Structural characterization and K-Ar illite dating of reactivated, complex and heterogeneous fault zones: Lessons from the Zuccale Fault, Northern Apennines
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Abstract. We studied the Zuccale Fault on Elba Island, Northern Apennines, to unravel the complex deformation history that is responsible for the remarkable architectural complexity of the fault. The ZF is characterized by a patchwork of at least six distinct, now tightly juxtaposed Brittle Structural Facies (BSF), that is, volumes of deformed rock characterized by a given fault rock type, texture, color, composition, and age of formation. ZF fault rocks vary from massive cataclasite to foliated ultracataclasite, from clay-rich gouge to highly sheared talc phyllonite. Understanding the current spatial juxtaposition of these BSF’s requires tight constraints on their age of formation during the ZF life span to integrate current fault geometries and characteristics over the time dimension of faulting. We present new K-Ar gouge dates obtained from three samples from two different BSF’s. Two top-to-the E foliated gouge and talc phyllonite samples document faulting in the Aquitanian (c. 22 Ma), constraining E-vergent shearing along the ZF already in the earliest Miocene. A third sample constrains later faulting along the exclusively brittle, flat-lying principal slip surface to < c. 5 Ma. The new structural and geochronological results reveal an unexpectedly long faulting history spanning a ca. 20 Ma long time interval in the framework of the evolution of the Northern Apennines. The current fault architecture is highly heterogeneous as it formed at very different times under different environmental conditions during this prolonged history. We propose that the ZF started as an Aquitanian thrust which then became selectively reactivated by early Pliocene out-of-sequence thrusting during the progressive structuring of the Northern Apennines wedge. These results require the critical analysis of existing geodynamic models and call for alternative scenarios of continuous convergence between the late Oligocene and the early Pliocene with a major intervening phase of extension in the middle Miocene allowing for the isostatic re-equilibration of the Northern Apennines wedge. Extension started again in the Pliocene and is still active in the innermost portion of the Northern Apennines. In general terms, long-lived, mature faults can be architecturally very complex. Their unraveling, including understanding the dynamic evolution of their mechanical properties, requires a multidisciplinary approach combining detailed structural analyses with dating the deformation events recorded by the complex internal architecture, which is a phenomenal archive of faulting and faulting conditions through time and in space.
1 Introduction and aims of the study

Faults control fluid migration, storage and mineralizations, which makes them of great significance to society. In addition, although faults only occupy a small volume of the crust, they control its modes of deformation by localizing earthquake slip and aseismic creep, thus being sources of seismic hazard that deserve great attention by the scientific community. After initial localization, fault progressive growth leads to relatively narrow fault cores, where slip is mostly accommodated, and surrounding fractured damage zones. Different fault rocks (e.g., cataclasite, gouge, pseudotachylyte, phyllonite and mylonite, each representative of specific faulting conditions) may form at different stages of the faulting history of the same fault. This implies that the spatial relationships among different fault rocks in the same fault may be difficult to untangle, as multiple fault rock domains are commonly intricately juxtaposed to form complex fault architectures. Indeed, faults are rarely simple discrete and tabular slip surfaces. Instead, they commonly exhibit significant along- and across-strike architectural variations due to fault propagation and linkage processes in space and time, different physical and chemical conditions during fluid-rock interaction, and the ease of reactivation over time of brittle structures at different depths. Existing conceptual models of fault zones (e.g., Caine et al., 1996; Wibberley and Shimamoto, 2003), their accuracy notwithstanding, seldomly consider the absolute timing of faulting, and generally are rather static snapshots of long-lasting, cumulative evolutions. In turn this may lead to oversimplifications and misinterpretations of the role of faults, of their hydraulic properties and mechanics and, ultimately, of their seismic behavior. Also, such a partially incomplete representation of the true nature of faults may also lead to erroneous or biased tectonic reconstructions. Unraveling the internal structure of faults, while integrating it over their entire lifetime span, is, therefore, paramount to understanding the full spectrum of mechanical, hydraulic and seismological behaviors of the crust and to accurately reconstructing deformation and tectonic histories. Within regional-scale fault systems, repeated faulting events cause deformation to progressively localize into fluid-rock interaction, and the ease of reactivation over time of brittle structures. Variably deformed structural domains or lithons can be preserved within fault cores and they represent “archives” of the inherited geometric, kinematic, mechanic, geochemical and isotopic signatures of faulting. These domains have recently been explicitly referred to as “Brittle Structural Facies - BSF” by Tartaglia and coworkers (2020), who further elaborated on the concept of “Fault Facies” that is at times used in the context of hydrocarbon reservoirs (e.g., Braathen et al., 2009). In more detail, Tartaglia et al. (2020) use the term BSF to specifically refer “to a deformed volume of rock characterized by a given fault rock type, texture, color, composition, and age of formation” (e.g., their Fig. 7). Field studies do lend support to this approach and show that BSF generally exhibit sharp boundaries and complex crosscutting relationships and their identification, characterization and spatial and temporal sorting requires detailed structural analyses (e.g., Wang et al., 2016; Scheiber et al., 2019; Vignaroli et al., 2020). This is because, even though different BSF may currently be found side by side in a fault core and/or damage zone, they possibly formed at very different geological times and environmental/structural conditions. Their study is, therefore, necessary to establish the relative temporal sequence of fault initiation and their mechanical evolution. In this framework, BSF current physical juxtaposition as constituent
elements of a fault architecture is due to the temporal and spatial evolution of the fault such that many brittle faults are best interpreted as the summation of multiple deformation episodes.

To improve our understanding of faulting and produce time-constrained models firmly based on physical and chemical constraints, a deep knowledge of the structural, mechanical, hydrogeological and petrophysical properties of BSF is required and geologists need to pay particular attention to their characterization when unravelling the deformation history preserved in the rock record of fault zones.

To contribute to this issue and further develop our understanding of fault zones and faulting, we document here how conclusions based on the study of fault rocks that are presently tightly juxtaposed in an architecturally complex fault may potentially be misleading or may be revealing only part of long and complex geological histories unless they are based upon the direct dating of all or some of the juxtaposed BSF. We use the Zuccale Fault (ZF) of Elba Island in the Northern Apennines of Italy as an example of a multiply reactivated complex fault. Structural characterization of its constituent BSF and their direct dating by illite K-Ar geochronology allows us to constrain a geological history that is remarkably longer than previously assumed and the unravelling of which suggests deformation in the inner portion of the northern Apennines to be more articulated than generally reported. Lastly, we discuss the potential implications of our new results on the tectonic history of of the Northern Apennines orogenic wedge from the early Miocene to the early Pliocene.

2 Methods

Our study relies on an integrated approach combining the multiscalar structural analysis and characterization of the Zuccale Fault (ZF) according to classic field and petrographic approaches and the K-Ar dating of selected, representative fault rocks. These were sampled from well characterized BSF of the ZF. The dated material was additionally qualitatively and quantitatively characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis and TEM imaging of selected grain size fractions to characterize the finest dated mineral phases.

The analytical procedures for separating, characterizing, and dating the samples are described in detail in Viola et al. (2018) and represent the workflow at the laboratory for clay characterization and K-Ar dating at the Geological Survey of Norway. Fault rocks were disintegrated using approximately 100 freeze-thaw cycles, and clays were suspended in deionized water. Sedimentation with Stokes law, and a combination of continuous flow and fixed angle rotor centrifuges were used to generate particle sizes of <0.1, 0.1–0.4, 0.4–2, 2–6 and 6–10 μm. Argon was extracted from clay aliquots packed in Mo foil in a stainless steel ultrahigh vacuum line using a Pond Engineering furnace at 1400 °C. The evolved sample gas was purified in a first stage using a titanium sublimation pump, and in a second stage with one SAES GP50 getter at room temperature and one SAES GP50 getter at 350 °C. Sample gas was spiked with approximately 2*10^{-13} moles of pure 38Ar (Schumacher, 1975) and analyzed in an IsotopX NGX multicollector noble gas mass spectrometer fitted with five faraday cups for 600 integrations of 1 s each. Nominal argon beam intensities were determined by using a degree 2 polynomial regression to gas inlet time zero, with an in-house Python program. Beam intensities for 38Ar and 36Ar were corrected for mass discrimination relative to 40Ar by a power law (e.g., Renne et al., 2009), using the weighted mean 40Ar/36Ar ratios of 299.781 ± 0.014 measured from atmospheric argon in an online air pipette, and the atmospheric argon composition of Lee et al. (2006). Radiogenic 40Ar* concentrations and their uncertainties were calculated using the equations outlined in Halas and Wójtowicz (2014). Within this analytical batch, four aliquots of GA-1550 biotite (98.5 ± 0.5 Ma; Mc Dougall and Wellman, 2011) were analyzed and yielded a weighted mean age of 98.53 ± 0.36 Ma. Potassium concentrations were determined by fusing an aliquot of approximately 50 mg in lithium tetraborate at ~1000
°C to form a glass, which was then dissolved in HNO₃ with a rhodium internal standard at 5 ppm prior to analysis with a Perkin Elmer Optima 4300 DV ICP-OES. K-Ar dates were calculated using the ⁴⁰K abundance and decay constants of Steiger and Jäger (1977).

XRD analyses were carried out at the Academic Laboratory of Basin Analysis (ALBA) of Roma Tre University (Italy) with a Scintag X1 X-ray system (CuKα radiation). The tube current and the voltage were 30 mA and 40 kV, respectively.

Randomly oriented whole-rock powders of all subfractions were X-rayed with a step size of 0.05° 2θ and a counting time of 3 s/step in the 2-70° 2θ range. Standard patterns for illite 1M₂ and 2M₁ polytypes were represented by the pure illites PDU and SG4 (Eberl et al., 1987), respectively. Integrated peak areas of minerals and illite polytype reflections were transformed into mineral concentration by using mineral intensity factors as a calibration constant (for a review, see Moore and Reynolds, 1997). The estimated quantification error is ± 5%.

Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) imaging and energy dispersive spectroscopy analysis were performed at the NORTEM infrastructure at the TEM Gemini Centre of the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) in Trondheim, Norway. Samples were dispersed at a concentration of 10 ppm by weight in deionized water, and dried on a carbon-supported TEM grid. Images were obtained on a JEOL JEM-2100 TEM with a LaB₆ filament and chemical information was collected using an Oxford X-Max 80 SDD EDX.

3 Geological Introduction
The Northern Apennines (Fig. 1) form a stack of NE- to E-vergent thrust sheets scraped off from oceanic and continental lithosphere during the Upper Cretaceous–Eocene convergence between the European and the Adriatic plates. Convergence caused the closure of the intervening Ligurian–Piedmont Ocean and subsequent Miocene continental collision (Boccaletti et al., 1971; Carmignani et al., 2001).

Located in the northern Tyrrhenian Sea, Elba Island belongs to the Northern Apennines inner sector and consists of five metamorphic and non-metamorphic tectonic units derived from both the (i) Adria continental (e.g. Tuscan Nappe) and (ii) Ligurian oceanic domain (e.g. Ligurian Unit), stacked with a general transport direction toward the northeast during orogenic shortening (Boccaletti et al., 1971; Keller and Coward, 1996; Bortolotti et al., 2001).
The tectonic units within this nappe stack are organized into two major thrust complexes (Musumeci and Vaselli, 2012; Massa et al., 2017; Papeschi et al., 2021). The Upper Complex is an imbricate fan formed of three thrust sheets of sedimentary and low-grade metamorphic rocks ascribable to Ligurian units and Tuscan Nappe. The Lower Complex, instead, consists of two metamorphic units, namely the Calamita Unit overlain by the Ortano Unit, both derived from the Adriatic continental domain (Fig. 1a).

The Upper and Lower Complex are juxtaposed along a major N–S-striking and W-dipping thrust fault, the Capo Norsi–Mt. Arco thrust (CN–MAT of Viola et al., 2018; Musumeci and Vaselli, 2012), which is outlined by an intensely deformed slice of Ligurian serpentinitized peridotites sandwiched between the metamorphic Ortano Unit below and the overlying Tuscan nappe sedimentary sequence. As a whole, the system forms a W-dipping, 10 km wide and 15 km long monocline that defines the first-order geological structure of eastern and central Elba (Barberi et al., 1967; Pertusati et al., 1993; Keller and Coward, 1996; Bortolotti et al., 2001; Papeschi et al., 2021; Ryan et al., 2021).

The nappe stack hosts two large monzogranitic intrusions (Monte Capanne and Porto Azzurro plutons) and a laccolith system that emplaced at shallow depths (5 to 7 km) between ca. 8 and 5.9 Ma (Dini et al., 2002; Barboni et al., 2015). The emplacement-related thermal anomaly caused diffuse, late Miocene LP/HT metamorphism with amphibolite facies mineral assemblages that are the main metamorphic record in the Calamita and Ortano units (Duranti et al., 1992; Musumeci and Vaselli, 2012; Papeschi et al., 2017; Papeschi and Musumeci, 2019). Early Miocene blueschist facies metamorphism (Papeschi et al., 2020 and reference therein) related to the late Oligocene-early Miocene Apennine subduction (Ryan et al., 2021) is only preserved in the northernmost Ortano unit, which escaped the static thermal overprint.

According to existing reconstructions, the tectonic evolution of the nappe stack in easternmost Elba is interpreted as resulting from late Oligocene-early Miocene SW–NE shortening, which caused folding and nappe stacking. Compression was followed by middle to late Miocene extension broadly coeval with magmatism and the formation of sedimentary basins in the mainland immediately to the east of Elba Island, and related to either fundamental geodynamic processes causing the opening of the northern Thyrrenian sea (e.g., Keller and Coward (1996)) and/or more local factors associated with magma emplacement and ballooning (Pertusati et al., 1993; Bortolotti et al., 2001; Westerman et al., 2004).

Recently, however, mapping and structural observations aided by geochronology have led some authors to a partial reinterpretation of the nappe stack tectonic evolution that can be summarized by the following four evolutionary stages:

(i) Oligocene to early Miocene folding and nappe stacking under very low metamorphic grade (i.e., anchizone) in the Upper Complex and greenschist to blueschist metamorphism in the Lower Complex. The early Miocene blueschist facies metamorphism is recorded and well preserved in the northernmost Acquadolce subunit of the Ortano Unit (Bianco et al., 2015; Papeschi et al., 2020; Papeschi et al., 2021; Ryan et al., 2021);

(ii) Middle Miocene extension of the stack upper portion due to the gravitational readjustment of the orogenic wedge causing the tectonic elision of part of the Tuscan nappe;
(iii) Middle-late Miocene folding and out of sequence thrusting that reshaped the nappe stack (Musumeci and Vaselli, 2012; Papeschi et al., 2017; Viola et al., 2018; Papeschi et al., 2021). The slice of Ligurian peridotites tectonically sandwiched between the upper and lower complex along the CN–MAT supports out of sequence thrusting associated with the formation of east-facing thrust anticlines (e.g., Massa et al., 2017). Geochronology of Neogene illite in fault gouge from the CN–MAT constrains this pulse of renewed shortening to ca. 5 Ma (Viola et al., 2018), which is distinctly younger than the emplacement of the Miocene Porto Azzurro pluton dated to between 7 and 6 Ma (Gagnevin et al., 2011; Musumeci et al., 2015).

(iv) Renewed extension associated with the present-day extensional seismotectonic features of the entire inner part of the Northern Apennines (e.g., Faccenna et al., 2014).

In summary, recent mapping and structural data integrated by K–Ar geochronology of synkinematic illite from key fault gouge samples argue for a complex tectonic evolution wherein shortening pulses have continued to the early Pliocene, with a discrete, significant phase of extension in the middle Miocene (Viola et al., 2018). In this different scenario, also the Zuccale Fault (see below) has been recently reinterpreted as having partly accommodated one late increment of out-of-sequence thrusting on the Elba Island (Musumeci et al., 2015; Viola et al., 2018).

4 The Zuccale Fault
The Zuccale Fault (Fig. 2) was first described and interpreted as a low-angle detachment fault (LANF) that cuts down-section the central-eastern Elba nappe stack with a top-to-the E displacement of ca. 6 km (Keller and Pialli, 1990; Keller and Coward, 1996). Its first-order main structural domains are:

- a purely brittle fault zone composed of breccia, cataclasite and gouge forming a 3 to 5 m thick heterogeneous fault core;
- a general E-dipping low-angle (< 5°) discrete principal slip surface (PSS). Pervasive subhorizontal slickenlines and crystal fiber lineations and associated asymmetric structures are consistent with dominant top-to-the E to NE slip;
- a foliated domain comprising: (1) a W- to NW-dipping foliation defined by the preferred orientation of phyllosilicates in clay-rich gouge; (2) subhorizontal shear planes at the contact between gouge and intact host rock; and (3) E- to SEdipping Riedel shears.
Following the initial description of this remarkable fault structure and the structural characterization of the abovementioned domains and features, over the last decade numerous studies have dealt with the ZF mechanic and dynamic significance as well as with its kinematic relevance within the framework of the overall evolution of the Northern Apennines orogenic wedge. Collettini and Holdsworth (2004), for example, first reported a spatial zonation of fault rocks in the ZF core, which they interpreted as reflecting an evolution from initial frictional deformation by cataclasis to a more distributed deformation style via the formation of a thoroughly overprinting phyllonitic fabric in the core. This progressive evolution was interpreted as suggesting that initial pervasive cataclasis enhanced the overall system permeability, which
would have enhanced the influx of CO₂-rich hydrous fluids that triggered low-grade alteration and the onset of pressure solution leading to overall weakening, shear localization and formation of talc-rich mylonitic layers in the fault core (this model has been further developed in Collettini et al., 2011; Collettini, 2011; Smith and Faulkner, 2010; Smith et al., 2011a; Smith et al., 2011b). This, in turn, would have promoted rock weakening and a switch from frictional to an overall frictional–viscous fault behaviour (e.g., Collettini et al., 2009). Smith et al. (2011b) subsequently reported an alternative deformation history wherein the early development of mylonitic fabrics (talc phyllonite) was followed by the formation of cataclasite and breccia. The spatial zonation of fault rocks proposed by Smith and Faulkner (2010) and Smith et al. (2011b) is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Definition of Brittle Structural Facies in this work and comparison with existing classifications of the various fault rocks associated with the Zuccale Fault. Colours correspond to those of Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collettini and Holdsworth (2004)</th>
<th>Smith &amp; Faulkner, 2010</th>
<th>Smith et al., 2011</th>
<th>This work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>L5</td>
<td>L5</td>
<td>BSF1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gouge; foliated breccia</td>
<td>gouge; foliated breccia</td>
<td>BSF2</td>
<td>yellowish cataclasite and gouge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>foliated cataclasite</td>
<td>L4</td>
<td>BSF3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foliated cataclasite</td>
<td>foliated cataclasite; reworked phylollite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>chlorite phyllonite; talc-phylollite; amphibole schist</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>BSF4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcite mylonite; talc-phylollite; talc-remolite phylollite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>amphibole schist</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>BSF5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tremolite schist</td>
<td>tremolite schist</td>
<td>BSF6</td>
<td>cohesive greenish cataclasite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>breccia</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>BSF5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>footwall</td>
<td>footwall</td>
<td>BSF5</td>
<td>massive cataclasite (honeycomb breccia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>footwall</td>
<td>footwall</td>
<td>BSF6</td>
<td>lower quartzitic breccia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footwall quartzite and mylonitic marble</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Collettini and Holdsworth (2004) also stressed the role of the ZF as the main structure accommodating the late Neogene upper crustal extension in the Northern Apennines and proposed a possible mechanical explanation for its development as a LANF. Although the ZF is traditionally described and interpreted as a subhorizontal to gently dipping top-to-the-E LANF, Musumeci et al. (2015) proposed an alternative model wherein the exposed ZF represents the flat of a much larger, out-of-sequence top-to-the-E thrust that cuts through the Eastern Elba nappe stack. The same authors bracketed the
activity of the ZF to between 6.23 ± 0.06 and 5.39 ± 0.46 Ma, based on the youngest available muscovite 40Ar/39Ar age from the footwall and a U-Th-He age on adularia and hematite mineralizations in the hanging wall (e.g., Lippolt et al. 1995). Viola et al. (2018) provided the first absolute dating of part of the fault activity, constraining the age of the gouge associated with the ZF PSS to < 4.9 Ma, consistent with the fundamental observation that the fault gouge and cataclasite contain clasts of the monzogranitic Porto Azzurro pluton dated to ca. 6.5 Ma (e.g., Gagnevin et al., 2011; Musumeci et al., 2015).

5 Results

5.1 Zuccale Fault: Internal Architecture, BSF definition and characterization

The most complete and continuous exposure of the ZF is easily accessible and well exposed along two coastal cross-sections oriented N–S and E–W at Punta Zuccale (Figs. 1b and 2). There, the ZF zone is between ca. 1.5 and 3 m thick, and it discordantly cuts across both the footwall (quartzite and andalusite-bearing spotted schist) and the hanging wall (marly Cretaceous flysch). Overall, ZF fault rocks consist of clast and/or matrix-supported breccia and cataclasite exhibiting large lateral variations in thickness, texture and composition (Musumeci et al., 2015). Gouge exists as 2-10 cm thick discontinuous lenses across the fault zone but is particularly common at or close to the upper boundary of the fault zone, that is, immediately below the hanging wall block. Two slivers of mylonitic marble derived from the footwall block (Calanchiole marble; Musumeci and Vaselli, 2012; Viola et al., 2018) are embedded within the fault zone.

Detailed field mapping and multiscalar fault rock characterization has allowed us to refine the detailed characterization of the ZF structural framework and to distinguish and characterize five distinct BSF’s, the distribution of which is shown in map view and by means of a fence diagram in Figure 2a and b, respectively, and listed in Table 1. BSF’s are numbered according to their geometrical position from the top to the base of the ZF, as observed along the two natural and mutually orthogonal cross-section (see fence diagram in Fig. 2b). Their identification and characterization have steered the sampling strategy to obtain (when possible) absolute illite K-Ar time constraints, as discussed below.

5.1.1 BSF 1 – Upper yellowish cataclasites

A yellowish cataclasite represents the uppermost portion of the ZF core. It crops out continuously below the hanging wall Cretaceous flysch, which is, in turn, itself characterized by a decimetric to metric damage zone defined by increased fracture density and foliated domains with localised S/C fabrics confirming the regional top-to-the E sense of shear of the ZF. BSF 1 rests immediately above the striated Principal Slip Surface (PSS) of the ZF (see below) and is composed of a few discrete, centimetre thick ultracataclasite and gouge bands. It exhibits a subhorizontal contact to the hanging wall block (Figs. 3a, b). Discrete top-to-the E striated fault planes cut across the fault rocks of BSF1. Cataclastic bands are composed of yellowish, cohesive to poorly lithified cataclasite (Fig. 3c) containing angular to subrounded clasts from centimetre to millimetre in size. Clasts are mainly of limestone, quartzite and igneous rocks (leucogranite sill) commonly replaced/alterted by secondary carbonate grains and of pyrite-bearing veins. The fine- to very fine-grained matrix (< 50 μm) of the cataclasite consists of secondary dolomite, calcite, quartz, clay minerals and Fe-oxides. Secondary dolomite/calcite also occur as euhedral grains and/or filling of veins (Fig. 4a, b). At the mesoscopic scale, a poorly developed and gently (~20°) west-dipping foliation (Fig. 3c) is recognised. It is crosscut by centimetre-spaced and millimetre thick subhorizontal fault planes dipping gently (< 5°) toward the west (Fig. 3c). The basal portion of BSF 1 consists of greenish, cohesive and strongly indurated matrix-supported cataclasite containing foliated to non-foliated...
millimetre to centimetre-thick gouge bands. In the gouge, clasts are represented by rounded quartz grains and/or breccia grains. At the optical microscope, the foliation appears as defined by thin (1 mm) and discontinuous clay mineral-rich layers that outline a centimetre spaced, subhorizontal or gently dipping foliation, while dolomite and calcite occur as recrystallized grains (Fig. 4a). BSF 1 contains clear top-to-the E kinematic indicators such as oblique fabrics, S/C type shear bands and asymmetric imbricated sigmoidal lithons also derived from other BSF’s (e.g., Fig. 3b).

Figure 3: Field view of selected BSF’s. a) and b) show examples of the complex internal architecture of the ZF with the tight juxtaposition of several BSF’s. a) Northernmost exposed portion of the fault allowing access to an almost complete section of the fault zone. There the ZF is composed of a stack of three different BSF’s and the hanging wall block on top. b) Sharp contact at Punta Zuccale between unit BSF 1 and BSF 6 separated by a thin layer of BSF 4. Note the meso-scale evidence of tectonic imbrication within BSF 1 indicating top-to-the E transport. This is not obvious in b) as the view there is in the direction of transport. c) Detail of BSF 1 (above) to BFS 4 (below) contact. d) Pervasively foliated phyllonitic BSF 3.
Figure 4: Microphotographs of representative examples of BSF fabrics. a – b): BSF1. a) Very fine-grained matrix with extensive crystallization of secondary calcite/dolomite with sparse embedded euhedral dolomite grains (grey layer, upper half of photo) and Fe-oxides and hydroxide alteration (reddish layer, lower half of photo). Relic foliated cataclastic domains (indicated by the yellow arrows) alternate with Fe-hydroxides bands and dolomite veins. Plane polarized light (PPL). b) Cataclasite with rare quartz-feldspar.
clasts (red arrows) in a very fine-grained matrix characterized by diffuse recrystallization of secondary calcite and dolomite (lower half of the photo) also occurring as euhedral grains (yellow arrow). Crossed polarized light (CPL). c – d): BSF2. c) Foliated cataclasite with preserved fine-grained quartz clasts and carbonate euhedral grains. The fine grained matrix consists of secondary calcite. Plane polarized light (PPL). d) Very fine-grained phyllosilicate-calcite/dolomite-rich cataclasite matrix enveloping phyllite clasts (yellow arrow). Diffuse occurrence of coarse grained secondary calcite in the upper half of photo. Crossed polarized light (CPL). e – f): BSF3. e) Very fine-grained talc phyllonite. Talc-serpentine-rich yellowish layers alternate with whitish very fine-grained (10 – 15 µm) calcite-rich layers. Plane polarized light (PPL). f) Same image as in e) with crossed polarized light (CPL). g – h): BSF4. g) Clast-rich foliated cataclasite with very fine-grained phyllosilicate-rich matrix (upper half of photo) in sharp contact with matrix-rich ultracataclasite marked by significant grain size reduction and well rounded clasts (yellow arrows). Very thin calcite veins cut across the cataclasite fabric (red arrows). Plane polarized light (PPL). h) Quartz-feldspar cataclasite with a very fine-grained phyllosilicate-rich matrix, enclosing a very thin (100 – 150 micron) ultracataclasite layer (yellow arrows). Crossed polarized light (CPL).

5.1.2 BSF 2 – Yellowish foliated cataclasites

This foliated cataclasite occurs in the northernmost exposed ZF, where it is sandwiched between BSF 1 above and BSF 5 below (Fig. 3a) and it tapers off progressively towards the south (Fig. 2a, b). BSF 2 fault rocks appear as a whitish-yellowish cohesive foliated cataclasite in the immediate footwall of the ZF striated PSS (Fig. 5a, b). The PSS and subparallel striated planes dip gently to the west (Viola et al., 2018, their Fig. 3). W-plunging slickenlines are associated with slickensides and other kinematic indicators (i.e. S/C type shear bands in the foliated cataclasite) and are consistent with a top-to-the E sense of shear. The cataclasite is made of centimetric, rounded to subangular clasts (Fig. 4c and d) in a fine-grained foliated matrix containing secondary dolomite/calcite, clay minerals and amorphous silica pockets. Clasts are mainly derived from the hanging wall Cretaceous flysch as well as from late Miocene leucogranite sills hosted in the flysch (Viola et al., 2018). The rare occurrence of quartz- and/or phyllite clasts (Fig. 4d) indicates a contribution also from the footwall metamorphic Triassic Verrucano quartzite and metapelite. The NNE-striking cataclastic foliation dips gently (< 30°) to the west and bears E-W-trending slickenlines. A noteworthy feature of the BSF 2 cataclasite is the abundance in the matrix of Fe-oxides (ilmenite) along with the occurrence of decimetre-thick sulphide (pyrite, galena, sphalerite) and Fe-oxide rich layers at the contact with the underlying BSF 5 cataclasite (Gundlach-Graham et al., 2018).
Figure 5: Outcrop view of fault rocks from different BSF’s. a, b) BSF 2: a) upper yellowish cohesive cataclasite with W- gently dipping foliation capped by the subhorizontal ZF Principal Slip Surface. b) Detail of foliated cataclasite with oblique foliation indicating top-to-the E shearing. c) Compositionally banded phyllonite of BSF 3. Note the shear bands constraining top-to-the E along the subhorizontal foliation. d) Outcrop view of the complex spatial arrangement of four different BSF’s at the western termination of the EW section at Punta Zuccale. Note the eastern lateral closure of BSF 3, which forms a metric lense in the complex architecture of the ZF (see Fig. 2). The width of the photograph corresponds to ca. 8-10 m. e) BSF 4 greenish cataclasite with millimetric angular to subrounded quartz clasts deriving from underlying Triassic quartzites, in a very fine grained matrix made up of ultracataclasite and gouges. f) Detailed view of a foliated gauge level within BSF 4.

5.1.3 BSF 3 – Calcite mylonite and talc-smectite-tremolite phyllonite
This BSF corresponds to a 10 m long and 1–3 meters thick lensoidal body made of calc-mylonite and greenish talc-smectite-tremolite phyllonite (Fig. 3d). The unit is bounded at the top and at the base by yellow discrete gouge layers and greenish cataclasite that can be ascribed to BSF 1 and BSF 4, respectively. The phyllonitic component consists of a serrated alternance of white and greenish, well foliated bands that are centimeter to tens of centimeter thick and that reflect a compositional layering made up of calcite, talc-smectite-tremolite and phyllosilicate rich layers (Fig. 3d and 5c). At the thin section scale, the fabric is characterized by fine-grained calcite lithons interspersed within a network of thin (< 1 mm) smectite- and/or talc-rich layers (Fig. 4e and f) that define a well-developed foliation with millimetric spacing in high strain zones (see Collettini et al., 2009, for a detailed mechanical and microstructural characterization of this fault rock).

5.1.4 BSF 4 – Greenish cataclasites

It is exposed only along the southern, E-W section, as it tapers completely out moving north (Figs. 2a, b ). It varies in thickness from a few cm up to one m. Its basal contact discordantly cuts across the west dipping foliation of the footwall rocks, which are cordierite-biotite-bearing hornfels and quartzite breccia formed at the expense of the underlying footwall Calamita Unit. Fault rocks appear as a cohesive and strongly indurated, matrix-supported cataclasite (Figs. 5e and 4g and h) containing angular clasts of quartzite, amphibole-plagioclase-bearing skarn, epidote-bearing veins and reworked older breccia and cataclasite. Clasts range in diameter from a few millimetres to a few centimetres, with the coarsest clasts mainly localized in up to decimetre thick breccia pockets and/or coarse-grained cataclasite layers. Millimetric clay-rich layers locally define a coarsely spaced subhorizontal or gently dipping foliation. Dark green to rust brown foliated to non-foliated gouge occurs as millimetre to centimetre-thick discontinuous layers (Fig. 5f) with sharp contacts to the contiguous breccia and cataclasite (Fig. 4g). In the gouge, the clasts are represented by rounded quartz grains and/or older breccia clasts. The clasts define a weak shape preferred orientation (SPO) that is generally parallel to the gently dipping foliation of the clay-rich layers.

5.1.5 BSF 5 – Massive cataclasites

BSF 5 crops out in the northernmost portion of the ZF (Fig. 2a, Fig. 3a and Fig. 6a and b). It is characterized by significant thickness variations, lobate/digitate contacts with the footwall Triassic quartzites and an overall 3D wedge shape that tapers towards the south. It is a matrix-supported cohesive greyish to whitish cataclasite referred to as “honeycomb breccia” in the literature (Smith et al., 2008; Fig. 6c). Coarse to medium-grained subrounded to angular quartz, phyllite and hornfels clasts are embedded in an isotropic matrix devoid of any internal fabric and composed of very fine-grained quartz, clay minerals and epidote (Fig. 6c). Locally, a greenish colour is due to the great abundance of epidote in the matrix. The massive cataclasite rests directly on Verrucano quartzites (Fig. 2) and centimetre to decimetre thick slices of quartzites are locally embedded as clasts within the basal massive greyish cataclasite. At the thin section scale, the original rock fabric (quartz clasts and matrix) is partially replaced by the growth of secondary dolomite. Clasts form a very weak SPO in this BSF, which is generally roughly perpendicular to the gently dipping foliation of the overlying BSF 2 and principal slip surface.

5.1.6 BSF 6 – Lower quarzitic breccia

BSF 6 represents the structurally lowermost BSF of the complex ZF internal architecture. It occurs as discontinuous decametric bands of white breccias at the top of Triassic Verrucano Fm. quartzite footwall rocks (Fig. 2). It is formed by a matrix-supported, cohesive greyish to whitish breccia and cataclasite (Fig. 6d and e). Coarse- to medium-grained
subrounded to angular quartz, phyllite and hornfels clasts are embedded in a massive matrix that consists of very fine-grained quartz, clay minerals and epidote. The cataclasite directly rests on the quartzite and cordierite-biotite-bearing schist of the footwall Verrucano Formation (Fig. 6e), with the local presence of discrete centimetric to decimetric slices of quartzites at the base of the BSF 4 lower massive cataclasite. At the thin section scale, quartz clasts and the matrix are both characterised by the widespread growth of secondary dolomite grains that partially overprint/replace the original cataclastic fabric. Similarly to BSF 5, the locally well-developed clast SPO is at a steep angle (80-85°) to the weak and gently dipping foliation of the overlying BSF 4 cataclasite.
5.1.7 Footwall of the ZF

Footwall rocks are well exposed along the N-S section and mainly consist of white quartzite of the Verrucano Fm. (Fig. 6f) and biotite-cordierite-bearing schists that become dominant north of the investigated Zuccale section (Musumeci and Vaselli, 2012). Above the cordierite-bearing schist, white calcite mylonitic marble with greenish amphibole-epidote-bearing calcisilicate are exposed for a length of several meters and discordantly capped by BSF 1 (Fig. 6). They have been assigned to the core of the Calanchiole shear zone (Musumeci and Vaselli, 2012; Viola et al., 2018) and are characterised by a penetrative N–S-striking mylonitic fabric that dips at ca. 40° toward the west. The calcite mylonitic marble has been dated to 6 Ma (Viola et al., 2018), which attests to lower amphibolite-upper greenschist facies ductile deformation with dynamic recrystallization of calcite grains together with synkinematic growth of fine-grained tremolite and talc within the thermal aureole of the Porto Azzurro pluton (Musumeci et al., 2015).

5.2 ZF samples for K-Ar dating and K-Ar dating results

Aiming to further constrain the development of the ZF fault architecture and to add absolute time constraints to it, thus strengthening the initial results reported by Viola et al. (2018), we sampled and dated all the BSF described above. Unfortunately, only the following three BSF’s yielded datable material:

5.2.1 ZUC 1 (BSF 1)

This sample is from the N-S trending section at Punta Zuccale and is representative of the yellowish cataclasites of BSF 1. The dated material rests directly upon the striated ZF PSS that bears E-W trending and W-plunging slickenlines and is composed of a matrix-supported yellowish clay-rich gouge formed at the expense of the hanging wall Cretaceous flysch (Figure 7). The sampled gouge is internally chaotic, devoid of any internal fabric and does not exhibit any consistent indication of a specific sense of shear (Figure 7). The kinematics, however, are clearly constrained by a plethora of top-to-the E kinematic indicators associated with the slip recorded along the discrete ZF PSS immediately at the base of ZUC 1, such as slickensided surfaces or the dragging of foliated cataclasites of BSF 2 into the slip plane.

Figure 6: a) BSF 5 upper contact to the foliated cataclasite of BSF 2. The latter is truncated by the ZF Principal Slip Surface. b) Distinctive look of the lower massive greyish to whitish cataclasite exhibiting a honeycomb texture as result of clasts erosion. c) Detail view of centimetre sized clasts varying from subrounded quartz to angular hornfels slate embedded in a massive fine to medium-grained matrix. d) BSF 6 in the immediate footwall of the ZF PSS along the NS section at Punta Zuccale. The image is ca. 5 m in width. e) Outcrop view of decimetric layers of BSF 6 whitish to greyish lower breccia resting above the Triassic quartzite of the Verrucano Fm. f) W-dipping foliated marble of the Calanchiole shear zone in the footwall of the ZF.
Concerning the mineralogical composition of the investigated sample, the 2-6 and 6-10 µm grain size fractions of gouge ZUC 1 are mainly composed of quartz (38-41%), carbonate minerals (dolomite and aragonite) between 15 and 27%, illite-2M1 (14-17%) and subordinate amounts of illite-1Md (6-9%) and mixed layers illite-smectite (I-S; 5-7%). Low halite (4%) contents have also been detected in the coarse fractions.

Quartz and kaolinite become less abundant in the fine fractions (0.1-0.4 µm, 0.4-2 µm) where a general increase of illite-1Md, illite-2M1 and mixed layers I-S is instead observed passing from 13% to 37%, from 27% to 41%, and from 7% to 11% respectively (Fig. 7, Tab.1).

Importantly, this sample is from the same sampling site of sample ZUC 2 reported by Viola et al. (2018), which constrained faulting at this locality to < 4.9 Ma.

### 5.2.2 ZUC 8 (BSF 1)

Sample ZUC 8 is also from BSF1, but from the easternmost exposed tract of the E-W trending section of the ZF (Fig. 2 and 7). It represents chestnut brown gouge lenses, which are internally devoid of any pervasive fabric, interfingered with yellowish cataclasite and gouge. The latter is pervasively foliated and contains abundant kinematic indicators such as asymmetric and imbricated clasts and lenses and oblique planar fabrics indicating top-to-the E shearing.

The coarse grain size fractions (2-6 µm and 6-10 µm) of gouge ZUC8 contain kaolinite amounts varying between 46% and 51% of the overall composition, followed by illite-2M1 (22-24%), quartz (11-19%) and chlorite (13-14%). The lack of quartz grains and the general decrease of kaolinite contents in the finer grain-size fractions (<0.1 µm, 0.1-0.4 µm, 0.4-2 µm) are compensated by an increase of neoformed illite-1Md, as well as chlorite and illite-2M1 (Fig. 7).

### 5.2.3 ZUC 6 (BSF 3)

Table 2: Whole-rock composition of various grain-size fractions for gouges of the Zuccale fault. Qz-quartz; Cal-calcite; Dol-dolomite; Arg-aragonite; Hl-halite; Tlc-talc; I-2M1-illite/muscovite-2M1; I-1Md-illite-1Md; Sm-smectite; I-S-mixed layer illite-smectite; Chl-chlorite; Kln-kaolinite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (fraction size)</th>
<th>Whole-rock composition (%wt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUC 6-10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUC 2-6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUC 0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This sample is from the E-W trending section of the ZF (Fig. 2) and it was taken from the uppermost part of the talc-tremolite phyllonitic lens forming BSF 3 (Fig. 7). The dated material is composed of yellowish to greenish, fine-grained phyllonite that in the field appears as made of massive calcite-rich sigmoidal lithons and lenses dispersed within a weakly foliated matrix made of tremolite and phyllosilicate. ZUC 6 is from “zone L2” by Collettini et al. (2009). XRD analysis of the sample indicates talc and smectite as the major constituents of the sub-fractions with contents ranging from 49% to 72% and from 22% to 51%, respectively (Fig. 7, Tab.1). The coarser grain-size fractions (6-10 µm and 2-6 µm) also contain minor amounts of chlorite (5%), calcite (3-5%) and dolomite (1-2%) that become progressively less abundant or totally disappear in the finer fractions (<0.1 µm, 0.1-0.4 µm, 0.4-2 µm; see Fig. 7). Smectite is the dominant K-bearing clay mineral in the <0.1 µm fraction forming 51% of the whole-rock mineralogical assemblage.

It needs to be pointed out that it was not possible to obtain any material from the collected samples of BSF 2, 4, 5 and 6. Many attempts to disaggregate the cataclasite of those BSF’s failed and no datable grain size fractions could thus be separated from these indurated fault rocks.
Figure 7: a-c) Sampled fault rocks and associated mesoscopic structures. ZUC 1 is from BSF 1 and comes from the immediate hanging wall of the ZF principal slip surface, which is decorated by pervasive E-W striae. ZUC 6 is from the uppermost part of BSF 3, which contains very clear top-to-the E kinematic indicators. ZUC 8 is from the easternmost exposed BSF 1, where clear top-to-the E kinematic indicators occur. d) Mineralogical composition of the separated grain-size fractions of the three dated samples.

5.2.4 K-Ar dating results

Our dataset almost invariably exhibits a "grain size - age correlation" for the three samples, wherein the coarser the dated grain size fraction, the older the age (Fig. 8).

While only four size fractions were analyzed by K-Ar geochronology for ZUC 1, as no <0.1 μm grain size fraction could be separated, five fractions were successfully dated for ZUC 6 and ZUC 8 (Table 3 and Fig. 8). For sample ZUC 1, the
0.1-0.4 μm finest obtained fraction yields a date of 18.8 ± 0.3 Ma, the 0.4-2 μm fraction a date of 28.2 ± 0.4 Ma, and the 2-6 μm fraction and the 6-10 μm split two identical dates of 34.2 ± 0.5 Ma. Sample ZUC 8 yielded K-Ar dates of 23.7 ± 0.4 Ma, 34.4 ± 0.5 Ma, 59.7 ± 0.9 Ma, 151 ± 2.3 Ma, and 155.7 ± 2.4 Ma for the <0.1, 0.1-0.4, 0.4-2, 2-6 and 6-10 μm splits, respectively. For sample ZUC 6, the <0.1 μm split yielded a date of 21.0 ± 2.1 Ma, with dates becoming progressively older at 40 ± 2.8 Ma, 47.4 ± 3.0 Ma, 40.6 ± 3.2 Ma and 61.6 ± 4.4 Ma for the 0.1-0.4, 0.4-2, 2-6 and 6-10 μm splits, respectively.

Table 3: K-Ar results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Name</th>
<th>Fraction μm</th>
<th>Mass mg</th>
<th>mol/g</th>
<th>σ (%)</th>
<th>40Ar* %</th>
<th>Mass mg</th>
<th>wt %</th>
<th>σ (%)</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>σ (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZUC 1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>3.284</td>
<td>1.7E-10</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>5.206</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>3.314</td>
<td>1.9E-10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>3.849</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>1.41E-10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>2.365</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.72E-10</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>2.870</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUC 5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>3.562</td>
<td>2.23E-12</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>19.998</td>
<td>9.12E-13</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>17.948</td>
<td>1.11E-12</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>8.19E-13</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.712</td>
<td>8.1E-12</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>±4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZUC 8</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>2.824</td>
<td>1.78E-10</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>4.302</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>2.376</td>
<td>2.57E-10</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>4.273</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>3.064</td>
<td>3.97E-10</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>3.772</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>3.564</td>
<td>8.61E-10</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>3.153</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>151.0</td>
<td>±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.946</td>
<td>8.8E-10</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>3.120</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>155.7</td>
<td>±2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEM imaging integrated the characterization of the dated fractions, particularly of the finest fractions, which are interpreted as providing the tighter constraint upon the last slip episode recorded by the dated fault rock (see below for details). As noted, sample ZUC 1 did not yield any datable material for the finest fraction and, thus, no TEM investigations were carried out. We can rely, however, on observations from sample ZUC 2 by Viola et al. (2018), taken in the same BSF only a couple of m away along strike from ZUC 2 (Fig. 4c and d by Viola et al., 2018). Two generations of illite crystals were recognized in the finest ZUC 2 fraction, with an acicular and platy shape, respectively. Sample ZUC 8 contains illite platelets with irregular edges (Fig. 8) similar to those documented for ZUC 2 by Viola et al. (2018) and inferred for ZUC 1 in this study. TEM imaging and EDS of the finest fraction of ZUC 6 confirms the abundant presence of K-bearing smectite crystals, as documented by the XRD analysis (Fig. 7 and 8).
6 Discussion

Our results are first discussed and interpreted in general terms, aiming to assess their impact upon a conceptual model for the time-integrated development of the ZF. This is important for understanding the broader context of brittle faulting and deformation localization during long-lived structural evolutions and upon the methodological approach to studying and unravelling complex fault cores. Finally, we discuss the significance of our study upon the tectonic evolution of the Eastern Elba Nappe Stack and in the framework of the Northern Apennines evolution.

6.1 Interpretation of the age results

The new data depict a complex history “archived” within the ZF fault core at the studied outcrop (Fig. 8). The obtained “K-Ar age vs. grain size” relationship is remarkable, with the three dated samples yielding quite different results and being characterized by heterogeneous “age vs. grain size spectra” (sensu Pevear, 1999), wherein the coarser the fraction, the older the age. In this study, we use the “Age Attractor Model (AAM)” first proposed by Torgersen et al. (2015a) and Viola et al. (2016) to interpret the obtained age data. The AAM suggests that the amount of detrital K-bearing phases inherited from the host rock in a brittle fault rock decreases with the decreasing grain size of the dated fractions, whereas the amount of authigenic and synkinematic clay increases with decreasing grain size. The coarsest dated fractions may thus still contain significant amounts of “old” protolithic K-bearing minerals inherited from the host rock. These grain size fractions are unrelated or only partly related to the faulting history we are interested in dating, leading to spurious ages. In the intermediate size fractions, a mixture of protolithic and authigenic illite would ensue, such that also their dates do not necessarily reflect the timing of fault initial slip or subsequent reactivation and are, instead, mostly devoid of geological meaning. On the other hand, although according to the AAM even the finest dated fractions may be partly contaminated by the host rock or may have experienced some degree of partial overprinting and resetting by younger
Tectonic and thermal events, many studies have convincingly shown that the age of the finest fractions (≤0.1 µm or finer) is a reliable record of the timing of the last brittle deformation increment recorded by the fault (Viola et al., 2013; Viola et al., 2016; Torgersen et al., 2015a; Torgersen et al., 2015b; Mancktelow et al., 2015; Aldega et al., 2019; Scheiber et al., 2019; Curzi et al., 2020a; Curzi et al., 2020b; Tartaglia et al., 2020). Only in the ideal case where all K–Ar ages from one sample are statistically identical for all dated grain size fractions, it is possible to assume that the age of the finest fraction as well as of all other fractions represents the true age of the last recorded faulting event, without any input from “old” protolithic components (see, for example, ELB 2 and ZUC 4 by Viola et al., 2018; Fig. 8; Torgersen et al., 2015a).

The contribution of potential host rock contamination, particularly in low-temperature fault rocks reworking sedimentary rocks and containing significant amounts of illite derived from the sedimentary history of the faulted lithology, may be evaluated with the Illite Age Analysis (IAA) approach (e.g., Hunziker et al., 1986; Pevear, 1999; Aldega et al., 2019; Carboni et al., 2020; Curzi et al., 2020a). The IAA discriminates the mostly detrital 2M1 polytype (which in turn might represent a mixture of authigenic high-temperature illite and cataclastic, synkinematic muscovite) from a truly authigenic phase 1Md formed syn-kinematically during faulting. The IAA, however, did not produce any meaningful dates in this study, yielding negative intercepts for 100% 1Md, suggesting that the 2M1 polytype is also in part authigenic and synkinematic.

In accordance with the AAM approach, we thus base the interpretation of the new batch of age results mostly on the dates of the finest fractions as already done by Viola et al. (2018) for other samples from the ZF. We still consider them, however, as maximum ages of the last recorded increment of faulting because varying amounts of inherited K-bearing phases may still be present, thus potentially influencing the obtained apparent age.

Sample ZUC 1, for example, is indeed one such case, as discussed in depth for its companion ZUC 2 sample by Viola et al. (2018). It is a fault gouge formed at the expense of a compositionally heterogeneous flysch rock of Cretaceous age, rich of siliciclastic inputs. In contrast to ZUC 2 in Viola et al. (2018), in the current study it was not possible to separate the <0.1 µm grain size fraction of ZUC 1, such that the finest dated fraction is the <0.4 µm. It yielded a 18.8 ± 0.3 Ma date (Fig. 8). The “age vs. grain size” pattern of ZUC 1 is, however, very similar to that of ZUC 2 (Viola et al., 2018), with only minor differences except for the coarsest fraction. XRD analysis of ZUC1 documents a mixture of roughly equal amounts of 1Md and 2M1 illite polytypes in the finest dated fractions (<0.4µm; Table 2). This is consistent with results from detailed SEM and TEM imaging of ZUC 2 (Fig. 8 and Viola et al., 2018), which disclosed the presence of two different generations of illite within this gouge, with a detrital component coexisting to varying degrees in different size fractions with authigenic and synkinematic illite crystals. Although the age of the <0.1 µm fraction of ZUC 1 is unknown, it seems reasonable to extrapolate the ZUC 1 “age vs. grain size” trend to an age younger than 10 Ma. Irrespective of the exact date, the extrapolated age is still likely to be spurious as it contains old and protolithic illite, thus making the date artificially older. Viola et al. (2018) thus calculated a modelled age for ZUC 2 of <4.9 Ma, which we also deem reasonable for ZUC 1.

Samples ZUC 8 and ZUC 6 are strikingly different from ZUC 1 and, when considering the coarse fractions, also from each other. Their finest fractions, instead, yielded statistically identical dates, both constraining the same deformation event in the Aquitanian, between 21 and 23 Ma ago. As discussed above, K-bearing smectite is the dated mineral in sample ZUC 6, with its content progressively increasing to a maximum of 51% of the total fraction in the finest fraction, corroborating its authigenic origin. ZUC 8, on the other hand, is compositionally more heterogeneous than ZUC 6, with both 2M1 and 1Md illite polytypes contributing to the age of the finest fraction. The “convergence” to the same Aquitanian age is, thus, indeed remarkable, with the ca. 155 Ma age of the coarsest fraction of ZUC 8 more than twice as old as the age from the same grain size of ZUC 6. The meaning of the AAM approach is, therefore, self-evident when...
comparing these two samples and this case study is reminiscent of other remarkable cases discussed in the literature, such as those by Torgersen et al. (2015b) and Viola et al. (2016). This strengthens our conclusion that the last recorded faulting increment did indeed “attract” to the same Aquitanian faulting age the ages of the coarsest and remarkably different fractions. The older ages are well preserved in discrete gouge lenses of BSF 1 and in BSF 3 along with specific metamorphic mineral assemblages (sample ZUC 6). As noteworthy feature, BSF 3 is devoid of any pervasive brittle deformation and lacks evidence of fluid-driven deposition of secondary calcite/dolomite and Fe-oxides/hydroxide, as instead commonly observed in BSF 1 and BSF 2 and to a lesser extent in BSF 4. This suggest that BSF 3 has behaved as an “across-foliation low-permeability barrier” during the ZF younger brittle deformation increments and fluid circulation, thus differently from the other BSF’s.

As a whole, the new dataset expands on the dataset by Viola et al. (2018), who first documented a significant faulting age cluster in the early Pliocene. ZUC 6 and ZUC 8 now also document the coexistence in the ZF of fault rocks that, in addition to the Pliocene cluster, record a distinct thermotectonic event in the Aquitanian.

The new results allow us to evaluate and refine the available structural models for this major fault zone (e.g., Keller and Pialli, 1990; Keller and Coward, 1996; Collettini and Holdsworth, 2004; Collettini et al., 2009; Smith and Faulkner, 2010; Musumeci et al., 2015; Viola et al., 2018). The possibility to analytically demonstrate that the fault core is composed of a range of coexisting BSF’s that formed at significantly different times calls, indeed, for a re-evaluation of the existing evolutionary conceptual models.

The new data is of interest in that the kinematic framework of the fault does not appear to have changed from the Aquitanian to the Pliocene, with top-to-the E remaining the regional kinematics along the fault (Collettini and Holdsworth, 2004; Collettini et al., 2009; Smith and Faulkner, 2010; Musumeci et al., 2015). However, our results, indicate that, this consistency notwithstanding, the identified and sampled BSF’s formed at very different times, with the phyllonitic fault rocks of BSF 3 and one gouge of BSF 1 both recording Aquitanian top-to-the E faulting under likely warmer conditions, whereas a second gouge from BSF 1 constrains a phase of top-to-the E faulting to the Pliocene. Any static or dynamic model ignoring or overlooking such fault internal heterogeneity is at risk to oversimplify the ZF evolution, potentially leading to erroneous conclusions as to its role in the local tectonic evolution or to unreliable inferences on the system mechanical behavior.

6.2 General implications on workflows for the characterization of faults and on fault mechanics

The workflow presented in here is of general interest to the structural geology and tectonics community as it demonstrates the need to carry out detailed structural and mechanical characterizations of brittle faults, while also keeping in mind the time dimension of faulting and the fact that currently side-by-side BSF’s may actually be the product of deformation histories that are possibly very distant in time. Structural models aiming at describing the geometrical, mechanical and potentially seismic evolution of faults should, therefore, at least attempt to account for the absolute timing of deformation responsible for the preserved fault architectures.

In a broader sense, this study confirms the complexity that likely characterizes many faults and helps to better conceptualize and plan the investigations needed to understand the broad spectrum of possible slip behaviours that faults may experience during their progressive deformation histories. In the case of the ZF, the identification and characterization of multiple BSF’s as part of a time-constrained evolutionary scheme confirms the progressively narrowing character of the fault as it continued its episodic activity at progressively shallower crustal levels. From a more continuous deformation style ca. 22 Ma ago forming the pervasively-foliated phyllonitic domain of BSF 3, defined by anastomosing easy-glide, talc-defined planes embedding more competent calcareous lithons (e.g., Collettini et al., 2009)
and foliated cataclasites and gouges, the fault system progressively embrittled, leading to foliated and massive cataclasites and, finally, at ca. < 4 Ma to a discrete PSS. During this ca. 18 Myr long, fluid-assisted evolution (Gundlach-Graham et al., 2018), which can be reasonably coupled with progressive retrogression during exhumation, deformation thus likely evolved from aseismic creep to seismic rupturing, although direct evidence of seismogenic activity has not yet been reported.

The documented complex spatial juxtaposition of BSF’s highlights a remarkable geological heterogeneity within the ZF. Geological heterogeneity in fault zones has been long known to exist and, above all, to occur over a broad range of scales (e.g., Faulkner et al., 2003; Collettini et al., 2009; Fagereng et al., 2011; Tesei et al., 2014; Curzi et al., 2020a; Wang et al., 2016; Scheber et al., 2019 and references therein). Indeed, faults are commonly heterogeneous, anisotropic and discontinuous both along strike and down dip. This complexity is reflected by a broad spectrum of geometrical, mechanical and hydrological properties, at all scales, which is not always easy to resolve. Structural, mineralogical and petrophysical heterogeneities in faults are being increasingly recognized as key players governing the mechanics of faulting (in addition to also affecting reservoir fluid compartmentalization, mineralization formation etc.; Bruhn et al., 1990; Stober and Bucher, 2015; Scuderi et al., 2020; Bedford et al., 2022) and thus attract much attention by the scientific community. The complexity and heterogeneity documented for the ZF are typical of long-lived, mature and regional-scale fault systems (Viola et al., 2016; Aldega et al., 2019; Vignaroli et al., 2020). Their clear-cut characterization, however, is challenged by (i) coexisting multiple strands formed at different depths, and (ii) the juxtaposition of BSF’s resulting from different deformation conditions (Viola et al., 2013; Torgersen and Viola, 2014; Tartaglia et al., 2020).

The net slip behavior of faults (seismic vs. aseismic) is, therefore, the result of the interplay between local and regional stresses, combined with the varying environmental conditions and fault rock evolution.

We conclude by stressing that we are convinced that the illustrated workflow and the BSF approach may be pivotal to well informed studies of faulting in space and through time and of the mechanical implications thereof.

### 6.3 The Zuccale Fault activity and implications of the new dates upon the tectonic evolution of the Northern Apennines

Lastly, we consider the implications that the new results have upon the reconstruction of the Miocene-Pliocene evolution of the Northern Apennines as recorded within the nappe stack of Eastern Elba.

It is remarkable that no direct faulting ages have so far been reported for the Oligocene to early Miocene structuring stage of the Eastern Elba nappe complex as part of the Northern Apennines orogenic wedge (e.g., Keller and Pialli, 1990; Vai and Martini, 2001), when nappe imbrication along discrete thrusts and regional NE-vergent folding accommodated most of the regional shortening. Only recently, Curzi et al. (2020) reported a 22.1 Ma K-Ar age (statistically identical to the Aquitanian ages reported here) from a discrete thrust on the Island of Zannone in the Pontian Archipelago (central Italy), and interpreted it as representing the oldest available radiometric constraint on thrusting in the inner part of the central Apennines. By proposing a correlation between the siliciclastic turbidites in the footwall of the Zannone thrust and the Oligocene-Miocene Macigno Fm. farther to the north, Curzi et al. (2020) suggested Zannone to represent the southernmost exposed continuation of the Northern Apennines early Miocene (~22 Ma) foredeep and thrust front, which was advancing toward the east and northeast in both the northern and central Apennines.

However, while the thrust dated to the early Miocene on Zannone has not been debated as to its kinematic significance, the ZF has in recent years become the target of an interesting scientific debate concerning its kinematic interpretation. As mentioned earlier, a very popular school of thought suggests the ZF to be a LANF, which would have accommodated a significant component of crustal extension in the late Miocene and the Pliocene within the geodynamic framework of the
opening of the Northern Tyrrhenian Sea back-arc basin (e.g., Keller and Pialli, 1990; Daniel and Jolivet, 1995; Collettini and Holdsworth, 2004). Alternatively, we advocate a second school of thought wherein the ZF is the brittle expression of faulting along the flat segment of a late Miocene-early Pliocene out-of-sequence regional-scale thrust (Musumeci et al., 2015; Viola et al., 2018) that crossects older regional and local tectonic structures. According to this alternative model, the latest documented activity on the brittle ZF would have postdated the emplacement of the late Miocene Porto Azzurro pluton, and it would have displaced the previously formed nappe stack by ca. 6 km to the east without any significant footwall exhumation or hanging wall block rotation, thus not being a major player for a history of continuous extension in the area from the Miocene onward.

Samples ZUC 6 and ZUC 8 from this study are thus very important in that they document for the first-time Aquitanian top-to-E shearing along the ZF and in the Northern Apennines. The data strengthen the correlation proposed by Curzi et al. (2020) by directly documenting evidence of early Miocene east-vergent tectonic transport in the innermost part of the Northern Apennines. The early Miocene is undoubtedly too early for extension in this sector of the Northern Apennines (Carmignani et al., 1995 and references therein) and a straightforward conclusion is, therefore, that within the ZF there occur BSF’s that formed and isotopically equilibrated (for the investigated K-Ar system) at a time when nappes were still being shortened and imbricated in the growing orogenic wedge.

Viola et al. (2018) suggested a tectonic compressive event of Late Miocene-Pliocene age following the main phase of early Miocene nappe imbrication, as recorded by their samples ZUC 4 and ELB 2, dated to 6.14 ± 0.64 Ma and 4.9 ± 0.27 Ma, respectively. Those two samples were taken from the Calanchiole Shear Zone and the CN-MAT, two inverse brittle-ductile to brittle faults in the immediate footwall of the ZF and directly cut across by it. Direct geometric field constraints from those deformation zones and the ZF allowed the authors to conclude that the last slip event recorded by the ZF postdates the youngest dated structure cut across by it in the footwall, that is, the 4.9 Ma old CN-MAT. Modelling of a 7.58 Ma spurious age from sample ZUC 2 (Viola et al., 2018) by removing only ~1% of a 300-Ma-old contaminant contained within the Cretaceous Flysch at the expense of which the gouge of ZUC 2 formed (a reasonable assumption for a siliciclastic rock containing clasts from Paleozoic sources), brought the ZF faulting age to <4.90 Ma (Viola et al., 2018). In a regional perspective, those Late Miocene-Early Pliocene ages and the kinematics of the dated structures confirm the existence of a deformation phase affecting the Oligocene to Miocene Northern Apennines wedge accommodating localized and relatively short-lived out-of-sequence thrusting (e.g., Boccaletti and Sani, 1998; Bonini et al., 2014). Out-of-sequence thrusting has been suggested to possibly reflect a discrete shortening episode after an early extension phase constrained to between ca. 17 and 14 Ma in the orogenic wedge in response to initial slab roll-back (e.g., Bonini et al., 2014; Carmignani et al., 1994; Massa et al., 2017).
Figure 9: Cartoon illustrating the envisaged tectonic evolution of the northern Apennines belt for the early Miocene-early Pliocene time interval. Panel b) offers a zoom-in into panel a) at ca. 8 Ma ago. Panel c) zooms into panel b) and describes a possible scenario for the late Miocene-early Pliocene. Note that, for simplicity sake, the cartoons depict neither the effects of the intervening mid Miocene extensional phases nor those of the post Pliocene and still active extension. a) Early Miocene nappe stacking with development of east-vergent in-sequence thrusts. Deep metamorphic units experienced HP/LT blueschist facies metamorphism (0.9 – 1.5 GPa). b) Middle-late Miocene thrust reactivation and coeval development of east-vergent out-of-sequence thrusts that reshape the early Miocene nappe stack. Deep metamorphic units exhumed to greenschist facies conditions in the upper orogenic wedge are coupled with supracrustal non metamorphic or very low metamorphic grade units. c) Late Miocene-early Pliocene framework of central-eastern Elba island. The out-of-sequence Zuccale Fault cut the nappe stack and Porto Azzurro pluton. Musumeci and Vaselli (2012) and Papeschi et al. (2017) propose that the Porto Azzurro pluton emplaced within an overall compressional setting. Previous Tortonian out-of-sequence thrusts (e.g., the Capo Norsi – Monte Arco Thrust CN-MAT) were reactivated, cut across and displaced by the Zuccale Fault in the early Pliocene (< 4.9 Ma). The architecture of the northern Apennine belt on the Elba island was profoundly reshaped by the activity of multiple out-of-sequence thrusts spanning in age from late Miocene to early Pliocene.

The new K-Ar data presented here strengthen this interpretation, with ZUC1 partially duplicating the results of sample ZUC 2 by Viola et al. (2018), thus giving credit to a late slip phase along the ZF at < 4.9 Ma.
In summary, we conclude that an Aquitanian thrust was selectively reactivated and exploited by the Late Miocene-Pliocene ZF, with the dated Aquitanian BSF’s preserving reworked fragments of such early thrust. During the ZF progressive deformation and fault reactivation, they underwent further transport and transposition and became finally juxtaposed against other BSF’s, which formed the current complex “patchwork” of fault rocks and K-Ar ages.

In this context of long and articulated kinematic evolution, it is currently impossible to know the origin of all BSF’s and to constrain the amount of their finite transport within the progressively developing ZF core. Interestingly, preservation of Aquitanian dates within the finest size fractions of samples ZUC 6 and ZUC 8 excludes significant thermal overprinting of the sampled BSF’s by the HT-LP metamorphic overprint due to the late Miocene Porto Azzurro pluton, suggesting transport and final juxtaposition of also BSF’s from root areas far away from the Porto Azzurro pluton, where they would have escaped any overprinting effects of the thermal anomaly.

The considerations above, together with independent constraints on the tectonic history of the Northern Apennines, are summarized and used to propose the possible evolutionary model of Figure 9, where we illustrate the progressive tectonic evolution that we envisage for the ZF in a broader context. Crustal shortening of Oligocene-Aquitanian age led to stacking of metamorphic units that experienced HP/LT in the deep portion of orogenic wedge (Fig. 9a; Ryan et al., 2021 and references therein). A large-scale duplex of imbricated horses of metamorphic sheets might be envisaged as being capped by a roof thrust that separates it from the overlying upper portion of wedge (Fig. 9a). The latter consisted of the sedimentary and/or very low-grade metamorphic sequences staked in a nappe structure consisting of the Tuscan Nappe and the overlying Ligurian Units.

At the regional scale, an Oligocene-Aquitanian age for orogenic wedge shortening is also constrained by other lines of evidence, including (i) the K–Ar radiometric ages for the first deformation phase (D1) in the metamorphic units of the Apuane Alps, dated to ca. 27 Ma (Kligfield et al., 1986), (ii) the tectonic emplacement of Ligurian units above the Tuscan Nappe dated to the Late Oligocene-Langhian (Montanari and Rossi, 1982) and (iii) the Late Oligocene age of the Macigno Fm. sediment deposition (Dallan-Nardi, 1977). Moreover, late Oligocene ages of 25 Ma and 27-30 Ma have been reported for HP/LT assemblages on the Island of Gorgona and Monte Argentario, respectively (Brunet et al., 2000). Another 20.9 Ma Aquitanian Ar/Ar age has been recently reported for HP metamorphism and tectonic exhumation of the Acquadolce HP/LT unit in the metamorphic complex of eastern Elba Island (Ryan et al., 2021). The still quite loosely constrained age interval for HP/LT blueschist facies metamorphism recorded in several units of the Northern Apennines between 27 and 20 Ma, however, could indicate the diachronous development of subduction and exhumation of units in the deep orogenic wedge.

As shown in Figure 9a, samples ZUC 6 and ZUC 8 may be regarded as representative of tectonic slices belonging to the roof thrust between the Upper and Lower Complex in the orogenic wedge. Thus, the “old” Aquitanian ZF might correspond to a still preserved segment of the original roof thrust that contributed to the tectonic extrusion dynamics of the deeply seated units within the wedge (e.g., Ryan et al., 2021).

After a widely acknowledged episode of middle Miocene extension affecting the uppermost orogenic wedge that led to the formation of the highly tectonically excised “Serie Ridotta” of the Tuscan Nappe (Trevisan, 1950; Perrin, 1975; Decandia et al., 1993) in response to the wedge internal dynamics (i.e. gravitational re-equilibration of an overcritical wedge; e.g., Massa et al., 2017 – not reported in Fig. 9), a new shortening phase was recorded in whole Northern Apennines orogenic wedge and affected both the already exhumed metamorphic units and the Tuscan Nappe or Ligurian Units. This late Miocene-early Pliocene phase resulted from the activity of out-of-sequence thrusts ranging in age from
Tortonian to early Pliocene. On eastern Elba, this is documented by: (i) the Tortonian Rio Marina Thrust (Massa et al., 2017), (ii) the late Messinian Calanchiole and Felciaio shear zones (6.0 Ma; Musumeci and Vaselli 2012; Viola et al., 2018) and (iii) the CN-MAT (4.9 Ma; Viola et al., 2018) (Fig. 9b). The entirely brittle, early Pliocene ZF (< 4.9 Ma, sample ZUC 1 and ZUC 2; Viola et al., 2018; this work, Fig. 9c) is interpretable as the youngest out-of-sequence thrust that decapitated the stack of tectonic units along with the late Miocene (6 – 7 Ma) intrusive rocks and their contact aureole (Calamita schist; Musumeci and Vaselli 2012; Papeschi et al., 2017). As the last out-of-sequence structure that cut across the nappe stack, the brittle ZF partly sampled, reworked and transposed previous structures that now are preserved as “host blocks” in the “cataclastic matrix” of the fault, thus contributing to the complex spatial juxtaposition of the described BSF’s.

Thus, as shown in Fig. 9c, we interpret the “young” brittle ZF as a late Miocene – early Pliocene ramp-flat-ramp thrust, the western ramp of which nucleated at the base of the upper units reworking the exhumed Aquitanian roof thrust at the top of the lower units that had already been exhumed to shallow crustal levels at ca. 0.2 GPa (Fig. 9b). The flat segment of the thrust now exposed in eastern Elba cuts across the late Miocene nappe stack with a kilometric horizontal displacement. This displacement notwithstanding, it preserves the same sequence of units in both the hanging wall and footwall (Fig. 9c), as clearly seen on geological maps and in the field. Late Miocene-Pliocene out of sequence thrusting in eastern Elba is additionally supported by recent geological mapping of the nappe stack of west dipping tectonic units in easternmost Elba (Papeschi et al., 2021) wherein rocks affected by very low-grade regional metamorphism are thrusted over metamorphic units with late Miocene high-temperature metamorphism.

7 Conclusions

The combined structural and geochronological study of the Zuccale Fault has allowed us to learn lessons of general validity that can be used to define suitable approaches to reconstruct the time-constrained structural and mechanical evolution of architecturally complex fault zones. Additionally, we have gained an in-depth and refined understanding of the complex evolution through time of the Zuccale Fault, which is a major fault of the inner portion of the Northern Apennines that can be used to better constrain the Miocene-Pliocene evolution of the belt. The main highlights of this work can be summarized as follows:

(i) Brittle faults are unique archives of the stress state and physical and chemical conditions at the time of both initial deformation localization and subsequent slip(s). Progressive deformation may lead to complex fault architectures, the unravelling of which is at times challenging because, once formed, faults are extremely sensitive to variations in stress field and environmental conditions and are prone to readily slip in a variety of conditions. The detailed, multi-scalar structural analysis of faults and of fault rocks has thus to be the starting point for any study aiming at reconstructing the complex framework of brittle deformation. However, considering that present-day exposures of faults only represent the end result of often protracted and heterogeneous histories, structural and mechanical results need to be integrated over the life span of the studied fault system. K-Ar gouge dating to constrain the time-integrated evolution of faults is, therefore, the natural addition to detailed structural studies. The Brittle Structural Facies concept by Tartaglia et al. (2020) effectively combines these approaches allowing the high-resolution reconstruction of brittle deformation histories and, in turn, multiple constraints to be placed on deformation localization, deformation mechanisms, fluid flow, mineral alteration and authigenesis within actively deforming brittle fault rocks.

(ii) The BSF approach has revealed that the ZF is architecturally complex and composed of at least six different BSF’s. K-Ar gouge dating has constrained an unexpectedly long faulting activity spanning a ca. 20 Ma long time interval in the framework of the evolution of the Northern Apennines. We envisage a scenario wherein the ZF started as an Aquitanian thrust that was then selectively reactivated by early Pliocene out-of-sequence thrusts during the progressive structuring...
of the Northern Apennines wedge. The current fault architecture is a heterogeneous patchwork of BSF’s formed at very
different times under different environmental conditions in a top-to-the E kinematic framework.

(iii) The structural framework of the Northern Apennines can be elegantly accounted for by a model of continuous
convergence between the late Oligocene and the early Pliocene with a major intervening phase of extension in the middle
Miocene allowing for the isostatic re-equilibration of the wedge. Extension started again in the Pliocene and is still active
in the innermost portion of the Northern Apennines.
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