Dear Executive Editors,

RE: Submission EGUSPHERE-2022-16

Thank you for taking the time to review our manuscript. We received a number of recommendations from the Editors which we both agree will add to the paper. Thank you for your suggestions.

The comments we received were as follows (with our responses in bold):

- A photo edit is required for Figure 2. **We have updated this.**

- Include the discussion into the manuscript in a ‘Methods’ section to make the difficulties transparent. **We have added a Methods section with a discussion on our thematic analysis. The Methods/Data/Ethics sections all blend together, but they update the manuscript with some crucial information that was not available in the original submission. We think it really helps the manuscript, so thank you.**

- An ‘Ethics’ section is also needed, but I cannot imagine that they will have any difficulty in adding a short section on this (e.g. 2 to 5 sentences). **We have added the ethical discussion that was in the response to reviewers.**

- A very short ‘Data’ section, simply saying what is collected and where it is (i.e. supplementary material) would also be little effort. **We have added a Data section, linked to the method (and ethics).**

- We would also like to see the purpose of each section 2-5 defined at the end of the Introduction (1 sentence each?) – i.e. what question is in their mind for each (even as simple as Did we succeed in making it relatable? Why?). **We have added a summary of the paper to the introduction.**

Thank you again for your comments on the manuscript – we look forward to hearing your response.

Kind regards,

Phil Heron and Jamie Williams