

Peer-review

“Assessing decadal to centennial scale nonstationary variability in meteorological drought trends”

General comments

This manuscript presents a clear description of the research gap, models, and analysis. The improvements in the document after the first peer-review round are substantial, providing a clear perspective on the research. Small corrections should be addressed to improve the manuscript.

Minor comments

Line 172. Please explain why the 94th percentile threshold and 6th percentile represent the precipitation associated with dry anomaly, and wet anomaly for each location?

Line 179. Please explain the insert K historical 13-Months precipitation sequences.

Line 312. This correspond to the K historical 13-months precipitation sequence? If this is the case should be explained better before.