Technical Note: Lessons from and best practices for the deployment of the Soil Water Isotope Storage System

Rachel E. Havranek¹, Kathryn Snell¹, Sebastian Kopf¹, Brett Davidheiser-Kroll², Valerie Morris³, Bruce
 Vaughn³

5 Rachel Havranek, Kathryn Snell, Sebastian Kopf, Brett Davidheiser-Kroll, Valerie Morris, Bruce Vaughn

⁶ ¹Geological Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, 80303, USA

⁷ ²Thermo Fisher Scientific (Bremen) GmbH, Bremen, Germany

8 ³Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, 80303, USA

9 *Correspondence to*: Rachel Havranek (rachel.havranek@colorado.edu)

10 Abstract. Soil water isotope datasets are useful for understanding connections between the

11 hydrosphere, atmosphere, biosphere, and geosphere. However, they have been underproduced

12 because of technical challenges associated with collecting those datasets. Here, we present the

13 results of testing and automation of the Soil Water Isotope Storage System (SWISS). The unique

14 innovation of the SWISS is that we are able to automatically collect water vapor from the critical

- 15 zone at a regular time interval and then store that water vapor until it can be measured back in a
- 16 laboratory setting. Through a series of quality assurance and quality control tests, we tested that
- 17 the SWISS is resistant to both atmospheric intrusion and leaking in both laboratory and field
- 18 settings. We assessed the accuracy and precision of the SWISS through a series of experiments 19 where water vapor of known composition was introduced into the flasks, stored for 14 days, and
- 19 where water vapor of known composition was introduced into the flasks, stored for 14 days, and 20 then measured. From these experiments, after applying an offset correction to report our values
- relative to VSMOW/SLAP, we assess the precision of the SWISS at $\pm 0.9\%$ and $\pm 3.7\%$ for $\delta^{18}O$
- and δ^2 H, respectively. We deployed three SWISS units to three different field sites to

23 demonstrate that the SWISS stores water vapor reliably enough that we are able to differentiate

24 dynamics both between the sites as well within a single soil column. Overall, we demonstrate

25 that the SWISS retains the stable isotope composition of soil water vapor for long enough to

26 allow researchers to address a wide range of ecohydrologic questions.

27 **1 Introduction**

28 Understanding soil water dynamics across a range of environments and soil properties is 29 critical to food and water security (e.g. Mahindawansha et al., 2018; Ouade et al., 2019; Rothfuss 30 et al., 2021); understanding biogeochemical cycles, such as the nitrogen and phosphorus cycles 31 (e.g. Hinckley et al., 2014; Harms and Ludwig, 2016); and understanding connections between 32 the hydrosphere, biosphere, geosphere and atmosphere (e.g. Vereeken et al., 2022). One 33 approach that can be used to understand water use and movement in the critical zone is the stable 34 isotope geochemistry of soil water (e.g. Sprenger et al., 2016; Bowen et al., 2019). Variations in 35 the stable isotope ratios of oxygen and hydrogen of soil water ($\delta^{18}O, \delta^{2}H$) track physical processes like infiltration, root water uptake and evaporation. In particular, stable water isotopes 36 37 are useful for disentangling complex mixtures of water from multiple sources (e.g. Dawson and 38 Ehleringer, 1991; Brooks et al., 2010; Soderberg et al., 2012; Good et al., 2015; Bowen et al., 39 2018; Gomez-Navarro et al., 2019; Sprenger and Allen 2020). Despite the long-recognized 40 utility of measuring soil water isotopes for understanding a range of processes (e.g. Zimmerman

et al., 1966; Peterson & Fry., 1987), soil water isotope datasets have been under-produced as
compared to groundwater and meteoric water isotope datasets (Bowen et al., 2019).

43 The primary barrier to producing soil water isotope datasets has been the arduous nature 44 of collecting samples. Historically, there are two primary methods for collecting soil water 45 samples: either digging a pit and collecting a mass of soil to bring back to the lab for subsequent water extraction or via lysimeter. The former method disrupts the soil profile each time a sample 46 47 is collected, inhibiting the creation of long-term records of soil water isotopes. Lysimeters on the 48 other hand provide the means to collect multi-year soil water isotope datasets (e.g. Stumpp et al., 49 2012, Zhao et al., 2013; Hinkley et al., 2014; Green et al., 2015; Groh et al., 2018; Hinkley et al., 50 2014; Stumpp et al., 2012, Zhao et al., 2013), but the choice of lysimeter can affect the portion of 51 soil water (i.e. mobile vs. bound) that is sampled (Hinkley et al., 2014; Sprenger et al., 2015) and 52 the soil conditions that are sampleable (i.e. saturation state). Soil water samples collected from 53 both bulk soil samples and lysimeters often require manual intervention at the time of sampling.

54 Building off of innovations in laser-based spectroscopy for stable isotope geochemistry, 55 the ecohydrology community developed a variety of in situ soil water sampling methods over the 56 last 15 years that enable the creation of high throughput, high precision analyses of soil water 57 isotopes (e.g. Wassenaar et al., 2008; Gupta et al. 2009; Rothfuss et al., 2013; Volkmann and 58 Weiler, 2014; Gaj et al., 2015; Oerter et al., 2016; Beyer et al., 2020; Kübert et al., 2020). These 59 methods have provided insights into a range of ecohydrologic questions from evaporation and 60 water use dynamics in managed soils (e.g. Oerter et al., 2017; Quade et al., 2018) to better 61 understanding where plants and trees source their water (e.g. Beyer et al., 2020). These 62 innovations have allowed researchers to ask new questions about ecohydrologic dynamics, but current methods require field deployments of laser-based instruments. Field deployments are 63 64 technically possible and have been conducted successfully (e.g. Gaj et al., 2016; Volkmann et al., 65 2016; Oerter et al., 2017; Quade et al., 2019; Künhammer et al., 2021; Seeger and Weiler., 2021; 66 Gessler et al., 2022), but require uninterrupted AC power, adequate shelter, as well as safe and 67 stable operating environments for best results. These prerequisites are often unavailable at many 68 field sites, especially in more remote locations and for longer sampling time frames. Given these 69 logistical constraints, these studies have mostly been done near the institutions performing those 70 studies. Spatial constraints limit the questions that researchers can ask about soil hydrology in 71 remote and traditionally understudied landscapes. For example, in the geoscience community 72 there is significant interest in improving the research community's understanding of how and 73 when paleoclimate proxies (e.g. stable isotope records from pedogenic carbonate, branched 74 glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers, etc.) form in soils, because that informs our ability to 75 accurately interpret records from the geologic past. However, those projects commonly have 76 environmental constraints like soil type or local climate characteristics that may not be located 77 near institutions performing those studies. To be able to study a broader range of questions about 78 ecohydrology, there is a need for a system that is capable of autonomously collecting soil water 79 vapor for isotopic analysis in remote settings.

80 In this contribution, we report on the further development and testing of a field

81 deployable system called the Soil Water Isotope Storage System (SWISS). The SWISS was built

to be paired with ACCURELL PP V8/2HF vapor permeable probes that have been previously tested for soil water isotope applications (Rothfuss et al., 2013; Oerter et al., 2017). Our system

tested for soil water isotope applications (Rothfuss et al., 2013; Oerter et al., 2017). Our system
uses three basic components to store water vapor produced by the vapor permeable probes: glass

flasks, stainless steel tubing and a flask selector valve (Fig. 1, Supplemental Table 1).

86 Previously, we demonstrated through a series of lab experiments that the glass flasks used in the

87 SWISS units can reliably store water vapor for up to 30 days (Havranek et al., 2020). That proof-

- 88 of-concept study demonstrated that the flasks retain original water isotope values, but the
- 89 laboratory system was not field deployable and did not have customizable automation. Here, we
- 90 present a fully autonomous, field-ready system that has been tested under both laboratory
- 91 conditions and field conditions, including development and testing of a solar-powered, battery
- 92 backed automation system that enables pre-scheduled water vapor sampling without manual 93 intervention in remote field locations
- 93 intervention in remote field locations.
- To test the accuracy and precision of the SWISS, we completed quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) tests. Here, we demonstrate the viability of this system under field-

96 conditions through two field suitability experiments. In addition, we sampled three different field

97 sites to show that the automation schema works on a monthly timescale and that the system

98 preserves soil water vapor isotope signals with sufficient precision to distinguish between three

99 different field settings and vertical profile differences.

100 2 Field Sites

101 2.1 Site Set-Up

102 At each site we dug two holes; figure 1 shows the field-setup employed at all of our field 103 sites. -One hole was instrumented with soil moisture and temperature data loggers at 25 cm, 50 104 cm, 75 cm, and 100 cm depths, as well as the water vapor permeable probes at 25 cm, 50 cm and 105 75 cm depths (Fig 1A). We deployed all probes >9 months before the first samples were 106 collected to allow the soil to settle and return to natural conditions as much as possible. This 107 timeframe was longer than other studies (e.g. Kübert et al., 2020) and included infiltration of 108 spring and early summer precipitation. During probe deployment we took care to retain the 109 original soil horizon sequence and horizon depths as much as possible. In the second hole, we 110 stored the SWISS unit, dry nitrogen tank, and associated components to power the SWISS (Fig 111 1B). The water vapor probes, which connected to the SWISS units with Bev-A-Line 112 impermeable tubing, were run through a PVC pipe buried at approximately 15 cm depth. We ran 113 the impermeable tubing underground to limit the effect of diurnal temperature variability on the 114 impermeable tubing to prevent condensation as water travels from the relatively warm soil to the 115 SWISS.



- 117
- **Figure 1.** A) The sampling flow path. To sample soil water, dry nitrogen is regulated at a specific rate using a mass flow controller, and then directed to one of the three sampling depths, or the soil bypass loop using a set of solenoid valves. Both the mass flow controller and solenoid valves are housed inside the SWISS. Once directed to the correct sampling depth, dry nitrogen is carried to the vapor permeable probes via gas impermeable tubing that is buried approximately 15 cm depth. After passing through the vapor permeable probe, the entrained soil water vapor is carried back to the SWISS where it is directed to the correct flask using a Valco multiselector valve. B) Photos of a built-out SWISS and the layout of a
- field site. Each of the system components (solar panel, battery, N_2 tank, SWISS, PVC tube) are labeled, in
- addition to the location of the instrumented hole in which all of the probes are buried. The hole which
- 127 houses the SWISS, power, and N_2 tank is approximately 1.5 m wide.

128 2.2 Site descriptions

129 We deployed the SWISS at three field locations: Oglala National Grassland, Nebraska,

130 USA; Briggsdale, Colorado, USA; and Seibert, Colorado, USA. The Oglala National Grassland

131 site (Lat: 42.9600/Long:, -103.5979/<u>E</u>elev: 1117 m) is located in northwestern Nebraska, USA in

132 a cold semi-arid climate. The soil at this site is described as an Aridisol with a silt-loam texture.

133 It is part of the Olney series (Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2022). The Briggsdale site

- (Lat: 40.5947/Long: -104.3190/<u>E</u>elev: 1480 m) is located in northeastern Colorado, USA in a
 cold semi-arid climate. The soil at this site is described as an Alfisol with a loamy sand sandy
- 136 loam texture. It is part of the Olnest series (Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2022).
- 137 Long term meteorological data from the Briggsdale site is available from the co-located
- 138 CoAgMet site (CoAgMet, Colorado Climate Center). The Seibert site (Lat: 39.1187/Long: -
- 139 102.9250/Elev: 1479 m) is located in eastern Colorado, USA in a cold semi-arid climate. The
- soil at this site has been described as an Alfisol, that has a sand loam texture in the top 50 cm of
- 141 the profile, and a silt loam texture between 50 100 cm. It is part of the Stoneham series (Natural
- 142 Resources Conservation Service, 2022). Long term meteorological data from the site is available
- 143 from the co-located CoAgMet site (CoAgMet, Colorado Climate Center).

144 **3 Materials**

145 **3.1 SWISS Hardware components**

146 In each SWISS there are 15 custom made ~650 ml flasks. These flasks are designed 147 similarly to those used for other water vapor applications. For example, a similar flask is 148 currently used in an unmanned aerial vehicle to collect atmospheric water vapor samples for 149 stable isotope analysis (Rozmiarek et al., 2021). The flasks have one long inlet tube that extends 150 into the flask almost to the base, and one shorter outlet tube so that vapor exiting the flask is well 151 mixed and representative of the whole flask (Fig. 1A). The large flask volume is advantageous 152 because there is a low glass surface area to volume ratio, and therefore we are able to reliably 153 measure vapor from the flasks on a cavity ring down spectroscopy (CRDS) instrument without 154 interacting with vapor bound to the flask walls. The 15 glass flasks are connected to a 16-port, 155 multi-selector Valco valve. -We chose to use a Valco valve because these have previously been 156 shown to sufficiently seal off sample volumes for subsequent stable isotope analysis (Theis et al., 157 2004). The valve and flasks are connected by 1/8 inch stainless steel tubing and stainless steel 158 1/4 inch to 1/8 inch union Swagelok fittings; we use PTFE ferrules on the glass flasks with the 159 Swagelok fittings. The first port of the Valco valve is 1/8 inch stainless steel tubing that serves as 160 a flask bypass loop, which enables flushing of either dry air or water vapor through the system 161 without interacting with a flask. All components are contained in a 61 cm x 61 cm x 61 cm 162 Pelican case (Pelican 0370) with three layers of Pick n' Pluck foam and convoluted foam 163 (Pelican Products Inc., Torrance, Ca, USA). This case is thermally insulated and provides 164 enough protection to safely transport the SWISS by vehicle to field sites.

165

166 **3.2 Soil Probes**

There are three components for the collection and analysis of soil water vapor: vapor
permeable probes, soil temperature loggers, and soil moisture sensors (Fig 1B, Supplemental
Table 1).

Here, we use a vapor permeable membrane (Accurrell PP V8/2HF, 3M, Germany) that
was first tested for soil water isotope applications by Rothfuss et al., (2013). This method works
by flushing dry nitrogen (or dry air) through the vapor permeable membrane, creating a water

- 173 vapor concentration gradient from inside the probe to the soil, thus inducing water vapor
- 174 movement across the membrane. Water vapor is then entrained in the dry nitrogen and flushed to
- 175 either a CRDS system or into a storage container. We opted to use this tubing because it has been
- 176 shown to deliver reliable data over time (i.e. Rothfuss et al., 2015; Oerter et al., 2019; Kübert et
- 177 al., 2020; Seeger and Weiler, 2021; Gessler et al., 2021), and it is easy to use and customize to
- 178 individual needs (Beyer et al., 2020; Kübert et al., 2020). We previously observed that variability 179 in the length of the vapor permeable tubing can lead to systematic offsets in the stable isotope
- 180 composition of measured waters that arise from variability of vapor permeable tube surface area
- 181 (Havranek et al., 2020). Therefore, we were careful to construct all probes such that the length
- 182 of the Accurrell vapor permeable tubing was 10 cm long, and the impermeable Bev-A-Line IV
- 183 connected on each side of the vapor permeable tubing was 2 m long. We cut the Bev-A-Line
- 184 connections to identical lengths to control for memory effect and to treat all samples identically.
- 185 We also constructed the vapor permeable probes to be used in the lab setting for standards in an 186 identical fashion.

187 Soil temperature loggers (Onset HOBO MX2201), used for applying a temperature correction to all soil water vapor data and to provide key physical parameters of the soils for 188

- 189 other goals beyond this study, were buried at the same depths as the vapor permeable probes.
- 190 Soil moisture sensors (Onset S-SMD-M005) were also buried at the same depths as the vapor
- 191 permeable probes.
- 192

193 3.3 Automation components, code style, and remote setting power

194 The philosophy behind the automation of the SWISS was to make it as easy to reproduce 195 as possible, and as flexible as possible to meet different users' sampling needs. We therefore use 196 widely available hardware components and electronics parts; for each product there are 197 numerous alternatives which should be equally viable and could be swapped to better meet each 198 user's needs. In an effort to make our system as accessible and customizable as possible for the 199 scientific community, all automation code is completely open source and will continue to be 200 refined for future applications and hardware improvements. We note that all code is provided as-201 is and should be tested carefully for use in other experiments.

202 The overall sampling scheme used in this paper is described in figure 2 and table 1. Our 203 experimental goal was to create a time series of soil water vapor data from three discrete 204 sampling depths (25 cm, 50 cm, 75 cm). Prior to sampling any soil water vapor, we bypassed the 205 soil probes and flushed the lines within the SWISS. Then, at the start of sampling for each depth, 206 we also flushed the water vapor probe to remove condensation or 'old' water vapor. The gas 207 from both of those steps was expelled via the flask bypass loop. Each soil depth was then 208 sampled for 45 minutes by flushing through the next flask designated in the sequence.

209 Supplemental figure 1 shows the components of the automation system. To automate and 210 program the sampling scheme, we used: (1) a microcontroller to run the automation script; (2) a 211 coin-cell battery powered real time clock so that the microcontroller was always capable of

- 212 keeping track of time through power losses, and therefore maintain the sampling schedule; (3) an 213 RS-232 to TTL converter for serial communication with the Valco valve; (4) solenoid valves that
- 214 were used to control which depth was being sampled and the associated direct current (VDC)
- 215 power relay; (5) a mass flow controller used to control the rate at which dry nitrogen (1 ppm
- 216 H₂O) is flushed through the probes; and (6) a power relay used to power the Valco valve and
- 217 mass flow controller. All parts are described in detail in Supplemental Table 2.



219 220 221 222

Figure 2. Flow chart of the instrument schedule used for sampling during all field experiments.

 Table 1. Description of soil water sampling steps

Code Step	Wake- up	Flush internal lines	Flush depth 1	Sample depth 1	Flush depth 2	Sample depth 2	Flush depth 3	Sample depth 3	Flush internal lines	sleep
time (minutes)	1	15	10	45	10	45	10	45	15	1
Valco valve position	flask bypass	flask bypass	flask bypass	2, 5, 8,11, or 14	flask bypass	3, 6, 9, 12, or 15	flask bypass	4, 7, 10, 13, or 16	flask bypass	flask bypass
solenoid valve position	none	soil bypass	25 cm	25 cm	50 cm	50 cm	75 cm	75 cm	soil bypass	none

223

224 In a remote setting, the SWISS units are powered using the combination of a 12 volt 225 deep-cycle battery with a 12VDC, 100 watt solar panel that is used to charge the battery. The 226 solar panel is mounted to a piece of plywood that covers the hole where the SWISS is deployed 227 (note, the hole is uncovered in Fig. 1B for illustrative purposes). We opted for this setup because 228 the underground storage of all parts of the system creates a discreet field site that attracts 229 minimal attention from other land users, and helps reduce exposure to temperature and 230 precipitation extremes. In the field, we used a 12VDC-120VAC power inverter to provide simple 231 plug and play power for the Valco valve and mass flow controller. This simple combination was 232 suitable for summertime in the Western U.S. where there are many hours of direct sunlight, and 233 the solar panel was able to easily charge the 12V battery. This setup may need to be adjusted 234 based on location and desired sampling time. Like the automation system, there are many 235 commercial options available for products, and they can be easily adjusted for users' needs; 236 example parts are described in detail in Supplemental Table 2. We also note that in areas where it 237 is possible to plug into a power grid, the deep cycle battery, solar panel and power inverter can 238 be removed.

4. Methods

We completed all water vapor isotope analyses in the Stable Isotope Lab at the Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR SIL) at the University of Colorado Boulder between October 2020 and August 2022. We used a Picarro L-2130*i* water isotope analyzer (Picarro, Inc. Santa Clara, CA) to measure both water concentration and the oxygen and hydrogen isotope ratios of the water vapor.

245

246 4.1 QA/QC: Testing the SWISS under lab conditions

247 Our highest order concern for the SWISS is that it remains leak-free, because leaks would 248 introduce the potential for fractionation or mixing of atmosphere that would alter the stable 249 isotope ratio of the water vapor in the flask. To mitigate leaks, we developed a three-part quality 250 assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedure that must be completed for each new SWISS 251 prior to the first deployment. The first step detects any large, fast leaks using helium detection 252 methods; the second step detects medium scale leaks using dry air; and the third step detects 253 slow, small scale leaks using water vapor tests. Full procedural descriptions are available in the 254 supplemental material and the data processing code is available via GitHub.

255

256 4.1.1 Step 1: Use helium to detect large, fast leaks

After initial assembly of the SWISS units, we looked for large leaks from the cracking of inlet or outlet tubes on the glass flasks that occasionally occurred while tightening the Swagelok fittings. To do this, we filled the flasks with helium and used a helium leak detector (Leak Detector, Catalog #22655, Restek, Bellefonte, PA, USA). Another easy alternative to a helium leak test is to complete a very short dry air test (methods described below) where the hold-time is on the order of 12-24 hours.

263

272

276

264 4.1.2 Step 2: Use dry air to detect medium scale leaks

The goal of this test was to catch any second order, medium-scale leaks associated with
either Valco valve fittings or Swagelok fittings that were under tightened.

268 Step 2A: Fill flasks with dry air

To start every experiment, we filled flasks with air that is filtered through Drierite (which has a water vapor mole fraction of less than 500 ppm), at 2 L/min for 5 minutes. With a flask volume of 650 ml, this means the volume of the flask is turned over 15 times.

273 Step 2B: Hold period

Flasks were then sealed and left to sit for seven days. This time period can be adjusted by other users to fit their climate or needs.

277 Step 2C: Measure water vapor mole fraction using dead-end pull sample introduction

At the end of the seven-day period, we measured each flask using a dead-end pull sample introduction method. For this sample introduction method, the inlet to the Valco valve was sealed with a 1/4 inch Swagelok cap and there was no introduction of a carrier gas. As a result, air was removed from the flask based on the flow rate of the Picarro analyzer (typically 27 - 31 ml/min). Flasks were measured for five minutes, which resulted in ~150 ml of air being removed from the flasks. All components within the SWISS are capable of being fully evacuated. Water vapor mole fractions determined by Picarro instrumentss are not standardized, so it is impossible to know for sure the exact magnitude of water vapor mole fraction change between the input

- analysis and the final value at the end of the dry air test. However, these instruments are
- remarkably stable over weeks, and so the relative changes observed (e.g. increase or decrease of
 mole fraction relative to the initial amount) are likely reliable, particularly for the larger
 magnitude changes.

290 If a flask had a water vapor mole fraction of less than 500 ppm, it "passed" step 2 of

291 QA/QC. If a flask had a water vapor mole fraction greater than 500 ppm, it "failed" step 2 of

292 QA/QC, and we tightened both the Swagelok connections on the flasks as well as the fittings

- between the stainless steel tubing and the Valco valve. We repeated dry air tests on any given
 SWISS unit until the majority (typically at least 13/15) of the flasks had passed step 2 of QA/QC.
- 294 295

296 4.1.3 Step 3: Water vapor tests detect small scale leaks

297 The purpose of this experiment was to mimic storage of water vapor at concentrations 298 similar to what we might expect in a soil, and for durations similar to those of our field 299 experiments. These experiments were meant to test whether flasks filled early in the sampling 300 sequence during field deployments leak by the time samples are returned to the lab for 301 measurement. For this experiment, we filled flasks with water vapor of known isotopic 302 composition and water vapor mole fraction, sealed the flasks for 14 days, and then measured the 303 water vapor mole fraction and isotope values of each flask. We performed 11 water vapor tests 304 that were done across three analytical sessions using six different SWISS units. Across these 305 three sessions, we measured 164 flasks both at the start of the 14-day experiment, and at the end. 306

307 Step 3A: Flush flasks with dry air

Prior to putting any water vapor into the flasks (either in the field or in the lab), we completed a dry air fill (as described in QA/QC step 2A) that served to purge the flasks of any prior water vapor that might exchange with the new sample.

311

312 Step 3B: Fill flasks with water vapor and measure input isotope values

To supply water vapor to the flasks, we used the vapor permeable probes that were constructed identically to those deployed in the field. We immersed the probes up to the connection between the vapor permeable and impermeable tubing in water, taking care to not submerge the connection point and inadvertently allow liquid water to enter the inside of the vapor permeable tubing. -We flushed the flasks at a rate of 150 ml/min for 30 minutes, and measured the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values and mole fraction of water vapor as each flask was filled. To fill 15 flasks sequentially, the probes were submerged in water for approximately 7.5 hours.

Across three different sessions, we used three different waters that are tertiary standards in the INSTAAR SIL to complete these experiments: a light water made from melting and filtering Rocky Mountain snow (~ -25.5‰ and -187.5‰ VSMOW, for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, respectively), an intermediate water that is deionized (DI) water from the University of Colorado

- Boulder Campus (~ -16.2‰ and -120.7‰ VSMOW for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, respectively) and a heavy water that is filtered water sourced from Florida, USA (~ -0.8‰ and -2.8‰ VSMOW for δ^{18} O
- and δ^2 H, respectively). All tertiary lab standards are characterized relative to international
- 327 primary standards obtained from the International Atomic Energy Agency and are reported
- relative to the V-SMOW/SLAP standard isotope scale. To calculate the input value, we averaged
- 329 δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values over the last three minutes of the filling period. We then stored the water

330 vapor in the flasks for 14 days. At the end of the 14-day storage period, we measured each flask 331 to evaluate if the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values had significantly changed over the storage period.

332

333 Step 3C: Measure the water vapor isotope values

To mitigate memory effects between flasks, we ran dry air via the flask bypass loop (port one of every SWISS unit) for five minutes between each flask measurement. To verify that the impermeable tubing between the SWISS and the Picarro <u>instrument</u> was sufficiently dried, we waited until the water vapor mixing ratio being measured by the Picarro <u>instrument</u> was below 500 ppm for >30 seconds.

During this five-minute window, we used a heat gun to manually warm each flask. We believe heating the flasks creates a more stable measurement by limiting water vapor bound to the glass walls of the flask and by helping to homogenize the water vapor within the flask. While we did not strictly control or regulate the temperature of the flasks, they were all warm to the touch.

344 Once we warmed the flask and dried the impermeable tubing, water vapor was introduced 345 to the CRDS using one of two methods: 1) the dead-end pull sample introduction method 346 described above, or 2) a dry air carrier gas sample introduction method. During the dry air 347 carrier gas sample introduction method, dry air is continuously flowing through the flask at a rate 348 of 27-31 ml/min for the entire 12-minute measurement period. To reach a water vapor mole 349 fraction of approximately 25,000 ppm (the optimal humidity range for the Picarro L2130-*i*), we 350 diluted the water vapor with dry air at a rate of 10 ml/min. Without dilution, the concentration 351 out of the flasks is as high as 35,000 - 40,000 ppm, which leads to linearity effects on a Picarro 352 L2130-*i* that can be challenging to correct for. The dead-end pull method is preferable when the 353 water vapor mole fraction inside the flask is low (<17,000 ppm), because there is no additional 354 introduction of dry air. The introduction of dry air decreases the water vapor mole fraction 355 throughout the measurement, and in fairly dry flasks, using the dry air carrier gas method can lower the water vapor mole fraction to below 10,000 ppm. Below 10,000 ppm, there are large 356 357 linearity isotope effects associated with the measurement on a Picarro L2130-*i*, and the isotope 358 values are challenging to correct into a known reference frame, just as with high water vapor 359 mole fractions. The major downside of the dead-end pull method is that condensation is more 360 likely to form in the stainless--steel tubing that connects the flasks to the Valco valve, as well as 361 the Valco valve itself, compared to the dry air carrier gas method. The dry air carrier gas method 362 prevents condensation from forming in the Valco valve and tubing, and prevents fractionation 363 that may occur because of changing pressure within the flask. It is possible that during a dead-364 end pull on the flask, heavier isotopes may remain attached to the walls of the flask, coming off 365 later as the pressure drops. For these reasons, the dry air carrier gas sample introduction method 366 is our preferred method for sample introduction in most cases.

For each flask, we looked at the stability of the isotope values as well as either a stable water vapor mole fraction if the dead end pull method was being used or a steady, linear decrease in water vapor mole fraction if the dry air carrier gas method was being used. For approximately 90% of the flasks we found that after excluding the first three minutes of measurement of each flask, the subsequent three minutes were the most stable. For the remaining ~10% of the flasks, using a time window that started either ~30 seconds earlier or ~30 seconds later to create an

average isotope value offered a more stable isotope signal with smaller instrumental

374 uncertainties. Any flask that required specialized treatment during the data reduction process was

375 flagged during measurement.

- 376
- 377 Step 3D: Data correction

378 During these experiments, we monitored instrument performance (e.g. drift) in two ways. 379 First, to run standards identically to how samples were collected, we introduced tertiary 380 standards, described above, using vapor probes. The water vapor produced by the vapor 381 permeable probes was flushed through the SWISS unit via the flask bypass loop and diluted with 382 a 10 ml/min dry air flow to reach a water vapor mole fraction of approximately 25,000 ppm 383 before entering the Picarro<u>instrument</u>. Second, we introduced a suite of four secondary 384 standards that have been calibrated against primary standards, and reported against 385 VSMOW/SLAP via a flash evaporator system described in detail by Rozmiarek and others 386 (2021). This flash evaporator system can be used to adjust the water vapor mole fraction to 387 create linearity corrections at high and low water vapor mole fractions. After correcting data into 388 a common reference frame, we calculated the difference between the input isotope values and the 389 ending isotope values.

The results of these tests were used to carefully document flasks that do not perform well,
 and any idiosyncrasies of SWISS units. That way, during field deployment suspicious those
 flasks could be easily identified and investigated.

393394 4.2 Field suitability experiments:

395 4.2.1 Field suitability experiment #1: Long term field dry air test

396 As a complement to the QA/QC we did under lab conditions, we also completed long 397 term dry air tests at our field sites. We had three goals associated with these experiments. The 398 first was to test whether, even under field conditions, where daily temperature and relative 399 humidity fluctuations are different than in a lab setting, the flasks were still resistant to 400 atmospheric intrusion. Second, we used these tests to evaluate whether the flasks that were 401 flushed with soil water vapor near the end of a sampling sequence took on atmosphere prior to 402 sampling. Lastly, we chose these time intervals because they bracket the typical length of a 403 deployment, which helped us determine how quickly flasks should be measured after bringing a 404 SWISS back to the lab.

405 Like all field deployments, we started with a dry air fill, and then one SWISS unit was 406 deployed to each of our three field sites. No soil water was collected during these deployments. 407 The duration between filling the flasks with dry air to measuring the flasks was between 34 - 52 408 days. The 34 and 52 day tests were done during June 2022 and August 2021, respectively, and 409 therefore tests the SWISS under warm summertime conditions. The 43 day test was done in 410 October 2021, which included nights where air temperatures fell below 0°C. The only barrier 411 between air and the SWISS in its deployment hole was a plywood board, and so this deployment 412 tested the suitability of the SWISS to maintain integrity under freezing conditions.

413

414 4.2.2. Field suitability experiment #2: Mock field tests

To test whether the automation code and sampling scheme we developed worked as expected on short, observable timescales, we set up an experiment to simulate field deployment of one SWISS unit (Meringue) near the University of Colorado Boulder. This test applied the automation components and remote power setup described in the materials section. During this field-simulation experiment, our goal was to collect three discrete samples each sampling period, to simulate the collection of water vapor from three soil depths. An important goal of this test 421 was to test whether the sampling scheme introduced any memory effects between samples. We

followed the sampling protocol described in figure 2 and table 1.

423 The day before the experiment began, all flasks were flushed with dry air as described in section 424 4.1.2. Over the course of 25 hours, all 15 flasks were filled with three different vapors according 425 to a set schedule as would be done in the field. Two of the vapors were created by immersing the 426 water vapor permeable probes in the light water and intermediate water as described in section 427 4.1.3. The third was water vapor from the ambient atmosphere. All three vapors were sampled 428 using vapor permeable probes constructed identically to those deployed in the field. For this 429 experiment, we filled three flasks per cycle with each one of the waters (e.g. Flask 2 = light, 430 Flask 3 = intermediate, Flask 4 = atmosphere). The choice to sample atmosphere alongside two 431 waters reflects our second goal of this test, which was to demonstrate that sampled water vapor 432 isotope values do not drift towards atmospheric values (Magh et al., 2022). 433 Following the sampling schedule, we stored the SWISS unit in a simulated field setting

for seven days. At the end of the seven days, we measured the flasks. For flasks that had a high
water vapor mole fraction (i.e. light and intermediate water vapor samples) we used the dry air
carrier gas sample introduction method. For flasks that had a low water vapor mole fraction (i.e.
atmosphere, ~15,000 ppm) we used the dead end pull sample introduction method.

To create average values for each flask, we followed the same averaging protocol
described in section 4.1.3. We used equations 2A and 2B from Rothfuss et al., (2013) to convert
from water vapor to liquid values. Then, using secondary and tertiary standards, data were
corrected into the VSMOW/SLAP isotope scale. Finally, the SWISS unit offset correction
(detailed below in section 6.1.2) was applied.

443

444 4.3 Example Field Deployment: One month period

445 We deployed one SWISS unit each to the three field sites described in summer 2022. 446 Before deployment, all SWISS units were flushed with dry air following the protocol outlined in 447 section 4.1.2. Flasks were flushed with dry air one to three days prior to field deployment. At 448 each site, we sampled at three depths (25 cm, 50 cm, and 75 cm) on each sampling day, following 449 the protocol described in figure 2 and table 1. We sampled soil water from all three depths every 450 five days (protocol length = 25 days total). At Oglala National Grassland, samples were taken 451 every five days from 2022-06-25 to 2022-07-14. At the Briggsdale, CO site samples were taken 452 every five days between 2022-07-17 and 2022-08-06. At the Seibert, CO site, samples were 453 collected every five days between 2022-06-19 and 2022-07-04. At the end of a 28-day period, 454 the SWISS units were returned to the lab, and measured. SWISS units were measured within five 455 days of returning from the field. The maximum number of days a flask held sample water vapor 456 during these deployments was 32 days. The measurement protocol and data averaging protocol 457 follows the procedures described in section 4.1.3. The data correction scheme follows as in the 458 section 4.2.2.

459 **5 Results**

460 5.1 QA/QC Results

461 5.1.1 Dry air test

Figure 3 shows the results of a seven-day dry air test for three SWISS units (marked by the unit name) (SI Table 3). For all three SWISS units, at least 13/15 of the flasks maintained a water vapor mole fraction value of less than 500 ppm over the seven-day period. In two of the three SWISS units (Lindt and Raclette), the water vapor mole fraction for flasks was randomly

distributed around approximately 350 ppm. In Toblerone there was a systematic decrease in
 water vapor mole fraction from flask two through flask 16, matching the order in which the

467 water vapor mole fraction from flask two through flask To, flask two had the highest water 468 flasks were filled with dry air initially. In all three SWISS units, flask two had the highest water

469 vapor mole fraction of all the flasks. Supplemental figure 2 shows the results of successive dry

470 air tests on the SWISS unit Toblerone where Swagelok fittings were tightened between tests.

- 471 Between the two tests, t^There was a significant decrease in measured water vapor mole fraction
- for many flasks, but particularly for flasks 10 and 11 as a result of tightening the fittings.



Short Dry Air Test (7 Days)

Figure 3. Results of a dry air test from three different SWISS units named: Lindt, Raclette and Toblerone. The majority of the flasks maintain a water vapor mixing ratio of less than 500 ppm.

476477 5.1.2. Water vapor test

473 474

475

Figure 4 shows the δ^{18} O results of 11 water vapor tests performed using six different 478 479 SWISS units. Ideally, we expect a normal distribution centered about 0 within the uncertainty limits of the water vapor probes (Oerter et al., 2016). For δ^{18} O, the mean difference between the 480 481 start and end values for the flasks is 1.1% with a standard deviation of 0.72% (outliers 482 removed). There is a consistent positive offset, with a few clear outliers (Fig. 4A). We do not 483 observe a consistent difference between water vapor sample introduction methods (Supplemental 484 Fig. 3). After removing outliers (< Q1 - 1.5*IQR or > Q3 + 1.5*IQR, n = 15) from the dataset, 485 we compared the kernel density estimate shape to a normal distribution calculated from the mean 486 and standard deviation of the dataset to assess dataset normality (Fig. 4B). A normal distribution 487 slightly overestimates the center of the data, but captures the overall shape fairly well. Therefore, 488 we used the median offset (1.0%) to correct our water vapor isotope values, and used the 489 interquartile range of the dataset (outliers removed) to estimate uncertainty of the SWISS as \pm 490 0.9%. In figure 5C, for simplicity, we just present the results from 45 flasks (three SWISS 491 units), with the 1.0% offset correction applied. After correction, data are randomly distributed 492 about 0, and are within the uncertainty range of $\pm 0.9\%$ (Supp. Table 4). 493 Figure 5 shows the δ^2 H results of 11 water vapor tests. For δ^2 H, the mean difference

between the start and end values is 2.63‰ with a standard deviation of 2.85‰ (outliers

- removed). Similar to δ^{18} O, we expected a normal distribution of differences centered around 0. 495
- 496 As with δ^{18} O, there was a consistent positive offset with some outliers (i.e., < Q1 - 1.5*IQR or >
- 497 O3 + 1.5*IOR) (Fig. 5A). After removing outliers (n = 26) from the dataset, we compared the
- 498 kernel density estimate to a normal distribution calculated from the mean and standard deviation 499
- of the dataset to assess dataset normality (Fig. 5B). As with δ^{18} O, the center of the dataset is
- 500 overestimated by the mean, but the overall peak shape is roughly captured. We therefore use the 501 median value of 2.3‰ as an offset correction and estimate uncertainty at $\pm 3.7\%$ for δ^2 H from the
- 502 interquartile range. In figure 5C, we present the results from 45 flasks (three SWISS units), with
- 503 the 2.3‰ offset correction applied. Data are randomly distributed about 0 and are within the
- 504 uncertainty range of $\pm 3.7\%$ (Supplemental Table 4).
- When we compared the results in figures 4C and 5C, we found that flasks that performed 505 adequately for δ^{18} O did not always perform adequately for δ^{2} H. The results from the SWISS unit 506
- 507 Lindt display this behavior particularly well. Less commonly, some flasks that were within
- 508 uncertainty of the system for- $\delta^2 H$ were not within uncertainty of the system for $\delta^{18}O$, like flask
- 509 eight⁸ in the SWISS unit Toblerone (Figs. 4C, 5C). In a dual isotope plot, there is a strong
- 510 positive correlation between δ^2 H and δ^{18} O with a slope of 3.14 and an R² value of 0.62
- 511 (Supplemental Fig. 4).
- 512



Figure 4. δ^{18} O -results of the water vapor tests. A) Boxplot of the difference between the starting δ^{18} O value and the final δ^{18} O value of all 164 flasks. B) After removing the outliers from the dataset, the kernel density estimate (black line) and the normal distribution calculated from the dataset (dashed green) are shown. C) After applying the offset correction of 1.0‰, the difference between the starting δ^{18} O value and the final δ^{18} O value for three boxes from the August 2022 session are shown. An uncertainty of ± 0.9‰ is marked with a dashed line, and data points that fall outside that uncertainty are colored red.



Figure 5. $\delta^2 H$ results of the water vapor tests A) Boxplot of the difference between the starting $\delta^2 H$ value and the final $\delta^2 H$ value of all 164 flasks. B) After removing the outliers from the dataset, the kernel density estimate (black line) and the normal distribution calculated from the dataset (dashed green) are shown. C) The difference between the starting $\delta^2 H$ value and the final $\delta^2 H$ value for three boxes from the August 2022 session are shown after applying the offset correction of 2.3‰. An uncertainty of $\pm 3.7\%$ is marked with a dashed line, and data points that fall outside that uncertainty are colored red.

535 **5.2 Field suitability test results**

536 5.2.1 Dry air test

Figure 6A shows the result of placing three different SWISS units that were flushed with dry air out into the field for 34 - 52 days (SI Table 3). This timescale (<u>four - six 4-6-weeks</u>) is similar to most field deployments. At the timescale of 34 - 43 days, 13 of the 15 flasks typically maintained a water vapor mole fraction of less than 1000 ppm. Over the 52 days, seven flasks maintained a water vapor mole fraction less than 1000 ppm and the remaining <u>eight8</u> had a water vapor mole fraction between 1000 - 2500 ppm.

543

544 **5.2.2** Automation test

Figure 6B shows the results of using the automation code to collect and store water vapor of known composition for seven days (Table 2). In both plots, the known values of the water are shown as a long-dash line. Uncertainty on those measurements is estimated at $\pm 0.5\%$ and $\pm 2.4\%$ for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, respectively (Oerter et al., 2016), shown as the dotted lines. We estimated the isotope value of the atmosphere at the time of sampling with water vapor mole fraction, δ^{18} O, and δ^{2} H data from the CRDS in the lab. The isotope value, that was corrected as described in section 4.2.2, of each flask is shown, with uncertainty associated with the SWISS units estimated at $\pm 0.0\%$ and δ^{2} H respectively.

552 at $\pm 0.9\%$ and $\pm 3.7\%$ for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, respectively.

553 Seven of the nine flasks filled with flash-evaporated water vapor overlap within 554 superstaints of the language S^{18} curves for these standards (tag relat. Fig. (P)) and four of the fi

uncertainty of the known δ^{18} O value for those standards (top plot, Fig. 6B), and four of the five flasks filled with atmospheric vapor overlap within uncertainty of our estimated δ^{18} O value.

Flasks that fall outside of the bounds of uncertainty have lower δ^{18} O values than the expected

value. For δ^2 H, (bottom plot, Fig. 6B) only three of the nine flasks filled with flash-evaporated

558 water vapor overlap within uncertainty of the known value of those standards, while four of the

five flasks filled with atmospheric vapor overlap within uncertainty of the estimated δ^2 H value.

560 Flasks that fall outside of the bounds of uncertainty have higher δ^2 H values than the expected

561 value.

Table 2. Results of the Automation test

SWISS	Flask	water	δ ¹⁸ O (‰)	δ²Η (‰)
Meringue	2	DI	-14.4	-122.2
Meringue	3	Atmosphere	-10.1	-105.6
Meringue	4	Light	-24.6	-193.7
Meringue	5	DI	-15.0	-130.8
Meringue	6	Atmosphere	-9.4	-103.4
Meringue	7	Light	-25.1	-201.5
Meringue	8	DI	-17.3	-140.5
Meringue	9	Atmosphere	-9.1	-98.4
Meringue	10	Light	-23.7	-200.7
Meringue	11	DI	-14.1	-122.5
Meringue	12	Atmosphere	-8.7	-94.5
Meringue	13	Light	-22.7	-181.2
Meringue	14	DI	-15.2	-120.5
Meringue	15	Atmosphere	-9.2	-101.1
Meringue	16	Light	-23.3	-192.9



565 566 Figure 6. A) Results from three different field-based long dry air tests. B) Results from the automation 567 field suitability tests using the SWISS unit named Meringue. Flasks that sampled atmosphere are shown 568 in blue, flasks that sampled deionized water (DI) are shown in pink, and flasks that sampled the light water are shown in yellow. The top plot shows the δ^{18} O results, and the bottom plot shows the δ^{2} H results. 569 570

571 5.3 Example Field deployment results

Figure 7 shows the results from three field deployments in Oglala National Grassland, 572 573 Nebraska; Briggsdale, Colorado; and Seibert, Colorado (<u>T</u>table 3).



- Figure 7. Results from all three field deployments to A) Oglala National Grassland, NE, B) Briggsdale,
- 576 CO and C) Seibert, CO. Note, the y-axis scale for all three plots is different.

577 There are 15 samples from Oglala National Grassland (Fig. 7A, Table 3); five from 25 578 cm depth, five from 50 cm depth and five from 75 cm depth. Four of the five samples from 25 cm overlap within uncertainty in δ^{18} O value, and all five samples overlap with uncertainty in δ^{2} H 579 580 value. There is a significant decrease in the δ^{18} O value at 25 cm between 2022-06-25 and 2022-06-29. There is no similar shift in δ^2 H value over the same time period. The first three samples 581 from 50 cm overlap in both δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values, then the final two samples shift to higher 582 isotope values. Similar to the samples from 50 cm, there is a trend towards higher δ^2 H values for 583 584 the last three samples. All five samples from 75 cm overlap in δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values. On a dual isotope plot, data from 50 cm and 75 cm cluster together at lower values, while the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H 585 values from 25 cm are higher (Figs. 7A, 8A). All of the data overlap within uncertainty with the 586 587 global meteoric water line, except for the 25 cm depth sample from 2022-06-25 (Fig. 8A). The 588 calculated dD-excess values are all within uncertainty of 10% ($\pm 2.6\%$) and of each other 589 between 2022-06-29 and 2022-07-14 (Fig 8B), except for the 25 cm depth sample from 2022-06-590 25, which has a dD-excess value of -6.6‰, typically consistent with evaporative enrichment of 591 soil water at that depth and time.

592



593 594

Figure 8. Results from the Oglala National Grassland, NE field site. A) δ^2 H vs. δ^{18} O, where the dashed 595 line is the global meteoric water line. The shapes for the different depths sampled matches figure 7, and 596 the color of the points is the date on which the soil water was sampled B) A plot of d-excess. Note, both

597 the color and shape match figure 7.

- 598 There are 10 samples from Briggsdale, CO (Fig. 7B, Table 3); five samples each from 599 vapor probes buried at 50 cm and 75 cm depth. Data from 25 cm at Briggsdale, CO were 600 excluded because the water vapor mole fractions from all of the flasks were extremely low 601 (<13,000 ppm). We excluded these data because these samples are associated with a very dry 602 soil (VWC < 0.05), and it is not clear how much sampling gas (N₂) is injected into the soil using 603 the vapor permeable tubing under very dry conditions (Quade et al., 2019), and therefore how 604 representative these isotope data are of soil water. Moreover, below 13,000 ppm there are large 605 linearity effects on a Picarro L2130-*i*, and it is challenging to correct those data if they were measured using the dry-air carrier sample introduction method. While all samples overlap within 606 607 uncertainty for both δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values, the absolute values of samples from 50 cm are consistently offset to higher values for both δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H as compared to samples from 75 cm. 608 609 There are 12 samples from Seibert, CO (Fig. 7C, Table 3); four from each sampling depth (25, 50 and 75 cm). At 25 cm depth, δ^{18} O values of three of the four samples overlap within 610 uncertainty, while the 25 cm sample from 2022-06-29 has a higher δ^{18} O value than the other 611 three samples. At 25 cm depth, δ^2 H values overlap within uncertainty for all four samples. At 50 612
- 613 cm depth, there is a steady decrease in δ^{18} O value over the sampling period, while δ^{2} H values for
- 614 all four samples remain steady and overlap within uncertainty. At 75 cm depth, samples have a
- 615 very large range of δ^{18} O values between -8.5‰ and 7.4‰, and δ^{2} H values range between -
- 55.7‰ and 15.1‰. Almost all of the samples from 75 cm depth were associated with
- 617 condensation in the sample introduction lines during measurement.

Site	Date	Sample Depth (cm)	Flask	T (°C)	δ ¹⁸ Ο (‰)	δ ¹⁸ O (‰) Analytical Error	δ²Η (‰)	δ²H (‰) Analytical Error
Briggsdale	2022-07-17	50	3	25.1	-10.8	0.2	-65.6	0.6
Briggsdale	2022-07-17	75	4	23	-12.1	0.2	-69	0.7
Briggsdale	2022-07-22	50	6	25.9	-10.7	0.3	-67.1	0.7
Briggsdale	2022-07-22	75	7	23.6	-11.9	0.2	-69	0.6
Briggsdale	2022-07-27	50	9	24.3	-10.4	0.3	-65.6	0.6
Briggsdale	2022-07-27	75	10	23	-11.5	0.2	-67.6	0.7
Briggsdale	2022-08-01	50	12	23.4	-10.7	0.2	-67	0.7
Briggsdale	2022-08-01	75	13	22.4	-12.0	0.2	-69.1	0.7
Briggsdale	2022-08-06	50	15	24	-10.5	0.2	-65	0.6
Briggsdale	2022-08-06	75	16	22.9	-12.1	0.2	-68.8	0.7
Seibert	2022-06-19	25	2	24.2	-8.3	0.2	-59.8	0.6
Seibert	2022-06-19	50	3	22	-7.8	0.2	-57.8	0.6
Seibert	2022-06-19	75	4	19.4	7.4	0.2	-7.6	0.7
Seibert	2022-06-24	25	5	24	-8.7	0.2	-58.7	0.7
Seibert	2022-06-24	50	6	22.2	-7.9	0.2	-56.7	0.7
Seibert	2022-06-24	75	7	20.5	4.9	0.2	15.1	0.6
Seibert	2022-06-29	25	8	23.2	-7.4	0.2	-56.9	0.6
Seibert	2022-06-29	50	9	21.8	-9.1	0.2	-56.7	0.7
Seibert	2022-06-29	75	10	21	-5.6	0.2	-42.1	0.6
Seibert	2022-07-04	25	11	25	-8.7	0.2	-60.6	0.7
Seibert	2022-07-04	50	12	23.3	-9.9	0.2	-58.8	0.6
Seibert	2022-07-04	75	13	21.5	-8.5	0.2	-55.7	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-06-25	25	2	23.0	-11.8	0.2	-101	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-06-25	50	3	22.8	-16.7	0.2	-119.3	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-06-25	75	4	21.5	-15.3	0.2	-115.5	0.8
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-06-29	25	5	25.0	-14	0.2	-106.2	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-06-29	50	6	22.8	-16.7	0.2	-120.6	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-06-29	75	7	21.3	-15.8	0.2	-115.2	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-04	25	8	25.0	-14	0.2	-102.2	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-04	50	9	23.0	-16.8	0.2	-118.3	0.6
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-04	75	10	22.0	-15.5	0.2	-114.7	0.6
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-09	25	11	23.0	-14.1	0.2	-102.6	0.6
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-09	50	12	22.8	-15.7	0.2	-116.4	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-09	75	13	22.0	-15.7	0.2	-113.2	0.6
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-14	25	14	23.0	-13.1	0.2	-99	0.6
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-14	50	15	22.8	-14.9	0.3	-112.8	0.7
Oglala Ntl. Grassland	2022-07-14	75	16	22.0	-15.3	0.2	-111.2	0.7

Table 3. Results from the three field deployments of SWISS.

621 **6. Discussion**

622 6.1 QA/QC and field suitability tests

623 6.1.1 Dry Air tests

624 In Colorado, where these tests were completed, the ambient atmosphere during the 625 summertime typically sits at a water vapor mole fraction between 10,000 - 20,000 ppm, and in 626 winter the water vapor mole fraction can drop as low as 4000 ppm. If the flasks had been slowly 627 equilibrating with the atmosphere, the flasks would have drifted to much higher water vapor 628 molar fractions. If the flasks did not drift towards higher water vapor mole fractions, we felt 629 confident that the flasks weare resistant to atmospheric intrusion after they have been flushed 630 with dry air. We chose a timescale of seven days for the dry air tests because we found that in a 631 low-humidity environment, seven days was enough time to meaningfully observe leaks, while 632 being short enough to work through the QA/QC process efficiently. For example, results of two 633 sequential dry air tests on the SWISS unit Toblerone (supplemental Fig. 2), show that it is 634 possible to drastically reduce leaks that allow ambient water vapor in the air from intruding into 635 the flasks -by tightening and/or replacing problematic fittings (both those attached to the glass 636 flasks and those on the Valco valve) and in some rare cases the glass flask itself. During the final 637 seven-day dry air tests, most flasks maintained a water vapor mole fraction less than 400 ppm, 638 and all flasks maintained a water vapor mole fraction of less than 700 ppm (Fig. 3).

639 Across all of the SWISS units, there is a bias towards a higher water vapor mole fraction 640 for the first flask that is measured (port one on every valve is the flask bypass loop, so the first flask is flask two), which suggests a methodological source of higher water vapor concentration 641 642 rather than Swagelok fitting tightness problems. There are two potential sources for this issue. 643 First, it is possible that not all of the atmospheric water vapor was flushed from the line that 644 connects to the CRDS prior to the start of the measurements, but by the time the second flask is 645 measured, the lines between the SWISS and CRDS have been sufficiently flushed, creating bias 646 in the first flask measured. -This hypothesis could be tested by flushing all of the gas lines with 647 dry air to progressively lower water vapor mixing ratios prior to measuring any flasks, to see 648 what minimum ratio is required to eliminate this bias. Lab protocols can could then be adjusted 649 to flush all gas lines to this level. Similarly, it is possible that during the filling phase, not all of 650 the atmospheric vapor has been flushed out of the Drierite system before starting the fill process. 651 This hypothesis is supported by the systematic decrease in water vapor mole fraction across 652 flasks in the Toblerone unit (Fig. 3, right panel). As a result of these biases, we now flush the 653 Drierite for at minimum 30 minutes prior to the start of the experiment.

654 In addition to testing the leakiness, the dry air test also provided a useful baseline from 655 which to test building materials. For example, in supplemental figure 5, we show the results of 656 sequential seven day and 27-day dry air tests where we replaced stainless steel tubing and fittings 657 with PTFE Swagelok fittings with 1/8 inch PTFE tubing. We thought that PTFE fittings would be advantageous because they are much easier to install and are significantly lighter, and would 658 659 therefore be helpful when there are weight constraints. However, based on the very limited 660 testing we did, PTFE fittings and tubing may be sufficient to store water for up to a single week, 661 but on longer timescales (e.g. 27 days) we observed greater exchange and leaking than with the 662 stainless steel fittings. We encourage any future user using this modification to rigorously test 663 these fittings on a timescale appropriate for their application.

665 6.1.2 Water vapor tests

666 Our initial goal with the water vapor tests was to test whether the measured water vapor 667 isotope values at the end of the two-week holding period were normally distributed about 0 668 within the uncertainty limits of the water vapor probes (Oerter et al., 2016). This was a 669 reasonable goal given the similarities in probe set-up and the plumbing design between the 670 SWISS and the IsoWagon system (Oerter et al., 2016). But, the most salient result of the water 671 vapor tests is that there is a consistent positive offset between the input isotope values and the 672 isotope values measured at the end of the two-week experiments (Figs 4B, 5B). The positive 673 offset in both δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values is consistent across 11 different tests, using six different 674 SWISS and three different input water isotope values. If there was alteration of original values due to leaky flasks, we might expect the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values to converge on the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H 675 value of the atmosphere. For example, we might expect water vapor from the light water test to 676 677 have the most significant change in isotope value, towards that of the ambient atmosphere. 678 Instead, the consistency across >135 flasks, different starting water vapor isotope values, sample 679 introduction methods, and multiple analytical sessions suggests that this difference is a function 680 of the storage and measurement process. In particular, the normality of the distribution suggests 681 whatever the origin of the offset is, there is a systematic bias that we can reliably correct for.

682

683 6.1.2.1 Offset correction

684 To correct our data for this offset, we chose to use the median value as an offset 685 correction rather than the mean of the normal distribution, because the median is not biased by 686 major outlier isotope values that reflect abnormal values that go beyond analytical noise, such as 687 a slow but major leak that changes the values far beyond the basic offset seen in the dataset. The 688 calculated average offset is 1.0% and 2.6% for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, respectively. After applying these 689 values as an offset correction to the data, most flasks also fall within the uncertainty of the water 690 vapor permeable probes ($\delta^{18}O = \pm 0.5\%$ and $\delta^{2}H = \pm 2.4\%$, Oerter et al., 2016), and the values 691 are distributed about 0 (Figs. 4C, 5C). However, the uncertainty of the SWISS system is higher 692 than that of the probes alone. Based on the results of the water vapor tests, we estimate the 693 uncertainty of the SWISS at $\pm 0.9\%$ and $\pm 3.7\%$ for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, respectively using the 694 interguartile range (IOR) of the water vapor test results after removing outliers from the dataset. 695 We prefer the IQR over the calculated standard deviation of the normal distribution, because 696 IQR is not biased by outlier values. This level of uncertainty is large relative to other methods, 697 but is sufficient for many critical zone applications, given the magnitude of seasonal variability 698 in the top ~ 50 cm of a soil profile that can be observed in natural systems (e.g. Oerter et al., 699 2017; Quade et al., 2019). We also expect that uncertainties will decrease with future lab-based 700 or near research facility testing and by comparing the SWISS against other soil water extraction 701 methods.

The relationship between δ^2 H values and δ^{18} O values in a dual-isotope plot provides insight into the mechanism driving the offset. Without an offset correction applied, the slope of the relationship between δ^2 H and δ^{18} O is 3.14 (R² = 0.62) (Supplemental Fig. 4). This slope is only slightly higher than evaporation under pure diffusion (Gonfiantini et al., 2018). This suggests that the offset is likely driven by diffusion and will likely vary according to climate of the lab. For example, in a dry climate like Colorado, the water vapor concentration in the flask is

significantly higher than the atmosphere, creating a larger diffusive gradient potential than for a

109 lab in a more humid climate. We therefore strongly encourage future users to test their SWISS

710 under climate conditions similar for their applications. Further, we encourage users who might

use the SWISS as part of a tracer study that uses labeled heavy water to test the SWISS with

712 labeled waters prior to their field experiments to verify reliability.

713

714 *6.1.2.2 Comparing sample introduction methods*

715 Supplemental figure 6 shows a kernel density estimate plot of the results from two water 716 vapor test sessions, with the offset correction applied. During the March 2022 session, flasks 717 were measured using the dead-end pull sample introduction method and during the August 2022 718 session, flasks were measured using the dry air carrier gas sample introduction method. There is 719 no significant difference in the measured difference between the two sample introduction 720 methods. That said, we prefer the dry air carrier gas method, because it is far simpler to control 721 the water vapor mixing ratio, and optimize the concentration to be around 25,000 ppm, which is 722 the concentration at which the Picarro L2130-*i* is most reliable. The dry air carrier gas method 723 also makes it easier to control for and monitor for condensation in the stainless-steel tubing and 724 vapor impermeable tubing, which can bias a measurement.

725

726 6.1.3 Field suitability tests

727 The long dry air tests in the field are a useful complement to the shorter in-lab tests 728 because they test the reliability of the system at field-deployment timescales. It is clear from the 729 34 and 43 day tests that the flasks are reasonably resistant to leaks on the timescale of a normal 730 four 4 - six 6 week deployment -(Fig. 6A). These tests also give us confidence that flasks filled 731 later in the sampling sequence do not take on an atmospheric signal prior to sampling. There are 732 a few possibilities to explain the poorer performance of the Toblerone SWISS unit during the 52-733 day test. (Fig. 6A). The first is that there is a real threshold past which the SWISS are no longer 734 able to retain samples. However, this explanation would suggest that there should be a gradual 735 decrease in performance across the three tests, which we do not observe. The alternative 736 explanation is that the poor performance is a result of inter-unit variability. The 52-day test was 737 the first long-term test and was performed in August 2021. In August 2021, we were continuing 738 to build new SWISS units and continuing to learn from each successive round of QA/QC, so it 739 seems plausible that there were unidentified problems with the SWISS unit Toblerone that were 740 solved before the water vapor tests in August 2022.

In figure 6B, the data show that the flasks preserved the δ^{18} O value of both flash-741 742 evaporated and atmospheric water vapor over a seven-day period. One flask was removed from 743 the dataset (flask eight), because there was visible condensation in the clear impermeable tubing 744 during the measurement phase, with an increase of > 5‰ for δ^{18} O during the measurement period. The condensation appeared as small (<1 mm) bubbles of water all along the impermeable 745 tubing, but the bubbles were concentrated near the connection between the SWISS and the 746 impermeable tubing. Notably, the two flasks whose δ^{18} O values do not overlap within 747 748 uncertainty are more negative than expected, rather than drifting towards atmospheric values or 749 values expected from diffusive fractionation. In contrast to the δ^{18} O values, only three flasks 750 filled with flash evaporated water vapor overlap within uncertainty of the known δ^2 H values, 751 while four of the five flasks overlap within uncertainty of the estimated atmosphere isotope 752 value. The flasks tend to drift towards the value of the atmosphere, but retain the overall data 753 pattern from the oxygen isotope values.

The relatively high failure rate of this 'mock' field test was somewhat surprising given the results of the water vapor tests done in the laboratory. Going into the test, we suspected that flasks six and eight were slightly leaky based on previous water vapor tests; these were flasks that previously performed poorly, but did not 'fail' during the water vapor test. Once we

collected the data, we compared the data for flasks six and eight to other flasks in the sequence.

759 During the measurement of flask eight, we observed condensation in the sample introduction

- 760 lines, and because the isotope values were so different relative to other flasks, we felt confident
- 761 in our exclusion of flask eight. Flask six had $-\delta^{18}$ O and $-\delta^{2}$ H values similar to others from the
 - same sampling source, and seemed to fall within the pattern as expected. Therefore, we chose tokeep this data point in the dataset.

764 We hypothesize that one major problem with the mock field test dataset was the creation of condensation in the sampling lines, as others have experienced in their setups (e.g. Quade et 765 766 al., 2019; Kühnhammer et al., 2019). Of particular interest are the flasks that had a lower than 767 expected δ^{18} O value (flasks four and nine). It is possible that those samples were also affected by 768 condensation, but in contrast to flask eight, which was excluded because of condensation during measurement, we think that these samples may have been altered because of condensation at the 769 sampling stage. During condensation, we expect that ¹⁸O will preferentially enter the liquid 770 phase, and that the water vapor that enters the flask will have a lower than expected δ^{18} O value. 771 772 The unique advantage of the SWISS is that it can operate independently, but with that comes the 773 trade-off that we cannot currently observe condensation in the lines during sample collection. To 774 prevent condensation from forming, other users have warmed the impermeable tubing between 775 the probes and the Picarro instrument. The 'mock' field test data suggest that in many situations 776 it may be worthwhile to warm the transfer tubing, but this should be done in a way that does not 777 alter the thermal structure of the soil, and in remote settings, can operate safely independently.

778 779

6.1.4 Lessons learned and recommendations from the QA/QC and field suitability tests:

Our QA/QC process was a relatively efficient way to test the soundness of the SWISS
units. Through the QA/QC process we were able to identify problems with units, and
appropriately address them before deploying units to the field. We strongly recommend that any
user deploying SWISS to the field to undertake the same, or similar, QA/QC process.

784 The dry air test is a time-efficient and low-cost method for identifying flasks that are 785 leaky and will not preserve the sampled water vapor isotope values. It is useful during the 786 building stage to identify fittings that need to be tightened or flasks that need to be replaced, and 787 therefore we recommend these tests as a required pre-deployment step for future SWISS units. 788 We found that it was most time and energy efficient to move onto the next level of QA/QC once 789 13 out of 15 flasks of a SWISS unit had passed the dry-air test, because frequently the remaining 790 two flasks still had relatively low water vapor mole fractions (i.e. 500 - 700 ppm), and we could 791 sufficiently tighten the fittings prior to the start of the water vapor tests for them to be successful. 792 The dry air test is a low time and expense burden that can also be used to monitor SWISS units 793 for normal wear-and-tear (e.g. a flask that cracked during transport) during deployment periods. 794 Therefore, to ensure that SWISS units continue to operate as expected, we also recommend that 795 dry air tests be done between field deployments on every SWISS unit. Lastly, we note that the 796 dry air test could be modified based on available equipment (for example, if an instrument is 797 available to measure trace atmospheric gases, that could be used instead).

Based on the results of the long, field dry air test, we recommend that the water vapor storage time doesn't exceed 40 days for reliable results, or that the user undertake multiple dry air tests with either lower concentration benchmarks or longer duration if deployments may exceed 40 days. 802 Overall, the quality control and quality assurance as well as the field suitability tests 803 demonstrate that the SWISS units can retain the isotope values of water vapor collected using 804 water vapor permeable probes. Like many other systems that measure dual isotopes <u>(i.e. $\delta^{18}O$)</u> 805 <u>and $\delta^{2}H$ </u>, each system (i.e. $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$) must be evaluated separately. In general, we interpret 806 oxygen isotope data with a higher degree of confidence than the hydrogen isotope data. As the 807 automation test revealed however, even when the absolute $\delta^{2}H$ value is not correct, the general 808 pattern can reveal information about soil water dynamics.

Finally, we opted to use a large flask volume because <u>we hypothesize that</u> it allows us to measure a sample for long enough on a CRDS that we get reliable data, without interacting with vapor bound to the flask walls. The drawback of this, however, is that we must sample soil water vapor for a relatively long period of time (45 minutes). In supplemental figure 7, we show that the sampling regime, and particularly the length of time we pump dry air through the tubing, does not significantly alter the soil moisture content of the soil. Additionally, we demonstrate that the sampling regime we use does not introduce significant memory effects.

816

817 6.2 Field Deployments

818 In Figure 7 we show the results of three field deployments completed during summer 819 2022 (Table 3). At the Oglala National Grassland site, we used the SWISS unit named Lindt to 820 collect samples. During the August 2022 water vapor test on Lindt, all- δ^{18} O values fall within 821 uncertainty of the system, and nine of the fifteen- $\delta^2 H$ values fall within uncertainty of the system. Therefore, we interpret the δ^{18} O values with greater confidence and the δ^{2} H values with 822 823 lower confidence (Figs. 4C and 5C). We note that the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values broadly follow the 824 same trends, and fall on the global meteoric water line (Figs. 7 and 8A). In general, soil water 825 from 25 cm had higher δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values than soil water from both 50 and 75 cm (Fig. 8A). 826 Given that 4 of the 5 samples from 25 cm overlap with the GMWL and have a d-excess that 827 overlaps with $10 \pm 2.6\%$, the soil water from that depth may reflect summer precipitation with 828 higher δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values. Soil water from 75 cm had intermediate δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values for most of the study period, and soil water from 50 cm depth had the lowest δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values 829 830 for most of the study period, which may reflect a more mean-annual or winter precipitation 831 biased value. Based on data available from the National Weather Service (Chadron, NE), there 832 were likely significant precipitation events on 2022-06-25 and 2022-07-08 at the field site. There 833 is a significant shift to lower δ^{18} O values at a sampling depth of 25 cm between 2022-06-25 and 834 2022-06-29, as well as a marked increase in the d-excess value (Fig. 8A). We interpret this shift 835 as infiltration of precipitation with lower δ^{18} O values, which is supported by a return of d-excess 836 values to ~10‰ (Fig. 8A). The National Weather Service reported 21.33 mm (0.84 inches) of 837 rain at Chadron Municipal Airport, approximately 50 km from the study site on 2022-07-08, 838 which likely was associated with at least some precipitation at our field site. Following the 839 significant rain event on 2022-07-08, we observe a marked increase in the stable isotope value of 840 water vapor from a sampling depth of 50 cm, towards values that are much closer to those at 25 841 cm depth. These data suggests that soil water isotopes at 50 cm in this silt-loam Aridisol may be 842 fairly sensitive to large individual precipitation events, while at 75 cm soil water isotopes remain 843 comparatively uniform. Future work should address how drought conditions, storm size, pore 844 size distribution, and soil clay mineralogy influence the variability of soil water isotopes with 845 depth. 846 At Briggsdale, CO we used the SWISS named Raclette to collect soil water vapor

samples. Data from 25 cm depth at Briggsdale, CO were discarded because the water vapor mole

fraction was much lower than would be expected given the soil temperature (i.e. < 15,000 ppm).
The gravimetric water concentration (GWC) at that soil depth at the time of sampling was
approximately 4% through the sampling period. Future work should include a multiple-method
(e.g. cryogenic extraction, centrifugation, etc.) comparison of soil water isotopes at low water
contents to better understand what these samples might represent, and if they are actually

853 representative of soil conditions.

854 Based on the results of the August 2022 water vapor test done on Raclette where all 855 flasks fell within uncertainty of the SWISS system for both δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, except for flask 11 856 (Figs. 4C and 5C), -we interpret all data with greater confidence. Flask 11 corresponds to the 25 857 cm depth sample from 2022-07-27, and was already culled from the dataset because of low water vapor mole fraction associated with the very dry soil. The soil water δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values from a 858 sampling depth of 50 cm and 75 cm overlap within uncertainty, but the soil water δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H 859 values from 50 cm are higher than the isotope values from 75 cm. All of the data from each 860 sampling depth group (i.e. 50 cm and 75 cm) overlap within uncertainty, conforming to the 861 862 expectation that soil water from these sampling depths should be fairly invariant (e.g. Oerter et al., 2019). There were precipitation events at the study site on 2022-07-24, 2022-07-28 and 863 2022-07-31. It is possible that the slight negative shift in both δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H on 2022-08-01 864 865 reflects infiltration of precipitation to those depths, but this is not certain given that all of the 866 measurements from within a sampling depth overlap within uncertainty.

867 At Seibert, CO we used the SWISS named Toblerone to collect soil water vapor samples. 868 The soil water isotope data from 75 cm depth at this site offer a few useful lessons for future 869 users. The two key observations of the data from 75 cm depth are that the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values 870 are much higher than the ones from other two sampling depths-d, and that the $-\delta^2 H$ and $-\delta^{18}O$ 871 values do not move in parallel with each other. While measuring these samples we observed 872 condensation in the impermeable tubing at the point where the SWISS connects to the 873 impermeable tubing. Additionally, when we heated the stainless--steel tubing that connects the 874 tubing flask and Valco valve we observed a rapid increase in water vapor mole fraction (1000's 875 of ppm over <30 seconds) that was accompanied by a rise in stable isotope value. During these 876 measurements, we were rarely able to get a stable isotope value measurement window, and 877 instead the stable isotope value of the vapor increased continually through the measurement. It is 878 for these reasons that we feel confident in discarding the stable isotope data from 2022-06-19 -879 2022-06-29. The final measurement from 75 cm depth on 2022-07-04 approaches a reasonable 880 isotope value when compared to isotope values from the other two depths, and that sample had 881 fewer condensation problems during measurement. However, because we have no sequential 882 context for what a reasonable value for this depth is, we discarded that value as well. For that 883 final 75 cm sample, we were more successful because we warmed the entire length the vapor 884 impermeable tubing, as well as the stainless-steel tubing, flask, and Valco valve evenly so that 885 there were no temperature gradients across the vapor path. If the condensation had only been in 886 the impermeable tubing it would have been much easier to successfully analyze these samples by 887 just closing off the flask and running dry air through the tubing to remove condensation, but 888 because condensation was also occurring in the stainless--steel tubing between the flask and 889 Valco valve, this was not possible. It remains unclear why condensation was such a significant 890 problem for samples from that depth as opposed to samples from different depths in the same 891 SWISS. Future work should include further testing of the SWISS across different water contents 892 and temperatures to better understand why the phenomenon may have occurred.

893 Based on the results of the August 2022 water vapor test done on Toblerone, we interpret 894 all data from 50 cm and 25 cm depth with high confidence, except for Flask 3, which is the 50 895 cm sample from 2022-06-19 (Figs. 4C and 5C). Unlike data from the other two field sites, soil 896 water from 25 cm and 50 cm overlap within uncertainty. There were two precipitation events at 897 the field site during the sampling period on 2022-06-25 and 2022-07-01, but both events were 898 quite small (<0.5 mm, CoAgMet). There is no significant influence of the precipitation events on 899 the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values. The >1.0% increase in δ^{18} O values on 2022-06-29 is surprising given 900 that there is not a comparable magnitude increase in δ^2 H value, and that the values measured 901 from 2022-07-04 more closely match the δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values from the two earlier sampling 902 days. There are two potential explanations for this data. First, that this shift is a real signal from 903 an evaporation driven increase in the δ^{18} O value, and the shift back to a lower δ^{18} O value on 904 2022-07-04 is due to the infiltration of precipitation, which could also explain the low d-excess 905 value associated with this measurement (Supplemental Fig. 8–). The second possible explanation is that the 25 cm sample from 2022-06-29 is influenced by condensation at the time of sampling. 906 907 Dew point at the field site on 2022-06-29 significantly decreased as compared to the other 908 sampling days to a monthly minimum of 20.6°C (CoAgMet). It is possible that environmental 909 conditions encouraged the formation of condensation in the impermeable tubing at the time of 910 sampling;-. if there was residual condensation in the impermeable tubing then its possible we were partially sampling a heavier condensed water. There were no obvious signs of condensation 911 912 during the time of measurement in the lab. These results highlight the utility of having broad 913 contextual environmental data to aid in the interpretation of soil water isotope data.

914 All together, these three soil water isotope datasets demonstrate two main findings. First, 915 data from these samples show that the differences between field sites are easily resolvable using 916 the SWISS. For example, at 50 cm depth the oxygen isotopes range between -14.4 to -16.3‰, -917 9.9 to -10.3‰, and -7.4 to -9.3‰ for the Oglala, Briggsdale and Seibert sites, respectively. These 918 differences likely reflect differences in the stable isotope composition of precipitation and 919 infiltration and evaporation dynamics. Second, the sample data retrieved from a SWISS are 920 sufficiently precise to be able to meaningfully resolve vertical profile soil water isotope data. For 921 example, at the Oglala National Grassland field site, soil water from 25 cm clearly has higher 922 δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H values as compared to soil water from a depth of 50 and 75 cm.

923

924 **6.3 Future improvements and future work**

925 One significant SWISS unit hardware improvement that could be made would be to 926 install a heating implement to the flasks. One source of uncertainty on the current system is the 927 potential effect of uneven heating of the flasks prior to measurement which may create 928 temperature gradients that are large enough to allow for condensation when warm vapor meets a 929 spot slightly colder than dew point-colder spot. This could be improved in subsequent iterations 930 of the SWISS with the addition of heat tape or blankets that can deliver controlled heat and 931 create consistent temperatures. This improvement would also help limit the amount of manual 932 intervention needed during measurement, and could improve automation of flask measurement. 933 Additionally, finding a way to safely and automatically heat the impermeable tubing that 934 connects the water vapor probes and the SWISS in a way that doesn't change the inherent 935 thermal structure of the soil, and is safe for unmonitored use, would help to prevent the 936 formation of condensation in the field and reduce the uncertainties related to sampling as well as 937 the number of samples that need to be discarded.-

938 We have made a few improvements to the automation system that were not implemented 939 for the data presented in this contribution, but will be part of future deployments. First, we will 940 track conditions inside the SWISS with a temperature and relative humidity sensor inside the 941 case. Second, we plan to eliminate the power inverter by powering both the Valco valve and 942 mass flow controller with VDC using a power step up controller. Lastly, we will add an IoT 943 cellular router to be able to remotely monitor and control the SWISS units. This would be 944 particularly helpful if there is a sampling day that is unexpectedly cold or when the dew point at 945 the field site is unexpectedly low and we expect condensation to form more readily form in the 946 field, or if there is a precipitation event that we are interested in capturing, because with the IoT 947 cellular router we could remotely alter the sampling plan.

948 While the improvements and additional testing we have done to the SWISS in this 949 contribution represent a significant step forward, additional work should be done to make the 950 system more useable by the ecohydrology community. We have rigorously tested the SWISS in 951 the lab, and demonstrated a few ways in which the SWISS can fail in field settings. A full 952 comparison of how soil water isotope data collected using a SWISS as compared to other in situ 953 (both vapor probes and lysimeter) and destructive sampling methods would shed more light on 954 the accuracy and precision of our system, and the applicability of our lab-based experiments to 955 the field. These experiments should be carefully designed with considerations of soil grain size, 956 soil water content, expected isotope values, and climate. Additionally, we plan to test SWISS 957 unit resilience during air travel so that these units can be used at field sites that are not within 958 driving distance of a research facility.

959 Conclusions

960 We presented the evolution of the soil water isotope storage system (SWISS) from a 961 prototype to a fully built out and tested system. We also presented a quality control and quality 962 assurance procedure that we strongly recommend future users undertake to ensure the reliable 963 storage of soil water vapor over long time periods (up to 40 days). In addition, these quality 964 control and quality assurance tests shed light on the accuracy and precision of the SWISS. After 965 applying an offset correction, we determined the precision of the SWISS to be $\pm 0.9\%$ and 966 $\pm 3.7\%$ for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, respectively. In a field setting, flasks reliably resist atmospheric 967 intrusion. Additionally, the proposed sampling schema does not introduce significant memory 968 effects. Lastly, we demonstrated that the current precision of the SWISS still allows us to 969 distinguish between field sites and between soil water dynamics within a single soil column. 970 Taken as a whole, these data show that the SWISS can be used as a tool to answer many 971 emerging ecohydrological questions, and will enhance researchers' ability to collect soil water 972 isotope datasets from more remote and traditionally understudied field sites.

973 Acknowledgements

We thank the numerous field assistants who helped to make the field work presented in
this paper possible, including Spencer Burns, Anne Fetrow, Sarah Brookins, Juliana OlsenValdez, and Haley Brumberger. We acknowledge that both field work and laboratory work for
this study were done on the traditional territories and ancestral homelands of the Arapahoe, Ute
and Cheyenne peoples. This work was supported by startup funding from CU Boulder and NSF
funding from grant EAR-2023385 awarded to K. Snell. Additionally, this work was supported by

- 980 the University of Colorado Boulder Beverly Sears Research Grant and the Clay Minerals Society
- 981 Graduate Student Research Grant both awarded to R. Havranek. CUBES–SIL is a CU Boulder
- 982 Core Facility associated with RRID: SCR_019300.
- 983

984 Author contribution

- 985 Rachel E. Havranek: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, formal analysis, funding
- 986 acquisition, writing wrote original draft, review and editing. Kathryn E. Snell:
- 987 conceptualization, methodology, writing review & editing, funding acquisition. Sebastian H.
- 988 Kopf: conceptualization, methodology, writing review & editing. Brett Davidheiser-Kroll:
- 989 conceptualization, cethodology, writing review & editing. Valerie Morris: methodology,
- 990 writing review & editing. Bruce Vaugh: methodology, writing review & editing.
- 991

992 **Competing interests**

993 The authors declare no competing interests.

994 Works Cited

995 225	Beyer, M., Kühnhammer, K., & Dubbert, M.: In situ measurements of soil and plant water
996	<u>isotopes: a review of approaches, practical considerations and a vision for the future.</u>
997	Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 24, 4413–4440
998	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-4413-2020, 2020.
999	Bowen, G. J., Cai, Z., Fiorella, R. P., & Putman, A. L.: Isotopes in the Water Cycle: Regional- to
1000	Global-Scale Patterns and Applications. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences,
1001	47(1), 453–479, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060220, 2019
1002	Bowen, G. J., Putman, A., Brooks, J. R., Bowling, D. R., Oerter, E. J., & Good, S. P.: Inferring
1003	the source of evaporated waters using stable H and O isotopes. Oecologia, 187(4), 1025–
1004	1039, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4192-5, 2018.
1005	Brooks, J. R., Barnard, H. R., Coulombe, R., & McDonnell, J. J.: Ecohydrologic separation of
1006	water between trees and streams in a Mediterranean climate. Nature Geoscience, 3(2), 100–
1007	104, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo722, 2010.
1008	<u>CoAgMet, Colorado Climate Center, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA.</u>
1009	<u>https://coagmet.colostate.edu/, last access: 25 April 2023.</u>
1010 1011 1012	Dawson, T. E., & Ehleringer, J. R.: Streamside trees that do not use stream-water: evidence from hydrogen isotopes ratios. Nature, 350(March), 335–337, https://doi.org/10.1038/350335a0, 1991.
1013	Gaj, M., Beyer, M., Koeniger, P., Wanke, H., Hamutoko, J., & Himmelsbach, T.: In-situ
1014	unsaturated zone stable water isotope (² H and ¹⁸ O) measurements in semi-arid environments
1015	using tunable off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy. Hydrology and Earth System
1016	Sciences Discussions, 12(6), 6115–6149, https://doi.org/10.5194/hessd-12-6115-2015, 2015
1017	Gaj, M., Beyer, M., Koeniger, P., Wanke, H., Hamutoko, J., & Himmelsbach, T.: In situ
1018	unsaturated zone water stable isotope (² H and ¹⁸ O) measurements in semi-arid
1019	environments: A soil water balance. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 20(2), 715–731.
1020	https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-715-2016, 2016.
1021	 Gessler, A., Bächli, L., Rouholahnejad Freund, E., Treydte, K., Schaub, M., Haeni, M., Weiler,
1022	M., Seeger, S., Marshall, J., Hug, C., Zweifel, R., Hagedorn, F., Rigling, A., Saurer, M., &
1023	Meusburger, K.: Drought reduces water uptake in beech from the drying topsoil, but no
1024	compensatory uptake occurs from deeper soil layers. New Phytologist, 233(1), 194–206,
1025	https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17767, 2022.
1026	<u>Gómez-Navarro, C., Pataki, D. E., Bowen, G. J., & Oerter, E. J.: Spatiotemporal variability in</u>
1027	<u>water sources of urban soils and trees in the semiarid, irrigated Salt Lake Valley.</u>
1028	<u>Ecohydrology, 12(8), https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2154, 2019.</u>
1029 1030 1031 1032	<u>Gonfiantini, R., Wassenaar, L. I., Araguas-Araguas, L., & Aggarwal, P. K.: A unified Craig-Gordon isotope model of stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation during fresh or saltwater evaporation, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 235, 224–236.</u> https://doi.org/10.1016/i.gca.2018.05.020, 2018.
1033	Good, S. P., Noone, D., & Bowen, G. J.: Hydrologic connectivity constrains partitioning of
1034	global terrestrial water fluxes. Science, 349(6244), 175–177,
1035	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5931, 2015.
•	

1036	Green, M. B., Laursen, B. K., Campbell, J. L., Mcguire, K. J., & Kelsey, E. P.: Stable water
1037	isotopes suggest sub-canopy water recycling in a northern forested catchment. Hydrological
1038	Processes, 29(25), 5193-5202, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10706, 2015
1039	Groh, J., Stumpp, C., Lücke, A., Pütz, T., Vanderborght, J. and Vereecken, H.: Inverse
1040	estimation of soil hydraulic and transport parameters of layered soils from water stable
1041	isotope and lysimeter data. Vadose Zone Journal, 17(1), 1-19,
1042	https://doi.org/10.2136/yzi2017.09.0168, 2018.
1043	Gupta, P., Noone, D., Galewsky, J., Sweeney, C., and Vaughn, B.H.: Demonstration of high-
1044	precision continuous measurements of water vapor isotopologues in laboratory and remote
1045	field deployments using wavelength-scanned cavity ring-down spectroscopy (WS-CRDS)
1046	technology Rapid Com in Mass Spectrometry 23, 2534-2542
1047	https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.4100.2009
1017	
1048	Harms Sarah M, & Ludwig, T. K.: Retention and removal of nitrogen and phosphorus in saturated
1049	soils of arctic hillslopes. Biogeochemistry, 127, 291–304 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-
1050	<u>016-0181-0, 2016.</u>
1051	Havranek, R. E., Snell, K. E., Davidheiser-Kroll, B., Bowen, G. J., & Vaughn, B.: The Soil
1052	Water Isotope Storage System (SWISS): An integrated soil water vapor sampling and
1053	multiport storage system for stable isotope geochemistry. Rapid Communications in Mass
1054	Spectrometry, 34(12), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8783, 2020
1055	Linghter E. L. C. Dames D. T. Anderson C. D. Williams M. W. & Demessoni, C. M.
1055	Hinckley, EL. S., Barnes, R. L., Anderson, S. P., Williams, M. W., & Bernasconi, S. M.:
1056	Nitrogen retention and transport differ by hillslope aspect at the rain-snow transition of the
1057	Colorado Front Range. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 119, 12811896.
1058	https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002588, 2014.
1059	Kübert, A., Paulus, S., Dahlmann, A., Werner, C., Rothfuss, Y., Orlkowski, N., & Dubbert, M. :
1060	Water Stable Isotopes in Ecohydrological Field Research : Comparison Between In Situ and
1061	Destructive Monitoring Methods to Determine Soil Water Isotopic Signatures. Frontiers in
1062	Plant Science, 11(April), 1-13, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00387, 2020.
1063	Kühnhammer K. Dahlmann A. Iraheta A. Gerchow M. Birkel C. Marshall I. D. & Bever
1064	M: Continuous in situ measurements of water stable isotones in soils tree trunk and root
1065	xylem: Field approval Ranid Comm in Mass Spec. 36(5)
1065	https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.9232.2022
1000	
1067	Magh, R.K., Gralher, B., Herbstritt, B., Kübert, A., Lim, H., Lundmark, T. and Marshall, J.:
1068	Technical note: Conservative storage of water vapour-practical in situ sampling of stable
1069	isotopes in tree stems, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 26, 3573–3587, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-
1070	<u>26-3573-2022, 2022.</u>
1071	Mahindawansha, A., Orlowski, N., Kraft, P., Rothfuss, Y., Racela, H., & Breuer, L.:
1072	Quantification of plant water uptake by water stable isotopes in rice paddy systems. Plant
1073	and Soil, 429(1–2), 281–302. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3693-7, 2018
1074	Oenter E. L. Donalat A. Dondwiels E. & Down C. L. Mambrona inlat losses anostroscomu to
1074	manuel H and O atable instance compositions of soil and a diment new works with thirt
1075	measure ri and O stable isotope compositions of soil and sediment pore water With high
1077	sample urrougnput. Kapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 31(1), 73–84,
10//	<u>nups://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.//08, 2010.</u>

1078	Oerter, E. J., & Bowen, G. J.: In situ monitoring of H and O stable isotopes in soil water reveals
1079	ecohydrologic dynamics in managed soil systems. Ecohydrology, 10(4), 1–13,
1080	https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1841, 2017
1081	Oerter, E. J., & Bowen, G. J.: Spatio-temporal heterogeneity in soil water stable isotopic
1082	composition and its ecohydrologic implications in semiarid ecosystems. Hydrological
1083	Processes, March, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13434, 2019
1084 1085	Peterson, B. J., & Fry, B.: Stable Isotopes in Ecosystem Studies. Annual Reviews of Ecology and Systematics, 18, 293–320, http://www.jstor.org/stable/2097134, 1987.
1086	Quade, M., Klosterhalfen, A., Graf, A., Brüggemann, N., Hermes, N., Vereecken, H., &
1087	Rothfuss, Y.: In-situ monitoring of soil water isotopic composition for partitioning of
1088	evapotranspiration during one growing season of sugar beet (Beta vulgaris). Agri. and
1089	Forest Met., 266–267, 53–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.12.002, 2019.
1090	Quade, M., Brüggemann, N., Graf, A., Vanderborght, J., Vereecken, H., & Rothfuss, Y.:
1091	Investigation of Kinetic Isotopic Fractionation of Water during Bare Soil Evaporation.
1092	Water Resources Research, 54(9), 6909–6928, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023159,
1093	2018.
1094	Rothfuss, Y., Vereecken, H., & Brüggemann, N.: Monitoring water stable isotopic composition
1095	in soils using gas-permeable tubing and infrared laser absorption spectroscopy. Water
1096	Resources Research, 49, 3747-3755, https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20311, 2013.
1097 1098 1099 1100	 <u>Rothfuss, Y., Merz, S., Vanderborght, J., Hermes, N., Weuthen, A., Pohlmeier, A., Vereecken, H., & Brüggemann, N.: Long-term and high-frequency non-destructive monitoring of water stable isotope profiles in an evaporating soil column. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 19(10), 4067–4080, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-4067-2015, 2015.</u>
1101	Rothfuss, Y., Quade, M., Brüggemann, N., Graf, A., Vereecken, H., & Dubbert, M.: Reviews
1102	and syntheses: Gaining insights into evapotranspiration partitioning with novel isotopic
1103	monitoring methods., Biogeosciences, 18 (12), 3701–3732, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-
1104	3701-2021, 2021.
1105	Rozmiarek, K. S., Vaughn, B. H., Jones, T. R., Morris, V., Skorski, W. B., Hughes, A. G.,
1106	Elston, J., Wahl, S., Faber, A. K., & Steen-Larsen, H. C.: An unmanned aerial vehicle
1107	sampling platform for atmospheric water vapor isotopes in polar environments.
1108	Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 14(11), 7045–7067, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-
1109	7045-2021, 2021.
1110	Seeger, S., & Weiler, M.: Temporal dynamics of tree xylem water isotopes: In situ monitoring
1111	and modeling. Biogeosciences, 18(15), 4603–4627, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-4603-
1112	2021, 2021.
1113	Soderberg, K., Good, S. P., Wang, L., & Caylor, K.: Stable Isotopes of Water Vapor in the
1114	Vadose Zone: A Review of Measurement and Modeling Techniques. Vadose Zone Journal,
1115	11(3), https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2011.0165, 2012.
1116	Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of
1117	Agriculture. Soil Series Classification Database. https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/.
1118	Accessed 09 October 2022.

1119 1120 1121	Sprenger, M., Leistert, H., Gimbei, G., & Weiler, M., Illuminating hydrological processes at the soil-vegetation-atmosphere interface with water stable isotopes. Reviews in Geophysics, 54, 674–704, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000515, 2016.
1122	Sprenger, M., & Allen, S. T.: What Ecohydrologic Separation Is and Where We Can Go With It.
1123	In Water Resources Research (Vol. 56, Issue 7). Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
1124	https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR027238, 2020.
1125 1126 1127 1128 1129 1130 1131	 Stumpp, C., Stichler, W., Kandolf, M. and Šimůnek, J.: Effects of land cover and fertilization method on water flow and solute transport in five lysimeters: A long-term study using stable water isotopes. Vadose Zone Journal, 11(1), https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2011.0075, 2012. Theis, D. E., Saurer, M., Blum, H., Frossard, E., & Siegwolf, R. T. W.: A portable automated system for trace gas sampling in the field and stable isotope analysis in the laboratory. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 18(18), 2106–2112, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1596, 2004.
1132 1133 1134 1135 1136	 <u>Vereecken, H., Amelung, W., Bauke, S. L., Bogena, H., Brüggemann, N., Montzka, C.,</u> <u>Vanderborght, J., Bechtold, M., Blöschl, G., Carminati, A., Javaux, M., Konings, A. G.,</u> <u>Kusche, J., Neuweiler, I., Or, D., Steele-Dunne, S., Verhoef, A., Young, M., & Zhang, Y.:</u> <u>Soil hydrology in the Earth system. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 3, 573-587,</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00324-6, 2022.</u>
1137	Volkmann, T. H. M., & Weiler, M.: Continual in situ monitoring of pore water stable isotopes in
1138	the subsurface. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18(5), 1819–1833,
1139	https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-1819-2014, 2014.
1140	Volkmann, T. H. M., Haberer, K., Gessler, A., & Weiler, M., High-resolution isotope
1141	measurements resolve rapid ecohydrological dynamics at the soil-plant interface. New
1142	Phytologist, 210(3), 839–849. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13868, 2016.
1143	Wassenaar, L. I., Hendry, M. J., Chostner, V. L., & Lis, G. P.: High resolution pore water δ ² H
1144	and δ ¹⁸ O measurements by H ₂ O _(liquid) -H2O _(vapor) equilibration laser
1145	spectroscopy. Environmental Science and Technology, 42(24), 9262–9267.
1146	https://doi.org/10.1021/es802065s, 2008.
1147 1148 1149 1150 1151 1152 1153 1154	 <u>Zhao, P., Tang, X., Zhao, P., Wang, C. and Tang, J., 2013. Identifying the water source for subsurface flow with deuterium and oxygen-18 isotopes of soil water collected from tension lysimeters and cores. Journal of Hydrology, 503, 1-10, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.08.033, 2013.</u> <u>Zimmermann, U., Munnich, K. O., & Roether, W.: Tracers Determine Movement of Soil Moisture and Evapotranspiration, Science, 152(3720), 346–347.</u> https://doi.org/10.1126/science.152.3720.346, 1966.
1155	 Beyer, M., Kühnhammer, K., & Dubbert, M. (2020). In situ measurements of soil and plant
1156	water isotopes : a review of approaches , practical considerations and a vision for the future.
1157	<i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 24, 4413–4440.
1158	https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24-4413-2020

1159	Bowen, G. J., Cai, Z., Fiorella, R. P., & Putman, A. L. (2019). Isotopes in the Water Cycle:
1160	Regional- to Global-Scale Patterns and Applications. <i>Annual Review of Earth and Planetary</i>
1161	<i>Sciences</i> , 47(1), 453–479. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-053018-060220
1162	Bowen, G. J., Putman, A., Brooks, J. R., Bowling, D. R., Oerter, E. J., & Good, S. P. (2018).
1163	Inferring the source of evaporated waters using stable H and O isotopes. <i>Oecologia</i> , 187(4),
1164	1025–1039. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4192-5
1165	Brooks, J. R., Barnard, H. R., Coulombe, R., & McDonnell, J. J. (2010). Ecohydrologic
1166	separation of water between trees and streams in a Mediterranean climate. <i>Nature</i>
1167	<i>Geoscience</i> , 3(2), 100–104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo722</u>
1168	CoAgMet, Colorado Climate Center, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA.
1169	https://coagmet.colostate.edu/
1170	Dawson, T. E., & Ehleringer, J. R. (1991). Streamside trees that do not use stream-water:
1171	evidence from hydrogen isotopes ratios. <i>Nature</i> , <i>350</i> (March), 335–337.
1172 1173 1174 1175 1176	 Gaj, M., Beyer, M., Koeniger, P., Wanke, H., Hamutoko, J., & Himmelsbach, T. (2015). In-situ unsaturated zone stable water isotope (2H and 18O) measurements in semi-arid environments using tunable off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions</i>, 12(6), 6115–6149. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hessd-12-6115-2015</u>
1177 1178 1179 1180	Gaj, M., Beyer, M., Koeniger, P., Wanke, H., Hamutoko, J., & Himmelsbach, T. (2016). In situ unsaturated zone water stable isotope (2H and 18O) measurements in semi-arid environments: A soil water balance. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 20(2), 715–731. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-715-2016
1181	 Gessler, A., Bächli, L., Rouholahnejad Freund, E., Treydte, K., Schaub, M., Haeni, M., Weiler,
1182	M., Seeger, S., Marshall, J., Hug, C., Zweifel, R., Hagedorn, F., Rigling, A., Saurer, M., &
1183	Meusburger, K. (2022). Drought reduces water uptake in beech from the drying topsoil, but
1184	no compensatory uptake occurs from deeper soil layers. <i>New Phytologist</i> , 233(1), 194–206.
1185	https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17767
1186	Gómez-Navarro, C., Pataki, D. E., Bowen, G. J., & Oerter, E. J. (2019). Spatiotemporal
1187	variability in water sources of urban soils and trees in the semiarid, irrigated Salt Lake
1188	Valley. <i>Ecohydrology, 12</i> (8). <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2154</u>
1189	Gonfiantini, R., Wassenaar, L. I., Araguas-Araguas, L., & Aggarwal, P. K. (2018). A unified
1190	Craig-Gordon isotope model of stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation during
1191	fresh or saltwater evaporation. <i>Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta</i> , 235, 224–236.
1192	<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.05.020</u>
1193	Good, S. P., Noone, D., & Bowen, G. J. (2015). Hydrologic connectivity constrains partitioning
1194	of global terrestrial water fluxes. <i>Science</i> , <i>349</i> (6244), 175–177.
1195	<u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5931</u>
1196	Green, M. B., Laursen, B. K., Campbell, J. L., Mcguire, K. J., & Kelsey, E. P. (2015). Stable
1197	water isotopes suggest sub-canopy water recycling in a northern forested catchment.
1198	<i>Hydrological Processes</i> , 29(25), 5193–5202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.10706</u>

1199 1200 1201	Groh, J., Stumpp, C., Lücke, A., Pütz, T., Vanderborght, J. and Vereecken, H., (2018), Inverse estimation of soil hydraulic and transport parameters of layered soils from water stable isotope and lysimeter data. <i>Vadose Zone Journal</i> , 17(1), pp.1-19.
1202 1203 1204 1205 1206	Gupta, P., Noone, D., Galewsky, J., Sweeney, C., and Vaughn, B.H. (2009) Demonstration of high-precision continuous measurements of water vapor isotopologues in laboratory and remote field deployments using wavelength-scanned cavity ring-down spectroscopy (WS-CRDS) technology. <i>Rapid Com. in Mass Spectrometry Volume 23, Issue 16, Date: 30</i> <i>August 2009, Pages: 2534-2542</i>
1207 1208 1209	Harms Sarah M, & Ludwig, T. K. (2016). Retention and removal of nitrogen and phosphorus in saturated soils of arctic hillslopes. <i>Biogeochemistry</i> , 127, 291–304. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-016-0181-0
1210	 Havranek, R. E., Snell, K. E., Davidheiser-Kroll, B., Bowen, G. J., & Vaughn, B. (2020). The
1211	Soil Water Isotope Storage System (SWISS): An integrated soil water vapor sampling and
1212	multiport storage system for stable isotope geochemistry. <i>Rapid Communications in Mass</i>
1213	Spectrometry, 34(12), 1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.8783</u>
1214	 Hinckley, EL. S., Barnes, R. T., Anderson, S. P., Williams, M. W., & Bernasconi, S. M. (2014).
1215	Nitrogen retention and transport differ by hillslope aspect at the rain-snow transition of the
1216	Colorado Front Range. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences</i> , <i>119</i> , 12811896.
1217	https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002588
1218	 Kübert, A., Paulus, S., Dahlmann, A., Werner, C., Rothfuss, Y., Orlkowski, N., & Dubbertm
1219	Maren. (2020). Water Stable Isotopes in Ecohydrological Field Research : Comparison
1220	Between In Situ and Destructive Monitoring Methods to Determine Soil Water Isotopic
1221	Signatures. <i>Frontiers in Plant Science</i> , 11(April), 1–13.
1222	https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00387
1223	 Kühnhammer, K., Dahlmann, A., Iraheta, A., Gerchow, M., Birkel, C., Marshall, J. D., & Beyer,
1224	M. (2022). Continuous in situ measurements of water stable isotopes in soils, tree trunk and
1225	root xylem: Field approval. <i>Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry</i> , 36(5).
1226	<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rem.9232</u>
1227 1228 1229	Magh, R.K., Gralher, B., Herbstritt, B., Kübert, A., Lim, H., Lundmark, T. and Marshall, J., 2022. Conservative storage of water vapour practical in situ sampling of stable isotopes in tree stems. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 26(13), pp.3573-3587.
1230	Mahindawansha, A., Orlowski, N., Kraft, P., Rothfuss, Y., Racela, H., & Breuer, L. (2018).
1231	Quantification of plant water uptake by water stable isotopes in rice paddy systems. <i>Plant</i>
1232	<i>and Soil</i> , 429(1-2), 281-302. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3693-7
1233	Oerter, E. J., Perelet, A., Pardyjak, E., & Bowen, G. J. (2016). Membrane inlet laser
1234	spectroscopy to measure H and O stable isotope compositions of soil and sediment pore
1235	water with high sample throughput. <i>Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry</i> , 31(1),
1236	75–84. https://doi.org/10.1002/rem.7768
1237	Oerter, E. J., & Bowen, G. J. (2017). In situ monitoring of H and O stable isotopes in soil water
1238	reveals ecohydrologic dynamics in managed soil systems. <i>Ecohydrology</i> , 10(4), 1–13.
1239	https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1841

1240 1241 1242	Oerter, E. J., & Bowen, G. J. (2019). Spatio-temporal heterogeneity in soil water stable isotopic composition and its ecohydrologic implications in semiarid ecosystems. <i>Hydrological Processes, March</i> , 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13434
1243	Peterson, B. J., & Fry, B. (1987). Stable Isotopes in Ecosystem Studies. Annual Reviews of
1244	Ecology and Systematics, 18, 293–320. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2097134
1245	Quade, M., Klosterhalfen, A., Graf, A., Brüggemann, N., Hermes, N., Vereecken, H., &
1246	Rothfuss, Y. (2019). In-situ monitoring of soil water isotopic composition for partitioning of
1247	evapotranspiration during one growing season of sugar beet (Beta vulgaris). <i>Agricultural</i>
1248	<i>and Forest Meteorology</i> , 266–267(December 2018), 53–64.
1249	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.12.002
1250	Quade, M., Brüggemann, N., Graf, A., Vanderborght, J., Vereecken, H., & Rothfuss, Y. (2018).
1251	Investigation of Kinetic Isotopic Fractionation of Water during Bare Soil Evaporation.
1252	<i>Water Resources Research</i> , 54(9), 6909–6928. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023159
1253 1254 1255	Rothfuss, Y., Vereecken, H., & Brüggemann, N. (2013). Monitoring water stable isotopic composition in soils using gas-permeable tubing and infrared laser absorption spectroscopy. <i>Water Resources Research</i> . https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20311
1256	 Rothfuss, Y., Merz, S., Vanderborght, J., Hermes, N., Weuthen, A., Pohlmeier, A., Vereecken,
1257	H., & Brüggemann, N. (2015). Long term and high-frequency non-destructive monitoring
1258	of water stable isotope profiles in an evaporating soil column. <i>Hydrology and Earth System</i>
1259	<i>Sciences</i> , 19(10), 4067–4080. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-19-4067-2015
1260	Rothfuss, Y., Quade, M., Brüggemann, N., Graf, A., Vereecken, H., & Dubbert, M. (2021).
1261	Reviews and syntheses: Gaining insights into evapotranspiration partitioning with novel
1262	isotopic monitoring methods. In <i>Biogeosciences</i> (Vol. 18, Issue 12, pp. 3701–3732).
1263	Copernicus GmbH. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-3701-2021</u>
1264	Rozmiarek, K. S., Vaughn, B. H., Jones, T. R., Morris, V., Skorski, W. B., Hughes, A. G.,
1265	Elston, J., Wahl, S., Faber, A. K., & Steen-Larsen, H. C. (2021). An unmanned aerial
1266	vehicle sampling platform for atmospheric water vapor isotopes in polar environments.
1267	<i>Atmospheric Measurement Techniques</i> , 14(11), 7045–7067. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-</u>
1268	<u>7045-2021</u>
1269	Seeger, S., & Weiler, M. (2021). Temporal dynamics of tree xylem water isotopes: In situ
1270	monitoring and modeling. <i>Biogeosciences</i> , 18(15), 4603–4627. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-
1271	18-4603-2021
1272	Soderberg, K., Good, S. P., Wang, L., & Caylor, K. (2012). Stable Isotopes of Water Vapor in
1273	the Vadose Zone: A Review of Measurement and Modeling Techniques. Vadose Zone
1274	Journal, 11(3), 0. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2011.0165</u>
1275	Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of
1276	Agriculture. Soil Series Classification Database. Available online. Accessed 09/10/2022.
1277	Sprenger, M., Leistert, H., Gimbei, G., & Weiler, M. (2016). Illuminating hydrological processes
1278	at the soil-vegetation-atmosphere interface with water stable isotopes. <i>Reviews in</i>
1279	<i>Geophysics</i> , 54, 674–704. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2015RG000515

1280 1281 1282	Sprenger, M., & Allen, S. T. (2020). What Ecohydrologic Separation Is and Where We Can Go With It. In <i>Water Resources Research</i> (Vol. 56, Issue 7). Blackwell Publishing Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR027238
1283 1284 1285	Stumpp, C., Stichler, W., Kandolf, M. and Šimůnek, J., (2012). Effects of land cover and fertilization method on water flow and solute transport in five lysimeters: A long term study using stable water isotopes. <i>Vadose Zone Journal</i> , 11(1).
1286 1287 1288 1289	Theis, D. E., Saurer, M., Blum, H., Frossard, E., & Siegwolf, R. T. W. (2004). A portable automated system for trace gas sampling in the field and stable isotope analysis in the laboratory. <i>Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry</i> , 18(18), 2106–2112. https://doi.org/10.1002/rem.1596
1290 1291 1292 1293 1294	 Vereecken, H., Amelung, W., Bauke, S. L., Bogena, H., Brüggemann, N., Montzka, C., Vanderborght, J., Bechtold, M., Blöschl, G., Carminati, A., Javaux, M., Konings, A. G., Kusche, J., Neuweiler, I., Or, D., Steele-Dunne, S., Verhoef, A., Young, M., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Soil hydrology in the Earth system. <i>Nature Reviews Earth & Environment</i>. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00324-6
1295 1296 1297	Volkmann, T. H. M., & Weiler, M. (2014). Continual in situ monitoring of pore water stable isotopes in the subsurface. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , 18(5), 1819–1833. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-1819-2014</u>
1298 1299 1300	Volkmann, T. H. M., Haberer, K., Gessler, A., & Weiler, M. (2016). High-resolution isotope measurements resolve rapid ecohydrological dynamics at the soil-plant interface. <i>New</i> <i>Phytologist</i> , 210(3), 839–849. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13868
1301 1302 1303 1304	Wassenaar, L. I., Hendry, M. J., Chostner, V. L., & Lis, G. P. (2008). High resolution pore water
1305 1306 1307	Zhao, P., Tang, X., Zhao, P., Wang, C. and Tang, J., 2013. Identifying the water source for subsurface flow with deuterium and oxygen-18 isotopes of soil water collected from tension lysimeters and cores. <i>Journal of Hydrology</i> , <i>503</i> , pp.1-10
1308 1309 1310	Zimmermann, U., Munnich, K. O., & Roether, W. (1966). Tracers Determine Movement of Soil Moisture and Evapotranspiration. <i>Science</i> , <i>152</i> (3720), 346–347. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.152.3720.346