## **Review article: Design and Evaluation of Weather Index Insurance for Multi-Hazard Resilience and Food Insecurity**

Marcos Roberto Benso<sup>1</sup>, Gabriela Chiquito Gesualdo<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Fray Silva<sup>2</sup>, Greicelene Jesus Silva<sup>1</sup>, Luis Miguel Rápalo<sup>1</sup>, Fabrício Alonso Richmond Navarro<sup>1</sup>, Patrícia Angelica Alves Marques<sup>3</sup>, José Antônio Marengo<sup>4</sup>, and Eduardo Mario Mendiondo<sup>1</sup>

University of São Paulo, São Carlos School of Engineering, São Carlos, Brazil

University of São Paulo, Institute of Advanced Studies, São Paulo, Brazil

University of São Paulo, Luiz de Queiroz College of Agriculture

Centro Nacional de Monitoiramento e Alerta aos Desastres Naturais, Coordenacao Geral de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento

Correspondence: Marcos R Benso (marcosbenso@gmail.com)

Abstract. The urgency of accelerating disaster risk resilience also promotes preferred systematic reviews of the methods for design and evaluation of risk transfer tools. This Weather index insurance has gained growing attention in the literature. Several approaches have been employed to determine indices, model losses and calculate fair premium rates, however, little attention has been given to define generalized approach that analyzes multi-hazard risk for insurance design. Therefore, this

- 5 paper aims to provide a state-of-art review of weather index insurance design, thereby including methods for natural hazards' indices calculation, vulnerability assessment and risk pricing. Our primary focus is considering a multi-hazard approach and selecting studies in food security, since is the most researched topic in the weather index insurance literature. We applied the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) using the Scopus database. First, 364 peer-reviewed articles from the year 2010 to the present were screened for a bibliometric analysis and then, the 34 most cited
- 10 articles from the past five years were systematically analyzed. Our results demonstrate that despite a great research effort on index insurance, the majority of them focused on food insecurity through agricultural and crop insurance crop insurance, leaving behind other topics such as forestry, nature conservancy, floods and energy. Also, climate change and basis risks were found highly relevant for weather index insurance, but weakly developed, suggesting challenges around food insecurity. Special focus was given to drought hazardsdroughts, while other hazards such as temperature variation, excessive rainfall and wildfires were
- 15 slightly covered. Emerging areas, namely agricultural, hydrological, and sustainable index insurance found promissory for insurance. poorly covered. Also, current state-of-the-art-literature lacks methods for incorporating multi-hazard risk evaluation in vulnerability assessment and risk pricing. Most studies considered only single-hazard risk, and the multi-hazard risk studies assumed independence between hazards. Thus, we summarized the most common methods for calculating indices, estimating losses using indices, pricing risks, and evaluating insurance index policies. This review promotes a starting point in weather
- 20 index insurance design towards a proposed a study case for a multi-hazard resilient society weather insurance index for soybean production in south Brazil highlighting index selection, loss modeling and empirical risk analysis for determining pure risk premiums. Despite the great focus on food security, emerging fields such as hydrological and sustainable energy were found promissory for index insurance and will require further systematization.

#### 1 Introduction

45

50

- The increased frequency and magnitude of extreme weather and climate events have been evidenced in many regions of the globe, and it has been widely attributed to elimate change IPCC, 2021. global warming climate change (IPCC, 2022). In the past years, extreme weather events have caused significant losses and damages in many climate-sensitive sectors affecting urban and rural areas. Insurance is an essential tool to provide economic sustainability to vulnerable sectors and improve recovery from catastrophic climate events. Kraehnert et al. (2021) argue that insurance itself is not an adaptation measure and
- 30 depends on several characteristics and factors such as living standards, economic well-being, the availability of safety nets for poor people, characteristics of the sector, and the type of the risks the sectors are exposed to (FAO, 2014).

Insurance has been pointed as a tool for safeguarding population and properties to climate change (UNEP, 2012). Re (2021) predicted non-life insurance premiums to rise 10% above the pre-pandemic state and acknowledges that climate change might have an even more significant impact on the insurance industry. They propose that increasing underwriting policies against

climate-climate-related disasters is vital to tackle this problem. Nonetheless, the challenge might be more significant in developing countries with lower insurance coverage. In one hand, the the premiums per capita (hab) of the US and Canada were 7,270 USD/hab, much higher than the world average of 809 USD/hab and the Eurozone average of 2,723 USD/hab. On the other hand, in Latin America and the Caribbean, and emerging Europe and Asia presented 203, 159 and 215 USD/hab respectively. The numbers were much lower in Africa and the Emerging Middle East, representing 45 and 93 USD/hab (Re, 2020).

Index-based insurance policy is a solution to improve insurance coverage, especially in low-income areas (Raucci et al., 2019). The term index insurance started being used for crop yield insurance policies based on area-yield indices as firstly described by Halcrow (1949) and then further revisited by Miranda (1991). The area-yield insurance model that was adopted in the US in the early 90's 90s, dividing agricultural areas in the crop domain into Group Risk Plans (GRP). Indemnities were triggered when forecasted crop yields would fall under a certain threshold whithin each GRP (Skees, 2008).

Area-yield contracts depend on data availability and technical capacity to evaluate and monitor the group risk units, which

can be costly and impractical in many poor and developing countries. To overcome this challenge, researchers proposed contracts-con-tracts based on weather indices. In the financial and actuarial literature, weather derivatives have been used to associate the financial frustration of a business with a weather index (Müller and Grandi, 2000). Contracts based on weather indices have helped policyholders to hedge against adverse conditions in the clothing business (Štulec et al., 2019), hydropower plants (Foster et al., 2015), and solar energy systems (Boyle et al., 2021). Crop yield contracts based on rainfall have been used

due to their simplicity and data availability (Yoshida et al., 2019). The method uses rainfall from weather gauges stations nearby farms to predict losses, and the threshold is usually defined according to an index in the growing season.

This type of contract almost eliminates the need for in-site verification of losses, reducing administrative costs and improving the transparency of insurance products (Shirsath et al., 2019). Insurance companies also benefit from reducing moral hazards since crop losses are estimated from indices provided by third-party agencies (Ghosh et al., 2021). Moreover, due to reduced costs, contracts based on weather indices have been used for microinsurance contracts in poor rural areas to improve protection against adverse climate conditions and prevent smallholder farmers from falling into poverty traps (Skees, 2008). Despite the advantages, index insurance has a particular side effect called basis risk, which is a mismatch between actual losses and

60 predicted losses (Ghosh et al., 2021).

As is expected from the relevance of agriculture in the insurance industry, most of the literature reviews focus on understanding index insurance and microinsurance for agriculture (Leblois et al., 2014; Sarris, 2013). Zara (2010) proposed a systematic review on the role of weather derivatives in the wine industry. Akter (2012) focused on reviewing particular problems of microinsurance in Bangladesh, looking for evidence for insurance demand, how to approach the market, and design challenges to improve the safety of the vulnerable population, especially for smallholder farmers.

Several studies have been reported on single hazard risk insurance design. Considering only one hazard does not include the expression of risk due to interactions among different hazards (Gill and Malamud, 2014; Hillier et al., 2020). The insurance risk assessment and climate change impacts have been recently reviewed by (Lyubchich et al., 2019)Lyubchich et al. (2019). The authors review several adverse events such as floods, hail and excessive wind, but the interaction effect between hazards was little discussed

70 was little discussed.

65

Sekhri et al. (2020) proposed a framework for multi-hazard risk management. However, their model was too specific for mountainous regions and a broader risk management strategy. Komendantova et al. (2014) introduced a framework for participatory risk governance, allowing for feedback from stakeholders. Nevertheless, the model does not generalize more specific risk management strategies. An extensive review of the possible index insurance applications for agriculture was conducted

75 by Abdi et al. (2022). The authors summarize indices and methods for designing index insurance with possible applications for multi-hazard risks. However, the implementation of multi-hazards has not received nearly as thorough investigation as single-hazard problems.

Although significant advances have been made in index insurance design, very little further attention appears to have been given to a generalized approach. Moreover, less work has been performed on multi-hazard risk insurance than on single hazard

- 80 risk. This paper provides insight into a generalized approach to designing index insurance for single and multi-hazard risks. The systematic review was designed to answer five questions: 1) how weather extremes are represented in index insurance? the following questions: In the context of index insurance, 1) What indices are used to assess and monitor extreme weather events?
  2) What are the most common models to simulate losses due to weather extremes? 3) What are the most common sectors of index insurance is priced? 5) What is the relevance of climate change on index insurance
- 85 policies? functions and methods are used to assess the vulnerability of food production to extreme weather events? 3) How to determine risk premiums?

#### 2 Methodology

To achieve the objective of this, a systematic review approach was used to retrieve articles related to index insurance design. The PRISMA protocol Liberati et al. (2009) and Scopus database were used to conduct the systematic literature review. This section describes the methodology used in this work, and is divided in the following subsections: 2.1 describes the criteria used

90 section describes the methodology used in this work, and is divided in the following subsections: 2.1 describes the criteria used

in the systematic review and the definitions of the most important concepts considered; and 2.2 describes the study case to validate the proposed framework, considering the data used and the techniques implemented.

#### 2.1 Systematic review

115

A systematic review was conducted to better define the state-of-the-art in using multi-hazard index-based insurance in agricultural

- 95 environments and to identify the main gaps of the current techniques and models. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) protocol Liberati et al. (2009) was applied, and the Scopus database was used for data collection, because Scopus is the largest abstract and citation database of scientific papers and includes. This database was chosen due to its wide cover of relevant events and scientific journals related to climate change, agriculture, insurance design, multi-hazard frameworks and techniques, among other relevant topics. It encompasses a wide range of subjects in the fields of
- 100 technology, science, social sciences, medicine, humanities, and arts (Scopus, 2022). A To analyze the data, we used a doublestep analysis was used to analyze the data. First, a bibliometric analysis was performed on the selected papers of the last 10 years, and secondlyusing the Bibliometrix R package (Aria and Cuccurullo, 2017). Then, a critical analysis of the most cited papers of the last 5 years was performed to derive fundamental research topics and guidelines for index insurance design and evaluation and to identify the main gaps in the literature.

#### 105 2.2 Search definition, screening, and extraction of data

These five questions were addressed in a search using Scopus. We used English search terms that were developed following a three-step procedure. First, we defined The systematic review process was divided into four steps (Figure 1). The first consisted of the definition of the search strings based on the three research questions in this work. Our search string was composed of keywords in the English language, and we have searched the most important keywords. Then, we selected, their synonyms

- 110 according to the authors' experiences. Lastly, we developed the, and a search string using the Boolean operators according to Scopus standards for scoping title, abstract, and keywords. The following criteria were considered:
  - English keywords: multi-risk weather index insurance.
  - English synonyms: multi-risk, risk, weather, climate, index, parametric, insurance, microinsurance, derivative.
  - Search string: TITLE-ABS-KEY ( (risk (multi AND risk) OR portfolio) OR ( index OR parametric ) AND ( insurance OR microinsurance OR derivative ) AND ( weather OR climate ) ).

First, a screening processwas The first step was the screening process, applied to select scientific articles in English, Portuguese or Spanish. Review articles, books, book chapters, and conference proceedings were excluded from the analysis. Secondly, the , following the methodology used in other systematic reviews in the literature. From this first step, 1192 documents were selected.

120 In the second step, studies from 2010 to 2022 were considered for bibliometric analysis. To refine the analysis, only the papers that presented selected using the following inclusion criteria: a complete application of an index insurance or weather



#### Figure 1. Methodological steps of PRISMA statement

125

135

derivative designwere selected. This. This refined selection was performed in two steps, first, the articlebased on the articles' s titles were used to include the articles that met the objectives of this study, and then the article's abstracts were used to refine the selection. Thirdly, the titles and abstracts. Many studies on the evaluation of index insurance demand and on traditional insurance models were excluded. The 365 studies screened in the second step were used in the bibliometric analysis.

- To perform a critical review the third step the most cited papers from the text from works published in the last 5 years were analyzed in full text to perform depth. This evaluation excluded papers that did not provide information on index insurance design. Finally, in the fourth step, we performed a critical review of the most relevant recently published studies. Papers published in 2022 were still considered even though they were not likely to have been evaluated yet and therefore cited
- 130 by other authors34 remaining studies. This review was divided into (i) hazard identification, (ii) vulnerability analysis, and (iii) financial method and risk pricing analyses. This process was adapted from three modules presented in the works by Guzmán et al. (2020); Mohor and Mendiondo (2017); RIGHETTO et al. (2007).

The systematic review process was performed following the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). The process is divided into four steps (Figure 1). main index-related concepts that were used for evaluating the works in steps 2-4 were:

- Hazard: "A dangerous phenomenon, substance, human activity or condition that may cause loss of life, injury or other health impacts, property damage, loss of livelihoods and services, social and economic disruption, or environmental damage" (Nations, 2009). In this paper, we specifically refer to hazards derived from extreme weather and climate events.
- Multi-hazard: "[...] all possible and relevant hazards and the valid comparison of their contributions to hazard potential, including the contribution to hazard potential from hazard interactions and spatial/temporal coincidence of hazards, while also taking into account the dynamic nature of vulnerability to multiple stresses" (Gill and Malamud, 2014). In this paper we refer to....

- Vulnerability: "The conditions determined by physical, social, economic and environmental factors or processes, which increase the susceptibility of a community to the impact of hazards" as defined by the Hyogo Framework for Action (UNDRR, 2014). For this paper, the concept of vulnerability was focused on the physical damages and losses derived from the realization of an extreme weather event. We are utilizing, therefore, a classical approach to quantify the vulnerability of risk-averse individuals, which considers that the greater the losses, the more the vulnerability. Even though this traditional definition has been questioned as a reducer of solely the economic sphere of a issue that permeates social, politic and environmental dimensions, this is ultimately a practical approach of widespread use (Machado et al., 2005)
  - Resilience: "The ability of a system, community or society exposed to hazards to resist, absorb, accommodate to and recover from the effects of a hazard in a timely and efficient manner, including through the preservation and restoration of its essential basic structures and functions" Nations (2009). In the context of this paper, and as described primarily
- 155 by Mohor and Mendiondo (2017) and Guzmán et al. (2020), in the resilience module of an index insurance schema, the risk premium is an indicator of the resilience of a sector for coping with weather and climate extreme events.

#### 2.2 Study case

A study case was developed to illustrate the main aspects of the framework proposed, encompassing all steps from problem definition and data collection to index calculation, and loss evaluation for several cities and a specific crop. It is important

160 to note that both the methodology used and the code developed can be adapted and used for different years, areas, countries, hazards, and crops.

The first consists of the definition of the search strings based on research questions. From this step, 1192 was selected. The second step is the screening of the selected papers using the inclusion criteria, and included 365 studies. Many studies on the evaluation of index insurance demand and studies on traditional insurance modelswere excluded 42 biggest soybean

- 165 producing municipalities in the Brazilian state of Paraná were chosen for evaluation in this case study. The 22 years of soybean first cycle production from 1996/1997 to 2019/2020 growing seasons were derived from the official statistical yearbooks (Parana). According to the Brazilian insurance authority (Brazil), droughts and excessive rainfall are the hazards that most affect farmers in the studied region. Therefore, we analyzed both hazards, both with uni and multi-hazard models. The multi-hazard risk insurance design was developed by applying the widely used machine learning algorithm random forest
- 170 (Breiman, 2001; Amit and Geman, 1997). The following four key steps were used in the case study:
   1st step: Data collection and processing: Selection of climate extreme index variables and statistical analysis for feature engineering. The following indices were considered, based on the evaluation of titles and abstracts. The studies screened in the second step were used in the bibliometric analysis. The third step required the full-text evaluation to exclude papers that did not provide information on index insurance design including (i)hazard, (ii)vulnerability, and (iii)financial analyses. Finally,
- 175 34 studies were used for the critical review of the literature. extensive literature review conducted in section 3: pmax, SPI and TX90p over the soybean growing season. The period was chosen due to the highest impacts of extreme weather events on productivity in the region. The target variable considered was crop losses, as it can be used as a proxy for the impact of

the extreme weather events. The crop yields were detrended following the the linear procedure used in Bucheli et al. (2021)  $\hat{y}_i = y_i + (year_{end} - year_i) * \beta$ , where  $\hat{y}_i$  is the detrended crop yield series  $y_i$  the raw crop yield data in the year  $i, \beta$  is

180 the linear regression coefficient of the equation  $y_i = \alpha + \beta * year_i$ . The losses were then determined following the equation:  $Loss = max(0, (K - \hat{y}_i)/K)$ . The K variable is the crop yield threshold value. It can be understood as the threshold that divides unfavorable crop yields for farmers (values below K and favorable crop yields (values above K);

Methodological steps of PRISMA statement 2nd step: Data clustering: The kmeans clustering method (MacQueen, 1967), , a widely used clustering method, was implemented to better understand the data. The clustering was applied for four

185 relevant variables: maximum daily rainfall event over growing season (*pmax*), 3-month Standardized Precipitation Index (*SPI*), number of days where daily precipitation is higher than the 90th percentile over growing season (*TX*90*p*), and crop yield. The elbow method was used to define the optimal value of clusters (also referred to as hyperparameter  $\kappa$ ). This is the most used method in the literature for defining  $\kappa$ . The method was implemented in R Environment using the package stats (R Core Team, 2022);

### 190 2.3 Data analysis

To understand the current trend and development of weather index insurance, a bibliometric analysis was performed using the package bibliometrix (Aria and Cuccurullo, 2017) in R software. A global collaboration network was proposed. **3rd step**: Crop loss prediction modeling: Two crop loss prediction models were evaluated, following a supervised learning approach and using the random forest algorithm: (i) M1: using *SPI* and *TX*90*p* as inputs; and (ii) M2: using *SPI*, *TX*090*p*, and

195 pmax as inputs. Those options were chosen due to the results observed both on the exploratory data analysis and the cluster analysis conducted in step 2. A standard cross-validation method was applied, following the best practices for machine learning workflows presented in the literature. The models were built using the R-package randomForest (Liaw and Wiener, 2002);

4th step: Risk analysis: The risk analysis is performed to determine pure risk premiums using stochastic methods. Historical burn analysis was performed on detrended crop yields to determine reference pure risk premium values. Then, a stochastic

- 200 analysis of premiums for M1 and M2 were determined considering P = E[Loss]. The expectation of loss E[Loss] was determined using generation of 50 synthetic scenarios of weather data. A co-word analysis was conducted using author's keywords that co-occurred in the studies. Lastly, a systematic analysis was developed in three steps that represent modules as described by Guzmán et al. (2020); Mohor and Mendiondo (2017); RIGHETTO et al. (2007). Hazard module: uses historic weather or modeling data to characterize hazards and acts as potential input to index calculations. Vulnerability module:
- 205 evaluate the impact of hazards using single or multi-sector. This step adds an economic dimension to environmental variables. Financial module: apply economic models for pricing premium rates and evaluating scenarios. multi-site multi-variable (daily precipitation and temperature ) weather simulation . The method applies a wavelet-based algorithm for multiple sites and requires. The method was applied using the R-package PRSim (Brunner et al., 2021a).

#### 3 Results and Discussion

#### 210 3.1 Bibliometric analysis

240

An increasing number of studies can be observed in recent years, with about 50% (n=198) of the articles being published since 2018, the number of average 2018. The average number of citations per year of the papers demonstrate and per paper demonstrate an increasing impact of the weather index insurance in the literature (Figure 2a). However, the global distribution is concentrated in Europe, USA/Canada, and Asia being involved in 42, 26, 26 and 20% of the papers published, respectively.

- 215 The role of Latin America/Caribbean, Australia/New Zealand/Oceania and Africa are much lower representing 3, 7, and 2%, respectively. International collaboration is a critical factor for high-impact scientific studies. In Russia, more than 90% of highly cited papers were written in an international setting (Pislyakov and Shukshina, 2014). Similarly, in China, 47% of highly cited papers were written in an international collaborative form. The international cooperative background coming from these countries is, in general, a door for more innovative research in the field. These papers highlight that those partnerships
- 220 with international scientists coming from centers of excellence benefit by increasing the dissemination of the study. In the scientific collaboration map (Figure 2b), there are strong collaboration networks between the United States, European countries, China, and India. European countries such as Germany, Switzerland, and the Netherlands have played a dominant position in integration and have promoted collaboration with Kenia, Ethiopia, Nigeria, and South Africa. Canada has collaborated with China and Indonesia, besides the United States and European countries. From this analysis, we can conclude that the
- 225 United States, China, and Germany play dominant positions in scientific collaboration and are the most influential countries. Another significant observation is that most developing countries, especially on the South American and African continents, do not have relevant studies in the field. This is somewhat incoherent, as these countries suffer the most from extreme events losses due to their solid economic link with climate-dependent primary activities.

A strategic diagram is presented in Table 1 to analyze themes according to their centrality and density values. According to 230 Cobo et al. (2011), the themes are clusters of keywords. The themes are plotted in a two-dimensional space that is classified in terms of the two aforementioned parameters ("density" and "centrality"). Density is a measure of the development of the theme, and centrality is the importance of the theme in the development of the whole field of research we analyzed.

Motor themes: these themes are well developed and relevant for the entire insurance theme. Since they present strong centrality and high density, the clusters "insurance", "agriculture" and "risk management" and the cluster "crop insurance" are conceptually related to almost all papers gathered in the bibliometric analysis. This result confirms that agricultural and crop insurance are the most explored themes in the index insurance field.

Niche themes: the theme "weather derivatives" is considered a niche theme because it is well developed, but has a marginal impact in the field. The themes have fundamental distinctions and similarities. Derivatives are traded Over the Counter (OTC) or on Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME). Index insurance is a product offered by insurance and reinsurance companies. They theoretically have a similar principle: a risk-averse individual pays a premium for a risk-bearing individual.

Emerging and declining themes: the themes in this quadrant represent the combination of low level of development and marginal to the entire field of research. This quadrant includes "weather index insurance", which is a critical issue in terms of



**Figure 2.** Weather index insurance studies. (a) Temporal distribution from 2010 to 2022. (b) Thematic map representing the global collaboration network, where the countries in blue represent the number of studies produced by scientists. The darker the color, the more affiliations. The world vector map data was provided by https://www.naturalearthdata.com/ under public domain.

**Table 1.** Thematic mapping of the documents based on the conceptual structure of the author's keywords divided into seven clusters with word frequency higher than 40 words according to centrality (the relevance of the theme in the development of the field) and density (the development of the field)

Theme	Cluster	Density	Centrality	
Matan	Insurance	9	7	
Motor	Crop insurance	7	5	
Niche	Weather derivative	2	8	
Emerging and Declining	Weather index insurance	3	4	
	Index-based insurance	5	3	
Basic	Basis risk	8	1	
	Climate change	6	2	

impact since extreme weather events are trigger significant disasters worldwide. Given the need to manage weather extremes and its importance to a broader geophysical community, we argue that weather index insurance is an emerging topic that will

245 gain more attention in the following years.

Basic themes: these themes are relevant for the field; However, they are weakly developed. This quadrant includes the cluster "index-based insurance", the cluster "climate change", and the cluster "index insurance" and "basis risk". Climate change has been a significant concern for decision-makers, especially risk management. Changes in climate conditions might lead some regions toward higher risk profiles, increasing their vulnerability and the expected losses. Therefore, this theme represents an

250 opportunity for the development of index insurances. Basis risk is a primary topic that requires more development. Even though basis risk is a well-known bottleneck in the field, our analysis suggests room for improvements, and more attention to this topic must be paid in future studies.

#### 3.2 Systematic literature review

Table 1. Thematic mapping of the documents based on the conceptual structure of the author's keywords divided into seven
 clusters with word frequency higher than 40 words according to centrality (the relevance of the theme in the development of the field) and density (the development of the field).
 For the systematic review, we

#### 3.2 Sistematic literature review

We defined agriculture (n=17), hydrologic (n=4), solar and wind power energy (n=3), derivatives (n=4), and multi-hazard risk
(n=5) as primary categories of analysis in the systematic literature review (Supplementary material table S1). As expected from the bibliometric analysis, the literature focuses major focus of the literature was on agricultural insurance, while other emerging topics show potential irrigation, water supply, and energy production applications. Literature has overlooked multi-risk insurance, and our results presented only six studies on this topic. However, one failed to fit the inclusion criteria number 3 from Table 1 (The study presents an application of the development. It is worth mentioning that, not all studies in the systematic review could be analyzed in depth, due to the lack of details about their applications. Thus, for the ones with complete information we propose an overview of the application and most relevant characteristics of index insurance in three main categories (i) agricultural, (ii) hydrological, and (iii) sustainable energy production insurance, presented in Table 2.

We observed that the studies evaluated insurance at different spatiotemporal aggregations, e.g. for crop insurance, the studies carried out analysis at the farm level from governmental agencies, insurance companies, or surveys. For instance,

270 in many countries agricultural data is aggregated at regional scale, i.e., municipality, department, state, and country, without standardization. The size of the properties varied greatly, from 5 to 400 hectares and the total coverage was up to 1.6 million hectares. Forestry insurance covers larger areas and uses remote sense data to assessed risk, therefore, spatial discretization is performed at a pixel level. In terms of hydrological insurance, the catchment level was the spatial unit and the coverage took into account all the hydrological processes that occur upstream of the reservoir.

Author	Application	Hazard	<u>Area ha</u>	Type of Area	Time Fram	
			Agriculture			
Bucheli et al. (2021)	Crop	Drought	<u>1.0x106</u>	Farm	Seasonal	
Kapsambelis et al. (2019a)	Crop	Multi-hazard		Department	Seasonal	
Guo et al. (2019)	Crop	Multi-hazard	$\overline{\sim}$	Department	Seasonal	
Shirsath et al. (2019)	Crop	Drought	<u>1.6x106</u>	Farm	Seasonal	
Vroege et al. (2021a)	Crop	Drought	400	Farm	Seasonal	
Ricome et al. (2017)	Crop	Drought	15.2	Farm	Seasonal	
Sacchelli et al. (2018)	Forestry	Fire, storm	$\frac{1}{\sim}$	Pixel	Annual	
Kath et al. (2019)	Crop	Drought	~	Department	Seasonal	
Furuya et al. (2021)	Crop	Flood	5.57	Farm	Annual	
Hohl et al. (2020)	Crop	Drought	<u>.24</u>	Farm	Seasonal	
Kath et al. (2018)	Crop	Drought	-	Farm	Seasonal	
Mortensen and Block (2018)	Crop	Drought	-	Department	Seasonal	
Kath et al. (2018)	Crop	Drought	-	Department	Seasonal	
			Hydrological			
Mohor and Mendiondo (2017)	Water supply	Water deficit	27, microinsurance, or weather derivative) 700 - 97,200	Catchment	Annual	
Gómez-Limón (2020)	Irrigation	Water deficit	35.5	Farm	Seasonal	
Denaro et al. (2018)	Water supply	Water deficit	455.2	Catchment	Annual	
Sustainable						
Boyle et al. (2021)	Solar power	Solar energy fluctuation	~	Location	Annual	
Rodríguez et al. (2021)	Wind power	Wind speed fluctuation	~	Location	Annual	

Table 2. Main topics for index based insurance and specific application

275 For the sustainable energy insurance - wind and solar power insurance - a unique point, representing the location of the windmills and solar panels, was evaluated. The temporal scale in which the insurance was purchased varied from seasonal to annual scale. Crop insurance is normally contracted before the sowing period and reaches maturity at the end of the crop cycle. Sectors that are continuously exposed to natural hazards are operated on an annual basis. The insurance premiums were represented using different units, however, they focused on premium per unit of area and unit of cost. The crop insurance premium varied from \$6.18 to \$55.26 USD per hectare, this value was affected mostly by the cost of production and the degree

280

of risk aversion of farmers. A value of \$187.29 of USD per ton of crop and 3 to 7% of production costs was also found. In contrast the hydrological insurance for water supply represents values of \$10.48, and the irrigation insurance ranges from \$212.83 to \$333.07 USD per hectare. The prices for irrigation were inconsistent with the crop insurance and it might be

related to the operation costs of the irrigation plant. Sustainable insurance presented premium rates ranging from 0.35 to 0.50

285 of production costs or a percentage of \$0.033144 per kWh. A detailed analysis of hazard identification, vulnerability analysis, and financial methods of the reviewed paper is presented in sequence. The papers used considered for the systematic review are presented in the Supplementary Material Table S1.

#### 3.2.1 Hazard identificationassessment

The index insurance literature shows a wider variety of analyzed hazards and employed indices. A summary of the indices found in the literature is portrayed in Figure ?? and a complete description is found in the , a summary is presented in Supplementary Material Table S2. Drought is the most frequent hazard (n = 19), followed by temperature variation heat and cold waves (n = 5), excess and heavy rainfall (n = 4), cloud coverage (n = 2), fire (n = 1), storm (n = 1), and wind speed fluctuation (n = 1) and, water deficit (n = 1). In Table 2, a overview of the studies including hazard type, index and premium can be visualized. More than half of the studies focused on drought. This is in agreement with the results of the review work of Abdi et al. (2022), in

- 295 which, studding index insurance for crop production, found drought as being the dominant type of risk. This can be explained by the fact that drought is the most harmful hazard in the agriculture sector. In addition, the agriculture agricultural sector, and the sector was the motor theme of the reviewed studies. Our finding is consistent, since, between 1983 and 2009, drought has caused an equivalent of 217 billion USD from 1983 to 2009 (Kim et al., three-fourths of the global harvested areas experienced drought-induced yield losses (Kim et al., 2019).
- 300 Although index insurance is a promising methodology in designing insurance model since it avoids high administration costs, adverse selection and moral hazard issues associated with conventional indemnity-based insurance, its performance in covering losses depend highly on the choice of the index. It is a important step on index insurance modeling, as a mismatch between the index and the actual loss may increase basis risk, which can be a source of uncertainty and low demand for index insurance (Chen et al 2019). In the following section, we briefly present indices utilized in the revised papers and discuss some
- 305 criteria behind their selection.

The variability of a hazard can be characterized by the behavior of an index. The indices utilized in the studied articles concerning each hazard are described in this section in Figure 3, the main types of hazard and associated index can be visualized.

Drought is a complex natural disaster that affects several sectors such as agriculture, recreation and tourism, energy, and
 transportation. Usually, droughts are defined in four levels, meteorological drought, agricultural drought, hydrological drought, and socioeconomic drought (Wilhite and Glantz, 1985). Usually, meteorological drought represents precipitation deficit and is a proxy for other forms of droughts. Several papers approached About 29% of the reviewed studies approached rainfall-based drought indices , such as the Cumulative Precipitation Index (CPI) (Awondo, 2019; Krachnert et al., 2021; Bokusheva, 2018; Bucheli et al., 2021; Bokushe

. <u>Consecutive dry days (CDD) is also drought index to indicate drought and excessive rainfall hazard (Awondo, 2019; Kraehnert et al., 2021</u>
 . <u>It consists of a very straightforward and simple strategy to indicate drought conditions because it accounts for the number of</u>

days without significant rainfall events (Shirsath et al., 2019)since it only needs precipitation data. The index has the advantage

of representing both water deficit and water excess. In the agricultural sector, rainfall indices could significantly represent



Tree map showing the

proportion of indices for different hazards (dark green is drought, orange is excessive rainfall, magenta is temperature variation, blue is fire and storm, and light green is wind and cloud) employed in the reviewed studies. The number indicates the percentage of indices used in the studies including the studies that employed more than one index.

Figure 3. Tree map showing the proportion and percentage of indices applied for different hazards in the reviewed studies. Drought: Cumulative Precipitation Index (CPI); Water Storage (WS); Normalized Difference Vegetation index (NDVI); Soil Moisture Index (SMI); Standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI); Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI); Consecutive Dry Days (CDD); El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO); Evaporative Stress Index (ESI); Ped Drought Index (PDI). Excessive rainfall: Cumulative Precipitation Index (CPI) ; Ribéreau-Gayon and peynaud hydrothermal scale (RGP); R2mm; Standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI). Temperature variation: Berman and Levadoux (BBL); High Temperature (HT); Low temperature (LT); Ribéreau-Gayon and peynaud hydrothermal scale (RGP). Fire and Storme: Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS); Wind Speed (WSp). Wind and Cloud: Solar Radiation (SR); Wind Speed (WSp).

low-yield events by the occurrence of extreme weather events, in both deficit or excessive forms that would correlate well with low-yields (Abdi et al., 2022).

320

Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), which is more complex than CPI and CDD, can be used to incorporate weather statistics in the analysis. SPI uses monthly-accumulated precipitation and requires historical information to determine how much monthly rainfall deviates from average. The procedure requires assuming a distribution, that usually is gamma, and defining the mean and standard deviation to compute the index (Mekee et al., 1993). Rainfall-based indicators do not account directly for the interaction between soil and plant. Therefore, some authors suggest the use of potential evapotranspiration

- (ET0) to calculate soil water balance such as in There are four essential basic criteria in choosing the drought index: the period 325 must be appropriate to the problem being analyzed, the index must allow for a quantitative measure against large-scale and continuous drought conditions, the index must be applicable to the problem being studied, long and accurate information about the index must be available or computable (Zargar et al., 2011). Indices such as the SPI have the advantage of allowing their determination even with the existence of breaks in the data. However, the application of the SPI is limited when factors that
- 330 are part of the water balance must be taken into account in the analysis of the problem. As an alternative to this problem, the Standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI)(Bucheli et al., 2021; Kapsambelis et al., 2019b) or using ETO to calculate Evaporative Stress Index (ESI) (Bucheli et al., 2021).

Water soil balance offers a solution for estimating soil moisture. However, methods such as SPEI and ESI require, although capable of reflecting the combined effect of rainfall and temperature variations on drought, requires data for radiation, temper-

335

ature, and relative humidity, which can be very challenging for developing countries, where the density of weather stations is low.

With the advances in modeling techniques, it is possible to improve soil moisture estimation (Seneviratne et al., 2010), especially with data from satellite missions such as Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP). (Entekhabi et al., 2010) stated that this data helped themcalibrate balance models and increased model accuracy. However, the lack of ground stations is related

- to satellite estimations' biases (Chao et al., 2021). Soil moisture from ground stations and satellite imagery can help to detect 340 droughts and be used as an index, such as Soil Moisture Index (and remote sensing, the more complex indices, like Soil Moisture Index (SMI)(Bucheli et al., 2021; Vroege et al., 2021a), and the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), also the use of El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) have become more common. This popularization is already reflected in the current review since 16% of the studies adopted them.
- 345 However, Bucheli et al. (2021) tested several indices. There In addition to the factor influencing the index choice, we can list the easiness in calculating the indices as relevant. Furthermore, Yihdego et al. (2019) list problems of scarcity of reliable and long-term databases in countries in the process of developing drought monitoring activities. Likewise, the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) and SPEI are more complex indices that incorporate weather statistics in the analysis. Beyond its complexity in calculation, these indices need a database of at a least 30 years. Indices coming form modeling techniques
- 350 and remote senses are even more complex in terms of data acquisition and determination. On the other hand, we can state that indices such as CPI, Consecutive dry days (CDD), Water Storage (WS) are more common due to their simplicity in the calculations and input data.

According to Abdi et al. (2022), the ease in calculating the index benefits the design and marketing of the insurance products to the potential purchases. Although we noticed in the present work, a preference for simple and one-input indices, there

was no clear indicator of a single optimized index that is generalized for all farms in the Lower-Saxony region in Germany. 355 The authors concluded that indices for drought hazard. These results corroborate with the study of Bucheli et al. (2021), where they tested several indices in different farms in Germany, and concluded that they are optimized for particular regions rather than regionally accepted as optimal. The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is a satellite product based on red and near-infrared reflectance. It is a proxy for vegetation health and has been used as an index variable for

- insurance(Bucheli et al., 2021; Eze et al., 2020; F et al., 2020). The surface sea temperature of the Pacific Ocean has a close 360 relationship with droughts in many parts of the globe, such as Central America, South Africa, Australia, and Southeast Asia (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2011). El Niño South Oscillation (ENSO) has demonstrated the potential to predict extreme drought conditions (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2011), therefore being used as a customized index for insurance design in Peru (Mortensen and Block, 2018). Lastly, customized Customized indices can be used in situations where the relationships be-
- tween droughts and losses and damages are very specific. The Such as the Ped Drought Index (PDI)was, developed to address 365 this problem by incorporating site-specific information into the CPI (Bokusheva, 2018).

Hydrological droughts can cause extensive damage to reservoirs for water supply, irrigation, or hydropower plants. Reservoirs are used to manage streamflow variations and can store water for more extended periods. Nonetheless, longer dry periods can cause shortages forcing operators to reduce capacity or even interrupt business. Water scarcity is a growing hazard, often related to hydrological droughts, and in most cases, requires hydrological modeling for predicting and forecasting water

370 storage (Denaro et al., 2018; Gómez-Limón, 2020; Guerrero-Baena and Gómez-Limón, 2019; Mohor and Mendiondo, 2017). In this section, through a brief presentation of indices, we thus briefly discussed the factors influencing the choice of an index. It is important to note that no index is absolutely superior to the other, depending on the database, degree of drought monitoring and time resources available to its determination, as long as the uncertainties, in which basis risks are a reflection, are under 375 control.

Temperature variation, fire, storm, wind, and cloud hazard indices Other types of hazards encompass temperature variation, fire, storm, wind, and cloud hazard issues. In terms of temperature variation, fire, storm, wind, and cloud hazards represent 12% of the reviewed studies, and the temperature variation is half of that. Thermal hazard has been an emergent subject of interest for human health, crop production, forestry, and the environment. Increasing mean temperatures, mostly related

- 380 to impacts of global warming, can be beneficial for crop production in the northern hemisphere (Rosenzweig et al., 2008). Nevertheless, the increasing frequency and magnitude of temperature extremes is a threat to crop production in the tropics, human health, and many other plant and animal species (Vasseur et al., 2014)Similar to rainfall, temperature extremes can also explain satisfactory yield losses (Abdi et al., 2022). Given the topic<sup>2</sup>'s relevance, it is anti-climax to conclude that we have found only three papers on temperature variation insurance. The indices High Temperature Index (HTI) and Low Temperature 385 Index (LTI) were proposed by Guo et al. (2019) that focused on computing the number of days the temperature was higher or
- lower than a certain threshold High Temperature Index (HTI) and Low Temperature Index (LTI) (Guo et al., 2019).

Increasing temperature extremes attributed to climate change can reduce crop production, and most of this reduction will be experienced by developing countries (Rosenzweig et al., 2008). According to (Hatfield and Prueger, 2015), water deficit and excess can potentially increase the impacts of extreme temperature conditions on crop production. The effects of the interaction of temperature and soil water show potential new areas of development in the insurance industry and should gain relevance in

390

the next few years.

Thermal hazards play an essential role in weather derivatives. In terms of hazard selection, derivatives focused mainly on temperature variationemploying indices such as Heating Degree Days (HDD) and Cooling Degree Days (HDD) (Alexandridis et al., 2021; I . These studies overlooked overall risk assessment such as exposure and vulnerability while focusing on selecting distribution

395 functions of temperatures and uncertainty of portfolios for the options market, with Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) contracts as a reference.

Even though soil water availability is critical for plant development, too much rainfall can limit crop yields and threaten farmers (Liu et al., 2021). Excessive rainfall affects tourists' decisions on where to travel, impacting regions that rely on tourism as an income source (Olya and Alipour, 2015). Moreover, excessive rainfall can impact floods, which is one of the

400 most important hazards in terms of socio-economic impact (Hudson et al., 2019). In general, we had a total of one study per index regardless of the hazard. This represents the heterogeneity of these themes and the lack of in-depth studies regarding the specific hazards - temperature variation, fire, storm, wind, and cloud.

Excessive rainfall has been weakly developed in the papers analyzed in this study. Some applications to crop insurance use CPI (Kapsambelis et al., 2019b, a) and SPEI (D. Kapsambelis et al., 2019). The advantage of these indices mentioned above is

405 that they can be used for water deficit and water excess studies. However, they account for more prolonged temporal impact hazards and do not account for short events with severe impacts. The response to this problem can be given using indices that account for the most significant precipitation sum within a specified period, such as R2mm (Kapsambelis et al., 2019a), which is the sum of two consecutive days when rainfall exceeds a specific rainfall.

Sacchelli et al. (2018) described a Similarly, in the multi-hazard risk study for theme, a unique study was found on fires

- 410 and storms' impact on forestry in Italy (Sacchelli et al., 2018). Both forest fires wildfires (Flannigan et al., 2006) and storms (Hettiarachchi et al., 2018) are considered emerging hazards due to climate change. Sacchelli et al. (2018) described forest fires using the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS), an instrument onboard NASA's Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership (S-NPP) and Joint Polar Satellite System-1. The instruments collect several relevant information about land, ocean, atmosphere, and cryosphere with a 750 m resolution. The data provides important information about active
- 415 global fires (Li et al., 2020) and is well suited for designing insurance policies. Sacchelli et al. (2018) and explored the effects of strong winds eaused by storms on the forest sector. The index is straightforward, is based on wind speed measured by weather stations, and is calculated every time wind speed exceeds a specific threshold value. through wind speed (Wsp). It is known that multi-hazards and compound events are increasingly intense and significant, and the finding of only one study related to the theme represents a large gap in the literature.
- 420 Sustainable energy production is vulnerable to variability and uncertainty of climate conditions (Bessa et al., 2014). We have discussed the problem of hydrological droughts in the drought section, and here we further explore the problem of wind (Rodríguez et al., 2021) and solar power (Boyle et al., 2021) production. Here we explore the wind index with a low threshold. The operation of wind power mills can be complicated if the average wind speed is lower than a certain threshold, therefore producing income losses for companies. The impact of cloud coverage was measured using weather gauge data on solar
- 425 radiation, which follows a similar principle to the wind index. If average solar radiation falls below a specific value, power energy companies will suffer income losses.

#### 3.2.2 Vulnerability analysis

The vulnerability analysis consists in understanding what asset is at risk and finding a relationship between the index and the impact. The vulnerability analysis implies that a certain Value is at Risk (VaR) or the extreme of the distribution, the Tail-Value

- 430 at Risk (TVar). As defined by Farrag et al. (2022), this value is associated with the expected loss or damage for a given exceedance probability of a hydrometeorological variable. This value is used as a risk management metric and for insurance purposes, the time of return of the hydrometeorological variable is adjusted according to the degree of risk aversion of the individual exposed to a hazard, e.g., drought and excessive rainfall (Arshad et al., 2016). This hypothesis suggests that the VaR increases with the time or return and the severity of extreme events or from the combination of events, when multivariate
- distributions are evaluate i.e. when considering the synergy or co-occurrence of more than one hazards (Brunner et al., 2021b)
   The degree of risk aversion tend to increase, because the ecosystem services tend to be affected by the increasing of severity and frequency of hydrological extremes (Paul et al., 2020).

For farmers, climate-driven hazards such as droughts, temperature variation, excessive rainfall can decrease crop yields, cause damage to forests and cause livestock mortality (Bokusheva, 2018; Bucheli et al., 2021; Eze et al., 2020; Sacchelli et al., 2018; Vroeg

440 . The lack of precipitation is very concerning for reservoir operation that leads to business interruption in the case of water supply and irrigation (Denaro et al., 2020; Gómez-Limón, 2020; Guerrero-Baena and Gómez-Limón, 2019; Mohor and Mendiondo, 2017)
 –

We observed an emerging topic affecting sustainability with a focus on sustainable energy generation. We excluded hydropower from this category because reservoir operation frequently meets multiple demands, including water supply, irrigation, and
maintenance of ecological flows. Wind power energy depends on average wind speed. Therefore, the lack of wind can cause income reduction (Rodríguez et al., 2021). A similar impact is faced by solar panels that depend on enough solar radiation to meet energy yield goals. Excessive cloud coverage can cause income reduction (Boyle et al., 2021; Matsumoto and Yamada, 2021)

Weather variables support risk analysis and are used to predict the financial impact. This is key for making index insurance
robust and scalable to a level where operational costs can be reduced, and moral hazards can be neglected since the loss verification is performed from a monitored index. Basis risk, and it implies that there is a certain probability of a mismatch between modeled losses and actual losses. Basis risk assessment and reduction is an important topic and depends on the quality of input data and the characteristics of the sector. Further discussion on this topic can be found in Dalhaus et al. (2018); Götze and Gürtler (2)

- 455 Deterministic focused on the physical damages and losses from the occurrence of an extreme weather event. Thus we presented a summary of the Expected Loss Amount (ELA) and Expected Annual Damage (EAD) models applied in the reviewed papers, available in Supplementary material table S3. The deterministic models were applied for income reduction impacts, especially for crop insurance. Additionally, some In addition, the majority of applied models were related only with one with a unique explanatory variable (one index) was the most common solution found in the in-depth analysis
- 460 (Aizaki et al., 2021; Bokusheva, 2018; Bucheli et al., 2021; Hohl et al., 2020; Kath et al., 2019; Mortensen and Block, 2018; F et al., 2020;

. There is evidence that machine learning techniques improve loss modeling from different sources and present different time and spatial scales (Eze et al., 2020). Another example is empirical functions that can be used when vulnerability studies for specific sites are available. Mohor and Mendiondo (2017) presented empirical functions for predicting the impact of water shortage on water supply, irrigation, livestock, and ecological sectors index) (Aizaki et al., 2021; Bokusheva, 2018; Bucheli et al., 2021; Ho

465 . Due to their simplicity of application and understanding, these models are expected to be the most common, however, there is the disadvantage of contemplating only one hazard.

In contrast, the Generalized Additive Linear Models (GALM) and stepwise regression added the possibility of evaluating more than one index, including the possibility of a multi-hazard approach (Awondo, 2019; Kath et al., 2018; Matsumoto and Yamada, 2021; Shirsath et al., 2019). A multi-hazard approach requires understanding the frequency of each hazard and its interac-

470 tion. These interactions are complex, and several a few papers tried to tackle this problem (Decker and Brinkman, 2016; Gill and Malamud,

÷

challenge (Decker and Brinkman, 2016; Gill and Malamud, 2014). The multi-hazard risk index insurance papers presented combinations of drought and excessive rainfall for crop insurance (Kapsambelis et al., 2019b; Shirsath et al., 2019)(Kapsambelis et al., 2019), fire and storms for forestry insurance (Sacchelli et al., 2018), temperature variation and excessive rainfall for crop insur-

475 ance (Salgueiro, 2019) (Martínez Salgueiro, 2019) and high and low temperatures for crop insurance (Guo et al., 2019). The assumption of independence was considered prior knowledge by Salgueiro (2019) Martínez Salgueiro (2019) and Guo et al. (2019). However, the authors did not provide a mathematical proof of this choice; instead, they prioritized hazards according to their frequency and magnitude using existent risk maps.

Droughts and excessive rainfall may be equally detrimental to agriculture (Hettiarachchi et al., 2018). El Niño-Southern

- 480 Oseillation (ENSO) triggers extreme precipitation events (Lyon and Barnston, 2005), which makes the hypothesis of a compound event plausible in this case. Mortensen and Block (2018) proposed an insurance policy for droughts using ENSO as an index and obtained good erop losses prediction accuracy. Nonetheless, in a multi-hazard risk schema, this variable should be considered to improve the model's ability to evaluate the probability of extreme precipitation events related to ENSO or other larger-scale meteorological phenomena that impact a region.
- 485 Copulashad been used for incorporating hazard interaction Another possibility to incorporate hazard interactions is through Copulas. This have been incorporating in the loss modeling Kapsambelis et al. (2019b); Salgueiro (2019)by Kapsambelis et al. (2019a) and Martínez Salgueiro (2019). The copula theory (Nelsen, 2006) has been is widely used for multi-hazard analysis since it derives joint probability distributions from marginal distributions. Briefly, the marginal distributions are not required to follow the same probability distribution model, giving flexibility and robustness to analyze the interaction of more than two
- 490 marginal distributions<del>much easier than . A simpler approach against the more</del> complicated multivariate probabilistic models. The vulnerability models are summarised in the Supplementary Material Table S3.

Machine learning techniques are still emerging in loss models, and here we have reviewed only a very recent paper (from 2020) that used this kind of technology in a loss model. The paper provides evidence that machine learning techniques improve loss modeling from different sources and present different time and spatial scales (Eze et al., 2020). Another example of ELA

495 models is empirical functions that can be used when vulnerability studies for specific sites are not available. Mohor and Mendiondo (2017)

presented empirical functions for predicting the impact of water shortage on water supply, irrigation, livestock, and ecological sectors. In summary, linear regression was the most popular model applied to assess the expected losses in the reviewed papers. This may be due to its simplicity, however, this method allows the evaluation of only one hazard. Therefore, we can say that machine learning models and copulas may become more common in future studies, once the multi-hazard assessment is incorporated.

500

#### 3.2.3 Financial methods and risk pricing

The vulnerability module provides tools for modeling the relationship between single or multi-hazards and their impact on the sector. This impact can be translated as actual damage, income reduction, or business interruption. These losses are modeled using crop yields as a proxy variable for crop insurance. This is done by assuming a minimum crop yield value that represents the expectation of farmers or even the value they need to obtain to prevent them from going bankrupt. In terms of modeling,

- 505 this is straightforward because the relationship between weather variables and crop yields has been extensively documented (Allen et al., 1998). For hydrological insurance, when streamflow are low, water utility services might suffer interruption or be unable to operate given the extreme condition. Business interruption can increase the water supply services price and make farmers susceptible to losses. For sustainable insurance, which is-
- 510 The impact provided in the vulnerability module can be translated as expected values of damage, income reduction, or business interruption by financial methods. In the ease of solar power, wind power, and hydropower, there is an income reductioncaused by the low performance of the system.

In the literature review review even papers, we found that the papers considered that the historical losses could be translated as payouts, including burning rate, probabilistic fit, and index modeling as the most popular risk pricing models. They commonly

- use the mean historical losses to estimate expected future losses for similar sectors (Sant, 1980). The expected losses are called 515 pure risk premiums and are the major concern in index insurance papers. The historical losses are converted into payouts considering two critical variables , strike values K and (i) strike value K, and (ii) degree of coverage . The strike value is an dc. The k is the index value that triggers payouts and is, proportional to risk aversion. Risk aversion also causes policyholders to choose different degrees of coverage, which in turn is proportional to the degree of coverage. The risk aversion is reflected
- 520 in the degree of coverage, e.g. dc ranging from 0 to 1, being 0 with no protection and 1 with full protection. These parameters reflect variables represent the behavior and aversion that policyholders present of policyholders towards a particular risk and will define the amount of money insurance companies will pay to policyholders if an extreme event occurs, be the key to defining the premium and indemnity values.

Put and call options were consistently found in the papers we analyzed and reflect common structures found in weather derivatives (Stephan and Brix, 2005). The call option is used for indices triggered when their value exceeds a threshold, such 525 as excessive rainfall and high temperatures. On the other hand, the put option is used for indices that fall below a threshold, such as droughts, low streamflow, cloud coverage, and wind speed. Both contract models portray a linear relationship with the index. However, different arrangements can be made, such as swaps, put, call, strangle and straddles. These contract formats and more are described further by Stephan and Brix (2005).

- 530 Other approaches for defining contract payouts are based on a probabilistic framework. Bokusheva (2018) applied the Marginal Expected Shortfall (MES) method, which is a conditional probability modeling where payouts are given when the target variable exceeds the strike value. Eze et al. (2020) used cluster analysis comparing NDVI with crop yields to define different strike values, they created clusters associating NDVI and weather values grouped with higher yields observations. The indices values showing dry conditions or less favorable crop development were associated with lower observed crop yields.
- 535 The economic model of competitive markets with full information predicts the price of pure risk premiums using expected losses. They are calculated historical data from the individuals or companies and use the mean historical losses to estimate expected future losses for similar sectors (Sant, 1980). The expected losses are called pure risk premiums and are the major concern in index insurance papers. Index insurance has a slightly different rationale, the losses are modeled using indices, and then the pure risk is calculated.
- 540 The loss expectation can be determined using the historical burn rate method (HBR), which is the mean historical losses (Guerrero-Baena and Gómez-Limón, 2019; Hohl et al., 2020; Mortensen and Block, 2018; Shirsath et al., 2019). This method is widely applied in the insurance industry, however, requires sufficient data in order to be accurate. For smaller datasets considering uncertainty, expected values can be evaluated by fitting loss data to a probability density function (Aizaki et al., 2021; Bokusheva, 2018;
- 545 (Aizaki et al., 2021; Bokusheva, 2018; Bucheli et al., 2021; Eze et al., 2020; Kath et al., 2019; Martínez Salgueiro, 2019; Sacchelli et al. . This procedure helps to improve pure risk premium rates by accounting for the probability of extreme events that have not been recorded. The probability distribution of loss data presents distortions in the tails, leading to underestimating pure risk premiums. Moreover, insurance companies present nontraded assets that add costs to final premium rates. This can be overcome by a transformation proposed by Wang (2002), and the methodology was applied for pricing premiums by Boyle et al. (2021); Denaro et al. (2018).

```
550
```

Other approaches for defining contract payouts are based on a probabilistic fit. Bokusheva (2018) applied the Marginal Expected Shortfall (MES) method, which is a conditional probability modeling where payouts are given when the target variable exceeds the strike value. In contrast, Eze et al. (2020) used cluster analysis associating NDVI and weather variables with higher yield observations. It is well known that climate variables present a certain degree of uncertainty (only if they were

555 predictions) that needs to be considered when estimating losses caused by climate-related losses (Smith and Matthews, 2015). A stochastic approach based on Monte Carlo simulations is used in the literature to address the problem. R Monte Carlos A Monte Carlo simulation is the basis of index modeling method applied by Alexandridis et al. (2021); Berhane et al. (2021); Gómez-Limón (2020); Alexandridis et al. (2021); Berhane et al. (2021); Gómez-Limón (2020); Gülpınar and Çanakoğlu (2017); Guo et al. (2019); Kapsambelis

. The generation of synthetic weather time series enhances understanding the climate uncertainty in terms of confidence inter-560 vals. A summary of the risk pricing methods is described in the Supplementary Material table S4.

Econometric models provide values that guide decision-makers in understanding the price of the risk. However, it is fundamental to evaluate the risk reduction performance of index insurance. The simulation of cash flows allows an understanding of the hedging effectiveness of the insurance policy. Nonetheless, this efficiency depends on the point of reference adopted by the modeler. The effectiveness problem arises when policyholders and insurance companies have different objectives. On the one

- 565 hand, policyholders want to increase the protection of their assets at risk to prevent going out of business, on the other hand, insurance companies want to maximize profit to comply with the interests of their investors and shareholders. Since information asymmetry and moral hazards are allegedly minimized in the case of index insurance (Barnett et al., 2008; Mußhoff et al., 2018), the costs associated with moral hazards can be neglected from premium rates pricing.
- The cash flow equation is a standard tool for evaluating the capital of companies and people. The simulation of cash flows using expected revenue and payouts as assets and premiums as liability for policyholders is used for evaluating the effectiveness of the index insurance policy (Bokusheva, 2018; Boyle et al., 2021; Kath et al., 2019; Salgueiro, 2019; Ward and Makhija, 2018). For insurance companies, the cash flow changes the direction, i.e., premiums are considered assets, and payouts as a liability. This was used for calculating the loss ratio (Mohor and Mendiondo, 2017).
- Other authors have applied the utility theory to evaluate insurance policies. The utility theory accounts for the behavior and individual preferences in the economic analysis and is based on some assumptions that apply to a group of individuals (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979). Some authors (Bucheli et al., 2021; Eze et al., 2020; Furuya et al., 2021; Ricome et al., 2017; Vroege et al., 2021a; Ward and Makhija, 2018) used the concept of risk-averse utility functions for policyholders, where the asset's utility at risk is concave or diminishing. The utility function depends on the degree of risk aversion of the individuals, which influences their willingness to pay for an insurance policy . In theory, premium rates are maximized to meet the level of risk aversion of individuals, which influences insurance coverage and strike values. Finally, we present Detailed information
- 580 risk aversion of individuals, which influences insurance coverage and strike values. Finally, we present Detailed information about the insurance policy evaluation methods is in Supplementary material table S5.

#### 3.3 Conceptual framework and study case

#### 3.3.1 Conceptual framework

The increased frequency of extreme climate events has been forcing insurers to increase premium rates and threatens coverage availability. Therefore, risk assessment should take measures to minimize the need of risk re-assessment (Cremades et al., 2018) . First of all, losses and damages associated with extreme events might have multiple drivers (Zscheischler et al., 2020). This indicates that losses are likely to have multiple thresholds and are associated with multiple variables. Second of all, this thresholds vary with time and space (Hoek van Dijke et al., 2022).

We suggest a conceptual framework derived from the literature review representing the weather index insurance design process that focuses on understanding current weather insurance paradigms found in the literature while proposing how the problem of multi-hazard risk and increasing risk premiums due to increasing climate shocks can be minimized (Figure 6). The first step should be evaluating data and hazards relevant to a site. From that, it is possible to derive thresholds using statistical methods such as copulas, clustering or principal component analysis (PCA).

As it was discussed in the vulnerability section, the selection of thresholds and consequential loss modeling consist in 595 evaluating historical events. This creates a system we call Stationary System State, which is characterized by fixed thresholds even in the case when multiple hazards are considered. The second case is the Non-stationary System State, in which the frequency and severity of hazards are changing over time and the thresholds are dynamic, which can indicate both improvements or worsening in resilience over time.

Conceptual framework for weather index insurance design including steps for hazard evaluation (a) and (b), vulnerability analysis (c) and





**Figure 4.** The multi-hazard risks weather insurance design framework. The framework illustrates the process of selecting and prioritizing hazards, defining index thresholds, modeling losses and optimizing insurance risk premiums. The vulnerability assessment presents two types of systems: (a) Stationary System State, where both thresholds and hazards are stationary and represent an analysis based on historic observed information and (b) Non-stationary System State where both indices and hazards are non-stationary and reflect a combination of observed historic and projected data. The non-stationary system anticipates potential increase in risk and optimizes risk premiums.

600

From the literature review, we propose an overview of agricultural, hydrological and sustainable insurance, their application, and the most relevant characteristics Table (2). We observed that the studies evaluated insurance at different spatiotemporal aggregation. For crop insurance, the studies carried out analysis at farm level from governmental agencies, insurance companies or surveys. For many countries, agricultural data is aggregated at regional scale,

The optimization process takes into account future scenarios which can help to avoid risk re-assessment by anticipating and diluting potential severe climate shocks. Shifts frequency and severity of extreme events are evaluating using the Representative

605 Concentration Pathways (RCP) (Van Vuuren et al., 2011) that indicate possible changes in risk exposure and the Shared Socioeconomic

Pathways (SSP) (Riahi et al., 2017) will helps us to understand risk in different vulnerability trajectories, i.e., municipality, department, state, country. The size of the properties varied from 5 to 400 hectares and the total coverage was up to 1.6 million hectares. Forestry insurance covers larger areas and uses remote sense data to assess risk, therefore, the spatial discretization is performed at pixel level. Hydrological insurance was calculated at catchment level and the coverage took into account all the

610 hydrological processes that occur upstream the reservoir. Finally, for wind and solar power insurance, only the point location of increasing resilience, fixed resilience, decreasing resilience.

#### 3.3.2 Study case

The conceptual framework illustrated in Figure 4 was applied to a case study for soybean production in South Brazil, following the methodology described in section 2.2. The main objective of this case study was to illustrate the main steps of the framework, focusing on Stationary System State considering static resilience. The data collected and the processing conducted

615 framework, focusing on Stationary System State considering static resilience. The data collected and the processing conducted were described in step 1 of the case study (subsection 2.2). Figure 5 illustrates the results of the clustering analysis.



Figure 5. Results of the clustering analysis using the K-means algorithm. Legend: (a) illustrates the clusters for Standardized Precipitation Index SPI and heavy rainfall pmax; (b) illustrates the clusters for SPI and the number of days daily maximum temperature exceeds the 90th percentile TX90p.

Figure 5 illustrates the cluster plots for *pmax* and TX90p, very relevant indices for identifying the occurrence of events related to heavy rain and floods. First, it is important to note that: (i) six clusters were identified; (ii) the clusters present considerable intersection, illustrating that rarely only one variable (and, therefore, only one extreme weather event) presented extreme values for the regions evaluated; (iii) cluster 1 covers the biggest area in both plots, pointing out its high variance; and (iv) using the cluster labels for identifying events may be a better option than considering a traditional rule (such as that droughts occur when SPI is lower than -1.0).

620

Table 3 illustrates the mean values for each variable and the windmills and solar panels was evaluated. The time frame in which the insurance was purchased varied from seasonal scale to annual scale. Crop insurance is normally contracted before

- 625 the sowing period and reaches maturity at the end of the crop cycle. Sectors that are continuously exposed to natural hazards are operated on an annual basis. A summary of the policy evaluation methods is described in the Supplementary Material Table S5. percentage of losses for each of the identified clusters. Based on the analysis of Figure 3 and Table 3, we derived three scenarios that reasonably explained around 70% of soybean crop losses for the region and period studied. Cluster 2 represents years where losses were predominantly driven by precipitation deficit. Cluster 4 represents years where losses were driven
- 630

by precipitation deficit and thermal stress. Cluster 6 is associated with rather normal years in terms of *SPI*, but with heavy rainfall events and slightly higher temperatures. The underlying structure of the other clusters (1, 3, and 5) are unknown and can be related to other factors such as land use and management, as well as to other factors that are not directly relevant for the present analysis. Additionally, those clusters were the ones that presented the lower crop losses.

Main topics for index based insurance and specific application Author Application Hazard Area ha Type of Area Time Frame WTP (Premium)

#### Table 3. Description of each cluster identified

Kapsambelis et al. (2019b) Crop Multi-hazard - Department Seasonal \$187.29 Guo et al. (2019) Crop Multi-hazard - Department Seasonal \$35.29 Shirs

#### 635

- Mohor and Mendiondo (2017)Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the results of the fourth step of our case study methodology: the risk analysis. It is possible to observe in Figure 6 that: (i) seven multi-hazard events were identified, based on different values of the considered indices; (ii) the lowest impact event occurred in 2000 (2% average crop loss); (iii) the highest impact event occurred in 2012 (54% average crop loss, with excessive values for TX90p and SPI); (iv) the variable that presented the lowest number of occurrences outside the defined boundaries was pmax; (v) the variable that presented the lowest number of occurrences outside the defined boundaries was pmax; (v) the variable that presented the lowest number of all three variables; and (vii) although more studies are needed, considering more extensive periods and climate indices, we can infer that the occurrence of multi-hazard events seems to be increasing in the region studied. Those observations are in line with the literature, as explored in the systematic review in this work. Additionally, the use of
- 645 the framework and the methodology proposed allowed for the identification of interesting insights, such as: (i) the nature of the effects of extreme weather events on crops, which seems to be of a more diverse nature (demanding the use of multi-hazard analysis and prediction models); (ii) the difficulty to identify the occurrence of specific events (addressed in this work by using a clustering method instead of a fixed rule for defining the occurrence of extreme weather events such as floods and droughts);

and (iii) the diverse visualization options that can be used to illustrate the results obtained by applying the proposed framework,

650 which may improve decision-making and allow for new insights in comparison to traditional methods (such as evaluating only one hazard at a time; only a group of climate indices; or of only conducting statistical analysis of past crop losses to identify potential trends in the data).



Figure 6. Results of the clustering analysis using the K-means algorithm. Legend: (a) illustrates the clusters for pmax; (b) illustrates the clusters for TX90p. Each point illustrates the growing season of a specific year and location.

Figure 7 concludes the risk analysis for a specific city (Toledo), which is very relevant for soybean production and is heavily affected by extreme weather events. It is possible to observe that: (i) Toledo had considerable losses on the multi-hazard
periods illustrated in Figure 6 (especially 2012); (ii) that both models presented satisfying results for predicting crop losses on the different periods; (iii) that the models identified different aspects of the data, indicating that maybe a model ensemble would present the best results; (iv) that, although M1 better suited the data (presenting a lower mean absolute error), M2 better predicted the worst year (2012), providing more evidence that an ensemble approach could present better results; (v) considering a sum of the models (gray line in subfigure b) presented the lowest overall error in comparison to the observed crop loss, providing further evidence of the importance of using ensembles for predicting crop loss probability.



Figure 7. Risk analysis module applied to one specific location. Legend: (a): historic crop losses in the studied period for the city of Toledo; (b) crop loss probability in the studied period for the city of Toledo.

The study case conducted in this subsection illustrates one possible application of the framework, considering several analyses and visualizations that a stakeholder could use to better understand the impacts of extreme weather events over time on agricultural productivity, considering both the historical values and the crop loss probability. This data could be used for both better insurance policy design and for better understanding the current situation in different regions. Generating charts such as the ones illustrated in Figure 7 for multiple regions, on a dashboard, would allow for a better overview of the impacts of weather events on different crops and regions, and be used to better guide investment decisions.

 Table 4. Summary of pure risk premiums in terms of percentage of expected crop yields

	Water supply Min	Water deficit Mean
Gómez-Limón (2020)heightHistorical Burn Analysis	Irrigation_2.745 %	Water deficit 5.873 %
Denaro et al. (2018)Model 1 Synthetic scenario generation	Water supply 2.894 %	Water deficit 3.253 %
Boyle et al. (2021) Model 2 Synthetic scenario generation	Solar power 0.519 %	Solar energy fluctuation
Dedrámen et al. (2021) Wind a seven Wind and diversation. Leasting America (0.022144) high t		

Rodríguez et al. (2021)Wind power Wind speed fluctuation - Location Annual \$0.033144 height

The risk-perception as a product of the experience of extreme events increases the VaR of users, therefore their risk-aversion expressed in terms of WTP. Moreover, this user would present a higher Willingness to Accept (WTA) (Baumgärtner and Strunz, 2014) and this is an important factor for demand for insurance contracts based on extreme events with lower frequency, but higher

670 degree of severity (Spangenberg and Settele, 2010). The WTP for insurance policy premiums were represented using different units. The studies focused on the amount of premium per unit of area, unit of cost and proxy for local economy making results comparable. For crop insurance varied from \$6.18 to \$55.26 USD per hectare, this value was affected mostly by the cost of producing the crop and degree of risk aversion of farmers. A value of \$187.29 of USD per ton of crop and 3 to 7% of production costs were also found. Hydrological insurance for water supply represents values of \$10.48 and for irrigation a range

675 of \$212.83-\$333.07 USD per hectare were presented. The prices for irrigation were inconsistent with the other crop insurances and these values might be related to the operation costs of irrigation. Sustainable insurances presented premium rates ranging from 0.35 to 0.50 of production costs or a percentage of \$0.033144 per kWh. As a thought exercise, if we extrapolate this value for the 20 GW of wind power generated in Brazil in 2021, insurance companies would receive an amount of 5.81 billion dollars.-

#### 680 4 Conclusions

This study reviewed the development and design of index insurance –focusing on multi-hazard risk analysis and food security. We summarised main methods for hazard analysis and index calculation, loss modeling and risk pricing. We observed that the lack of studies in multi-hazard risks is the main gap in the literature, therefore we proposed a conceptual framework and a study case to give suggestions for future work in the field.

By performing a bibliometric analysis of relevant studies from 2010 to 2022, we observed a low academic interaction between Latin American countries and the worldwas observed. Moreover, a co-word analysis of the keywords demonstrated that agriculture and crop insurance are well-developed themes with a high impact on index insurance. The analysis showed that climate change and basis risk are essential in developing index insurance. However, they are weakly developed. Developing countries in Latin America, such as Brazil, Argentina, and Mexico play a critical role in global food production (Baldos et al., 2020).

Furthermore, these tropical countries are more likely to experience a reduction in food production due to climate change (Rosenzweig and Parry, 1994). These results outline the importance of developing index insurance in South America, including elimate change and reducing basis risks under water-ecology-food constraintstropical countries, including Latin America, for climate change adaptation. The systematic literature review focused on the most cited papers in the last five years to understand

- 695 the most recent methods used and potential gaps in the field. The analysis focused on three modules: hazard, vulnerability, and financial. Drought is the most studied hazard; this is explained by the impacts of droughts on agriculture, the most researched topic. The index insurance literature is grounded was first intended to use in agriculture (Miranda, 1991; Skees, 2008) and, as the concept has gained attention, a broader range of applications might be proven feasible. The analysis of hazards suggests potential applications such as hydrological and sustainable insurance. Hydrological insurance focuses on the maintenance
- 700 of water for reservoirs, and sustainable insurance focuses on clean energy such as solar panels and wind turbines. energy production insurance.

In terms of hazard selection, most studies present single hazard risk, and the ones that present We proposed a conceptual framework for considering multi-hazard risk assume no interaction between hazards. The interaction between hazards is a significant concern when analyzing risk analysis and two types of vulnerability: static resilience and dynamic resilience. The

705 static resilience refers to a stationary state system and most papers represent this case. The dynamic resilience refers to a

Non-Stationary State System and considers both changing hazard patterns and changing vulnerability thresholds. Considering a Non-Stationary State System helps to anticipate increasing patterns of losses, therefore optimizing risk premiums to accelerate adaptation and resilience of farmers against climate change.

In the study case, we provide an example of multi-hazard risks (Tilloy et al., 2019). Working with risk weather insurance

- 710 design considering a static system, due its simplicity. We follow a four-steps procedure including: (1) Data collection and processing: to select indicators and pre-process data, i.e., remove trends from crop yield data. We consider three hazards: drought *SPI*, heavy rainfall *pmax* and excessive temperature TX90p. (2) Data clustering: we applied the kmeans method for determining potential multi-hazard risk in agriculture is highly recommended since the sector is the motor theme in index insurance literature, and farmers are exposed to multiple sources of climate risk. This study proposed a conceptual framework
- 715 for index insurance design . We gathered a set of methods for index selection, loss modeling, insurance premiums ratings, and index insurance policy evaluation from the systematic literature review. We suggested a rationale for determining the scope of the policy, allowing the design of single and scenarios. We found three major scenarios: prevalence of water deficit, water deficit combined with extreme temperature, and extreme precipitation combined with extreme temperature. (3) Crop loss prediction: we applied the widely used machine learning algorithm random forest to predict crop losses using two models M1 for drought
- 720 and excessive temperature and M2 for heavy rainfall. (4) Risk analysis and pricing: we performed a stochastic modeling of losses using a wavelet-based weather (daily temperature and precipitation) generator to calculate premium rates.

725

735

The study case we presented helped to assess the and model the impact of different multi-hazard risks and the application in different sectors. risks. This offers to decision-makers more risk management options and to tailor solutions according what hazard combinations are more relevant to a particular area. We focused on crop productions, however this approach can be performed to other segments of food production value chain, such as transportation, storage and retail.

*Author contributions.* Conception and design of the work: MRB. Data collection: MRB. Systematic Literature review: MRB, GCG, GJS, LCR, FARN, RFS. Discussion and analysis: MRB, GCG, GJS, LCR, FARN, RFS, EMM. Drafting the article: MRB. Critical review of the article: MRB, GCG, GJS, LCR, FARN, RFS, EMM, JAM, PAAM. Advisor: EMM

*Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements. This study was supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior do Brasil (CAPES) - finance Code 001, and regular funding to post-graduate program in Hydraulics and Sanitation of University of Sao Paulo, São Carlos School of Engineering by the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq). Also, by the National Institute of Science and Technology for Climate Change Phase 2 (INCT-II) under the CNPq Grant 465501/2014-1, the São Paulo Research Support Foundation (FAPESP) Grant 2014/50848-9 and the CAPES Grant 16/2014.

28

#### References

- Abdi, M. J., Raffar, N., Zulkafli, Z., Nurulhuda, K., Rehan, B. M., Muharam, F. M., Khosim, N. A., and Tangang, F.: Index-based insurance and hydroclimatic risk management in agriculture: A systematic review of index selection and yield-index modelling methods, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 67, 102 653, 2022.
- 740 Aizaki, H., Furuya, J., Sakurai, T., and Mar, S. S.: Measuring farmers' preferences for weather index insurance in the Ayeyarwady Delta, Myanmar: a discrete choice experiment approach, Paddy and Water Environment, 19, 307–317, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-020-00838-z, 2021.

Akter, S.: The Role of Microinsurance as a Safety Net Against Environmental Risks in Bangladesh, The Journal of Environment & Development, 21, 263–280, https://doi.org/10.1177/1070496512442505, 2012.

- 745 Alexandridis, A. K., Gzyl, H., ter Horst, E., and Molina, G.: Extracting pricing densities for weather derivatives using the maximum entropy method, Journal of the Operational Research Society, 72, 2412–2428, https://doi.org/10.1080/01605682.2020.1796532, 2021.
  - Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., Smith, M., et al.: Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage paper 56, Fao, Rome, 300, D05 109, 1998.

Amit, Y. and Geman, D.: Shape quantization and recognition with randomized trees, Neural computation, 9, 1545–1588, 1997.

- 750 Aria, M. and Cuccurullo, C.: bibliometrix: An R-tool for comprehensive science mapping analysis, Journal of informetrics, 11, 959–975, 2017.
  - Arshad, M., Amjath-Babu, T., Kächele, H., and Müller, K.: What drives the willingness to pay for crop insurance against extreme weather events (flood and drought) in Pakistan? A hypothetical market approach, Climate and Development, 8, 234–244, https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2015.1034232, 2016.
- 755 Awondo, S. N.: Efficiency of region-wide catastrophic weather risk pools: Implications for African Risk Capacity insurance program, Journal of Development Economics, 136, 111–118, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.10.004, 2019.
  - Baldos, U., Haqiqi, I., Hertel, T., Horridge, M., and Liu, J.: SIMPLE-G: A multiscale framework for integration of economic and biophysical determinants of sustainability, Environmental Modelling & Software, 133, 104 805, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2020.104805, 2020.
     Barnett, B. J., Barrett, C. B., and Skees, J. R.: Poverty Traps and Index-Based Risk Transfer Products, World Development, 36, 1766–1785,
- 760 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.10.016, 2008.
  - Baumgärtner, S. and Strunz, S.: The economic insurance value of ecosystem resilience, Ecological Economics, 101, 21–32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.02.012, 2014.
  - Berhane, T., Shibabaw, A., Awgichew, G., and Walelgn, A.: Pricing of weather derivatives based on temperature by obtaining market risk factor from historical data, Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 7, 871–884, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-020-00925-4, 2021.
- 765 Bessa, R., Moreira, C., Silva, B., and Matos, M.: Handling renewable energy variability and uncertainty in power systems operation, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment, 3, 156–178, https://doi.org/10.1002/wene.76, 2014.
  - Bokusheva, R.: Using copulas for rating weather index insurance contracts, Journal of Applied Statistics, 45, 2328–2356, https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2017.1420146, 2018.

Boyle, C. F., Haas, J., and Kern, J. D.: Development of an irradiance-based weather derivative to hedge cloud risk for solar energy systems,
Renewable Energy, 164, 1230–1243, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.10.091, 2021.

Brazil: SISSER - Sistema de Subvenção Econômica ao Prêmio do Seguro Rural, https://dados.gov.br/dataset/sisser3.

Breiman, L.: Random forests, Machine learning, 45, 5-32, 2001.

Brunner, M. I., Gilleland, E., and Wood, A. W.: Space-time dependence of compound hot-dry events in the United States: assessment using a multi-site multi-variable weather generator, Earth System Dynamics, 12, 621–634, 2021a.

- 775 Brunner, M. I., Slater, L., Tallaksen, L. M., and Clark, M.: Challenges in modeling and predicting floods and droughts: A review, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, 8, e1520, https://doi.org/10.1002/WAT2.1520, 2021b.
  - Bucheli, J., Dalhaus, T., and Finger, R.: The optimal drought index for designing weather index insurance, European Review of Agricultural Economics, 48, 573–597, https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jbaa014, 2021.
  - Chao, L., Zhang, K., Wang, J., Feng, J., and Zhang, M.: A Comprehensive Evaluation of Five Evapotranspiration Datasets Based on Ground
- 780 and GRACE Satellite Observations: Implications for Improvement of Evapotranspiration Retrieval Algorithm, Remote Sensing, 13, 2414, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13122414, 2021.
  - Cobo, M., López-Herrera, A., Herrera-Viedma, E., and Herrera, F.: An approach for detecting, quantifying, and visualizing the evolution of a research field: A practical application to the Fuzzy Sets Theory field, Journal of Informetrics, 5, 146–166, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2010.10.002, 2011.
- 785 Cremades, R., Surminski, S., Máñez Costa, M., Hudson, P., Shrivastava, P., and Gascoigne, J.: Using the adaptive cycle in climate-risk insurance to design resilient futures, Nature Climate Change, 8, 4–7, 2018.
  - Dalhaus, T., Musshoff, O., and Finger, R.: Phenology Information Contributes to Reduce Temporal Basis Risk in Agricultural Weather Index Insurance, Scientific Reports, 8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18656-5, 2018.

Decker, K. and Brinkman, H.: No Title, List of external hazards to be considered in ASAMPSA \_ E (IRSN-PSN-RES-SAG-, pp. 11–2017,

790

2016.

Denaro, S., Castelletti, A., Giuliani, M., and Characklis, G.: Fostering cooperation in power asymmetrical water systems by the use of direct release rules and index-based insurance schemes, Advances in Water Resources, 115, 301–314, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2017.09.021, 2018.

Denaro, S., Castelletti, A., Giuliani, M., and Characklis, G.: Insurance Portfolio Diversification Through Bundling for Competing Agents

- Exposed to Uncorrelated Drought and Flood Risks, Water Resources Research, 56, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR026443, 2020.
   Entekhabi, D., Njoku, E. G., O'Neill, P. E., Kellogg, K. H., Crow, W. T., Edelstein, W. N., Entin, J. K., Goodman, S. D., Jackson, T. J., Johnson, J., Kimball, J., Piepmeier, J. R., Koster, R. D., Martin, N., McDonald, K. C., Moghaddam, M., Moran, S., Reichle, R., Shi, J. C., Spencer, M. W., Thurman, S. W., Tsang, L., and Van Zyl, J.: The soil moisture active passive (SMAP) mission, Proceedings of the IEEE, 98, 704–716, https://doi.org/10.1109/JPROC.2010.2043918, 2010.
- 800 Eze, E., Girma, A., Zenebe, A., and Zenebe, G.: Feasible crop insurance indexes for drought risk management in Northern Ethiopia, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 47, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101544, 2020.
  - F, S., S, D. P., and E, B.-M.: Multi-scale remote sensing to support insurance policies in agriculture: from mid-term to instantaneous deductions, GIScience & Remote Sensing, 57, 770–784, https://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2020.1798600, 2020.

FAO: The Water-Energy-Food Nexus A new approach in support of food security and sustainable agriculture, https://www.fao.org/3/bl496e/

- 805 bl496e.pdf, 2014.
  - Farrag, M., Brill, F., Dung, N. V., Sairam, N., Schröter, K., Kreibich, H., Merz, B., de Bruijn, K. M., and Vorogushyn, S.: On the role of floodplain storage and hydrodynamic interactions in flood risk estimation, Hydrological Sciences Journal, 67, 508–534, https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2022.2030058, 2022.

Flannigan, M. D., Amiro, B. D., Logan, K. A., Stocks, B. J., and Wotton, B. M.: Forest Fires and Climate Change in the 21ST Century,

810 Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 2005 11:4, 11, 847–859, https://doi.org/10.1007/S11027-005-9020-7, 2006.

- Foster, B., Kern, J., and Characklis, G.: Mitigating hydrologic financial risk in hydropower generation using index-based financial instruments, Water Resources and Economics, 10, 45–67, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wre.2015.04.001, 2015.
- Furuya, J., Mar, S. S., Hirano, A., and Sakurai, T.: Optimum insurance contract of flood damage index insurance for rice farmers in Myanmar, Paddy and Water Environment, 19, 319–330, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-021-00859-2, 2021.
- 815 Ghosh, R. K., Gupta, S., Singh, V., and Ward, P. S.: Demand for Crop Insurance in Developing Countries: New Evidence from India, Journal of Agricultural Economics, 72, 293–320, https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12403, 2021.
  - Gill, J. C. and Malamud, B. D.: Reviewing and visualizing the interactions of natural hazards, Reviews of Geophysics, 52, 680–722, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000445, 2014.

Gómez-Limón, J. A.: Hydrological drought insurance for irrigated agriculture in southern Spain, Agricultural Water Management, 240, 106 271, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106271, 2020.

820

- Götze, T. and Gürtler, M.: Risk transfer beyond reinsurance: the added value of CAT bonds, The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance-Issues and Practice, 47, 125–171, 2022.
- Guerrero-Baena, M. and Gómez-Limón, J.: Insuring Water Supply in Irrigated Agriculture: A Proposal for Hydrological Drought Index-Based Insurance in Spain, Water, 11, 686, https://doi.org/10.3390/w11040686, 2019.
- 825 Gülpınar, N. and Çanakoğlu, E.: Robust portfolio selection problem under temperature uncertainty, European Journal of Operational Research, 256, 500–523, 2017.
  - Gülpınar, N. and Çanakoğlu, E.: Robust portfolio selection problem under temperature uncertainty, European Journal of Operational Research, 256, 500–523, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2016.05.046, 2017.
  - Guo, J., Jin, J., Tang, Y., and Wu, X.: Design of temperature insurance index and risk zonation for single-season rice in response to high-
- temperature and low-temperature damage: A case study of Jiangsu province, China, International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16071187, 2019.
  - Guzmán, D. A., Mohor, G. S., and Mendiondo, E. M.: Multi-Year Index-Based Insurance for Adapting Water Utility Companies to Hydrological Drought: Case Study of a Water Supply System of the Sao Paulo Metropolitan Region, Brazil, Water, 12, 2954, https://doi.org/10.3390/w12112954, 2020.
- Halcrow, H. G.: Actuarial Structures for Crop Insurance, Journal of Farm Economics, 31, 418, https://doi.org/10.2307/1232330, 1949.
   Hatfield, J. L. and Prueger, J. H.: Temperature extremes: Effect on plant growth and development, Weather and Climate Extremes, 10, 4–10, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2015.08.001, 2015.
  - Hettiarachchi, S., Wasko, C., and Sharma, A.: Increase in flood risk resulting from climate change in a developed urban watershed the role of storm temporal patterns, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 22, 2041–2056, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-2041-2018, 2018.
- 840 Hillier, J. K., Matthews, T., Wilby, R. L., and Murphy, C.: Multi-hazard dependencies can increase or decrease risk, Nature Climate Change, 10, 595–598, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0832-y, 2020.

Hochscherf, J.: Income heterogeneity and index insurance demand, Zeitschrift für die gesamte Versicherungswissenschaft, 106, 343–368, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12297-017-0386-x, 2017.

Hoek van Dijke, A. J., Herold, M., Mallick, K., Benedict, I., Machwitz, M., Schlerf, M., Pranindita, A., Theeuwen, J. J., Bastin, J.-F., and
Teuling, A. J.: Shifts in regional water availability due to global tree restoration, Nature Geoscience, 15, 363–368, 2022.

Hohl, R., Jiang, Z., Vu, M. T., Vijayaraghavan, S., and Liong, S.-Y.: Using a regional climate model to develop index-based drought insurance for sovereign disaster risk transfer, Agricultural Finance Review, 2020.

Hudson, P., Botzen, W. W., and Aerts, J. C.: Flood insurance arrangements in the European Union for future flood risk under climate and socioeconomic change, Global Environmental Change, 58, 101 966, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.101966, 2019.

- 850 IPCC: Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157926, 2022.
  - Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A.: Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk, Econometrica, 47, 263, https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185, 1979.
  - Kapsambelis, D., Moncoulon, D., and Cordier, J.: An Innovative Damage Model for Crop Insurance, Combining Two Hazards into a Single
- 855 Climatic Index, Climate, 7, 125, https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7110125, 2019a.
  - Kapsambelis, D., Moncoulon, D., and Cordier, J.: An innovative damage model for crop insurance, combining two hazards into a single climatic index, Climate, 7, 125, 2019b.
    - Kath, J., Mushtaq, S., Henry, R., Adeyinka, A., and Stone, R.: Index insurance benefits agricultural producers exposed to excessive rainfall risk, Weather and Climate Extremes, 22, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WACE.2018.10.003, 2018.
- 860 Kath, J., Mushtaq, S., Henry, R., Adeyinka, A. A., Stone, R., Marcussen, T., and Kouadio, L.: Spatial variability in regional scale drought index insurance viability across Australia's wheat growing regions, Climate Risk Management, 24, 13–29, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2019.04.002, 2019.
  - Kim, W., Iizumi, T., and Nishimori, M.: Global patterns of crop production losses associated with droughts from 1983 to 2009, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 58, 1233–1244, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-18-0174.1, 2019.
- 865 Komendantova, N., Mrzyglocki, R., Mignan, A., Khazai, B., Wenzel, F., Patt, A., and Fleming, K.: Multi-hazard and multi-risk decisionsupport tools as a part of participatory risk governance: Feedback from civil protection stakeholders, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 8, 50–67, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2013.12.006, 2014.
  - Kraehnert, K., Osberghaus, D., Hott, C., Habtemariam, L. T., Wätzold, F., Hecker, L. P., and Fluhrer, S.: Insurance Against Extreme Weather Events: An Overview, Review of Economics, 72, 71–95, https://doi.org/10.1515/roe-2021-0024, 2021.
- 870 Leblois, A., Quirion, P., and Sultan, B.: Price vs. weather shock hedging for cash crops: Ex ante evaluation for cotton producers in Cameroon, Ecological Economics, 101, 67–80, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.02.021, 2014.
  - Li, P., Xiao, C., Feng, Z., Li, W., and Zhang, X.: Occurrence frequencies and regional variations in Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) global active fires, Global Change Biology, 26, 2970–2987, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15034, 2020.

Liaw, A. and Wiener, M.: Classification and Regression by randomForest, R News, 2, 18–22, https://CRAN.R-project.org/doc/Rnews/, 2002.

- 875 Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P. J., Kleijnen, J., and Moher, D.: The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration, Journal of clinical epidemiology, 62, e1–e34, 2009.
  - Liu, W., Sun, W., Huang, J., Wen, H., and Huang, R.: Excessive Rainfall Is the Key Meteorological Limiting Factor for Winter Wheat Yield in the Middle and Lower Reaches of the Yangtze River, Agronomy, 12, 50, https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12010050, 2021.
- 880 Lyon, B. and Barnston, A. G.: ENSO and the Spatial Extent of Interannual Precipitation Extremes in Tropical Land Areas, Journal of Climate, 18, 5095–5109, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3598.1, 2005.
  - Lyubchich, V., Newlands, N. K., Ghahari, A., Mahdi, T., and Gel, Y. R.: Insurance risk assessment in the face of climate change: Integrating data science and statistics, WIREs Computational Statistics, 11, https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.1462, 2019.

Machado, M. L., Nascimento, N., Baptista, M., Gonçalves, M., Silva, A., Lima, J. d., Dias, R., Silva, A., Machado, E., and Fernandes,

- 885 W.: Curvas de danos de inundação versus profundidade de submersão: desenvolvimento de metodologia, Revista de Gestão de Água da América Latina, 2, 35–52, 2005.
  - MacQueen, J.: Classification and analysis of multivariate observations, in: 5th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probability, pp. 281–297, 1967.
     Martínez Salgueiro, A.: Weather index-based insurance as a meteorological risk management alternative in viticulture, Wine Economics and Policy, 8, 114–126, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wep.2019.07.002, 2019.
- 890 Matsumoto, T. and Yamada, Y.: Simultaneous hedging strategy for price and volume risks in electricity businesses using energy and weather derivatives, Energy Economics, 95, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2021.105101, 2021.
  - Mckee, T. B., Doesken, N. J., and Kleist, J.: THE RELATIONSHIP OF DROUGHT FREQUENCY AND DURATION TO TIME SCALES, in: Eighth Conference on Applied Climatology, 1993.

# Miranda, M. J.: Area-Yield Crop Insurance Reconsidered, American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 73, 233–242, https://doi.org/10.2307/1242708, 1991.

895

- Mohor, G. S. and Mendiondo, E. M.: Economic indicators of hydrologic drought insurance under water demand and climate change scenarios in a Brazilian context, Ecological Economics, 140, 66–78, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.04.014, 2017.
- Mortensen, E. and Block, P.: ENSO Index-Based Insurance for Agricultural Protection in Southern Peru, Geosciences, 8, 64, https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences8020064, 2018.
- 900 Müller, A. and Grandi, M.: Weather derivatives: a risk management tool for weather-sensitive industries, The Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance. Issues and Practice, 25, 273–287, 2000.
  - Mußhoff, O., Hirschauer, N., Grüner, S., and Pielsticker, S.: Bounded rationality and the adoption of weather index insurance, Agricultural Finance Review, 78, 116–134, https://doi.org/10.1108/AFR-02-2017-0008, 2018.
  - Nations, U. U.: 2009 unisdr terminology on disaster risk reduction, https://www.unisdr.org/files/7817\_UNISDRTerminologyEnglish.pdf,
- 905

2009.

- Nelsen, R. B.: An Introduction to Copulas, Springer Series in Statistics, Springer New York, New York, NY, https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-28678-0, 2006.
- Olya, H. G. and Alipour, H.: Risk assessment of precipitation and the tourism climate index, Tourism Management, 50, 73-80, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.01.010, 2015.
- 910 Parana: Levantamento da Produção Agropecuária, https://www.agricultura.pr.gov.br/deral/ProducaoAnual, https://doi.org/10.4225/13/511C71F8612C3.
  - Paul, C., Hanley, N., Meyer, S. T., Fürst, C., Weisser, W. W., and Knoke, T.: On the functional relationship between biodiversity and economic value, Science Advances, 6, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax7712, 2020.
  - Pislyakov, V. and Shukshina, E.: Measuring excellence in Russia: Highly cited papers, leading institutions, patterns of na-
- 915 tional and international collaboration, Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, 65, 2321–2330, https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.23093, 2014.
  - R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, https://www.R-project.org/, 2022.

Raucci, G. L., Lanna, R., da Silveira, F., and Capitani, D. H. D.: Development of weather derivatives: evidence from the Brazilian soybean
 market, Italian Review of Agricultural Economics, 74, 17–28, 2019.

- S.: World Riding out the 2020 pandemic https://www.swissre.com/dam/jcr: Re, insurance: storm, Sigma, 4, 05ba8605-48d3-40b6-bb79-b891cbd11c36/sigma4\_2020\_en.pdf, 2020.
- Re, S.: World insurance: the recovery gains pace, Sigma, 3, https://www.swissre.com/dam/jcr:ca792993-80ce-49d7-9e4f-7e298e399815/ swiss-re-institute-sigma-3-2021-en.pdf, 2021.
- 925 Riahi, K., Van Vuuren, D. P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'neill, B. C., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, R., Fricko, O., et al.: The shared socioeconomic pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: an overview, Global environmental change, 42, 153-168, 2017.
  - Ricome, A., Affholder, F., Gérard, F., Muller, B., Poevdebat, C., Ouirion, P., and Sall, M.: Are subsidies to weather-index insurance the best use of public funds? A bio-economic farm model applied to the Senegalese groundnut basin, Agricultural Systems, 156, 149–176, 2017.
- RIGHETTO, A., Mendiondo, E., and RIGHETTO, J.: Modelo de Seguro para Riscos Hidrológicos, Revista Brasileira de Recursos Hídricos, 930 12, 107-113, https://doi.org/10.21168/rbrh.v12n2.p107-113, 2007.
  - Rodríguez, Y. E., Pérez-Uribe, M. A., and Contreras, J.: Wind put barrier options pricing based on the Nordix index, Energies, 14, 1177, 2021.
- Rosenzweig, C. and Parry, M. L.: Potential impact of climate change on world food supply, Nature, 367, 133-138, 935 https://doi.org/10.1038/367133a0, 1994.
  - Rosenzweig, C., Karoly, D., Vicarelli, M., Neofotis, P., Wu, Q., Casassa, G., Menzel, A., Root, T. L., Estrella, N., Seguin, B., Tryjanowski, P., Liu, C., Rawlins, S., and Imeson, A.: Attributing physical and biological impacts to anthropogenic climate change, Nature, 453, 353–357, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06937, 2008.
- Sacchelli, S., Cipollaro, M., and Fabbrizzi, S.: A GIS-based model for multiscale forest insurance analysis: The Italian case study, Forest 940 Policy and Economics, 92, 106–118, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.04.011, 2018.
  - Salgueiro, A. M.: Weather index-based insurance as a meteorological risk management alternative in viticulture, Wine Economics and Policy, 8, 114-126, 2019.
    - Sant, D. T.: Estimating Expected Losses in Auto Insurance, The Journal of Risk and Insurance, 47, 133, https://doi.org/10.2307/252686, 1980.
- 945 Sarris, A.: Weather index insurance for agricultural development: Introduction and overview, Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom), 44, 381-384, https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.12022, 2013.

Scopus: What is Scopus about?, https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a id/15100/supporthub/scopus/, 2022.

- Sekhri, S., Kumar, P., Fürst, C., and Pandey, R.: Mountain specific multi-hazard risk management framework (MSMRMF): Assessment and mitigation of multi-hazard and climate change risk in the Indian Himalayan Region, Ecological Indicators, 118, 106700, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106700, 2020.
- 950
  - Seneviratne, S. I., Corti, T., Davin, E. L., Hirschi, M., Jaeger, E. B., Lehner, I., Orlowsky, B., and Teuling, A. J.: Investigating soil moisture-climate interactions in a changing climate: A review, Earth-Science Reviews, 99, 125-161, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EARSCIREV.2010.02.004, 2010.
- Shirsath, P., Vyas, S., Aggarwal, P., and Rao, K. N.: Designing weather index insurance of crops for the increased satisfaction of farmers. 955 industry and the government, Climate Risk Management, 25, 100 189, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2019.100189, 2019.
  - Skees, J. R.: Challenges for use of index-based weather insurance in lower income countries, Agricultural Finance Review, 68, 197-217, https://doi.org/10.1108/00214660880001226, 2008.

- Smith, A. B. and Matthews, J. L.: Quantifying uncertainty and variable sensitivity within the US billion-dollar weather and climate disaster cost estimates, Natural Hazards, 77, 1829–1851, https://doi.org/10.1007/S11069-015-1678-X/FIGURES/8, 2015.
- 960 Spangenberg, J. H. and Settele, J.: Precisely incorrect? Monetising the value of ecosystem services, Ecological Complexity, 7, 327–337, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.2010.04.007, 2010.
  - Stephan, J. and Brix, A.: Weather Derivative Valuation?: The Meteorological, Statistical, Financial a., 373, https://www.amazon.com.br/ Weather-Derivative-Valuation-Meteorological-Mathematical/dp/0521843715, 2005.

Štulec, I., Petljak, K., and Naletina, D.: Weather impact on retail sales: How can weather derivatives help with adverse weather deviations?,

Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 49, 1–10, 2019.
 Tilloy, A., Malamud, B. D., Winter, H., and Joly-Laugel, A.: A review of quantification methodologies for multi-hazard interrelationships,

Earth-Science Reviews, 196, 102 881, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EARSCIREV.2019.102881, 2019.

UNDRR: Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030, https://www.undrr.org/publication/ sendai-framework-disaster-risk-reduction-2015-2030, 2014.

UNEP, F.: Principles for sustainable insurance, 2012.

970

Van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G. C., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J.-F., et al.:
The representative concentration pathways: an overview, Climatic change, 109, 5–31, 2011.

Vasseur, D. A., DeLong, J. P., Gilbert, B., Greig, H. S., Harley, C. D. G., McCann, K. S., Savage, V., Tunney, T. D., and O'Connor, M. I.: Increased temperature variation poses a greater risk to species than climate warming, Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 281, 20132 612, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2612, 2014.

Vicente-Serrano, S. M., López-Moreno, J. I., Gimeno, L., Nieto, R., Morán-Tejeda, E., Lorenzo-Lacruz, J., Beguería, S., and Azorin-

- 980 Molina, C.: A multiscalar global evaluation of the impact of ENSO on droughts, Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, D20109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016039, 2011.
  - Vroege, W. and Finger, R.: Insuring Weather Risks in European Agriculture [Assurer les risques météorologiques dans l'agriculture européenne], EuroChoices, 19, 54–62, https://doi.org/10.1111/1746-692X.12285, 2020.

Vroege, W., Bucheli, J., Dalhaus, T., Hirschi, M., and Finger, R.: Insuring crops from space: the potential of satellite-retrieved soil moisture to

- 985 reduce farmers' drought risk exposure, European Review of Agricultural Economics, 48, 266–314, https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jbab010, 2021a.
  - Vroege, W., Vrieling, A., and Finger, R.: Satellite support to insure farmers against extreme droughts, Nature Food, 2, 215–217, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00244-6, 2021b.

Wang, S. S.: A Universal Framework for Pricing Financial and Insurance Risks, ASTIN Bulletin, 32, 213–234,
https://doi.org/10.2143/AST.32.2.1027, 2002.

- Ward, P. and Makhija, S.: New modalities for managing drought risk in rainfed agriculture: Evidence from a discrete choice experiment in Odisha, India, World Development, 107, 163–175, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.03.002, 2018.
- Ward, P., Makhija, S., and Spielman, D.: Drought-tolerant rice, weather index insurance, and comprehensive risk management for smallholders: evidence from a multi-year field experiment in India, Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, 64, 421–454,
- 995 https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8489.12342, 2020.

Turvey, C., Shee, A., and Marr, A.: Addressing fractional dimensionality in the application of weather index insurance and climate risk financing in agricultural development: A dynamic triggering approach, Weather, Climate, and Society, 11, 901–915, https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-19-0014.1, 2019.

Wilhite, D. A. and Glantz, M. H.: Understanding: the drought phenomenon: the role of definitions, Water international, 10, 111–120, 1985.Yihdego, Y., Vaheddoost, B., and Al-Weshah, R. A.: Drought indices and indicators revisited, Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 12, 1–12, 2019.

Yoshida, K., Srisutham, M., Sritumboon, S., Suanburi, D., Janjirauttikul, N., and Suanpaga, W.: Evaluation of economic damages on rice

- 1000 production under extreme climate and agricultural insurance for adaptation measures in Northeast Thailand, Engineering Journal, 23, 451–460, https://doi.org/10.4186/ej.2019.23.6.451, 2019.
  - Zara, C.: Weather derivatives in the wine industry, International Journal of Wine Business Research, 22, 222–237, https://doi.org/10.1108/17511061011075365, 2010.

Zargar, A., Sadiq, R., Naser, B., and Khan, F. I.: A review of drought indices, Environmental Reviews, 19, 333–349, 2011.

1005 Zscheischler, J., Martius, O., Westra, S., Bevacqua, E., Raymond, C., Horton, R. M., van den Hurk, B., AghaKouchak, A., Jézéquel, A., Mahecha, M. D., et al.: A typology of compound weather and climate events, Nature reviews earth & environment, 1, 333–347, 2020.