The role of thermokarst evolution in debris flow initiation (Hüttekar Rock Glacier, Austrian Alps)
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Abstract. A rapid sequence of cascading events involving thermokarst lake outburst, local rock glacier front failure, debris flow development, and river blockage hit Radurschl Valley (Ötztal Alps, Tyrol) on 13 August 2019. Compounding effects from permafrost degradation and drainage network development within the rock glacier initiated the complex process chain. The debris flow dammed the main river of the valley, impounding a water volume of 120,000 m³ that was partly drained by excavation to prevent a potentially catastrophic outburst flood. We present a systematic analysis of destabilizing factors to deduce the failure mechanism. Identification and evaluation of individual factors reveals a critical combination of topographical and sedimentological disposition, climate, and weather patterns driving the evolution of a thermokarst drainage network. Progressively changing groundwater flow and storage patterns within the frozen sediment accumulation governed the slope stability of the rock glacier front. Our results demonstrate the hazard potential of active rock glaciers due to their large amount of mobilizable sediment, dynamically changing internal structure, thermokarst lake development, and substantial water flow along a rapidly evolving channel network.

1 Introduction

Climate change and its adverse effects on slope stability rapidly alter patterns of landslide occurrence and the balance between destabilizing and resisting forces (Gariano and Guzzetti, 2016; Adler et al., 2022). Landslide engineers and decision makers face a delicate challenge since data-driven hazard assessment methods are at risk of losing predictive power as boundary conditions change at timescales that are short compared to typically available landslide records (Haeberli and Whiteman, 2015; Patton et al., 2019). In this context, a process-based understanding of potential failure mechanisms is indispensable for identifying landslide hazard at sites considered stable under past conditions (Evans and Delaney, 2015; Schauwecker et al., 2019). This is especially true for debris flows initiating in permafrost affected terrain, due to the rapid alteration of slope stability in their initiation zones, their ability to traverse great distances at high velocities, and their high impact forces (Petley, 2012; Dowling and Santi, 2014). Hence failure in remote areas which are typically characterized by data scarcity and incomplete monitoring has the potential to dramatically affect people and infrastructure far from debris flow initiation (Haque et al., 2016, 2019).
The European Alps are affected by severe increases in surface air temperature (\(\sim 0.3 \, ^\circ C\) per decade, exceeding global warming rates), altering snow cover dynamics and driving permafrost thaw (Beniston et al., 2018; Olefs et al., 2020; Fox-Kemper et al., 2021). The increasing frequency of high-intensity precipitation events amplifies the likelihood of landslides (Ranasinghe et al., 2021). Slope stability decreases as subsurface liquid water content, ice ductility, and permeability increase in response to permafrost degradation (Patton et al., 2019; Hock et al., 2019). Moreover, glacier retreat enhances the accumulation of loose, unstable sediment at high elevations (Deline et al., 2015; Hock et al., 2019). These changing environmental conditions alter the geotechnical and hydraulic ground properties, and modify the characteristics and seasonality of landslides accordingly (Stoffel and Huggel, 2012; Gariano and Guzzetti, 2016; Patton et al., 2019). The consecutive variation in process chains and cascading events makes them especially difficult to predict under a changing climate, with potentially catastrophic consequences downstream (Deline et al., 2015).

The large sediment accumulations provided by active rock glaciers, i.e. distinct ice-debris accumulations slowly creeping downhill, pose a serious hazard in terms of landslide initiation. Thermo–hydro–mechanical processes determine the deformation characteristics of rock glaciers, with plastic deformation governed by permafrost ice content and temperature, while discrete shear failure is commonly initiated by elevated pore-water pressures along the failure surface (Arenson and Springman, 2005; Krainer et al., 2015; Kofler et al., 2021). Common deformation rates are on the order of decimeters to meters per year, accelerating across the European Alps in response to climate change (Hock et al., 2019; Marcer et al., 2021). Previous research demonstrated that hydrological processes fundamentally control the style and rate of rock glacier kinematics (Ikeda et al., 2008; Buchli et al., 2013, 2018; Cicoira et al., 2019). However, while the impact of thermokarst evolution on the storage and release of water in these landforms is well documented, its role in debris flow initiation at rock glacier fronts remains largely unknown (Krainer and Mostler, 2002; Winkler et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2019; Kainz, 2022).

Active rock glaciers constitute multi hazard elements (Kappes et al., 2012; Gallina et al., 2016) in that they induce a spectrum of mass movement processes ranging from occasional rockfall to debris flow events, potentially involving complex chain processes (Burger et al., 1999; Kummert and Delaloye, 2018; Kummert et al., 2018). Debris flows initiated by destabilizing rock glacier fronts occur most frequently in response to heavy rainfall (e.g., Krainer et al. (2012); Marcer et al. (2020); Kofler et al. (2021)). However, intense snowmelt, rain-on-snow events, and exceptionally warm periods have also been discerned as triggering factors (Lugon and Stoffel, 2010; Bodin et al., 2017; Kummert et al., 2018). Regardless of the detailed initiation process, the mechanics of debris flows require excessive amounts of water, capable of transporting the mobilized debris down the flow path (Soeters and van Westen, 1996).

The aim of this paper is to explore the destabilizing factors leading to local failure of an active rock glacier front in the high mountain cirque Hüttekar in the Austrian Alps. We analyze the cascading processes involving thermokarst lake outburst, debris flow, and river blockage. By evaluating a set of potentially contributing factors and assessing critical factor combinations, we develop a consistent conception explaining debris flow initiation at the rock glacier front. Similarities and differences with respect to documented debris flows at other rock glacier fronts are analyzed, and conclusions drawn regarding hazard potential.
2 Study site

The study site comprises the highest areas of Radurschl Valley, a valley in the Western Ötztal Alps constituting a headwater tributary to the Inn River (Fig. 1). The landscape is characterized by rugged terrain including steep slopes and crests, interrupted by relatively flat domains covered by talus, moraines, and periglacial sediments. The area shows an exceptionally high rock glacier density (56 % areal coverage), as well as small remnants of cirque glaciers in its uppermost parts.

The specific cirque under consideration is Hüttekar-cirque, a small (2.8 km$^2$) headwater catchment encircled by ragged rock walls except to the west, where it steeply descends to Radurschl Valley (Fig. 2; 46°54´ N, 10°39´ E). Its altitude ranges from 2,387 to 3,353 m a. s. l., including a relatively flat valley bottom between 2,600 and 2,700 m a. s. l. (mean catchment altitude 2,870 m a. s. l.). The terrain is composed of bedrock (38 %), mainly exposed in the highest parts. Talus and debris slopes (17 %) dominate along the valley sides, while the lower parts are covered by moraine deposits (12 %) and four rock glaciers (27 %). Two small, north facing cirque glaciers (Glockturnferner, Hüttekarferner; 6 %) are situated at mean altitudes of 2,853 and 3,029 m a. s. l., respectively (Fig. 3).

The bedrock lithology is composed of metamorphic rocks of the Ötztal–Stubai–Complex (Ötztal–Bundschuh nappe system; Hoinkes and Thöni (1993); Schmid et al. (2004)). The mountain ridges bordering the cirque to the south and to the east are dominated by orthogneiss (augen- and flasergneiss), the ridge to the north and northwest exhibits muscovite-granite-orthogneiss (Fig. 3). At Rotschragenjoch and south of Bruchkopf, paragneiss and micaschist with thin intercalations of amphibolite are exposed.

The local climate is influenced by the dry, inner alpine conditions prevailing in the Ötztal Alps (Isotta et al., 2014). With respect to long-term (1976-2019) averages across Austria, annual precipitation is moderate (1042 mm) and mean annual air
Figure 2. (a) Illustration of Hüttekar-cirque, Hüttekar Rock Glacier, the debris flow and the impounded lake (view is towards the east). The debris flow was initiated at the steep front of Hüttekar Rock Glacier (highlighted), caused by rapid drainage of a thermokarst lake that existed between 01 June and 13 August 2019 (former position indicated). The debris flow path sections are outlined and labelled according to Hungr (2005). (b) Debris flow morphology two years after its initiation. The progressively enlarging initiation zone eroded already significant parts of the steep rock glacier front. The transport zone is characterized by a set of levees along a narrow channel. The former flow path of the blocked river is still clearly visible below the lake surface. The channel draining the lake was excavated to prevent a potentially catastrophic outburst following river blockage in August 2019 (photographs: Rudolf Philippitsch, 14 September 2021).
temperature is low (-2.5°C), reflecting the high altitude of Hüttekar-cirque and its central position close to the main chain of the Alps (corresponding areal averages across Austria are 1077 mm and 6.6°C, respectively). Monthly precipitation reaches its maximum in August and its minimum in February, with the respective months corresponding to the highest and lowest mean air temperature (Table 1). The dry climatic conditions and the local elevation distribution promote the development of rock glaciers. The equilibrium line altitude of glaciers, rising from ~3,100 to ~3,300 m a. s. l. during the 20th century, is located within the steep summit region (Žebre et al., 2021). These unfavorable conditions for cirque glacier development allow rock glaciers to cover the extensive flat terrain above the lower permafrost boundary, ranging from ~2,400 to ~2,600 m a. s. l. (Kerschner, 1982; Ribis, 2017).

The largest rock glacier in this cirque, Hüttekar Rock Glacier, is 1408 m long and up to 493 m wide, covering an area of 0.5 km² in the lowermost parts of the cirque (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S1). Its gently sloping surface is characterized by distinct furrows and ridges, while the steep front rests on top of a slope above Radurschl Valley. Rock glacier debris is composed of orthogneiss derived from the Glockturm massif. In the southeast, the lower, debris covered parts of Glockturnferner transition into the rock glacier rooting zone. The exact boundary between debris covered glacier and rock glacier is not known. Massive
Table 1. Long-term (1976–2019) mean monthly air temperature and precipitation in Hüttekar-cirque. Values are calculated based on the continuously updated SPARTACUS dataset (Spatiotemporal Reanalysis Dataset for Climate in Austria), as described in Hiebl and Frei (2016, 2018).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air temperature (°C)</td>
<td>-9.7</td>
<td>-9.8</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>-8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (mm)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ice is frequently visible beneath a ∼1–2 m thick debris layer in a shallow depression at the southeastern edge of the rock glacier, close to the suspected transition zone. The debris layer consists of poorly sorted boulders with individual blocks measuring up to 4 m, arranged in a loose, clast-supported structure. The blocky surface layer covers a finer-grained layer dominated by poorly sorted gravel and sand that is exposed along the rock glacier front. The unfrozen domain of this heterogeneous debris layer increases irregularly in thickness towards the rock glacier front, reaching a maximal thickness of ∼5–10 m.

A small meltwater current from Glockturmferner infiltrates into the rock glacier rooting zone. Water flowing along the permafrost table is visible and audible between boulders at several places in the southern part of the rock glacier. These water currents follow distinct channels that can be traced below the boulders covering the rock glacier surface. Where visible between the boulders, the channels are up to 1 m wide and 20 cm deep (Supplementary Fig. S1). Surface water bodies in Hüttekar-cirque are restricted to small meltwater lakes and currents in immediate vicinity of the two cirque glaciers. The absence of surface creeks in the lower parts of Hüttekar-cirque indicates that it is drained exclusively by subsurface flow, emerging as a group of small springs at the toe of the slope descending to Radurschl Valley (Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S1). The exact number and position of these springs varies during the year, but they are constrained to the sedimentary cone covering the toe of the slope, as evident from 23 field surveys between 2019 and 2022 covering all months. Spring discharge of individual outlets is < 1 l/s and electrical conductivity ranges from 60 to 75 µS/cm, as indicated by repeated measurements during these surveys.

3 Debris flow event

A debris flow eroded the steep slope bordering Hüttekar-cirque to the west (Fig. 2; classification according to Hungr et al. (2014)). The event description given below is based on witness reports by Josef Waldner (staff of the nearby hut Hohenzollernhaus) and Gerhard Schaffenrath (local shepherd). In addition, the debris flow was documented during several field surveys as well as two helicopter flights by Roman Außerlechner, Thomas Figl and Werner Thöny (Geological Survey of Tyrol) on 14 and 26 August 2019, respectively.

Following a moderate precipitation event, destabilization initiated on 13 August 2019, mobilizing a debris volume of several thousand m$^3$ from the steep rock glacier front (Fig. 4a). The debris flow started at 03:00 AM (Central European Summer Time, UTC+2), the main event lasted until about 12:00 PM, followed by reduced debris flow activity that persisted until the next day. Slope failure initiated along an irregularly shaped rupture in ice-cemented debris, exposed at the main scarp (Fig. 4b).
Accelerating and disintegrating, the transported mass evolved into a debris flow following a narrow channel down the steep slope below the rock glacier front (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Supplementary Fig. S2). About 200 m below the initiation zone, the material spread out and formed a fan of 33,000 m$^2$, thereby damming the river Radurschlbach at an elevation of 2,200 m a. s. l. (Fig. 4c and 4d, Supplementary Fig. S1 and S2). Consequently, a lake covering an area of $\sim$60,000 m$^2$ developed in Radurschl Valley, causing the downstream riverbed to fall dry temporarily (Fig. 4c; Supplementary Fig. S1 and S2). Excavation of a drainage channel lowered the mean water depth from 2 m to 1 m during the following days to prevent a potentially catastrophic outburst.

Subsequently, a dam was constructed on the debris fan to restrain future debris flows from damming Radurschlbach again.

Based on in situ observations, aerial photographs, and several surveys conducted before as well as after the debris flow event, a total volume of 40,000 – 50,000 m$^3$ of mobilized sediment is estimated, providing a rough indication of the event magnitude. The quoted water depths are reconstructed from in situ observations and reviewed by mapping the maximum lake before and after channel excavation, and corresponding volume calculations employing a high-resolution (1 $\times$ 1 m) digital terrain model (DTM) based on airborne laserscanning data acquired one year before debris flow occurrence (Government of the Province of Tyrol, 2021a).

During the same night as the debris flow initiation, a thermokarst lake on top of Hüttekar Rock Glacier started draining $\sim$350 m behind the debris flow initiation zone and emptied almost completely during the following day, as indicated by local observations (Fig. 3, Fig. 4e, Fig. 4f, Supplementary Video). The thermokarst lake had started to develop coincidently with the sudden onset of intense snowmelt in early June 2019 within a shallow depression where massive ice within the rock glacier prevented drainage (Fig. 4g). In the stage of its largest extent, the thermokarst lake was approximately 300 m long, up to about 150 m wide and 4–5 m deep, comprising an estimated water volume of $\sim$150,000 m$^3$.

Effective drainage occurred through a large crevasse (width $\sim$1.5 m, height $\sim$2 m) that formed in association with the debris flow initiation (Fig. 4h). The crevasse was part of a newly formed channel system connecting the thermokarst lake to that part of the rock glacier front that failed, constituting the debris flow initiation zone. While the exact vertical position of this channel system is not known, clearly discernible and precisely confined collapse structures indicate the trace of this channel network along the rock glacier surface (Supplementary Fig. S1 and S3). Large amounts of water were rapidly transferred to the debris flow initiation zone where retrogressive linear erosion indicates that concentrated water flow emerged at the main scarp (Fig. 4b). During the following months, erosion of loose sediment in the vicinity of the debris flow initiation zone persistently modified its shape and continues to widen it until today. The depression storing the former thermokarst lake never filled again but still shows distinct morphology (Supplementary Fig. S1 and S3).

During the decades preceding the debris flow event, thermokarst lakes had never been observed before on Hüttekar Rock Glacier, despite frequent visits by hikers, hunters, shepherds, and staff of Hohenzollernhaus (Josef Waldner, pers. comm.). In line with these observations, publicly available remote sensing data and historical maps of Hüttekar-cirque do not exhibit any indications of thermokarst lake development on Hüttekar Rock Glacier before June 2019 (evident from Sentinel-2 satellite imagery provided by Copernicus and processed by Sentinel Hub (https://www.sentinel-hub.com/), historical ortho-images and laserscans provided by the Government of the Province of Tyrol, (https://www.data.gv.at/; https://lba.tirol.gv.at/), and historical maps provided by the Government of the Province of Tyrol (https://hik.tirol.gv.at)).
Figure 4. Images documenting the debris flow event that initiated on 13 August 2019. (a) Debris flow initiation zone at the steep front of Hüttekar Rock Glacier. (b) Ice-cemented debris (blue arrow) exposed in the main scarp. Linear retrogressive erosion indicates concentrated water flow (red arrow). (c) Debris flow deposits blocking Radurschlbach. (d) Debris flow material. (e) Thermokarst lake and drainage channel (yellow arrow) on Hüttekar Rock Glacier. (f) Extent of thermokarst lake on 14 August 2019 and maximum extent one day earlier (dashed line). The channel connecting the lake to the debris flow initiation zone is indicated by the yellow arrow. (g) Impermeable ice underlying the thermokarst lake. Blue arrows indicate vertical convexities attributed to thermal convection of water during the lake development. Red arrows indicate undercutting of the ice along the lake shoreline, promoted by thermal convection. (h) Thermokarst channel eroded into the frozen rock glacier core, facilitating rapid drainage of the thermokarst lake. Photographs were taken on 13 August 2019 (g–h) by Josef Waldner, as well as on 14 August 2019 (c–f) and 26 August 2019 (a–b), respectively, by Roman Außerlechner, Thomas Figl, Werner Thöny.
4 Methods

The inherent stability of the slope determines its response to changes affecting the balance of driving and resisting forces (Crozier, 2010). A systematic identification of factors promoting slope instability is conducted by differentiating (Glade and Crozier, 2005):

1. predisposing factors (inducing a static setting that enhances the destabilizing impact of dynamic factors),
2. preparatory factors (dynamic factors shifting the slope towards a state susceptible to failure), and
3. triggering factors (dynamic factors initiating failure).

We evaluate the impact of individual factors on slope stability and identify critical factor combinations to reconstruct the failure mechanism. The chosen methods aim at maximizing comparability to earlier studies on debris flow initiation at active rock glacier fronts. All analyzed data and employed software are freely available, the respective links are provided in the ‘Data availability’ section.

4.1 Predisposing factor analysis

An unfavorable topographical setting is a necessary precondition for rock glacier front failure. The impact of morphometric factors including slope angle, length, and curvature is evaluated using the high-resolution DTM mentioned above (Government of the Province of Tyrol, 2021a). Morphometric analyses are conducted applying SAGA GIS 2.1.4 (Conrad et al., 2015). Slope angle and downslope curvature calculations (Freeman, 1991) are performed using a smoothed (cubic convolution resampling to 10 × 10 m) DTM to detect the fundamental topographical features.

The spatial permafrost distribution is estimated by taking recourse to the Alpine Permafrost Index Map, providing a static proxy for potential permafrost occurrence and its spatial coherence (Boeckli et al., 2012b, a). While the dynamic effect of climate change is not considered explicitly by the index map, permafrost degradation is expected to intensify close to the lower permafrost boundary (Marcer et al., 2019). The local influence of topography on the energy available for permafrost degradation is assessed by calculating the potential incoming solar radiation based on the DTM. Calculations of mean annual solar radiation under clear-sky conditions are performed employing GRASS GIS 8.0 (GRASS Development Team, 2022) module r.sun (Hofierka et al., 2007), assuming an average surface albedo of 0.2.

The grain size distribution of the rock glacier front material is inspected by wet sieving of four samples taken in 2009, 50 m southwest of the later debris flow initiation zone (position indicated in Fig. 3). Due to the heterogeneous structure of the rock glacier, these samples are not representative for the grain size composition of the entire rock glacier. However, considering the proximity of the sampling locations to the subsequent debris flow initiation zone, and the dangerous sampling conditions at active rock glacier fronts in general, we consider these samples reasonable approximations to the grain size composition of the debris flow source material.
4.2 Preparatory factor analysis

Time series of ortho-images are used to quantify rock glacier surface displacement rates and the evolution of surface features indicating destabilization (including cracks, crevasses, and scarps; Avian et al. (2007); Roer et al. (2008); Delaloye et al. (2013); Marcer et al. (2019)). The analyzed ortho-images exhibit a spatial resolution of 20 cm and are provided as Supplementary Fig. S3 (Government of the Province of Tyrol, 2021b). In the observation period 1970–2020, 200 prominent blocks, geometrically well distributed on the rock glacier surface, are visually identified at every ortho-image epoch to approximate the horizontal surface displacement rates at the respective location within single periods (1970-2003-2007-2010-2020, Avian et al. (2009); Kummert and Delaloye (2018)). Additionally, the position of the rock glacier front line (top of the erosional slope) is mapped at every epoch. Visual detectability within optical imagery is approximately half of a pixel size (in this case 10 cm). Assessed surface displacement rates cover at least 3 years, thus minimum rates of 3–4 cm are detectable.

The hydrometeorological conditions preceding the debris flow are contextualized by comparing them to past conditions. The long-term evolution of air temperature and precipitation in Hüttekar-cirque is evaluated using the gridded (1 × 1 km) SPARTACUS data set (Spatiotemporal Reanalysis Dataset for Climate in Austria; Hiebl and Frei (2016, 2018)). The dataset is continuously updated by GeoSphere Austria. Daily precipitation totals and 24 hour mean air temperature data observed from 1976 to 2019 are extracted and averaged across Hüttekar-cirque. The averaging domain corresponds to the Hüttekar Rock Glacier catchment as specified by Wagner et al. (2020). We calculate the annual positive degree day sum (daily mean air temperature > 0 °C) as a proxy for available melting energy, and the precipitation due to very wet days (> 95th percentile) which reflects the annual magnitude of heavy precipitation events (Klein Tank et al., 2009; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). The impact of these climate indices is assessed by comparing their respective values during summer 2019 (01 June–13 August (failure date)) to previous years (1976–2019). To estimate changes in their central tendency, trend direction and rate of change are evaluated using the nonparametric seasonal Mann Kendall test and Theil-Sen slope, respectively (Hirsch et al., 1982; Hirsch and Slack, 1984). Calculations are performed employing R packages ’rkt’ 1.6 (Marchetto, 2021) and ‘climdex.pcic’ 1.1 (Bronaugh, 2020), implemented in R 4.2.0 (R Core Team, 2022).

For each winter season, the cumulated snowmelt volume in Hüttekar-cirque is calculated and compared to the 2018/19 season. The rate of snowmelt is approximated by the respective seasonal average during the time span between maximal snow volume and complete ablation of the winter snow cover, in analogy to Kofler et al. (2021). Snow cover development is assessed using the spatially distributed, physically based snow cover model SNOWGRID (Olefs et al., 2013). The model employs a simple two-layer scheme, considering settling, the heat and liquid water content of the snow cover, snowline depression effects and the energy added by rain. Snow density, snow water equivalent, snow temperature, liquid water content, bottom liquid water flux, and surface albedo are calculated at hourly resolution. The model employs a 100 × 100 m bilinear interpolation of the INCA data set (Integrated Nowcasting through Comprehensive Analysis; Haiden et al. (2011)) in combination with schemes for radiation and cloudiness based on ground measurements, satellite products, and high quality solar and terrestrial radiation data (Olefs et al., 2013, 2016). The resulting snow cover data are routinely evaluated and validated by GeoSphere Austria using more than 50 station measurements of snow depth, additional measurements regarding snow depth and snow
water equivalent (5 stations along with more than 200 individual measurements), snow depth measurements employing laser sensors, winter mass balance measurements of glaciers within the model domain, spatial validation of snow cover extent using satellite-based fractional snow cover area provided by MODIS, as well as cumulative runoff data (Olefs et al., 2020).

The total volume and average rate of ice melt are calculated for each year between ablation of the snow cover and 13 August (failure date), based on the surface energy balance for the respective glacier (Hock, 2005; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). Ice melt rates are calculated at hourly intervals by evaluating radiative, turbulent, and advective energy fluxes per glacier derived from INCA, assuming a constant glacier surface temperature of 0 °C (considered a reasonable approximation for the ablation zones of temperate glaciers during the summer season; Cuffey and Paterson (2010)). Shortwave net radiation is calculated by evaluating global radiation, accounting for the reflective and shadowing influences of topography as outlined in Sect. 4.1. Atmospheric conditions influencing longwave radiation are considered following Greuell et al. (1997) and Oerlemans (2000). Sensible and latent heat fluxes are calculated by parameterization of the respective transport processes based on turbulence similarity (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). The rainfall heat flux is estimated assuming that rainfall temperature approaches the near-surface air temperature. Physical specifications and plausibility evaluation are provided in Appendix A.

4.3 Triggering factor analysis

In order to evaluate the impact of the rainfall event immediately preceding the debris flow on 13 August 2019, rainfall time series are extracted from INCA at hourly resolution, averaged across the potentially contributing area (Hüttekar-cirque), and discretized into single events by defining a minimum of 24 hours between individual events (Nikolopoulos et al., 2015; Marra et al., 2016). Inclusion of 12 weather stations at a distance < 25 km from Hüttekar-cirque, in combination with C-band radar measurements and Meteosat Second Generation Satellite Products, allows reliable identification of event characteristics at the study site. Calculations are performed employing the R package ‘IETD’ 1.0 (Duque, 2020), implemented in R 4.2.0 (R Core Team, 2022). The resulting ensemble of rainfall event duration, volume, and average intensity is analyzed by conducting a frequency analysis. The severity of individual events is assessed employing the frequentist approach developed by Brunetti et al. (2010) and Peruccacci et al. (2012). The rainfall event characteristics immediately preceding the slope failure are compared to earlier events hitting Hüttekar-cirque as well as to regional critical rainfall thresholds for debris flow initiation (Nikolopoulos et al., 2015; Marra et al., 2016).

Breakthrough of thermokarst channels within the rock glacier is facilitated by a positive feedback mechanism of energy transfer along the channel system inducing ice melt and channel enlargement, which in turn increases discharge and accelerates channel growth (Huggel et al., 2004; Clague and O’Connor, 2015). Dimensional analysis indicates that the energy provided by the upstream water body governs expansion rates of the drainage system (Clarke, 1982, 2003; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). The prediction of the specific channel system evolution is impossible without exact knowledge of its geometry and hydraulic properties. However, the estimation of the thermokarst lake energy budget represents a measure of the total energy available for thermokarst development. Undercutting and vertical pipe structures eroded into the ice along the lake shoreline provide field evidence for thermal convection (Fig. 4g), promoting energy turnover and melting of ice beneath the lake while constraining the surface water temperature to < 4 °C due to negative thermal expansion (Haeberli et al., 2001; Kääb and Haeberli, 2001;
Table 2. Morphometric analysis based on a high-resolution DTM acquired one year before debris flow occurrence by the Government of the Province of Tyrol (2021a). Results are given as averages across the debris flow initiation zone, transportation zone, and zone of deposition, respectively (indicated in Fig. 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphometric parameter</th>
<th>Initiation zone</th>
<th>Transportation zone</th>
<th>Zone of deposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean altitude (m a.s.l.)</td>
<td>2,541</td>
<td>2,362</td>
<td>2,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean slope gradient (°)</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean downslope curvature (-)</td>
<td>+0.05</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Werder et al., 2010). Rapid transfer of energy exchanged at the lake surface to the ice sealing the lake bottom keeps the water at roughly constant temperature, thus allowing for an assessment of the lake energy balance based on INCA data (physical specifications are provided in Appendix A). The spatiotemporal evolution of the thermokarst lake on Hüttekar Rock Glacier is deduced by combining Sentinel-2 multi-spectral satellite data and the latest (2018) available DTM, characterizing the lake surface area dynamics and corresponding water volume development (modified Copernicus data 2020 processed by Sentinel Hub (2020); Government of the Province of Tyrol (2021a)). Due to the dynamic nature of the rock glacier surface, volume estimates are considered rough estimates, failing to account for water stored in the pore space and potential intra-permafrost channels.

5 Results

5.1 Predisposing factors

Morphometric analysis of the study area reveals several features promoting debris flow development. The slope (900 m long and 400 m in elevation difference) bordering Hüttekar-cirque to the west shows a very steep, convex top and a steep, concave main part (Fig. 5a, Fig. 5b). Prior to landslide initiation, the prospective initiation zone was characterized by steep slope angle and convex downslope curvature (Table 2). It formed a part of the 400 m wide and 100 m high rock glacier front, bounded to the top by a distinct erosional edge separating it from the flat rock glacier surface. The steep rock glacier front (35° on average) exhibited roughly homogeneous appearance, except for two bedrock outcrops in its southern part. A direct comparison of this area before and after the debris flow event is provided in Supplementary Fig. S4.

Sieve analyses of the rock glacier front material (Fig. 6) show that gravel (64 %) and sand (25 %) are the dominating grain sizes, while the amount of clay and silt is very low (< 1 %). Detailed results are provided in Supplementary Table S1. The samples are extremely poorly sorted (4.4 after Folk and Ward (1957)). Loose deposits of sediments exhibiting similar grain size distributions are well known to respond to shearing in a contractive manner and constitute characteristic debris flow material (Iverson, 1997; Savage and Baum, 2005). Experimental investigations of similarly composed source material confirm these observations, identifying contractive response to shearing and pore pressure diffusion timescales exceeding the duration of debris flow motion as major prerequisites for mobilization (dashed lines in Fig. 6; Major (1996); Iverson (1997)).
Figure 5. Spatial distribution of predisposing factors across Hüttekar-cirque prior to debris flow initiation. (a) Slope angle, indicating that the debris flow initiated in steep terrain (33°). (b) Downslope curvature, demonstrating that convex topography prevailed in the initiation zone before the debris flow event (+0.05). (c) Potential incoming solar radiation, illustrating the high-energy environment characterizing the debris flow initiation zone (2135 kWh m\(^2\) a\(^{-1}\)). (d) Permafrost index, indicating that the debris flow initiated close to the lower permafrost boundary (Boeckli et al., 2012a). Terrain analyses are based on a high-resolution DTM acquired one year before debris flow occurrence by the Government of the Province of Tyrol (2021a). Perceptually uniform and color-vision deficiency friendly color ramps are provided by Crameri (2018).

The 80 m wide and 200 m long debris flow initiation zone eroded into the rock glacier front exposes its internal structure. The irregular, concave niche exhibits steep flanks that are up to 30 m high and composed of loose, poorly-sorted sediment (Fig. 4a and 4b; Supplementary Fig. S1–S4). Its top represents the terminus of a collapse structure network connecting it
Figure 6. Grain-size distributions of material composing the rock glacier front. The corresponding sampling locations are 50 m southwest of the debris flow initiation zone, as indicated in Fig. 3. Dashed grey lines represent source compositions of a set of experimentally investigated debris flows showing contractive shear response and undrained failure (USGS debris flow flume; Major (1996); Iverson (1997); Iverson et al. (1997)). Classification according to ISO 14688-1 (International Organization for Standardization, 2017).

to the former position of the thermokarst lake (Supplementary Fig. S1, S3, and S4), with linear erosion features indicating concentrated emergence of water. After the debris flow initiation, frozen material was exposed immediately below the top (Fig. 4a and 4b; Supplementary Fig. S2). Assessment of thermal ground conditions indicates that the debris flow initiation zone is subjected to a high energy environment. Potential incoming solar radiation reaches 2,135 kWh m$^{-2}$ a$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5c). The Alpine Permafrost Index Map indicates that the initiation zone is located at the lower permafrost boundary, with permafrost preserved only in very favorable conditions (Fig. 5d).

The channel below the rock glacier front is less steep and concave (Table 2). The debris fan was already comparatively flat before the 2019 debris flow (Table 2). Irregular micromorphology, depositional levees, and boulder trains document earlier debris flows (Fig. 2, Fig. 5; Supplementary Fig. S2; Soeters and van Westen (1996); Pack (2005); Hungr et al. (2014)).

Collectively, the convex morphology and exposed position, strong potential radiation and unfavorable permafrost index are conducive to an advanced stage of permafrost degradation, increased water contents, and large amounts of unfrozen, loose sediment susceptible to mobilization (Marcer et al., 2019).

5.2 Preparatory factors

Analysis of multi-temporal ortho-images indicates roughly constant, moderate surface displacement rates (Supplementary Fig. S5). The kinematics of the rock glacier surface show mean annual displacement rates of the 200 prominent blocks ranging from 1–50 cm a$^{-1}$ (mean: 15 cm a$^{-1}$) in the observation period of 1970–2020. Data for individual blocks and summary statistics are provided in Supplementary Table S2. The position of the rock glacier front fluctuates non-directionally (amplitude 3–7 m),
Table 3. Climate analysis highlighting the warm and moderately dry conditions prevailing during summer 2019, preceding the debris flow. In addition, air temperature and positive degree day sum show a strong long-term trend, promoting permafrost degradation in Hüttekar-cirque. Angle brackets indicate average values, insignificant trends (at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level) are given in rounded brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Air temperature</th>
<th>Positive degree day sum</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>Precipitation due to very wet days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>7.0 °C</td>
<td>519 °C</td>
<td>329 mm</td>
<td>102 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer &lt;1976–2019&gt;</td>
<td>4.6 °C</td>
<td>358 °C</td>
<td>346 mm</td>
<td>97 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2019&gt;</td>
<td>-1.5 °C</td>
<td>842 °C</td>
<td>1,348 mm</td>
<td>359 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1976–2019&gt;</td>
<td>-2.5 °C</td>
<td>653 °C</td>
<td>1,042 mm</td>
<td>245 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend magnitude</td>
<td>+0.05 °C a⁻¹</td>
<td>+7.2 °C a⁻¹</td>
<td>(+0.13 mm a⁻¹)</td>
<td>(+0.05 mm a⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend significance ($p$-value)</td>
<td>$2.4 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$(3.7 \times 10^{-1})$</td>
<td>$(9.9 \times 10^{-1})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

indicating that steady erosion of the front approximately balances rock glacier movement. The absence of surface discontinuities and the constant surface displacement rates do not indicate general destabilization of the rock glacier before the 2019 debris flow. However, after the debris flow, a cluster of collapse structures connecting the shallow depression that hosted the thermokarst lake and the debris flow initiation zone is clearly recognizable (Supplementary Fig. S3).

The months preceding the debris flow were characterized by warm and dry conditions, on top of an exceptionally strong long-term trend of increasing air temperature in Hüttekar-cirque (Table 3). In 2019, the summer months show exceptionally high air temperatures compared to the long-term average (+2.4 °C). The high positive degree day sum (+161 °C) reflects the large amount of energy available for melting (Table 3). Total precipitation is moderately low (-17 mm) and slightly concentrated on very wet days (+5 mm) with respect to the long-term average (Table 3). The climate data record shows significantly increasing air temperature (+0.05 °C a⁻¹, exceeding corresponding trends at the global and European Alps scale (+0.02°C a⁻¹ and +0.03°C a⁻¹, respectively; Hock et al. (2019))) and positive degree day sum (+7.2 °C a⁻¹). In contrast, neither total precipitation nor precipitation due to very wet days increases significantly during the 43 years preceding the debris flow (at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level).

Figure 7 depicts the inter-annual comparison of snowmelt, ice melt and rainfall (normalized to catchment area). The winter season preceding the debris flow was characterized by a prominent snow cover, almost monotonically gaining volume until 29 May 2019. The late onset of snowmelt is responsible for the unusually high peak, followed by high solar exposure and extraordinarily warm air temperatures driving rapid ablation in June. The high rates of meltwater production caused widespread flooding in Tyrol, despite the fact that June 2019 was a particularly dry month (Hydrological Service of Tyrol, 2019; Hübl and Beck, 2020). Intense snowmelt and ice melt rates in Hüttekar-cirque reflect the strong atmospheric energy input in 2019 (11.2 and 2.7 mm d⁻¹, respectively; Fig. 7b).

In contrast to the high intensity of the melting processes, comparing total volumes of snowmelt, ice melt and rainfall indicates inconspicuous overall conditions in 2019 (Fig. 7c). As a first-order approximation, we compare cumulative volumes between 1 January 2019 and 13 August 2019 (failure date) to earlier years (consistently totalized from 1 January to 13 August), acknowledging that the relatively short record precludes statistically substantiated conclusions. Compared to the four years be-
Figure 7. (a) Time series of rainfall, snowmelt and ice melt in Hüttekar based on INCA (Haiden et al., 2011) and SNOWGRID (Olefs et al., 2013). The snow cover dynamics in spring 2019 differ from the preceding years by the late and rapid snowmelt. (b) Average snowmelt and ice melt rates for individual years. Spring 2019 is characterized by exceptionally high snowmelt rates. (c) Total water volumes (between 01 January and 13 August) per year, attributed to rainfall, snowmelt and ice melt, respectively. Despite the different snow cover dynamics in spring 2019, the total meltwater volume exceeds the respective volume of the preceding years only slightly.

Before 2019 (for which continuous SNOWGRID data are available), the total snow melt volume exceeds the 5-year-average by 19 %, while both the total ice melt volume and rainfall volume are slightly below this average (-3 % and -2 %, respectively). The rapid snowmelt efficiently eliminated the snow cover in Hüttekar-cirque, so that snowmelt ceased on 22 July 2019, i.e. 22 days before the debris flow initiated.

The combination of large amounts of snow available for melting and abnormally warm and dry weather conditions resulted in rapid and extensive meltwater production, thus favoring the ponding of meltwater on the surface of Hüttekar Rock Glacier.
The continuously high atmospheric energy input promoted the subsequent development of the thermokarst lake from 3 June 2019 to 13 August 2019 described in Sect. 5.3. Summarizing, major hydrometeorological factors distinguishing 2019 from earlier years are the rapid and late snowmelt, the high energy environment during the summer months, and the storage of water in the newly formed thermokarst lake.

5.3 Triggering factors

The rainfall event preceding the debris flow lasted for 74 h at an average intensity of 0.54 mm h\(^{-1}\) (total rainfall volume 40 mm). The frequency analysis shows that 12 % of earlier rainfall events hitting Hüttekar-cirque exceeded it in severity (Fig. 8). The event did not cross the regional critical rainfall thresholds for debris flow initiation with respect to intensity-duration (Fig. 8a; Nikolopoulos et al. (2015)) and volume-duration (Fig. 8b; Marra et al. (2016)), although these are regarded conservative estimates (Wieczorek and Guzzetti, 2000; Guzzetti et al., 2007). These characteristics distinctly contrast with the rainfall events preceding well documented debris flows from other rock glacier fronts in the European Alps. Those occurred most often in response to heavy rainfall (Fig. 8): the Ritigraben event on 24 September 1993 (Lugon and Stoffel, 2010), the Murfreit event on 02 July 2003 (Krainer et al., 2012), the Hintergrat and Similaungrube events, both on 13 August 2014 (Kofler et al., 2021), as well as the Lou event on 14 August 2015 (Marcer et al., 2020). In contrast, the rainfall event preceding the debris flow at Hüttekar Rock Glacier was neither especially intense, nor especially persistent.

Field observations as well as satellite data analysis detect the rapid evolution and drainage of the thermokarst lake that formed on the rock glacier surface before the debris flow. Sentinel-2 satellite imagery indicates water pooling in the depression on 03 June 2019, when snow still covered large parts of the rock glacier catchment (520 mm average snow water equivalent based on SNOWGRID). The lake gained most of its volume during the intense snowmelt period in June (Fig. 7a). Linear interpolation of the lake surface area between the cloud-free satellite imagery dates enables the calculation of the total energy input to the lake (Table 4). While the lake surface area stayed constant after the beginning of July, the energy input was considerable thereafter, suggesting that large amounts were transferred to the subsurface, allowing for extensive ice melt beneath the surface.

The thermokarst lake evolved over a period of 10 weeks and drained within one day through a newly formed channel, indicating that the time scale of thermokarst breakthrough is shorter than the summer season. The total amount of ice melt preceding the failure sums to \(\sim 87,000 \, \text{m}^3\) (corresponding energy input \(2.6 \times 10^{13} \, \text{J}\)). The impact of energy available for thermokarst evolution is emphasized by dividing this value by the distance to the debris flow initiation zone (\(\sim 350 \, \text{m}\)), and assuming a channel of circular cross section, resulting in an upper bound estimate of 18 m for the channel diameter. While only a fraction of this energy was actually involved in channel development, the resulting order of magnitude clearly demonstrates the potential of thermokarst evolution for triggering the lake outburst during the course of a single summer season. Dividing the latest lake water volume estimate (\(\sim 166,000 \, \text{m}^3\)) by the drainage time (\(\sim 1 \, \text{day}\)) indicates a drainage rate on the order of a few \(\text{m}^3 \, \text{s}^{-1}\), supplying a substantial amount of water to the debris flow initiation zone.
Figure 8. Rainfall event frequency analysis with respect to (a) rainfall intensity $I$ vs. rainfall event duration $D$ and (b) rainfall event volume $E$ vs. rainfall event duration $D$ based on INCA (note logarithmic scale). Regional thresholds describing minimum conditions for rainfall-induced debris flows are based on Nikolopoulos et al. (2015) and Marra et al. (2016), with equations given below the corresponding lines (thresholds are representative for the summer season). Characteristics of triggering rainfall events are based on Lugon and Stoffel (2010); Krainer et al. (2012); Kofler et al. (2021); Marcer et al. (2020).

6 Discussion

Unfavorable topographical and sedimentological predisposition put the rock glacier front in a state susceptible to debris flow initiation. Its steep slope angle supports shear stress and sensitivity to liquefaction while lowering shear strength (Iverson et al., 1997). The convex top induces extensive deformation patterns favoring the development of tensile shear stresses (Delaloye et al., 2013; Marcer et al., 2019). Rock glacier creep balancing erosion rates at the rock glacier front, in combination with a high energy environment favoring permafrost degradation, provides a large accumulation of loose, unfrozen sediment. The eroded material is available for subsequent mobilization down the adjacent steep slope, inhibiting the formation of a stabilizing debris accumulation at the toe of the rock glacier front (Kummert and Delaloye, 2018).

Debris slides within saturated, loose sediment responding contractive to shear deformation are prone to mobilize into debris flows (Savage and Baum, 2005). Collapse of the soil structure and crushing of particles drive the development of transient excess pore-water pressures in parts of the deforming mass, which reacts by disintegration and acceleration (Iverson and Major, 1986; Iverson et al., 1997; Sassa and Wang, 2005). Within the displaced mass, the rates of excess pore-water pressure generation and dissipation critically depend on strain rate, bulk density, and grain size distribution (Iverson, 1997, 2005).
Table 4. Thermokarst lake development based on INCA (Haiden et al., 2011), Sentinel-2 satellite data (Sentinel Hub, 2020) and the most recent (2018) high-resolution DTM of the rock glacier surface (Government of the Province of Tyrol, 2021a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Surface area (m²)</th>
<th>Water volume (m³)(^a)</th>
<th>Mean water depth (m)</th>
<th>Total energy input (J)(^b)</th>
<th>Total ice melt (m³)(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 June 2019</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 June 2019</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.9 × 10¹⁰</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 June 2019</td>
<td>21,370</td>
<td>68,340</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.6 × 10¹²</td>
<td>5,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 June 2019</td>
<td>26,380</td>
<td>97,020</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.7 × 10¹²</td>
<td>8,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 June 2019</td>
<td>28,570</td>
<td>110,760</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.4 × 10¹²</td>
<td>11,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 June 2019</td>
<td>31,670</td>
<td>131,870</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.3 × 10¹²</td>
<td>20,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 June 2019</td>
<td>34,010</td>
<td>148,290</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.3 × 10¹²</td>
<td>24,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 July 2019</td>
<td>35,650</td>
<td>158,770</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.8 × 10¹²</td>
<td>29,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 July 2019</td>
<td>36,400</td>
<td>162,370</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.1 × 10¹³</td>
<td>36,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 July 2019</td>
<td>36,960</td>
<td>166,040</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.4 × 10¹³</td>
<td>47,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 July 2019</td>
<td>36,960</td>
<td>166,040</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.7 × 10¹³</td>
<td>57,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 July 2019</td>
<td>36,960</td>
<td>166,040</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.9 × 10¹³</td>
<td>63,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 August 2019</td>
<td>36,960</td>
<td>166,040</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.6 × 10¹³</td>
<td>87,480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)estimate based on DTM (2018) \(^b\)since 01 June 2019

Once saturated, poorly sorted sand and gravel such as the Hüttekar Rock Glacier material (Fig. 6) is susceptible to undrained deformation and attendant pore-water pressure coevolution. Since these features document the compositional propensity of the rock glacier front to debris flow mobilization, the remaining issues requiring clarification concern potential sources of water capable of saturating the rock glacier front material at the initiation zone.

The relative time scales for pore space contraction and pore-water pressure diffusion govern the persistence of high pore-water pressures (Iverson and LaHusen, 1989; Iverson, 1997). The diffusion time scale of Hüttekar Rock Glacier debris is relatively short (on the order of ∼20 s, estimated from similar material investigated at the USGS debris flow flume, Fig. 6; Iverson (1997)). Sustaining pore-water pressures high enough to keep debris flow surges in motion therefore requires rapid and persistent delivery of large amounts of water (on the order of a few m³ s⁻¹).

The hydrometeorological conditions preceding the debris flow determine the critical amount of water necessary to initiate failure (Crozier, 2010). Frequency analysis of rainfall events hitting Hüttekar-cirque indicates that the event immediately preceding the debris flow was not exceptionally severe, falling below the critical threshold for failure initiation (Fig. 8). Regarding the moderately dry hydrometeorological conditions during summer 2019, these observations suggest that the storm immediately preceding the event could not provide the large amounts of water necessary to initiate the debris flow. Considering the coincident thermokarst lake outburst, following exceptionally warm weeks characterized by considerable energy input promoting melting processes and permafrost degradation (Table 3, Table 4), a multiple trigger mechanism is regarded plausible instead.
The key issue in terms of debris flow mechanics is the rate of water transport to the initiation zone. Field evidence suggests that water flowed concentrated along a newly formed channel network from the thermokarst lake to the rock glacier front (Fig. 4e, 4f, and 4h; Supplementary Fig. S1, S3, and S4). The rapid development of this efficient drainage network was facilitated by the short timescale of advective heat transport along the meltwater channels. Driven by thermal convection, the establishment of such a channel system was possible within several weeks, despite the considerable distance of \( \sim 350 \) m. The energy provided by the thermokarst lake strikingly exceeded the latent heat of fusion necessary for channel evolution. Once established, this channel network had the capability to transport large amounts of water from the thermokarst lake to the debris flow initiation zone within a short time. Water flow velocities along these highly permeable flow paths may reach up to several cm s\(^{-1}\) (Tenthorey, 1992; Krainer and Mostler, 2002; Buchli et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2021), providing sufficient water to the debris flow to keep the deforming sediment saturated.

In contrast to many hazardous glacial lakes evolving over time scales of years to decades (Haeberli, 1983; Mölg et al., 2021), the thermokarst lake in Hüttekar-cirque unfolded its destructive power already 10 weeks after its formation. Rapid drainage emptied it without apparent prior indications of an outburst flood. The decisive factor in terms of hazard assessment is that thermokarst development and channel breakthrough happened within an extremely short time scale (on the order of weeks), driven by the high energy input during summer 2019. The failure mechanism involved drainage channel enlargement as well as collapse of the rock glacier front, demonstrating that thermokarst development and slope failure operated synergistically. The large amounts of debris provided by the rock glacier favored the evolution of the outburst flood into a debris flow.

Subsequently, the hazard cascade triggered in Hüttekar-cirque was expanded by an additional element when the displaced mass reached and blocked Radurschlbach, impounding a voluminous (\( \sim 120,000 \) m\(^3\)) lake that threatened a large area downstream. Acknowledging that landslide dams frequently fail within a short period (hours to months) after their formation, and that common failure mechanisms are capable of triggering severe outburst floods, prediction and instant detection of these events in remote areas is crucial for risk assessment and reduction in mountainous areas (Clague and Evans, 1994; Ermini and Casagli, 2003; Schaub, 2015).

This issue will likely gain importance as climate change alters the conditions in prospective debris flow initiation zones. With respect to thermokarst evolution, the key issue is the expected increase in available melting energy that is closely linked to rising air temperatures. Previous research showed that the development of thermokarst features, including lakes and channel networks, is highly sensitive to thermal ground conditions and their response to climate change (Kääb and Haeberli, 2001). Consequently, we expect the establishment of these features in areas currently characterized by permafrost. Our study shows that such channel systems might develop within weeks, and subsequently are able to transfer large amounts of water within a short time. It is this hardly predictable short-term development of thermokarst features that challenges the evaluation of slope stability under a warming mountain climate. Once established, these channel networks are capable of rapidly concentrating water at points of converging channels. This process threatens slope stability irrespective of the specific trigger mechanisms, by adding additional weight while simultaneously decreasing shear strength (Johnson and Sitar, 1990; Chowdhury et al., 2010). Its hazardous role is underpinned by concentrated water outflow in debris flow initiation zones documented at several other rock glacier fronts (Kummert et al., 2018; Marcer et al., 2020; Kofler et al., 2021). While this study is the first one to
explicitly assess its rate and magnitude in this specific context, we argue that similar processes might support localized failure mechanisms of active rock glacier fronts in a wide range of settings.

7 Conclusions

The 2019 Hüttekar debris flow impounded the main river of Radurschl Valley, threatening the downstream community and infrastructure by dam breakthrough. Local rock glacier front failure was caused by an upstream thermokarst lake outburst and mobilized into a catastrophic debris flow displacing 40,000–50,000 m$^3$ sediment within several hours. Analyzing a comprehensive set of potentially destabilizing factors reveals critical combinations of environmental influences that govern hazard characteristics in this complex periglacial setting. Evaluating the topographical and sedimentological context, quantifying rock glacier movement rates preceding the failure, and analyzing climate and weather signals demonstrates the capability of rapid thermokarst evolution to induce highly hazardous situations at short time scales.

The observed failure differs from rock glacier front failures documented so far. Rapid thermokarst lake development and the evolution of a drainage system within the rock glacier primarily caused the debris flow. The fact that a comparable thermokarst lake had never been observed before on Hüttekar Rock Glacier, and that the outburst occurred only 10 weeks after its formation imply that comparable lake formation and outburst are hardly predictable. As climate change progresses, this mechanism will likely gain importance due to accelerated permafrost degradation and increased energy available for thawing, altering ground properties and increasing the likelihood of thermokarst lake formation (Kääb and Haeberli, 2001; Patton et al., 2019). Since many rock glacier fronts exhibit grain size distributions similar to the Hüttekar Rock Glacier (Johnson, 1992; Haeberli and Vonder Mühll, 1996; Arenson et al., 2002; Krainer et al., 2010, 2012), they pose widespread hazard elements in mountainous regions, with significantly increased risk if rivers or infrastructure pass potential runout zones of debris flows.

In combination with challenges regarding hazard detection and prediction in remote areas, this complicates integrated multi-hazard assessment (Kappes et al., 2012; Gallina et al., 2016). In this context, the combination of several raster data sets comprising terrain models, satellite imagery, and gridded climate and weather variables proofed a valuable tool for assessing the individual impact of destabilizing factors in complex mountainous terrain.

The observed debris flow mechanism is the most frequent one in colluvium, which covers most of the surface in mountainous terrain (Turner, 1996). Thus, we suggest that the results obtained in this study apply not only to rock glaciers, but to a wide range of colluvium in mountainous, permafrost-affected landscapes, with accordingly wide implications. Including rapid thermokarst evolution in landslide hazard assessment will likely gain importance as climate change progresses, altering the boundary conditions for periglacial landslides across the European Alps and mountain ranges around the world. The developed process-based understanding of the analyzed hazard cascade including thermokarst lake outburst, rock glacier front failure, debris flow evolution, and river blockage provides a benchmark for multi hazard identification.
Data availability. Meteorological data sets, including the Spatiotemporal Reanalysis Dataset for Climate in Austria (SPARTACUS) and the Integrated Nowcasting through Comprehensive Analysis Dataset (INCA), are continuously updated by GeoSphere Austria and freely available from https://data.hub.zamg.ac.at/ (last access: 15 February 2023). The Government of the Province of Tyrol provides the digital terrain model, current and historical ortho-images, as well as historical maps and laserscans (freely available from https://www.data.gv.at/, https://hik.tirol.gv.at/, and https://gba.tirol.gv.at/, respectively; last access: 15 February 2023). Sentinel-2 multi-spectral satellite data are provided by Copernicus and processed by Sentinel Hub (https://www.sentinel-hub.com/, last access: 15 February 2023). The Alpine Permafrost Index Map is freely available from https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.784450 (last access 15 February 2023). The rock glacier inventory and corresponding rock glacier catchment inventory are freely available from https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.921629 (last access 15 February 2023). The employed software is freely available from https://sourceforge.net/projects/saga-gis/ (SAGA GIS), https://grass.osgeo.org/ (GRASS GIS), and https://cran.r-project.org/ (R). Grain size analysis results, surface velocity data, and additional photographs are provided in the Supplementary Material.

Video supplement. Video documentation of the rapidly draining thermokarst lake, recorded on 13 August 2019 11:20 by Josef Waldner, is available in the Supplementary Material.

Appendix A

Ice ablation rates $m$, given as ice water equivalent ($\text{m s}^{-1}$), are calculated using a surface energy balance approach (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010):

$$ m = \frac{Q_r + Q_h + Q_e + Q_p}{\lambda_f \rho_w} \quad (A1) $$

where $Q_r$ is net radiation ($\text{W m}^{-2}$), $Q_h$ is the sensible heat flux ($\text{W m}^{-2}$), $Q_e$ is the latent heat flux ($\text{W m}^{-2}$), $Q_p$ is the heat flux associated with precipitation ($\text{W m}^{-2}$), $\lambda_f$ is the latent heat of fusion ($3.34 \times 10^5 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$), and $\rho_w$ is the density of water ($\text{kg m}^{-3}$) at 273.15 K. Equation (A1) assumes the glacier surface to stay at 273.15 K and neglects transfer of heat within the glacier, both assumptions considered reasonable approximations for the ablation zones of temperate glaciers during the summer season (Hock, 2005; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). For the operational purposes of this study, estimates of the individual energy flux contributions aim at evaluating their variation between different years rather than yielding precise absolute values. Net radiation is calculated according to Cuffey and Paterson (2010):

$$ Q_r = Q_s(1 - \alpha) + \epsilon_a \sigma T_a^4 - \epsilon_s \sigma T_s^4 \quad (A2) $$

where $Q_s$ is incoming shortwave radiation ($\text{W m}^{-2}$), $T_a$ is air temperature ($\text{K}$), $T_s$ is surface temperature ($\text{K}$), $\alpha$ is broadband surface albedo (-), $\epsilon_a$ is atmospheric emissivity (-), $\epsilon_s$ is surface emissivity (-), and $\sigma$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant ($5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4}$). As a first approximation, $\epsilon_s \approx 0.95$ for ice and water, while $\alpha \approx 0.4$ and $\alpha \approx 0.06$ for ice and water,
respectively (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). Incoming shortwave radiation is given by the superposition of direct and diffuse radiation, accounting for cloudiness (INCA) and the shadowing effect of surrounding terrain, as well as by shortwave radiation reflected by the surrounding terrain ($\alpha \approx 0.2$, GRASS GIS 8.0, Hofierka et al. (2007)). Atmospheric emissivity is parameterized as outlined by Greuell et al. (1997) and Oerlemans (2000). Sensible and latent heat fluxes are calculated by parameterization of the respective transport processes based on turbulence similarity assuming a roughness length of $10^{-2}$ m (Brutsaert, 2005; Brock et al., 2006; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). The sensible heat flux is given by:

$$Q_h = c_a \rho_a C_h u (T_a - T_s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

where $c_a$ is the specific heat capacity of air at constant pressure (1006 J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), $C_h$ is the heat transfer coefficient (Stanton number) ($-$), $u$ is windspeed (m s$^{-1}$), and $\rho_a$ is air density (kg m$^{-3}$). The latent heat flux is obtained using:

$$Q_e = \lambda_v \rho_a C_e u (x_a - x_s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

where $C_e$ is the water vapor transfer coefficient (Dalton number) ($-$), $x_a$ is the specific humidity of air ($-$), $x_s$ is the specific humidity at the surface ($-$), and $\lambda_v$ is the latent heat of vaporization ($2.5 \times 10^6$ J kg$^{-1}$). The heat flux associated with precipitation is estimated assuming that the rain droplet temperature $T_d$ approaches the near-surface air temperature:

$$Q_p = c_w \rho_w P (T_d - T_s)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

where $c_w$ is the specific heat capacity of water at constant pressure (4219 J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), and $P$ is the precipitation intensity (m s$^{-1}$).

Glacial meltwater infiltrates directly from Glockturmferner and indirectly from Hüttekarferner into Hüttekar Rock Glacier (Fig. 3). The plausibility of the calculated ablation rates for both glaciers is evaluated by comparing them to a set of glacier ablation rates and associated energy flux contributions across the European Alps (Table A1). The results obtained for Hüttekarferner and Glockturmferner (Table A2) fall within the range given by this sample, reflecting the northward exposition and steep slope of both glaciers by comparatively smaller total ablation rates.
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Table A1. Ablation rates and energy flux contributions measured and calculated at various glaciers across the European Alps (Escher-Vetter, 1985; Greuell and Oerlemans, 1989; van de Wal et al., 1992; Greuell et al., 1995, 1997; Arnold et al., 1996; Oerlemans, 2000; Brock et al., 2000; Klok and Oerlemans, 2002; Oerlemans and Klok, 2002; Willis et al., 2002; Brock et al., 2006; Oerlemans et al., 2009). Values represent averages taken over the outlined period (altitude Alt (m a.s.l.), slope Sl (°), aspect Asp, measured ice ablation rate $m$ (cm day$^{-1}$), given as water equivalent assuming an ice density of 900 kg m$^{-3}$, total energy flux density $Q$ (W m$^{-2}$), net shortwave radiation $Q_s$ (W m$^{-2}$), net longwave radiation $Q_l$ (W m$^{-2}$), sensible heat flux $Q_h$ (W m$^{-2}$), and latent heat flux $Q_e$ (W m$^{-2}$), Austria AT, Switzerland CH). The (small) precipitation heat flux is rarely reported, thus not included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Alt</th>
<th>Sl</th>
<th>Asp</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>$Q_s$</th>
<th>$Q_l$</th>
<th>$Q_h$</th>
<th>$Q_e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haut Glacier d’Arolla (Pennine Alps, CH)</td>
<td>2,964</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>30 May 1990–28 August 1990</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haut Glacier d’Arolla (Pennine Alps, CH)</td>
<td>2,964</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10 August 1993–25 August 1993</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hintereisferner (Ötztal Alps, AT)</td>
<td>3,037</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>12 July 1986–22 July 1986</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hintereisferner (Ötztal Alps, AT)</td>
<td>3,037</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>13 July 1989–30 July 1989</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasterze$^a$ (Glockner Range, AT)</td>
<td>2,914</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>18 June 1994–7 August 1994</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasterze$^b$ (Glockner Range, AT)</td>
<td>2,914</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>21 June 1994–12 August 1994</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasterze$^c$ (Glockner Range, AT)</td>
<td>2,914</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>19 June 1994–12 August 1994</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasterze$^d$ (Glockner Range, AT)</td>
<td>2,914</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>15 June 1994–16 August 1994</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>-33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasterze$^e$ (Glockner Range, AT)</td>
<td>2,914</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>15 June 1994–16 August 1994</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 October 1995–30 September 1998</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 1999–31 August 1999</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2000–31 August 2000</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2001–31 August 2001</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2002–31 August 2002</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2003–31 August 2003</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2004–31 August 2004</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2005–31 August 2005</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadret da Morteratsch (Bernina Range, CH)</td>
<td>3,079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2006–31 August 2006</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernagtferner (Ötztal Alps, AT)</td>
<td>3,166</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>01 June 1982–30 September 1982</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$weather station at 2205 m a. s. l., $^b$weather station at 2310 m a. s. l., $^c$weather station at 2420 m a. s. l., $^d$weather station at 2945 m a. s. l., $^e$weather station at 3225 m a. s. l.
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Table A2. Ablation rates and energy flux contributions calculated in this study. Values represent averages taken over the outlined period (altitude Alt (m a.s.l.), slope Sl (°), aspect Asp, measured ice ablation rate \( m \) (cm day\(^{-1}\)), given as water equivalent assuming an ice density of 900 kg m\(^{-3}\), total energy flux density \( Q \) (W m\(^{-2}\)), net shortwave radiation \( Q_s \) (W m\(^{-2}\)), net longwave radiation \( Q_l \) (W m\(^{-2}\)), sensible heat flux \( Q_h \) (W m\(^{-2}\)), and latent heat flux \( Q_e \) (W m\(^{-2}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Alt</th>
<th>Sl</th>
<th>Asp</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( Q )</th>
<th>( Q_s )</th>
<th>( Q_l )</th>
<th>( Q_h )</th>
<th>( Q_e )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glockturmferner</td>
<td>2,855</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2015–31 August 2015</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glockturmferner</td>
<td>2,855</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2016–31 August 2016</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glockturmferner</td>
<td>2,855</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2017–31 August 2017</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glockturmferner</td>
<td>2,855</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2018–31 August 2018</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glockturmferner</td>
<td>2,855</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2019–31 August 2019</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hüttekarferner</td>
<td>3,043</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2015–31 August 2015</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hüttekarferner</td>
<td>3,043</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2016–31 August 2016</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hüttekarferner</td>
<td>3,043</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2017–31 August 2017</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hüttekarferner</td>
<td>3,043</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2018–31 August 2018</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hüttekarferner</td>
<td>3,043</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>01 June 2019–31 August 2019</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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