We would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her careful review of the manuscript and for providing these comments and suggestions to which we respond in detail below.

Reviewer's comment	Reply
It is not yet entirely clear to me how different	We thank the referee for mentioning this
these areas are in terms of land cover, climate,	difficulty. Informations were added in the text
and geology although this might be very clear	(Lines 175-176) and in the title of figure 1.
for the authors who are familiar with the region.	, ,
I would find it helpful to have the two potential	
source areas delineated/indicated in Figure 1	
(eventually by adding some text to the legend)	
L21: Eastern	This typo was modified
L23: Can you check writing? "this source	This sentence was clarified (Line 23).
contribution was controlled by stationary	
rainfall" (?)	
L32: amplified the quantity (?) -> There is no	"quality" was removed from this sentence.
info on quality of sediments in the sentences	quality was removed from this sentence.
above, so not clear what is being referred to	
L33: lifespan of the reservoir (?)	We thank the referee for pointing out this typo.
	This suggestion was added to the text (Line 52).
L52: data on sediment loads from gauging stations, (?)	This suggestion was added to the text (Line 52).
L54: north of the western Peruvian Andes (3° -	Modified (Line 53).
6°S)	
L55: for the northern Andes where sediment	We thank the reviewer for pointing out this
yields of were reported for rivers	error. Columbian was replaced by Ecuadorian
Ecuadorian (?) Andes -> I think that Vanacker et	line 55.
al (2015) reports on the Ecuadorian Andes.	me ee.
L56: you can probably delete the values in	Values in mm/yr was removed.
mm/yr, and stick to the values reported in	varies in finity yr was femoved.
t/km²/yr for consistency.	
L58-59: I would remove "with agriculture as the	This part was deleted.
main user" as it somewhat evident for	This part was deleted.
"irrigation"	
L60: Please check wording: "animal and human	This sentence was modified (Line 60).
food supply"	This sentence was modified (Line 60).
L77: whereas OR meanwhile -> check wording	Meanwhile was removed from this sentence.
Ÿ	Modified (Line 83)
L83: Contrary to EENE (?) L111: you could remove "of the dry forest area"	As suggested by the referee this part was
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
as the sentence is a bit long and hard to read	removed.
L144: "replace" instead of "intrude"?	Modified (line 144).
L145: "where natural vegetation is converted to	We thank the reviewer for this suggestion
grasslands and crops for"	(modified Line 145).
L174: "based on the main geological units that	This sentence was modified (Line 175).
correspond to different land cover classes" (?)	mil a said
L206-211: long sentence -> can you split the sentence in two parts for readability?	This sentence was spited in two parts (lines 206-210).
L220-225: Can you clarify if you produced a	A land cover map was produced. Wording was
land use or land cover map, and check the	changed line 222.
wording in these lines?	Modified as suggested by the mariery (Time
L234: followed by a vector edit (?)	Modified as suggested by the reviewer (Line
	234)

L259: can you write "positive deviations"	The term "positive deviations" is not correct
instead of "fluctuations", or is this not correct?	here and was not modified in the manuscript.
L379: different state of degradation (?)	Modified (Line 379).
L385: demonstrated that	Modified (Line 385).