Coastal topography and hydrogeology control critical groundwater gradients and potential beach surface instability during storm surges

Anner Paldor¹, Nina Stark², Matthew Florence², Britt Raubenheimer³, Steve Elgar³, Rachel Housego^{3,4},
 Ryan S. Frederiks¹, Holly A. Michael^{1,5}

5 ¹ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA.

⁶ ² Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. Blacksburg, VA,
 7 USA.

8 ³ Department of Applied Ocean Physics and Engineering, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA.

9 ⁴ MIT-WHOI Joint Program in Oceanography, 86 Water St., Woods Hole, MA, USA.

⁵ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA.

11 Correspondence to: Holly A. Michael (hmichael@udel.edu)

12 Abstract. Ocean surges pose a global threat for coastal stability. These hazardous events alter flow conditions and pore 13 pressures in flooded beach areas during both inundation and subsequent retreat stages, which can mobilize beach material, potentially enhancing erosion significantly. In this study, the evolution of surge-induced pore-pressure gradients is studied 14 15 through numerical hydrologic simulations of storm surges. The spatiotemporal variability of critically high gradients is 16 analyzed in 3D. The analysis is based on a threshold value obtained for quicksand formation of beach materials under 17 groundwater seepage. Simulations of surge events show that during the run-up stage, head gradients can rise to the calculated critical level landward of the advancing inundation line. During the receding stage, critical gradients were simulated seaward 18 19 of the retreating inundation line. These gradients reach maximum magnitudes just as sea level returns to pre-surge level, and 20 are most accentuated beneath the still-water shoreline, where the model surface changes slope. The gradients vary along the 21 shore owing to variable beach morphology, with the largest gradients seaward of intermediate-scale (1-3m elevation) 22 topographic elements (dunes) in the flood zone. These findings suggest that the common practices in monitoring and mitigating 23 surge-induced failures and erosion, which typically focus on the flattest areas of beaches, might need to be revised to include 24 other topographic features.

25 1 Introduction

26 Groundwater seepage can destabilize land areas, especially at the interface between terrestrial and submerged systems (Iverson, 27 1995; Iverson & Major, 1986; Iverson & Reid, 1992; Schorghofer et al., 2004; Stegmann et al., 2011). Recent studies have 28 examined the characteristics of pore pressure behavior, the associated groundwater seepage, and its effect on the stability of 29 geomaterials (soils, rocks, etc.), including field observations (Mory et al., 2007; Sous et al., 2016), physical experiments 30 (Schorghofer et al., 2004; Sous et al., 2013), numerical simulations (Orange et al., 1994; Rozhko et al., 2007; Schorghofer et 31 al., 2004), and analytical models (Sakai et al., 1992; Yeh & Mason, 2014). There are several examples of seepage-induced 32 failure of the surface (i.e. the mobilization of the soil skeleton) from around the world, including Japan (Yeh & Mason, 2014), 33 California (Orange et al., 2002), and France (Stegmann et al., 2011).

34 Soil liquefaction and quicks and occur when pore pressures in the geomaterial rise to a point where its effective stress drops to 35 zero and the material is fluidized, and thus acts as a liquid. The distinction between the two terms related to the mechanism 36 inducing the rise in pore pressures, with liquefaction referring to cases where external forces (e.g., earthquakes) are involved. 37 Quicksand is used for cases where the pore pressures rise due to intrinsic changes in the groundwater regime. At the coast, 38 ocean (waves, surge, tides, inundation) and terrestrial (groundwater heads, precipitation, and overland flows) processes 39 concurrently contribute to changing pore pressures in beach and nearshore sediments, and could thus induce failure of the 40 surface. Ocean effects on pore pressures, groundwater flow, and seepage occur due to wind waves, storm surges, and tsunamis. 41 For example, a 1D analytical model suggests that during a tsunami, vertical hydraulic gradients can destabilize sediments and 42 increase the potential for sediment momentary liquefaction, consistent with laboratory experiments (Abdollahi & Mason, 2020; 43 Yeh & Mason, 2014). Laboratory experiments (Sous et al., 2013) suggest that the magnitudes of hydraulic gradients in the 44 beach due to infiltration from sea-swell and infragravity waves depend on the wave frequency, cross-shore position, water 45 table overheight, and the presence of standing waves. A large-scale (250 m) flume study of a barrier island showed that waves 46 can alter the coastal groundwater head distribution significantly, and can change cross-island and local (under the ocean beach) 47 hydraulic gradient directions (Turner et al., 2016). Field observations of pore pressures over several tidal cycles in a microtidal 48 beach (Sous et al., 2016) suggest that breaking-wave-driven onshore increases in the water surface (setup) over the 10 m 49 nearest the shoreline induced groundwater head changes of O(0.1 m) (Sous et al., 2016). Furthermore, density-driven flow at 50 the subsurface transition zone between fresh terrestrial groundwater and saline groundwater can produce intense, localized 51 seepage (Burnett et al., 2006). Rapid changes in seepage characteristics (locations, magnitudes, direction) during extreme 52 events may lead to quicksand (i.e., loss of particle-to-particle contacts and sediment effective stresses) and sediment 53 mobilization, resulting in erosion and structure destabilization.

Observations, theories, and simulations have shown that the pore-pressure changes owing to energetic ocean waves can reduce effective stresses and may cause failure of structures and surfaces (Chini & Stansby, 2012; Mory et al., 2007; Sakai et al., 1992; Sous et al., 2013; Yeh & Mason, 2014 Michallet et al., 2009). Measured pore-pressure changes in beach sediments during intense waves suggest that momentary liquefaction and quicksand may occur at shallow depths (<1 m) below the surface (Mory et al., 2007), consistent with theory (Sakai et al., 1992). Analytical solutions for the effective stress in an idealized seabed suggest that waves can alter the stresses in the upper meters of the seafloor significantly (Mei & Foda, 1981; Sakai et al., 1992). Simulations of a theoretical 2D porous medium, where an increase in pore pressure is applied at the bottom of the layer from a point source, revealed that different spatial failure patterns (i.e. the geometry of the slip surface) can occur under various stress regimes (i.e. distribution of stresses in the soil) (Rozhko et al., 2007), although the process that leads to the simulated change in the pore-pressure distribution was unexplored.

64 Apart from waves, storm surges also could alter the onshore hydrogeological regime and potentially reduce the stability of the 65 beach surface. Recently, (Yang & Tsai, 2020) modelled groundwater response to coastal flooding in the New Orleans greater 66 area, and found that the interaction between flood water and surface water may destabilize levees in the area. This work focuses 67 on the influence of alongshore topography and hydrogeological factors on geotechnical impacts near the shoreline owing to ocean surges driven by coastal storms, which are projected to intensify and become more frequent in the future (Chini & 68 69 Stansby, 2012; Tebaldi et al., 2012). In particular, the three-dimensional dynamics of surge-induced flooding and the resulting 70 shore-parallel distribution of pore-pressure gradients in sandy beach areas are not well understood. Specific questions 71 addressed in this work are: (1) Can surge-induced pore pressure changes promote sediment quicksand of the uppermost 72 sediment layers (<5 m), and which areas across the beach are the most vulnerable? (2) What is the relationship between beach 73 morphology and the spatio-temporal evolution of pore pressure gradients? (3) How do the hydrogeological properties 74 (hydraulic conductivity, groundwater recharge) of the coastal system affect the potential for failure? Field evidence is presented 75 for the effect of storm surges on coastal groundwater heads (Section 2), a criterion is derived (Section 3) for quicksand for 76 beach slopes with groundwater discharge based on existing solutions (Briaud, 2013), and a model framework is described 77 (Section 4) and used to simulate surges in theoretical beach settings and to examine their effect on sediment stability (Section 78 5).

79

80 2 Conceptual model and governing equations

81 A conceptual model of a coastal system (Figure 1) includes infiltration of rain that recharges the aquifer with freshwater, 82 resulting in fresh groundwater flow toward the ocean. In the nearshore area (typically within meters of the shoreline), an 83 inclined freshwater-saltwater transition zone develops between the saline groundwater underlying the seafloor and the 84 terrestrial fresh groundwater. The density gradient at the transition zone deflects the fresh groundwater flow upward, and 85 produces focused groundwater discharge near the coastline that can be amplified by an order of magnitude or more relative to 86 the average flow rate in the aquifer (Paldor et al., 2020). In phreatic aquifers, submarine groundwater discharge typically occurs 87 within tens of meters of the coastline, depending on the recharge rates and aquifer properties (Bratton, 2010). In systems where 88 the discharge is into a body of freshwater (e.g., a lake), the bottom of the lake is a constant head boundary, and thus the seepage 89 is, by definition, perpendicular to the lakebed. This assumption is widely adopted in geotechnical calculations of groundwater

90 discharge magnitudes. For example, in flow net solutions for classic dam and levee problems, the bottom of the river on both 91 sides of the dam or levee is considered an equipotential line (Briaud, 2013). However, along the bottom of a saltwater body 92 the freshwater-equivalent head is variable with bathymetry, and hence the seepage is not necessarily perpendicular to the 93 seafloor and possibly represents a complex, three-dimensional problem with high spatiotemporal variability. To assess the risk 94 of quicksand in the context of the freshwater-saltwater transition zone and during coastal flooding events, the vertical 95 component of the hydraulic gradient is computed to evaluate the potential for quicksand (as will be derived in the following 96 section) with the application of the variable-head boundary condition and the inclusion of variable-density flow solutions. It 97 should be highlighted that in the current work, no effects of long-term loading and residual liquefaction were investigated. 98 Hereinafter, the vertical hydraulic gradients will be discussed rather than the pore pressures or heads. In the next section the 99 equations for soil failure potential in terms of the head gradients are derived based on previous derivations (Briaud, 2013). The 100 magnitude of the hydraulic head gradient, which according to Darcy's law is the magnitude of the seepage vector divided by the hydraulic conductivity, is denoted i (Figure 1). The seepage vector is the specific discharge, which is computed as the 101 102 outflow vector at top nodes of the domain. In 2D, this vector has two components -a horizontal (-Ki_x in Figure 1) and a vertical 103 (-Kiz). This work focuses on the vertical component. Other variables used in the following calculations are shown in Figure 1 104 and summarized in Table 1.



106 Figure 1: A hypothetical coastal hydrogeological system. Regional fresh (light blue) groundwater flows to the sea and upward due 107 to variable-density flow along the freshwater-saltwater (red) interface. In the nearshore area, focused groundwater discharge occurs

108 either into the sea (blue) or along a seepage face onshore. As shown in the top of the figure, when the surge begins, the direction of

109 flow reverses (infiltration), and when the sea level reaches its maximal level (h_{max}) the surge retreats and the direction reverts back 110 (exfiltration). The upward (positive vertical component) of flow reaches a maximum when the sea level is back to pre-surge level, 111 before decaying to the stocky state magnitude

- 111 before decaying to the steady-state magnitude.

- ...,

122 Table 1: Variables used in the theoretical calculations and numerical simulations.

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit	Source
Hydraulic conductivity	K	10-100	m/d	Freeze & Cherry (1979)
Anisotropy	K_x/K_z	10		
Seawater density	$ ho_{sw}$	1025	Kg/m ³	
Freshwater density	$ ho_{fw}$	1000	Kg/m ³	
Local water density	$ ho_w$	1000- 1025	Kg/m ³	
Solid material density	$ ho_s$	2650	Kg/m ³	
Unit weight of water	Υw	104	N/m ³	Briaud (2013)
Unit weight of saturated soil	Υsat		N/m ³	
Freshwater influx	q_0	0.01-0.04	m/d	
Aquifer storativity	Ss	10-4	1/m	Freeze & Cherry (1979)
Porosity	n	0.3		
Longitudinal/Transverse Dispersivity	α_L/α_T	1/0.1	m	Gelhar et al. (1992)
Maximum surge height	$h_{0_{max}}$	3	m	Chini & Stansby (2012)

124 2.1 The criterion for quicksand under groundwater seepage

Two terms that are often confused are "liquefaction" and "quicksand", with the former being used for earthquake-induced fluidization of the soil, and the latter being related to failure due to upward flow (Briaud, 2013). The physical meaning of the two is similar – geomaterial becoming suspended in a colloidal solution, which can result in erosion and sediment mobilization, or loss of support of any infrastructure built into the soil. Here, the term quicksand is used, as the analysis refers to surgeinduced changes in the subsurface flow rather than seismically induced flows. Following Briaud (2013), quicksand occurs when the pore pressure (u_w) at a certain depth (z) exceeds the total stress (σ), i.e. when the effective stress (σ') goes to zero:

131

$$\sigma' = \sigma - u_w \le 0 \tag{1}$$

Neglecting the possibility that gas is still trapped in the pores and assuming a submerged unit weight can be applied, the criterion for localized, quicksand in inundated regions can be written in a gradient form (Goren et al., 2013), in which the vertical pore pressure gradient (positive downward gradient generates upwards flow) exceeds the submerged unit weight of the soil (γ_{sub}):

$$\gamma_{sub} + \frac{\partial u_w}{\partial z} \le 0 \tag{2}$$

136 where

$$\gamma_{sub} = (1-n) \cdot \left(\rho_s - \rho_{fw}\right) \cdot g \tag{3}$$

137

in which ρ_s is the density of the beach material (sand), and ρ_w is the density of the local water, which has a value between that of seawater ($\rho_{sw} \approx 1025 \ kg/m^3$) and freshwater ($\rho_{fw} \approx 1000 \ kg/m^3$). This failure criterion is similar to Yeh and Mason (2014), who studied liquefaction of a fully saturated sediment following a tsunami.

The constant value of porosity (n=0.3) is typical for sandy soils, but neglects localized variations in sand bulk density in the 141 142 simulated topography. The use of γ_{sub} as the representative unit weight of simulated soil is appropriate for soils that are fully submerged, as it accounts for the buoyancy effect, considering the unit weight of the overlying water column (γ_w). 143 144 However, for the parts of the model landward of the inundation line, the saturated unit weight may be more suitable. This 145 means that adopting γ_{sub} uniformly may be an underestimate of the actual unit weight in real systems ($\gamma_{sub} = \gamma_{sat} - \gamma_w$). 146 Nevertheless, we used γ_{sub} since the aim of this work is to harness a hydrologic modelling framework to assess the spatio-147 temporal distribution of surge-induced changes in hydraulic gradients. To that end, the quicks and assessment is limited to the 148 effects of vertical pressure gradients, and the application of the submerged unit weight. It should be noted that studies have 149 shown partially saturated sediments (e.g., in inundation areas) are typically prone to momentary liquefaction (Mory et al., 150 2007; Yeh and Mason, 2014). Mory et al. (2007) showed that even a 6% air content may increase the potential for

- 151 momentary liquefaction. For the gradient-form criterion to hold, this condition would need to be met continuously from the
- 152 surface to the depth of the liquefied layer (Goren et al. 2013), as accounted for in the analysis below.

153 Here, the quicks and criterion is related to vertical components of seepage vectors to compare the results of the groundwater 154 model with the failure criterion. The 3D model considered here (see below) could be used to examine the horizontal 155 components too, and to analyze the potential for shear failure, not only for quicks and momentary liquefaction (Zen et al., 156 1998). However, for the sake of simplicity and in the interest of focusing on the questions addressed here, such an expansion 157 is not attempted in the current study. It would require further assumptions on the soil characteristics (internal friction, cohesion) 158 and a localized analysis of the local slopes for each point in the domain. According to Darcy's law the vertical specific discharge (denoted v_{τ} with dimensions [MT⁻¹])) is equal to the product of the (local) vertical head gradient and the vertical 159 160 hydraulic conductivity K_z :

$$v_z = -K_z \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{fw}g} \frac{\partial u_w}{\partial z} + 1 \right) \tag{4}$$

161

162 thus, the vertical pressure gradient becomes

$$\frac{\partial u_w}{\partial z} = -\rho_{fw}g\left(\frac{v_z}{K_z} + 1\right) \tag{5}$$

163

164 Substituting Equations 3 and 5 into Equation 2 yields:

$$(1-n)\cdot\left(\rho_s-\rho_{fw}\right)\cdot g-\rho_{fw}g\left(\frac{\nu_z}{K_z}+1\right)\leq 0\tag{6}$$

165

166 From Equation 6, the value of the critical vertical head gradient (i_c) is that above which the effective stress is zero or less:

$$\left(\frac{v_z}{K_z}\right)_c \equiv i_c = (1-n) \cdot \frac{\rho_s - \rho_{fw}}{\rho_{fw}} - 1 \tag{7}$$

167 This result is similar to that derived by Briaud (2013) for a general case of quicksand. Here it is derived specifically to facilitate 168 direct calculations of surge-induced changes in the groundwater flow regime as output by the hydrologic model. Using Darcy's 169 law in this context assumes that during the surge the groundwater flow remains largely laminar, which is likely for storm-surge 170 conditions and is a common assumption in similar studies (Abdollahi & Mason, 2020; Guimond & Michael, 2021; Paldor & 171 Michael, 2021; J. Yang et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2016). For convenience, the magnitude of downward (negative) vertical head 172 gradients which initiate upward (positive) vertical velocities and therefore potentially destabilize the soil, is hereinafter denoted iz and presented in positive values. Using typical values for porosity, solid particle density, and freshwater density for beach 173 material (n = 0.3; $\rho_s = 2650 \ kg/m^3$; $\rho_{fw} = 1000 \ kg/m^3$, respectively), Equation 7 suggests the critical value of vertical 174 head gradient is about $i_c = 0.15$. While the parameters can have ranges of values for given systems, the following analyses 175

176 use this value as a threshold for quicksand, with simulated values of i_z normalized by the critical value $i_c = 0.15$ as the 177 seepage-liquefaction factor (SLF):

$$SLF = \frac{i_z}{i_c} \tag{8}$$

We term the criterion seepage-liquefaction factor, while it is noted again that the actual failure mechanism discussed here is quicksand as it is not related to seismic loading. In Equation 8, i_z is the actual simulated or observed vertical head gradient, defined as $i_z = -\frac{v_z}{K_z}$ (Eq. 4) and i_c is the theoretical quicksand threshold (Eq. 7). Thus, any point in space and time in which simulated SLF is close to 1 is potentially nearing quicksand. A layer in which SLF approaches 1 continuously from the surface to a depth Z_l is considered a "critical layer" of thickness Z_l . The SLF defined here is the reciprocal of the Factor of Safety defined by Yang and Tsai (2020) for levees under storm-induced groundwater seepage, and thus it should be noted that in the analysis presented here lower values of SLF represent greater stability.

185 3 Hydrologic model

186 The effect of storm surges on groundwater flow is simulated using Hydrogeosphere (HGS) – a 3D numerical code that couples 187 surface and subsurface flow and solute transport (Therrien et al., 2010). For the surface flow, HGS solves the Saint-Venant 188 equations (also known as nonlinear shallow water equations), and for the variably saturated subsurface flow it solves the 189 Richards equation. The salt transport equation is solved in its advective-dispersive form, and the variable-density flow solution 190 is coupled to the transport solution through a linear equation of state. Hydrogeosphere has been successfully employed to 191 simulate storm surges in several recent studies (Guimond & Michael, 2020; Yang et al., 2013, 2018; Yu et al., 2016), and here 192 it is applied to assess the risk for quicks and erosion from surge-induced pore water head gradients. This interdisciplinary 193 approach, using a groundwater model in the context of coastal geomechanics, has recently been applied by Yang and Tsai 194 (2020) to assess the impacts of floods on the groundwater regime in the Greater New Orleans area, and its implications for the 195 factor of safety of levees. Several other studies have also applied different methods to relate between changes in the 196 groundwater regime and the stability of the surface (Chini & Stansby, 2012; Sakai et al., 1992; Sous et al., 2013; Yeh & Mason, 197 2014). The novelty in this study relates to the harnessing of a 3D integrated hydrologic model in a generalized form to explore 198 the mechanisms that dominate surge-induced quicksand formation. Applying the fully-coupled model on different generalized 199 topographies (detailed below) allows us to study the alongshore distribution of critical gradients, which is commonly 200 overlooked in similar studies (Yeh and Mason, 2014).

- 201 The model domain (Figure 2) is 4000 m (cross-shore, X) by 2500 m (alongshore, Y), extending to a depth of 30 m below the
- 202 mean sea level (Z=0). The terrestrial extent of the domain is 3550 m ($450 \le X \le 4000$), with the ocean spanning $0 \le X \le 450$ (Figure
- 203 2). The elevation at the ocean side boundary is Z(X=0)=-1, so the seafloor slope is $1/450\approx0.0022$. This slope is representative
- of U.S. Atlantic and Gulf coastal systems averaged over large cross-shore distances (e.g., from the beach to the mid continental
- shelf). Although local slopes in the surf and beach often are much steeper than those used here, this study is focused on the

206 quicksand potential in and near the inundated dune system. The average surface elevation inland (X=4000 m) is 5 m, so that 207 the average land surface slope is $5/3550\approx 0.0014$. Thus, there is a change in average slope at the coastline, as the offshore 208 portion is steeper (~ 0.0022) than the onshore (0.0014), as in many coastal areas. To justify this setting, we ran a simulation 209 with a -0.5 m sea level (i.e., still water shoreline at X=225 m), which indicated that critical vertical hydraulic gradients occur 210 near this change in overall slope irrespective of the shoreline location (Figure A1 in the Appendices). A simulation with a 211 larger beach slope (Z(X=0)= -6;slope=6/450=0.0130) resulted in similar vertical hydraulic gradients as the baseline slope 212 (0.0022) (Figure A2 in the Appendices), indicating that although the baseline slope is lower than typical, the analysis based on 213 it is also valid for steeper slopes. The domain of the finite difference model consists of 44,000 rectangular cells, where the cell sizes in the X and Y direction are 25 and 50 m, respectively. The cell size in the Z direction varies from 8 m in the bottom of 214 215 the domain to about 0.5 m in the top 2 m to balance between computation time and the resolution necessary to resolve the 216 dynamics close to the surface (Figure 2). The homogenous hydraulic conductivity Kx is 50 m/d for the baseline simulation, 217 and values of Kx = 10, 25, 100 m/d were also simulated as part of a sensitivity analysis. In all simulations, the anisotropy was 218 10 (i.e., the vertical hydraulic conductivity, Kz, was 10 times lower than the horizontal hydraulic conductivity, Kx). This range 219 of hydraulic conductivity with a porosity, n, of 0.3 is typical for sandy beach environments (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). 220 Anisotropy of porous material may represent the presence of horizontally-extended low-K lenses (e.g., localized compacted 221 clay lenses), which reduce the conductivity in the vertical dimension preferentially. Although a change in K could be associated 222 with a change in n for some sediments and mixtures, due to the potentially complex relationships between porosity and the 223 sediment textural properties, including grain size distributions, shapes, and K, the porosity was kept constant in the simulations 224 presented here.





Figure 2: Hydrogeosphere model domain as a function of the vertical Z, cross-shore X, and alongshore Y dimensions, boundary conditions (red and blue boxes), and the surge height evolution curve (inset). The blue curve is the terrestrial freshwater recharge boundary, the red rectangle is where a fixed seawater head and concentration are applied to the subsurface domain, and the red dashed line is where the sea level height boundary condition (h_0 (t)) is applied on the surface domain. For the steady-state simulations h_0 (t)=0, and for the transient simulations the curve in the inset is applied. The black squares in the inset mark the times plotted in Figure 4.

233 The boundary conditions in the simulations were applied in two stages – a steady-state period and a transient surge period. For 234 the steady-state simulations, terrestrial boundary conditions of constant freshwater specific recharge (q=q 0,p=p fw) were 235 applied on the vertical wall at the inland edge of the subsurface domain at X=4000 (blue curve in Figure 2) (Ataie-Ashtiani et 236 al., 2013; Yang et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2016). The opposite edge of the domain at X=0 (red wall in Figure 2) was a typical sea 237 boundary condition with depth-dependent head and saline ocean water (h=-0.025 \cdot Z; $\rho = \rho$ sw). On the surface domain the only 238 boundary condition is applied on the coastline X=450 m, red dashed line in Figure 2) as a fixed, time-dependent head (h=h 0 239 (t)) and seawater density ($\rho=\rho$ sw). The applied head on the coastline was held at zero through the steady-state simulations. 240 For the transient surge simulations, the coastline head was varied over 8.5 hours between zero and a 3 m maximum surge 241 height (inset in Figure 2). A sea level of 3 m above the mean represents a combined high-tide and surge event with a projected 242 return period of 100 yr by the year 2050 in the East Coast of the United States (Tebaldi et al., 2012). The ocean surface was assumed to be spatially constant at any time, and effects of wind waves were not simulated. The simulated surge height is comparable in magnitude to macro-tides, but the differences in frequency (macro-tides are diurnal) mean that macro-tidal beaches are likely in equilibrium with respect to sediment mobility, which is not the case for storm surges.

246 The sensitivity of the results to the topography and hydrogeologic parameters was tested, including freshwater influx (0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 <247 q = 0 < 0.04 m/d, Figure 2 and Table 1) and hydraulic conductivity (10 < Kx < 100 m/d, Table 1, typical values for sandy 248 beaches (Freeze & Cherry, 1979)). For the baseline hydraulic conductivity (Kx=50 m/d) the range of overall (land-to-sea) 249 hydraulic gradients, calculated as q 0/K x, was 0.0002 and 0.0008, on the lower side of typical coastal settings (roughly 250 around 0.0010), and so the calculated hydraulic gradients in the current analysis are considered a conservative estimate. Two 251 topographies (Figure 3) (Yu et al., 2016) were generated with ARCMAP 10.0 Geographic Information System (GIS) software 252 (ESRI, 2011), using multigaussian random fields that were transformed (Zinn & Harvey, 2003) to connect either topographic 253 highs or lows rather than the median topographic values as in the non-transformed multigaussian fields. The first topography, 254 named "River" (Figure 3a), is characterized by surface depressions that connect to the sea. The topographic lows are connected, 255 forming "river"-like patterns in the surface morphology), superimposed on the background slope of 0.0014. The second 256 topography, "Crater" (Figure 3b), features connected crests surrounding disconnected surface depressions, such that the highs 257 are connected, forming "crater" like shapes. The two topographies do not mirror each other (Figure 3), but represent reverse 258 alongshore trends near the shoreline (450 < X < 500 m) in which the area around 0 < Y < 300 m (2200 < Y < 2500 m) is the highest 259 (lowest) for the River topography and lowest (highest) for the Crater topography. Comparisons with real topographies of the 260 Delaware coastal plains (Yu et al. 2016) suggested that the River topography best represents real-world meso-topography. 261 However, the Crater topography provides important insights to how meso-topography controls the evolution of head gradients 262 during storm surges even though they are not necessarily representative of real systems. It is noted that exploring 4 values of 263 hydraulic conductivity and two types of synthetic topographies may be a limited representation of natural systems. For 264 example, Xu et al. (2016) showed that topographic connectivity is a dominant factor in the vulnerability of coastal aquifers to 265 storm surge salinization, and we consider here only two of the topographies simulated there. However, the tested topographies 266 and conductivities in this work serve as a preliminary exploration of hypothetical conditions that are likely representative of 267 many natural systems, but is certainly not inclusive. In extreme flooding events (e.g., tsunami), large-scale changes in surface 268 morphology (e.g., landslides) may alter the pore-pressure distribution. These effects were excluded from the current work, as 269 the simulated surface was considered constant throughout the simulation. Additionally, soil deformation and the resultant stress 270 re-distribution were not considered in this model, as the hydrologic model (HGS) assumes constant porosity.



271

Figure 3: (a) River and (b) Crater topographies as a function of the vertical Z, cross-shore X, and alongshore Y coordinates. Light blue is the offshore bathymetry, and the coastline is at X=450 m. The overall slope accounting for macro-topography is the same for both topographies, the average elevation at X=4000 m is ~5 m, making it a slope of 5/3550≈0.0014. The dashed black curve marks the Z=3 m contour, which is equal to the maximum surge-induced sea level (hmax).

- 276
- 277

For each simulation, the vertical hydraulic gradients (i_z in Equation 8) are calculated for the modeled domain , and normalized by the threshold defined by Equation 7 (i_c) to calculate the SLF (Equation 8). As explained in Section 3 above, values of SLF that approach 1 are considered critical for quicksand. When SLF (1 the simulated surface theoretically is stable. Only upward, destabilizing velocities (exfiltration) are considered, and so negative velocities were assigned a value of $i_z=0$.

283 4 Results

284 The baseline case ('River' topography with q 0=0.02 m/d; K z=5 m/d) includes a 3 m surge and simulates the resultant 285 changes in head gradients (Figure 4). During the flooding stage when sea level is increasing, the head gradients increase 286 landward in front of the moving surge, and in the flooded zone there is infiltration (head decreases downward, $\nabla h>0$). After 287 the peak of the inundation, when the high-water levels begin to recede, downward gradients (i.e., head increases downward, 288 potentially destabilizing) develop underneath the still-water shoreline (X=450 m). These downward gradients increase in 289 magnitude as the water level recedes, and the subsurface system relaxes back to background levels (not shown in Figure 4) 290 within ~50 days for the high-K aguifers to ~500 days for the low-K aguifers, similar to prior simulations of storm impacts 291 (Robinson et al. 2014). The peak alongshore variation of the vertical hydraulic gradients occurs at the end of the flooding 292 (t=8.4 hr, Figure 4d). The vertical hydraulic gradients onshore of the flooding front during run-up (Figure 4b) develop in 293 subaerial areas. As explained in section 3.1 above, the calculated SLF for these zones should be based on the saturated unit 294 weight (γ sat= γ sub+ γ fw) of sediments rather than the submerged unit weight (γ sub, Equation 3), and the model-predicted 295 quicksand may not occur in real systems because saturated soils are more stable than submerged ones (Briaud, 2013).



Figure 4: Surface flooding and vertical hydraulic gradients at (a) 0.5, (b) 4.3, (c) 6.2, and (d) 8.4 hr after the simulated surge begins (for the surge height at these times refer to Figure 2). In each panel, the surface domain is shown on top, the subsurface 3D domain and vertical gradients are shown below, and two cross sections through the subsurface are shown: shore-parallel (left in each panel) and shore-perpendicular (right). The locations of the sections are shown on the 3D plot as red dashed lines (for shore perpendicular) and yellow dashed lines (for shore parallel). The upper two panels are during the run-up stage and the lower are during the retreat stage. Refer to Figure 2 for the surge height at each time shown here. Note that downward gradients (head increases downward) are plotted as positive values of SLF and upward gradients (head increases upward) are plotted as zero SLF.

296

The head changes (Δ h in Figure 5) between the steady state and the peak of the flooding inversely follow the topography (black contours in Figure 5a and b). For the highest topographic elements (Y=0 m for the "River" and Y=2500 m for the "Crater"), 307 which are not inundated, the simulated heads are approximately equal to the maximum ocean level at the dune crest ($X \sim 460$ 308 m), and decay inland over ~ 100 m. The maximum head changes (purple colors in Figure 5a) inland of the shoreline (X >475 309 m) at peak surge occur in the inundated topographic lows. Toward the end of the simulated surge (t=7.2 hr, Figure 5b) the surge-induced increased pressures are released in the topographic lows (low values of Δh in Figures 5b). The temporal 310 311 differences in head between surge and calm conditions also are low in the topographic highs because the heads there did not 312 rise significantly during flooding. In contrast, the intermediate topographic features show high head differences (dark purple 313 in Figure 5b). The lowest near-shore $(450 \le X \le 500 \text{ m}, 900 \le Y \le 1200 \text{ m})$ topography undergoes similar head changes during the 314 peak surge for high and low K (compare Figure 5a1 with 5a3). However, in the low K case (Figure 5a3, 5b3), the heads are 315 not released effectively as the surge recedes, and significant increased heads of ~ 1 m difference remain near the end of the 316 surge (compare Figure 5b3 with 5b1 for $X \sim 450$ m).

317 When the surge has retreated (t=8.4 hr), the head gradients at the dune toe (initial shoreline) (X = 450 m) reach their maximum 318 (Figure 5c1-c3). In all simulations critical gradients (SLF \rightarrow 1, red zones in Figure 5 c1-c3) are simulated at some locations 319 below the shoreline, supporting the findings of several recent field studies in which quicks and was observed in response to 320 inundation events (Sous et al., 2016; Yeh & Mason, 2014). The alongshore distribution of the surge-induced gradients is 321 insensitive to the freshwater influx (q 0), even though the antecedent local hydraulic gradients differed by up to a factor of 4 322 between simulations (Figure A3 in the Appendices, note that the values of the antecedent local gradients are about an order of 323 magnitude lower than the peak gradients). The depth and alongshore locations of the areas prone to quicks and (i.e., $SLF \sim 1$) 324 are sensitive to the topography (compare Figures 5 a1,b1,c1 with a2, b2, and c2) and the hydraulic conductivity (compare 325 Figures 5 a1,b1,c1 with a3, b3, and c3). The two topographies exhibit a similar spatial pattern of SLF (Figure 5c1 and c2) even 326 though the differences in topography (Figure 3) cause significant differences in the surge-induced head changes (Figure 5 a) 327 and a2). For example, the area to the left of the domain ($Y \leq 300$ m) is a topographic low in the Crater topography and 328 undergoes significant head changes at the peak of the flooding (Figure 5a2), whereas for the River topography there is a 329 topographic high for $Y \le 300$ m, which is not as strongly affected by the surge (Figure 5a1). However, in both cases this area 330 is where the least significant vertical head gradients develop (Figure 5c1 and c2). This means that a monotonic relationship 331 cannot be assumed between topography and vulnerability (i.e., the lowest/highest areas along the beach are not necessarily the 332 most/least vulnerable).

The hydraulic conductivity has a significant effect on the simulated surge-induced gradients (Figure A4 in the Appendices). Decreased hydraulic conductivity causes higher peak vertical gradients and changes the spatial (shore-parallel) distribution of the gradients (compare Figure 5c3 with 5c1, especially near Y = 1000 m, and also see Figure A4). Furthermore, decreasing hydraulic conductivity alters the depth Z_1 of "critical layers" with SLF = 1 (Equation 8) (compare Figure 5c3 with 5c1). In the high-K simulations (Figure 5c1 and c2), the depth Z_1 of these "critical layers" with SLF ~ 1 ranges between 0 and 2.5 m, and in the low-K simulation (Figure 5c3) Z_1 is up to ~5 m.



339

340 Figure 5: Top row (a1-a3): maps of the maximum near-surface head differences between those at the peak of the flooding and the 341 initial, pre-surge values (denoted Ah 1) as a function of the cross-shore X and alongshore Y coordinate. Middle (b1-b3): maps of 342 the maximum subsurface head differences between those near the end of the surge (t = 7.2 hr, Figure 2) and the initial, pre-surge 343 heads (denoted Δh 2) as a function of X and Y. Bottom (c1-c3): quicksand potential SLF at the shoreline, X = 450 m, as a function 344 of the vertical Z and alongshore Y coordinate. These 3 metrics are plotted for River topography with Kz=5 m/d (left, a1-a3), Crater 345 topography with Kz=5 m/d (center, a2-c2) and River topography with Kz=1 m/d (right, a3-c3). In the upper and middle panels (map 346 views a1-a3 and b1-b3) the black contours are surface elevation with 1 m intervals. The horizontal line at X=450 is the coastline 347 (Z=0). The lower panels are plotted for t=8.4 hr, the time at which the vertical gradients peaked in all simulations all along the 348 coastline.

The relationship between coastal topography and the surge-induced quicksand potential is evident when comparing the surface elevations 50 m landward of the coastline (X=500 m) and the peak vertical gradients below the coastline for different topographies and K's (Figure 6). Here, the SLF=0.7 contour is used because for engineering applications it is required to design structures with a buffer to ensure a satisfactory factor of safety. Furthermore, using the SLF=0.7 provides better statistical 354 stability since there are more locations with SLF=0.7 than with SLF=1. For both topographies, when K is high, SLF typically 355 remains less than 0.7 (in Figure 6 where the blue diamonds = 0) at the shoreline adjacent to the highest (Z > 3m) and lowest 356 (Z < 1 m) topographic elements (marked by gray rectangles in Figures 6a and b), suggesting the intermediate topographic 357 features may lead to the strongest vertical hydraulic gradients and quicks and potential. However, the height of intermediate 358 features that produce high gradients may be dependent on the site and hydrogeological parameters. For example, in the two 359 simulations with higher Kz, 1-3 m topographic features are associated with most of the significant surge-induced gradients 360 (Figure 6a and b). For the lower Kz case, significant gradients occur also below the lowest area (Figure 6c), and only the highest area that is not inundated does not develop significant gradients (gray rectangle in Figure 6c). 361



Figure 6: Topographic elevation at X=500 m (50 m onshore of the shoreline, red circles) and depth of the SLF=0.7 contour below the shoreline (blue diamonds) versus alongshore coordinate Y for (a) the River topography with Kz=5 m/d, (b) Crater topography with Kz=5 m/d, and (c) River topography with Kz=1 m/d. Deeper locations of the SLF=0.7 contour (blue diamonds) mean thicker "critical layers". The places where no significant critical layer develops (i.e., the elevation of the SLF=0.7 contour is Z=0) are marked by gray rectangles.

368 5 Discussion

369 5.1 Alongshore variability

370 The simulations suggest that alongshore variability of the magnitudes of the vertical gradients is strongly associated with the 371 coastal topography (Figures 4-6). To induce high gradients and deep critical layers when surge-induced increased heads are 372 released, it is necessary to have flooding resulting in high infiltration and increased heads. Thus, topographic highs that are 373 not inundated cannot develop high gradients (Figures 5 and 6). Meanwhile, increased pressures often are released efficiently 374 from inundated areas as the surge recedes. Topographic elements that are low enough to be inundated, but are also high 375 enough to limit the post-surge exfiltration may prevent release of pressures with thicker porous medium that impedes flow, 376 possibly explaining the link between quicks and potential and intermediate topographic features (1-3 m high for a 3 m surge). 377 Topographic elements that are low enough to be inundated, but are also high enough to limit the post-surge exfiltration may prevent release of pressures, possibly explaining the correlation of quicksand potential with intermediate topographic 378 379 features (1-3 m high for a 3 m surge). This explanation would suggest that the characteristic elevation of "intermediate 380 features" would scale with the surge magnitude. Pressure releases also can be limited by low hydraulic conductivity. Thus, 381 the simulations suggest the areas most susceptible to destabilization (i.e., deep critical layers) are those where topography is 382 low enough to be inundated widely, and high enough that the pressure release is limited. An important factor that likely plays 383 a role in this relationship between intermediate topography and critical gradients is the horizontal gradient. In places where 384 horizontal hydraulic gradients can develop, a more efficient dissipation of surge-induced pressures may be expected, and 385 therefore critical gradients are less likely. This may explain the absence of critical hydraulic gradients from steepest areas in 386 the model, since these areas develop horizontal gradients. Horizontal gradients are important also when considering other 387 modes of surface instability, such as shear failure. To assess the potential for shear failure, a Coulomb criterion must be 388 derived, which is beyond the scope of the current study. Another factor that is known to control the vulnerability to storm-389 induced instability is the antecedent groundwater level which controls the infiltration capacity of flood waters (Cardenas et 390 al., 2015). This may explain the absence of critical hydraulic gradients from the flatter areas of the model, leaving an 391 intermediate range of topographies that are susceptible to surge-induced critical gradients. The range of susceptible 392 topographic elements depends on hydraulic conductivity, which also has a sweet spot of vulnerability: A simulation with 393 even lower hydraulic conductivity (Kz=0.05) showed that very low values of K limit the surge-induced infiltration and thus 394 critical gradients develop only to a limited vertical extent and the alongshore variability (i.e., the dependency on onshore 395 topography) diminishes (Figure A5 in the Appendices). This result has important implications to systems with higher clay 396 content, since lower K values may mean that beach topography controls the overall vulnerability less than in sandy beaches.

397 **5.2 Cross-shore spatiotemporal variability**

398 During the flooding stage, negative vertical gradients (infiltration) that do not promote sediment instability occur at and 399 seaward of the moving flooding front. Positive vertical gradients occur landward of the front (top right panel in Figure 4) 400 owing to alteration of the pre-existing steady-state flow field (Figure 1) by the advancing increased pressures from the surge. 401 However, the simulated values of SLF=1 inland of the inundation front do not necessarily imply that quicks and is expected 402 there in real systems, because the actual weight of the unsubmerged soil is greater than the uniformly-modeled γ_{sub} (Equation 403 2). Nevertheless, the quicks and potential calculated here may still represent an underestimate, as Mory et al. (2007) showed 404 that as little as 6% air content in the pores may reduce the pressure head required liquefy the sediment by 0.01 m. While this 405 1 cm difference is an order of magnitude lower than the head changes discussed here (Figure 5), it is possible that in other 406 hydrogeological settings the air content is more influential and therefore assuming fully saturated conditions may be a 407 substantial underestimate of the quicksand potential. This highlights the need to consider air contents in future studies. 408 Furthermore, these inland processes, and the potential for liquefaction in these areas, may be affected by vegetation, trapping 409 of gases, hysteresis of wetting and drying, and other processes that have not been considered here. Nevertheless, the presented 410 approach demonstrates the feasibility and a pathway to implement the concept of surge-induced quicksand in a hydrological 411 model that can predict variable-density groundwater flow in coastal and estuarine environments.

412 The receding water levels after the peak of the surge allow fast release of the elevated heads that developed in the inundated 413 area, because the overlying burden of surge waters is removed abruptly. For all simulations at all alongshore locations, the 414 positive head gradients simultaneously reached a maximum when the water had receded completely (t=8.4 hr, Figure 4d) and 415 all the inundation water overburden was released. The rate of head release determines the hydraulic gradients that occur in the 416 soil material, so that faster release of the increased pressures allows less dissipation of elevated heads in the soil and therefore 417 produces thicker critical layers. As the water recedes, the highest release rates, and thus increased pressures, develop under the 418 beach area, where the slope changes from a terrestrial average slope of 0.0014 to the seafloor slope of ~ 0.0022 (Figure 2). 419 Thus, the simulations suggest the highest surge-induced gradients might be expected under convex topography, for example 420 near the berm or near a scarp in the beach face.

421 **5.3 Implications for coastal engineering**

422 Most previous studies of extreme wave-induced pressurization in coastal environments focus on cross-shore variability (Sous 423 et al., 2013, 2016; Turner et al., 2016; Yeh & Mason, 2014). Here, it is shown that under realistic hydrogeological conditions 424 (surge height, topography, groundwater flow regime – all based on values that are commonly observed in natural systems) 425 with alongshore varying topography there can be significant differences in storm-induced maximum vertical hydraulic 426 gradients and in the depths of corresponding critical layers over small distances along the coastline (<500 m) (Figure 5). The 427 simulations suggest that beach and dune morphology are important factors determining the spatial variability of high gradients. Although low-lying coastal areas may endure the greatest flooding, the largest hydraulic gradients and the deepest quicksand 428 429 layers may occur at the toes of the intermediate-scale (1-3 m high for a 3 m surge) topographic features. While our hydrologic 430 model is generalized, a recent study has showed that numerical hydrologic modelling can be used to predict geomechanical 431 risks induced by storm surges in specific settings too (Yang and Tsai, 2020). While discussing practical implications of the 432 present analysis, it is important to remember that, as noted above, the model adopted here is a hydrological model that does

not explicitly simulate the soil dynamics and the surface and subsurface domains were assumed constant with time through the simulations. This assumption overlooks other dynamic controls on the development of stresses, such as soil deformation and surface erosion. Moreover, the analysis presented here isolates the vertical seepage component to calculate the potential for quicksand. In a 3D framework, horizontal seepage components likely come into play and other failure mechanisms, such as shear failure, are likely too (Zen et al., 1998). However, for the conclusions drawn here regarding the spatio-temporal distributions of surge-induced gradients, the hydrologic modeling provides an important tool to study the hydrogeological aspect of the problem. The model could be further expanded to include other components in future work.

440 **6.** Conclusions

441 Storm surges may substantially affect the groundwater regime in flooded areas, which can reduce the stability of beach 442 surfaces. We explored this idea and its generality by harnessing a robust hydrological model to simulate a generalized coastal 443 system and found that in the nearshore area, surge-induced hydraulic gradients may peak to critical levels that could potentially induce quicksand. The locations where these critical, surge-induced gradients occur are transient, and depend on the beach 444 445 morphology and hydraulic conductivity. Both the elevation of topographic features and their permeability are important factors 446 in promoting quicksand. Elevations must be low enough to become inundated, and high enough to retain elevated heads needed 447 to build critical gradients. Similarly, hydraulic conductivity must be high enough to allow floodwater to infiltrate, but low 448 enough that water is not drained immediately such that critical gradients can persist. This alongshore variability has not been 449 observed in field measurements because the common approach in field studies is to measure the cross-shore variability of 450 hydraulic heads during storms. Importantly, this work presents a novel approach to bridge the gap between coastal hydrology 451 and coastal engineering, incorporating robust hydrogeological modeling in a geotechnical framework.



Figure A1: Contours (color scale on the right) of peak SLF (t=8.4 hr) as a function of the vertical Z, cross-shore X, and alongshore Y coordinate for (a) a simulation with the coastline at -0.5 m (X = 225 m) and (b) a simulation with the coastline at 0 m (X = 450 m). The dashed black lines mark the coastline in each respective simulation. The slice with high SLF values in (a) is not underneath the simulated coastline.



Figure A2: Contours (color scale on the right) of peak SLF (t = 8.4 hr) for a simulation with (a) bathymetric slope of $\frac{1}{450} \approx 0.002$ and (b) a simulation with a higher bathymetric slope ($\frac{6}{450} \approx 0.013$). The upper part of each panel shows the surface with the flood water and the lower part is the vertical slice with the SLF values below the coastline (X=450 m).



465

Figure A3: Contours (color scales on the top) of vertical hydraulic gradients (i_z) at X = 450 m (shoreline location) for the pre-surge conditions (left) and the end of the surge when gradients are maximum (right) as a function of vertical Z and alongshore Y coordinates. Note the different color scales between the pre-surge (left) and the peak (right) plots.



471 Figure A4: Contours (color scale on the left) of peak SLF (t=8.4 hr) vertical slices at the shoreline (X = 450 m) for Kx
472 and Kz of (a) 100 and 10, (b) 50 and 5, (c) 25 and 2.5, and (d) 10 and 1 m/d.



474

Figure A5: Contours (color scales on the right) of the maximum vertical hydraulic gradients (i_z) at X = 450 m (shoreline location) for (a) $K_z = 1$ and (b) $K_z = 0.05$) as a function of vertical Z and alongshore Y coordinates.

477 Author contribution

AP: conceptualization, investigation, visualization, formal analysis, writing (original draft); NS: conceptualization, formal analysis, writing (review and editing), funding acquisition; MF: formal analysis, writing (review and editing); BR; conceptualization, formal analysis, writing (review and editing), funding acquisition; SE: conceptualization, formal analysis, writing (review and editing), funding acquisition; RH; Formal analysis, visualization, writing (review and editing); RF formal analysis, methodology; HM: conceptualization, formal analysis, writing (review and editing), supervision, funding acquisition, resources.

484 Acknowledgments

485 We thank the staff at the USACE Field Research Facility and the PVLAB field team for helping to deploy and maintain

- 486 groundwater wells. Funding was provided by The National Science Foundation (OCE1848650, OIA1757353, OCE1829136,
- 487 EAR1933010, CMMI-1751463, and a Graduate Research Fellowship), US Geological Survey (NIWR 2018DE01G), a
- 488 Vannevar Bush Faculty Fellowship, the US Coastal Research Program, and the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
- 489 Investment in Science Program.

490 References

- Abdollahi, A., & Mason, H. B. (2020). Pore Water Pressure Response during Tsunami Loading. Journal of Geotechnical and
 Geoenvironmental Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)gt.1943-5606.0002205
- 493 Ataie-Ashtiani, B., Werner, A. D., Simmons, C. T., Morgan, L. K., & Lu, C. (2013). How important is the impact of land-
- 494 surface inundation on seawater intrusion caused by sea-level rise? Hydrogeology Journal. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-013-</u>
 495 <u>1021-0</u>
- 496 Bratton, J. F. (2010). The Three Scales of Submarine Groundwater Flow and Discharge across Passive Continental Margins.
- 497 The Journal of Geology, 118(5), 565–575. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/655114</u>
- 498 Briaud, J.-L. (2013). Geotechnical engineering: unsaturated and saturated soils. John Wiley & Sons.
- 499 Burnett, W. C., Aggarwal, P. K., Aureli, A., Bokuniewicz, H., Cable, J. E., Charette, M. A., ... Turner, J. V. (2006).
- 500 Quantifying submarine groundwater discharge in the coastal zone via multiple methods. Science of the Total Environment,
- 501 367(2-3), 498-543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.05.009
- 502 Chini, N., & Stansby, P. K. K. (2012). Extreme values of coastal wave overtopping accounting for climate change and sea
- 503 level rise. Coastal Engineering, 65, 27–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COASTALENG.2012.02.009
- 504 ESRI. (2011). ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute. Redlands.
- 505 Freeze, R. A., & Cherry, J. A. (1979). Groundwater. Prentice-Hall.
- 506 Gelhar, L. W., Welty, C., & Rehfeldt, K. R. (1992). A Critical Review of Data on Field-Scale Dispersin in Aquifers. Water
- 507 Resources Research, 28(7), 1955–1974. https://doi.org/10.1029/92WR00607
- 508 Goren, L., Toussaint, R., Aharonov, E., Sparks, D. W., & Flekkøy, E. (2013). A general criterion for liquefaction in granular
- 509 layers with heterogeneous pore pressure. In Poromechanics V Proceedings of the 5th Biot Conference on Poromechanics.
- 510 https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784412992.049
- 511 Guimond, J. A., & Michael, H. A. (2021). Effects of Marsh Migration on Flooding, Saltwater Intrusion, and Crop Yield in
- 512 Coastal Agricultural Land Subject to Storm Surge Inundation. Water Resources Research, 57(2).
- 513 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028326</u>
- 514 Iverson, R. M. (1995). can magma-injection and groundwater forces cause massive landslides on Hawaiian volcanoes, 66,
 515 295–308.

- 516 Iverson, R. M., & Major, jon J. (1986). Groundwater Seepage Vectors and the Potential for Hillslope Failure and Debris Flow
- 517 Mobilization. WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH, 22(11), 1543–1548.
- 518 Iverson, R. M., & Reid, M. E. (1992). Gravity driven groundwater flow and slope failure potential: 1. Elastic Effective Stress
- 519 Model. Water Resources Research, 28(3), 925–938. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/91WR02694</u>
- 520 Mei, C. C., & Foda, M. A. (1981). Wave-induced responses in a fluid-filled poro-elastic solid with a free surface—a boundary
- 521 layer theory. Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1981.tb04892.x
- 522 Mory, M., Michallet, H., Bonjean, D., Piedra-Cueva, I., Barnoud, J. M., Foray, P., ... Breul, P. (2007). A field study of
- 523 momentary liquefaction caused by waves around a coastal structure. Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean
- 524 Engineering. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-950X(2007)133:1(28)</u>
- Orange, D. L., Anderson, R. S., & Breen, N. A. (1994). Regular Canyon Spacing in the Submarine Environment: The Link
 Between Hydrology and Geomorphology. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 4(2), 35–39.
- 527 Orange, D. L., Yun, J., Maher, N., Barry, J., & Greene, G. (2002). Tracking California seafloor seeps with bathymetry,
- 528 backscatter and ROVs. Continental Shelf Research, 22(16), 2273–2290. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343(02)00054-7
- 529 Paldor, A., & Michael, H. A. (2021). Storm Surges Cause Simultaneous Salinization and Freshening of Coastal Aquifers,
- 530
 Exacerbated
 by
 Climate
 Change.
 Water
 Resources
 Research,
 57(5),
 e2020WR029213.

 531
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR029213
- 532 Paldor, Anner, Aharonov, E., & Katz, O. (2020). Thermo-haline circulations in subsea confined aquifers produce saline,
- 533 steady-state deep submarine groundwater discharge. Journal of Hydrology, 580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124276
- Rozhko, a. Y., Podladchikov, Y. Y., & Renard, F. (2007). Failure patterns caused by localized rise in pore-fluid overpressure
- and effective strength of rocks. Geophysical Research Letters, 34(22), L22304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031696</u>
- 536 Sakai, T., Hatanaka, K., & Mase, H. (1992). Wave-induced effective stress in seabed and its momentary liquefaction. Journal
- 537 of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering, 118(2), 202–206. <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-</u> 538 <u>950X(1992)118:2(202)</u>
- 539 Schorghofer, N., Jensen, B., Kudrolli, A., & Rothman, D. H. (2004). Spontaneous channelization in permeable ground: theory,
- 540 experiment, and observation. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 503(503), 357–374. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112004007931
- 541 Sous, D., Lambert, A., Rey, V., & Michallet, H. (2013). Swash-groundwater dynamics in a sandy beach laboratory experiment.
- 542 Coastal Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2013.05.006
- 543 Sous, D., Petitjean, L., Bouchette, F., Rey, V., Meulé, S., Sabatier, F., & Martins, K. (2016). Field evidence of swash
- 544 groundwater circulation in the microtidal rousty beach, France. Advances in Water Resources. 545 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2016.09.009</u>
- 546 Stegmann, S., Sultan, N., Kopf, a., Apprioual, R., & Pelleau, P. (2011). Hydrogeology and its effect on slope stability along
- 547 the coastal aquifer of Nice, France. Marine Geology, 280(1–4), 168–181. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2010.12.009</u>
- 548 Tebaldi, C., Strauss, B. H., & Zervas, C. E. (2012). Modelling sea level rise impacts on storm surges along US coasts.
- 549 Environmental Research Letters. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/7/1/014032</u>

- 550 Therrien, R., McLaren, R. G., Sudicky, E. A., & Panday, S. M. (2010). HydroGeoSphere. A three-dimensional numerical
- 551 model describing fully-integrated subsurface and surface flow and solute transport. Groundwater Simulations Group.
 552 https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742014000300002
- 553 Turner, I. L., Rau, G. C., Austin, M. J., & Andersen, M. S. (2016). Groundwater fluxes and flow paths within coastal barriers:
- 554 Observations from a large-scale laboratory experiment (BARDEX II). Coastal Engineering. 555 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coastaleng.2015.08.004</u>
- Yang, J., Graf, T., Herold, M., & Ptak, T. (2013). Modelling the effects of tides and storm surges on coastal aquifers using a
 coupled surface-subsurface approach. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 149, 61–75.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2013.03.002
- Yang, J., Zhang, H., Yu, X., Graf, T., & Michael, H. A. (2018). Impact of hydrogeological factors on groundwater salinization
 due to ocean-surge inundation. Advances in Water Resources, 111, 423–434.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ADVWATRES.2017.11.017
- 562 Yeh, H., & Mason, H. B. (2014). Sediment response to tsunami loading: Mechanisms and estimates. Geotechnique.
 563 https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.13.P.033
- 564 Yu, X., Yang, J., Graf, T., Koneshloo, M., O'Neal, M. A., & Michael, H. A. (2016). Impact of topography on groundwater 565 salinization due Research, 52(8), 5794-5812. to ocean surge inundation. Water Resources 566 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR018814
- Zen, K., Jeng, D. S., Hsu, J. R. C., & Ohyama, T. (1998). Wave-induced seabed instability: Difference between liquefaction
 and shear failure. Soils and Foundations, 38(2), 37–47. https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf.38.2_37
- 569 Zinn, B., & Harvey, C. F. (2003). When good statistical models of aquifer heterogeneity go bad: A comparison of flow,
- 570 dispersion, and mass transfer in connected and multivariate Gaussian hydraulic conductivity fields. Water Resources Research.
- 571 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2001WR001146</u>
- 572 Abdollahi, A., & Mason, H. B. (2020). Pore Water Pressure Response during Tsunami Loading. Journal of Geotechnical and
- 573 Geoenvironmental Engineering. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)gt.1943-5606.0002205
- 574 Briaud, J.-L. (2013). Geotechnical engineering: unsaturated and saturated soils. John Wiley & Sons.
- 575 Freeze, R. A., & Cherry, J. A. (1979). Groundwater. Prentice-Hall.
- 576 Gelhar, L. W., Welty, C., & Rehfeldt, K. R. (1992). A Critical Review of Data on Field-Scale Dispersin in Aquifers. Water
- 577 Resources Research, 28(7), 1955–1974. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/92WR00607</u>
- 578 Goren, L., Toussaint, R., Aharonov, E., Sparks, D. W., & Flekkøy, E. (2013). A general criterion for liquefaction in granular
- layers with heterogeneous pore pressure. In *Poromechanics V Proceedings of the 5th Biot Conference on Poromechanics*.
 https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784412992.049
- 581 Guimond, J. A., & Michael, H. A. (2021). Effects of Marsh Migration on Flooding, Saltwater Intrusion, and Crop Yield in
- 582 Coastal Agricultural Land Subject to Storm Surge Inundation. *Water Resources Research*, 57(2). 583 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028326

- Paldor, A., & Michael, H. A. (2021). Storm Surges Cause Simultaneous Salinization and Freshening of Coastal Aquifers,
 Exacerbated by Climate Change. *Water Resources Research*, 57(5), e2020WR029213.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR029213
- Yang, J., Graf, T., Herold, M., & Ptak, T. (2013). Modelling the effects of tides and storm surges on coastal aquifers using a
 coupled surface-subsurface approach. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 149, 61–75.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2013.03.002
- Yang, S., & Tsai, F. T. C. (2020). Understanding impacts of groundwater dynamics on flooding and levees in Greater New
 Orleans. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2020.100740
- 592 Yu, X., Yang, J., Graf, T., Koneshloo, M., O'Neal, M. A., & Michael, H. A. (2016). Impact of topography on groundwater 593 salinization due Water 52(8), 5794-5812. to ocean surge inundation. Resources Research, 594 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016WR018814
- 595 Zen, K., Jeng, D. S., Hsu, J. R. C., & Ohyama, T. (1998). Wave-induced seabed instability: Difference between liquefaction
- 596 and shear failure. Soils and Foundations, 38(2), 37–47. https://doi.org/10.3208/sandf.38.2_37