Shear zone evolution and the path of earthquake rupture
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Abstract. Plate boundary shear zones generate earthquakes, which are at present unpredictable, but advances in mechanistic understanding of the earthquake cycle offer hope for future advances in earthquake forecasting. Studies of fault zone architecture have the potential to reveal the controls on fault rupture, locking, and reloading that control the temporal and spatial patterns of earthquakes. The Pofadder Shear Zone exposed in the Orange River in South Africa is an ancient, exhumed, palaeo-seismogenic continental transform which preserves the architecture of the earthquake source near the base of the seismogenic zone. To investigate the controls on earthquake rupture geometries in the seismogenic crust, we produced a high resolution geologic map of the mylonite zone which forms the shear zone core. The core consists of thin, pinch-and-swell layers of mylonites of variable mineralogic composition, reflecting the diversity of regional rock types which were dragged into the shear zone. Our map displays centimetric bands of a unique black ultramylonite along some mylonite layer interfaces. We present a set of criteria for identifying recrystallized pseudotachylytes (preserved earthquake frictional melts) and show that the black ultramylonite is a recrystallized pseudotachylyte, with its distribution representing a map of ancient earthquake rupture surfaces. We then compare the attributes of lithologic interfaces which hosted earthquakes with those which apparently did not, and find that their geometry differs meaningfully at wavelengths of \( \gtrsim 10 \text{ m} \). We argue that the pinch-and-swell structure of the mylonitic layering, enhanced by viscosity contrasts between layers of different mineralogy, is expected to generate spatially heterogeneous stress during viscous creep in the shear zone, which dictated the path that earthquake ruptures followed. The condition of rheologically layered materials causing heterogeneous stresses should be reasonably expected in any major shear zone, is enhanced by creep, and represents the pre-seismic background conditions through which earthquakes nucleate and propagate. This has implications for patterns of earthquake recurrence and explains why some potential geologic surfaces are favored for earthquake rupture over others.

1 Introduction

The small-scale structure of fault zones, especially the local attitude and continuity of pre-existing structures, controls the seismogenic behavior of major fault systems (e.g. Fehler et al., 1987; Thurber et al., 2004; Kaven and Pollard, 2013). The architecture of faults at seismogenic depths is revealed by geological field studies of exhumed structures (Sibson, 1979; Chester et al., 1993; Allen, 2005; Swanson, 2006; Lin et al., 2010; Allen and Shaw, 2011; Rowe et al., 2018). Earthquake relocation techniques enable imaging of earthquake distribution in time and space in active settings (Yang et al., 2011; Savage et al.,
However, it is unusual to be able to examine the overall structure of faults and shear zones including the distribution of seismic slip surfaces (Wibberley et al., 2008).

In continental strike-slip faults, earthquakes nucleate from the shallow brittle crust down to the transition zone below which plastic flow dominates (Sibson, 1982, 1983). The largest earthquakes tend to nucleate near the transition zone, and rupture through the entire seismogenic zone (Sibson, 1980). As a result of ductile deformation, the structure of the fault in the transition zone is distinct from the canonical brittle fault core characterized by one or more discrete principal slip surfaces surrounded by a damage zone (e.g. Chester and Logan, 1986; Caine et al., 1996; Faulkner et al., 2010; Savage and Brodsky, 2011), and is better described as a zone of distributed planar to anastomosing layering, differentiated by distinct mineralogy and fabrics (e.g. Burg and Laurent, 1978; Tobisch et al., 1991; Gerald and Stünitz, 1993; Melosh et al., 2018). Distinct lithologic banding is produced by material heterogeneity on scales from the grain scale (10s-100s µm) to lithologic layering (10s-100s cm), resulting in flow instabilities forming compositional banding (1 – 10s cm, Ishii, 1992; Walcott and Craw, 1993; Goodwin and Tikoff, 2002). These grain-scale to meso-scale fabrics act as guides for seismic waves (Lloyd et al., 2009) and possibly for propagating earthquake ruptures as well (e.g. Swanson, 1988; Allen, 2005; Allen and Shaw, 2013). We use an extraordinary exposure of an ancient seismogenic strike-slip shear zone (Melosh et al., 2014, 2016, 2018) exhumed from the depth of the brittle-plastic transition, to investigate the interaction of shear zone structure with earthquake slip. Using highly detailed outcrop maps (accurate to ~1 cm) we test for spatial patterns connecting composition and grain size of layering, geometry of layer interfaces, and the occurrence of recrystallized and deformed pseudotachylytes, which are interpreted as paleo-seismic slip surfaces. We find that the pseudotachylytes are not randomly distributed across the shear zone, but are strongly associated with specific wall rock juxtapositions. Pseudotachylyte-bearing contacts have geometric characteristics that distinguish them from pseudotachylyte-absent contacts. We discuss the cause and effect relationships between seismic slip and fault rock evolution in the shear zone on co-seismic to interseismic timescales. We will argue that the interseismic viscous creep processes controlled the architecture of the shear zone and developed the structures that hosted and guided seismic slip, ultimately controlling the strength and patterns of seismicity in this crustal-scale strike-slip shear zone.

2 Geological Setting

The Pofadder Shear Zone is a NW - SE striking, transcurrent, dextral shear zone which can be traced nearly 500 km from southern Namibia into northwestern South Africa (Figure 1; Joubert, 1974; Toogood, 1976; Coward, 1980; MacClaren, 1988). The shear zone was active for ~37-57 Ma (Lambert, 2013) during the last of several regional deformation events that together broadly define the Namaqua Orogeny (Joubert, 1974; Macey et al., 2017). Mineral ages in the Namaqua-Natal Metamorphic Belt indicate that the Namaqua Orogeny comprised at least four distinct deformation events which occurred over a roughly 900 Ma period concluding ~950 Ma ago, characterized by episodic plutonism and metamorphism (Macey et al., 2017). The Pofadder Shear Zone cuts the Richtersveld arc (a terrane within the Namaqua-Natal Metamorphic Belt), which contains Paleoproterozoic orthogneisses and paragneisses, metamorphosed at amphibolite facies and intruded by arc magmas (Macey et al., 2017). The Pofadder Shear zone offset and transposed the peak metamorphic fabrics around 1 Ga (Lambert, 2013).
Figure 1. (a) Location of study area in region. (b) Copernicus Sentinel-2 (2016) imagery of the south-eastern Pofadder Shear Zone (PSZ) striking NW-SE where it crosses the Orange River (Namibia-South Africa border). Yellow rectangle indicates the location of c. (c) Coboop Island is only exposed at low water in the Orange River so offers clean bedrock exposure. White dashed lines show approximate edges of shear zone core (Melosh et al., 2018, Fig. 2). White box shows location of high-resolution map of ultramylonite zone on the northeastern edge of the shear zone core (Fig. 2). Background image: (ESRI World Imagery).

In the study area near Pofadder, South Africa (Figure 1), the shear zone is hosted in a series of orthogneissic rocks (the ‘Pella Domain’ of Macey et al., 2017) including pre-kinematic pink gneisses, gray gneisses, amphibolites, and some hydrothermally altered equivalents (Macey et al., 2017). The study area consists of an exposed shear zone core which is composed of compositionally diverse mylonitic rocks and variably deformed pre- to syn-kinematic pegmatites (Lambert, 2013; Melosh et al., 2018). The exposure surface is on an island within the Orange River only exposed at low water, and is sub-horizontal with minimal topographic relief (<20 cm owing to fluvial erosion), and encompasses the ∼15 m wide layered mylonite-ultramylonite zone on the NE edge of the shear zone core (see Fig. 2 of Melosh et al., 2018).

Mylonite, ultramylonite and pegmatite distinguished by different lithology and grain size form continuous pinch-and-swell layers and locally boudins. The mylonites are commonly isoclinally folded in upright folds with variably plunging axes. A strong subhorizontal rodding lineation is visible on mylonitic foliation surfaces, and the conical rotations of lineations around fold hinges in the mylonites indicate rolling hinges and lineation rotation during differential shearing between different mylonite layers (e.g. Melosh et al., 2018). Evidence of paleoseismicity in the form of pseudotachylytes (Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013; Melosh et al., 2014) and dynamic breccias (Melosh et al., 2016) is abundant. Both the pseudotachylyte and dynamic breccias have been shown to be mutually crosscutting with mylonitic foliations, indicating earthquake rupture and plastic creep were broadly coeval during the later stages of shear zone activity at temperatures of 400-500°C, consistent with the deepest seismogenic zone or transition zone (Melosh et al., 2014, 2016, 2018).
3 Composition and structure of the Pofadder Shear Zone core

In this section we present methods for small-scale field mapping, and describe the field and microstructural characteristics of the rocks, from which we developed a simplified descriptive scheme for comparing the different mylonites. We then present the arguments supporting recognition of one ultramylonite type as recrystallized pseudotachylyte, and use this identification to gain insight into the seismogenic architecture of the shear zone.

3.1 Methods

Mineralogy and fabric of the Pofadder Shear Zone mylonites were documented on multiple scales: ∼1 cm – 10 m scales were mapped in the field on drone photography, and µm-mm scales were mapped using optical and electron microscopy.

Field Mapping: For field mapping, we used a DJI Phantom 3 drone flown at 1.5-15 m altitude and hand-held DSLR camera to collect high-resolution images of the outcrop. AGIsoft Photoscan Pro was used to combine the photos into a digital elevation model with draped composite photographs, from which a rectified, georeferenced horizontal orthophoto was extracted. We exported subsets of the orthophoto onto tablets onto which we mapped in the field at a scale of 1:175. We mapped field classified rock types onto the orthophotos (pegmatites, mylonites and ultramylonites). The scale of completion of the maps, for lithologically distinct bodies and contacts, is ∼1 cm. Due to low relief (locally, < 20 cm), outcrop surface roughness was neglected as the rectified orthophoto closely approximates a horizontal slice through the geologic structures, containing the average slip vector. Field maps were digitized in ArcGIS from the georeferenced orthophotos. Each contact was classified according to the units it juxtaposed, and the presence or absence of earthquake-indicator features such as pseudotachylyte for subsequent geometric analysis. We sampled each rock type redundantly throughout the field area for microstructural analysis.

Microstructural Component Mapping: A combination of microphotography and image analysis was used to describe lithologic and textural characteristics of different components of the mylonites on a thin section scale in order to compare the grain-scale mineralogy and structure. We used Microsoft Research’s Image Composite Editor (ICE) to create composite photomicrographs from optical images and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Backscattered Electron (BSE) images collected using a Phillips XL30 SEM (using 15 keV and spot size 30) to create high resolution grain boundary maps. We divided the thin sections into four major components and determined the relative area of the thin sections comprised of each component using both optical and electron microscopy (Bruker Quantax energy dispersive X-ray microanalysis system (EDS)) in tandem to identify the sub-30 µm matrix phases. Textural and mineralogical data for all samples at all scales were estimated from a 2D sample region and do not necessarily correspond to volume percent of the rock.

3.2 Pofadder Shear Zone mylonites

The shear zone core contains 1-50 cm-thick layers of mylonitic rocks of varying mineralogy, grain size and fabric. For this study we focused on a small area (∼ 960 m²) in order to map at sufficiently high resolution to resolve the thinnest layers of interest (approximately 1 cm thick). Further subdividing the lithologic classifications of Melosh et al. (2018), we mapped: weakly to moderately foliated pegmatite, quartz mylonite, granitic mylonite and ultramylonite, porphyroclastic mylonite, and black
ultramylonite (Fig. 2, 3). We also present the mineralogical and textural characteristics of a coarser granitic proto-mylonite that is abundant along the shear zone core edges ∼5 m south of the mapping area, to elucidate the progression of mylonitization of the granite wall rock.

Pegmatite, granitic mylonite, and quartz mylonite form foliation-parallel pinch-and-swell layers (Fig. 2), locally separating into boudins, on average 20 - 180 cm thick (apparent thickness measured perpendicular to the strike, for subvertical layers). Porphyroclastic mylonite forms pinch-and-swell layers 40 - 90 cm thick and occurs primarily in contact with pegmatite. Black ultramylonite forms < 20 cm thick bands of nearly constant width which are commonly found along interfaces between other lithologies. The interfaces between lithologically and/or texturally distinct layers are sharp, smooth and undulating, sometimes displaying a few centimeters-wide zone of enhanced foliation within the layer on one or both sides of the interface, but without lithologic mixing. We describe the major mineralogy and fabric of each lithology below.

3.2.1 Pegmatite

Undeformed pegmatites are primarily composed of ∼2-5 cm white albitic feldspar crystals which make up approximately ∼90% of the rock, and occasional 1-2 cm quartz crystals and biotite clusters which make up the remaining ∼10% (Figure 3a).

Different pegmatite layers display different degrees of foliation intensity, consistent with syn-shearing intrusion along foliation (Lambert, 2013). The foliation is defined by alignment of the long axes of all mineral grains, increase in the aspect ratio of feldspar and quartz grains, and reduced grain size compared to unfoliated pegmatites (Figure 3b). Coarse (1-2 cm) mica grains are observed in weakly foliated pegmatite layers but are absent in moderately- to strongly-foliated pegmatites. The pegmatites are so coarse grained that a small number of crystals can be observed in a thin section, so this rock type was not included in the microstructural analysis.

3.2.2 Quartz mylonite

Quartz mylonite has a metapelitic composition, dominated by alternating bands of quartz and aluminosilicates defining the foliation (Figure 3c) which imparts a cleavage to the rock (Figure 3d). The long axes of crystals and quartz aggregates are parallel with one another and the edges of the bands. The aluminosilicate-rich layers form pinch and swell structures between elongate quartz ribbons. Quartz ribbons are 200 to 500 µm thick, formed from either very fine (∼10 µm) equigranular crystals or elongate ribbon-quartz grains (> 2 mm), exhibiting sweeping undulose extinction. Mica-rich bands have similar thickness to the quartz ribbons, and are composed of extremely fine-grained quartz and pinite (< 5 µm) with occasional remnant coarser muscovite, sillimanite, and cordierite grains (10 - 50 µm) (Figure 4a, b). Slight deformation of pinite pseudomorphs after cordierite is interpreted as evidence for fabric development pre- to syn-retrogression (Figure 4a, b).

3.2.3 Granitic mylonite and ultramylonite

Mylonitic rocks of potassic granitic composition (pink orthogneisses), which are the locally predominant wall rock lithology at our study site, contain deformation fabrics that vary from protomylonitic outside the shear zone core, to mylonitic and
Figure 2. (a) Map of the Coboop Island fault core showing major mylonite units and Quaternary cover highlighted in basemap. Note that symbols are populated based on measurement density, and not all measurements are shown to improve clarity. (b) Stereonet is rotated to match the orientation of the map, and contains contoured measurements of poles to foliation (circles) and lineations (triangles). High resolution basemap and map layers are included as supplementary material.
ultramylonitic within it (Figure 3a, d, e). The mylonitic fabric is defined by millimetric layers of parallel elongated feldspar and quartz. The shear surface foliation (C) is dominant but locally, S-C or C-C’ fabrics occur (e.g. Figure 3a). Monomineralic quartz layers 100-1000 µm thick are common, containing equigranular grains with abundant subgrains (subgrain sizes varies...
from ∼10 µm in the ultramylonite to ∼35 µm in the protomylonite). The majority of the porphyroclasts are σ- and δ-clasts of potassium feldspar, or less commonly, quartz (Figure 4e), and dominantly fragmented showing little evidence of crystal plastic deformation. Rare biotite porphyroclasts are ∼1-5 mm in size. A strongly lineated and foliated matrix of ∼4-6 µm quartz, albite, potassium feldspar and muscovite is present in the mylonite and dominant in the ultramylonite. Ultramylonite displays sub-millimetric tabular straight to gently wavy color banding and is locally translucent (Figure 3e). Weathered surfaces and fresh surfaces of mylonite are pink to light greyish pink, whereas fresh surfaces of ultramylonite are aphanitic and dark mauve. Proto-mylonites display a rough weathered surface (Figure 7a) and ultramylonites are always very smooth (Figure 3e).

3.2.4 Porphyroclastic mylonite

Porphyroclastic mylonite has an aphanitic matrix with abundant large (up to centimetric) white feldspar porphyroclasts (Figure 3b, f). It is usually closely associated with pegmatite layer contacts (Figure 3b). A lineation is defined by elongate feldspar crystals (mainly plagioclase, with less abundant potassium feldspar, <1 - 110 mm) and rare quartz crystals. The matrix is black to greyish-green on weathered surfaces, and light grey to light-pink on fresh surfaces (Figure 3f). Abundant 80 - 500 µm-thick quartz ribbons are occasionally folded, and are composed of ∼30µm equigranular grains with abundant subgrains. The majority of porphyroclasts are plagioclase feldspar with minor potassium feldspar, and rarely quartz, forming σ- and δ-clasts which are commonly fragmented. Fragmented feldspar porphyroclasts contain networks of fine-grained mica within the fracture planes indicative of solution assisted breakdown (Figure 4c; Kerrich et al., 1980, 1981). The matrix is composed of ∼3-10 µm grains of quartz ± albite ± potassium feldspar ± muscovite (Figure 4c, d). Isolated pores and pore sheets are observed in the matrix (Fig. 4d; c.f. Gilgannon et al., 2021).

3.2.5 Black ultramylonite

Black ultramylonite has a black aphanitic matrix containing well-rounded <1 - 20 mm feldspar and quartz porphyroclasts (Figure 3b). The matrix is very mica-rich except for halos around the porphyroclasts, which generally have poorly developed or no tails (Figure 4g, h). Rarely, porphyroclasts are internally fractured. Discontinuous pockets of fine-grained quartz or granitic mylonite are 500 - 1000 µm thick, discontinuous along strike (<15 mm long), and often folded within the matrix. These pockets are composed of either ∼30 µm equigranular quartz crystals, or occasional prophyroclasts within foliated mylonitic matrix. Compositional banding >100 µm wide is present in the matrix due to varying proportions of biotite ± muscovite ± quartz ± albite, and foliation-parallel strings of very fine-grained ilmenite, with matrix grain sizes of ∼5 µm (varying from 1 to 15 µm; Figure 4h). Generally, micas are near-homogeneously distributed within the matrix, and pores are small (few µm) and isolated. Black ultramylonite forms thin layers both within other rock types and along contacts (Figure 3b). This is the only lithology observed cross-cutting foliation planes of other mylonites.
Figure 4. Characteristic microstructure of different Pofadder mylonites (left), with BSE image of fine-grained matrices (right). (a) Pinite pseudomorphing boudinaged cordierite in quartz mylonite. (b) Pinite band bounded by quartz ribbons. (c) Large fragmented porphyroclast of sericite-altered feldspar in porphyroclastic mylonite. (d) Quartz/albite (darker grey) and potassium feldspar (medium grey) in the matrix of porphyroclastic mylonite. Intergranular porosity is black. (e) Fragmented plagioclase porphyroclast in granitic mylonite. (f) Quartz (darkest grey), albite (dark grey) and potassium feldspar (light grey) composing granitic ultramylonite matrix. Intergranular porosity is black and muscovite is white. (g) Rounded porphyroclast in black ultramylonite. (h) Well-distributed biotite (light grey) and quartz/albite (dark grey) matrix in black ultramylonite. Intergranular porosity is black.
3.3 Microstructural components of mylonites

In order to relate the field-scale characteristics of the mylonites to mineralogy and microstructure related to deformation behavior, we identified common components occurring in several rock types. We estimated the relative modes of these components using image analysis of photomicrograph mosaics for representative samples. The components are:

1. Quartz ribbons: monomineralic areas of homogeneous recrystallised quartz crystals;
2. Porphyroclasts: coarse grained mono-crystalline clasts surrounded by finer grained material. Includes quartz, feldspars, and rarely micas;
3. Quartz-feldspar-rich matrix: micron-scale polymineralic matrix which constitutes the groundmass surrounding porphyroclasts;
4. Mica-rich matrix: matrix with approximately half mica, half quartz and/or feldspar.

Figure 5 reports the relative abundance of the four components in representative thin sections of six rock types. These component modes are consistent with fault rock naming schemes for ductily deformed rocks (e.g. Sibson, 1977). Amongst the granitic mylonites, the trend with decreasing grain size (proto → ultramylonite; Figure 5a-c) is shown by the decrease in porphyroclast content from 70% down to 13% of the rock, and corresponding increase in matrix from 10 up to 80% of the rock. The quartz ribbon content appears to increase between granitic protomylonite to granitic mylonite, but then decrease to 8% in the ultramylonite, possibly due to more efficient phase mixing at the smaller grain size incorporating the quartz into the matrix.
background matrix (e.g. Cross and Skemer, 2017). The porphyroclastic mylonite (Figure 5d) is similar to the granitic mylonite, with just under half the rock dominated by very fine matrix, but more abundant quartz ribbons in the granitic matrix and higher proportion of porphyroclasts in the porphyroclastic mylonite. The large porphyroclasts which give the rock its name are notable since they reflect the exceptional bimodality of grain size in the porphyroclastic mylonite, requiring a coarse-grained or porphyroclastic parent rock. The composition, and its common occurrence along the margin of pegmatites (dominated by coarse albite and quartz), suggest that the porphyroclastic mylonite is mostly derived from the pegmatite. The quartz mylonite (Figure 5e) is distinctive, composed of nearly equal parts mica-rich matrix and quartz ribbons. The black ultramylonite (Figure 5f) is overwhelmingly mica-rich with low (<10%) porphyroclast content. The black ultramylonite will be discussed further in Section 3.4.

### 3.4 Identifying recrystallized pseudotachylyte

For this study, it is necessary to identify the surfaces within the Pofadder Shear Zone core which can be shown to have hosted earthquakes. Due to the setting of our study outcrop near the base of the seismogenic zone, the pseudotachylytes formed in this environment are overprinted to some degree by crystal plastic deformation to form ultramylonites (e.g. Passchier, 1982; Clarke and Norman, 1993; Takagi et al., 2000; Price, 2012; Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013).

#### 3.4.1 Microstructural identification criteria

We have adapted the descriptive criteria developed by previous authors to develop an identification scheme for recrystallized pseudotachylytes in our field area, and use this to distinguish which ultramylonite bands can be shown to represent paleo-earthquake slip surfaces. These criteria are based on the observation that ultramylonites are defined by grain size and matrix proportion (Sibson, 1977), but rocks which develop these attributes may arrive here by two different pathways: one by dynamic grain size reduction from mylonites during crystal plastic flow, and the other by coarsening and aligned growth from pseudotachylyte (White et al., 1980; Passchier, 1982; Bestmann et al., 2012). The mineralogical and compositional fractionation effects of melting, and distinct contact geometries preserve the difference in the histories of the ultramylonites (Kirkpatrick et al., 2009; Price, 2012; Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013).

Here we present a list of characteristics of ultramylonites which are consistent with, and potentially indicative of, pseudotachylyte origin. The characteristics apply to the matrix, the shape and composition of porphyroclasts, and the layer boundaries. We then compare a variety of distinct ultramylonite samples from our field area to this list.

1. Homogeneous fine grain size in polymineralic matrix (e.g. Passchier, 1982; White, 1996; Ueda et al., 2008; Price et al., 2012) (Figure 6a,c);
2. Preserved flow banding and localized compositional differences within the matrix (e.g. White, 1996; Price et al., 2012);
3. Ultramylonite layers crosscut wall rock foliation;
Figure 6. Examples of microstructural criteria used to identify recrystallized pseudotachylyte. All images from sample PF01. (a) Photomicrograph of ultramylonite (upper half of photo)-pseudotachylyte (lower half of photo) contact, with ppl image on the left and xpl on the right. Numbers refer to criteria in Table 1. (b) A fragment of wallrock mylonite is folded and contained within a recrystallised pseudotachylyte matrix. (c, d) SEM backscatter images of granitic ultramylonite (c) (same as Figure 4f) and black ultramylonite (d) (same as Figure 4h) matrices are shown. The interconnected porous horizons (annotated with sparse dashed lines) and phase boundaries extending along multiple grain boundaries (annotated with solid lines) are shown. (e) An injection vein is shown in hand sample.
4. Bulk mineralogy of pseudotachylyte usually similar to, or more hydrous (mica-rich) than host rock, and reflects preferential incorporation of hydrous host rock minerals (e.g. Magloughlin, 1989; Pittarello et al., 2012; Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013) (Figure 6d);

5. Spatially dispersed opaque minerals (e.g. Magloughlin, 2005; Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013)

6. Compositional banding and through-going, interconnected porous grain boundaries are either absent, or rarely extend further than 3-4 grain boundaries (Figure 6c, d; c.f. Mancktelow et al., 1998);

7. Poly-crystalline (often rounded, and occasionally folded) wall rock fragments are commonly contained within the pseudotachylyte matrix preserving truncated pockets of wall rock microstructures (including foliation and quartz ribbons) (e.g. Figure 6b, Sibson, 1975);

8. Refractory minerals, stronger minerals, and those with weak cleavage are disproportionally represented in porphyroclast population (especially quartz, calcic feldspar relative to alkali; Sibson, 1975; Lin, 1999; Di Toro and Pennacchioni, 2004);

9. Rims of survivor clasts that may coarsen and shear into fine-grained monomineralic mantles and tails during recrystallization, but asymmetric tails are generally poorly developed (Figure 6a; Price et al., 2012);

10. Interfaces between pseudotachylyte and host rock may be sharp on a very small (∼ µm) scale (Figure 6a; Price et al., 2012);

11. Characteristic field geometries of pseudotachylyte (e.g. injection veins) which could form in gouge/cataclasite (Rowe et al., 2012; Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013) but which never form in mylonites may be preserved or inferable from deformed geometries (Figure 6e).

### 3.4.2 Application of criteria for identifying relict pseudotachylyte

Dark-colored ultramylonites with aphanitic matrix in the Pofadder Shear Zone have previously been interpreted as recrystallized and deformed pseudotachylyte (Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013; Melosh et al., 2018). By charting the characteristics listed in the previous section, we tested each rock type for match with known characteristics of pseudotachylytes to evaluate the possibility of genetic links. In our study area, there was only one other ultramylonite (pinkish granitic ultramylonite), although there are different colors of granitic ultramylonite elsewhere in the shear zone core (Melosh et al., 2018). We evaluated eight samples of black ultramylonite (Figure 4g) and six samples of granitic ultramylonite (Figure 4e) (Table 1). The black ultramylonite samples matched on average 7.75/10 characteristics for recrystallized pseudotachylyte while the granitic ultramylonites averaged 2.5/10 match. Of the granitic ultramylonites, three samples matched 0-2 characteristics (Table 1). We therefore interpret that the granitic ultramylonites in general do not show characteristics diagnostic of pseudotachylyte origin, and were probably formed by dynamic grain size reduction, whereas the black ultramylonite probably formed through coarsening of pseudotachylytes. Three samples of granitic ultramylonite matched 3 or 4 characteristics, raising the possibility that they could
be recycled pseudotachylytes in advanced stages of recrystallization/deformation so that many of the indicator features have been lost (e.g. Kirkpatrick and Rowe, 2013).

No single criterion divides the two ultramylonites perfectly, although the compositional mica enrichment (criterion 4) and possibly the sharp layer boundaries (criterion 10) may be the strongest discriminators. Polymineralic host rock clasts (criterion 7) were absent from all but one of the granitic ultramylonite samples, and that sample was also the only one to display a preponderance of refractory minerals (e.g. quartz) as clasts, consistent with preservation as survivor grains in a melt. That sample (PF07) also met the most pseudotachylyte criteria (n=4/10) so it is the strongest candidate amongst the granitic ultramylonites to be a ‘completely’ recycled pseudotachylyte, although it is still a relatively weak match compared to a black ultramylonite sample.

We interpret black ultramylonite strands as recrystallized pseudotachylyte and the product of seismic slip and transient heating, while other ultramylonites are more likely to be derived (or are indistinguishable) from plastic creep of parent mylonites. We therefore confirm the interpretation of Melosh et al. (2014, 2016) that the fault rocks in the PSZ record seismic activity in the brittle-plastic transition zone, and will refer to the black ultramylonites as recrystallized pseudotachylytes, and use the detailed map of black ultramylonites as a map of paleo-earthquake rupture surfaces to examine their distribution.

3.5 Spatial relationships between mylonites and recrystallized pseudotachylyte

The shear zone consists of dozens of parallel bands of mylonites and ultramylonites, creating a very large number of sub-parallel, pre-existing lithologic interfaces which could have been activated during seismic slip. The majority of the lithologic layers pinch and swell and exhibit gently wavy interfaces. This observation is consistent with existing theory on pinch and swell morphologies in deforming viscous layers (Gardner et al., 2015), wherein the shape is controlled by relative competency of the layers, which is a cumulative property influenced by mineralogy, fabric, layer thickness, and grain size of the rocks. However, the recrystallized pseudotachylytes are uniformly a few cm thick, with parallel wavy interfaces and near constant thickness along strike (Figure 2). We classified each interface in our small map area by the lithologies present as wall rocks on either side. The cumulative length of recrystallized pseudotachylyte along contacts between each pair of lithologies was determined as a proportion of the total cumulative length of contacts of the same type. The geometry of the interfaces were then characterized and compared to pseudotachylyte abundance (Table 2, and expressed as length of interface with pseudotachylyte as a percentage of total length of interface between wall rock lithology pairs).

We identify eight interconnected pseudotachylyte networks (black strands in Fig. 2; for definition of pseudotachylyte networks, see Rowe et al., 2018). As the strike-length of the mapped area is only 48 m, likely much smaller than the rupture patches of the paleo-earthquakes that produced the pseudotachylyte, we cannot determine whether the eight identified networks represent different earthquakes or are part of one or more networks which formed in the same earthquake (c.f. Allen, 2005; Rowe et al., 2018). By area, the eight recrystallized pseudotachylyte networks account for ~8% of the mapped fault core. Layers of recrystallized pseudotachylyte are < 1 - 13 cm thick (average 5.7 cm). As some of these are significantly thicker than pristine pseudotachylyte fault veins from similar faults (e.g. Sibson, 1975; Sibson and Toy, 2006; Allen and Shaw, 2011; Rowe et al., 2018), these could represent repeated slip of multiple earthquakes along a single slip surface (e.g. Camacho et al., 1995),
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Black Ultramylonite</th>
<th>Granitic Ultramylonite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>1. Homogenous fine polymineralic matrix</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>N Y N Y Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>2. Flow banding &amp; compositional differences</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>N N N N Y Y Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>3. Disruption of host rock layering</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y N</td>
<td>N N N Y Y Y N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>4. Mineralogy similar or mica-enriched</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y N</td>
<td>N N N N N N N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>5. Spatially distributed opaques</td>
<td>Y Y Y N Y Y Y Y</td>
<td>N Y Y Y Y N N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>6. Interruption of multigrain phase and porosity alignment</td>
<td>N/A N/A N/A Y N/A Y N/A Y</td>
<td>N N N N A A A A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clasts</td>
<td>7. Host rock clasts</td>
<td>Y Y Y N N Y Y N/A</td>
<td>N N N N N N Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clasts</td>
<td>8. Types of monomineralic clasts</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y N Y N Y</td>
<td>N N N N N N Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clasts</td>
<td>9. Limited clast tails</td>
<td>Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y Y Y</td>
<td>N N N N N N N N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>10. Sharp layer boundaries</td>
<td>N/A N Y Y Y N/A Y N/A</td>
<td>N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface</td>
<td>11. Field geometries e.g. injection veins</td>
<td>N/A Y N/A Y Y N N N/A</td>
<td>N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% positive match | 100% | 90% | 100% | 82% | 70% | 90% | 80% | 75% | 0% | 22% | 9% | 38% | 40% | 40% |

Table 1. Results of microstructural comparison of fourteen samples (eight black ultramylonite and six granitic ultramylonite) to the recrystallized pseudotachylyte identification criteria. N/A indicates that it was impossible to assess the criterion in the thin section (e.g. sharpness of layer boundary when the thin section did not contain a layer boundary). Percentage match is calculated with N/A values removed.
or the pseudotachylyte layers were thickened during dynamic recrystallization, by folding and early stages of transposition (e.g. Melosh et al., 2018).

We found that 71% of recrystallized pseudotachylyte is located along an interface between two different lithologies, and 29% occurs within a single lithology. Pseudotachylyte is most abundant along interfaces between porphyroclastic mylonite and pegmatite (72.4%), and between quartz mylonite and porphyroclastic mylonite (71.8%), and less abundant along contacts between pegmatite and either granitic mylonite (61.0%) or quartz mylonite (56.4%) (Table 2). The interface between granitic mylonite and quartz mylonite almost never has pseudotachylyte (2.3%). Finally, while the interface between granitic mylonite and porphyroclastic mylonite also has a low pseudotachylyte occurrence (17.7%), the total length of this type of interface is only 28 meters, making this a lithological relationship with lower occurrence than other contact types (56-237 m) within the study area, meaning this percentage may be less representative. The majority of pseudotachylyte with similar wall rock is hosted by pegmatite (41.9% of contact), with decreasing abundance in quartz- (23.4%), granitic- (3.7%), and porphyroclastic (3.1%) mylonite (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall rocks</th>
<th>Total Length of Contact Type (m)</th>
<th>Pseudotachylyte Length (m)</th>
<th>% of Total Length</th>
<th>% of all Pseudotachylytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pegmatite &amp; porphyroclastic mylonite</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pegmatite &amp; granitic mylonite</td>
<td>149.3</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pegmatite &amp; quartz mylonite</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyroclastic mylonite &amp; quartz mylonite</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyroclastic mylonite &amp; granitic mylonite</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granitic mylonite &amp; quartz mylonite</td>
<td>237.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pegmatite (sw)</td>
<td>187.2</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porphyroclastic mylonite (sw)</td>
<td>133.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granitic mylonite (sw)</td>
<td>156.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz mylonite (sw)</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Total length of contacts in mapped area, categorized by the lithologies juxtaposed across the contacts (similar (sw) or different wall rocks), with percentage of total length by wall rock lithology which is decorated by pseudotachylyte. Rows ordered by apparent relative strength.

These data demonstrate that the pseudotachylytes are not randomly distributed across all the possible interfaces in the shear zone core; they are preferentially associated to particular wall rock combinations.

4 Shear zone core mylonite geometry

Some of the rock types in the shear zone core form shorter, higher amplitude boudins, while others tend to flow and fill the space between boudins, producing different interface geometries between different wall rocks. To test the hypothesis that the distribution of pseudotachylyte might be related to the interface geometry, we extracted the interfaces from our field map...
for quantitative description and analysis. If interface geometry affects the likelihood of finding pseudotachylyte along an interface, then we should be able to identify a geometric difference between pseudotachylyte-bearing and pseudotachylyte-absent interfaces. We determined the relative competency of the different lithologies based on the shape of the interfaces. We then applied a Fast Fourier transform (FFT) to characterize the shape of contacts for comparison.

4.1 Relative competency from qualitative comparison of layer contact shapes

Differences in the geometry of boudinaged or pinch-swell contacts have been associated with competency contrast in analog models and numerical modelling studies (Cloos, 1947; Ramberg, 1955; Gardner et al., 2015, 2016). The amplitude:wavelength ratio of boudinaged contacts increases with increasing competency contrast, strain, and thickness contrasts, as well as the feedback between viscosity and strain rate which controls stress (Ramberg, 1955; Marques et al., 2012). As all the lithologies in the shear zone are poly-mineralic, directly estimating their strengths from experimentally-derived flow laws is untenable (e.g. Handy, 1994). However, pinch-and-swell curvature reflects the sense of competency contrasts (with the less viscous lithology filling pinches and gaps in the more viscous one; Quirke, 1923; Ramberg, 1955). Combining qualitative observations of layer shape with information on layer thickness can therefore produce a relative hierarchy of lithologic strength during deformation which reflects the local strain rate and stress.

Quartz mylonite layers typically display the largest thickness variations (0 - >1 m), and are commonly observed pinching out completely along strike regardless of the thickness of neighbouring mylonite bands (e.g. Fig. 3d). Granitic mylonite boudins display a lesser thickness variation (up to ~ 30 cm), and only pinch out completely when layer thickness ratio between this mylonite and surrounding material is >4. Porphyroclastic mylonite and pegmatite layers are not observed pinching out, but do exhibit cyclical changes in thickness on the order of 10-20 cm (Fig. 3a, b). Pinch-swell and boudinage is also observed between layers of the same lithology (especially quartz mylonite) where thickness variation may be the stronger determinant on competency (Figure 2).

Based on assessments of composition (encompassing the mineralogy of each mylonite’s coarse grained and fine grained microstructural domains, Figure 5), and observations of thickness variations (Figure 2), we define a qualitative hierarchy of competency between the shear zone core lithologies. The geometry of the layer interfaces allows us to rank the different lithologies in terms of relative competence (Gardner et al., 2016). The coarse-grained, plagioclase-dominated pegmatites are more competent than all other rocks in the mylonite zone. The porphyroclastic mylonite (likely derived from dynamic grain size reduction and alteration of the pegmatite) appears to be the second most competent, as it makes cusps along boundaries with the pegmatite (Figure 3A), but the amplitude of its contacts with pegmatite is much lower than the other lithologies against pegmatite (Figure 7). The granitic mylonite appears to be less competent than the porphyroclastic mylonite, based on contact morphology. The quartz mylonite shows higher contact amplitudes than granitic mylonite in contact with the stronger rocks, so was less competent than all the other mylonites. As the quartz mylonite exhibits the thickest layering (Fig. 2), and competency is a function of viscosity and thickness, it is important to control for thickness to establish this as the weakest lithology.
4.2 Quantitative mapping and analysis of contact shapes

We selected 14 segments of lithologic interfaces for FFT analysis (Fig. 2). Six of those interface segments are lined with recrystallized pseudotachylyte and eight are sharp contacts between mylonitic wall rocks. The de-trended contacts are presented in Figure 7b-c, where the origin is the northwestern end of each mapped contact. The lengths of layer interfaces (15.1 - 37.2 m) vary, and traces are truncated by wall rock changes or pseudotachylyte bifurcations. The amplitude of undulations is $\lesssim 1$ m (across strike distance in Fig. 7b,d). To control for layer thickness, we selected six reference contacts which juxtapose equal-thickness layers (three with pseudotachylyte present, three without; Figure 7c,e).

We calculated the Power Spectral Density (PSD) for each contact trace (Figure 7d, e). This yielded quantitative measures of the power of undulations at different wavelengths along the traces, and allowed us to interpret differences of roughness of these surfaces. Contact traces from the field map were converted into coordinate arrays. The selected interfaces were populated with coordinates at a fixed along-strike interval of 0.05 m through linear interpolation between digitized nodes. The contact geometry was then de-trended to remove the shear zone’s northwest-southeast strike from the amplitude component of the digitised contacts. Signal tapering was used to minimize spectral leakage; a forward linear extrapolation (beginning at the trace end point and terminating once extrapolated amplitude matched the trace beginning amplitude) was applied to the de-trended interfaces. Fast Fourier Transforms were performed on converted interfaces in Python 3.7 (utilizing the Numpy library FFT capabilities) to determine the dominant wavelengths of the interface undulations by extracting power spectral density. The input interfaces were zero-padded to increase frequency resolution at low frequencies. Frequencies less than the signal’s period length are then discarded.

Our analysis suggests there is a distinct difference between pseudotachylyte-bearing interfaces (cool colors in Figure 7a, d, e) and pseudotachylyte-absent interfaces (warm colors in Figure 7a, b, c). All interfaces exhibit a complex geometry that is represented by multiple sinusoidal components with different wavelengths in the FFT results. In general, the power increases as the wavelength increases. Interfaces decorated by pseudotachylyte (cool colors) display notably larger power compared to interfaces without pseudotachylyte at long wavelengths ($\gtrsim 10$ m). Pseudotachylyte-absent interfaces appear to plateau around an upper power level of $\sim 0.4$ at wavelengths of 7-10 m. These characteristics of the spectral analysis are qualitatively evident in the de-trended interface profiles (Figure 7b,d), where the pseudotachylyte-present interfaces have a geometry dominated by large amplitude, long wavelength components whereas the pseudotachylyte-absent interfaces show lower overall amplitudes and predominance of multiple short wavelength components. This pattern is robust when layer thickness and lithology are controlled, as shown by two pairs of reference contacts with matching wall rock lithologies, (quartz mylonite/pegmatite (red vs. navy blue) and granitic mylonite/pegmatite (orange vs. royal blue); Figure 7).

Two of the pseudotachylyte-absent reference interface segments are adjacent segments of one interface mapped across a transition from one wall rock type to another in series (red to orange in Figure 7a). Making the reasonable assumption that the finite strain is similar along the entire interface, the effect of changing one wall rock can be observed (Figure 7d, e). The wall rock on the north side is pegmatite, and on the south, the interface progresses from quartz mylonite in the west (red in Figure 7a) to granitic mylonite in the east (orange in Figure 7a). We observe a reduction in the peak power between the quartz...
mylonite (red) and granitic mylonite (orange). This amplitude difference is attributable to the difference in competency of the quartz mylonite (weaker) vs. the granitic mylonite (stronger) when they share an interface with pegmatite, the strongest lithology. The interface between quartz mylonite and granitic mylonite (yellow in Figure 7) may have a different finite strain but the high power suggests again that the competency contrast is significant. Comparing each mylonite against the same strong opposing wall rock (pegmatite) is consistent with our qualitative competency hierarchy presented in the previous section.

The difference in geometry between pseudotachylyte-bearing and pseudotachylyte-absent contacts remains present when considering all contacts that extend multiple boudin lengths along strike, whether or not we control for layer thickness. The measured power differs for each individual contact, but the predominance of higher power computed for pseudotachylyte-bearing contacts at wavelengths greater than $\sim 10$ meters persists for all 14 contacts.

5 Implications of shear zone mesostructure for the geometry of earthquake rupture

Our detailed mapping of the Pofadder Shear Zone core presents the lithologic and architectural complexities of the earthquake source in the deep seismogenic zone. In this section, we reflect on the relationship between interseismic viscous flow and brittle rupture, discuss the possible causes of non-random distribution of pseudotachylytes amongst the layers of mylonites, and speculate on possible effects both on, and due to, earthquake cycles in the middle crust.

5.1 Long-term deformation of mylonitic layering

Pinch-and-swell geometries are present in every compositional layer we mapped, which represent the finite deformation within the shear zone, and therefore inform the long-term conditions and processes. As the quartz mylonite, granitic mylonite, and porphyroclastic mylonite are very similar in grain size (Figure 4), but display indications of significant competency contrasts in outcrop, mineralogy seems to be the strongest factor which affected their relative competence during viscous flow. A multitude of grain-scale deformation mechanisms accommodated strain in the mylonites. We commonly observe fragmented feldspar porphyroclasts (dominantly plagioclase) in granitic and porphyroclastic mylonites, which show minimal evidence of dynamic recrystallisation. In all mylonites, the microstructure in monomineralic quartz ribbons (with strong crystallographic preferred orientation; Melosh et al., 2016) suggests that quartz deformed predominantly through dislocation creep. The abundance of flake-shaped pores and co-planar grain boundaries crossing phase boundaries in the mylonite matrices (Figure 4) are consistent with the activity of additional deformation mechanisms, such as grain boundary sliding (e.g. Fliervoet et al., 1997; Fusseis et al., 2009). Syn-kinematic solution-precipitation may also explain the elevated mica contents in the ultramylonites relative to mylonites and to the host rock (e.g. Figure 5; Simpson, 1985; Janecke and Evans, 1988; Fusseis and Handy, 2008; Gilgannon et al., 2017). This complexity of deformation mechanisms makes it impossible to estimate the bulk strengths of individual mylonites from mineralogy and microstructure, but the pinch-and-swell geometries are consistent with power law viscous flow with stress exponents <5 and effective viscosity contrasts on the order of 20-100x (Schmalholz et al., 2008).

Our qualitative competency hierarchy (pegmatite > porphyroclastic mylonite > granitic mylonite > quartz mylonite) and the observations of fractured plagioclase porphyroclasts are consistent with plagioclase as the strongest major mineral during
Figure 7. Mylonite layer interface maps. (a) Drone image with contact traces delineated, cool colors have pseudotachylyte and warm colors have no pseudotachylyte. Reference traces of similar wall rock layer thickness are shown in bright colors (see legend for plots) and other interfaces which are not controlled for layer thickness appear in pale colors. Top row of plots shows data for pseudotachylyte-bearing contacts (b,c) and bottom row shows pseudotachylyte-absent contacts (d,e). (b,d): De-trended profiles. (c,e) FFT for same contact traces highlights the wavelengths of increased power (m³).
deformation. The porphyroclastic mylonite retains a higher proportion of plagioclase, while the granitic mylonite contains more quartz and alkali feldspar (Figure 4f vs. d). The weakest mylonite is the quartz mylonite, whose framework is dominated by dynamically recrystallised quartz and mica rather than feldspar. We therefore conclude that the decreasing abundance and grain size of feldspar was the main cause of the inferred decrease in bulk rock viscosity between the lithologies (Figure 5). This has been noted in other granitic shear zones, where replacing feldspar with mica contributed to monotonic weakening in the shear zone core (e.g. Gerald and Stünitz, 1993; Bailey et al., 1994; Wintsch et al., 1995).

Overall, our results demonstrate that in high strain shear zones that contain an array of lithologies and grain sizes, mylonitic layering in the shear zone core is characterized by competency variations that result in wavy interfaces between layers. The Pofadder is typical of mid-crustal shear zones which cut through lithologically heterogeneous crust, with thinned and extended layers of different mineralogies, so we suggest this general structure may be a characteristic of continental transforms in the deep portions of seismogenic zones and transitional zones (e.g. Price et al., 2012; Rennie et al., 2013; Schulte-Pelkum and Mahan, 2014).

### 5.2 Distribution of preserved earthquakes

Pseudotachylytes record myriad paleo-earthquake rupture surfaces passing through the shear zone, predominantly along lithologic interfaces (Table 2). The mylonites are interlayered on a scale of ~2-60 cm (Figure 2; Melosh et al., 2018), resulting in 10s or 100s of oriented, sub-parallel lithologic interfaces which might have been favourable for earthquake rupture propagation. The observation that pseudotachylytes are mainly located along lithologic interfaces (Table 2), supports the hypothesis that these contacts acted as earthquake guides. Furthermore, if the earthquake ruptures were insensitive to local conditions, such as interface cohesion, rock properties or interface geometry, then we would expect to find the pseudotachylyte distributed randomly amongst the interfaces, but this is not the case. Table 2 shows that some combinations of wall rocks are far more likely to show pseudotachylytes on their interfaces than other combinations.

One possible explanation is that some of the lithologies are more likely to melt during earthquakes, resulting in a pseudotachylyte map which records melting tendency rather than rupture patterns. Mica-rich rocks would be predicted to melt with less frictional heating due to higher water contents and lower melting point (Spray, 2010). This cannot have been the only factor controlling frictional melting in the Pofadder Shear Zone, as some of the highest mica content mylonite (quartz mylonite; Figure 5e) is sometimes associated with the lowest pseudotachylyte abundance interfaces (e.g. granitic mylonite vs. quartz mylonite, which displays only 2.3% pseudotachylyte decoration). The highest melting point of the major rock forming minerals across all mylonites occurs in plagioclase, and the lowest thermal conductivity in quartz (Spray, 2010), resulting in these minerals overwhelmingly dominating survivor grain populations in pseudotachylytes (Dobson et al., 2018). The higher strength of feldspar-dominated rocks may accentuate frictional heat production (Spray, 1992). Plagioclase is the dominant mineral in pegmatite and porphyroclastic mylonite, a combination which is overwhelmingly favorable for pseudotachylyte (72%). However, high-feldspar contacts between porphyroclastic mylonite and granitic mylonite show low pseudotachylyte abundance. Neither low melting point (mica) nor high frictional heating (plagioclase) can explain the distribution of pseudotachylytes, suggesting that mineralogy has a weak effect. The map of pseudotachylyte is therefore is likely a map of paleo-seismic rupture traces.
The long-term and short-term strength, and the distribution and geometry of lithologic interfaces, are all ultimately controlled mostly by interseismic viscous creep in the shear zone. Below, we assess the influence of different interface characteristics on the distribution of seismic slip in the shear zone.

5.2.1 Interface cohesion

It is well known that seismic slip is most likely to occur along pre-existing faults or weak surfaces due to lower cohesion (Hutchinson and Suo, 1991). In the presence of two materials with a cohesionless interface between them, slip is controlled by differences in frictional properties of the materials (Lykotrafitis and Rosakis, 2006), which causes the rotation of local maximum principal stress away from perpendicular to the fault plane. This results in a decrease in the energy required to maintain dynamic slip, and increases displacement for a given stress state (Andrews and Ben-Zion, 1997). Previous field observations of pseudotachylyte-bearing mylonite zones have demonstrated that deformation tends to localize along pre-existing joints, faults or contacts (Di Toro and Pennacchioni, 2005; Shaw and Allen, 2007; Allen and Shaw, 2011; Mittempergher et al., 2021). However, in shear zones, lithologic interfaces are not completely decoupled, as boudin formation, or pinch and swell layer geometries, imply coupling across the interfaces on long time scales (e.g. Abe and Urai, 2012). Our results demonstrate that lithologic interfaces are favored for rupture, but not exclusively, as nearly one third of pseudotachylyte lengths lie between identical wall rocks, so may have ruptured through anisotropic but intact crystalline rock. These observations suggest the interfaces cannot have been completely cohesionless, and the distribution of post-seismic deformation including pseudotachylytes and wall rock indicates that healing after co-seismic melting was effective, with grain growth having occurred during post-seismic healing (c.f. Passchier, 1982; Price et al., 2012; Metteer, 2021). It therefore seems unlikely that cohesion contrasts play a decisive role in controlling the strong preference for earthquake ruptures along some interfaces over others.

5.2.2 Wall rock strength

At the timescale of seismic slip, rupture propagation is not affected by viscous deformation, but is influenced by elastic properties and stress heterogeneities that may pre-exist in the fault zone (Bhat et al., 2010). For example, numerical models suggest that dissimilar elastic properties between wall rocks can lead to variations in normal stress during seismic slip (Dunham and Rice, 2008). As all the mylonites are quartz and feldspar dominated and highly anisotropic, they likely had similar, highly anisotropic elastic moduli (Punturo et al., 2014; Wenning et al., 2018), so differences in elastic properties are unlikely to have determined the distribution of earthquakes. However, the patterns of pinch-and-swell layering imply that a heterogeneous stress distribution existed in the shear zone at any moment during viscous creep (e.g. Abe and Urai, 2012). Previous work on the stress distributions associated with folding and boudinage in power law viscous materials have shown that the magnitude of stress heterogeneities around rigid inclusions can reach 10s-100s MPa (Melosh et al., 2018; Campbell and Menegon, 2019) and perhaps higher during accelerated creep transients (Papa et al., 2020). Furthermore, high contrast interfaces have the largest resolved shear stress during deformation of layered media due to the displacement mismatches across them (Lloyd et al., 1982). In nature, high tractions along a lithologic interface may result in frictional failure (Fagereng et al., 2014; Phillips et al., 2020). We propose that rupture propagation is most favored along pathways with the greatest background shear stress. Pseudo-
tachylytes are most abundant along interfaces with the highest viscosity rocks (e.g. pegmatites) and interfaces with the highest viscosity contrasts (e.g. porphyroclastic mylonite vs. quartz mylonite; Table 2). Thus, the viscosity contrasts contributed to the development of stress heterogeneity prior to earthquake rupture, which appears to have influenced the locus of seismic slip.

5.2.3 Interface geometry

The maps of interface geometry are 2D, but extend to wavelengths >10 m which are rarely reached in field studies on fault roughness (e.g. Bistacchi et al., 2011; Candela et al., 2012; Shervais and Kirkpatrick, 2016). Our analyses suggest that the lithologic interfaces are largely indistinguishable at shorter (meters and smaller) wavelengths, with amplitude:wavelength ratios similar to faults (~10^{-2} – 10^{-3}; e.g. Renard et al. (2013); Fang and Dunham (2013)), and with amplitudes that increase with wavelength in the same way as faults. However, above wavelengths of ~10 m, the pseudotachylyte-bearing interfaces have amplitudes that exhibit a power law-like scaling with wavelength (steep increase on the log-linear plot), while the interfaces without pseudotachylyte do not increase appreciably in power with increasing wavelength. The leveling off of the power density above 10 m wavelength may be consistent with progressive boudinage and wavelength-halving as the major control on the geometry of these pseudotachylyte-absent interfaces (Abe and Urai, 2012). This pattern suggests that earthquake slip was correlated to interfaces that are rough at long wavelengths.

We considered the possibility that the observed pattern may be the result, rather than the cause, of seismic slip. Where the layer thickness is constant on either side and the lithologic juxtaposition is the same (e.g. orange vs. royal blue or red vs. navy blue in Figure 7), our data show the pseudotachylyte-bearing interfaces have larger amplitudes at long wavelengths. The earthquake rupture surfaces are actually rougher than the other, non-seismic lithologic interfaces. Furthermore, the pseudotachylyte-bearing interfaces that have the same lithology on either side likely ruptured intact rock yet exhibit wavy geometries. This indicates that the development of the large amplitudes to the pseudotachylyte interfaces may result from a process inherent to the fracture propagation rather than being completely inherited from the pre-existing interface geometry.

It is also possible that the enhanced long-wavelength roughness is caused by the pseudotachylyte itself, if the low strength of the ultra fine-grained recrystallized pseudotachylyte causes decoupling that interferes with the progression of boudinage along an interface. Numerical models of boudinage progression between two lithologies with a significant competency contrast have demonstrated that ongoing shear will lead to the progressive development of shorter wavelength boudins, increasing the range of wavelengths observed on the interface (Abe and Urai, 2012). If this model accurately represents the process of interface geometric evolution in the Pofadder mylonites, then interfaces with higher finite strain would evolve toward reducing power at long wavelengths in favor of increasing power at shorter wavelengths. Recrystallized pseudotachylyte is significantly more micaceous and finer-grained than any of the other mylonites (Figure 5), with distributed mica crystals compared to non-pseudotachylyte ultramylonites that display mineralogical layering. These attributes predict the lowest bulk viscosity of any of the lithologies in the shear zone core, and due to the finer grain size, result in the potential for grain boundary sliding dominated strain-accommodation rather than dislocation creep (Fliervoet et al., 1997). Thus, the rheological impact of pseudotachylyte emplacement may have been to locally weaken the shear resistance and localize ductile deformation into the interface layer.
of black ultramylonite, effectively decoupling the lithologic interface and impeding the process of wavelength reduction in boudinage.

5.3 Stress heterogeneity, interseismic evolution and earthquakes

We suggest that the primary control on the location of earthquake rupture within the Pofadder Shear Zone was pre-rupture stress heterogeneity caused by the geometry variations generated by viscosity contrast between lithologic layers. This is in contrast to frictional faults in the seismogenic zone that may progressively evolve toward smoother, more mature structures (Dascher-Cousineau et al., 2018) which are correlated with greater slip (e.g. Bletery et al., 2016). Earthquakes may behave differently in the conditionally ductile zone, as a result of more complete healing in crystalline rock, and the stress heterogeneity patterns associated with uneven lithologic layering.

Our field observations and interpreted paleo-earthquakes from pseudotachylyte occurrence show that structural complexity must have directly impacted the ancient earthquakes, consistent with previous modeling results that suggest the largest earthquakes occur in areas of highest stress heterogeneity (Allam et al., 2019). Aagaard and Heaton (2008) showed that ruptures propagating through heterogeneous stress conditions on a geometrically planar fault would display slip heterogeneity and leave a heterogeneous post-seismic stress field in their wake. Therefore, both interseismic creep and post-seismic stress-drop heterogeneity contribute to keeping stress heterogeneous throughout the seismic cycle.

One mechanism of maintaining co-seismic stress heterogeneity may be the production of a ‘drag stress’ proportional to the ratio of the slip to the minimum wavelength of roughness (Fang and Dunham, 2013). For reasonable slip magnitudes consistent with moderate continental strike-slip earthquakes (~0.1 - 1 meter), a rough fault like our pseudotachylyte-bearing faults (amplitude ~0.1-0.5 m at 10 m wavelengths) should experience drag stress on order 10-100 MPa, approaching 1/3 of the background normal stress at seismogenic depths, and compatible with Fang and Dunham (2013)’s predictions for propagating earthquake ruptures.

Rupture models never approach the small spatial resolution of our field study, so the minimum scale at which stress heterogeneities might affect earthquake rupture is untested numerically (Allam et al., 2019; Bruhat et al., 2020). Milliner et al. (2016) showed that slip variability is correlated with geometric complexity at wavelengths down to ~200 m. Our observations indicate that we may have captured the lengthscale of transition below which stress heterogeneities are too small to influence earthquake propagation (at scales of <10 m) to the scale at which the effect can be detected (>10 m).

We emphasize that lithologic and geometric heterogeneity are intrinsic to shear zones in the mid crust, which develop progressively and are maintained during deformation. Heterogeneous stress conditions, at scales and magnitudes sufficient to affect rupture distribution, should be expected in the conditionally ductile crust.

6 Summary

This study investigates the distribution of earthquake slip surfaces within a lithologically diverse exhumed continental-scale shear zone using high-resolution geologic mapping of an excellent exposure of the shear zone core from the brittle-ductile
transition zone. We ranked the different types of mylonites in order of their relative competence: the strongest was plagioclase-rich pegmatite, followed by porphyroclastic mylonite, granitic mylonite, and quartz mylonite was the weakest. We identified thin layers of black ultramylonite as recrystallised pseudotachylyte networks derived from paleo-earthquake slip and propose a comprehensive microstructural criteria for differentiating ultramylonites formed by recrystallisation of pseudotachylyte from ultramylonites formed only through crystal plastic deformation. We have determined that these recrystallised pseudotachylyte are not randomly distributed, and are concentrated on particular lithologic interfaces associated with the strongest rocks and the highest strength contrasts between wall rocks. We attribute this pattern to the earthquake-promoting effects of heterogeneous stress fields associated with geometric complexity generated by viscous boudinage of the heterogeneous mylonite layers. The paleo-seismic rupture surfaces are distinguished from other lithologic contacts by higher amplitude roughness at length scales \( \gtrsim 10 \) m, suggesting this is a minimum dimension at which stress heterogeneities may have a significant effect on seismic rupture processes. Any mid-crustal shear zone of significant strain history is likely to be lithologically banded at depth, this banding leads to rheologic layering, which leads to pinch-swell geometries, which causes stress concentrations. We suggest that this environment provides a paradigm for understanding the environment of earthquake nucleation in active continental faults which could contribute to more naturalistic earthquake cycle models through understanding the interseismic evolution of the fault zone conditions.
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