

Details answers to comments for manuscript egusphere-2022-1153

- **Comments from the Referees are shown in bold,**
- Page and Line numbers refer to the revised manuscript with changes shown.

Referee#1.

Authors studied the potential of the bloom-forming cyanobacterial species, *Microcystis aeruginosa*, for its ability to produce one of the most important greenhouse gases, N₂O. This species is also responsible for quite toxic blooms and thus also important from the standpoint of water quality and safety. Most N in lakes is in the form of NO₃ or NH₄, not NO₂, so the much lower level of N₂O formation in the presence of NO₃ and NH₄ than in NO₂ suggests this species is not a major N₂O producer in lakes. It was interesting that there was no homolog to NirK (Table 2). While their work definitively demonstrated that this noxious bacterial species does indeed produce N₂O in both dark and light-grown cultures, there were only allusions to its global importance as no field-relevant work was presented. Clearly studies involving eutrophic lakes/ponds are needed in order to establish the global significance of this species in greenhouse gas emissions. This study is a solid beginning.

We thank Referee#1 for his/her review.

We fully agree our results cannot be used to suggest *Microcystis aeruginosa* synthesise nitrous oxide (N₂O) in natural environments, but we also argue we cannot infer that they do not: Significant N₂O emissions were indeed reported from outdoor cultures of *C. vulgaris* fed nitrate (NO₃⁻, Guieysse et al., 2013; Plouviez et al., 2017), despite this alga also producing much more N₂O when fed nitrite (NO₂⁻, Guieysse et al., 2013). We believe this was caused by NO₂⁻ intracellular accumulation under varying light, as this condition is known to have different impacts on the rate of NO₃⁻ reduction into NO₂⁻ by NR and the rate of NO₂⁻ reduction into NH₄⁺ by NiR (Plouviez et al., 2017). We also respectfully note that N₂O emissions under NO₃⁻ supply were low, but not negligible. We clarified this potential for emissions under NO₃⁻ supply in Section 2.4.

Therefore, without evidence from field measurements, we cannot conclude that *M. aeruginosa* is or is not a major N₂O producer in lakes. We clarified that point in Section 2.4 and as mentioned by us (Abstract, Section 2.4 and Conclusions) and the referee, further research is needed.

With regards to NirK, *M. aeruginosa* possess a nitrate reductase (NiR) (Chen et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2015) but no homologs of *C. reinhardtii* NirK copper-containing nitrite reductase was found. Further research is needed to determine if NiR in *M. aeruginosa* can also catalyse the reduction of NO₂⁻ into NO.

Referee#2.

The work by Fabisik et al shows that the cyanobacteria *Microcystis aeruginosa* produces nitrous oxide. This work confirms predictions already made that organisms harbouring CYP55 and FLV genes are able to generate N₂O in conditions that favour intracellular NO₂⁻ production (Plouviez et al, 2017; Burlacot et al, 2020). The novelty of this work relies on showing that these predictions already made for eukaryotes are valid in procaryotes.

The written of the article could be improved as some parts of sentences are difficult to understand (i.e. line 76 "Intracellular NO₂ was not possible" or line 41-42 "N₂O was only significant in cultures...")

Major comment: while the evidence shown in the article are clear that *Microcystis* is producing N₂O when supplied with NO₂⁻; it is unclear why the amount of cells does not seem to change the production of N₂O (in Fig. 2). This discrepancy is not discussed by the authors who instead state wrongly that "Further assays showed a positive correlation between biomass concentration and N₂O production (Fig. 2), confirming the biological origin of N₂O synthesis" line 43-44. This should be discussed.

Minor comment: Line 69 the authors discuss the possibility of a light-dependent mechanism that could impact enzymatic activity for N₂O production. However, they do not consider O₂ production by photosynthesis...It has been shown at least for one the enzymes (FLV) that it can also catalyze the conversion of O₂ into H₂O, making it's production of N₂O sensitive to O₂ (Burlacot et al, 2020). Given the close chemical properties of NO and O₂, it is likely the case for all enzymes converting NO to N₂O.

Therefore, the hypothesis that the O₂ (produced by photosynthesis during the light) would hamper N₂O production by competitively limiting the number of enzymes available for converting NO to N₂O is probably the most parcimonious (and already shown for one enzyme involved) and should be discussed.

We thank Referee#2 for his/her review.

We modified the manuscript to improve the readability. For instance:

The sentence "As can be seen in Fig. 1, N₂O was only significant in cultures supplied NO₂⁻ as there was no significant production in the absence of the cyanobacterium (abiotic control) or the absence of NO₂⁻ (negative control).", has been modified to: 'As can be seen in Fig. 1, N₂O production was only recorded in cultures supplied NO₂⁻ as there was no significant production in the absence of the cyanobacterium (abiotic control) or the absence of NO₂⁻ (negative control).' (P2 Li 41-43)

The sentence "Intracellular NO₂⁻ was not possible when NH₄⁺ was supplied as the sole exogenous N source, explaining the absence of N₂O production (p-value = 0.91, two samples t-test when compared with the negative controls)", has been modified to: 'Intracellular NO₂⁻ production and accumulation is not expected when cells assimilate NH₄⁺ (Plouviez et al., 2019), explaining the absence of N₂O production in the flasks supplied NH₄⁺ as sole exogenous N source (p-value = 0.91, two samples t-test when compared with the negative controls)'. (P5 Li 84-86).

Regarding the major comment, we respectfully note that Figure 2 shows N₂O production normalized per g-DW⁻¹. Because the production rates (slope of the near-linear production curves expressed in nmole of N₂O produced per hour and per gram of cyanobacteria initially present in the flasks) are similar for the three biomass concentrations tested (**Table 1**), our results showed that there is a relation between biomass concentration (g-DW·L⁻¹) and N₂O production (nmol N₂O·hr⁻¹). Our statement is therefore correct. To improve clarity, we included "normalized N₂O production" on the y axis and in the caption of Figure 2, and we included the data presented in Table 1 below as supplementary information S2.

Table 1: N₂O production rates (nmol N₂O·g DW⁻¹·h⁻¹) recorded from the linear regressions performed for each *M. aeruginosa* biomass concentrations (0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 g-DW·L⁻¹)

Initial biomass (g-DW·L ⁻¹)	N ₂ O (nmol N ₂ O·g-DW ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹)	R ²
0.1	128	0.99
0.2	123	0.97
0.4	124	0.93

About the minor comment, we added P4-5 Li 71-77: "However, O₂ production during photosynthesis could also influence N₂O synthesis. Burlacot et al. (2020) indeed reported that one of the enzymes involved in NO reduction to N₂O (Flavodiiron, as discussed in the next section) can also catalyse the reduction of O₂ into H₂O. Because of this dual activity and the reactivity of NO with O₂, N₂O production could be sensitive to O₂. Further research is therefore needed to understand if O₂ influence N₂O production by competitive NO conversion to products such as nitrogen oxides and peroxynitrite, or/and by competitive O₂ reduction into H₂O instead of its reduction to N₂O by the enzymes with nitric reductase ability.

Referee#3

In this manuscript, the authors investigate the production of nitrous oxide by the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* and find that the addition of oxidized Din species, and especially nitrite, fosters the production of N₂O. The paper as such is well-structured and clearly written, and I find that the results as such are novel and clearly deserve publication as a letter in Biogeosciences.

However, I do have some comments regarding the biogeochemical relevance of the investigated process. I think addressing this may increase the impact of the manuscript. Generally, I would like to urge the authors to think towards environmental consequences and applications of the mechanisms they investigate – i.e., how likely is N₂O synthesis under environmental conditions? Do you expect it at all, given that nitrite additions in the treatments by far exceeded environmental concentrations? And if so, what regions may be most sensitive or prone to N₂O production? Do you expect N₂O production to increase in the light of increasing oxygen minima, which may lead to increased environmental [NO₂-]? Are there industrial applications where this N₂O production needs to be considered (although I do not really expect *M. aeruginosa* in WWTPs)? Not all these questions need to be answered, but including this line of thought would in my opinion make the manuscript much more accessible to the readership of Biogeosciences.

We thank Referee#3 for his/her review and helpful recommendations. As can be seen in the responses to the specific comments below, we have considered all the comments and we have specifically expanded Section 2.4.

As can be seen in our response to Referee#1 we cannot conclude that *M. aeruginosa* (or other species) is or is not a major N₂O producer in lakes and other aquatic environments without evidence from field measurements. Indeed, high nitrite (NO₂⁻) concentrations are rare in natural and engineered ecosystems environments, which would suggest insignificant microalgal N₂O production in most context. But our experience is that significant N₂O emissions can still be observed under very low exogenous NO₂⁻ concentration, potentially due to the intracellular accumulation of this metabolite of the nitrate assimilation pathway (Plouviez et al., 2017a,b). The aim of this work was/is indeed to raise awareness and to trigger further research in the field. The work from Weathers and Niedzielski (1986) and ours suggest that *Nostoc spp.*, *Aphanocapsa* (PCC 6308), *Aphanocapsa* (PCC 6714) and *M. aeruginosa* have the ability to synthesize N₂O. Consequently, other cyanobacteria species may also have this ability. Considering the wide distribution of cyanobacteria in the environment (including in some wastewater treatment systems, Romanis et al., 2021), extensive monitoring (i.e. long-term with wide spatial coverage and high sampling frequency) of several types of microalgae-rich environments are required (see the expanded Section 2.4).

In addition, I have a few specific comments listed below:

Lines 58/59 and Figure 3 – this is about the only mention (and use) of enzyme kinetics and characteristics. I think that in Biogeosciences, this would either need some more information, or it may be moved to the supplementary material to make room for discussion of environmental consequences. You do not really discuss the kinetics anyway, and I think a supplement would not harm the overall scope of the manuscript. In Figure 3, please indicate v_{max} and K_m.

The figure was moved to the supplementary material S3, and we included V_{max} and K_m on the Figure.

Typos – please change nmole to nmol (Fig 1), and check for typos, such as numerous brackets opened and not closed, e.g. lines 91, 92

We changed the y axis label (Fig 1, P3) and we corrected typos and missing punctuation.

Line 76 – “intracellular No2- was not possible...” Odd wording. Additionally, I am not sure whether it really is “not possible”, given that cyanobacteria may always come up with O2 from somewhere. Please rephrase.

We agree that our initial sentence was unclear and as can be seen in our response to Referee#2 we rephrased to: ‘Intracellular NO₂⁻ production and accumulation is not expected when cells assimilate NH₄⁺ (Plouviez et al., 2019b), explaining the absence of N₂O production in the flasks supplied NH₄⁺ as sole exogenous N source (p-value = 0.91, two samples t-test when compared with the negative controls)’ (P5 Li 84-86).

Lines 77 – 81 – I am not sure what the authors want to say here, why is the regulation with regards to light relevant? Especially given that there is so little difference in N2O production? I cannot really see what the (environmental) applications would be.

Our results showed that N₂O synthesis was lower in light than in darkness as previously reported for other species in the laboratory (Guieysse et al., 2013, Plouviez et al., 2017b). However, N₂O production was positively correlated with light supply in *C. vulgaris* grown outdoors and supplied NO₃⁻ (Plouviez et al., 2017a). Plouviez et al., 2017a suggested that NR activation by light generated intracellular NO₂⁻ from NO₃⁻ reduction (as part of the normal nitrate assimilation pathway) and that a small amount of this intracellular NO₂⁻ was converted to N₂O (see reply to Referee#1), the main fraction being ‘normally’ further reduced and assimilated into proteins and other biomolecules. The gene encoding NR in *M. aeruginosa* has the same function than in *C. vulgaris* i.e. convert NO₃⁻ to NO₂⁻. Because NR activity is influenced by light and the availabilities of NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ in *M. aeruginosa* (Chen et al., 2009; Ohashi et al., 2011; Chen and Liu, 2015), it is possible that light influences NR

activity (i.e. the rate of intracellular NO_2^- production) and, thereby, the rate of N_2O synthesis under outdoor conditions. In addition, as suggested by Referee#2, light might also indirectly influence N_2O synthesis by influencing the activity of FLVs via O_2 synthesis during photosynthesis (as can be seen in the response to Referee#2, this will be discussed in the new version of the manuscript).

Lines 94/95 – this is your result, correct? The mix of results and discussion section makes this sometimes hard to distinguish, please clarify.

This is indeed our results. We rephrased as follow (P5 Li 98): ‘Interestingly, NO_2^- reduction into NO by nitrate reductase (narB) has been demonstrated in *M. aeruginosa* (Tang et al., 2011; Song et al., 2017) and here we found that *M. aeruginosa* possesses homologs of the CYP55, FLVs, and HCPs found in *C. reinhardtii* (Table. 2).’

Line 107 – as a biogeochemist, the allelopathic response is unclear to me. Please add a short explanation/definition.

To improve clarity, we modified the sentence to (P6 Li 111-112): “Interestingly, NO stimulates the production of secondary metabolites (e.g. linoleic acid) by *M. aeruginosa* that inhibit the growth of competitors (Song et al., 2017). NO also promotes the growth of this cyanobacteria (Tang et al., 2011).”

Lines 108 – 110 – is this hypothesis yours, or can you back it up with references? The reference to further research should be deleted here, this is rather suitable for conclusions.

This hypothesis is ours and we removed “Further research is needed.”

Line 113 – which groups of microalgae have been found to synthesize N_2O ? Please specify.

In section 2.4 we already indicated that: “the N_2O synthesis rates reported during our study are in the same order of magnitude as the rate previously reported for members of the green microalgae, cyanobacteria, and diatoms (Bauer et al., 2016; Plouviez et al., 2019b).”

However, we modified section 2.4 (see below) and we included the following sentence (P6 Li117-119):

‘Microalgae species from at least 3 divisions (Chlorophyta, Bacillariophyta, Cyanobacteria) have the ability to synthesise NO (Kim et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2015; Plouviez et al., 2017b; Tang et al., 2011) and/or N_2O (Weathers, 1984; Weathers and Niedzielski, 1986; Guieysse et al., 2013; Kamp et al., 2013; Plouviez et al., 2017a, b, this study).’

Generally, I think this section 2.4 might be expanded, please see my general comments above.

We expanded Section 2.4 to (P6 Li117 – P7 Li 156):

Microalgae species from at least 3 divisions (Bacillariophyta, Chlorophyta, Cyanobacteria) have the ability to synthesize NO (Kim et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2015; Plouviez et al., 2017b; Tang et al., 2011) and/or N_2O (Weathers, 1984; Weathers and Niedzielski, 1986; Guieysse et al., 2013; Kamp et al., 2013; Plouviez et al., 2017a, b, this study). All these observations suggest that the ability to synthesize N_2O is widely distributed among microalgae. Critically, N_2O emissions from aquatic environments where microalgae abound, such as oceans, lakes and engineered cultivation systems, have been repeatedly reported (Bauer et al., 2016; Plouviez et al., 2019b; Plouviez et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2022) even under very low exogenous NO_2^- concentrations (Plouviez et al., 2019b). These emissions can be explained by intracellular NO_2^- production during reductive nitrate assimilation (Plouviez et al., 2017a, b, 2019b) under conditions when excess NO_2^- production (Bristow et al., 2015; French et al., 1983; Mortonson and Brooks, 1980; Schaefer and Hollibaugh, 2018) could support N_2O synthesis.

Based on the data available, DelSontro et al. (2018) and Plouviez and Guieysse, (2020) estimated that global N_2O emissions from eutrophic lakes alone could represent 110 to 450 kt $\text{N-N}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$, which represent 14-56% of the natural and anthropogenic N_2O emissions reported from inland and coastal waters (Tian et al., 2020). Importantly, DelSontro et al. (2018) predicted that N_2O emissions from lakes and impoundments would increase with lake size and chlorophyll a concentration. The N_2O synthesis rates reported during our study are in the same order of magnitude as the rate previously reported for members of the green microalgae, cyanobacteria, and diatoms (Bauer et al., 2016; Plouviez et al., 2019a). However, we cannot conclude that *M. aeruginosa* (or other species) is or is not a major N_2O producer in lakes and other aquatic environments without evidence from field measurements. Indeed, high NO_2^- concentrations are rare in natural and engineered ecosystems environments, which would suggest insignificant microalgal N_2O production in most contexts. Nevertheless, significant N_2O emissions were reported from outdoor cultures of *C. vulgaris* fed NO_3^- (Guieysse et al., 2013; Plouviez et al., 2017), despite this alga also producing much more N_2O when fed NO_2^- (Guieysse et al., 2013). Plouviez et al. (2017) suggested this was caused by NO_2^- intracellular accumulation under varying light, as this condition is known to have different impacts on the rate of NO_3^- reduction into NO_2^- by NR and the rate of NO_2^- reduction into NH_4^+ by NiR. During our study, N_2O emissions under NO_3^- supply were low, but not negligible. Because NR activity is also influenced by light and the availabilities of NO_3^- and NO_2^- in *M. aeruginosa* (Chen et al., 2009; Ohashi et al., 2011; Chen and Liu, 2015), N_2O synthesis by this microalga could possibly occur in environments where NO_3^- is the main nitrogen source.

Our findings support past predictions of the global relevance of photosynthetic N_2O emissions from eutrophic aquatic bodies as Microcystis is globally found and often the dominant genus in these ecosystems (Qian et al., 2010; Kataoka et al., 2020;

Zhou et al., 2020). The work from Weathers and Niedzielski (1986) and ours suggest that *Nostoc spp.*, *Aphanocapsa* (PCC 6308), *Aphanocapsa* (PCC 6714) and *M. aeruginosa* have the ability to synthesize N₂O. Consequently, other cyanobacteria species may also have this ability. Further research is now needed to quantify N₂O emissions from eutrophic aquatic ecosystems where cyanobacteria abound. This is especially timely considering that the frequency and geographic distribution of harmful algae blooms have increased due to anthropogenic activities (Paerl et al., 2018; Kataoka et al., 2020). In addition, algae blooms can lead to the decrease of O₂ in oceans, coastal waters and lakes (Jenny et al., 2015; Rabalais and Turner, 2019), a condition that can increase the accumulation of NO₂⁻ in aquatic ecosystems (Schaefer and Hollibaugh, 2018; Bristow et al., 2015). Because microalgal N₂O synthesis is rapid and influenced by factors such as the cell biology (Plouviez et al., 2019b) and, as observed during our study, the type and concentration of the nitrogen source microalgae receive, extensive monitoring (i.e. long-term with wide spatial coverage and high sampling frequency) of several types of microalgae-rich environments are required (e.g. hypoxic waters).

Conclusions section – as it is, this section is a bit weak and merely a repetition of the abstract. This would be another good location to discuss environmental consequences.

We modified the conclusions to (P8 Li158-173):

‘We herein present the first demonstration that *M. aeruginosa* synthesizes N₂O. *M. aeruginosa* synthesized N₂O when supplied with NO₂⁻ in darkness (198.9 nmol·g-DW⁻¹·h⁻¹ after 24 hours) and illumination (163.1 nmol·g-DW⁻¹·h⁻¹ after 24 hours), and this production was positively correlated to the initial NO₂⁻ and *M. aeruginosa* concentrations. A protein database search also revealed *M. aeruginosa* possesses proteins homologues to eukaryotic microalgae known to catalyse the successive reduction of NO₂⁻ into NO and N₂O. Further studies are needed to confirm the genes/proteins involved as a better understanding of the biochemical pathway involved during microalgal N₂O synthesis is critical to efficiently monitor (*i.e.* identify the source) and mitigate N₂O emissions.

Our study is another evidence of the ability of photosynthetic microorganisms, especially cyanobacteria, to synthesize N₂O. Preliminary estimation showed that N₂O emissions from eutrophic lakes alone could represent 110 to 450 kt N-N₂O·yr⁻¹, which represent 14-56% of the natural and anthropogenic N₂O emissions reported from inland and coastal waters. However, how much microalgae contribute to these emissions is currently unknown. As *M. aeruginosa* is globally distributed, further research (including field monitoring with wide spatial coverage, high sampling frequency and water type) is now needed to evaluate the significance of N₂O synthesis by these cyanobacteria under relevant conditions (especially in terms of N supply).’

References:

- Bauer, S. K., Grotz, L. S., Connelly, E. B., and Colosi, L. M.: Reevaluation of the global warming impacts of algae-derived biofuels to account for possible contributions of nitrous oxide, *Bioresour Technol*, 218, 196-201, 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.058, 2016.
- Bristow, L. A., Sarode, N., Cartee, J., Caro-Quintero, A., Thamdrup, B., Stewart, F. J.: Biogeochemical and metagenomic analysis of nitrite accumulation in the Gulf of Mexico hypoxic zone, *Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett.* 60, 5, 1733-1750, 10.1002/lno.10130, 2015.
- Burlacot, A., Richaud, P., Gosset, A., Li-Beisson, Y., and Peltier, G.: Algal photosynthesis converts nitric oxide into nitrous oxide, *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 117, 2704-2709, 10.1073/pnas.1915276117, 2020.
- Chen, W. and Liu, H.: Intracellular nitrite accumulation: The cause of growth inhibition of *Microcystis aeruginosa* exposure to high nitrite level, *Phycol Res*, 63, 197-201, 10.1111/pre.12090, 2015.
- Chen, W., Zhang, Q., and Dai, S.: Effects of nitrate on intracellular nitrite and growth of *Microcystis aeruginosa*, *J Appl Phycol*, 21, 701-706, 10.1007/s10811-009-9405-1, 2009.
- DelSontro, T., Beaulieu, J. J., and Downing, J. A.: Greenhouse gas emissions from lakes and impoundments: upscaling in the face of global change, *Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett.*, 3, 64-75, 10.1002/lol2.10073, 2018.
- French, D. P., Furnas, M. J. And Smayda, T. J.: Diel changes in nitrite concentration in the chlorophyll maximum in the Gulf of Mexico, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 30, 7, 707-722, 10.1016/0198-0149(83)90018-3, 1983.
- Guieysse, B., Plouviez, M., Coilhac, M., and Cazali, L.: Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) production in axenic *Chlorella vulgaris* microalgae cultures: evidence, putative pathways, and potential environmental impacts, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 6737-6746, 10.5194/bg-10-6737-2013, 2013.
- Jenny, J-P., Francus, P., Normandeau, A., Lapointe, F., Perga, M-E., Ojala, A., Schimmelmann, A. and Zolitschka, B.: Global spread of hypoxia in freshwater ecosystems during the last three centuries is caused by rising local human pressure, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 22, 4, 1481-1489, 10.1111/gcb.1319, 2015.
- Kamp, A., Stief, P., Knappe, J., and De Beer, D.: Response of the Ubiquitous Pelagic Diatom *Thalassiosira weissflogii* to Darkness and Anoxia. *PLoS One* 8, 1–11, 10.1371/journal.pone.0082605, 2013.
- Kataoka, T., Ohbayashi, K., Kobayashi, Y., Takasu, H., Nakano, S. I., Kondo, R., and Hodoki, Y.: Distribution of the Harmful Bloom-Forming Cyanobacterium, *Microcystis aeruginosa*, in 88 Freshwater Environments across Japan, *Microbes Environ*, 35, 10.1264/jsme2.ME19110, 2020.
- Kim, D., Kang, Y.S., Lee, Y., Yamaguchi, K., Matsuoka, K., Lee, K.-W., Choi, K.-S., and Oda, T.: Detection of nitric oxide (NO) in marine phytoplankters. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 105, 414–417, 10.1263/jbb.105.414, 2008.
- Kumar, A., Castellano, I., Patti, F.P., Palumbo, A., and Buia, M.C.: Nitric oxide in marine photosynthetic organisms. *Nitric Oxide* 47, 34–39, 10.1016/j.niox.2015.03.001, 2015.
- Mortonson, J. A., and Brooks, A. S.: Occurrence of a Deep Nitrite Maximum in Lake Michigan. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat.* 37(6): 1025-1027, 10.1139/f80-130, 1980.
- Ohashi, Y., Shi, W., Takatani, N., Aichi, M., Maeda, S., Watanabe, S., Yoshikawa, H., and Omata, T.: Regulation of nitrate assimilation in cyanobacteria, *J Exp Bot*, 62, 1411-1424, 10.1093/jxb/erq427, 2011.
- Paerl, H. W., Otten, T. G., and Kudela, R.: Mitigating the Expansion of Harmful Algal Blooms Across the Freshwater-to-Marine Continuum, *Environ Sci Technol*, 52, 5519-5529, 10.1021/acs.est.7b05950, 2018.
- Plouviez, M., Chambonnière, P., Shilton, A., Packer, M. A. and Guieysse, B. Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions during real domestic wastewater treatment in an outdoor pilot-scale high rate algae pond. *Algal. Res.* 44, e101670, 10.1016/j.algal.2019.101670, 2019a.
- Plouviez, M. and Guieysse, B.: Nitrous oxide emissions during microalgae-based wastewater treatment: current state of the art and implication for greenhouse gases budgeting, *Water Sci Technol*, 82, 1025-1030, 10.2166/wst.2020.304, 2020.
- Plouviez, M., Shilton, A., Packer, M. A., and Guieysse, B.: N₂O emissions during microalgae outdoor cultivation in 50 L column photobioreactors, *Algal Res*, 26, 348-353, 10.1016/j.algal.2017.08.008, 2017a.
- Plouviez, M., Shilton, A., Packer, M. A., and Guieysse, B.: Nitrous oxide emissions from microalgae: potential pathways and significance, *J Appl Phycol*, 31, 1-8, 10.1007/s10811-018-1531-1, 2019b.

Plouviez, M., Wheeler, D., Shilton, A., Packer, M. A., McLenachan, P. A., Sanz-Luque, E., Ocana-Calahorra, F., Fernandez, E., and Guieysse, B.: The biosynthesis of nitrous oxide in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Plant J*, 91, 45-56, 10.1111/tpj.13544, 2017b.

Rabalais, N. N., Turner, R. E.: Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia: Past, Present, and Future, *L&O Bulletin*, 28: 117-124, 10.1002/lob.10351, 2019.

Romanis, C., S., Pearson, L., A., Neilan, B., A.: Cyanobacterial blooms in wastewater treatment facilities: Significance and emerging monitoring strategies, *J. Microbiol. Methods*, 180, e106123, 10.1016/j.mimet.2020.106123, 2021.

Schaefer, S. C., Hollibaugh, J. T.: Temperature Decouples Ammonium and Nitrite Oxidation in Coastal Waters, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51, 6, 3157-3164, 10.1021/acs.est.6b03483, 2017.

Song, H., Lavoie, M., Fan, X., Tan, H., Liu, G., Xu, P., Fu, Z., Paerl, H. W., and Qian, H.: Allelopathic interactions of linoleic acid and nitric oxide increase the competitive ability of *Microcystis aeruginosa*, *ISME J*, 11, 1865-1876, 10.1038/ismej.2017.45, 2017.

Tang, X., Chen, J., Wang, W. H., Liu, T. W., Zhang, J., Gao, Y. H., Pei, Z. M., and Zheng, H. L.: The changes of nitric oxide production during the growth of *Microcystis aeruginosa*, *Environ Pollut*, 159, 3784-3792, 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.06.042, 2011.

Tian, H., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Thompson, R. L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E. A., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Prather, M. J., Regnier, P., Pan, N., Pan, S., Peters, G. P., Shi, H., Tubiello, F. N., Zaehle, S., Zhou, F., Arneth, A., Battaglia, G., Berthet, S., Bopp, L., Bouwman, A. F., Buitenhuis, E. T., Chang, J., Chipperfield, M. P., Dangal, S. R. S., Dlugokencky, E., Elkins, J. W., Eyre, B. D., Fu, B., Hall, B., Ito, A., Joos, F., Krummel, P. B., Landolfi, A., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Li, W., Lienert, S., Maavara, T., MacLeod, M., Millet, D. B., Olin, S., Patra, P. K., Prinn, R. G., Raymond, P. A., Ruiz, D. J., van der Werf, G. R., Vuichard, N., Wang, J., Weiss, R. F., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C., Yang, J., and Yao, Y.: A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks, *Nature*, 586, 248-256, 10.1038/s41586-020-2780-0, 2020.

Weathers, P. J. and Niedzielski, J. J.: Nitrous oxide production by cyanobacteria, *Archiv Microbiol*, 146, 204-206, 1986.

Zhou, Y., Li, X., Xia, Q., and Dai, R.: Transcriptomic survey on the microcystins production and growth of *Microcystis aeruginosa* under nitrogen starvation, *Sci Total Environ*, 700, 134501, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134501, 2020.