



1	Effects of mild alternate wetting and drying irrigation and rice
2	straw application on N_2O emissions in rice cultivation
3	Kaikuo Wu ^{1,2} , Wentao Li ^{1,3} , Zhanbo Wei ^{1,2} , Zhi Dong ^{4,5} , Yue Meng ^{1,3} ,
4	Na Lv ^{1,3} , Lili Zhang ^{1,2,6}
5	¹ Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang
6	110016, China
7	² Engineering Laboratory for Green Fertilizers, Chinese Academy of
8	Sciences, Shenyang 110016, China
9	³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
10	⁴ Institute of Tillage and Cultivation, Liaoning Academy of Agricultural
11	Sciences, Shenyang 110161, China
12	⁵ Liaoning Key Laboratory of Conservation Tillage in Dry Land,
13	Shenyang 110161, China
14	⁶ National Engineering Laboratory for Soil Nutrient Management,
15	Shenyang 110016, China
16	Correspondence: Lili Zhang (llzhang@iae.ac.cn)
17	
18	Abstract. The shortage of water resources and the decline in soil organic
19	matter (SOM) are important limiting factors affecting the improvement of
20	rice productivity, while alternate wetting and drying (AWD) irrigation
21	and rice straw return are considered favorable mitigation measures.





However, its impact on rice yield and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, 22 especially nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions, needs to be further clarified, 23 which is essential for the development of agronomic measures for water 24 savings, soil fertilization and GHG reduction. Therefore, we explored the 25 effects of mild AWD irrigation combined with rice straw return on N₂O 26 emissions and rice yield through rice pot experiments. This study showed 27 that N₂O emissions were mainly affected by urea application and 28 irrigation methods, and urea application was the main reason. Compared 29 with continuous flooding (CF) irrigation, mild AWD irrigation increased 30 cumulative N₂O emissions, with an average increase of 28.8%. In 31 addition, adding rice straw to mild AWD irrigation further stimulated N₂O 32 emissions. Compared with CF irrigation, mild AWD irrigation increased 33 the yield-scaled N₂O emissions, and the addition of rice straw further 34 promoted the yield-scaled N₂O emissions under mild AWD irrigation but 35 reduced the global warming potential (GWP) by 62.9%. Under the 36 condition of urea application, compared with CF irrigation, mild AWD 37 irrigation reduced nitrogen uptake by rice in the soil and rice 38 aboveground biomass without reducing rice yield. Therefore, mild AWD 39 irrigation combined with rice straw return is a promising agronomic 40 measure to ensure rice yield, reduce the greenhouse effect and maintain 41 or improve soil fertility. 42

43





44 **1 Introduction**

Rice is the staple food for more than half of the world's population, 45 and ensuring rice production is crucial to food security (Tang and Cheng, 46 2018). More than 135 million hectares of rice are cultivated worldwide, 47 and approximately 90% of paddy fields are submerged (Wang et al., 48 2017a). Feeding a growing population under water scarcity is a major 49 challenge to Asia's food security in the coming decades (Lampayan et al., 50 2015). In China, more than 60% of freshwater resources are consumed by 51 rice cultivation every year, which not only causes a great waste of 52 freshwater but also causes many environmental problems, such as 53 nonpoint source pollution, eutrophication of water bodies, and 54 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Liao et al., 2020). Therefore, it is 55 urgent to explore paddy field fertilization management measures that can 56 ensure rice yield, reduce water waste, and reduce environmental 57 pollution. 58

Alternate wetting and drying (AWD) irrigation is an effective water-saving irrigation method that can save approximately 23% of fresh water resources compared with continuous flooding (CF) irrigation (Bouman and Tuong, 2001; Chu et al., 2014). There are usually two types of alternate wetting and drying irrigation: severe AWD irrigation (soil water potential $\geq -30\pm5$ kPa) and mild AWD irrigation (soil water potential $\geq -15\pm5$ kPa). Severe AWD irrigation could reduce rice yield by





66	22.6%, but under mild AWD irrigation, rice yield was stable or slightly
67	increased (Carrijo et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2017). Therefore, mild AWD
68	irrigation may be a more promising paddy field management model.
69	Previous studies have shown that AWD irrigation can significantly reduce
70	methane (CH ₄) emissions but significantly promote nitrous oxide (N ₂ O)
71	emissions, while global warming potential (GWP) mitigation is
72	dependent on the magnitude of the increase in N_2O emissions
73	(Lagomarsino et al., 2016; Liang et al., 2017; Kritee et al., 2018; Tan et al.
74	2018). Therefore, exploring the impact of mild AWD irrigation on GHG
75	emissions, especially N_2O emissions, is conducive to reducing paddy
76	field emissions and maximizing environmental benefits.

Long-term cultivation without organic matter supplementation leads 77 to serious degradation of cultivated land in China, which reduces the soil 78 organic matter (SOM) content (zhou et al., 2021), which is not conducive 79 to rice production and sustainable agricultural development (chen et al., 80 2016). Straw return to the field is considered to be an important measure 81 for improving SOM (huang et al., 2021), and it is beneficial for reducing 82 the environmental pollution caused by burning straw or discarding it 83 randomly (wang et al., 2018). In addition, rice straw return may also 84 cause changes in paddy field GHG emissions (Naser et al., 2007; Ye et al., 85 2017; Sun et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2021), nitrogen use efficiency (Liu et al., 86 2021), and rice yield (Chen et al., 2016; Ku et al., 2019). Although there 87





have been many studies on mild paddy AWD irrigation or rice straw 88 return, few studies have focused on the effects of mild AWD irrigation 89 combined with rice straw return on rice cultivation. Under the 90 conventional fertilization mode, whether mild AWD irrigation combined 91 with rice straw returning can achieve the optimal goals of water saving, 92 yield increase, and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions needs to be 93 further explored. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate 94 the effect of mild AWD irrigation combined with rice straw returning on 95 N₂O emissions and rice yield in rice cultivation, and to explore the 96 nitrogen supply of soil, urea and rice straw to rice growth through ¹⁵N 97 labeling technology. We put forward the following hypotheses: 1) Mild 98 AWD irrigation would promote N₂O emission in rice cultivation; 2) Mild 99 AWD irrigation would reduce soil nitrogen uptake by rice; 3) Mild AWD 100 irrigation would maintain or promote rice yield. 101

102 2 Materials and methods

103 2.1 Experimental site and soil properties

The pot experiment was conducted in an open greenhouse at the Shenyang Experimental Station of the Institute of Applied Ecology, Liaoning Province, China (43 °32'N, 123 °23'E) from June 17th to October 27th, 2020.

108 2.2 Experimental design

109 The pot experiment was designed with a completely random block





design, including 30 pots (30 cm diameter \times 20 cm height). This 110 experiment included two irrigation methods, two nitrogen application 111 levels, two rice straw return levels and three replicates for each 112 combination. The two irrigation methods were CF irrigation and mild 113 AWD irrigation. CF irrigation maintained a water level depth of 114 approximately 3-5 cm throughout the rice-growing season. Mild AWD 115 irrigation water management in the first 7 days was consistent with CF 116 irrigation and then it was naturally pursued. When the soil negative 117 pressure gauge reached -15 kPa, it was subflooded 3-5 cm again and then 118 naturally dried up again. This step was repeated until harvest. CF and 119 mild AWD irrigation were halted 2 weeks before harvest. Nitrogen was 120 applied at 0 kg N ha⁻¹ (CK (control check) and ¹⁵S (rice straw)) and 225 121 kg N ha⁻¹ (106.13 mg kg⁻¹ dry soil) (15 U (urea), U 15 S (urea + rice straw) 122 and 15 US (urea + rice straw)). The abundance of urea 15 N was 10.20%. 123 Urea was applied three times: base fertilizer 40% (June 17th), tiller 124 topdressing 30% (August 4th) and heading topdressing 30% (August 25th). 125 Rice straw return was applied at 0 kg ha⁻¹ (CK and ¹⁵U) and 9000 kg ha⁻¹ 126 (4.25 g kg⁻¹ dry soil) (15 S, U 15 S and 15 US). The total nitrogen content of 127 unlabeled rice straw was 0.72%, and the isotope abundance of ¹⁵N was 128 0.59%. The total nitrogen content of labeled rice straw was 0.73%, and 129 the ¹⁵N isotope abundance was 22.94%. The rice straw was ground and 130 applied together with the base fertilizer. Phosphate fertilizer was 131





superphosphate (150 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹), and potassium fertilizer was potassium chloride (185 kg K_2O ha⁻¹) as a one-time application of basic fertilizer. Every pot was filled with 10.51 kg (9 kg dry soil) of sieved (2 mm) fresh soil. Two hills of rice were planted in each pot. At maturity, the rice yield and aboveground biomass were recorded after being oven dried (105 °C for 0.5 h and 60 °C for 12 h).

138 2.3 Soil sample collection and analysis

At the regreening stage, tillering stage, jointing stage, booting stage, 139 filling stage and maturity stage, five points were randomly selected from 140 the 0-10 cm soil layer of each pot and mixed. The soil NH_4^+ -N and 141 $NO_3^{-}N$ were extracted with 2 mol L⁻¹ KCl solution (Wu et al., 2019), 142 filtered and analyzed with a continuous flow analyzer (AA3, Bran + 143 Luebbe, Germany). The extraction of soil ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺-N followed Yu et al. 144 (2020). Soil microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) was fumigated with 145 chloroform, extracted with 0.5 mol L^{-1} K₂SO₄ (soil: solution = 5 g: 20 ml) 146 (Joergensen et al., 1996), and determined by a TOC analyzer (Elementar 147 vario TOC Analyzer, Germany). The soil ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺-N content, ¹⁵N-MBN 148 and ¹⁵N of rice aboveground biomass were determined by a stable isotope 149 ratio mass spectrometer (253 MAT, Thermo Finnigan, Germany). 150

151 2.4 Gas sampling and calculation

The static chamber method was used to determine the N_2O flux (Li et al., 2018a). The static chamber with a top seal made of transparent





plexiglass consisted of two parts, namely, the base and the gas collecting 154 chamber. The base had a diameter of 31 cm, a groove in the middle, and a 155 height of 10 cm. The gas-collecting chamber had a diameter of 30 cm and 156 a height of 70 cm. A small fan and a thermometer were installed in the 157 gas-collecting chamber. N₂O was collected every two days in the first 158 week after fertilization or irrigation and every seven days on the other 159 days. N₂O was sampled at 8:00-11:00 am each sampling day. Every pot 160 was sealed with water when N₂O was collected. Three gas samples were 161 collected at 0, 30 and 60 min after the chamber was airtight, and N₂O was 162 collected with a 50 mL injector and then injected into 200 mL gasbags. 163

The N_2O concentration was analyzed by a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890B, Gas Chromatograph, Delaware, USA). The calculation of N_2O fluxes was as follows (Li et al., 2018a):

167
$$F = \rho \times h \times dc/dt \times 273/(273 + T)$$

where *F* is the N₂O flux (μ g m⁻² h⁻¹); ρ is the N₂O standard-state density (1.964 kg m⁻³); *h* is the chamber height above the soil (m); *c* is the N₂O concentration; *dc/dt* is the slope of the N₂O concentration curve, estimated using a linear regression model (Vitale et al., 2017); 273 is the gas constant; and *T* is the average air temperature inside the chamber during N₂O collection (°C).

174 Cumulative N_2O emissions were calculated using the following 175 formula according to Wang et al. (2011):





$$CE \ (kg \ N_2 O \ ha^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{F_i + F_{i+1}}{2})(t_{i+1} - t_i) \times 24 \times 10^{-2}$$

where *i* is the various sampling times, *t* is the sampling date, *n* is the total measurement time and 10^{-2} is the conversion factor.

The contribution of ¹⁵N markers to NH_4^+ -N and MBN and the calculation of the nitrogen source of the aboveground biomass of rice follow Ma et al. (2015). Yield-scaled N₂O was calculated as the ratio between N₂O and rice yield (Li et al., 2018a).

182 2.5 Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 16.0 (SPSS, Inc., 183 Chicago, USA). One-way ANOVA was conducted to test the treatment 184 effects with Duncan's test. Significant differences were set at alpha = 0.05. 185 Univariate analysis of variance was used to analyze the response of 186 cumulative N₂O emissions to irrigation method, nitrogen level and rice 187 straw application. Tables and figures were prepared with Excel 2016 188 (Microsoft Corp., USA) and Origin 8 (Origin Lab Corp., USA), 189 respectively. The data in the figures and tables are the average value \pm 190 standard error. 191

192 **3 Results**

193 3.1 N₂O flux

Three higher N_2O flux peaks appeared after basal fertilizer and two topdressing treatments (Figure 1), and the N_2O flux peak after basal





fertilizer application was significantly larger than the last two peaks. 196 After basal fertilizer was applied, the N₂O flux peaks of CF irrigation and 197 mild AWD irrigation were similar. After the first topdressing, the N_2O 198 flux peak of mild AWD irrigation was significantly greater than that of 199 CF irrigation, which was 4 times that of CF on average. In contrast, the 200 N₂O flux peak of CF irrigation after the second topdressing was twice 201 that of mild AWD irrigation. In addition to the above three peaks, the N_2O 202 flux of CF irrigation was close to zero, and mild AWD irrigation had a 203 lower flux peak with alternating wet and dry conditions. The flux of N₂O 204 ranged from -103.93 μg m⁻² h⁻¹ to 2770.50 μg m⁻² h⁻¹. The N₂O flux 205 appeared negative in the late stage of rice growth. The N₂O fluxes of CF 206 irrigation and mild AWD irrigation were similar in the later stage of rice 207 growth, indicating that drainage had little effect on it. During the entire 208 growth cycle of rice, the N₂O flux of the CK and S treatments was low. 209 There were significant differences in the peak N₂O fluxes between the 210 different treatments. Compared with CK, the application of rice straw 211 alone significantly promoted the N₂O flux on the first day after CF 212 irrigation and mild AWD irrigation. Compared with U, the addition of 213 rice straw in CF irrigation reduced the N₂O flux, while the addition of 214 rice straw in mild AWD irrigation increased the N₂O flux. 215

 $3.2 \text{ Soil NH}_4^+-N, \text{NO}_3^--N \text{ and MBN concentrations}$

The soil NH_4^+ -N, NO_3^- -N, and MBN concentrations varied with the





growing stage of rice (Fig. 2A, 2C and Fig. 3A). The soil NH_4^+ -N 218 concentration first increased and then decreased. The NH₄⁺-N 219 concentration under CF irrigation and mild AWD irrigation was low in 220 the late growth period of rice (Fig. 2A). The concentration of NO_3^--N in 221 CF-irrigated soil showed a trend of first increasing and then decreasing 222 and was at a low level in the later growth period of rice, while the 223 concentration of NO_3 -N in mild AWD irrigation showed a trend of first 224 decreasing and then increasing, then decreasing and then increasing (Fig. 225 2C). The concentration of MBN in CF-irrigated soil first decreased and 226 then increased and then decreased to a lower level, while the 227 concentration of MBN in mild AWD-irrigated soil decreased with the 228 growth stage of rice (Fig. 3A). 229

There were significant differences in the NH₄⁺-N, NO₃⁻-N, and MBN 230 concentrations between the different treatments. In CF irrigation and mild 231 AWD irrigation, the U treatment had a higher NH₄⁺-N concentration in 232 the early stage of rice growth, while the other treatments had no 233 significant difference, but as rice grew, the NH₄⁺-N concentration of the 234 US treatment increased, which was significantly greater than that of the U, 235 S and CK treatments (Fig. 2A). In CF irrigation, the NO₃-N 236 concentration of the U treatment was slightly higher than that of the other 237 treatments, and all treatments had little difference. In mild AWD 238 irrigation, the NO₃-N concentration of the US treatment was significantly 239





higher than that of the U, S and CK treatments. The U treatment had a
higher NO₃⁻-N concentration than the S and CK treatments in the later
stage of rice growth (Fig. 2C). The US treatment in CF irrigation and
mild AWD irrigation had the highest MBN concentration during the rice
growth period (Fig. 3A).

Figure 2B shows that the NH₄⁺-N in CF irrigation and mild AWD 245 irrigation mainly came from urea rather than rice straw, and the combined 246 application of urea and rice straw further promoted the release of NH₄⁺-N 247 from urea. Regardless of CF irrigation or mild AWD irrigation, rice straw 248 nitrogen was difficult to utilize by microorganisms in the first year under 249 single rice straw application, but rice straw and urea combined 250 application significantly promoted the utilization of rice straw nitrogen by 251 microorganisms. Urea combined with rice straw application was more 252 easily used by microorganisms than urea applied alone (Fig. 3B). 253

254 3.3 Sources of aboveground biomass nitrogen in rice

As shown in Fig. 4, under CF irrigation and mild AWD irrigation, compared with CK, a single application of rice straw did not increase the aboveground nitrogen absorption of rice, while the U and US treatments significantly promoted the aboveground nitrogen absorption of rice. Under mild AWD irrigation, the US treatment reduced nitrogen uptake in rice shoots compared with the U treatment. The U and US treatments under CF irrigation promoted the nitrogen uptake of the aboveground rice





²⁶² more than those under mild AWD irrigation.

With different irrigation methods, the effects of urea and rice straw 263 addition on the nitrogen absorption of the aboveground rice were 264 different. Compared with the CK and S treatments, the U and US 265 treatments under CF irrigation significantly promoted the absorption of 266 soil nitrogen by rice, while the difference was not significant except for 267 the S and U treatments under mild AWD irrigation. Compared with mild 268 AWD irrigation, the U and US treatments under CF irrigation 269 significantly promoted the absorption of soil nitrogen by rice. Regardless 270 of the irrigation and fertilization method, the soil was the main source of 271 nitrogen in the aboveground parts of rice, followed by urea and finally 272 rice straw (Fig. 4). 273

274 3.4 Cumulative N₂O emissions, rice agronomic properties and
275 yield-scaled N₂O emissions

In addition to the CK treatment, compared with CF irrigation, mild 276 AWD irrigation significantly promoted the accumulation of N₂O during 277 the rice growth period, with an average increase of 28.8% (Table 1). 278 Under CF irrigation, there was no significant difference in the 279 accumulation of N₂O between S and CK or between US and U. However, 280 the addition of rice straw under mild AWD irrigation significantly 281 increased the accumulation of N₂O. Compared with the CK and S 282 treatments, the U and US treatments significantly promoted cumulative 283





 N_2O emissions under the two irrigation modes. As shown in Table 2, both irrigation methods and nitrogen application level affected cumulative N_2O emissions, of which the nitrogen application level had the greatest impact. The interaction between irrigation level and nitrogen fertilizer or rice straw significantly affected cumulative N_2O emissions.

As shown in Table 1, compared with CF irrigation, mild AWD 289 irrigation significantly reduced rice aboveground biomass under US 290 treatment but had no effect on other treatments. Regardless of whether CF 291 irrigation or mild AWD irrigation was applied, there was no significant 292 difference in the rice aboveground biomass between S and CK or 293 between US and U. Compared with the CK and S treatments, the U and 294 US treatments significantly promoted the rice aboveground biomass 295 under the two irrigation modes. Irrigation level had no effect on rice yield 296 under all treatments. Regardless of CF irrigation or mild AWD irrigation, 297 rice yield under the U and US treatments was significantly higher than 298 that under the CK and S treatments, but there was no difference between 299 the former two and the latter two. 300

In addition to the CK treatment, compared with CF irrigation, mild AWD irrigation significantly promoted yield-scaled N_2O emissions during the rice growth period. Regardless of CF irrigation or mild AWD irrigation, yield-scaled N_2O emissions under the U and US treatments were significantly higher than those under the CK and S treatments. Rice





- straw addition had no effect on the yield-scaled N_2O emissions under CF irrigation but significantly increased the yield-scaled N_2O emissions under mild AWD irrigation.
- 309 **4 Discussion**
- 4.1 Effects of irrigation methods, nitrogen levels, and rice straw return on
- N_2O emissions

N₂O emission is significantly affected by soil temperature, 312 water-filled pores and mineral N (NH_4^+ -N and NO_3^- -N) content (Allen et 313 al., 2010). The N₂O emission peak in CF irrigation occurred only after 314 nitrogen application, while mild AWD irrigation caused other N2O 315 emission peaks, which might have been caused by the change in soil 316 moisture conditions by mild AWD irrigation (Zhou et al., 2020). The peak 317 of N₂O after fertilization may be due to the fact that a large amount of 318 nitrogen application increases the soil inorganic nitrogen concentration 319 (Fig. 2A and 2C), which in turn promotes the generation of N_2O , which 320 mainly comes from the denitrification process (Wang et al., 2017b; Yano 321 et al., 2014). During the denitrification process, it is easier for 322 microorganisms to use NO_3 -N as an electron acceptor (Fig. 2C), which 323 affects the reduction process of N₂O, resulting in an increase in the ratio 324 of N_2O/N_2 in the denitrification products (P érez et al., 2000). Our results 325 showed negative N_2O emission fluxex at the later stage of rice growth, 326 which may be due to the decrease of surface soil N₂O concentration due 327





to the strengthening of N₂O reduction process or the weakening of N₂O 328 diffusion process in the soil profile, which allowed atmospheric N₂O to 329 diffuse back into the soil (Chapuis-Lydie et al., 2007). Mild AWD 330 irrigation promoted cumulative N₂O emissions by 28.8% on average, 331 which was the same as hypothesis 1. Similar results were found in 332 previous studies, which may be due to the increased N₂O produced by 333 nitrification and denitrification due to water level alternation (Liang et al., 334 2017; Zhou et al., 2020) and temperature change (Wu et al., 2019) of mild 335 AWD irrigation. In order to reduce N₂O emissions from paddy fields, 336 researchers generally regulate N₂O production by optimizing N 337 management (Liang et al., 2017), applying inhibitors to control the 338 nitrogen supply rate of nitrogen fertilizers (Wu et al., 2019), etc. In both 339 CF and mild AWD irrigation, there was no obvious N₂O emission peak at 340 the later growth stage of rice, which may have been due to the decrease in 341 soil inorganic nitrogen content (Fig. 2A and 2C) and microbial biomass 342 (Fig. 3A). 343

Compared with CK and S, nitrogen fertilizer application (U and US) significantly increased N₂O cumulative emissions and was the most important factor of N₂O generation, mainly because nitrogen fertilizer application provided sufficient substrates for soil nitrification and denitrification to generate N₂O (Fiedler et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2021). The peak of N₂O emissions after base fertilizer application was larger than





that after two topdressing, which might have been due to a higher 350 nitrogen application rate and simultaneous nitrification and denitrification 351 in initial flooding (Mathieu et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2017b). The peak of 352 N_2O emissions after the two topdressing treatments with CF irrigation 353 was similar, while the peak of N_2O emissions of the first topdressing 354 treatment with mild AWD irrigation was significantly larger than that of 355 the second topdressing treatment. This may be because the soil 356 environment (temperature, moisture, etc.) changed little in long-term 357 flooding under CF irrigation (Lagomarsino et al., 2016; Verhoeven et al., 358 2018; Congreves et al., 2019), while the difference in the soil 359 environment of the two top dressings under mild AWD irrigation changed 360 the utilization of fertilizer nitrogen by microorganisms (Fig. 3B). 361 Therefore, reducing the amount of the first top dressing under mild AWD 362 irrigation and maintaining flooding for approximately one week after 363 fertilization may be beneficial to N₂O reduction (Liao et al., 2020). The 364 negative value of N₂O emissions appeared at the later stage of rice growth, 365 indicating that the paddy field could also become a sink for N_2O (van 366 Groenigen et al., 2015), which might be caused by the lack of nitrogen 367 supply at the later stage. Increasing the second topdressing might be 368 beneficial for alleviating nitrogen deficiency (Liao et al., 2020). 369

Different from CF irrigation, the addition of rice straw under mild AWD irrigation conditions promoted N₂O emissions, probably because





372	the alternation of wet and dry conditions promoted the decomposition of
373	rice straw (Andren et al., 1993; Buchen et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016),
374	which was beneficial to the growth of microorganisms (Fig. 3A) and thus
375	promoted the production of N ₂ O (Said-Pullicino et al., 2014; Wang et al.,
376	2018; Wu et al., 2021). In addition, compared with U and S, US promoted
377	microbial absorption of urea and rice straw nitrogen (Fig. 3B), which also
378	proved that US treatment was more conducive to the growth of
379	microorganisms.

4.2 Rice production and yield-scaled N₂O emissions

The change in irrigation method did not cause differences in rice 381 yield (Table 1), but under the US treatment, mild AWD irrigation 382 significantly reduced the aboveground biomass of rice and the uptake of 383 soil nitrogen by rice (Table 1 and Fig. 4), which was consistent with our 384 hypothesis 2 and 3. Previous studies have also shown that mild AWD 385 irrigation can stabilize or increase rice yield. This may be because mild 386 AWD irrigation can promote the transport of nutrients from stems and 387 leaves to grains during the reproductive growth stage of rice, while 388 inhibiting ineffective tillering and increasing the number of effective 389 panicles, thereby reducing the excessive vegetative growth of rice 390 (Carrijo et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018b; Liao et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2009). 391 This may also be an important reason for the decrease in the uptake of 392 soil nitrogen by rice under AWD irrigation. Urea application was a key 393





factor in improving rice yield (Wang et al., 2017a) but also aggravated soil nitrogen uptake by rice, and soil was the largest source of nitrogen for rice in all treatments (Fig. 4). Compared with U, under CF irrigation and mild AWD irrigation, US reduced the uptake of soil-derived nitrogen by rice (the difference was not significant), and the trend was more obvious under mild AWD irrigation, which may be another reason for rice straw return to maintain soil fertility.

In our study, mild AWD irrigation, urea application and rice straw 401 return under mild AWD irrigation all increased yield-scaled N₂O 402 emissions (Table 1), mainly due to improved soil aeration and increased 403 inorganic nitrogen and rice straw decomposition, resulting in more N₂O 404 production (Andren et al., 1993; Buchen et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016; 405 Lagomarsino et al., 2016; Fiedler et al., 2017; Verhoeven et al., 2018; 406 Congreves et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2021). Although mild AWD irrigation 407 had higher yield-scaled N₂O emissions than CF irrigation, the GWP (CH₄ 408 + N₂O) under mild AWD irrigation was significantly lower than that 409 under CF irrigation and decreased by 8.1%, 57.9%, 11.8% and 62.9% 410 under CK, S, U and US, respectively (Table S1). Therefore, mild AWD 411 irrigation combined with rice straw return may be a promising agronomic 412 measure that not only ensures rice yield and slows the greenhouse effect 413 but also reduces soil fertility consumption. 414

415 **5 Conclusions**





416	The effects of irrigation methods, nitrogen levels and rice straw
417	return on N ₂ O emissions were explored through pot experiments with rice.
418	We found that N_2O emissions were mainly affected by urea application
419	and irrigation methods, and urea application was the main reason.
420	Compared with CF irrigation, mild AWD irrigation increased cumulative
421	N_2O emissions, with an average increase of 28.8%. In addition, adding
422	rice straw to mild AWD irrigation further stimulated N_2O emissions.
423	Compared with CF irrigation, mild AWD irrigation increased the
424	yield-scaled N_2O emissions, and the addition of rice straw further
425	promoted the yield-scaled N_2O emissions under mild AWD irrigation but
426	reduced the GWP by 62.9%. Under the condition of urea application,
427	compared with CF irrigation, mild AWD irrigation reduced nitrogen
428	uptake by rice in the soil and rice aboveground biomass without reducing
429	rice yield. Therefore, mild AWD irrigation combined with rice straw
430	return is a promising agronomic measure to ensure rice yield, reduce the
431	greenhouse effect and maintain or improve soil fertility.

432

433 Data availability. Original data are available upon request. Material
434 necessary for this study's findings is presented in the paper.

Author contributions. Kaikuo Wu, Wentao Li, Zhanbo Wei and Zhi
Dong conceived and designed the experiments; Yue Meng and Na Lv
performed the experiments; Kaikuo Wu analyzed the data; Kaikuo Wu





- and Lili Zhang wrote the paper and all authors approved submission of
 the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the
 manuscript.
- 441 Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of442 interest.
- 443 Acknowledgements. The authors thank the editors and reviewers for444 their constructive comments and suggestions.
- Financial support. This work was Supported by the Strategic Priority 445 Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA28090200), 446 the National Scientific Foundation Project of China (31971531), the 447 Liaoning "Take the Lead" Project of 2021: Arable Land Productivity 448 improvement strategies development and promotion 449 (LNJBGSHT202102), the K.C.Wong Education Foundation (Research 450 and application of environmental-friendly polymer compound fertilizers 451 2021-13), and the Youth Start-up Fund (2022000008). 452
- 453 **Review statement.**
- 454

455 **References**

- 456 Allen, D.E., Kingston, G., Rennenberg, H., Dalal, R.C., and Schmidt, S.:
- Effect of nitrogen fertilizer management and waterlogging on nitrous
 oxide emission from subtropical sugarcane soils, Agr. Ecosyst.
 Environ., 136, 209-217, 2010.





460	Andren, O., Rajkai, K., and Katterer, T.: Water and temperature dynamics
461	in a clay soil under winter wheat: influence on straw decomposition
462	and N immobilization, Biol. Fert. Soils, 15, 1-8, 1993.
463	Bouman, B.A.M., and Tuong, T.P.: Field water management to save water
464	and increase its productivity in irrigated lowland rice, Agr. Water
465	Manage., 49, 11-30, 2001.
466	Buchen, C., Lewicka-Szczebak, D., Fuß, R., Helfrich, M., Flessa, H., and
467	Well, R.: Fluxes of $N_{\rm 2}$ and $N_{\rm 2}O$ and contributing processes in
468	summer after grassland renewal and grassland conversion to maize
469	cropping on a Plaggic Anthrosol and a Histic Gleysol, Soil Biol.
470	Biochem., 101, 6-19, 2016.
471	Carrijo, D.R., Lundy, M.E., and Linquist, B.A.: Rice yields and water use
472	under alternate wetting and drying irrigation: A meta-analysis, Field
473	Crop. Res., 203, 173-180, 2017.
474	Chapuis-Lydie, L., Wrage, N., Metay, A., Chotte, J., and Bernoux, M.:
475	Soils, a sink for N ₂ O? A review, Global Change Biol., 13, 1-17,
476	2007.
477	Chen, A., Xie, X., Dorodnikov, M., Wang, W., Ge, T., Shibistova, O., Wei,
478	W., and Guggenberger, G.: Response of paddy soil organic carbon
479	accumulation to changes in long-term yield-driven carbon inputs in
480	subtropical China, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 232, 302-311, 2016.
481	Chu, G., Chen, T., Wang, Z., Yang, J., and Zhang, J.: Morphological and





482	physiological traits of roots and their relationships with water
483	productivity in water-saving and drought-resistant rice, Field Crop.
484	Res., 162, 108-119, 2014.
485	Congreves, K.A., Phan, T., and Farrell, R.E.: A new look at an old
486	concept: using ${}^{15}N_2O$ isotopomers to understand the relationship
487	between soil moisture and N_2O production pathways, Soil, 5,
488	265-274, 2019.
489	Fiedler, S.R., Augustin, J., Wrage-Mönnig, N., Jurasinski, G., Gusovius,
490	B., and Glatzel, S.: Potential short-term losses of N_2O and N_2 from
491	high concentrations of biogas digestate in arable soils, Soil, 3,
492	161-176, 2017.
493	Huang, W., Wu, J.F., Pan, X.H., Tan, X.M., Zeng, Y.J., Shi, Q.H., Liu, T.J.
494	and Zeng, Y.H.: Effects of long-term straw return on soil organic
495	carbon fractions and enzyme activities in a double-cropped rice

paddy in South China, J. Integr. Agr., 20, 236-247, 2021. 496

- Joergensen, R.G., and Mueller, T.: The fumigation-extraction method to 497 estimate soil microbial biomass: Calibration of the k(EN) value, Soil 498 Biol. Biochem., 28(1), 33-37, 1996. 499
- Kritee, K., Nair, D., Zavala-Araiza, D., Proville, J., Rudek, J., Adhya, 500
- T.K., Loecke, T., Esteves, T., Balireddygari, S., Dava, O., Ram, K., S, 501
- R.A., Madasamy, M., Dokka, R.V., Anandaraj, D., Athiyaman, D., 502
- Reddy, M., Ahuja, R., and Hamburg, S.P.: High nitrous oxide fluxes 503





504	from rice indicate the need to manage water for both long- and
505	short-term climate impacts, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 115, 9720-9725,
506	2018.

- Ku, H.H., Ryu, J.H., Bae, H.S., Jeong, C., and Lee, S.E.: Modeling a 507 long-term effect of rice straw incorporation on SOC content and 508 grain yield in rice field, Arch. Agron. Soil Sci., 65, 1941-1954, 2019. 509 Lagomarsino, A., Agnelli, A.E., Linquist, B., Adviento-Borbe, M.A., 510 Agnelli, A., Gavina, G., Ravaglia, S., and Ferrara, R.M.: Alternate 511 wetting and drying of rice reduced CH₄ emissions but triggered N₂O 512 peaks in a clayey soil of central Italy, Pedosphere, 26, 533-548, 513 2016. 514
- Lampayan, R.M., Faronilo, J.E., Tuong, T.P., Espiritu, A.J., de Dios, J.L.,
 Bayot, R.S., Bueno, C.S., and Hosen, Y.: Effects of seedbed
 management and delayed transplanting of rice seedlings on crop
 performance, grain yield, and water productivity, Field Crop. Res.,
 183, 303-314, 2015.
- Li, J.L., Li, Y.e, Wan, Y.F., Wang, B., Waqas, M.A., Cai, W.W., Guo, C.,
 Zhou, S.H., Su, R.S., Qin, X.B., Gao, Q.Z., and Wilkes, A.:
 Combination of modified nitrogen fertilizers and water saving
 irrigation can reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase rice
 yield, Geoderma, 315, 1-10, 2018a.
- Li, Z., Li, Z., Muhammad, W., Lin, M.H., Azeem, S., Zhao, H., Lin, S.,





526	Chen, T., Fang, C.X., Letuma, P., Zhang, Z.X., and Lin, W.X.:
527	Proteomic analysis of positive influence of alternate wetting and
528	moderate soil drying on the process of rice grain filling, Plant
529	Growth Regul., 84, 533-548, 2018b.
530	Liang, K., Zhong, X., Huang, N., Lampayan, R.M., Liu, Y., Pan, J., Peng,
531	B., Hu, X., and Fu, Y.: Nitrogen losses and greenhouse gas
532	emissions under different N and water management in a subtropical
533	double-season rice cropping system, Sci. Total Environ., 609, 46-57,
534	2017.
535	Liao, B., Wu, X., Yu, Y., Luo, S., Hu, R., and Lu, G.: Effects of mild
536	alternate wetting and drying irrigation and mid-season drainage on
537	CH_4 and N_2O emissions in rice cultivation, Sci. Total Environ., 698,
538	134212, 2020.
539	Liu, J., Jiang, B., Shen, J., Zhu, X., Yi, W., Li, Y., and Wu, J.: Contrasting
540	effects of straw and straw-derived biochar applications on soil
541	carbon accumulation and nitrogen use efficiency in double-rice
542	cropping systems, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 311, 107286, 2021.
543	Ma, Q., Wu, Z., Shen, S., Zhou, H., Jiang, C., Xu, Y., Liu, R., and Yu, W.:

- Responses of biotic and abiotic effects on conservation and supply
 of fertilizer N to inhibitors and glucose inputs, Soil Biol. Biochem.,
 89, 72-81, 2015.
- 547 Mathieu, O., Henault, C., Leveque, J., Baujard, E., Milloux, M.J., and





548	Andreux, F.: Quantifying the contribution of nitrification and
549	denitrification to the nitrous oxide flux using ¹⁵ N tracers, Environ.
550	Pollut., 144, 933-940, 2006.
551	Naser, H.M., Nagata, O., Tamura, S., and Hatano, R.: Methane emissions
552	from five paddy fields with different amounts of rice straw
553	application in central Hokkaido, Japan, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 53,
554	95-101, 2007.
555	Pérez, T., Trumbore, S.E., Tyler, S.C., Davidson, E.A., Keller, M., and de
556	Camargo, P.B.: Isotopic variability of N ₂ O emissions from tropical
557	forest soils, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14, 525-535, 2000.
558	Said-Pullicino, D., Cucu, M.A., Sodano, M., Birk, J.J., Glaser, B., and
559	Celi, L.: Nitrogen immobilization in paddy soils as affected by redox
560	conditions and rice straw incorporation, Geoderma, 228, 44-53,
561	2014.
562	Sun, L., Ma, Y., Li, B., Xiao, C., Fan, L., and Xiong, Z.: Nitrogen
563	fertilizer in combination with an ameliorant mitigated yield-scaled
564	greenhouse gas emissions from a coastal saline rice field in
565	southeastern China, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int., 25, 15896-15908,
566	2018.
567	Tan, X., Shao, D., and Gu, W.: Effects of temperature and soil moisture
568	on gross nitrification and denitrification rates of a Chinese lowland

paddy field soil, Paddy Water Environ., 16, 687-698, 2018.





570	Tang, D., and Cheng, Z.: From Basic Research to Molecular Breeding -
571	Chinese Scientists Play A Central Role in Boosting World Rice
572	Production, Genom. Proteom. Bioinf., 16, 389-392, 2018.
573	van Groenigen, J.W., Huygens, D., Boeckx, P., Kuyper, T.W., Lubbers,
574	I.M., Rütting, T., and Groffman, P.M.: The soil N cycle: new insights
575	and key challenges, Soil, 1, 235-256, 2015.
576	Verhoeven, E., Decock, C., Barthel, M., Bertora, C., Sacco, D., Romani,
577	M., Sleutel, S., and Six, J.: Nitrification and coupled
578	nitrification-denitrification at shallow depths are responsible for
579	early season N_2O emissions under alternate wetting and drying
580	management in an Italian rice paddy system, Soil Biol. Biochem.,
581	120, 58-69, 2018.
582	Vitale, L., Polimeno, F., Ottaiano, L., Maglione, G., Tedeschi, A., Mori,
583	M., De Marco, A., Di Tommasi, P., and Magliulo, V.: Fertilizer type
584	influences tomato yield and soil N2O emissions, Plant Soil Environ.,
585	63, 105-110, 2017.
586	Wang, B., Shen, X., Chen, S., Bai, Y., Yang, G., Zhu, J., Shu, J., and Xue,
587	Z.: Distribution characteristics, resource utilization and popularizing

- demonstration of crop straw in southwest China: A comprehensiveevaluation, Ecol. Indic., 93, 998-1004, 2018.
- Wang, J., Zhao, Y., Zhang, J., Zhao, W., Müller, C., and Cai, Z.:
 Nitrification is the key process determining N use efficiency in





592	paddy soils, J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sc., 180, 648-658, 2017a.
593	Wang, J.Y., Jia, J.X., Xiong, Z.Q., Khalil, M.A.K., and Xing, G.X.: Water
594	regime-nitrogen fertilizer-straw incorporation interaction: Field
595	study on nitrous oxide emissions from a rice agroecosystem in
596	Nanjing, China, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 141, 437-446, 2011.
597	Wang, L., Sheng, R., Yang, H., Wang, Q., Zhang, W., Hou, H., Wu, J., and
598	Wei, W.: Stimulatory effect of exogenous nitrate on soil denitrifiers
599	and denitrifying activities in submerged paddy soil, Geoderma, 286,
600	64-72, 2017b.
601	Wu, K., Zhang, Z., Feng, L., Bai, W., Feng, C., Song, Y., Gong, P., Meng,
602	Y., and Zhang, L.: Effects of corn stalks and urea on N_2O production
603	from corn field soil, Agronomy-Basel, 11, 2009, 2021.
604	Wu, K.K., Gong, P., Zhang, L.L., Wu, Z.J., Xie, X.S., Yang, H.Z., Li,
605	W.T., Song, Y.C., and Li, D.P.: Yield-scaled N_2O and CH_4 emissions
606	as affected by combined application of stabilized nitrogen fertilizer
607	and pig manure in rice fields, Plant Soil Environ., 65, 497-502,
608	2019.
609	Yano, M., Toyoda S., Tokida T., Hayashi K., Hasegawa T., Makabe A.,
610	Koba K., and Yoshida N.: Isotopomer analysis of production,
611	consumption and soil-toatmosphere emission processes of $N_2O\ at$
612	the beginning of paddy field irrigation, Soil Biol. Biochem., 70,
613	66-78, 2014.
	28

614





615	for dissolved organic C and CH ₄ production, Plant Soil, 417,
616	231-241, 2017.
617	Yu, C., Xie, X., Yang, H., Yang, L., Li, W., Wu, K., Zhang, W., Feng, C.,
618	Li, D., Wu, Z., and Zhang, L.: Effect of straw and inhibitors on the
619	fate of nitrogen applied to paddy soil, Sci. Rep-UK, 10, 21582,
620	2020.
621	Yu, C., Zhang, L., Yang, L., Bai, W., Feng, C., Li, W., Wu, K., Li, D., and

Ye, R., and Horwath, W.R.: Influence of rice straw on priming of soil C

- Wu, Z.: Effect of a urea and urease/nitrification inhibitor combination on rice straw hydrolysis and nutrient turnover on rice growth, Bioresources, 16, 3059-3074, 2021.
- Zhang, H., Xue, Y.G., Wang, Z.Q., Yang, J.C., and Zhang, J.H.: An
 Alternate Wetting and Moderate Soil Drying Regime Improves Root
 and Shoot Growth in Rice, Crop Sci., 49, 2246-2260, 2009.
- Zhou, Q., Ju, C.X., Wang, Z.Q., Zhang, H., Liu, L.J., Yang, J.C., and
 Zhang, J.H.: Grain yield and water use efficiency of super rice under
 soil water deficit and alternate wetting and drying irrigation, J. Integr.
 Agr., 16, 1028-1043, 2017.
- Zhou, S., Sun, H., Bi, J., Zhang, J., Riya, S., and Hosomi, M.: Effect of
 water-saving irrigation on the N₂O dynamics and the contribution of
 exogenous and endogenous nitrogen to N₂O production in paddy soil
 using ¹⁵N tracing, Soil Till. Res., 200, 104610, 2020.





- ⁶³⁶ Zhou, Y., Li, X., and Liu, Y.: Cultivated land protection and rational use
- in China, Land Use Policy, 106, 105454, 2021.







640 Fig. 1 Effects of different treatments on N₂O flux. Bars represent standard

641 errors (n=3), the same below.







652









Fig. 2 Changes in soil NH_4^+ -N concentration (A), the contribution of ¹⁵N markers to NH_4^+ -N (B) and changes in soil NO_3^- -N concentration (C) during the growth period of rice (n=3).

658







667



Fig. 3 Changes in the concentration of MBN (A) in the soil during the rice growth period and the contribution of 15 N markers to MBN (B) (n=3).







Fig. 4 The source of nitrogen in the aboveground biomass of rice at the maturity stage (n=3). Different capital letters indicate significant differences in total nitrogen uptake of rice above ground (P < 0.05), and different lower case letters indicate significant differences in soil nitrogen supply (P < 0.05).

678





- Table 1 Effects of different treatments on cumulative N₂O emissions, rice
- aboveground biomass, rice yield and yield-scaled N₂O emission. The
- values denote means \pm standard errors (n=3). Different lowercase letters
- 683 indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).

m		Cumulative N ₂ O emissions	Rice aboveground biomass	Rice yield	Yield-scaled N ₂ O emission
Irea	aments	kg ha ⁻¹	g pot ⁻¹	g pot ⁻¹	g kg ⁻¹
CF	СК	1.48±0.06 ef	37.11±2.53 f	21.63±1.81 b	0.24±0.01 d
	¹⁵ S	1.24±0.05 f	37.99 <u>±2</u> .69 f	20.91±1.63 b	0.21 ±0.03 d
	¹⁵ U	4.02±0.30 c	82.54±10.39 ab	36.56±2.75 a	0.39±0.04 c
	$U+^{15}S$	3.89±0.09 c	87.58±7.70 a	35.98±1.72 a	0.38±0.02 c
	¹⁵ U+S	3.76±0.02 c	80.99±19.54 abc	35.85±2.50 a	0.37±0.03 c
AWD	СК	1.64±0.15 e	45.31±3.07 ef	22.92±1.07 b	0.25±0.02 d
	¹⁵ S	2.07±0.17 d	34.78±4.86 f	20.42±0.46 b	0.36±0.02 c
	¹⁵ U	4.48±0.12 b	64.44±7.33 bcd	34.81±1.64 a	0.46±0.03 b
	$U+^{15}S$	5.05±0.28 a	62.92±2.49 cde	34.50±1.76 a	0.53±0.01 a
	¹⁵ U+S	5.29±0.25 a	60.44±6.74 de	34.85±1.18 a	0.54±0.03 a

684

685

686 687

688

689

690





- $_{692}$ Table 2 Cumulative N_2O emissions in response to irrigation method,
- nitrogen level, and straw returning. ** indicated significant treatment

Factors	Cumulative N ₂ O emissions		
Irrigation method (I)	124.13**		
Nitrogen level (N)	1903.3**		
Straw (S)	7.98		
I×N	11.74**		
I×S	40.39**		
N×S	1.78		
I×N×S	1.21		

effects within a main category (P < 0.01).

695