Snow data assimilation for seasonal streamflow supply prediction in mountainous basins
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Abstract. Accurately predicting the seasonal streamflow supply (SSS), i.e. the inflow into a reservoir accumulated during the snowmelt season (April to August), is critical to operate hydroelectric dams and avoid hydrology-related hazard. Such forecasts generally involve numerical models that simulate the hydrological evolution of a basin. The operational department of the French electric company EDF implements a semi-distributed model and carry out such forecasts for several decades, on about fifty basins. However, both scarce observation data and over-simplified physics representation may lead to significant forecasts errors. Data assimilation has been shown beneficial to improve predictions in various hydrological applications, yet very few have addressed the seasonal streamflow supply prediction problem. More specifically, the assimilation of snow observations, though available in various forms, has been rarely studied, despite the possible sensitivity of the streamflow supply to snow stock. This is the goal of the present paper. In three mountainous basins, a series of four ensemble data assimilation experiments – assimilating (i) the streamflow (Q) alone, (ii) Q and fractional snow cover (FSC) data, (iii) Q and local cosmic ray snow sensor data (CRS) and (iv) all the data combined – are compared to the climatologic ensemble and an ensemble of free simulations. The results show that Q assimilation notably improves streamflow estimations during both reanalysis and forecast period. Also, the additional combination of CRS and FSC data to the assimilation further ameliorates the SSS prediction in two of the three basins. In the last basin, the experiments highlight a poor representativity of the CRS observations during some years and reveals the need for an enhanced observation system.
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1 Introduction

Accurately predicting the seasonal streamflow supply (SSS), i.e. the inflow into a reservoir accumulated during the snowmelt season (April to August), is critical to operate hydroelectric dams and avoid hydrology-related hazard. Hence, the operational department of the French electric company EDF has been carrying out such forecasts for several decades, for nearly fifty basins. Yet, in mountainous basins, the confidence provided by long term hydrological forecast is affected by the uncertainty on the meteorological forcings (Li et al., 2009; Bormann et al., 2013; Luce et al., 2014) and the inaccurately simulated snowpack (Liston and Sturm, 1998; Pan et al., 2003). Acknowledging that the SSS partly depends on the snowpack accumulated during winter, the growing number of satellite observations of snow-related quantities and in situ snow measurements may open the way to improving the SSS predictions in mountainous basins.

Some studies suggest that controlling the snowpack evolution using observations can significantly ameliorate short and long term streamflow forecast (Viviroli et al., 2011; Fayad et al., 2017). In the present paper, a sensitivity experiment is conducted to highlight how the uncertainties propagate within a hydrological system. The Sobol indices are computed for each of the model variables, indicating the impact that the uncertainty of these variables has on the uncertainty...
of the streamflow at the outlet. This experiment investigates if a better representation of the snowpack could result in a significant gain in SSS estimation.

Data assimilation techniques are often used to help control and refine hydrological systems (see Largeron et al., 2020 for a detailed review). Several studies have successfully assimilated snow water equivalent (SWE) data but mostly in local models, i.e., models describing the snow dynamic at a specific site and not the hydrological system of an entire basin. Indeed, SWE measurements, especially from ground-based cosmic ray sensor (CRS; Kodama et al., 1979; Paquet and Laval, 2006) instruments, provide very local information which can be used to improve a local model at a specific site (e.g., Piazzi et al., 2018 in three Alpine sites). Assimilating CRS data in a basin scale model as is can lead to representativity errors (where the SWE measured by CRS does not correspond to any relevant global SWE model), thus deteriorating the system estimation. To circumvent this issue, an alternative approach to consider CRS data in a basin scale model is discussed in Section 4.3, used throughout the following experiments and shows promising results.

Multiple studies have implemented ensemble-based data assimilation schemes, such as the ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF, Evensen, 2003), of direct or indirect snow observations (Andreadis and Lettenmaier, 2006; Clark et al., 2006; Slater and Clark, 2006; Su et al., 2008; Magnusson et al., 2014; Piazzi et al., 2019, 2021). However, the nonlinear nature of these snow-related observations as well as the complexity to control a hydrological system with indirect information seem to favor the use of a more nonlinear and non-Gaussian data assimilation method, especially when aiming at long lead time prediction improvements (Dumedah and Coulibaly, 2013). One data assimilation method in particular, the particle filter (PF, Van Leeuwen, 2009), is known for its ability to handle highly non-linear systems containing non-Gaussian probabilities. The PF implements Bayes’ theorem by describing the probability density functions as a sum of Dirac from an ensemble of simulations (particles) and without any additional hypothesis. Therefore, under the assumption of a sufficiently large ensemble of particles, the PF provides the optimal solution of any inverse problem. In hydrological applications, DeChant and Moradkhani (2011) managed to improve SWE and discharge forecast using microwave radiance assimilation with a PF. Also, Leisenring and Moradkhani (2011) showed in a synthetic experiment comparing an EnKF and a PF, that the assimilation of SWE data with a PF improved seasonal predictions. The work of Charrois et al. (2016) has shown the good performance of the PF for the assimilation of optical reflectivity and snow depths and Piazzi et al. (2018) successfully used a PF for SWE data assimilation in mountainous regions. Finally, Piazza et al. (2021) concluded that PF assimilation outperforms an EnKF assimilation by generating longer-lasting predictions.

The relevance of using local snow observations is an open question though: How much is the SSS prediction sensitive to the snowpack? Do the snow observations contain the necessary information to estimate the snowpack accurately enough to impact the quality of predictions? To answer these questions, the present paper assesses the potential of using local snow observations in a seasonal forecast procedure to improve the streamflow supply prediction at the outlet of mountain basins. This is addressed by implementing real data assimilation experiments.

The experiments performed in the present article are based on the MORDOR-SD model (Garavaglia et al., 2017), the semi-distributed version of the original MORDOR model, used by EDF for many years. The experiments have been deployed on three French mountainous basins. Three types of observations are available in these basins: the observed streamflow at the outlet Q, cosmic ray snow sensor CRS data and fractional snow cover (FSC, Masson et al., 2018), provided by the moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite. Each year, an assimilation of the available data is performed from September to March of the following year. Throughout the paper, this time period is called the re-analysis (or assimilation) period. A free forecast is then run from April to August. This time period is called the forecast period. The performance of the assimilation is evaluated during both the reanalysis and the forecast period.

The paper is structured as follows: a description of the model and observations used in the study, the numerical model, the three hydrological basins and the available observations (Section 2); a study of the sensitivity of the system (Section 3); the description of the experimental protocol (Section 4) and the assimilation results (Section 5). A summary and conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

2 Model and observations

2.1 Mordor-SD model

For many years, EDF teams have been using a hydrological box model: the Mordor model. In this study, we the semi-distributed MORDOR-SD model (Garavaglia et al., 2017), which is an improvement on the original Mordor that includes a spatial discretization scheme. MORDOR-SD is based on a succession of hydrological components: the potential evaporation is determined by an evaporation function (depending on air temperature); the surface storage U (modeling a rainfall excess and soil moisture accounting storage) impacts the evaporation and the direct runoff; the capillary storage Z is fed by indirect runoffs and also impacts the evaporation; the hillslope storage L separates direct and indirect runoffs, the rest feeds the deep storage N that provides the baseflow component; lastly, a snow stock S is accumulating or melting based on an improved degree-day formulation. More specifically, the snow model is derived from a classical degree-day scheme, with a few important additional processes: (i) a cold content able to dynamically control the
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Figure 1. Time series of the forcings: precipitation $P$ (top) and temperature $T$ (bottom) during the year 2001-2002 in the Verdon basin. The deterministic forcings are represented in blue and the corresponding perturbed 50 ensemble members are plotted in gray curves.

The discretization scheme of MORDOR-SD is based on an elevation band approach, adapted for mountain hydrology. Classically, the number of elevation bands is optimized depending on the hypsometric curve of the basin according to the following criteria: (i) the relative area of each elevation

melting phase; (ii) a liquid water content in the snowpack; (iii) a ground-melt component; and (iv) a variable melting coefficient, depending on the potential radiation assumed to model the changing albedo effect throughout the melting season. The accumulation phase is controlled by the discrimination of the liquid and solid fractions of the precipitations.

Finally, the total runoff $Q$ is then determined with a unit hydrograph.
band has to be greater than or equal to 5% and less than or equal to 50%, and (ii) the elevation range of each zone has to be lower than 350 m.

In most MORDOR-SD applications, the spatial variability of meteorological forcing is summarized by two orographic gradients: gpz (in % · 1000 m−1) for precipitation and gtz (in °C · 100 m−1) for temperature (see Appendix 2 of Garavaglia et al., 2017). In this way, we assume that in mountainous areas, spatial variability is primarily determined by elevation.

In our configuration, the Mordor-SD model has 5 state variables in each elevation band: 4 storage water levels (surface storage U, hillslope storage L, capillarity storage Z and snow stocks S) and the snowpack bulk temperature (TST). The model has one global variable N representing the deep storage water level. The number of free parameters is ranging from 10 to 12 depending on the basin-specific calibration strategy. See Garavaglia et al. (2017) for a thorough description of MORDOR-SD components and flows.

In addition to the state variables, the Mordor-SD model depends on two atmospheric forcings: temperature T and precipitation P. Both forcings result from a statistical reanalysis based on ground network data and weather patterns (Gottardi et al., 2012). The MORDOR-SD model is prescribed with the spatial average of these forcing data over the basin and are given at daily time steps. As discussed previously, the model modifies the impact of the forcings at the different elevations using two orographic gradients. The orographic gradients are constants prescribed to the model (respectively, gpz= 21.39 and 28 % · 1000 m−1 and gtz= −0.75, −0.60, and −0.57 °C · 100 m−1 for the three basins studied in this paper and described in the next section: Verdon, Naguilhes and Guil basin). In the rest of the work presented here, these gradients will not be discussed further, however, these vertical gradients might represent a significant source of uncertainty and their impact should be investigated in future works.

In the following experiments, first-order stochastic auto-regressive processes (AR1) are used to perturbed the atmospheric forcings. These AR1 processes introduce perturbations on the forcings that are consistent in time and that provide MORDOR-SD with an ensemble of probable meteorological scenarios. An AR1 process is added to the temperature in order to simulate the instrument and the representativity errors. The precipitation is multiplied by an AR1 (centered around 1) process, so that the variability in the precipitation intensity is simulated but no new day of precipitation are created. An illustration of the ensemble of forcings generated for the year 2001 in the Verdon basin (later described in Section 2.2) is provided in Figure 1. The calibration of these ensembles (i.e., calibration of the parameters of the auto-regressive processes) play a crucial role in the implementation of the assimilation system and is further discussed in Section 4.1.

2.2 Hydrological basins and observations

The present study focuses on three mountainous basins: the Verdon at La Mure basin, the Naguilhes basin and the Guil at Chapelue basin (Figure 2) that are part of the EDF hydropower network. These three basins were selected according to two criteria: (i) the quality of the hydrometric data
Figure 3. Available observation time series in the Verdon basin (left), in the Naguilhes basin (center) and in the Guil basin (right) of streamflow $Q$ (top), CRS SWE observation (center) and FSC (bottom).

(to avoid assimilating poor quality data); (ii) the presence of CRS data on the basin. They also offer a variety of hydro-climatic dynamics.

The Verdon at La Mure basin is a sub-basin of the Durance basin located in the Southern French Alps. The Verdon basin covers 404 $km^2$ and has an elevation ranging from 972m to 2990m. The Naguilhes basin is located on a tributary of the Ariege river in the Eastern part of the French Pyrenees. It is the smallest of the studied basins, covering 30 $km^2$ and with an elevation ranging from 1880 to 2750m. The basin corresponds to the inflow from the Naguilhes hydroelectric dam. The Guil basin is a tributary of the Durance river, located in the French Alps (Hautes-Alpes). The Guil at Chapelue basin covers 418 $km^2$ and has an elevation ranging from 1313m to 3274m. The outlet is located just upstream from Maison du Roy dam.

Three types of observations are available in the basins: the streamflow, the CRS and the FSC.

The streamflow is the observed water flow at the basin outlet given in $m \cdot s^{-1}$. It is a direct and reliable observation of the model state variable $Q$. The streamflow data have been collected by EDF almost continuously since 1997 in the Verdon basin, 1962 in the Naguilhes basin and 2004 in the Guil basin.

The CRS (Kodama et al., 1979; Paquet and Laval, 2006) is a cosmic ray snow sensor located in every basin as part of the EDF snow network, and provides the snow water equivalent (SWE) that informs on the state of the snow stock at a specific geographical point (see Figure 2 right panels):

- In the Verdon basin, the instrument is located at the Sanguignères station (V2804) at an altitude of 2050m. The CRS data are available discontinuously from 2002 to 2017.
- In the Naguilhes basin, the instrument is located at the Les Songes station (V4322) at an altitude of 2030m. The CRS data are available discontinuously from 2004 to 2017.
- In the Guil basin, the instrument is located at the Les Marrous station (V2471) at an altitude of 2730m. The
The CRS data are available discontinuously from 2005 to 2016. The CRS measurement technique is known to provide accurate SWE estimations, except for very shallow snow-depth due to instrumental limitations. It provides a very local observation (typical footprint about 5m), which suffers from representativeness limitations. In Section 4.3, a detailed discussion is held on how the CRS observations are integrated in the assimilation process.

The FSC is provided by the MODIS satellite observations (Hall et al., 2006). The FSC is quantified at a 500m- and daily-resolution by a value ranging from 0 to 1, for zero to full coverage. FSC data suffer from well-known limitations concerning cloud/snow discrimination and measure on complex vegetation/topography terrain. In our experiments, the FSC data are averaged on catchment scale and available discontinuously (depending on cloud cover) from 2001 to 2015 in the Verdon, from 2003 to 2015 in the Naguilhes and from 2002 to 2015 in the Guil basin.

For all observation types, the uncertainty is difficult to quantify. This is all the more difficult for the assimilation perspective since representational uncertainty on the corresponding variables. The U, L and Z storages are expected to have a short-term impact on the runoff at the basin outlet, hence, uncertainties on these storages on April 1st should impact less the SSS uncertainty. This is indeed confirmed by the small Sobol indices they generate on the SSS. Uncertainty on the temperature of the snow pack TST at April 1st seems to have also not much impact on the SSS uncertainty. However, it can be seen that for all years the variable uncertainties that lead to the largest uncertainties in cumulative streamflow are the uncertainties on snow stocks at the altitude bands from S2 to S7 in the Verdon, from S2 to S4 in the Naguilhes and from S4 to S7 in the Guil basin. The differences between elevation bands is mainly due to the differences of their absolute snow content. For example, the high elevation bands have smaller areas (by definition of the elevation bands) hence they have less snow content which leads to less uncertainty. Similarly, differences between years are most likely due to differences in snowfall since the perturbations are prescribed relative to the state variables (10 %) but the sensitivity of the streamflow is absolute.

A substantial difference in sensitivity between the three basins is to be noted. The Verdon basin shows a maximum of 36% of sensitivity, the Naguilhes basin of 99% and the Guil basin of 28%. This could imply that in the Naguilhes basin, for instance, introducing accurate information on the snow stocks might have a very positive impact on the SSS estimation. On the other hand, in the other two basins, a control of the snow stocks could improve the SSS estimation but maybe to a lesser extent. This sensitivity study confirms nonetheless

\[ S_i = \frac{\text{Var}[E[Y|X_i]]}{\text{Var}[Y]} \]
that controlling the snow stocks at the end of winter seems to be the most important lever to improve the SSS prediction.

4 Experimental protocol

4.1 Protocol and diagnostics

The experiments are performed during the years when CRS and streamflow observations are available: from 2002 to 2017 in the Verdon basin, from 2004 to 2017 in the Naguilhes basin and from 2005 to 2016 in the Guil basin. Every year, data assimilation is performed between September, 1st and March, 31st, this period is called the reanalysis period. The assimilated ensemble is then forecasted freely from April, 1st to August, 31st, this period is called the forecast period. The streamflow estimations are diagnosed during both the reanalysis and the forecast period. The SSS estimation, i.e., the cumulated streamflow during the forecast period, is also diagnosed.

The diagnostics performed are the continuous rank probability score skill (CRPSS; see Hersbach, 2000 for details on the CRPS and Piazzì et al., 2018 for details on the CRPSS) according to the formulation described by Bontron (2004), with a thinness component (FinS) and a correctness component (JustS). A score of 1 represents a perfect ensemble and lower than 0 an ensemble less accurate than the climatology of the system. The FinS score can be seen as a measurement of the dispersion of the ensemble and the JustS a distance between the median of the ensemble and the observations. A second diagnostic is used to assess the SSS estimation: the root-mean-square error (RMSE). The RMSE is the euclidian distance between the ensemble mean SSS estimation and the observed SSS and is computed, here, in $hm^3$. A perfect RMSE score is equal to 0.

4.2 Meteorological forcing perturbations

The free ensemble simulations and the assimilation ensemble simulations are generated using perturbations with AR1 processes on the forcings. The AR1 autocorrelation parameters are prescribed for all experiments as 0.9 for temperature and 0 for precipitation. Note that the AR1 process applied on precipitation is multiplicative and the one applied on temper-
Figure 5. Sobol indices (in %) in the Naguilhes configuration between the years 1968 and 2018, for a 10% perturbation on each variable. The Sobol indices show the sensitivity of the SSS value to the variables surface storage (U), hillslope storage (L), capillarity storage (Z), snow stocks (S) and snow pack temperature (TST) at the 4 altitude levels (number 1 is the lowest altitude). The last column Av gives the average over the entire time period. The darkest squares indicate a stronger sensitivity of the SSS to uncertainties on the snow stocks at altitude level 2 and 3.

4.3 Assimilation setup

The assimilation is performed using a particle filter (PF) with sequential importance resampling (Gordon et al., 1993; Van Leeuwen, 2009). The PF determines sequentially, within an ensemble of simulations (also called particles or members), the simulations having a model state close to the observations. The PF describes the prior probability density of the system state as a Dirac sum of equal weights 1/N for N the size of the ensemble. Using Bayes’ theorem, the analysis assigns larger weights to the simulations closer to the observations. The weights are then used to resample the simulations farthest from the observations so that the simulations closest to the observations are duplicated. In this study, we use a stratified resampling method introduced by Kitagawa (1996). The duplicated simulations are not perturbed after resampling. The dispersion of the ensemble is maintained only by the perturbations on the forcings. Several studies showed
Figure 6. Sobol indices (in %) in the Guil configuration between the years 1968 and 2018, for a 10% perturbation on each variable. The Sobol indices show the sensitivity of the SSS value to the variables surface storage (U), hillslope storage (L), capillarity storage (Z), snow stocks (S) and snow pack temperature (TST) at the 8 altitude levels (number 1 is the lowest altitude). The last column Av gives the average over the entire time period. The darkest squares indicate a stronger sensitivity of the SSS to uncertainties on the snow stocks between altitude level 4 and 7.

Figure 7. CRPSS of free ensemble simulations computed for AR1 parameter calibration ($\sigma_P, \sigma_T$). The maximum CRPSS occurs at Verdon basin for (0.3,0.3), at Naguilhes basin for (0.3,0.8) and at Guil basin for (0.3,0.5).

the need for additional perturbation after resampling in order to avoid ensemble collapse yet it does not seem necessary in our system.
Table 1. AR1 processes parameters applied on precipitation ($\sigma_P, \phi_P$) and temperature ($\sigma_T, \phi_T$) forcings, for the free ensemble and the assimilation ensembles during the reanalysis period (September to March) and the forecast period (April to August).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Verdon basin</th>
<th>Naguilhes basin</th>
<th>Guil basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma_P$</td>
<td>$\phi_P$</td>
<td>$\sigma_T$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q assim</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q,FSC) assim</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q,CRS) assim</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q,CRS,FSC) assim</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Streamflow time series Q, during the year 2002 for the Verdon basin, of the observed streamflow (blue), the climatological ensemble (black), the free ensemble (top panel ; green) and the assimilated ensemble (middle panel ; red) for the assimilation of Q. Bottom panel represent the ensemble respective medians. The vertical dotted black line represents the separation between the reanalysis period (before the line) and the forecast period (after the line).

The free ensemble and the assimilation ensemble are composed of 900 members. The PF provides the exact Bayes’ theorem solution for an infinitely large ensemble but quickly suffers from the curse of dimensionality (Snyder et al., 2008) and underperforms with small ensemble sizes. Some experiments have been performed with smaller ensembles (not shown here) and confirm this issue. Due to the very nonlinear nature of the hydrological model, the assimilation performance were not necessarily poor but unstable, meaning, they would fluctuate when repeated. Since the goal of the present paper is not to suggest the most appropriate assimilation method for operational use, but is rather to assess...
if information on the SSS exists and can be retrieved from snow stock observations, we have chosen to use a very large ensemble.

The assimilation window for all experiments is a 3-day window, i.e., an analysis is performed every three days using the last three daily observations.

4.4 Observation operators

In order to allow small time lags between simulated and observed streamflow, the three streamflow observations in the 3-day assimilation window are averaged to make a single observation. Streamflow observation error variance is then prescribed as a function of the observed streamflow $Q_{\text{obs}}$ (similarly to Clark et al., 2008; Weerts and El Serafy, 2006; and Piazzì et al., 2021):

$$\sigma_Q^2 \approx \alpha \cdot Q_{\text{obs}}^2,$$

with $\alpha = 0.3$. Also, a minimal threshold of $\sigma_Q^2 = 0.2$ is used so as to avoid unreasonably low uncertainties for very small streamflow.

The assimilation is performed using the FSC normalized anomalies. The anomalies are computed by subtracting the daily FSC climatologic average to the daily FSC value of the current year and this difference is then divided by the climatologic average. The anomaly indicates with a positive or a negative value if the snow cover is especially high or low this year on that day. The same is done to the fraction snow stock observations, we have chosen to use a very large ensemble.

The assimilation window for all experiments is a 3-day window, i.e., an analysis is performed every three days using the last three daily observations.

Finally, as previously mentioned, CRS observations are local data and do not necessarily represent the snow dynamics of an entire basin. Hence, the first step of the CRS observation operator is to consider the CRS normalized anomalies, similarly to the FSC observations. However, after several tests (not shown here), the CRS normalized anomaly does not provide the correction needed for the model snow stock anomaly at the appropriate altitude band. A second step of the CRS observation operator was then to systematically compare, at each assimilation window, the CRS anomaly to the forecasted model snowpack anomaly at all altitude bands. The closest (in terms of CRPSS) altitude band is then considered to be the observed band. This can be seen as an adaptive observation operator. This process does slightly impact the computation time (as it has to be performed every three days, in this case), but significantly improves the results in our study. The observation error variances of the CRS anomalies are prescribed at $\sigma_{\text{CRS}} = 0.3$.

5 Assimilation results

5.1 Streamflow reanalysis, September to March

During the September to March period, the observations are available daily. In this subsection, only streamflow observations are assimilated. As an illustration, Figure 8 shows the time series of $Q$ during the year 2002 in the Verdon basin. The reanalysis period corresponds to the times left of the vertical dotted black line and the forecast period to the times right of that line. While the top two panels highlight the high confidence of the assimilated ensemble (red lines) versus the free ensemble (green lines) with a reduction in dispersion, the bottom panel shows that the median after assimilation (red line) is more accurate than the median without assimilation (green line) with respect to the observations (blue line).

The first conclusions drawn from the year 2002 are confirmed over the 16 years 2002-2017 in the Verdon, the 12 available years between 2004 and 2017 in the Naguilhes and the 10 available years between 2005 and 2016 in the Guil basin, with the use of the probabilistic score CRPSS and its components FinS and JustS summarized in Table 2. The FinS of the free ensemble is higher than the FinS of the assimilated ensemble which is not abnormal since the ensembles have not been generated with the same perturbations and the assimilated ensemble perturbations were much stronger. However, the assimilation increases the JustS of the free ensemble from 37.1% to 79.6% in the Verdon, 31.1% to 44% in the Naguilhes and -36.6% to 41% in the Guil basin. This results in a CRPSS of 75.4%, 36.8% and 69.4% after assimilation when the free ensemble CRPSS was 47.6%, 20.5% and 39.3% in the three basins, respectively.

Assimilation of streamflow observations combined with CRS and FSC observations have been compared to streamflow-only assimilation and has very little to no impact on the results during this reanalysis period (not shown here). This is due to the very straightforward task of constraining simulated streamflows using the accurately observed streamflow. Indeed, the PF sequentially selects and resamples the simulations with a streamflow closer to the observations.

An interesting specificity of the particle filter, as a data assimilation method, is that each time not only the accurate streamflows are selected but also all the corresponding state variables. In other word, one can hope that the assimilation will have also selected more accurate snow stocks which will then help produce better streamflow predictions during the following spring and summer seasons.

5.2 Streamflow forecast, April to August

Figure 9 shows the streamflow time series of the ensemble medians (climatologic ensemble in black, free ensemble in green and assimilated ensemble in red) and the observed streamflow (in blue) during the year 2011 in the Verdon basin for the $Q$ assimilation (top panel) and the $(Q, \text{CRS})$ assimi-
The streamflow assimilation (Figure 9 top panel) seems to improve the short term (first 5 to 10 days) streamflow forecast. But, the streamflow forecast is then overestimated after a couple of weeks. However, a good control of the snow pack with (Q, CRS) assimilation (Figure 9 bottom panel) reduces this long term streamflow forecast overestimation. Hence, the overall streamflow forecast remains improved in the first few weeks of the forecast period in comparison to the free ensemble and the overestimation during the rest of the forecast period is avoided.

This result is confirmed by the probabilistic score CRPSS for all years available and in two of the three basins: Verdon and Naguilhes basins (Figure 10). Both (Q, CRS) and (Q, FSC) assimilation show CRPSS increase in comparison to Q only assimilation. In the Naguilhes basin, in particular, the streamflow assimilation improvement over the Free ensemble (approximately from a CRPSS of 0.44 to 0.455) is almost doubled by the additional use of CRS (approximately to a CRPSS of 0.465). This significant improvement in the Naguilhes basin could be due to the strong sensitivity of the streamflow to the snow stock uncertainty as implied by the Sobol experiment conclusions (Section 3).

In the Guil basin, the streamflow forecast is degraded by the use of CRS data. This overall result is in fact due to some years in particular where the CRS information is contradictory to the observed streamflow. The case of the Guil basin will be further discussed in the following section.

In general, the improvement brought by an accurately controlled snow pack to the streamflow estimation is slim in terms of scores. The variation in the CRPSS is almost always smaller than 5%. This is due to the fact that, even though the snow stock might be improved, the timing of the streamflow runoff is mainly driven by the anticipated meteorological forcings during the forecast period. The cumulated streamflow, or seasonal streamflow supply, however should be less impacted by the timing of the runoff and should be significantly improved by a better estimated snow stock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Verdon basin</th>
<th>Naguilhes basin</th>
<th>Guil basin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Q assimilation</td>
<td>Free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinS</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>−0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JustS</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRPSS</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Probabilistic scores on streamflow Q during reanalysis period, from September to March, for the free ensemble (Free) and the streamflow assimilation (Q assimilation).
5.3 Seasonal streamflow supply SSS forecast

Global scores have been computed to assess the abilities of the different assimilation configurations to estimate the seasonal streamflow supply SSS, i.e., the cumulated runoff between April and August. Similarly to Figure 10, Figure 11 shows the global CRPSS, FinS and JustS of the SSS ensemble estimations in the three basins and Figure 12 shows the RMSE of the ensemble means.

As for the streamflow estimation, the SSS estimation is improved by assimilating all the available data in the Verdon basin and the Naguilhes basin. In the Verdon basin, the Q assimilation increases the CRPSS from 77% (free ensemble) to 80.5% and the (Q, FSC, CRS) assimilation further increases the CRPSS to 82.7%. Meanwhile, the RMSE of the free ensemble SSS mean (200 hm$^3$) is almost halved by the (Q, FSC, CRS) assimilation and reduced to a little over 100 hm$^3$. In the Naguilhes basin, the Q assimilation increases the CRPSS from 58.7% (free ensemble) to 62.2%, the (Q, CRS) assimilation further increases the CRPSS to 75% and the (Q, FSC, CRS) assimilation CRPSS is slightly lower at 74.6%. The RMSE is strongly reduced by the use of CRS observations. Both (Q, CRS) and (Q, FSC, CRS) assimilations reduce the free ensemble SSS mean RMSE, which is over 10 hm$^3$, down to under 4 hm$^3$. Once again, these very good performances in the Naguilhes basin, are likely due to the significant sensitivity of the SSS estimation to the snow stock uncertainty that was highlighted by the Sobol sensitivity experiment (Section 3).

The yearly SSS CRPSS histograms presented in Figure 13 and Figure 14 allow to understand how combining all the observations improve the global scores. Every year, the free ensemble SSS CRPSS (green) is compared to the SSS CRPSS of the assimilated ensemble (red) for the different assimilation configurations. As a reminder, a negative CRPSS indicates that the ensemble estimation is less accurate (in terms of CRPS) than the climatological ensemble. In the Verdon basin, the main inaccurate free ensemble SSS estimation occurs in 2014. During that year, only the assimilation configurations containing CRS observations are able to truly correct that estimation. Meanwhile, in 2003 for instance, (Q, CRS) assimilation deteriorates the SSS estimation. But, only assimilating (Q, CRS, FSC) manages to improve both 2003 and 2014. Similarly, in the Naguilhes basin, the years 2004 and 2011 are poorly estimated by the free ensemble, the Q assimilation and the (Q, FSC) assimilation but both the (Q, CRS) and the (Q, FSC, CRS) assimilations significantly increase the SSS CRPSS. These yearly variations show that the hydrological problem considered here is not a linear and Gaussian problem where adding observations systematically improves the estimation every year. These variations can be due to the quality or representativity of those observations which can also variate from one year to the next. But in this case, the benefits of combining multivariate data comes from the particle filter selection process which behaves as a data cross validation of sort that will take advantage of the most appropriate observations each year. This remains true, however, as long as none of the observations are widely inaccurate.

For instance, in the Guil basin, the detrimental impact of the CRS observations is even larger on the SSS estimation than it is on the streamflow estimation. The average CRPSS declines from approximately 75% to 65% (Figure 10) and the RMSE increases from 120 hm$^3$ up to 280 hm$^3$ (Figure 11). Similarly to Figure 13 and Figure 14, the yearly histograms in Figure 15 reveal that the assimilation performances differ significantly from one year to the next. In particular, the histograms reveal that the SSS estimation in 2012 is improved by the CRS observations. However, for the years 2008, 2013 and 2014, the CRS observations seem to completely mislead the SSS estimation. This behavior can be explained by (1) the particularly wide area covered by the Guil basin and (2) specific atmospheric events during those years, both rending the CRS observations unrepresentative of the hydrological situation of the basin. In particular, for the year 2008, the poor results can be explained both by the non-representativity of the CRS observations and by a historical flooding episode at the end of May, associated with strong uncertainties on precipitation.
6 Summary and conclusions

The objective of this work is to assess the potential of using local snow observations such as cosmic ray sensor observations (CRS) and fractional snow cover (FSC) data in order to improve the estimation of the seasonal streamflow supply at the outlet of mountainous basin. The assimilation of streamflow measurements is commonly performed and is known to improve the short term prediction of hydrological system evolution. However, combining different snow pack observations at basin-scale, such as FSC data, and at local scale, such as CRS data, could improve the prediction of seasonal streamflow supply between April and August (SSS).

As a first step, a sensitivity test performed in Section 3 shows that snow stock control has the potential to strongly reduce the uncertainties on the seasonal streamflow supply. The Sobol indices (relative variances) demonstrate a significant sensitivity of the seasonal streamflow supply SSS to uncertainties on the snow stocks at different altitudes (S4 to S8 in the Verdon, S2 to S4 in the Naguilhes and S4 to S7 in the Guil basin). Although expected, this result supports the idea that assimilating data containing snow stock information can improve SSS estimation.

The streamflow assimilation is confirmed to be beneficial for the streamflow estimation during the reanalysis period from September to March (Section 5.1), the streamflow prediction (after the assimilation) from April to August (Section 5.2) and for the SSS estimation (Section 5.3). Indeed, in the three basins, the Q assimilation significantly improves the streamflow estimation during the reanalysis period: from 47.6% to 75.4% in the Verdon basin, from 20.5% to 36.8% in the Naguilhes basin and from 39.3% to 69.4% in the Guil basin. Also, the streamflow forecast during the 5 month forecast period is systematically improved by the Q assimilation, albeit slightly. Finally, the SSS CRPSS of the Q assimilation is increased compared to the one of the free ensemble for both the Verdon and the Naguilhes basin and remains approximately constant in the Guil basin. In all the basins, the SSS RMSE of the Q assimilation ensemble mean is smaller than the free ensemble mean.

Since the streamflow assimilation seems to be improving the SSS estimation, further experiments were performed in Section 5.2 to assess the combination of streamflow and other observations. Also, separate tests were performed to assess a CRS-only and a FSC-only assimilation in the hydrological system (not shown here) but not using the streamflow observations seemed to strongly deteriorate the SSS estimation. Section 5.2 shows that, in two of the three basins, the com-
Combination of FSC and CRS to Q in the assimilation process has proven to be very beneficial to the SSS estimation. In the Verdon and the Naguilhes basins, where it was identified that FSC and CRS are beneficial, the best strategy seems to be that all available observations are to be included in the assimilation process so that if some years one observation type is misrepresenting the hydrological situation the others can counteract its effect. For instance, in the Verdon basin, the (Q, FSC) assimilation degrades the estimation in 2014 and in 2003 the (Q, CRS) assimilation degrades the estimation but when assimilating (Q, CRS, FSC) both those years are improved. The overall scores show that the (Q, FSC, CRS) assimilation when compared to the Q only assimilation increases the SSS estimation CRPSS by 2% in the Verdon and by 22% in the Naguilhes basin and reduces the SSS estimation RMSE by 19.7 hm$^3$ in the Verdon, corresponding to a 15.9 % improvement, and by 4.8 hm$^3$ in the Naguilhes basin, corresponding to a 56.6 % improvement.

A caution must be made on this strategy since, in the Guil basin during specific years, the CRS observations can be largely misrepresenting the hydrological situation thus significantly deteriorating the streamflow and SSS estimations. More specifically, during three years (2008, 2013 and 2014) the CRS observations appear to be in contradiction with the streamflow observations hence misleading the SSS estimation. This can be explained by specific atmospheric events occurring during those years and leading to highly heterogeneous precipitation patterns.

The present study showed that assimilating multivariate data in a basin scale hydrological model is possible and can improve long term predictions such as the seasonal streamflow supply estimation. In two of the three basins, the assimilation of snow observations has proved beneficial, improving the overall performances. This result was achieved by incorporating local CRS data into a basin model through the use of an adaptive observation operator on the elevation band. Although heuristic, the adaptive observation operator has proven to be successful in most cases. However, some years, the poor representativity of local CRS observations can degrade the performance of the DA process. Combining the sources of observations therefore appears to be the best guarantee of robustness for operational purposes. Also, the multivariate assimilation allowed to highlight that the CRS observations in one of the studied basins and during specific years are not appropriate for assimilation and should be disregarded.

As a continuation of this work and to keep improving SSS prediction in operational forecasting systems, several other aspects must be further investigated. First, a wider study should be conducted using the same experimental set up to assess the benefits and issues of the available observations, in particular the CRS data, in a larger panel of hydrological basins. Options to compensate for the lack of representativity of the CRS data in some basins are limited. A study on the cost-benefit to densify the observation network in the concerned basins should be conducted by operational centers. A more attractive, because less expensive, alternative could be to better characterized and/or improve the representativity of SWE data at basin scale by using the existing large network of snow poles that may contain complementing SWE information. Finally, moving from the semi-distributed MORDOR model to the fully spatialized MORDOR model (Rouhier et al., 2017) should make the integration of local CRS information into the physics of a basin model more realistic and ultimately improve the SSS estimation.

**Figure 13.** Yearly CRPSS of the seasonal streamflow supply SSS for the free ensemble (green) and the assimilated ensemble (red) from the Q assimilation, (Q, FSC) assimilation, (Q, CRS) assimilation and (Q, CRS, FSC) assimilation (10 experiments each year) in the Verdon basin.
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**Figure 14.** Same as Figure 13 in the Naguilhes basin.
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