FK2 (76 ha), we concluded that the measured flow rates of 0.85 L/s and 4.75 L/s were reasonable as for those representing the $F_{FK1}$ and $F_{FK1+FK2}$, respectively. According to the mass balance of water, we can estimate the $F_{FK2}$ eluted from the FK2 catchment only to be 3.90 L/s.

Assuming that the stream nitrate eluted from FK1 catchment was stable during the flow path from station A to station B, the $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, and $\Delta^{17}O$ values of stream nitrate eluted from the FK2 catchment only were determined by applying Eq. (10):

$$\delta_{FK2} = (\delta_{FK1+FK2} * [NO_3^-]_{FK1+FK2} * F_{FK1+FK2} - \delta_{FK1} * [NO_3^-]_{FK1} * F_{FK1}) / ([NO_3^-]_{FK2} *$$

$$F_{FK2}) \qquad (10)$$

318 where $\delta_{FK1}$, $\delta_{FK2}$, and $\delta_{FK1+FK2}$ denote the $\delta^{15}N$ (or $\delta^{18}O$ or $\Delta^{17}O$) of stream nitrate eluted

319 from the FK1, FK2, and FK1+FK2 catchment, respectively. The $\delta^{15}N$ (or $\delta^{18}O$ or $\Delta^{17}O$)

320 values of stream nitrate measured at stations A and B were used for $\delta_{FK1}$ and $\delta_{FK1+FK2}$,

321 respectively.

322

323 **3 Results**

324 3.1 Deposition rate of atmospheric nitrate

325 The mean annual precipitation (P) from 2009 to 2021 was 1777 mm and 3981 mm

326 for FK and MY catchments, respectively (Chiwa, 2020; Chiwa, personal

327 communication, September 21, 2022). The mean annual temperature ($T_{avg}$) was

328 reported to be 15.9 °C and 10.8 °C for FK and MY catchments, respectively (Chiwa,

329 2020).Chiwa (2020) estimated the mean annual precipitation (P) and mean annual

330 temperature ($T_{avg}$) to be 1769 mm and 15.9 °C, respectively, at FK catchments, and

331 3837 mm and 10.8 °C, respectively, at MY catchment. Based on these data, the annual

332 flux of stream water ($F_{stream}$) was estimated to be 902.0893.7 ± 162.3 mm at FK

333 catchments and 3266.13121.9 ± 162.3 mm at MY catchment, respectively, using Eq.

334 (65), corresponding to 1.25 × 10⁵ m³/year in FK1, 5.54 × 10⁵ m³/year in FK2, and 1.34

335 × 10⁶ m³/year in MY.

336 Chiwa (2020) also reported the annual bulk deposition rates of atmospheric nitrate

337 ($D_{bulk}$) to be 34.0 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ at FK catchments and 24.2 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ at MY

338 catchment. On the other hand, the annual dry deposition rate of atmospheric nitrate

339  (D$_{dry}$) deposited on~~in~~ the forest (D$_{dry}$(F)) and on the water surface (D$_{dry}$(W)) were

340  estimated to be 39.9~~8~~ mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ and 4.1 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, respectively, at FK

341  catchments, and 18.4 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ and 2.4 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, respectively, at MY

342  catchment. As a result, D$_{atm}$ was estimated to be 69.3 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ at FK catchments

343  and 40.1 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$ at MY catchment~~s~~, using Eq. (3~~2~~).

344

345  3.2 Concentration and isotopic composition of stream nitrate

346   The concentrations of stream nitrate ~~at~~ eluted from the FK1, FK2 (only), and MY

347  catchments ranged from 97.5 µM to 121.3 µM, from ~~73.9~~65.7 µM to 148.5~~142.6~~ µM,

348  and from 3.5 µM to 15.3 µM, respectively, with the average concentrations of 109.5

349  µM, 90.9~~94.2~~ µM, and 7.3 µM, respectively, and the standard deviations (SD) of 6.3

350  µM, 18.5 µM, and 3.0 µM, respectively, which corresponds to the coefficients of

351  variation (CV) of 5.7 %, 20.4 %, and 40.7 %, respectively (Fig. 2a). All catchments

352  showed no clear~~little~~ seasonal variation during the observation periods. The variation

353  ranges and the average concentrations of stream nitrate ~~in~~ eluted from the three

354  catchments agreed well with the past observations performed in the same catchments

355  (Chiwa, 2021).~~–~~

356   The stable isotopic compositions of stream nitrate ~~at~~ eluted from the FK1, FK2 (only),

357  and MY catchments ranged from −0.9 ‰ to +1.5 ‰, from −1.4~~2~~ ‰ to +5.8~~4.5~~ ‰, and

358  from −0.8 ‰ to +2.4 ‰, respectively, for δ$^{15}$N (Fig. 2b), from +3.9 ‰ to +8.5 ‰, from

359  ~~−0.7~~−2.2 ‰ to +2.8~~3.6~~ ‰, and from −5.6 ‰ to +1.7 ‰, respectively, for δ$^{18}$O (Fig. 2c),

and from +2.0 ‰ to +3.3 ‰, from +0.6~~8~~ ‰ to +2.2~~4~~ ‰, and from +0.2 ‰ to +1.0 ‰,

respectively, for $\Delta^{17}O$ (Fig. 2d), with ~~little~~ no clear seasonal variation during the

observation periods. The concentration-weighted averages for the $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, and $\Delta^{17}O$

values of stream nitrate were +0.2 ‰, +6.4 ‰, and +2.6 ‰, respectively, at FK1,

+1.0~~0.9~~ ‰, +0.5~~1.7~~ ‰, and +1.5~~1.7~~ ‰, respectively, at FK2, +0.7 ‰, −2.5 ‰, and

+0.6 ‰, respectively, at MY. ~~These values were typical for stream nitrate eluted from~~

~~forested catchments (Hattori et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020; Nakagawa et al., 2013,~~

~~2018; Riha et al., 2014; Sabo et al., 2016; Tsunogai et al., 2014, 2016).~~

3.3 Concentration of unprocessed atmospheric nitrate and the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio in each

catchment

The concentration of unprocessed atmospheric nitrate ($[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]$) in the streams ~~of~~

eluted from the FK1, FK2 (only), and MY catchments ranged from 8.64 to 14.30 μM,

from ~~3.88~~2.27 to ~~11.16~~10.71 μM, and from 0.03 to 0.46 μM with the average

concentration of 10.80 ± 1.65, ~~6.09~~5.06 ± ~~1.05~~0.92, and 0.16 ± 0.05 μM, respectively,

even though these studi~~ed~~y catchments showed little seasonal variations during the

observation periods (Fig. 2e). The annual export flux of nitrate ($M_{total}$), the annual

export flux of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ ($M_{atm}$), and the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio were ~~98.8~~97.9 ± 17.8 mmol m$^{-2}$

year$^{-1}$, 9.7 ± 2.3 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, and ~~14.1~~13.9 ± 4.~~4~~3 % at FK1 catchment,

respectively, ~~84.2~~82.0 ± ~~15.3~~14.8 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, ~~5.4~~4.6 ± 1.~~2~~4 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, and

~~6.6~~7.9 ± 2.1~~5~~ % at FK2 catchment, respectively, ~~23.7~~22.6 ± 1.2 mmol m$^{-2}$ year$^{-1}$, 0.5 ±

381      0.2~~1~~ mmol $m^{-2}$ $year^{-1}$, and 1.3~~2~~ ± 0.5~~4~~ % at MY catchment, respectively (Table 2).

382

**4 Discussion**

4.1 Deposition rate of atmospheric nitrate at the study catchments

     Based on the air monitoring data determined at the stations of Fukuoka (33°51′N,

130°50′E) and Miyazaki (31°83′N, 131°42′E) from 2011 to 2017, the Environmental

Laboratories Association of Japan (2017) reported $D_{atm}$ to be 57.8 mmol $m^{-2}$ $year^{-1}$ at

Fukuoka and 49.1 mmol $m^{-2}$ $year^{-1}$ at Miyazaki. Those values are consistent with the

$D_{atm}$ estimated in this study (69.3 and 40.1 mmol $m^{-2}$ $year^{-1}$ at the FK and MY

catchments, respectively), within a difference of approximately 20_%. Thus, we

concluded that the $D_{atm}$ estimated in this study was reliable within the error margin of

20_% (Table 2). Because the $D_{atm}$ determined at the FK catchments was the highest

among the forested catchments in Table 3, we further compared the $D_{atm}$ of the FK

catchments with those from the other air monitoring stations in Japan reported in past

studies, along with that of the MY catchment (Table S1). While the $D_{atm}$ of the MY

catchment corresponded to the average level among the sites compiled in Table S1, the

$D_{atm}$ of the FK catchments exceeded the average level significantly. In addition, the $D_{atm}$

of the FK catchments corresponded to one of the highest among the Japanese forested

areas (Table S1). ~~While a~~All the catchments in Japan~~this study~~ can be suffered from the

long-range transport of air pollutants derived from megacities in East Asian region

(Chiwa, 2021; Chiwa et al., 2012 and 2013).~~,~~ In addition, the shorter transport distance

402    from the Fukuoka metropolitan area (total population: 1.62 million people; population

403    density: 4715 people/km$^2$) may be mainly responsible for the $D_{atm}$ higher in FK than in

404    MY, because the FK catchments are only 15 km west of the Fukuoka metropolitan area.

405    ~~As a result, the local emission in the Fukuoka metropolitan area should be responsible~~

406    ~~for the high $D_{atm}$ at the FK catchments.~~

407

408    4.2 Excess leaching of unprocessed atmospheric nitrate from FK catchments

409    The isotopic compositions ($\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, and $\Delta^{17}O$) of stream nitrate eluted from the

410    FK and MY catchments were typical for those eluted from forested catchments (Hattori

411    et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020; Nakagawa et al., 2013, 2018; Riha et al., 2014; Sabo et

412    al., 2016; Tsunogai et al., 2014, 2016). The striking features found in the FK catchments

413    were that, in addition to the high [NO$_3$$^-$] and high $M_{total}$ that had been clarified ~~prior to~~

414    ~~this~~in a past study (Chiwa, 2021), both [NO$_3$$^-$$_{atm}$] and $M_{atm}$ in FK were higher than those

415    ~~in~~eluted from MY (Table 2). Especially, the average [NO$_3$$^-$$_{atm}$] in the stream eluted ~~in~~

416    ~~the~~from the FK1 ~~stream~~ catchment was the highest ever reported in forested streams

417    determined through continuous monitoring for more than 1 year (Bostic et al., 2021;

418    Bourgeois et al., 2018b, 2018a; Hattori et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020; Nakagawa et

419    al., 2018; Rose et al., 2015; Sabo et al., 2016; Tsunogai et al., 2014, 2016).

420    The observed high [NO$_3$$^-$$_{atm}$] in the ~~FK1~~ stream eluted from the FK1 catchment could

421    be caused just by the high [NO$_3$$^-$$_{atm}$] in deposition in the catchment~~$D_{atm}$~~. Thus, we

422    compiled all past data ever reported in forested streams through continuous monitoring

423    in Table 3, where the data of average $[NO_3^-]$, average $[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]$, $M_{atm}$, $M_{total}$, $D_{atm}$, and

424    $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio were included for comparison.~~, and t~~The result showed that the ~~FK1~~

425    ~~catchment has the highest~~ $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio, along with ~~M~~$M_{atm}$, was the highest as well in

426    the FK1 catchment among the forested catchments (Table 3).

427    Elevated loading of nitrogen through atmospheric deposition was responsible for the

428    occurrence of nitrogen saturation in forest ecosystems, from which elevated levels of

429    nitrate are exported (Aber et al., 1989). Nakagawa et al. (2018) proposed that the

430    $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio can be an index for evaluating the nitrogen saturation in each forested

431    catchment, because the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio directly reflects the present demand for

432    atmospheric nitrate deposited in each forested catchment, and thus reflects the nitrogen

433    saturation in each forested catchment. The high $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratios observed in the FK

434    catchments implied that the demand for atmospheric nitrate was low in the FK

435    catchments and ~~the~~that the stages of nitrogen saturation at the FK catchments were

436    higher than those at other forested catchments.~~, and thus~~That is, the nitrogen saturation

437    at the FK catchments was responsible for the observed high~~er observed~~ $[NO_3^-]$ and high

438    $M_{total}$ at the FK catchments than at MY and any other catchment ever studied (Table 3).

439    The stand age of forests can affect the retention or loss of N (Fukushima et al., 2011;

440    Ohrui and Mitchell, 1997). Fukushima et al. (2011) evaluated N uptake rates of

441    Japanese cedars at different ages (5-89 years old) and demonstrated that the N uptake

442    rates of Japanese cedars were higher in younger stands (53 kg N ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ in 16 years

443    old) than in older stands (29 kg N ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ in 31 years old; 24 kg N ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ in 42

444 years old; 34 kg N ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ in 89 years old). In addition, Yang and Chiwa (2021)

445 found that the nitrate concentration in the soil water taken beneath the rooting zone of

446 matured artificial Japanese cedar plantations (607 ± 59 μM; 64-69 years old) was

447 significantly higher than that of normal Japanese oak plantations (8.7 ± 8.1 μM; 24

448 years old). Moreover, by adding ammonium nitrate (50 kg N ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$) to the forest

449 floor directly, Yang and Chiwa (2021) found that the nitrate concentration in the soil

450 water of the matured artificial Japanese cedar plantations increased significantly faster

451 than that of the normal Japanese oak plantations, probably because of the lower N

452 uptake rates in the matured artificial Japanese cedar plantations. Because most of the

453 artificial Japanese cedar/cypress plantations in the FK and MY catchments have reached

454 their maturity (> 50 years; Yang and Chiwa, 2021), the higher proportion of matured

455 artificial Japanese cedar/cypress plantations in the FK1 catchment (Table 1) was highly

456 responsible for the observed elevated leaching of nitrate, caused by the reduction in N

457 uptake rates.

458 As a result, we concluded that the FK forested catchments were under the high

459 nitrogen saturation stage, FK1 catchment especially, and the ~~high~~ nitrogen saturation

460 ~~stage of~~in the FK1 catchment~~s~~ was responsible for the elevated $M_{total}$, $M_{atm}$, [$NO_3^-$],

461 [$NO_3^-{}_{atm}$] found in the stream eluted from the catchment (Figs. 3a, 3b, and 3c).

462

463 4.3 The $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio as an index of nitrogen saturation

464 Past studies have used the concentration of stream nitrate as one of the important

465  indexes to evaluate the stage of nitrogen saturation in each forest (Aber, 1992; Huang

466  et al., 2020; Rose et al., 2015; Stoddard, 1994). The strong linear relationship ($R^2$ =

467  0.7681; $P$ < 0.0001) between the stream nitrate concentration and the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio,

468  except for the Qingyuan forested catchment (Fig. 3d), further supported that the

469  $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio can be used as an alternative index of nitrogen saturation, as pointed out

470  in Nakagawa et al. (2018).

471      The differences in the number of storm and/or snowmelt events could affect the

472  $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio as well, because $NO_{3}^{-}{}_{atm}$ could be injected into the stream water directly,

473  along with the storm / snowmelt water (Tsunogai et al., 2014; Ding et al., 2022; Inamdar

474  and Mitchell, 2006). In recent study, however, we found that the storm events have little

475  impacts on the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio, based on monitoring temporal variation of [$NO_{3}^{-}{}_{atm}$] in

476  a stream water during storm events (Ding et al., 2022). In addition, the low $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$

477  ratio found in Uryu forested catchment (0.7 %; Table 3) implied that the snowmelt has

478  little impacts on the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio as well, because 30% of the annual mean

479  precipitation was snow in Uryu forested catchment (Tsunogai et al., 2014).

480      The differences in the amount of precipitation, temperature, and the flux of stream

481  water could affect the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio as well. As a result, the annual amount of

482  precipitation, mean temperature, and the annual mean flux of stream water ($F_{stream}$) in

483  the forested catchments were compiled in Table S2. While the stream nitrate

484  concentration showed the strong linear relationship ($R^2$ = 0.76; $P$ < 0.0001) with the

485  $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio (Fig. 3d), the precipitation, temperature, and $F_{stream}$ did not show

significant relationship with the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio (P > 0.14; Fig. 4). As a result, we concluded that the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio was mainly controlled by the progress of nitrogen saturation, rather than the differences in the number of storm and/or snowmelt events, the amount of precipitation, temperature, and the flux of stream water.

~~Moreover, t~~The $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio is a more reliable and robust index than the stream nitrate concentration, as explained below. The Qingyuan forested catchment can be classified into the highest nitrogen saturation stage based only on the highest stream nitrate concentration of 150 μM (Table 3). However, based on the leaching flux of nitrogen via stream water monitored by Huang et al. (2020) for 4 years in the Qingyuan forested catchment, along with the deposition flux of nitrogen, we can obtain the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio in the catchment to be a medium level of 5.8 ± 1.3 %, implying that the nitrogen saturation stage was not so~~very~~ high (Table 3). Huang et al. (2020) also concluded that the input of nitrogen exceeded the output in the catchment, and thus, the catchment was at stage 2 of nitrogen saturation. The $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio in the Qingyuan forested catchment with a medium level among all forested catchments (Fig. 3d) should be a more reliable index of nitrogen saturation.

Compared with those in the other forested catchments in Table 3, the annual amount of precipitation (P) has the lowest value of 709 mm in the Qingyuan forested catchment. The flux of stream water ($F_{stream}$) has the lowest value of 309 mm as well. Thus, we concluded that nitrate was relatively concentrated in the catchment because of the small precipitation, resulting in relative enrichment in the concentrations of both nitrate (150

507   µM) and unprocessed atmospheric nitrate (8.9 µM) in the stream.

508   While the concentration of stream nitrate, as an index of nitrogen saturation

509   traditionally, can be influenced by the amount of precipitation, as demonstrated in the

510   Qingyuan forested catchment, the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio is independent of the amount of

511   precipitation (Fig. 4). Therefore, ~~we concluded that~~ the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio can be used as

512   a more robust index for evaluating nitrogen saturation in each forested catchment.

513   The only concern on using the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio as the index of nitrogen saturation is

514   the impact of the differences in the residence time of water in each catchment. The

515   residence time of water varies from 1 month to more than 1 year in forested catchments

516   (Asano et al., 2002; Farrick and Branfireun, 2015; Kabeya et al., 2008; Rodgers et al.,

517   2005; Soulsby et al., 2006; Tetzlaff et al., 2007). The $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio could be lower in

518   catchments with longer residence time of water. We would like to clarify this in future

519   studies by adding much more data of stream nitrate eluted from various forested

520   catchments.

521

522   **5 Conclusions**

523   Both the concentrations and $\Delta^{17}O$ of stream nitrate were determined for more than 2

524   years in the forested catchments of FK (FK1 and FK2) and MY to determine the

525   $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio for each catchment. The FK catchments exhibited higher $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio

526   than the MY catchment and other forested catchments reported in past studies, implying

527   that the progress of nitrogen saturation in the FK catchments was severe. Both age and

proportion of artificial plantation in the FK catchments were responsible for the

progress of nitrogen saturation. In addition, although past studies have commonly used

the concentration of stream nitrate as an index to evaluate the progress of nitrogen

saturation in forested catchments, it can be influenced by the amount of precipitation.

As a result, we concluded that the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio should be used as a more reliable

index for evaluating the progress of nitrogen saturation because the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio is

independent from the amount of precipitation.

*Data availability.* All the primary data are presented in the Supplement. The other data

are available upon request to the corresponding author (Weitian Ding).

*Author contributions.* UT, FN, KS, and MC designed the study. MC and TK performed

the field observations. WD, UT, and FN determined the concentrations and isotopic

compositions of the samples. WD, TS, FN, and UT performed data analysis, and WD

and UT wrote the paper with input from MC, TK, and KS.

*Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

*Acknowledgements.*

We thank anonymous referees for valuable remarks on an earlier version of this

paper. We also thank the Daisuke Nanki, Takuma Nakamura, and Yuko Muramatsu for

their long-term water sampling. Additionally, we are grateful to the members of the

Biogeochemistry Group, Graduate School of Environmental Studies, Nagoya

University, for their valuable support throughout this study. This work was supported

by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture,

Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan under grant numbers 22H00561, and

17H00780, the Yanmar Environmental Sustainability Support Association, and the

River fund of the river foundation, Japan. Weitian Ding would like to take this

opportunity to thank the "Nagoya University Interdisciplinary Frontier Fellowship"

supported by Nagoya University and JST, the establishment of university fellowships

towards the creation of science technology innovation, Grant Number

JPMJFS2120.would like to take this opportunity to thank the 'Nagoya University

Interdisciplinary Frontier Fellowship' supported by JST and Nagoya University.

**Reference**

Aber, J. D.: Nitrogen cycling and nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems,

Trends Ecol. Evol., 7(7), 220–224, doi:10.1016/0169-5347(92)90048-G, 1992.

Aber, J. D., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Steudler, P. and Melillo, J. M.: Nitrogen Saturation in

Northern Forest Ecosystems, Bioscience, 39(6), 378–386, doi:10.2307/1311067,

1989.

Aikawa, M., Hiraki, T., Tamaki, M. and Shoga, M.: Difference between filtering-type

bulk and wet-only data sets based on site classification, Atmos. Environ., 37(19),

570    2597–2603, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00214-0, 2003.

571    Alexander, B., Hastings, M. G., Allman, D. J., Dachs, J., Thornton, J. A. and

572    Kunasek, S. A.: Quantifying atmospheric nitrate formation pathways based on a

573    global model of the oxygen isotopic composition ($\delta^{17}O$) of atmospheric nitrate,

574    Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(14), 5043–5056, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5043-2009, 2009.

575    Asano, Y., Uchida, T. and Ohte, N.: Residence times and flow paths of water in steep

576    unchannelled catchments, Tanakami, Japan, J. Hydrol., 261(1–4), 173–192,

577    doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00005-7, 2002.

578    Environmental Laboratories Association of Japan: Acid Rain National Survey Report

579    2017, https://tenbou.nies.go.jp/envgis_explain/acid_rain/content.html.

580    Bostic, J. T., Nelson, D. M., Sabo, R. D. and Eshleman, K. N.: Terrestrial Nitrogen

581    Inputs Affect the Export of Unprocessed Atmospheric Nitrate to Surface Waters:

582    Insights from Triple Oxygen Isotopes of Nitrate, Ecosystems, doi:10.1007/s10021-

583    021-00722-9, 2021.

584    Bourgeois, I., Savarino, J., Némery, J., Caillon, N., Albertin, S., Delbart, F., Voisin,

585    D. and Clément, J. C.: Atmospheric nitrate export in streams along a montane to

586    urban gradient, Sci. Total Environ., 633, 329–340,

587    doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.141, 2018a.

588    Bourgeois, I., Savarino, J., Caillon, N., Angot, H., Barbero, A., Delbart, F., Voisin, D.

589    and Clément, J. C.: Tracing the Fate of Atmospheric Nitrate in a Subalpine Watershed

590    Using $\Delta^{17}O$, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52(10), 5561–5570, doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b02395,

591    2018b.

592    Chiwa, M.: Ten-year determination of atmospheric phosphorus deposition at three

593    forested sites in Japan, Atmos. Environ., 223(May 2019), 1–7,

594    doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117247, 2020.

595    Chiwa, M.: Long-term changes in atmospheric nitrogen deposition and stream water

596    nitrate leaching from forested watersheds in western Japan, Environ. Pollut.,

597    287(November 2020), 117634, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117634, 2021.

598    Chiwa, M., Enoki, T., Higashi, N., Kumagai, T. and Otsuki, K.: The Increased

599    Contribution of Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition to Nitrogen Cycling in a Rural

600    Forested Area of Kyushu, Japan, Water, Air, Soil Pollut., 224(11), 1763,

601    doi:10.1007/s11270-013-1763-2, 2013.

602    Chiwa, M., Onikura, N., Ide, J. and Kume, A.: Impact of N-Saturated Upland Forests

603    on Downstream N Pollution in the Tatara River Basin, Japan, Ecosystems, 15(2),

604    230–241, doi:10.1007/s10021-011-9505-z, 2012.

605    Chiwa, M.: Characteristics of atmospheric nitrogen and sulfur containing compounds

606    in an inland suburban-forested site in northern Kyushu, western Japan, Atmos. Res.,

607    96(4), 531–543, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.01.001, 2010.

608    Ding, W., Tsunogai, U., Nakagawa, F., Sambuichi, T., Sase, H., Morohashi, M., and

609    Yotsuyanagi, H.: Tracing the source of nitrate in a forested stream showing elevated

610    concentrations during storm events, Biogeosciences, 19, 3247–3261,

611    https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-3247-2022, 2022.

Endo, T., Yagoh, H., Sato, K., Matsuda, K., Hayashi, K., Noguchi, I. and Sawada, K.:

Regional characteristics of dry deposition of sulfur and nitrogen compounds at

EANET sites in Japan from 2003 to 2008, Atmos. Environ., 45(6), 1259–1267,

doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.12.003, 2011.

Farrick, K. K. and Branfireun, B. A.: Flowpaths, source water contributions and water

residence times in a Mexican tropical dry forest catchment, J. Hydrol., 529, 854–865,

doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.08.059, 2015.

Kabeya, N., Shimizu, A., Nobuhiro, T. and Tamai, K.: Preliminary study of flow

regimes and stream water residence times in multi-scale forested watersheds of central

Cambodia, Paddy Water Environ., 6(1), 25–35, doi:10.1007/s10333-008-0104-3,

2008.

Fukushima, K., Tateno, R. and Tokuchi, N.: Soil nitrogen dynamics during stand

development after clear-cutting of Japanese cedar (Cryptomeria japonica) plantations,

J. For. Res., 16(5), 394–404, doi:10.1007/s10310-011-0286-1, 2011.

Galloway, J. N., Aber, J. D., Erisman, J. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Howarth, R. W.,

Cowling, E. B. and Cosby, B. J.: The nitrogen cascade, Bioscience, 53(4), 341–356,

doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0341:TNC]2.0.CO;2, 2003.

Hattori, S., Nuñez Palma, Y., Itoh, Y., Kawasaki, M., Fujihara, Y., Takase, K. and

Yoshida, N.: Isotopic evidence for seasonality of microbial internal nitrogen cycles in

a temperate forested catchment with heavy snowfall, Sci. Total Environ., 690, 290–

299, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.507, 2019.

633      Hirota, A., Tsunogai, U., Komatsu, D. D. and Nakagawa, F.: Simultaneous

634      determination of $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ of $N_2O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ of $CH_4$ in nanomolar quantities from

635      a single water sample, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 24, 1085–1092,

636      doi:10.1002/rcm.4483, 2010.

637      Huang, S., Wang, F., Elliott, E. M., Zhu, F., Zhu, W., Koba, K., Yu, Z., Hobbie, E.

638      A., Michalski, G., Kang, R., Wang, A., Zhu, J., Fu, S. and Fang, Y.: Multiyear

639      Measurements on $\Delta^{17}O$ of Stream Nitrate Indicate High Nitrate Production in a

640      Temperate Forest, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54(7), 4231–4239,

641      doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b07839, 2020.

642      Inoue, T., Nakagawa, F., Shibata, H. and Tsunogai, U.: Vertical Changes in the Flux

643      of Atmospheric Nitrate From a Forest Canopy to the Surface Soil Based on $\Delta^{17}O$

644      Values, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126(4), 1–18, doi:10.1029/2020JG005876,

645      2021.

646      Inamdar, S. P. and Mitchell, M. J.: Hydrologic and topographic controls on storm-

647      event exports of dissolved organic carbon (BOC) and nitrate across catchment scales,

648      Water Resour. Res., 42(3), 1–16, doi:10.1029/2005WR004212, 2006.

649      Kaiser, J., Hastings, M. G., Houlton, B. Z., Röckmann, T. and Sigman, D. M.: Triple

650      oxygen isotope analysis of nitrate using the denitrifier method and thermal

651      decomposition of $N_2O$, Anal. Chem., 79(2), 599–607, doi:10.1021/ac061022s, 2007.

652      Komatsu, D. D., Ishimura, T., Nakagawa, F. and Tsunogai, U.: Determination of the

653      $^{15}N/^{14}N$, $^{17}O/^{16}O$, and $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratios of nitrous oxide by using continuous-flow

654    isotope-ratio mass spectrometry Daisuke, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 22, 1587–

655    1596, doi:10.1002/rcm.3493, 2008a.

656    Komatsu, H., Maita, E. and Otsuki, K.: A model to estimate annual forest

657    evapotranspiration in Japan from mean annual temperature, , 330–340,

658    doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.10.006, 2008b.

659    Konno, U., Tsunogai, U., Komatsu, D. D., Daita, S., Nakagawa, F., Tsuda, A.,

660    Matsui, T., Eum, Y. J. and Suzuki, K.: Determination of total $N_2$ fixation rates in the

661    ocean taking into account both the particulate and filtrate fractions, Biogeosciences,

662    7(8), 2369–2377, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2369-2010, 2010.

663    Matsuda, K.: Estimation of dry deposition for sulfur and nitrogen compounds in the

664    atmosphere : Updated parameterization of deposition velocity, J. Japan Soc. Atmos.

665    Environ., 43(6), 332–339, doi:10.11298/taiki1995.43.332, 2008.

666    McIlvin, M. R. and Altabet, M. A.: Chemical conversion of nitrate and nitrite to

667    nitrous oxide for nitrogen and oxygen isotopic analysis in freshwater and seawater,

668    Anal. Chem., 77(17), 5589–5595, doi:10.1021/ac050528s, 2005.

669    Michalski, G., Scott, Z., Kabiling, M. and Thiemens, M. H.: First measurements and

670    modeling of $\Delta^{17}O$ in atmospheric nitrate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(16), 3–6,

671    doi:10.1029/2003GL017015, 2003.

672    Michalski, G., Meixner, T., Fenn, M., Hernandez, L., Sirulnik, A., Allen, E. and

673    Thiemens, M.: Tracing Atmospheric Nitrate Deposition in a Complex Semiarid

674    Ecosystem Using $\Delta^{17}O$, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38(7), 2175–2181,

doi:10.1021/es034980+, 2004.

Mitchell, M. J., Iwatsubo, G., Ohrui, K. and Nakagawa, Y.: Nitrogen saturation in

Japanese forests: An evaluation, For. Ecol. Manage., 97(1), 39–51,

doi:10.1016/S0378-1127(97)00047-9, 1997.

Morin, S., Sander, R. and Savarino, J.: Simulation of the diurnal variations of the

oxygen isotope anomaly ($\Delta^{17}O$) of reactive atmospheric species, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,

11(8), 3653–3671, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3653-2011, 2011.

Nakagawa, F., Suzuki, A., Daita, S., Ohyama, T., Komatsu, D. D. and Tsunogai, U.:

Tracing atmospheric nitrate in groundwater using triple oxygen isotopes: Evaluation

based on bottled drinking water, Biogeosciences, 10(6), 3547–3558, doi:10.5194/bg-

10-3547-2013, 2013.

Nakagawa, F., Tsunogai, U., Obata, Y., Ando, K., Yamashita, N., Saito, T.,

Uchiyama, S., Morohashi, M. and Sase, H.: Export flux of unprocessed atmospheric

nitrate from temperate forested catchments: A possible new index for nitrogen

saturation, Biogeosciences, 15(22), 7025–7042, doi:10.5194/bg-15-7025-2018, 2018.

Nelson, D. M., Tsunogai, U., Ding, D., Ohyama, T., Komatsu, D. D., Nakagawa, F.,

Noguchi, I. and Yamaguchi, T.: Triple oxygen isotopes indicate urbanization affects

sources of nitrate in wet and dry atmospheric deposition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(9),

6381–6392, doi:10.5194/acp-18-6381-2018, 2018.

Ohrui, K. and Mitchell, M. J.: Nitrogen Saturation in Japanese Forested Watersheds

Author ( s ): Kiyokazu Ohrui and Myron J . Mitchell Published by : Wiley Stable

URL : http://www.jstor.org/stable/2269507 Accessed : 05-07-2016 04 : 51 UTC Your

use of the JSTOR archive indicates your ac, , 7(2), 391–401, 1997.

Paerl, H. W. and Huisman, J.: Climate change: A catalyst for global expansion of

harmful cyanobacterial blooms, Environ. Microbiol. Rep., 1(1), 27–37,

doi:10.1111/j.1758-2229.2008.00004.x, 2009.

Peterjohn, W. T., Adams, M. B. and Gilliam, F. S.: Symptoms of nitrogen saturation

in two central Appalachian hardwood forest ecosystems, Biogeochemistry, 35(3),

507–522, doi:10.1007/BF02183038, 1996.

Riha, K. M., Michalski, G., Gallo, E. L., Lohse, K. A., Brooks, P. D. and Meixner, T.:

High Atmospheric Nitrate Inputs and Nitrogen Turnover in Semi-arid Urban

Catchments, Ecosystems, 17(8), 1309–1325, doi:10.1007/s10021-014-9797-x, 2014.

Rodgers, P., Soulsby, C., Waldron, S. and Tetzlaff, D.: Using stable isotope tracers to

identify hydrological flow paths, residence times and landscape controls in a

mesoscale catchment, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9, 139–155, 2005.

Rose, L. A., Elliott, E. M. and Adams, M. B.: Triple Nitrate Isotopes Indicate

Differing Nitrate Source Contributions to Streams Across a Nitrogen Saturation

Gradient, Ecosystems, 18(7), 1209–1223, doi:10.1007/s10021-015-9891-8, 2015.

Sabo, R. D., Nelson, D. M. and Eshleman, K. N.: Episodic, seasonal, and annual

export of atmospheric and microbial nitrate from a temperate forest, Geophys. Res.

Lett., 43(2), 683–691, doi:10.1002/2015GL066758, 2016.

Sappa, G., Ferranti, F. and Pecchia, G. M.: Validation Of Salt Dilution Method For

Discharge Measurements In The Upper Valley Of Aniene River (Central Italy), Recent

Adv. Environ. Ecosyst. Dev., (October 2015), 42–48, 2015.

Soulsby, C., Tetzlaff, D., Rodgers, P., Dunn, S. and Waldron, S.: Runoff processes,

stream water residence times and controlling landscape characteristics in a mesoscale

catchment: An initial evaluation, J. Hydrol., 325(1–4), 197–221,

doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.10.024, 2006.

Stoddard, J. L.: Long-Term Changes in Watershed Retention of Nitrogen, , 223–284,

doi:10.1021/ba-1994-0237.ch008, 1994.

Tetzlaff, D., Malcolm, I. A. and Soulsby, C.: Influence of forestry, environmental

change and climatic variability on the hydrology, hydrochemistry and residence times

of upland catchments, J. Hydrol., 346(3–4), 93–111,

doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.08.016, 2007.

Tsunogai, U., Komatsu, D. D., Daita, S., Kazemi, G. A., Nakagawa, F., Noguchi, I.

and Zhang, J.: Tracing the fate of atmospheric nitrate deposited onto a forest

ecosystem in Eastern Asia using $\Delta^{17}O$, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(4), 1809–1820,

doi:10.5194/acp-10-1809-2010, 2010.

Tsunogai, U., Daita, S., Komatsu, D. D., Nakagawa, F. and Tanaka, A.: Quantifying

nitrate dynamics in an oligotrophic lake using $\Delta^{17}O$, Biogeosciences, 8(3), 687–702,

doi:10.5194/bg-8-687-2011, 2011.

Tsunogai, U., Komatsu, D. D., Ohyama, T., Suzuki, A., Nakagawa, F., Noguchi, I.,

Takagi, K. and Nomura, M.: Quantifying the effects of clear-cutting and strip-cutting

on nitrate dynamics in a forested watershed using triple oxygen isotopes as tracers, ,

(1), 5411–5424, doi:10.5194/bg-11-5411-2014, 2014.

Tsunogai, U., Miyauchi, T., Ohyama, T., Komatsu, D. D., Nakagawa, F., Obata, Y.,

Sato, K. and Ohizumi, T.: Accurate and precise quantification of atmospheric nitrate

in streams draining land of various uses by using triple oxygen isotopes as tracers,

Biogeosciences, 13(11), 3441–3459, doi:10.5194/bg-13-3441-2016, 2016.

Tsunogai, U., Miyauchi, T., Ohyama, T., Komatsu, D. D., Ito, M. and Nakagawa, F.:

Quantifying nitrate dynamics in a mesotrophic lake using triple oxygen isotopes as

tracers, Limnol. Oceanogr., 63, S458–S476, doi:10.1002/lno.10775, 2018.

Vitousek, P. M. and Howarth, R. W.: Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea: How

can it occur?, Biogeochemistry, 13(2), 87–115, doi:10.1007/BF00002772, 1991.

Watanabe, M., Miura, S., Hasegawa, S., Koshikawa, M. K., Takamatsu, T., Kohzu,

A., Imai, A. and Hayashi, S.: Coniferous coverage as well as catchment steepness

influences local stream nitrate concentrations within a nitrogen-saturated forest in

central Japan, Sci. Total Environ., 636, 539–546, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.307,

2018.

Yamazaki, A., Watanabe, T. and Tsunogai, U.: Nitrogen isotopes of organic nitrogen

in reef coral skeletons as a proxy of tropical nutrient dynamics, Geophys. Res. Lett.,

38(19), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2011GL049053, 2011.

Yang, R. and Chiwa, M.: Low nitrogen retention in a Japanese cedar plantation in a

suburban area, western Japan, Sci. Rep., 11(1), 1–7, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-84753-

**Table 1.** Plant information for each forested catchment (Chiwa, 2021).

| Overstory vegetation (%) | FK1 | FK2 | MY |
|---|---|---|---|
| Artificial Japanese cedar/cypress plantation | 74 | 40 | 16 |
| Other artificial coniferous plantations | <1 | <1 | 7 |
| Natural trees | 10 | 54 | 75 |
| Others | 16 | 5 | 2 |

**Table 2.** Average concentrations of stream nitrate ($[NO_3^-]_{avg}$), the average concentrations of unprocessed $NO_3^-_{atm}$ in streams ($[NO_3^-_{atm}]_{avg}$), the annual export flux of $NO_3^-$ per unit area of catchments ($M_{total}$), the annual export flux of $NO_3^-_{atm}$ per unit area of catchments ($M_{atm}$), the deposition flux of $NO_3^-_{atm}$ per unit area of catchment ($D_{atm}$), and the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratios in the study catchments.

| | FK1 | FK2 | MY |
|---|---|---|---|
| $[NO_3^-]_{avg}$ (µM) | 109.5 | 90.9~~94.2~~ | 7.3 |
| $[NO_3^-_{atm}]_{avg}$ (µM) | 10.80 ± 1.65 | ~~6.09~~5.06 ± 0.92~~1.05~~ | 0.16 ± 0.05 |
| $M_{total}$ (mmol m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) | 98.8~~97.9~~ ± 17.8 | 82.0~~84.2~~ ± 14.8~~15.3~~ | 23.7~~22.6~~ ± 1.2 |
| $M_{atm}$ (mmol m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) | 9.7 ± 2.3 | 4.6~~5.4~~ ± 1.2~~1.4~~ | 0.5 ± 0.2~~1~~ |
| $D_{atm}$ (mmol m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) | 69.3 ± 13.9 | 69.3 ± 13.9 | 40.1 ± 8.0 |
| $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ (%) | 14.1~~13.9~~ ± 4.4~~3~~ | 6.6~~7.9~~ ± 2.1~~2.5~~ | 1.3~~2~~ ± 0.5~~4~~ |

Table 3. The annual amount of precipitation (P), the average concentration of stream nitrate ($[NO_3^-]_{avg}$), the nitrogen saturation stage, the average concentration of unprocessed $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ in streams ($[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]_{avg}$), the annual export flux of $NO_3^-$ per unit area of catchment ($M_{total}$), the annual export flux of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ per unit area of catchment ($M_{atm}$), the deposition flux of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ per unit area of catchment ($D_{atm}$), and the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio in the FK1, FK2, and MY, along with those in the catchments studied in past studies using $\Delta^{17}O$ of nitrate as a tracer.

| | P | $[NO_3^-]_{avg}$ | N stage* | $[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]_{avg}$ | $M_{atm}$ | $M_{total}$ | $D_{atm}$ | $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | mm | μM | | μM | mmol m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ | | | % |
| FK1[a] | 1777~~1769~~ | 109.5 | - | 10.8 | 9.7 | 98.8~~97.9~~ | 69.3 | 14.1~~13.9~~ |
| FK2[a] | 1777~~1769~~ | 90.9~~94.2~~ | - | 5.06~~6.1~~ | 4.6~~5.4~~ | 82.0~~84.2~~ | 69.3 | 6.6~~7.9~~ |
| MY[a] | 3981~~3837~~ | 7.3 | - | 0.2 | 0.5 | 23.7~~22.6~~ | 40.1 | 1.3~~2~~ |
| KJ[b] | 2500 | 58.4 | - | 3.3 | 4.3 | 76.4 | 45.6 | 9.4 |
| IJ1[b] | 3300 | 24.4 | 2 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 50.1 | 44.5 | 6.5 |
| IJ2[b] | 3300 | 17.1 | - | 0.6 | 1.2 | 35.1 | 44.5 | 2.6 |
| Fernow1[c] | 1450 | 17.9 | 1 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 9.3 | 23.4 | 3.6 |
| Fernow2[c] | 1450 | 34.3 | 2 | 3.4 | 1.5 | 14.8 | 23.4 | 6.3 |
| Fernow3[c] | 1450 | 60.0 | 3 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 34.5 | 23.4 | 10.3 |
| Uryu[d] | 1170 | 0.7 | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 18.6 | 0.7 |
| Qingyuan[e] | 709 | 150.0 | 2 | 8.9 | 2.9 | 49.3 | 50.0 | 5.8 |

a: This study

b: Nakagawa et al., 2018; Nakahara et al., 2010

c: Rose et al., 2015

d: Tsunogai et al., 2014

e: Huang et al., 2020

*: N saturation stage estimated in past studies

-: No data

**Figure 1.** A map showing the locations of the study catchmentswatersheds (FK and

MY) in Japan (a), and the maps of FK1,-FK2 (b) and MY catchments (c), shown by

orange, yellow, and green areas, respectively, together with the sampling pointstation

A, B, and C, respectively, shown by orange, yellow, and green circles, respectively.

**Figure 2.** Temporal variations in concentrations of stream nitrate (FK1: orange circles;

FK2: yellow circles; MY: green circles) (a), together with those in $\delta^{15}N$ (b), $\delta^{18}O$ (c),

and $\Delta^{17}O$ (d) of nitrate, and the concentration of unprocessed $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ ($[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]$) (e) in

the stream water of the FK1, FK2, and MY forested catchments. Error bars smaller than

the sizes of the symbols are not presented.

**Figure 3.** Annual export flux of nitrate per unit area ($M_{total}$) plotted as a function of the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio in each forested catchment (a); the annual export flux of unprocessed atmospheric nitrate per unit area ($M_{atm}$) plotted as a function of the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio (b); the average concentration of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ ($[NO_3^-{}_{atm}]_{avg}$) plotted as a function of the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio (c); the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio plotted as a function of the average concentration

of nitrate ([$NO_3^-$]$_{avg}$) (d); the $M_{total}$ plotted as a function of [$NO_3^-$]$_{avg}$ (e); the $M_{atm}$

plotted as a function of [$NO_3^-$]$_{avg}$ (f) (FK1: orange circles; FK2: yellow circles; MY:

green circles). Those determined for the forested catchments in past studies are plotted

as well (Qingyuan: white circle (Huang et al., 2020); KJ, IJ1, and IJ2: white squares

(Nakagawa et al., 2018); Fernow 1, 2, and 3: white diamonds (Lucy et al., 2015); Uryu:

white triangle (Tsunogai., 2014)). The data obtained in the Qingyuan forested

catchment are shown in parentheses and excluded from the calculation to estimate

correlation coefficients (see text for the reason).

834    **Figure 4.** the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio plotted as a function of the amount of precipitation (a),

835    the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio plotted as a function of the temperature (b), and the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio

836    plotted as a function of flux of stream water (c) (FK1: orange circles; FK2: yellow

837    circles; MY: green circles). Those determined for the forested catchments in past studies

838    are plotted as well.

839

840

841

**Figure 54.** Schematic diagram showing the biogeochemical processing of nitrate in forested catchments under high precipitation (a) and low precipitation (b), where $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ (unprocessed atmospheric nitrate) is represented by pink circles, $NO_3^-{}_{re}$ by yellow circles, the flows of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ by pink arrows, and those of $NO_3^-{}_{re}$ (remineralized nitrate) by yellow arrows (modified after Nakagawa., 2018). Although the deposition rates of $NO_3^-{}_{atm}$ ($D_{atm}$) and the biogeochemical reaction rates between (a) and (b) are the same, we can expect high $[NO_3^-]$ in (b). On the other hand, the $M_{atm}/D_{atm}$ ratio between (a) and (b) are the same.