A model for simultaneous evaluation of NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$ pollution in urban and rural areas: handling incomplete data sets with multivariate curve resolution analysis
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Abstract. A powerful methodology, based on multivariate curve resolution alternating least squares (MCR-ALS) with quadrilinearity constraints, is proposed to handle complex and incomplete four-way atmospheric data sets, providing concise and easy interpretable results. Changes in air quality by nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$), ozone (O$_3$) and particular matter (PM$_{10}$) in eight sampling stations located in Barcelona metropolitan area and other parts of Catalonia during the COVID-19 lockdown (2020) with respect to previous years (2018 and 2019) are investigated using such methodology. MCR-ALS simultaneous analysis of the 3 contaminants among the 8 stations and for the 3 years allows the evaluation of potential correlations among the pollutants even when having missing data blocks. NO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$ show correlated profiles due to similar pollution sources (traffic and industry), evidencing a decrease in 2019 and 2020 due to traffic restriction policies and COVID-19 lockdown, especially noticeable in the most transited urban areas (i.e., Vall d’Hebron, Granollers and Gràcia). Ozone evidences an opposed inter-annual trend, showing higher amounts in 2019 and 2020 respect to 2018 due to the decreased titration effect, more significant in rural areas (Begur) and in the control site (Observatori Fabra).

Keywords: Multivariate curve resolution; MCR-ALS; Multilinear modeling; incomplete data; NO$_2$; O$_3$; PM$_{10}$; COVID-19; lockdown.
1. Introduction

Monitoring studies of air quality have always been indispensable to assess the impact of air pollutants on human health and the environment. Most evaluated air pollutants include the ones linked to industrial and traffic emissions, such as tropospheric ozone (O₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulate matter (PM), due to its potential effects on human health (Zú et al., n.d.; Khaniabadi et al., n.d.), and are the chemicals evaluated in the present study.

The chemistry of nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) and O₃ is highly complex because NOₓ is the responsible for tropospheric O₃ production but also for its elimination (Lerdau et al., 2000; Crutzen, 1979). On the one hand, the formation of tropospheric ozone is a consequence of the photochemical reaction of the sunlight with NOₓ and volatile organic compounds (VOC) released by car exhausts and industries, according to the following equation: \( \text{NO}_x + \text{VOC} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{O}_3 \). Thus, nitrogen oxides behave as catalysts in the photochemical production of ozone, especially at higher solar radiation and during hours of high traffic. However, at hours of low solar radiation and during nighttime, NOₓ are responsible for the ozone destruction in a process called titration: \( \text{NO}_x + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \). In inner rural areas with low anthropogenic activities, the latter titration effect produced by NOₓ emissions is generally not observed, resulting in higher average O₃ concentrations than in urban areas. Overall, the complex equilibrium among O₃ and NOₓ species results in continuous concentration changes of ozone difficult to attribute to a unique source.

Conversely, the chemistry of particulate matter is not directly correlated to NOₓ and O₃ but it is also complex due to its multiple and diverse emission sources. Different PM sources exist including city background (background levels of emissions such as construction, demolition and domestic heating), traffic (motor emissions and tire, pavement and brakes abrasion products), industry (high levels of sulfate, nitrate and other burning products), and natural (i.e., marine aerosols and air masses, especially African dust) (Querol et al., 2004; Saud et al., 2011).

Different approaches exist to assess air quality by evaluating concentration changes of these chemical pollutants. In classical air quality monitoring studies, the data treatment strategy generally involves data arrangement and analysis using traditional statistics. However, these methods require extensive computer calculations and their results are often limited and restricted. Instead, chemometric methods are powerful data analysis tools to investigate the sources of data variance in experimentally measured environmental monitoring big data sets such as air quality data sets that often contain some missing blocks. These methods can be used to extract and summarize the information often hidden on these environmental big data sets.

Among these methods, Multivariate Curve Resolution Alternating Least Squares (MCR-ALS) (Tauler, 1995), originally used in the spectrochemical analysis of chemical mixtures, has been also proved to be a competitive method in air pollution studies (Malik and Tauler, 2013; Alier et al., 2011). MCR-ALS is a flexible soft-modelling factor analysis method that allows for the introduction of natural constraints, like non-negativity of the factor solutions. Although it only requires the fulfillment of a bilinear model for the factor decomposition, it can be easily adjusted to the analysis of more complex multiway data structures and multilinear models, such as three-way and four-way environmental data sets (Tauler, 2021), which can be analyzed using trilinear and quadrilinear MCR-ALS models, as shown in this study. The results of the application of the MCR-ALS method can be used for the discovery of the main driving factors (latent
variables) responsible of the observed data variance, in this case, of the observed changes in the measured chemical pollutants.

The present study is focused on promoting and extending the use of multivariate curve resolution alternating least squares method, including trilinear and quadrilinear constraints, for the investigation of NO₂, O₃ and PM₁₀ air pollution. In addition, this study aims at providing different strategies to deal and estimate missing data also using the MCR-ALS methodology (Multivariate Curve Resolution of incomplete data multisets | Elsevier Enhanced Reader, 2022). The selected chemometric strategy is ultimately used to evaluate the temporal patterns of the three pollutants during 2018, 2019 and 2020 in eight monitoring stations located in Catalonia (Spain), including three urban, one control site, one semi-urban and three rural. The different stations were specifically selected to evaluate the influence of the geographical location on air pollution. The period of time evaluated (i.e., January 1st to December 31st of 2018, 2019 and 2020) was chosen to cover the COVID-19 lockdown period in Catalonia and to enable a comparison respect to the same time period in the previous two years. Considering that the strictest COVID-19 lockdown in Catalonia occurred in the month of April 2020, a specific evaluation of air quality changes produced during this period of time respect to the previous two years is provided in this study.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Air quality data

The experimental data used in this work consisted on O₃, NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations recorded from eight air quality monitoring stations operated by the Department of Environment of the Catalan Autonomous Government. The selected air quality monitoring stations consisted in three urban (Gràcia, Vall d’Hebron and Granollers), one semi-urban (Manlleu) and one control site (Observatori Fabra), all of them located in the province of Barcelona, and three rural: Juneda and Bellver de Cerdanya in the province of Lleida, and Begur (Costa Brava, NE Catalonia), in the province of Girona. More detailed information about the characteristics of the stations is provided in a previous air quality monitoring study by the authors (Gorrochategui et al., 2021). NO₂ concentrations were measured by means of chemiluminescence according to the UNE method 77212:1993, using automatically operated MCV 30QL analyzers. Ozone concentrations were measured by means of UV photometry according to ISO FDIS 139464:1998, automatically operated with MCV 48 AUV analyzers. PM₁₀ concentrations were measured by means of gravimetric determination, using manually operated high volume samplers MCV CAV-A/MS. The generated databases with all the concentrations measured were compiled by the Department of Air Monitoring and Control Service of the Generalitat de Catalunya (Xarxa de Vigilància i Previsió de la Contaminació Atmosfèrica (XVPCA). Departament de Territori i Sostenibilitat, 2020).

2.2. Experimental data multisets

In this study, two experimental data multisets were analyzed (see Fig. 1). Both of them contained hourly concentrations of NO₂, O₃ and PM₁₀ measured in the eight air quality monitoring stations but for distinct periods of time. The first data multiset contained air quality data recorded in the month of April 2018, April 2019 and April 2020 (i.e., the latter being the time when the strictest lockdown occurred in Catalonia(Real
October, 2018, and months from January to July, 2020) monitoring stations were missing: Gràcia (September and October, 2018), Begur (months from January to October, 2018, and months from January to July, 2020) and Observatori Fabra (months from January to September, 2018).

2.3. Data sets arrangement

In this study, the two data multisets were separately arranged to further undergo MCR-ALS individual analyses of the complete experimental data sets (Fig. 1).

To conduct the analysis of the month of April, data matrices for NO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{3} were separately arranged in a first step. For each contaminant, a total of 24 data matrices, one per year (three years) and per monitoring station (eight stations), of size 30 x 24 (month days’ x hourly measurements), were obtained. As observed in Fig. 1a these 24 data matrices were labeled as \textbf{D\textsubscript{station-year}}; with the name of the corresponding air quality station (V: Vall d’Hebron, Gn: Ganollers, M: Manlleu, J: Juneda, B: Bellver, Ga: Gràcia, Bg: Begur and O: Observatori Fabra) and the two last digits of the year (2018, 2019 and 2020). These 24 data matrices were then arranged using a column-wise augmentation, obtaining three augmented data matrices: \textbf{D\textsubscript{augm-April-NO\textsubscript{2}}}, \textbf{D\textsubscript{augm-April-O\textsubscript{3}}} and \textbf{D\textsubscript{augm-April-PM\textsubscript{10}}}.

The two first augmented matrices (NO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{3}) contained concentration measures of the month of April for each station and each year folded one on top of the other, first performing the augmentation for the 3 years (30 x 3) and then for the eight stations (30 x 3 x 8), as shown in Fig. 1a. The resulting dimensions of these two column-wise augmented data matrices for further MCR-ALS analysis were (720 x 24). However, as previously stated, for PM\textsubscript{10}, data of three months were missing and thus, the final column-wise augmented matrix was built only with the six stations containing no missing data (30 x 3 x 6), resulting in a (540 x 24) matrix (yellow-shaded area in Fig. 1a).

To conduct the analysis of the entire three years, data matrices for NO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3} and PM\textsubscript{10} were also separately arranged in a second step. For each contaminant, a total of 24 data matrices, one per year and per monitoring station, of size 365 x 24 (year days x hourly measurements), were obtained.
Figure 1. Data arrangement for individual analysis of completed data sets. NO₂, O₃ and PM₁₀ concentrations in a) the month of April and b) the entire year, for one year and one station can be arranged in a data matrix with the days in the rows and the 24 hour measurements in the columns $D_{\text{station-April}}$ or $D_{\text{station-year}}$. These individual data matrices are arranged in three (one per each pollutant) column-wise augmented data matrices. For the data set of April in 2018-2019-2020 (a), the augmented matrices are $D_{\text{caug-April-NO₂}}$, $D_{\text{caug-April-O₃}}$ (720×24) and $D_{\text{caug-April-PM₁₀}}$ (540×24). For the data set of the entire year in 2018-2019-2020 (b), the augmented matrices are $D_{\text{caug-allyear-NO₂}}$, $D_{\text{caug-allyear-O₃}}$ (7,655×24) and $D_{\text{caug-allyear-PM₁₀}}$ (5,475×24). Stations containing missing data (white-gaps in the figure) are excluded for further MCR-ALS analysis (yellow-shaded area). VH: Vall d’Hebron, Grn: Granollers, Mn: Manlleu, Jun: Juneda, Bl: Bellver, Gra: Gràcia, Beg: Begur, OF: Observatori Fabra.
These 24 data matrices were then arranged using a column-wise arrangement, obtaining three augmented data matrices: $D_{\text{aug,allyear-NO}_2}$, $D_{\text{aug,allyear-0}_3}$ and $D_{\text{aug,allyear-PM10}}$ (Fig. 1b). In this case, for the three contaminants, some data were missing (white gaps in the figure). For NO$_2$ and O$_3$, some data from Observatori Fabra station was missing. Thus, the resulting augmented matrices, $D_{\text{aug,allyear-NO}_2}$, $D_{\text{aug,allyear-0}_3}$, contained information of the whole year, seven stations and the three years (365 x 3 x 7), resulting in (7655 x 24) matrices, as shown in the figure. For PM$_{10}$, data of three stations were missing (white gaps in the figure) corresponding to Gràcia, Begur and Observatori Fabra. Thus, in order to perform the MCR-ALS analysis, the resulting PM$_{10}$ column-wise augmented matrix only contained information of five stations with no missing data (365 x 3 x 5), resulting in a (5475 x 24) matrix (yellow-shaded area in Fig. 1b).

Data arrangement for the simultaneous study of the three pollutants considering the whole incomplete multiblock experimental data sets is further described in Sect. 2.7.

2.4. Estimation of missing data

Estimation of missing data was used for the case when failures of stations and/or malfunction of them caused the absence of measurements for few hours or few days. In order to estimate such missing data, the nearest-neighbor method (Peterson, 2009) (i.e., knn imputation) was used. In this study, the function $mdcheck$ (i.e., missing data checker and infiller) of PLS Toolbox version 8.9.1 (Eigenvector Inc., WA) was utilized to perform the imputation. This function checks for missing data and infills them using a PCA model imputation from distinct algorithms. In our case, three algorithms were tested consisting on ‘svd’ (Singular Value Decomposition), ‘NIPALS’ (Nonlinear Iterative Partial Least Squares) and ‘knn’, the latter providing the better estimation results in our case, and thus, the one that was finally used in this study.

It is important to mention that estimation of missing data was not performed in cases where the entire month was missing. For those cases, the station was not included in the MCR-ALS analysis of the complete data set. For the analysis of incomplete multiblock data sets, an especial arrangement was performed using a particular data fusion strategy, as further explained in Sect. 2.7.

2.5. MCR-ALS analysis of the experimental data

Different chemometric methods have been proposed in the literature for the analysis of environmental monitoring data. MCR-ALS is a frequently used method in spectrochemical mixture data analysis, which can also be easily extended to the analysis of environmental source apportionment data sets (Alier et al., 2011). MCR-ALS is a flexible soft-modelling factor analysis tool which allows for the application of natural constraints (see below) and it can be easily adapted to the analysis of complex multiway (multimode) data structures, such as three- and four-way environmental data sets using trilinear and quadrilinear model constraints (De Juan et al., 1998; Smilde et al., 2004; Malik and Tauler, 2013).

The simplest application of the MCR-ALS method is based on a bilinear model that performs the factor decomposition of a two-way data set (i.e. a data table or a data matrix). Eq. (1) summarizes this bilinear model in its element-wise way, while Eq. (2) presents the same model in a matrix linear algebra format:

$$d_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{in} y_{jn} + e_{ij} \quad i=1, \ldots I \text{ (days); } j=1, \ldots J \text{ (hours)}$$

$$d_{ij} = X_{i} Y_{j}^T + E_{ij}$$
In Eq. (1), the individual data values, $d_{ij}$ elements (in this case the O$_3$, NO$_2$ or PM$_{10}$ concentration values measured one day (i) at a particular hour (j)) are decomposed as the sum of a reduced number of contributions (components), $n=1,\ldots,N$, each one of them defined by the product of two factors, $x_{i,n}$ (scores) and $y_{j,n}$ (loadings). In addition, the term $e_{ij}$ is the residual part of $d_{ij}$, which cannot be explained by these N components and accounts as experimental noise and uncertainties. In Eq. (2), the data matrix, $D$, of dimensions $I \times J$ is decomposed into the scores factor matrix $X$ ($I \times N$) and the loadings factor matrix, $Y^T$ ($N \times J$). The number of components, $N$, is selected to explain as much as possible the data variance, while the unexplained small contributions of data variance and experimental noise are in $E$. Multivariate Curve Resolution (Tauler, 1995) performs the bilinear model factor decomposition shown in Eq. (1) and (2) using an alternating least squares (MCR-ALS) algorithm under a set of constraints which reduce the extent of the bilinear model rotation ambiguities (Abdollahi and Tauler, 2011) and allow the physical identification and interpretation of the factor matrices $X$ and $Y^T$, as for example, the application of non-negativity constraints to the elements of the factor matrices $X$ and $Y^T$ (Bro and De Jong, 1997; De Juan and Tauler, 2003). Models with different number of components can be tested and a final decision is taken considering the data fit and the shapes and reliability of the resolved profiles. The ALS algorithm also needs initial estimates of either $X$ or $Y^T$ factor matrices. These initial estimates can be obtained from the more ‘dissimilar’ rows or columns of the original data matrix (Jackson et al., 2002). Eq. (2) for $D$ is solved iteratively, which updates the solutions (vector profiles in $X$ and $Y^T$ matrices) until they fit the data optimally and fulfill the proposed constraints.

In this work the MCR-ALS method has been applied either to the individual data matrices $D_{\text{station,year}}$ described in previous section and in Fig. 1 for every pollutant (O$_3$, NO$_2$ or PM$_{10}$), at one period of time (April or full year) and at one monitoring station or to the augmented matrices of the same three pollutants for the three years (k=1,…,3) simultaneously and for the different stations (l=1,…,8) concatenated vertically in $D_{\text{caug,April}}$ or $D_{\text{caug,altyear}}$ (see Fig. 1). In the case of the individual data matrices described above for the period of time (April or full year), $D_{\text{station,april}}$ or $D_{\text{station,year}}$, the factor (scores) matrix $X$ will have respectively the April or full year day profiles of the components and the factor (loadings) matrix $Y^T$ will have the corresponding hour profiles of these components. In the case of the column-wise augmented data matrices $D_{\text{caug,April}}$ or $D_{\text{caug,altyear}}$, bilinear model Eq. (2) was extended as:

$$D_{\text{caug}} = X_{\text{caug}} Y^T + E_{\text{caug}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where $X_{\text{caug}}$ is now the augmented factor (scores) matrix with the augmented day profiles concatenated vertically for the different years and stations, and $Y^T$ is the matrix of the hour profiles again, which are common for all the concatenated matrices in $X_{\text{caug}}$. During the ALS optimization of the bilinear model in Eq. (3), constraints can be also applied and the same aspects in relation to number of components and convergence as for solving Eq. (2) are considered.

2.6 MCR-ALS analysis of the complete experimental data sets using trilinear and quadrilinear constraints

Solving Eq. (3) using bilinear MCR-ALS does not take into account the temporal and spatial structure of
the data in the vertical concatenated mode which includes the information of the day, year and station. This data structure can be considered in the trilinear and specially in the quadrilinear extensions of the bilinear models described in Eq. (1-3).

The factor decomposition model given before can be extended to a three-way dataset, \( D_{i,j,k} \), or to a four-way data set, \( D_{i,j,k,l} \), expressed individually for every data value as given by Eq. (4) and (5).

\[
\begin{align*}
    d_{i,j,k,l} &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{i,n} y_{j,n} z_{k,l,n} + e_{i,j,k,l} & \text{(trilinear model)} \\
    d_{i,j,k,l} &= \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{i,n} y_{j,n} z_{k,l,n} w_{l,n} + e_{i,j,k,l} & \text{(quadrilinear model)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( d_{i,j,k,l} \) are the individual data values (concentrations of \( O_2, NO_2 \) or \( PM_{10} \)) in the four experimental data modes: the day of April or of the full year \( i=1,\ldots,30 \) or \( i=1,\ldots,365 \), the hour of the day \( j=1,\ldots,24 \), and the year-station \( k=1,\ldots,24 \) in the case of the trilinear model, and \( d_{i,j,k,l} \) has the year-station third mode separated in year \( k=1,2,3 \), and station, \( l=1,\ldots,8 \) in the quadrilinear model. These data values are modeled as the sum of a number of components (contributions), \( n=1,\ldots,N \), defined by the product of three factors \( x_{i,n}, y_{j,n} \), and \( z_{k,l,n} \) in the case of the trilinear model and in four factors in the case of the quadrilinear model, \( x_{i,n}, y_{j,n}, z_{k,l,n} \), and \( w_{l,n} \). These factors are related with the three and four data modes respectively (day, hour and year-station or day, hour, year and station), \( e_{i,j,k,l} \) are the part of \( d_{i,j,k,l} \) not explained by the contribution of these \( N \) components. These trilinear and quadrilinear models can be written in a matrix form using the decomposition of every individual \( D_{i,l} \) data slice (every individual matrix \( D_{i,l} \)), as shown in Eq. (6) and (7).

\[
\begin{align*}
    D_{i,l} &= X Z_{i,l} Y^T + E_{i,l} & \text{(trilinear model)} \\
    D_{i,l} &= X Z_{i,l} W_1 Y^T + E_{i,l} & \text{(quadrilinear model)}
\end{align*}
\]

Under the trilinear model, all individual data matrices, \( D_{i,l} \), are simultaneously decomposed with the same number of components \( N \) and the same daily, \( X \) (\( I,N \)) and hourly \( Y^T \) (\( N,J \)) profiles. Thus, they differ only in a diagonal matrix \( Z_{i,l} \), \((N,N)\) different for every one of the \( k=1,\ldots,24 \) year-stations (year-station profiles), which gives the relative amounts of the \( N \) components in every data matrix (year-station), \( D_{i,l} \).

These \( N \) diagonal elements of the \( Z_{i,l} \) can also be grouped in the third factor matrix \( Z \) (\( K \times L, N \)). Under the quadrilinear model, all individual data matrices, \( D_{i,l} \), are simultaneously decomposed with the same number of components \( N \) and the same daily, \( X \) (\( I,N \)) and hourly \( Y^T \) (\( N,J \)) profiles. Thus, they differ in the diagonal matrices \( Z_{i,l} \), \((N,N)\) and \( W_1 \) (\( N,N \)), which are different for every year \((k)\) and station \((l)\), which give the relative amounts of the \( N \) components in every data matrix \( D_{i,l} \) respectively. These \( N \) diagonal elements of the \( Z_{i,l} \) and \( W_1 \) matrices can also be grouped in the third and four factor matrices \( Z \) (\( K,N \)) and \( W(L,N) \). Therefore, the proposed trilinear and quadrilinear models take advantage of the natural structure of the analyzed data sets, especially in relation to their different temporal modes \((i.e.\) hourly, daily, yearly\) and to the different type of monitoring stations analyzed simultaneously. The implementation of trilinear and quadrilinear models as a constraint in the MCR-ALS method has been described in previous works (Tauler, 2021; Malik and Tauler, 2013; Alier et al., 2011) Here only a brief explanation of the case of the implementation of the quadrilinear model constraint for the case of study is shown.
Figure 2. MCR-ALS with the quadrilinearity constraint. Graphical description of the implementation of the quadrilinearity constraint during the Alternating Least Squares optimization. See Eq. (4-7) and their explanation in the manuscript.

Figure 2 shows the practical implementation of the quadrilinearity constraint in the MCR-ALS analysis of the four-way data set obtained in the two type of data, when the April data of the three parameters (O₃, NO₂ and PM₁₀) were studied over the three years (2018-2019 and 2020) and over the different monitoring stations described above, and also for the analogous four-way data set when instead of April data, the full year data were considered for the same years and stations.

The individual data sets with the concentrations of the three parameters (one per year and station), were arranged in the column-wise augmented data matrix Dₐaug of dimensions 30 (April) or 365 days x 3 years x 8 stations, giving a total number of 720 rows for April data or of 8760 rows for the full year data, and 24 hourly measures in columns. These number of row elements is for the case of no-missing data, however they will be lowered for the cases of missing data, especially in the case of the full year data (see previous section in missing data). The application of the quadrilinearity constraint implies that the augmented profiles of every component n, xₐaug having the vertically concatenated information of days times years times stations is first refolded in the data matrix Xₐaug of dimensions 30 (April) or 365 (all year) times 3 (years) rows by 8 (stations). This augmented factor matrix is decomposed by SVD considering only the first singular component into the product of two vector profiles, one long vector profile xₐaug (90 or 1095 x 1) of the combined day-year profile by a vector profile wₙ (8x1) describing differences among the different stations for the component n. xₐaug long vector day-year profile can be further refolded in a matrix and decomposed by SVD into the product of two new vector profiles, one related with the year profile, zₙ, and another with the day profile xₙ, for the considered component n. In this way, for every component
The application of this constraint can be illustrated by MCRs, as described (Soloveychik and Trushin, 2016). Some of the individual data were augmented data matrix containing stations, years and pollutants, and resolved factor matrix and augmented rows of the trilinear and quadrilinear matrices, are now concatenated horizontally giving the new augmented data matrix containing the vector profiles for every component in the different modes, can be grouped in the corresponding factor matrices X, Z and W, which together Y^T give the full quadrilinear decomposition of the four-way data set, D. See previous works for a more detailed description of the algorithm used for the practical implementation of the quadrilinearity constraint in MCR-ALS (De Juan and Tauler, n.d.; De Juan et al., 1998).

2.7 MCR-ALS simultaneous analysis of incomplete multiblock experimental data

The simultaneous analysis of the NO_2, O_3 and PM_{10} experimental data can be done one step forward using a data fusion multiblock strategy. This would imply building a single MCR model for the whole multiset data obtained for the 3 pollutants, NO_2, O_3 and PM_{10} in April or in the whole year, for the three years, 2018, 2019 and 2020, and for the different monitoring stations. This is expressed in the following data matrix equation (see also Fig. 3):

\[ D_{\text{caug}} = [D_{\text{caugNO}_2}, D_{\text{caugO}_3}, D_{\text{caugPM}_{10}}] = X_{\text{caug}} [Y^T_{\text{NO}_2}, Y^T_{\text{O}_3}, Y^T_{\text{PM}_{10}}] = X_{\text{caug}} Y^T_{\text{raug}} \]  

(8)

In this equation the column-wise augmented data matrices, D_{\text{caugNO}_2}, D_{\text{caugO}_3}, and D_{\text{caugPM}_{10}} previously described (and analyzed separately by MCR-ALS with different factor decomposition models, bilinear, trilinear and quadrilinear), are now concatenated horizontally giving the new single row and column-wise super-augmented data matrix D_{\text{caug}} which is decomposed in the two new augmented factor matrices, X_{\text{caug}} and Y^T_{\text{raug}} using the MCR bilinear model and constraints, like it was described in Sect. 2.4. In the augmented rows of the new Y^T_{\text{raug}} will be the resolved hour profiles for the three contaminants Y^T_{\text{NO}_2}, Y^T_{\text{O}_3} and Y^T_{\text{PM}_{10}}. In addition, if the trilinearity/quadrilinearity constraints are applied to the columns of the resolved factor matrix X_{\text{caug}} as described above in Sect. 2.6 using matrix decompositions of Eq. (6) and (7), the common day, year and station profiles will be separately recovered and analyzed.

However as previously described, April and the whole year individual data sets were not obtained for all stations, years and pollutants, and therefore they together could not be fitted in a rectangular super-augmented data matrix containing all the data for all the years and stations as shown in Eq. (8) for D_{\text{caug}}. Some of the individual data sets were missing (see Sect. 2.3 and 2.4). In particular, in the case of April, two different data blocks could be arranged. First, the NO_2, O_3 and PM_{10} concentrations data for 3 years and 6 stations were arranged in the complete row- and column-wise augmented April data block, D_{\text{A1caug}}, with 540 rows (30 days x 3 years x 6 stations) and 72 columns (24 hours for NO_2 + 24 hours for O_3 + 24 hours for PM_{10}). Secondly, the additional NO_2 and O_3 concentration data for 3 years and 2 stations were arranged
in complete row- and column-wise augmented April data block \( \mathbf{DA}_{2\text{aug}} \) with 180 rows (30 days x 3 years x 2 stations) and 48 columns (24 hours for NO\(_2\) + 24 hours for O\(_3\)). These two April data blocks can be analyzed independently, but a new dataset can be built concatenating the two data blocks as shown in Fig. S1, which will be reformulated and analyzed as shown in next Equation.

\[
\mathbf{DA}_{12\text{aug}} = [\mathbf{DA}_{1\text{aug}}, \mathbf{DA}_{2\text{aug}}, \text{NaN}(180,24)] = \mathbf{XA}_{12\text{aug}} \mathbf{YA}_{12\text{aug}}^T = \mathbf{XA}_{12\text{aug}} [\mathbf{Y}_{\text{NO}_2}, \mathbf{Y}_{\text{O}_3}, \mathbf{Y}_{\text{PM}_{10}}]
\] (9)

This new incomplete data set \( \mathbf{DA}_{12\text{aug}} \) is built using the two data blocks previously defined, \( \mathbf{DA}_{1\text{aug}} \) and \( \mathbf{DA}_{2\text{aug}} \), both concatenated vertically and filling the empty data block corresponding to the unknown concentrations of PM\(_{10}\) for two missing stations with the NaN notation. The application of MCR-ALS to this incomplete dataset is decomposed using a bilinear model (see in Fig. 3), giving the two factor matrices \( \mathbf{XA}_{12\text{aug}} \) and \( \mathbf{YA}_{12\text{aug}} \). \( \mathbf{XA}_{12\text{aug}} \) factor matrix has the column-wise augmented day x year x station profiles in its columns and \( \mathbf{YA}_{12\text{aug}} \) factor matrix has the row-wise augmented hour profiles for NO\(_2\) (\( \mathbf{Y}_{\text{NO}_2} \)), O\(_3\) (\( \mathbf{Y}_{\text{O}_3} \)) and PM\(_{10}\) (\( \mathbf{Y}_{\text{PM}_{10}} \)) in its rows. As previously, the trilinear/quadrilinear constraints can be applied during the ALS factor decomposition to the \( \mathbf{XA}_{12\text{aug}} \) factor matrix and allow the separate recovery of the day, year and station profiles, a part of the hour profiles for NO\(_2\), O\(_3\) and PM\(_{10}\) obtained in \( \mathbf{YA}_{12\text{aug}} \).

Analogous equations can be described for the NO\(_2\), O\(_3\) and PM\(_{10}\) experimental data measured not only in April but during the whole year. In this case however the two data blocks, \( \mathbf{DY}_{1\text{aug}} \) and \( \mathbf{DY}_{2\text{aug}} \), will have different sizes than for the only April month data because they are for all the days of the whole year. Different data sets were missing in this case. \( \mathbf{DY}_{1\text{aug}} \) has the data for 5 stations with 5475 rows (365 days x 3 years x 5 stations) and 72 columns (24 hours for NO\(_2\) + 24 hours for O\(_3\) + 24 hours for PM\(_{10}\)), and \( \mathbf{DY}_{2\text{aug}} \) has the additional data for 2 stations but only for NO\(_2\) and O\(_3\) concentrations, with 2190 rows (365 sys x 3 years x 2 stations) and 48 columns (24 hours for NO\(_2\) + 24 hours for O\(_3\)) (see Fig. S2). For the whole year
data, the bilinear factor decomposition can be described by the new Eq. (10).

\[
\text{DY}1_{\text{rang}} = [\text{DY}1_{\text{rang}};[\text{DY}2_{\text{rang}}, \text{NaN}(2190,24)]] = \text{XY}1_{\text{rang}} \text{YY}12^{T}_{\text{rang}} = \text{XY}1_{\text{rang}} [\text{YN}2, \text{YO}3, \text{YP}M10] \tag{10}
\]

Where now \(\text{DY}1_{\text{rang}}\) is the new incomplete data set built with the two data blocks \(\text{DY}1_{\text{rang}}\) and \(\text{DY}2_{\text{rang}}\) concatenated vertically and NaN (2190, 24) is for the missing PM\(_{10}\) concentrations during 3 years in the missing 2 stations (see Supplement Fig. S2). \(\text{XY}1_{\text{rang}}\) and \(\text{YY}12^{T}_{\text{rang}}\) are now the two factor matrices obtained in the bilinear decomposition of \(\text{DY}1_{\text{rang}}\). The first factor matrix \(\text{XY}1_{\text{rang}}\) have the column-wise augmented day x year x station profiles in its columns and the second factor matrix \(\text{YY}12^{T}_{\text{rang}}\) has the hour profiles for NO\(_2\), O\(_3\) and PM\(_{10}\) in its rows. And also as previously, the trilinear/quadrilinear constraints applied during the ALS factor decomposition to the \(\text{XY}1_{\text{rang}}\) factor matrix will allow the separate recovery of the day, year and station profiles, apart from the hour profiles for NO\(_2\) (YN2), O\(_3\) , (YO3), and PM\(_{10}\) (YPM10) obtained in \(\text{YY}12^{T}_{\text{rang}}\). The difference with the results of April data is that now the column-wise augmented profiles in \(\text{XY}1_{\text{rang}}\) will have information about the 365 days of the whole year and not only for the 30 days of April. Supplement Fig. S3 is given to illustrate graphically the bilinear model applied to the incomplete two-blocks data set.

In the proposed approach missing data blocks were not included in the least squares estimations of the factor solutions (\(\text{XY}1_{\text{rang}}\) and \([\text{YN}2, \text{YO}3, \text{YP}M10]\) in Equation 10). On one hand this is an advantage of the proposed method since linear equations are only solved for the known data blocks. But on the other hand, some data regions of the factor solutions (those corresponding to the missing data blocks, NaN block in Equation 10) will not give so much overdetermined linear equations from a least squares point of view as the other data blocks without missing values, and therefore this can be reflected in the reliability of some parts of the factor estimations. This is an important aspect that needs further investigation and some research is pursued in this direction.

### 2.8 Evaluation of MCR-ALS results

The final evaluation of the MCR-ALS fitting results is performed calculating the explained data variances \((R^2)\) using Eq. (11),

\[
R^2 = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (d_{ij} - \hat{d}_{ij})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{ij}^2} \right) \tag{11}
\]

where \(d_{ij}\) are the experimental predicted O\(_3\), NO\(_2\) or PM\(_{10}\) concentrations, and \(\hat{d}_{ij}\) are the corresponding calculated values by MCR-ALS using either the bilinear (Eq. (1-3)), trilinear (Eq. (4) and (6)) or quadrilinear (Eq. (5) and (7)) models. Apart from the global fitting with the full model (all components), the explained variances can be also calculated individually for every MCR-ALS component, where now the calculated values, \(\hat{d}_{ij}\), take only into account one of the \(n\) components of the model. In this way, the relative importance of the different contributions can be evaluated, as well as their overlapping degree with the other contributions or components.
2.8 Software

MATLAB 9.10.0 R2021a (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) was used as the development platform for data analysis and visualization. The new graphical interface MCR-ALS GUI 2.0 (Malik and Tauler, 2013), freely available as a toolbox at the web address http://www.mcrals.info/, was used for bilinear and trilinear data sets. Statistics Toolbox™ for MATLAB and PLS Toolbox 8.9.1 (Eigenvector Research Inc., Wenatchee, WA, USA) were also used in this work. New specific MCR-ALS command line code for incomplete multiblock data sets is under final development and it can be requested to one of the authors (RT, email: roma.tauler@idaea.csic.es).

3. Results and discussion

Results of MCR-ALS will be shown separately for the analysis of the month of April and for the analysis of the entire years. In the study of the month of April, the individual analysis of the three contaminants per separate is firstly performed, using only data from stations with no missing blocks (i.e., data matrices $D_{\text{caug-April-NO}_2}$, $D_{\text{caug-April-\text{O}_3}}$ and $D_{\text{caug-April-PM10}}$, yellow-shaded area of Fig. 1a). Then, a simultaneous analysis of the three contaminants containing incomplete data is performed (i.e., data matrix $D_{\text{A12caug}}$, Fig. S1). In the study of the entire years, again the individual analysis of the three contaminants per separate is initially performed, using only data from stations with no missing blocks (i.e., data matrices $D_{\text{caug-allyear-NO}_2}$, $D_{\text{caug-allyear-\text{O}_3}}$ and $D_{\text{caug-allyear-PM10}}$, yellow-shaded area of Fig. 1b). Then, a simultaneous analysis of the three contaminants containing incomplete data is performed (i.e., data matrix $D_{\text{Y12caug}}$, Fig. S3). In all cases the selection of the number of components and the initial estimates for MCR-ALS were performed as described in Sect. 2.5. A summary of the explained variances of the MCR-ALS analyses for the different data sets with non-negativity and either bilinear, tri-linear or quadrilinear modeling and with different number of components is given in Table 1.

Table 1. MCR-ALS decomposition and explained variances for the different models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCR-ALS bilinear</th>
<th>MCR-ALS quadrilinear</th>
<th>MCR-ALS trilinear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-April-NO}_2}$</td>
<td>4 comp 94.4%</td>
<td>All 78.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-April-\text{O}_3}}$</td>
<td>3 comp 98.4%</td>
<td>All 92.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-April-PM10}}$</td>
<td>3 comp 126.5%</td>
<td>Sum 112.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-allyear-NO}_2}$</td>
<td>5 comp 96.2%</td>
<td>All 90.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-allyear-\text{O}_3}}$</td>
<td>5 comp 135.5%</td>
<td>Sum 111.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MCR-ALS bilinear</th>
<th>MCR-ALS quadrilinear</th>
<th>MCR-ALS trilinear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-allyear-NO}_2}$</td>
<td>4 comp 95.1.0%</td>
<td>All 80.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-allyear-\text{O}_3}}$</td>
<td>3 comp 97.5%</td>
<td>All 90.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-allyear-PM10}}$</td>
<td>3 comp 132.2%</td>
<td>Sum 130.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{caug-Y12}}$</td>
<td>3 comp 88.1%</td>
<td>All 72.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{Y12}}$</td>
<td>5 comp 135.6%</td>
<td>Sum 105.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MCR-ALS for raw data with non-negativity constraint

* MCR-ALS for raw data with non-negativity and quadrilinear constraint
MCR-ALS for raw data with non-negativity and trilinear constraint

Augmented data matrices and number of components (see Fig. 1, Eq. (3), (9) and (10), and explanation in section Data sets arrangement)

MCR-ALS bilinear analysis of April data in the $\mathbf{D}_{\text{caug-April-NO2}}$, $\mathbf{D}_{\text{caug-April-O3}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{caug-April-PM10}}$ data matrices with non-negativity constraints explained respectively 94.40%, 98.4% and 91.8% of the total variance when four, three and three components were considered (Table 1). These values indicate the higher complexity of the NO$_2$ data compared to O$_3$ data as will be shown also below. When the quadrilinear constraint was applied these values decreased to 78.4%, 92.9% and 78.4% respectively, confirming again the less complex and more regular changes of ozone concentrations in the three years at the different monitoring stations.

Variances explained by the individual components are given in the Figures shown below. The amount of variance overlap (also given in Table 1) in every case can be obtained subtracting the sum of the individual variances with the variance obtained with all the components simultaneously. This difference is again larger in the case of NO$_2$. In Table 1 also, the variances obtained when the trilinearity constraint was applied, instead of the quadrilinearity constrain, are also given, with similar results to those obtained by both multilinear models. In the case of MCR-ALS of all-year data of the $\mathbf{D}_{\text{caug-allyear-NO2}}$, $\mathbf{D}_{\text{caug-allyear-O3}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{caug-allyear-PM10}}$ data matrices, rather similar results to those from April were obtained in terms of explained variances for all three type of models (see Table 1), reflecting again the higher complexity of the NO$_2$ data over the years and stations compared to O$_3$ data, and the intermediate behavior of PM10 data, although the later more similar to the NO$_2$ data.

Possible correlations between NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$ data sets during the month of April of 2018, 2019 and 2020 and in the eight stations were investigated using the incomplete data arrangement described in Sect. 2.7 and Fig. S1. MCR-ALS analysis of $\mathbf{DA12}_{\text{caug}}$ with five components and with only negativity constraints gave a total explained variance of 96.2% (Table 1). When the quadrilinearity constraint was applied, the total explained variance decreased down to 90.7% (91.2% for the MCR-ALS trilinear). Such decrease between bilinear and quadrilinear MCR-ALS models of only 5% indicated a good quadrilinear behavior of the whole system in April. The explained variances of each component individually are given below with the corresponding Figures of the resolved profiles. In the case of the simultaneous study of NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$ profiles along all the three years (i.e., 2018, 2019 and 2020) in the seven stations using the incomplete data arrangement described in Sect. 2.7 and Fig. S3. Results using five components indicated also a rather good quadrilinear behavior of the system. A more detailed description of the profiles describing the concentration changes of the three pollutants and of the behavior of the whole systems formed by all of them in the different stations and during the three years, separately for April and for the all year, is given below.

3.1. Study of the month of April

3.1.1. NO$_2$ study ($\mathbf{D}_{\text{caug-April-NO2}}$ data matrix)

In Fig. 4, from left to right, the profiles of the different modes of the four components are shown: $x$-day (blue), $z$-year (black), $w$-station (green) and $y$-hours (red). Component profiles in the four modes obtained by MCR-ALS when using non-negativity and quadrilinearity constraints are shown in Fig. 4.
NO₂ hour profile of first component (C1) showed a narrow maximum between 9:00-11:00 hours, coincident with the rush traffic hour and due to fuel combustion by vehicles. In second component (C2) this hour profile presented a much wider peak during daily hours (10:00-20:00h), again potentially attributed to the combined effects of traffic emissions and ozone formation (see below). Third component (C3) reached an hourly maximum in the late evening, approximately at 22:00 hours whereas forth component (C4) showed a maximum between 00:00 and 05:00 hours, describing the NO₂ night behavior. As observed in the year profiles (z-mode), for all the components, NO₂ contributions showed a significant decrease in 2019 and even higher in 2020 respect to 2018; the latter possibly attributed to the COVID-19 curfew and mobility restrictions. Moreover, as observed in the station profiles (w-mode), such depletion was consequently more notorious in the three urban stations Vall d’Hebron (1), Granollers (2) and Gràcia (6), which were the stations with higher NO₂ concentration levels. Considering that the principal emission source of NO₂ is traffic, it is reasonable that the four MCR-ALS resolved components evidenced a decline in the year-mode, corresponding to a diminution in April 2020 (under the strictest lockdown), compared to 2019 (under no pandemia) and to 2018 (under no pandemia and no other traffic restrictions in Barcelona, such as the low emission zones(LEZ - Àrea Metropolitana de Barcelona, 2020)). Moreover, as stated in a previous study of the authors(Gorrochategui et al., 2021), in April 2020 an historical record of rainfall was registered in the control site of Observatory Fabra. Therefore, the highly rainy conditions of April 2020 favored the cleansing of the atmosphere, including NO₂ gases. Finally, the day profiles (x-mode) for the different components did not show any particular pattern for the different days of the month.

Figure 4. MCR-ALS analysis of NO₂ concentrations in the column-wise super-augmented data matrix D_{caug.} April-NO₂ (Eq. (3)) using non-negativity and quadrilinearity constraints. Profiles of the four different data modes are given in different colors: (X) in blue days of April; (Z) in black year 1=2018, 2=2019 and 3= 2020; (W) in
green stations 1: Vall d’Hebron, 2: Granollers, 3: Manlleu, 4: Juneda, 5: Bellver, 6: Gràcia, 7: Begur, 8: Observatori Fabra; and (Y) in red, hours of the day.

3.1.2. $\text{O}_3$ study ($D_{\text{caug-April}}\text{-O}_3$ data matrix)

Profiles obtained by MCR-ALS for the three components using non-negativity and quadrilinearity constraints are shown in Fig. 5. MCR-ALS hourly (y-mode) resolved profiles of the first component (C1) showed a maximum between 14:00 and 22:00h, due to the cumulative solar radiation. There was practically no difference on this component among stations, among years nor among the days of the month. The MCR hourly resolved profile of the second component (C2) showed a different $\text{O}_3$ profile, corresponding to the concentration at night. As observed in the w-mode, $\text{O}_3$ concentration at night was higher in the rural station of Begur and in the control site Observatori Fabra, the latter emplaced in Collserola mountain and receiving only some impact from Barcelona’s city. The higher $\text{O}_3$ nightly concentration observed in these stations is due to the fact that in inner rural areas, as well as in the control site, with low anthropogenic activities, the titration effect (i.e., ozone destruction under no solar radiation) produced by $\text{NO}_2$ emissions is generally not observed, resulting in higher average $\text{O}_3$ concentrations than in urban areas. Finally, third component (C3) showed again a maximum between 16:00 and 21:00h, similar to the behavior described by C1, but narrower and with a pattern among stations different to C1.

![Figure 5. MCR-ALS analysis of $\text{O}_3$ concentrations in the column-wise super-augmented data matrix $D_{\text{caug-April}}\text{-O}_3$ (Eq. (3)) using non-negativity and quadrilinearity constraints. Profiles of the four different data modes are given in different colors: (X) in blue days of April; (Z) in black year 1=2018, 2=2019 and 3= 2020; (W) in green stations 1: Vall d’Hebron, 2: Granollers, 3: Manlleu, 4: Juneda, 5: Bellver, 6: Gràcia, 7: Begur, 8: Observatori Fabra; and (Y) in red, hours of the day.]

3.1.3. $\text{PM}_{10}$ study ($D_{\text{caug-April-PM}_{10}}$ data matrix)
Profiles obtained by MCR-ALS for these three components using non-negativity and quadrilinearity constraints are shown in Fig. 6. MCR hourly resolved profiles in the y-mode for the three resolved components indicated a wide maximum between 00:00 and 15:00h (C1), between 15:00 and 22:00h (C2) and between 20:00 and 22:00h (C3). As observed in the year profile (z-mode), PM$_{10}$ contribution decreased in 2019 but most significantly in 2020, probably due to the COVID-19 lockdown. This behavior was the same observed for NO$_2$ (Fig. 4), and it is due to the fact that among the PM$_{10}$ sources, traffic should be also included. Moreover, such depletion was more evident in the urban stations profile (w-mode) of Vall d’Hebron, Granollers and Gràcia.

3.1.4. NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$ simultaneous study (DA12$_{craug}$ data matrix)

MCR-ALS resolved profiles of the DA12$_{craug}$ data matrix (see Method Sect. 2.7) are given in Fig. 7. Results obtained for the hour profiles (y-mode, in red) for the three pollutants, NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$, are overlaid in the same plot with the same hour time axis. In this way, possible correlations among the different pollutants can be better explored in these plots. Profiles of components 1 (C1) and 2 (C2) mostly described the O$_3$ pollution: C1 hour profile showed an ozone day-time profile with a wide maximum between 12:00 and 22:00h and C2 described the ozone night-time profile, again with a large maximum between 00:00 and 10:00h. Component 3 described both PM$_{10}$ and NO$_2$ correlated pollution sources, with particulate matter having the highest contribution. The correlation between NO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$ can be due to the common sources of these contaminants (i.e., traffic and industry). Component 4 described the night-time profile of NO$_2$ and
last component 5 showed the daily NO₂ profile with two maxima, one in the morning (10:00-15:00h) and another at the late evening (20:00-22:00h), probably associated to the traffic. From the year profiles in z-mode, the evolution of the pollution in the month of April along 2018, 2019 and 2020 could be elucidated. Interestingly, for components 1 and 2 (mostly describing O₃ pollution), the variation remained rather constant for the month of April during these three years. Moreover, the variation among stations in the profiles (w-mode) for the two first components was very little. Only in component 2, the stations of Begur and Observatori Fabra showed a higher O₃ contribution, probably due to the lower titration effect produced in rural areas and in the Observatori Fabra control site, as previously observed in the individual MCR-ALS analysis of O₃ data. In contrast, the variation among stations and among years was more significant for the rest of components (C3-C5), mainly describing NO₂ and PM₁₀ contamination. As observed in z-modes, contamination by NO₂ and PM₁₀ was lower in 2019 and even lower in 2020. Considering that the most important source of NO₂ is traffic, the decrease in 2019 can be explained by the implementation of the low emission zones (LEZs (LEZ - Área Metropolitana de Barcelona, 2020)) in Barcelona, as a traffic restriction policy, first implemented on 2017 and finally put into permanent effect on January 1, 2020. However, the decrease observed in 2020 might be mostly associated to the COVID-19 lockdown restrictions, being April 2020, the time when the strictest confinement was declared in Catalonia (Real Decreto-ley 10/2020, de 29 de marzo, por el que se regula un permiso retribuido recuperable para las personas trabajadoras por cuenta ajena que no presten servicios esenciales, con el fin de reducir la movilidad de la población en el contexto de la 1, 2020; Real Decreto 463/2020de 14 de marzo, por el que se declara el estado de alarma para la gestión de la situación de crisis sanitaria ocasionada por el COVID-19., 2020). Regarding the variation among stations, components C3 to C5 showed a higher NO₂ and PM₁₀ contribution in three urban stations (Vall d’Hebron, Granollers and Gràcia), which is in accordance to the higher traffic density registered on these sites. The results observed for components C3 to C5 regarding NO₂ and PM₁₀ pollution were in concordance to those of their respective individual models evidencing the good performance of the MCR-ALS simultaneous analysis of the incomplete multiblock data sets and the confirmation of the reliability of the proposed approach.
3.2. Study of the entire years

3.2.1. NO$_2$ study (DA$_{\text{rang}}$-alyear-NO$_2$ data matrix)

Profiles obtained by MCR-ALS using non-negativity and quadrilinearity constraints are shown in Fig. S4. The hour profiles of the 4 resolved components in the analysis of the entire year were similar to those obtained in the analysis of the month of April: C1 hour profile in April’s model was equivalent to C3 hour profile in all years’ model and C2 and C4 hour profiles were equivalent in both models. Also, the diminution observed in z-mode profile in 2019 and in a bigger extent in 2020 in the month of April was also produced when analyzing all the year, but in a lesser extent. This might be due to the fact that the traffic restriction policies were mostly implemented during the strictest confinement (from March 14$^{th}$ to May 4$^{th}$ in Catalonia) and were gradually removed in the de-escalation phases (Gorrochategui et al., 2021). Also, the extraordinary rainy conditions registered in April 2020 (Gorrochategui et al., 2021) were not registered for the rest of the months, making the NO$_2$ depletion less noticeable in the analysis of the whole year. Regarding stations, the ones showing the higher contribution were the same three urban stations (i.e., Vall d’Hebron, Granollers and Gràcia) observed in the study of the month of April. Observe also that in the day-of-year x-profiles some seasonal tendencies can be observed in C1 and C2, with their lower intensities in the middle of the profile corresponding to the warmer seasons with higher sunlight radiation and higher NO$_2$ depletion due to the photochemical reaction to form O$_3$. C3 and C4 year profiles did not show major differences over the year.

3.2.2. O$_3$ study (DA$_{\text{rang}}$-alyear-O$_3$ data matrix)

Only few differences between the analysis of the entire years versus that of the month of April were observed in the inter-year z-mode (Fig. S5). Component 2 in all years’ model, corresponding to a late evening peak of O$_3$, suffered a slightly significant increase in 2019 and 2020 respect to 2018, which was not observed in the analysis of the month of April. Such increment can be explained by the reduction of the titration effect, which was a little higher when considering all the year. The diminution of C3 in 2019 and in 2020 was also more evident when analyzing the entire years. In this case, this component was associated to the daily maximum of O$_3$, coincident with the sunlight hours and summer and spring seasons, when the photochemical reactions with NO$_2$ take place to form ozone. The reason why the changes in O$_3$ were more evident when considering all year instead of when analyzing just the month of April, opposed to what happened with NO$_2$, might be due to the fact that despite the traffic restrictions were gradually removed in the de-escalation phases, the curfew policies remained, causing a potential cumulative suppression of the titration effect.

3.2.3. PM$_{10}$ study (DA$_{\text{rang}}$-alyear-PM$_{10}$ data matrix)
As occurred with NO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{3}, the profiles of the components in PM\textsubscript{10} MCR-ALS analysis of all year were similar to those obtained in the analysis of the month of April (Fig. S6). C1 and C3 hours profile in April’s model were equivalent to C3 and C1 in all years’ model, respectively, and C2 described the same PM\textsubscript{10} profile in both models. Also, the diminution observed in 2019 and in a bigger extent in 2020 in the month of April was also produced when analyzing all the year, but in a lesser extent, as stated for NO\textsubscript{2}. Moreover, the meteorological stations with higher contribution in the model were the same than in the model of April, except for Manlleu, which showed a significant contribution in C2 of this model for the first time when the entire year PM\textsubscript{10} data were investigated.

3.2.4. NO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3} and PM\textsubscript{10} simultaneous study (DY12\textsubscript{craug} data matrix)

MCR-ALS resolved profiles of DY12\textsubscript{craug} are given in Fig. 8. As observed in the y-mode profiles, components 1 and 2 mostly described O\textsubscript{3} pollution: C1 showed an ozone profile with little daily variation whereas C2 described a wide O\textsubscript{3} maximum between 14:00 and 20:00h. Moreover, the seasonal trend of C2 (x-mode) showed a wide maximum coincident with the solar radiation registered in summer and spring months. C2 was higher in the urban stations and lower in the rural station of Begur, which could indicate that such O\textsubscript{3} resulted from the photochemical reaction among NO\textsubscript{x} in the presence of sunlight in highly transited areas. Component 3 clearly showed the nighttime profile of O\textsubscript{3}, with a wide maxim between 17:00 and 00:00h. Interestingly, this component was the only one showing clearly an increase in 2019 and 2020 respect to 2018. As explained in the individual model, such increase is due to the diminution of the titration effect. Component 4 described the NO\textsubscript{2} profile, with a first maximum between 09:00 and 12:00h and a second but lower maximum at late evening (20:00-00:00h). Component 5 described the simultaneous contribution of NO\textsubscript{2} and PM\textsubscript{10}, with higher contribution of PM\textsubscript{10}, having again the same two-maxima profile observed in component 5 for NO\textsubscript{2}. Interestingly, both components 5 and 6 presented maximums in the urban stations (Vall d’Hebron, Granollers and Gràcia) and a decrease in 2020, due to the traffic diminution registered during the COVID-19 lockdown.

Figure 8. MCR-ALS results of the simultaneous analysis of NO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3} and PM\textsubscript{10} for the entire years (incomplete super-augmented data matrix DY12\textsubscript{craug}) using non-negativity and quadrilinearity constraints. Profiles of the four different data modes are given in different colors: days of the month April (X) in blue, year (Z) in black,
stations (W) in green and hours (Y) in red. In the y-mode, the hourly profiles of the three contaminants are overlapped. Capital letters in the figure indicate the different stations: 1: Vall d’Hebron, 2: Granollers, 3: Manlleu, 4: Juneda, 5: Bellver, 6: Gràcia, 7: Begur.

4. Conclusions

MCR-ALS with quadrilinearity constraints has demonstrated to be a powerful tool to resolve the principal contamination profiles of four-way environmental datasets, even when containing missing data blocks. The main advantage provided by the use of quadrilinearity constraints is the better and easier interpretability of the profiles, which appear more condensed and concise.

In this study, resolved MCR profiles using quadrilinearity constraints have been shown to describe adequately the different patterns and evolution of NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$ contamination during the different hours of the day, during the different days (hourly and daily variations) for the two periods of time evaluated: month of April versus the entire year for 2018, 2019 and 2020. For each period of time studied, the individual models of the contaminants together with their simultaneous analysis have been performed.

The simultaneous analysis of the incomplete multiblock data sets allowed the exploration of the potential correlations among the three contaminants, which was easily interpretable with the representation of overlapped NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$ hourly profiles. Interestingly, both in the study of the month of April and the study of the entire years, the simultaneous analysis of the three contaminants evidenced a correlation between NO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$, due to their common pollution sources (i.e., traffic and industry). Moreover, the profiles of these two contaminants showed an inter-year decrease, due to the introduction of LEZs (LEZ - Área Metropolitana de Barcelona, 2020) in 2019 and due to the COVID-19 lockdown restrictions and to the high amount of rainfall registered in April 2020(Real Decreto 463/2020 de 14 de marzo, por el que se declara el estado de alarma para la gestión de la situación de crisis sanitaria ocasionada por el COVID-19, 2020). Such decrease was consistently higher in the three most transited urban stations studied: Vall d’Hebron, Granollers and Gràcia.

On the other hand, MCR-ALS ozone profiles both in individual and simultaneous models presented an opposite inter-year trend, especially when analyzing the entire years. Globally, O$_3$ profiles showed an increase in 2019 and in 2020 respect to 2018, which can be attributed to the diminution of the titration effect linked to the lockdown and curfew restrictions. Such effect was more evident in inner rural areas and in the control site (i.e., Begur and Observatori Fabra), where the amount of NO$_3$ necessary to react with ozone and to produce its suppression is lower compared to urban areas due to the smaller traffic density and industrial activity.

Overall, this work contributes to the better knowledge of the evolution of NO$_2$, O$_3$ and PM$_{10}$ contamination in eight rural and urban areas of Catalonia during the two years before the COVID-19 (i.e., 2018 and 2019) and the year itself of the pandemic (i.e., 2020). The work also highlights: (a) the capacity of MCR-ALS with quadrilinearity constraints to perform simultaneous analysis of different contamination sources to study potential correlations among them and (b) the good performance of this approach in the analysis of complex four-way environmental data sets containing missing data blocks, providing concise and easy interpretable results.
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