

Editor (minor revisions): Author response

...thank you for thoroughly considering the reviewers' comments and revising your manuscript accordingly. However, I think you missed out on three critiques: Reviewer 1, comment 3, line 41 (original manuscript): What is meant by "lost to time"? You wanted to refer to the fact that "film photography is becoming more popular again", but I haven't found such reference.

RESPONSE: I'm sorry I did not pick up on this before! The paragraph transition now reads the following:

"[...] Although "film photography has "recently witnessed a significant renaissance" (Marquardt and Andrae, 2022), it is still an activity many consider nostalgic.

Hand-written letters were another way of communicating that now seem increasingly lost to time. [...]"

Reviewer 1, comment 6: "What is meant by "their" scientist"? => You wanted to explain this more directly, but as far as I can see haven't done so. Although I think it's clear enough what is meant by "their", please either explain, or send me a brief explanation why you changed your mind and don't do so.

RESPONSE: Even though this was obviously clear for some, it was not clear for all. Therefore, we added some text to present this more clearly. Now, we write, for example (line 116) *"We then introduced the students to the scientists they would communicate with and explained how they would communicate."*

Reviewer 2, technical comments, line 235 in the original manuscript: => Please change sentence to "The neutral and negative comments (3 of 17) spoke to ways we could improve the project, and also to wider issues around communication and education."

RESPONSE: Thank you for noticing this. We have made the requested change to the text.