Authors' response to community comments 1–3 by Matthias Konrad-Schmolke

Dear Editor,

Please find below the response on behalf of all authors to the community comments posted by Matthias Konrad-Schmolke on our manuscript "Epidote dissolution—precipitation during viscous granular flow: a micro-chemical and isotope study" (MS id: egusphere-2022-311).

To make the response easier to read and to assess, we have posted our own comments next to/below each comment in the PDF file labeled "CC3\_supplement", which includes all comments posted previously as "CC1" and "CC2".

We thank Matthias Konrad-Schmolke for his constructive feedback, and hope that you will find this response and the revised manuscript satisfactory.

Yours sincerely,

Veronica Peverelli, on behalf of all authors

#### Review

Epidote dissolition-precipitation during viscous granular flow: a micro-chemical ins osotope study

### **Authors**

Veronica Peverelli, Alfons Berger, Martin Wille, Thomas Pettke, Pierre Lanari, Igor M. Villa and Marco Herwegh

### Summary

The authors investigate a deformed epidote-quartz vein in the Central Alpine Aar massif in order to deduce metasomatic conditions during different deformation events and - mechanisms active during viscous deformation of the polymineralic vein. Petrological and isotope-geochemical data are interpreted together with micro-structural data in a way that the deformed and recrystallized epidote-quartz vein records fluid-mediated epidote crystallization through different deformation mechanisms that were active contemporaneously. The isotope-geochemical data suggest that externally derived syndeformational fluids mixed with internal trace element reservoirs are supporting the dissolution and re-precipitation of epidote and controlling its isotope geochemistry. Furthermore, the authors interpret the observed textures to be the result of subgrain rotation recrystallization of quartz that caused significant grain size reduction and enabled grain-boundary sliding of the quartz-dominant parts of the deformed vein. Creep cavities resulting from grain-boundary sliding are interpreted to serve as nucleation and growth sites for the recrystallizing epidote that is formed by dissolution of pre-existing epidote and is structurally controlled re-precipitating.

### **General comment**

The manuscript is generally well written in correct grammar and wording. The topic of the manuscript is interesting for structural geology as well as for petrology and geochemistry. The topic is of general relevance as it investigates a global process, i.e. fluid mediated dissolution and re-precipitation of metamorphic minerals, in a local example. The geochemical data presented in this manuscript are of high quality and display a wealth of information about the effect of fluid mediated syn-metamorphic element transport on age determinations in metamorphic minerals. The manuscript is therefore well suited for publication in EGUsphere. However, I see a major shortcoming of the manuscript in the fact that the authors not only interpret the geochemical and textural data in an isotopegeochemical way, but also try to deduce a q<sub>1</sub>rtain deformation mechanism, i.e. grain boundary sliding, as well as a certain flightransport mechanism, i.e. granular fluid pumping, from the investigated samples. Whereas the reader can easily follow the authors' arguments regarding the nature and chemistry of the metamorphic fluid that serves as element transport medium for the precipitation of a second epidote generation in their samples, the interpretation of the data in terms of a certain deformation mechanism is highly speculative and not supported by the presented data. I suggest to redirect the focus of the manuscript away from the structural interpretation and put more emphasis on the interpretation of the geochemical data in terms of element transport properties of the metasomatic fluid.

Apart from this major criticism there is a small number of points that should be addressed in a revised version of the manuscript. In general, most of the figures, at least in the version

# Summary of Comments on Microsoft Word - Review Peverelli et al 2022.docx

Page: 1

Number: 1

Author: Veronica Peverelli Subject: Sticky Note

Date: 8/5/2022 7:19:34 AM

The text has been revised so as to make it clear that this is one possible interpretation.

that I got, are too small and of med pcre quality. Several of the features that are supposedly shown in the figures are actua vot (well) visible, hence it is sometimes difficult for the reader to follow the authors' arguments.

Furthermore, some of the para raphs need substantial reworking, such as the section of the geological setting as well a rts of the sample description.

However, I generally found the manuscript interesting in a geochemical way and I am looking forward to its publication. Nevertheless, I think the manuscript needs some major revisions and a slight change in its focus, as the micro-structural data does not support the viscous granular flow model for the observed structure.

In the following I will give some detailed comments on specific text passages.

### Specific comments

Line 32: ... and by the physical conditions ...

Line 34: ... this type of interest.

Line 34: ... this type of ir tel pretation ...

Line 50: as there is a large number of publications that concentrate on fluid fluxes in deforming and static envirorments I wonder whether the authors should speak of "rare characterization" of the fluids.

Lines 57-59: 16 is sentence is reporting results and interpretations and is a bit odd in the introduct .

Line 64: I see that the importance of epidote for geochemical and petrological processes might be underestimated, but of claim that it has important implications on the structural evolution of the continental crust ..., that sounds a bit odd ;-).

Line 66: Fig. 1 is too schematic. The reader cannot really oversee the position of the Aar massif in the Alpin him. I am not sure whether this is important, but if the authors decide to talk about the regional geology this should be a bit more precise.

Lines 69-71: what meant by the polycyclic basement? Please be more specific.

Lines 72-73<sub>10</sub>. and mainly records Alpine deformation ... . What else deformation apart from Alpine 🔚

Lines 81 ff.: The docume 11 ption of the metamorphic conditions in and the metamorphic evolution of the Aar besif could be a bit more structured and concise.

Lines 89-90: 12 ocene and Permian ages? Either you should skip this information or be more precise tere.

Line 92 and fq13 wing: Can you please tell the reader what NAGRA is and be a bit more informati bout the Grimsel test site.

Line 94: What is the characteristic pattern of shear zones?

Line 95: ... runs obligge 15 a steeply dipping shear zone ... . Oblique to which direction?

Figure 216 not very informative. What are the different lines in a)? Both, a) and b) are way too 🗺 all.

## Page: 2

Number: 1	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/24/2022 10:09:12 PM		
Figures improve	ed				
Number: 2	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/24/2022 10:09:16 PM		
Done: better structured geological context, and added figures and references to existing one in sample description (both Sects. 3 and 5).					
Number: 3	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/24/2022 10:09:31 PM		
Done	Addition, Veronica i evereni	Subject. Sticky 140te	Dutc. 1/2 1/2022 10:00:01 1111		
■ Number: 4	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/24/2022 10:09:29 PM		
Done	Author, veronica reverein	Subject. Sticky Note	Date. 1/24/2022 10.05.25 FWI		
-N - 1 - 5	A .I		D 7/20/2022 10 26 14 DM		
Number: 5 Rephrased acco	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:36:14 PM		
Number: 6	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:37:18 PM		
Sentence delete	ed				
Number: 7	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:38:18 PM		
Rephrased and	toned down				
Number: 8	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/21/2022 5:05:54 PM		
Figure improved (regional geology is outside the scope of the manuscript).					
Number: 9	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:40:42 PM		
Rephrased to av	oid overcomplications	<u> </u>			
■ Number: 10	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:41:47 PM		
Rephrased to avoid overcomplications					
Number: 11	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:45:14 PM		
Done	Addion. Veronica i evereni	Subject. Sticky Note	Date: 1720/2022 10:43:14 1 W		
■ Number: 12	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:45:23 PM		
Done	Author, veronica reverein	Subject: Sticky Note	Date. 1/20/2022 10.45.25 FWI		
			D 7/00/0000 40 40 40 D14		
Number: 13 Done	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:49:40 PM		
Number: 14	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:46:09 PM		
Clarified					
p Number: 15	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:55:41 PM		
Rephrased					
Number: 16	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/21/2022 12:49:53 PM		
Figure 2 improv	red	-			

Lines 97-100: why are there three samples described although only one of them is investigated? The ir mation about the other two samples is not used throughout the entire paper, or am I wrong?

Figure 2 informative, but too small and the weak foliation mentioned in line 114 is not visib

Line 120: al  $\frac{3}{2}$  dances of what?

Line 121: Grimsel  $\stackrel{|4|}{=}$  Has this sample been introduced before?

Lines 123-124: It would be nise if there were some pictures that demonstrate the different deformation mechanism the different layers.

Lines 125-127: This senter is an interpretation and should be moved to the discussion.

The methods section is very detailed and precise!

Line 223: Which me structural domains? Please define.

Line 226: This epidote laye . Is that layer 1? If so, please mention.

Lines 235-236: P res of the recrystallized quartz would be nice.

Lines 244-246: the irregular 10d curved epidote grain boundaries are not visible in Fig. 6 nor are the euhedral grains by hlighted.

Lines 254 ff.: What is the Zener relation? I am not sure whether the ordinary reader of that journal is aware of that, especially as the connected citation of Herwegh et al., 2010 does not appear in the reference.

Lines 256-257: ... may have favored dislocation glide, ... . This is interpretation and should go to the discussion. Furthermore, if this quartz grain is so important that it gets 2 lines in section 5.1.2. why is the figure showing it in the appendix?

Line 275: It is not fully are to me where layers 2 and 3 are visible in Fig. 10.

Line 285: Would it be possible to perform a microprobe mapping of the epidote 2 crystals with a higher special esolution in order to see whether they are zoned? I think  $1\mu m$  resolution should be possible.

Fig. 11: What is the recent Fig. 11c? Are those epidote 2 analyses?

Fig. 12: the CL contrast witten the quartz grains is barely visible. In the figure captions b) is missing. I guess b) shows a transmitted light image of the CL/BSE image.

Line 321: wh; the "geological heterogeneity"?

The presentation of the isotope data is very good and convincing. I also find the interpretation of the geochemical data very convincing until the authors start the discussion about the deformation mechanisms in line 386.

Line 386 ff: The authors state correctly that dynamic granular fluid pumping is ONE mechanism that is enabling an effective fluid migration and element transport. However, in the following they do not present convitinging evidence that this mechanism was actually active nor do they discuss other mechanisms that enable the establishment of an interconnected transport matrix. Fluid transport along interconnected grain boundaries seems to be very common in metamorphic rocks and solely the texture that is resembling

### Page: 3

Number: 1	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:55:54 PM				
Removed the extra samples							
■ Number: 2	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:57:12 PM				
Updated figure							
■ Number: 3	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 8/17/2022 7:46:01 AM				
Specified		,					
■ Number: 4	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 10:58:58 PM				
Yes, at the beginning of the section. Rephrased to make it more visible							
■ Number: 5	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 8/17/2022 7:46:41 AM				
Fig. 4 should be useful in this respect, and it has been moved here							
Number: 6	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 11:00:23 PM				
Done	Addior. Veronica i evereni	Subject. Sticky Note	Date: 1/20/2022 11:00:25 1 W				
- Number: 7	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Data: 9/17/2022 7:46:40 AM				
Number: 7 Done	Author, veronica reverein	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 8/17/2022 7:46:49 AM				
	Author Vous sies Deve valli	Culainate Ctiala Mata	Date: 7/21/2022 12:50:01 DM				
Number: 8 Done	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/21/2022 12:50:01 PM				
	A .I		D 7/04/2002 C 07.44 DM				
Number: 9 Updated figure 5	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/21/2022 6:07:14 PM				
, ,							
Number: 10	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/24/2022 5:22:55 PM				
Added figures an	Added figures and rephrases ("subhedral or euhedral")						
Number: 11	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 11:06:06 PM				
Done and referer	Done and reference corrected (2011, not 2010)						
Number: 12	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/21/2022 12:50:25 PM				
Removed details and improved figures							
Number: 13	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/21/2022 12:50:30 PM				
Figure updated							
Number: 14	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/21/2022 12:50:49 PM				
Microprobe map of Epidote-B has been added							
Number: 15	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 11:12:07 PM				
Figure updated (better labeled)							
Number: 16	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 8/5/2022 7:16:20 AM				
	Updated caption and improved figure						
■ Number: 17	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 8/5/2022 7:16:02 AM				
	cal" instead of "geological"	Jabjeet. Jucky Wote	54(C. 0) 5) EVEL 1.10(JE 1)(II)				
■ Number: 18	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/24/2022 10:06:21 PM				
		aken into account in the revi	sed text. Better references to existing and updated figures have				
been added to help the reader follow the text and the interpretations							

the potential structure of cavities resulting from grain boundary sliding is not really convincing.

Lines 402 ff.: In contrast to the afore paragraph the discussion about the fluid source and the fluid-mediated element transport is very clear and convincing.

Line 421: Be :: .... the major and trace element chemical composition ... .

Line 423: couldn' = system be fluid buffered as well?

Line 429: ... of all meas are delements ... .

Line 438: I do not a ree that "this result bears important implications on epidote crystallization rechanisms."

Line 440: Epidote A is chemically zoned, thus there are different "generations" and as there is no documentation of the zoning properties (step-like, oscillating, gradual) pulsating fluid fluxes cannot uled out. The MSWD just says that within the certain age population there is no difference visible.

Lines 443 ff. as well as lig. 11: It would be nice to see whether there is a correlation between the Pb/s ot and the LA spot position within the epidote A grains (e.g., a core-rim Pb and Sr correlation in Fig. 11).

I hope my comments and suggestions are helpful and can be used to improve the manuscript. I apologize for the long time I needed for the review and send my best regards from Gothenburg

Matthias Konrad-Schmolke

## Page: 4

■ Number: 1	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 11:16:56 PM				
Rephrased accordingly							
Number: 2	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 11:18:00 PM				
Added the possibility of fluid-buffered conditions							
Number: 3	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 8/17/2022 7:47:49 AM				
Done							
Number: 4	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/20/2022 11:19:49 PM				
Agreed. Rephrase	ed						
Number: 5	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 7/27/2022 9:36:49 AM				
Agreed. Removed reference to MSWD and rephrased taking all options into account							
Number: 6	Author: Veronica Peverelli	Subject: Sticky Note	Date: 8/17/2022 7:50:35 AM				
Although we agree that detailed geochemical work on Epidote-A would be interesting, the present data-set does not allow systematic							
considerations in this respect (that is, not enough grains where we have analyses in both cores and rims).							