Estimating the seasonal impact of optically significant water constituents on surface heating rates in the Western Baltic Sea

Bronwyn E. Cahill^{1,2}, Piotr Kowalczuk³, Lena Kritten², Ulf Gräwe¹, John Wilkin⁴ and Jürgen
Fischer²

⁵ ¹Physical Oceanography and Instrumentation, Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research, Warnemünde 18119, Germany

6 ²Institute of Meteorology, Free University Berlin, Berlin 12165, Germany

⁷ ³Institute of Oceanology PAS, Powstańców Warszawy 55, 81-712 Sopot, Poland

⁴Department of Marine and Coastal Sciences, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, 08901 NJ, USA

9 *Correspondence to*: Bronwyn E. Cahill (bronwyn.cahill@io-warnemuende.de)

10 Abstract. Heating rates induced by optically significant water constituents (OSCs), e.g. phytoplankton and coloured 11 dissolved organic matter (CDOM), contribute to the seasonal modulation of thermal energy fluxes across the ocean-12 atmosphere interface in coastal and regional shelf seas. This is investigated in the Western Baltic Sea, a marginal sea 13 characterised by considerable inputs of freshwater carrying nutrients and CDOM, and complex bio-optical and 14 hydrodynamic processes. Using a coupled bio-optical-ocean model (ROMS-Bio-Optic), the inherent optical properties 15 of different OSCs are modelled under varying environmental conditions and the underwater light field is spectrally-16 resolved in a dynamic ocean. We estimate the relative contribution of these OSCs to the divergence of the heat flux and 17 heating rates and find that while phytoplankton and CDOM both contribute to surface heating in summer, 18 phytoplankton dominates the OSC contribution to heating in spring, while CDOM dominates the OSC contribution to 19 heating in autumn. The study shows that seasonal and spatial changes in OSCs in the Western Baltic Sea have a small 20 but noticeable impact on radiative heating in surface waters and consequences for the exchange of energy fluxes across 21 the air-sea interface and the distribution of heat within the water column. In the Pomeranian Bight, where riverine influx 22 of CDOM is strongest, water constituent-induced heating rates in surface waters in 2018 are estimated to be between 0.8 and 0.9 K m⁻¹ d⁻¹ in spring and summer, predominantly as a result of increased absorption by phytoplankton and 23 24 CDOM. Further offshore, OSC-induced heating rates during the same periods are estimated to be between 0.4 and 0.8 K 25 $m^{-1} d^{-1}$. Warmer surface waters are balanced by cooler subsurface waters. Surface heat fluxes (latent, sensible and 26 longwave) respond to warmer sea surface temperatures with a small increase in heat loss to the atmosphere of 5 Wm^{-2} 27 during the period April to September. We find relatively good agreement between our modelled water constituent 28 absorption, and in situ and satellite observations. More rigorous co-located heating rate calculations using an 29 atmosphere-ocean radiative transfer model provide evidence of the suitability of the ROMS-Bio-Optic model for 30 estimating heating rates.

31 1 Introduction

Radiant energy fluxes impact biological production in the ocean and are modulated in turn as a result of biological
 production. This has fundamental consequences for upper ocean physics, surface nutrient supply, net primary and export

34 production and the exchange of soluble gases across the air-sea interface into the marine atmospheric boundary layer. 35 The contribution of optically significant water constituents (OSCs) to heating rates in the upper ocean is connected to 36 net primary and export production, through the direct effect of temperature on metabolic rates of marine plankton and 37 increased stratification and reduced vertical exchange of nutrients. This plays an important role in controlling the flow 38 of carbon and energy through pelagic systems (Wohlers et al., 2009; Taucher and Oschlies, 2011), in particular, the 39 partitioning between particulate and dissolved organic carbon, the transfer of primary produced organic matter to higher 40 trophic levels, the efficiency of the biological carbon pump and the exchange of CO_2 across the air-sea interface. Shelf 41 seas and coastal waters are characterised often by highly variable presence of inorganic suspended particulate matter 42 and coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM). CDOM is the fraction of dissolved organic matter (DOM) that absorbs 43 light in natural waters in parts of the ultraviolet and visible spectral ranges (c. 200 - 550 nm). It is present throughout 44 the world oceans, both open and deep waters, and in coastal and shelf seas. It significantly contributes to the attenuation 45 of light in natural waters and thereby impacts ocean heat content, in particular in coastal and shelf seas (Soppa et al., 46 2019; Gnanadesikan et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2015, 2016, 2018; Hill , 2008). In the Baltic Sea, CDOM is prevalent and 47 displays strong seasonal and spatial variability (Kowalczuk, 1999; Kowalczuk et al., 2006). Sources of CDOM and 48 changes to its composition through non-conservative processes are tightly coupled to the underwater light field. These 49 will vary with environmental conditions and phytoplankton community structure. Moreover, heterogeneity in 50 phytoplankton pigments and other water constituents will have implications for sub-mesoscale vertical mixing and 51 advective fluxes, and thus water temperature, density and the supply of nutrients to the surface. Understanding how the 52 variable presence of water constituents impacts energy fluxes in the upper ocean and across the air-sea interface, and the 53 accumulative effect on the upper ocean heat budget in shelf seas and coastal waters is of particular importance for our 54 capacity to adequately model regional ocean climate.

55 1.1 Ocean radiant heating and biological production

56 For studies of heat transfer modulated by biological production in the upper ocean, it is important to accurately 57 prescribe the shortwave solar radiation in the upper water column. Downward solar radiation penetrating into the upper 58 ocean can be partitioned into three spectral domains: Visible (UV/VIS): ~0.30 µm - ~0.75 µm; Near Infrared (NIR): 59 ~0.75 µm - ~1.3 µm; Shortwave Infrared (SWIR): ~1.3 µm - ~3.5 µm. SWIR radiant energy plays an important role in 60 the surface thermal structure of the water column, however, its attenuation can be considered as invariable to changes of 61 water constituents (Morel and Antoine, 1994) as it is almost completely dominated by water absorption and is fully 62 attenuated very close to the sea surface. NIR radiant energy penetrates a bit deeper into the ocean but is still almost 63 entirely absorbed within the topmost one meter layer due to the still strong absorption of pure sea water at these 64 wavelengths. In contrast to that, the (spectral) attenuation of UV/VIS radiant energy within the water body is strongly 65 dependent on the presence of water constituents and may therefore vary considerably horizontally and vertically. More 66 specifically, the variability of UV/VIS radiant energy in the water column is determined by absorption and scattering of

67 optically significant water constituents, e.g.phytoplankton, detritus, CDOM and inorganic suspended sediment 68 (Sathyendranath et al., 1989). The properties of the individual constituents determine how they absorb and scatter light 69 in different parts of the visible spectrum; CDOM preferentially absorbs light in the blue end of the spectrum while 70 phytoplankton absorb light in the blue/green and red part of the spectrum, exactly how will depend on the pigment 71 composition of the functional group (Figure 1).



72 Wavelength (nm)
 73 Figure 1: Spectral absorption coefficients for (a) water, relict and labile CDOM (Bissett et al., 1999b; Kowalczuk et al.,
 74 2005b) and (b) phytoplankton pigments (Bidigare et al., 1990) used in the Bio-Optic model.

75

76 A number of feedback mechanisms determine the biogeochemical dynamics in the upper ocean layer. Absorbed 77 solar radiation is mostly transformed into heat and thus directly controls heating rates and subsequently impacts the 78 vertical stratification of the euphotic layer. A portion of the light absorbed by autotrophic protists is used for 79 photosynthesis and consequently contributes to biomass production. The vertical distribution of absorbing material may 80 be altered significantly due to biogenic (and in coastal areas, non-biogenic) processes (e.g. by the development of a 81 subsurface algae bloom or increased turbidity arising from sediment transport by river plumes) which in turn leads to a 82 significant change of the depth range at which heating occurs (e.g. increased heating within the algae or turbid layer) 83 and the availability of light (e.g. strongly reduced light availability below the algae or turbid layer).

84 Biogeochemical dynamics are especially complex in shelf and coastal waters where organic and inorganic 85 particulate matter as well as CDOM may be present in individually highly varying concentration ranges, e.g. caused by 86 riverine inputs or sediment resuspension from the seafloor. For example, accounting for the highly variable light 87 attenuation in turbid river plumes is critical if nearshore physics are to be resolved correctly (Cahill et al., 2008; Kim et 88 al., 2020). Changes in surface temperature and buoyancy-driven circulation have important consequences for the 89 development, transport and fate of phytoplankton biomass. The resulting carbon fluxes across the air-sea interface, 90 exported to the benthos or advected off the shelf system are key to understanding the carbon budgets of shelf systems 91 and the open ocean.

92 1.2 Biogeochemical ocean models

93 A number of studies in productive open ocean waters elegantly demonstrate how upper ocean chlorophyll 94 concentrations regulate radiant energy transmission and heating rates in the mixed layer (Simpson and Dickey, 1981; 95 Lewis et al., 1990; Morel and Antoine, 1994; Ohlmann et al., 1996, 1998, 2000a, b; Dickey and Falkowski, 2002; 96 Murtugudde et al., 2002; Oschlies, 2004; Manizza et al., 2005, 2008). Enhanced near-surface stratification can have a 97 positive feedback on phytoplankton growth by restricting phytoplankton within shallower mixed layers with more available light, which in turn increases near surface local heating (Dickey and Falkowski, 2002). A 10 Wm⁻³ change in 98 99 the solar radiation absorbed within a 10 m layer can represent a temperature change within that layer of more than 0.6°C month⁻¹ (Simpson and Dickey, 1981). However, as light limitation is replaced by nutrient limitation, increased 100 101 stratification will inhibit the exchange of deeper nutrient rich water with the surface and limit phytoplankton growth. 102 Ohlmann et al. (2000) demonstrated that an increase in chlorophyll concentration from 0.03 mg m⁻³ to 3 mg m⁻³ in the 103 upper 10 m of the water column can decrease the solar flux in the waters below by as much as 35 Wm⁻².

104 A few studies have tried to explore the full biophysical feedbacks using coupled physical-biological ocean 105 models (Oschlies, 2004; Manizza et al., 2005; 2008) and fully coupled atmosphere-bio-physical ocean model (Jolliff 106 and Smith, 2014; Wetzel et al., 2006). Notably, results from Oschlies (2004) include a net cooling of the North Atlantic 107 by biota of about 1 Wm⁻², with enhanced upper ocean stratification in summer and deeper winter mixed layer depths (> 108 100 m) in parts of the subpolar gyre. Coastal upwelling and associated nutrient supply is reduced, especially in coastal 109 upwelling regions of West Africa. Overall, there is a negative feedback of biotically induced radiative heating on 110 chlorophyll-a concentrations, except in parts of the subpolar North Atlantic where intensification of the spring bloom 111 results in increased annual mean chlorophyll-a concentrations. Wetzel et al. (2006) further highlighted the importance of 112 marine biology on the radiative budget of the upper ocean, and found positive feedbacks with the climate system cause 113 a global shift of the seasonal cycle, with the onset of spring occurring about two weeks earlier. Increased wind stress 114 and changes in the shortwave radiation led to significant warming in the mid latitudes in summer and to seasonal 115 modifications of the overall warming in the equatorial Pacific. Jolliff and Smith (2014) demonstrated a regional 116 example of biological modulation of upper ocean physics in Monterey Bay, California and show how the spatiotemporal 117 pattern of a phytoplankton bloom can persists because of enhanced thermal stratification promoting vertical stability 118 and more efficient use of macronutrients. Furthermore, biothermal warming of surface waters modifies the local surface 119 pressure gradient and modulates wind stress patterns.

More recent studies which investigate the role of OSCs and surface heating, highlight the role of CDOM in Arctic amplification (e.g. Soppa et al., 2019; Pefanis et al., 2020) and the impact of CDOM on the annual cycle of sea surface temperature in coastal and northern subpolar regions (Gnanadesikan et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2015; 2016; 2018). Soppa et al. (2019) found that a CDOM absorption at 443 nm of 1.77 m⁻¹ contributed to an increased radiative heating of 0.6° C d⁻¹ in the upper 2 m in the Laptev Sea shelf waters, implying increased sea ice melt rates and changes in the surface heat fluxes to the atmosphere. Pefanis et al. (2020) confirm that increases in CDOM in the Arctic amplify 126 surface warming by increasing surface temperatures in summer and decreasing sea-ice concentrations. They also show 127 that summertime surface warming associated with increases in CDOM induces more heat loss to the atmosphere, 128 primarily through latent and sensible heat fluxes. Gnanadesikan et al. (2019) demonstrate that the presence of CDOM 129 leads to an increase in the amplitude of the seasonal cycle of SST over coastal and northern subpolar regions, with 130 potential implications for extreme ocean temperatures. Importantly, they find the size and sign of the change in 131 amplitude are controlled by the interplay between enhanced surface shortwave heating, shading and cooling of the 132 subsurface and the extent to which these are connected by vertical mixing. They show that the interplay between heat 133 term balances varies regionally. In the central Baltic Sea (58°N, 19.5°E), changes in the seasonal cycle of the heat 134 budget are explained by a 1D balance between the penetration of shortwave radiation and vertical mixing (see Figure 3a 135 in Gnanadesikan et al., 2019) with advective and diffusive terms being relatively small. In other regions around the 136 world, the heat term balance is represented by a more complicated interplay between the penetration of shortwave 137 radiation, vertical and horizontal mixing and advection (see Figure 3b, c, d in Gnanadesikan et al., 2019). Löptien and 138 Meier (2011) show that increased water turbidity affects the summer sea surface temperature trends in the Baltic Sea 139 significantly. While Skákala et al. (2022) demonstrate a significant impact of biogeochemistry on physics in the North 140 West European Shelf, with the light attenuation by chlorophyll being responsible for a 1 °C warming in the upper 20 m 141 of the ocean with comparable cooling taking place between 20 and 200 m. They also show that accounting for this 142 water constituent-induced heating improves the timing of the simulated phytoplankton bloom in the region.

143 Despite these findings, coupled ecosystem-circulation models rarely share the same parameterization or source 144 of radiative forcing to drive the hydrodynamics and fuel photosynthesis even though their requirements for information 145 on light and heat overlap. This is in part due to the fact that historically, circulation and ecosystem models have evolved 146 independently and it is only in the last 10 to 15 years that coupling between the two has made significant advances. It is 147 typical that the ecosystem model is "plugged" into a circulation model and communication between the two is in one 148 direction only: state variables (such as temperature) computed in the circulation model are communicated to the 149 biological model at each time step, however, any change to the radiative fluxes as a consequence of biological activity 150 is not necessarily accounted for or communicated back to the circulation model so that potentially available 151 "information" related to heat transfer in the upper ocean and across the ocean-atmosphere interface is not being used.

152 Many parameterizations of the subsurface vertical distribution of shortwave solar radiation in ocean models have 153 evolved over the last years (e.g. Paulson and Simpson, 1977; Zaneveld and Spinrad, 1980; Simpson and Dickey, 1981; 154 Morel, 1988; Morel and Antoine, 1994; Ohlmann and Siegel, 2000; Manizza et al., 2008). For photosynthesis purposes, 155 one of the more simple parameterizations of light attenuation is based on the surface photosynthetically available 156 radiation (PAR) computed as a fraction of the net surface solar flux (typically 43%) and then attenuated through the 157 water column as a function of chlorophyll concentration (e.g. Fasham et al., 1990; Fennel et al., 2006, 2008; Fennel and 158 Wilkin, 2009). Zielinski et al. (2002) compare the effect of some different light parameterizations in biogeochemical 159 models on primary production and phytoplankton evolution in the subtropical North Atlantic and show that there can be 160 significant changes in the vertical distribution of simulated phytoplankton, depending on how the underwater light field 161 is treated.

162 Chlorophyll-based approaches to underwater light attenuation are reasonably accurate for the open ocean 163 where phytoplankton dominates the inherent optical properties of the water constituents (Morel and Prieur, 1977); 164 however, they are inadequate in shelf and coastal oceans as they neglect important contributions from CDOM, detritus 165 and suspended sediments. Neumann et al. (2015) show that, in the Baltic Sea, including more water constituents in the 166 estimation of light attenuation in their model yields a more realistic representation of the light climate, and improved 167 estimates of primary productivity, Secchi disk depth and oxygen concentrations. They estimate light attenuation by 168 explicitly accounting for modelled phytoplankton biomass, detritus, dissolved organic matter due to metabolism and 169 degradation processes, and parameterizing CDOM as a function of salinity.

More recently, Neumann et al. (2021) explicitly consider light absorption due to terrestrial CDOM in their ecosystem model of the Baltic Sea, using earth observation CDOM absorption data from Sentinel-2 MSI as a proxy for terrestrial sources of CDOM. They show a significant improvement in CDOM estimates in particular in the northern parts of the Baltic Sea where the impacts of terrestrial CDOM are large.

174 Including directional and spectral light in coupled biogeochemical-circulation-radiative models has been 175 shown to be important for ocean biology, especially for studies of community structure and succession (Gregg and 176 Rousseaux, 2016). It is also important for regional studies which examine the role of other optical constituents such as 177 CDOM and detritus in carbon cycling (Bissett et al., 1999a,b).

178 1.3 Estimating the impact of optically significant water constituents on surface heating in the Western Baltic Sea

179 In this work, we use a spectrally-resolved underwater light field to explore the relationship between OSCs, in 180 particular, CDOM, phytoplankton and detritus, and heating rates in the Western Baltic Sea. High concentrations of 181 CDOM optically distinguish the Baltic Sea from other coastal seas (Simis et al., 2017), making it an interesting study 182 site for this application. CDOM also exhibits strong seasonal and spatial variability in the region which is dependent on 183 sources of CDOM and physics, e.g. periods of intensive mixing and high riverine discharge versus periods of thermal 184 stratification, reduced riverine discharge, enhanced biological production and production of CDOM (Kowalczuk, 1999; 185 Kowalczuk et al, 2005a). We examine this interplay between physics and OSCs using a coupled bio-optical ocean 186 model which incorporates the optical properties of key water constituents and explicitly resolves sources of both 187 terrestrial and authochthonous CDOM as a state variable in a 4D ocean state. We model the inherent optical properties 188 of different water constituents under varying environmental conditions and spectrally resolve the underwater light field 189 in a dynamic ocean. From this, we estimate the contribution of key water constituents to surface heating rates and 190 feedbacks with the marine atmospheric boundary layer heat fluxes. We evaluate our modelled inherent and apparent 191 optical properties with in situ and satellite observations and our estimates of surface heating rates using an ocean192 atmosphere radiative transfer model which accounts for both the directionality and spectral dependence of the 193 underwater light field.

194 2 Methods

195 2.1 Study site

196 Kowalczuk et al. (2006) have shown that there are three pools of CDOM in the waters of the Southern Baltic Sea: a 197 riverine pool, an aged marine pool and a pool primarily produced in offshore waters. They explored the seasonal 198 dependence between the light absorption coefficient of CDOM at 375 nm, aCDOM(375), and salinity and chlorophyll-a 199 concentrations in the Southern Baltic Sea and found a seasonal dependence between physical processes and the source 200 of CDOM. In March, April and November, months of intensive mixing and high riverine discharge, most of the 201 variability in aCDOM(375) values could be explained by dilution of terrestrially derived CDOM alone. In February, 202 May and September, months of thermal stratification, reduced riverine discharge and enhanced biological activity, 203 autochthonous production of CDOM was found to be a significant source of CDOM in the Southern Baltic Sea. 204 Changes in the values of spectral slope coefficients are regarded as an indicator of compositional changes in CDOM. 205 These changes can be a result of either conservative mixing processes, i.e. mixing, or non-conservative processes, e.g. 206 production, degradation or flocculation (Kowalczuk et al., 2006).



207

Figure 2: Western Baltic Sea model domain bathymetry (m) with location of model output analysis stations, Darß Sill
(DS), Arkona Sea (AS), Oder Bank (OB) and Bornholm Basin (BB) (blue dots) and in situ CDOM and NAP (non-algal
particle) absorption measurements from the Institute of Oceanology of the Polish Academy of Sciences, IOPAN (red
dots).

213 Our study site in the Western Baltic Sea (Figure 2) includes the Bornholm Basin, where we expect the seasonal cycle to 214 be explained by a 1D balance between the penetration of shortwave radiation and vertical mixing (Gnanadesikan et al., 215 2019), and the Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and Oder Bank, where advection and diffusion will also contribute to the seasonal 216 heat balance, making for an interesting contrast between local regimes. At the Bornholm Basin, we expect to find 217 marine CDOM, at the Darß Sill and Arkona Sea, we expect to find a mixture of riverine and marine CDOM, depending 218 on the season, while at the Oder Bank, we expect the CDOM pool to be dominated by riverine sources from multiple 219 inlets and rivers connecting the Oder River outlet through Szczecin Lagoon with the Greifswalder Bodden and the 220 coastal Baltic Sea (Kowalczuk et al., 1999).

221 2.2 Model system

The coupled modelling system has two components: the Regional Ocean Modelling System, ROMS, which drives the physics and the advection and diffusion of tracers, and Ecosim/Bio-Optic which drives the ecosystem and underwater light field. These components interact as shown in Figure 3 and are described in more detail below.



225 226

Figure 3: Model system components and how they interact

Light penetrating a water body can be described as consisting of three streams (Aas, 1987; Ackleson et al., 1994; Gregg, 2002 and Dutkiewicz et al., 2015). These are the downward direct irradiance, E_{dir} , the downward diffuse irradiance, E_{diff} and the upward diffuse irradiance, E_u . $E_{dir} + E_{diff}$ is commonly referred to as downward irradiance, E_d . For studies of heat transfer and photosynthesis, we need to know the scalar irradiance, E_0 which describes the light field integrated over a sphere, and is thus independent of direction. All of these irradiance quantities (E_{dir} , E_{diff} , E_u and E_0) are a function of wavelength and depth.

233

Following Morel (1988), the rate of radiant energy converted into heat can be estimated as follows:

234
$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -\frac{d\left(E_d - E_u\right)}{dz} \frac{1}{\rho C_p}$$
(1)

where the first term on the right hand side is the heat flux, E_d and E_u are the downward and upward irradiances, respectively, ρ is the in situ density and C_p is the specific heat capacity of water. In a horizontally homogeneous water body, the divergence of the radiative flux can be approximated as follows (Morel, 1988):

238
$$\frac{d(E_d - E_u)}{dz} \cong -aE_0 \approx K_d E_d$$
(2)

where *a* is the local absorption coefficient, E_0 is the scalar irradiance at the depth in question and K_d is the downward diffuse attenuation coefficient for downwelling irradiance. These quantities are all dependent on depth, concentrations of OSCs (e.g. phytoplankton pigments, CDOM, detritus) and wavelength. Thus,

242
$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -\frac{\int_{400}^{700} \left[E_d(\lambda, z) K_d(\lambda, z) \right] d\lambda}{\rho C_p}$$
(3)

243 K_d varies with both absorption, *a*, and scattering *b*, as well as with the angular distribution of the incoming 244 light field. It can be calculated from E_d , as follows (Gordon et al., 1980):

245
$$K_{d} = \frac{-d\ln E_{d}(\lambda, z)}{dz} = \frac{-1}{E_{d}(\lambda, z)} \frac{dE_{d}(\lambda, z)}{dz}$$
(4)

Biogeochemical-optical relationships vary significantly over different regions and/or seasons, therefore, regional and temporal relationships have been adopted to cope with such variations when information concerning the directionality of the underwater light field is limited. For example, in open ocean waters, where attenuation of underwater light is primarily a function of chlorophyll concentration, Sathyendranath and Platt (1988) parameterize K_d , as follows:

$$K_d = \frac{a+b}{\mu_0} \tag{5}$$

252 where *a* is the absorption and *b* is the total scattering (forward and backscatter) of OSCs, while μ_0 is the 253 average cosine, which tells you how much the light field differs from isotropic conditions.

In more complex coastal waters, Lee et al. (2005) have derived an empirical algorithm to parameterize K_d , as follows:

255
$$K_{d} = (1 + 0.005\theta) a(\lambda, z) + 4.18 (1 - 0.52e^{-10.8a(\lambda, z)} b_{b}(\lambda, z))$$
(6)

256 where θ is the solar zenith angle in degrees and b_b is the backscatter coefficient.

257 If the absorption and scattering properties of different water constituents are known, K_d can be estimated using 258 Eq. (5) or Eq. (6) and E_d can then be calculated using Eq. (7).

$$E_d = E_d(0)e^{-K_d z}$$
⁽⁷⁾

Thus, the heat balance relationship described in Eq. (3), can be used to estimate heating rates.

261 2.2.1 Regional Ocean Modelling System, ROMS and Ecosim/Bio-Optic

262 The ocean model component, ROMS, is widely used for shelf circulation (e.g. Haidvogel et al., 2008, Wilkin et al., 263 2011) and coupled physical-biological applications (e.g. Cahill et al., 2008; 2016, Fennel et al., 2006; 2008; 2013, 264 Fennel and Wilkin, 2009). The ROMS computational kernel (Shchepetkin and McWilliams, 2005) produces accurate 265 evolution of tracer fields, which is a particularly attractive feature for biogeochemical modelling because it facilitates 266 the correct interaction among tracers and accounting of total nutrient and carbon budgets. ROMS is coupled to Ecosim, 267 the carbon-based, ecological/optical modelling system (Bissett et al., 1999a, b) which was developed for simulations of 268 carbon cycling and biological productivity. Ecosim simulates up to four phytoplankton functional groups each with a 269 characteristic pigment suite which varies with the group carbon-to-chlorophyll-a ratio, C:Chla. The properties of each 270 functional group evolve over time as a function of light and nutrient conditions (i.e. NO₃, NH₄, PO₄, SiO and FeO). 271 Marine and riverine sources of dissolved organic carbon (DOC and CDOC) are accounted for and explicitly resolved 272 into labile (e.g. available for biological and photo-degradation) and relict (e.g. available for photo-degradation) forms. 273 Dissolved inorganic carbon is also accounted for. Riverine sources of carbon and nutrients are introduced via point 274 sources. The underwater light field is spectrally-resolved between 400 and 700 nm, which allows for differential growth 275 of different phytoplankton groups that have unique pigment complements. The interaction between Ecosim's 276 components describe autotrophic growth of and competition between phytoplankton groups, differential carbon and 277 nitrogen cycling, nitrogen fixation and grazing. Coupled ROMS-Ecosim applications include a deployment in the New 278 York / New Jersey sea bight which demonstrates how turbid buoyant plumes originating from the Hudson River 279 feedback on near-shore biogeochemistry and physics (Cahill et al., 2008).

280 Ecosim contains a daylight module which is central to this work. Light energy just beneath the sea surface is 281 calculated using a derivative of the RADTRAN code described in Gregg and Carder (1990) as a function of the model's 282 meteorological forcing (i.e. wind speed, relative humidity, air temperature and pressure), and cloud cover, atmospheric 283 gases (i.e. water vapour, ozone, oxygen), marine aerosols and the surface roughness and reflectance at the ocean-284 atmosphere interface. A constant fraction of 0.3 cloud cover is assumed for clouds, while 1.5 cm precipitable water is 285 assumed for water vapour. The underlying algorithms used to compute ozone, water vapour and oxygen absorption 286 coefficients are described in detail in Gregg and Carder (1990). Marine aerosols are computed according to the 287 simplified version of the Navy marine aerosol model, also described in detail in Gregg and Carder (1990). The surface 288 solar downwelling spectral irradiance, $E_d(\lambda,0-)$ (which is the sum of the direct and diffuse irradiance) and the average 289 cosine zenith angle, $\mu_0(\lambda, 0)$ are provided at 5 nm wavelength intervals between 400 and 700 nm and are used as inputs 290 to Ecosim's daylight module.

291 The spectrally-resolved downward light stream, $E_d(\lambda,z)$ is calculated according to Eq. (10) and is attenuated by 292 absorption, a, and scattering, b (forward, b and backward, b_b) of the OSCs. Phytoplankton and detritus both absorb and 293 scatter light. Phytoplankton absorption is calculated for the four functional groups as a function of biomass, weight-294 specific pigment absorption coefficients (Figure 1b, Bidigare et al., 1990) and packaging effect (Bissett et al., 1999b; 295 Kirk, 2011). Detrital absorption is calculated as an exponential function of wavelength (Gallegos et al., 2011). 296 Phytoplankton and detrital scattering and backscattering are accounted for as total particulate scattering and 297 backscattering according to Morel (1991) and Morel (1988), respectively (see Equations 16 and 17 in Bissett et al., 298 1999b). CDOM only absorbs light and is calculated as a function of CDOM concentration and the weight-specific 299 absorption coefficients adapted from Kowalczuk et al. (2005b) (Figure 1a). The average cosine is modified with depth 300 as a function of absorption and backscattering. This is simplified as a linear function of the optical depth between two 301 levels (see Equation 22 in Bissett et al., 1999b). The total scalar irradiance, $E_0(\lambda,z)$, which is the light available to 302 phytoplankton, is calculated following Eq. (5) after Morel (1988).

Bio-Optic is a new option within Ecosim's daylight module which adds some diagnostics and functionality.
 These are:

• the explicit output of inherent optical property diagnostics (absorption, scatter and backscatter) of each of the 306 OSCs (i.e. phytoplankton, detritus and CDOM) and apparent optical property diagnostics (downward attenuation, 307 downward and scalar irradiance fields, surface solar downwelling spectral irradiance, $E_d(\lambda,0-)$ and the average 308 cosine zenith angle, $\mu_0(\lambda,0-)$).

an option to calculate a downwelling irradiance attenuation coefficient, K_d, which accounts for some of the optical
 complexity found in coastal waters, according to Lee et al. (2005),

• an option to couple the bio-optically calculated downward irradiance term back into the hydrodynamic solution.

312 Bio-Optic is activated as an option within Ecosim during compilation.

313 The explicit calculation of in-water spectrally-resolved absorption, scattering and backscattering coefficients, 314 average cosine, downwelling irradiance attenuation coefficient, K_d , in addition to the scalar, E_0 , and downward, E_d , 315 irradiance fields, has important implications. The spectrally-resolved underwater light field drives the evolution of 316 OSCs in the ecosystem model, while the OSCs in turn determine the evolution of the light field in each layer by 317 absorption and scattering of the light. This means that the OSCs' contribution to the divergence of the heat flux (Morel, 318 1988) can be accounted for within the full hydrodynamic solution. Furthermore, water constituent-induced heating rates 319 can be assessed and their impact on the ocean sea surface temperature can be communicated to the bulk flux 320 formulation of the atmosphere in the modelling system.

While this still represents a very simplified treatment of radiative transfer within the water column, it does permit a direct evaluation of the optical terms and heating rates with those derived from a full solution of the radiative transfer equations and provides a means to improving the parameterization of water constituent-based heat flux

- 324 algorithms in ocean models. For this purpose, we use the vector radiative transfer model, MOMO (described below) to
- 325 evaluate the more approximate solution provided by ROMS-Bio-Optic.

326 2.2.2 Vector radiative transfer model, MOMO

327 A more rigorous treatment of the vertical structure of the light field is provided by atmosphere-ocean radiative transfer 328 models, such as MOMO (Fell and Fischer, 2001), which simulate the light field in the stratified atmosphere-ocean 329 system for the VIS and NIR spectral ranges. MOMO uses the matrix operator method to calculate zenithally and 330 azimuthally resolved light fields for different types and concentrations of optically active components in the ocean and 331 atmosphere, thus, the full directionality of the light field is accounted for. The main advantage of the matrix-operator 332 method is its efficiency in simulating light propagation in optically dense media. It is therefore particularly suited for 333 the use in the development of remote sensing algorithms for the retrieval of water constituents. It is most recently 334 described in Hollstein and Fischer (2012) and is based on previous work by Fischer and Grassl (1984) and Fell and 335 Fischer (2001). It has been successfully applied to remote sensing of lakes (Heege and Fischer, 2004), analysis of hyper-336 spectral, ocean colour data to derive surface fluorescence signals (Guanter et al., 2010), analysis of ocean color data 337 from MERIS measurements (Zhang et al., 2003) and a new retrieval of sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence in water 338 from ocean colour measurements (Kritten et al., 2020). For our purposes, the most pertinent elements of MOMO 339 include the calculation of the spectrally-resolved downward surface irradiance for the VIS and NIR ranges, the direct 340 and diffuse downwelling and the diffuse upwelling components of the underwater light field.

341 2.3 Experimental setup

342 The ROMS Ecosim/Bio-Optic modelling system was configured for the Western Baltic Sea (Figure 3?) with a 343 horizontal resolution of ~ 1.8 km (285 x 169 grid points) and 30 sigma levels in the vertical. A bulk flux atmosphere 344 was forced with DWD-ICON output (Zängl et al., 2015) and river forcing including runoff and biogeochemistry was 345 derived from HELCOM PLC (Pollution Load Compilation) data (Neumann, pers. comm). Open boundaries to the north 346 and east were forced with output from GETM physics (Gräwe et al., 2015a, b) using a combination of Chapman / 347 Flather conditions for u and v velocities and transports, and Radiation + Nudging for temperature and salinity. This 3D 348 setup is based on an existing GETM physics setup which has been previously evaluated and published (Gräwe et al., 349 2015a,b). It captures the annual cycle of temperature and salinity in the Western Baltic Sea and episodic inflows of 350 saline, oxygen-rich North Sea water which control the salinity content and stratification in the Baltic Sea and are 351 important for ventilating the deeper basins of the Baltic Sea (Omstedt et al., 2004; Meier, 2007).

Ecosim was configured with four phytoplankton functional groups representative of small and large diatoms, largedinoflagellates and cyanobacteria. We performed two experiments, as follows:

3D Western Baltic Sea, feedback of constituent-induced heating into hydrodynamic solution (herein referred to
 as "biofeed")

356 2. 3D Western Baltic Sea, no feedback of constituent-induced heating into hydrodynamic solution (herein referred 357

to as "nobiofeed")

358 The simulation period for both experiments was 2018.

359 MOMO simulations were performed at relatively high angular resolution (twenty-seven angles in the 360 atmosphere between 0 and 88 degrees plus nine additional angles in the ocean to cover the angular domain of total 361 internal reflection) to allow for an accurate calculation of the in-water light field. Up to 120 terms were used for the 362 Fourier expansion of the azimuth dependence of the light field. The oceanic vertical structure in MOMO has been 363 chosen identical to the ROMS-Bio-Optic vertical structure, i.e., the light field has been calculated at the thirty ROMS-364 Bio-Optic layer boundaries located between 0 and ca. 90 m. Absorption and scattering coefficients for phytoplankton, 365 CDOM, and detritus are taken directly from ROMS-Bio-Optic output. Spectral resolution was done in steps of 5 nm 366 between 400 nm and 700 nm. Two Fournier-Forand phase functions (Fournier and Forand, 1994; Freda and Piskozub, 367 2007) with differing backscattering to scattering ratios have been applied to phytoplankton (bb/b = 0.001) and detrital 368 material (bb/b = 0.1), in line with phase functions measured by Siegel et al. (2005) for various Baltic Sea coastal waters. 369 Seasonal heating rates were derived from MOMO simulations at the Bornholm Basin location and compared to the 370 corresponding fluxes from ROMS-Bio-Optic in order to assess the suitability of the simplified treatment of radiative 371 transfer in the latter and the implications of not resolving the full directionality of the light field therein. MOMO results 372 are presented for the 38° solar incident zenith angle, representative of late spring to mid-summer in the Western Baltic 373 Sea (Figure 12).

374

375 2.4 Model evaluation strategy and supporting data

376 Evaluation of our model output was carried out primarily at the Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin 377 sites within our model domain. These have been previously discussed in section 2.1 and are shown as blue dots in figure 378 3.

379 Three aspects of our model results were examined, as follows:

380 1. Seasonal cycle of modelled temperature versus observations at four locations. Darß Sill and Arkona Sea 381 mooring data shown in Figure xx, middle panel, were obtained from the BSH (Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt 382 und Hydrographie) MARNET mooring database. SST data shown in Figure 3, right panel, were obtained from 383 NOAA OI SST V2 High Resolution Dataset (Huang et al., 2021).

384 2. Model surface chlorophyll-a, phytoplankton and non-algal particulate absorption at 443 nm, and the diffuse 385 attenuation coefficient at 490 nm, are compared with the Sentinel 3 Ocean and Land Colour Instrument, OLCI 386 Level 3 300m data products (https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00294) on two consecutive clear days in May 2018 387 when a bloom event occurred. Modelled monthly mean CDOM absorption is compared with MERIS-derived 388 and in situ measurement-derived seasonal climatologies (Rohrenbach, 2019; see Appendix B for details).

- 389 Seasonal phytoplankton and non-algal particle absorption (CDOM + detritus) at 440/442 nm are compared 390 with seasonal estimates from Meler et al. (2016).
- Heating rate estimates at Bornholm Basin derived from ROMS-Ecosim/BioOptic diagnostic calculations are
 compared with heating rate estimates derived from comparable full radiative transfer calculations using
 MOMO.

394 3 Results

395 In section 3.1, we show the results from the biofeed experiment which includes the feedback from OSC-induced heating 396 into the hydrodynamic solution. In section, 3.2 we show the difference between the biofeed experiment and the 397 nobiofeed experiment where no feedback from OSC-induced heating is included in the hydrodynamic solution.

398 3.1 Seasonal cycle of temperature at Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin in Western Baltic 399 Sea

400 The modelled versus observed annual cycle of temperature at the different locations are shown in Figure 4. High 401 resolution temporal and vertically resolved observations for 2018 were only available at Darß Sill and Arkona Sea sites 402 (middle plots, Figure 4, the white triangles in the Arkona Sea observation plot indicate periods where observations are 403 missing from the time series). Oder Bank and Darß Sill are shallow, well-mixed locations, where seasonal warming and 404 cooling of the whole water column takes place between May and October. At the deeper Arkona Sea and Bornholm 405 Basin locations, the onset of seasonal stratification sets in early May and starts to break down in September. Intense 406 summertime warming late July, early August (SST $\sim 25^{\circ}$ C) leads to a deepening of the thermocline from c. 20 m to the 407 seafloor at Arkona Sea and to c. 38 m at Bornholm Basin. At Arkona Sea, the model captures observed summertime 408 baroclinic inflows between 15 and 30m depth. These inflows are intrusions of deep, saltier, cool water which are pushed 409 over the Drogen and Darß Sills into the deeper Arkona Sea. Due to the estuarine nature of Baltic Sea circulation, these 410 inflows not unusual in the Western Baltic Sea (Fennel and Sturm, 1992). Overall, there is very good agreement between 411 the modelled biofeed results and observed temperature fields at all locations, especially the sea surface temperature (see 412 Table 1 for r^2 , RMSE and BIAS statistics). This is especially important as 2018 was a year where two significant marine heat waves (defined as periods where the surface temperature exceeds the 90th percentile of the 30 year local mean for 413 414 longer than 5 days) took place in May - June (38 days) and July – August (32 days). This result confirms the importance 415 of accounting for the contribution of OSCs to the transfer of light energy.

416

417





Figure 4: Modelled (left) versus observed (middle) annual cycle of temperature and sea surface temperature (right) in
2018 at Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin. (Legend abbreviations: ROMS = model output; CLIM
= 30 year climatological mean calculated from OI SST data set; 90th = 90th percentile of the 30 year climatological mean
(CLIM); OI SST = 2018 daily optimum interpolation sea surface temperature (Huang et al., 2021)).

425 Table 1: Model versus observed sea surface temperature (°C) statistics.

	r ²	RMSE	BIAS	
Oder Bank	0.98	0.025	0.0017	
Darß Sill	0.98	0.020	-0.0010	
Arkona Sea	0.99	0.016	-0.0010	
Bornholm Basin	0.99	0.005	0.0003	

428 3.2 Inherent and apparent optical properties of OSCs at Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin

429 in Western Baltic Sea

OLCI, level 3 products of chlorophyll a, phytoplankton and non-algal particle absorption at 443 nm, and the diffuse attenuation coefficient at 490 nm, at 300 m resolution are shown in Figure 5 for two consecutive days in May 2018. Comparable modelled output is shown in Figure 6. The white cross marks on the plots represent the position of the different analysis locations where matchups between the OLCI data and our model output have been extracted. These are reported in Table 2.



435

Figure 5: OLCI Level 3 300 m resolution chlorophyll-a (a-b), phytoplankton absorption at 443 nm (c-d), nonalgal particle absorption at 443 nm (e-f) and diffuse attenuation coefficient at 490 nm, K_d490 (g-h) on 29th and 30th May
2018.



Figure 6: Modelled chlorophyll-a (a-b), phytoplankton absorption at 443 nm (c-d), non-algal particle
absorption at 443 nm (e-f) and diffuse attenuation coefficient at 490 nm, K_d490 (g-h) on 29th and 30th May 2018.

	OLCI		Model		OLCI - Model	
	29/05/2018	30/05/2018	29/05/2018	30/05/2018	29/05/2018	30/05/2018
			Dar	ß Sill		
Chl-a (mg m ⁻³)	2.17	2.38	3.21	3.63	-1.04	-1.25
aPhy (m ⁻¹)	0.04	0.04	0.16	0.17	-0.12	-0.13
aNAP (m ⁻¹)	0.24	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.03	0.01
$K_{d}490 (m^{-1})$	0.28	0.25	0.36	0.40	-0.08	-0.15
			Arko	na Sea		
Chl-a (mg m ⁻³)	6.49	10.26	3.39	3.31	3.10	6.95
aPhy (m ⁻¹)	0.08	0.09	0.17	0.17	-0.09	-0.08
aNAP (m ⁻¹)	0.42	0.51	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.30
K _d 490 (m ⁻¹)	0.44	0.53	0.38	0.37	0.06	0.16
			Oder	Bank		
Chl-a (mg m ⁻³)	9.69	9.79	3.80	3.74	5.89	6.05
aPhy (m ⁻¹)	0.08	0.09	0.19	0.19	-0.11	-0.10
aNAP (m ⁻¹)	0.46	0.52	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.29
$K_{d}490 (m^{-1})$	0.50	0.61	0.41	0.40	0.09	0.21
			Bornho	lm Basin		
Chl-a (mg m ⁻³)	2.25	2.24	3.00	3.03	-0.75	-0.79
aPhy (m ⁻¹)	0.03	0.04	0.15	0.16	-0.12	-0.12
aNAP (m ⁻¹)	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.20	-0.02	0.01
$K_{d}490 (m^{-1})$	0.21	0.23	0.34	0.34	-0.13	-0.11

Table 2: OLCI versus model matchup values for Chl-a, phytoplankton (aPhy) and non-algal particle (aNAP)
absorption at 443 nm, and the diffuse attenuation coefficient at 490 nm, K_d490.

448 The matchups (Table 2) highlight how we can only reasonably compare OLCI and model output at the Darß 449 Sill and Bornholm Basin locations, as the bloom event evident in the OLCI data in Arkona Sea and Oder Bank (Figure 5) 450 is not captured in the model. At these locations, Chl-a and NAP absorption are all underestimated by the model, by as 451 much as 7 mg m⁻³ and 0.3 m⁻¹, respectively. Phytoplankton absorption is slightly overestimated in the model at all 452 locations, but the values are in better agreement with the OLCI data (within 0.1 m⁻¹ difference range), as are the 453 modelled non-algal particle absorption values at Darß Sill and Bornholm Basin (within 0.03 m⁻¹ difference range). 454 Modelled K₄490 also compares reasonably well with the OLCI data at all locations (within 0.2 m⁻¹ difference range). 455 We do not expect the model to capture the dynamic bloom event observed by OLCI without further tuning or data 456 assimilation. As it stands, there is good agreement between the model and OLCI data with the background values at 457 Darß Sill and especially, at Bornholm Basin which give us confidence in the model performance and supports the 458 selection of Bornholm Basin for further evaluation of the heating rates and air sea fluxes.

459 We also compared modelled monthly mean CDOM absorption with MERIS-derived and in situ-derived 460 climatologies, as well as seasonal phytoplankton and non-algal particle absorption with seasonal estimates from Meler et al. (2016). Modelled monthly mean surface CDOM absorption is underestimated as compared to the MERIS-derived
climatological CDOM absorption (Figure 7b) but is in better agreement with the seasonal observed estimates of Meler
et al. (2016) (Figure 7c). There is also good agreement between modelled seasonal phytoplankton absorption and the
seasonal estimates of Meler et al. (2016), especially in spring and summer (Figure 7d).



Figure 7: (a) MERIS and in situ monthly climatology of surface CDOM absorption (mean value calculated over
Western Baltic Sea region shown in Figure 2); (b) mean monthly surface CDOM absorption at model stations and
matching MERIS locations; seasonal mean surface non-algal particle absorption (CDOM+detritus) (c) and

phytoplankton absorption (d) at model stations compared with similar water type values found in Meler et al. (2016).

468 469

470 Modelled seasonal spectral surface absorption from the 3D Western Baltic Sea experiment for phytoplankton, 471 CDOM and detritus is shown for Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and the Bornholm Basin (Figure 8) show typical 472 absorption characteristics for the individual constituents. CDOM and detritus have high absorption values at the blue 473 end of the spectrum, while phytoplankton shows two maxima, one between 440 nm and 490 nm and a smaller one 474 around 670 nm. There is a clear seasonal pattern for each of the constituents, with spring and summer being peak 475 seasons for phytoplankton blooms, and summer and autumn favouring increased CDOM and detrital absorption.
476 Considerable variability in absorption characteristics is evident between the locations. The highest absorption for all the
477 constituents is seen at the coastal Oder Bank location, which is strongly influenced by riverine inputs from the Oder
478 River. There is a decreasing gradient, especially in CDOM and detrital absorption, moving from the coastal zone to the
479 offshore regions. The summer phytoplankton bloom in the Arkona Sea has a higher peak than the Darß Sill.

480 CDOM, detritus and phytoplankton specific absorption curves intersect around 442 nm, making this an 481 interesting wavelength to explore further with respect to the impact of these constituents on the vertical distribution of 482 absorption and the downward attenuation and irradiance fields.

483 The vertical profiles of phytoplankton, CDOM and detrital absorption at 442 nm (Figure 9) show the vertical 484 extent of water constituent absorption to be the full water column at Oder Bank and Darß Sill and between 15 and 20 m 485 depth at Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin. In spring and especially in summer, phytoplankton dominate sub-surface 486 absorption at all locations, followed by CDOM and then detrital absorption.

487 The spectrally-resolved surface downward attenuation (K_d) and downward irradiance (E_d) at each of the 488 locations shown in Figure 10 reflect the seasonal impact of the water constituent absorption and solar irradiance. 489 Irradiance at the surface peaks in summer and is at its lowest in winter, as expected. The slight modification of 490 downwelling irradiance intensity in the Baltic Sea depends on atmospheric conditions. Results of direct measurements 491 and local parameterizations of radiative transfer models summarised by Dera and Woźniak (2010) (and initially reported 492 by Rozwadowska and Isemer (1998) and Isemer and Rozwadowska (1999)), indicate that observed monthly averaged 493 solar irradiance intensities at the sea level in the Baltic Sea are always lower than model estimates based on the clear 494 sky assumption. Atmospheric conditions have a regional and seasonal impact on observed solar irradiance entities e.g. in the southern Baltic Proper and western Baltic Sea, the long-term monthly average for Ed at the surface in May is only 495 496 4.8 and 1.8 Wm⁻², respectively, lower from E_d intensity observed in June in both regions. This is caused by much lower 497 cloud cover over Baltic Sea observed in May than in June. Our monthly mean modelled surface irradiances converge 498 with those reported in Dera and Wozniak (2010) (Appendix D, Figure D1). We applied a constant fraction of 0.3 cloud 499 cover while in Dera and Wozniak (2010), the clear sky assumption was applied. This would explain why our irradiances 500 are lower than Dera and Wozniak (2010), especially in May, June and July.



Figure 8: Surface spectral phytoplankton, CDOM and detrital absorption at Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea

and Bornholm Basin in 2018 from ROMS-Bio-Optic 3D Western Baltic Sea model experiment.



Figure 9: Vertical structure of phytoplankton, CDOM and detrital absorption at 442 nm at Darß Sill, Arkona Sea, Oder
 Bank and Bornholm Basin in 2018 from ROMS-Bio-Optic 3D Western Baltic Sea model experiment.



515

517

525

Figure 10: Surface spectral downward diffuse light attenuation and downward irradiance at Oder Bank, Darß 516 Sill, Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin in 2018 from ROMS-Bio-Optic 3D Western Baltic Sea model experiment.

518 Variability in the surface layer attenuation is greatest between 400 and 550 nm, especially during the stratified 519 spring, summer and autumn seasons reflecting the seasonal dynamics of phytoplankton, CDOM and detritus. Vertical 520 profiles of K_d and E_d at 442 nm (Figure 11) show light penetrating deeper in winter, indicating relatively well-mixed 521 (clear) waters, contrasted by seasonally stratified waters in spring, summer and autumn. Variability between the 522 locations is also much higher during these seasons revealing the different influence of constituents at these locations, for 523 example, the impact of the spring and summer phytoplankton blooms at Oder Bank and Arkona Sea on attenuation. 524 (High attenuation values at the red end of the spectrum are mostly related to the absorption of pure water itself).



527

528 529

530

Figure 11: Vertical structure of downward diffuse light attenuation and downward irradiance at 442 nm at Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin in 2018 from ROMS-Bio-Optic 3D Western Baltic Sea model experiment.

531 It should be noted that seasonal and spatial variability in the concentration of optically significant water 532 constituents impacts not only the penetration of solar energy into the water column, but also influences the spectral 533 properties of the underwater light field. Elevated absorption by CDOM and phytoplankton pigments in the spring and 534 summer at the Oder Bank, Darß Sill and Arkona Sea causes a red shift in the solar irradiance maximum transmission 535 waveband to 570 nm from 500 nm estimated for the Bornholm Basin (Figure 10). This is consistent with observations 536 reported by Kowalczuk et al. (2005a) who reported a shift in solar irradiance maximum transmission waveband from 537 550 nm in the Baltic Proper to 575 nm in Pomeranian Bay and Gulf in Gdansk. An even bigger shift in the solar 538 irradiance maximum transmission waveband was observed between Atlantic Ocean coastal water off the west coast of 539 Ireland (maximum solar irradiance transmission at 490 nm) and Baltic Sea in Gulf of Gdansk (maximum solar 540 irradiance transmission at 570 nm). This shift was attributed to elevated CDOM absorption, which was c. two times 541 higher in the Baltic Sea compared to coastal Atlantic Ocean, while the chlorophyll-a concentration was at a similar level 542 in both regions (Darecki et al., 2003).

543 **3.3 Heating rates and surface heat fluxes**

The vertical and temporal evolution of water constituent-induced heating rates at each of the locations is shown in Figure 12. Maximum heating rates occur late spring and mid-summer and are between 0.8 and 0.9 K $m^{-1}d^{-1}$ at Oder Bank and between 0.4 and 0.8 K $m^{-1}d^{-1}$ at the other locations. Vertical profiles of two heating rate maxima in May and July indicate approximately 70% of the water constituent-induced heating is contained within the top 5 m, and decreases exponentially to zero by 10 to 15 m depth.

549 We compared the Bio-Optic heating rate estimates at Bornholm Basin with a comparable full radiative transfer 550 calculation by MOMO for the two heating rate maxima events in May and June (Figure 12, bottom right). Bornholm 551 Basin is chosen as the evaluation site for the heating rate calculations because the seasonal cycle of the heat balance 552 there can be approximated as a 1-dimensional balance between the penetration of solar radiation and vertical mixing 553 (Gnanadesikan et al., 2019) and advective and diffusive terms will be relatively small. The main difference between the 554 two calculations, Bio-Optic and MOMO, is that the MOMO takes into account the full directionality of the light field 555 while Bio-Optic does not. There are differences in the seasonal heating rate results between the two approaches but they are not so large. At the surface, the Bio-Optic estimates are 0.3 K m⁻¹d⁻¹ smaller in spring and 0.25 K m⁻¹d⁻¹ smaller in 556 557 summer than the MOMO estimates. In the MOMO calculations, most of the water constituent-induced heating (c. 80 %) 558 is contained within the top 2 m, and this decreases exponentially more rapidly than Bio-Optic to zero by 5 m depth.

We find that by accounting for the full directionality of the light field, as shown by the case investigated by MOMO, the impact water constituents have on the heating rates is contained within the top 2 to 3 m, consistent with the findings of Soppa et al. (2019). However, MOMO may be overestimating the actual magnitude of water constituentinduced surface heating rates as none of the other physics (i.e. advection, diffusion) and environmental forcing represented in the Bio-Optic experiments, are taken into account in MOMO. It could also be that the algorithm used to calculate K_d in Bio-Optic (Lee et al., 2005) is not optimal for the conditions in the Baltic Sea (we elaborate this point further in the discussion).

- 566
- 567



- 568
- 569

Figure 12: Surface heating rates (left panel) and vertical profiles of two heating rate maxima in May and July 2018
(right panel) for at Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin.

Figure 13 shows the temperature and chlorophyll-a anomalies (biofeed minus nobiofeed experiments) for selected days during the productive period at Bornholm Basin. Accounting for the feedback of OSC-induced heating in the hydrodynamic solution has the effect of increasing the surface layer (c. top 10m) water temperature by between 0.1 and 0.2°C in spring and late summer, and as much as 0.6°C mid-summer. Below the thermocline, the water temperature is cooler by 0.1 to 0.2°C. Differences in the thermal structure when the feedback is accounted for impacts the development, transport and fate of phytoplankton biomass. This consequence is seen in differences in the chlorophyll-a 579 structure at different times during the productive period. The increase in light in spring, supports phytoplankton growth 580 and increases the surface temperature (due to both water and phytoplankton absorption) in the surface layer. Thus, the 581 availability of light below the algae layer is strongly reduced and phytoplankton are restricted within the shallow mixed 582 layer with more availability of light, which will in turn increase surface heating. The net effect is more biomass 583 production in the surface layer at the beginning of the spring bloom in biofeed compared to nobiofeed. As nutrients 584 become depleted in the surface layer and the supply of nutrients from deeper waters is inhibited by the stronger 585 thermocline mid-summer, the net effect is less biomass production in the surface layer mid-summer in biofeed 586 compared to nobiofeed. As the water column becomes less stable late August, and nutrients are mixed back into the 587 surface, biomass production is larger again in biofeed compared to nobiofeed.

588



589

Figure 13: Hovmöller plots of temperature and chlorophyll-a anomalies (biofeed minus nobiofeed experiments) in 2018
 at Bornholm Basin.

592

The impact this has on surface heat fluxes during the productive period at Bornholm Basin is shown in Figure 14. The increase in OSC-induced surface temperature captured in spring and summer lead to an increase in heat loss to the atmosphere, with the average difference for the period April to September being on the order of 5.2 Wm⁻². This is primarily a result of latent (2.6 Wm⁻²) and sensible (1.7 Wm⁻²) heat fluxes. Putting this into context with modelled

- 597 estimates by Omstedt and Nohr (2004) of between 5 and 18 Wm⁻² for the net annual heat losses in the Baltic Sea,
- 598 indicates it may be important to consider OSC-induced heating rates in regional heat balance budgets.



Figure 14: Surface heat fluxes for both biofeed and nobiofeed experiments during the entire productive period, April to
 September, (left panel) and zooming in on the period where the difference in surface heat fluxes between experiments is
 greatest (area shown in rectangular box shown in top left panel) at Bornholm Basin.

606 4 Discussion

607 Modelled seasonal and spatial changes in OSCs in the Western Baltic Sea have a small but noticeable impact on 608 radiative heating in surface waters, especially in spring and summer as a consequence of increased absorption of light 609 by phytoplankton and CDOM. Our modelled estimates for 2018 show phytoplankton dominating absorption in spring 610 and summer, as a result of a succession of phytoplankton blooms, and CDOM dominating absorption in summer and 611 autumn. Simis et al. (2017), found that phytoplankton pigment visibly influences $K_d(675)$ in spring and summer, while 612 absorption by CDOM at 412 nm can account for 38-70 % of the total OSC absorption in the area influenced by the 613 Oder River in autumn. First order variability in CDOM absorption in the Baltic Sea is driven by terrestrial sources. 614 Second order variability is driven by autochthonous DOM production during phytoplankton blooms and 615 photodegradation. The spatial and temporal variability in our modelled OSC absorption at the different locations, 616 especially in spring, summer and autumn, are in good agreement with seasonal observations for different water types in 617 the Southern Baltic Sea reported by Meler et al. (2016a) (Figure 7c,d). This is also bolstered by good agreement 618 between the model and OLCI data match ups with the background values at Darß Sill and Bornholm Basin which give 619 us confidence in the model performance. This is encouraging for future modelling studies of this nature, as more 620 consistent, long term time series of the optical properties of the Baltic Sea are realised e.g. using automated 621 measurement systems such as Bio-Argo floats equipped with a simple spectral radiometer. Such a strategy has been 622 applied with significant success in the Mediterranean Sea (Terzić et al., 2019; Terzić et al., 2021a; Terzić et al., 2021b). 623 We also find it encouraging that the (simplified) Bio-Optic and (full) MOMO radiative transfer heating rate estimates 624 were somewhat comparable and informative. The directionality of the light field appears to be important to understand 625 the depth of influence of water constituent-induced heating rates, while accounting for the spatial and temporal 626 variability in the physics of the environment is important in determining the magnitude of the heating rates. However, 627 we think further work is needed to optimise the Bio-Optic diffuse attenuation coefficient (K_d) algorithm for the Baltic 628 Sea.

629 K_d which describes the transfer of light energy through the water column, also reflects the seasonal variability 630 of water types, i.e. winter (well-mixed) versus spring, summer and autumn (seasonally stratified) and the influence of 631 constituents in different water types during stratified seasons (i.e. spatial variability). Our results show a gradient in K_d 632 and in heating rates which decreases as you move offshore. In late spring, at the Oder Bank, water constituent 633 contribution to surface heating can be as much as 0.9 K m⁻¹d⁻¹, while at Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and Bornholm Basin, 634 water constituent contribution to surface heating in spring and summer is less, between 0.4 and 0.8 K m⁻¹d⁻¹. Reports on 635 the spectral properties, temporal and spatial variability of the diffuse attenuation coefficient in the Baltic Sea based on 636 field observations are limited and date back to the early 2000s (Kratzer et al. 2003, Lund-Hansen, 2004, Darecki and 637 Stramski 2004, Kowalczuk et al., 2005a, Lee et al., 2005). Darecki and Stramski (2004) have assessed that locally 638 optimised satellite remote sensing algorithms for estimating $K_d(490)$ based on MODIS data yield the least uncertainty 639 compared to other variables e.g. chlorophyll-a. However, information on the full K_d spectrum is needed to assess the 640 individual impact of the most significant optical seawater constituents on surface heating rates. Until recently, the only 641 solution was empirical or semi- analytical modelling based on either remote sensing data (Lee at al. 2005; Löptien and 642 Meier, 2011; Alikas et al., 2015) or in situ measurements of apparent or inherent optical measurements (Gonçalves-643 Araujo and Markager, 2020). The most accurate estimation of K_d could be achieved by using the semi-analytical model, 644 however, uncertainty in those estimates heavily depends on the local parametrization of the specific inherent optical 645 properties which, in the Baltic Sea regions, have contrasting and highly variable seasonal cycles (Simis et al., 2017). 646 Kratzer and Moore (2018) concluded that the correct choice of the volume scattering phase function in the Baltic Sea 647 determines the accuracy of the prediction of inherent and apparent optical properties in the Baltic Sea region. CDOM 648 and suspended particles are the most significant optical constituents controlling water transparency. CDOM absorption 649 is regulated mostly by riverine discharge especially in coastal waters, however, under certain condition, CDOM 650 absorption in the Baltic Sea is statistically correlated with phytoplankton biomass (Kowalczuk et al., 2006, Meler at al., 651 2016a). Particulate absorption and scattering is significantly correlated with phytoplankton biomass, which has a well-652 defined seasonal and spatial pattern in the Baltic Sea (Meler et al., 2016b, Meler at al., 2017). By including a spectrally 653 resolved underwater light field in our model and diagnosing inherent and apparent optical properties, we are able to 654 resolve the full K_d spectrum and better understand the role different OSCs play in determining the temporal and spatial 655 variability in K_d and the impact on heating rates. Further optimisation of the Bio-Optic K_d algorithm for the Baltic Sea 656 is currently in progress.

657 Climate change scenarios for central Europe predict significant change in the precipitation regime, which will 658 be manifested in a shift in the seasonal distribution of precipitation: increased rainfall and decline in snowfall in winter, 659 persistent droughts in summer with episodic intensive thunderstorms (IPCC, 2022). Changes in the precipitation regime 660 coupled with an increase of mean temperatures will significantly impact the outflow of freshwater from the Baltic Sea 661 catchment into the marine basin itself (Meier et al., 2022). We could anticipate that the flux of terrestrial CDOM would 662 be affected most, because currently observed climatic changes in the southern part of Baltic Sea catchment have caused 663 mild winters with reduced numbers of frost days and almost a total reduction in snow fall. As a result, CDOM that was 664 previously immobilised in the frosted ground, streams and rivers, is now being transported to the sea in late winter and 665 spring. In the summer, a deepening minima of flows in rivers reduces CDOM input to Baltic Sea. Recent results by 666 Zabłocka (2017) indicate that the monthly averaged Vistula river flow maximum during the period 1993 to 1998 667 occurred in April, while from 2008 to 2010, this maximum shifted to March. As the Baltic Sea is warming at a rate up to 668 four times the global mean warming rate (Belkin, 2009), we can expect this trend in earlier river flow maxima to 669 continue and a higher contribution of CDOM to the absorption budget in winter and spring, as the chlorophyll-a 670 concentration (phototrophic protists biomass proxy) maximum still occurs in April (Stoń-Egiert and Ostrowska, 2022).

671 Changes in the hydrological regime and a reduction in mineral nutrient input (Lysiak-Pastuszak et al., 2004)
672 have noticeably impacted both phototrophic protists biomass and functional structure. Stoń-Egiert and Ostrowska (2022)
673 have reported a statistically significant decreasing trend of 2.11 % yr⁻¹ of the total chlorophyll-a concentrations over last

674 two decades (1999 to 2018), with decreasing pigment markers for such protists groups as diatoms, dinoflagellates, 675 cryptophytes and green algae and an increase of cyanobacteria. As a consequence, primary production in the southern 676 Baltic Sea also declined in the period from 1993 to 2018, compared to its maximum in the late 1980s (Zdun et al., 2021). 677 Kahru et al. (2016) have also reported on changes in the seasonality in the Baltic Sea environment: the cumulative sum 678 of 30,000 Wm⁻²d⁻¹ of surface incoming shortwave irradiance (SIS) was reached 23 days earlier in 2014 compared to 3 679 decades earlier; the period of the year when the sea surface temperature was at least 17°C has almost doubled (from 29 680 days in 1982 to 56 days in 2014); the period when $K_d(490)$ was over 0.4 m⁻¹ increased from about 60 days in 1998 to 681 240 days in 2013 (quadrupled); the period when satellite-estimated chlorophyll of at least 3 mgm⁻³ has doubled from 682 110 days in 1998 to 220 days in 2013 and the timing of both the phytoplankton spring and summer blooms has 683 advanced, with the annual chlorophyll maximum that in the 1980s corresponded to the spring diatom bloom in May has 684 now shifted to the summer cyanobacteria bloom in July. It is interesting to note that we found two OSC-induced heating 685 rate maxima in May and July in our model results which coincide with two observed marine heatwave events. At Darß 686 Sill and Arkona Sea, these heating rate maxima were larger in May, by 0.18 and 0.35 K m⁻¹d⁻¹, respectively compared to 687 July, while at Oder bank the heating rate maxima was larger in July by 0.1 K m⁻¹d⁻¹.

688 5 Conclusions

689 Heating rates due to absorption of short wave radiation (UV-VIS) in the Western Baltic Sea are controlled by the 690 combined effects of the seasonal solar cycle and the concentration and distribution of OSCs. The intensity of radiative 691 energy reaching the sea surface is locally modified by radiative transfer through the atmosphere, which is mostly 692 controlled by cloudiness whose long term climatology minimum is observed in May (Dera and Woźniak, 2010). Further 693 modulation of heating rates in the Western Baltic Sea in UV and VIS spectral domains is dependent on water 694 transparency which is a complex function of the magnitude and seasonal cycles of inherent optical properties and the 695 directionality of the light field. Our study found that in 2018 the combined effect of CDOM and particulate absorption 696 on surface heating rates in the Western Baltic Sea could reach up to 0.4 to 0.8 K d⁻¹, during the productive period April 697 to September, and is relevant from the surface down to 2-5 m depth. Moreover, this modelled OSC-induced surface 698 warming results in a mean loss of heat (c. 5 Wm⁻²) from the sea to the atmosphere, primarily in the form of latent and 699 sensible heat fluxes, which may be significant for regional heat balance budgets. Two way coupling with the 700 atmosphere is not included in our experiment, but we expect this would modulate (decrease) the magnitude of the net 701 loss of heat to the atmosphere.

Anticipated and recently observed changes in phytoplankton functional types and their seasonal pattern and CDOM terrestrial input patterns due to global warming will further modulate the spatial and temporal pattern of heating rates in the Baltic Sea. Observed changes in the quantity and quality of CDOM, the composition and concentration of

- phytoplankton functional types and photosynthetic pigments and thus changes to the optical properties of the Baltic Sea, need to be communicated to coupled hydrodynamic-biogeochemical models such that the consequences of radiative feedbacks can be better understood and better predictions of the future Baltic Sea environment can be made. Further improvements to coupled hydrodynamic and ecological models are heavily dependent on the correct parameterization of the downwelling irradiance diffuse attenuation coefficient K_d , which requires a proper understanding of the seasonal and spatial variability of the optical properties in different water types. This work highlights the importance of K_d as a bio-optical driver: K_d provides a pathway to estimating heating rates and connects biological activity with energy fluxes.
- 712
- 713

714 Appendix A: Western Baltic Sea Model Setup

716 Table A1: Model configurations

ROMS Ecosim/BioOptic				
Application Name	3D Western Baltic Sea			
Model Grid	285 x 169 (1.8km), 30 sigma levels			
Simulation Period	2018			
Boundary Conditions	Chapman for zeta, Flather for ubar and vbar; Radiation + Nudging for temperature and salinity			
Bulk Flux Atmosphere	DWD-ICON 3-hourly			
River Forcing	HELCOM PLC (Pollution Load Compilation), Neumann (pers. comm.)			
Initial Conditions	GETM / ERGOM			
Time Step	DT = 30s; NDTFAST = 20s			
Ecosim	4 phytoplankton groups (small and large diatoms, large dinoflagellates & cyanobacteria)			
Spectral Resolution	5 nm intervals between 400 and 700 nm			
МОМО				
Angles	27 Atmosphere; 36 Ocean between 0 and 88 degrees			
Layers	30 vertical ocean layers (depths equivalent to ROMS Ecosim/BioOptic)			
Fourier Expansion	120 terms			
Absorption & Scattering Coefficients	ROMS BioOptic Output			
Spectral Resolution	5 nm intervals between 400 and 700 nm			
Phase Function	Fournier and Forand, 1994; Freda and Piskozub, 2007 with differing backscattering to scattering ratios phytoplankton ($bb/b = 0.001$) and detrital material ($bb/b = 0.1$).			

- 719 Appendix B: In situ and remotely sensed data used for climatologies
- 720

721 In situ measurements and remotely sensed data from the MERIS ocean colour archive of CDOM absorption at 443 nm 722 were used to develop a climatologies of CDOM absorption which support the evaluation of our modelled estimates of 723 CDOM absorption. Below, the source and processing of the different data sets are briefly described.

724 B1 In situ CDOM measurements and climatology

725 A time series (1994 - 2017) of in situ observations of CDOM absorption at 443 nm was reprocessed into seasonal means 726 for our study area (Figure 1). This data set was collected as a result of the implementation of numerous research projects 727 and statutory research programs conducted by the Remote Sensing Laboratory at the Institute of Oceanology, Polish 728 Academy of Sciences (IOPAN), Sopot Poland in the whole Baltic Sea. The main aim of the study on CDOM optical 729 properties was the assessment of its temporal and spatial variability (Kowalczuk and Kaczmarek, 1996, Kowalczuk, 730 1999) and its relation to hydrodynamic conditions and Baltic Sea productivity (Kowalczuk et al., 2006). As the primary 731 goal of this research was the development and validation of ocean colour remote sensing algorithms (Kowalczuk et al., 732 2005a), the vast majority of samples for determination of CDOM absorption spectrum were collected in the surface 733 layer. However, since 2014, samples were also collected within the water column, depending on the thermohaline 734 stratification of water masses and depth distribution of autotrophic protists, in order to better resolve the impact of non-735 linear processes (i.e. photo-degradation, autochthonous production by phytoplankton, diffusion from bottom sediments) 736 influencing CDOM optical properties (Kowalczuk et al., 2015). The sampling program is conducted in the whole Baltic 737 Sea and is designed to resolve the spatial variability of the CDOM absorption coefficient. We use a subset of this time 738 series located in our study area (Figure 1). Most of the samples were taken in spring and autumn, with a smaller number 739 of samples collected in winter and summer mostly due to adverse weather conditions or unavailability of research 740 vessels in summer months. Water samples were collected by Niskin bottle and were filtered first through acid-washed 741 Whatman glass fibre filters (GF/F, nominal pore size 0.7 mm). The water was then passed through acid washed 742 membrane filters with 0.2 mm pore to remove fine-sized particles. From 2014 until the present, water for CDOM 743 absorption spectra were gravity filtered directly from Niskin bottles through Millipore Opticap XL4 Durapore filter 744 cartridge with nominal pore size 0.2 µm. Filtered water was kept in acid washed amber glass 200 ml sample bottles 745 until spectrophotometric analysis, which was performed with use of various models of bench top research grade, double 746 beam spectrophotometers both in land base laboratory (Kowalczuk and Kaczmarek, 1996; Kowalczuk, 1999) and on the 747 ship (Kowalczuk et al., 2005a,b, 2006). The cuvette pathlength was 5 or 10 cm depending on the spectrophotometer 748 model. MilliQ water was used as the reference for all measurements. The absorption coefficient $aCDOM(\lambda)$ was 749 calculated as follows:

751
$$a_{CDOM}\left(\lambda\right) = \frac{2.303A(\lambda)}{L}$$
(B1)

where L is the optical path length, A is the absorptance (the flux that has been absorbed) and the factor 2.303 is the natural logarithm of 10.

The whole CDOM absorption data base in the IOPAN repository, collected between 1994 and 2017, was reprocessed to calculate the spectrum slope coefficient, S. A nonlinear least squares fitting method using a Trust-Region algorithm implemented in Matlab was applied (Stedmon et al., 2000, Kowalczuk et al., 2006) in the spectral range 300-600 nm, as follows:

759

760
$$a_{CDOM}(\lambda) = a_{CDOM}(\lambda_0)e^{-S(\lambda_0 - \lambda)} + K$$
(B2)

761

where λ_0 is 350 nm, and K is a background constant that allows for any baseline shift caused by residual scattering by fine size particle fractions, micro-air bubbles or colloidal material present in the sample, refractive index differences between sample and the reference, or attenuation not due to CDOM. The parameters aCDOM (350), S, and K were estimated simultaneously via non-linear regression using Eq. (12).

766 B2 Remotely sensed data

MERIS FRS L2 (full resolution level 2) product from 2003 to 2012 was used to create a monthly climatology of CDOM absorption for the Western Baltic Sea region. The MERIS FRS L2 product was processed with the C2RCC algorithm (Doerffer and Schiller, 2007) which has been trained with data-sets from European coastal waters. Full details of the post processing of the MERIS data into a climatology can be found in Röhrenbach (2019). A monthly climatology for the complete time frame of the MERIS archive was created and includes the mean value, standard deviation and number of observations for each point.

773 Figure A1 shows the difference between a snapshot of the MERIS data product (01.04.2004) and the 774 corresponding April climatology. The snapshot has almost complete data coverage, which is quite rare compared to 775 other time periods where only a small part of the region of interest is in the frame or free of cloud coverage. The 776 climatology smooths the spatial variability, providing the average spatial distribution and gradients in CDOM 777 absorption. High values of aCDOM(443) can be seen around the river mouths of the Vistula river ($\approx 1.7 \text{ m}^{-1}$) and the 778 Oder river ($\approx 0.7 \text{ m}^{-1}$), whereas offshore areas show lower values ($\approx 0.2 \text{ m}^{-1}$) and spatial variability. The snapshot image 779 presents the typical situation at the beginning of the spring freshet. Both Vistula and Oder rivers have similar 780 hydrographic properties with maximum flow observed in April and May and minimum flow in June and February. The 781 land use in the catchment is also similar and consists of a mixture of agriculture, forestry and urbanised areas. The 782 difference in aCDOM(443) values and the spatial extent of fresh water plumes seen as areas with elevated CDOM absorption results from the geomorphology of the outlets. The Vistula River has artificial outlets, built in 1895, and this channel carries up to 90 % of the flow with only a small fraction feeding old deltaic branches, cut off by locks and dikes. The Oder river outlet is less transformed by human activity, and the Oder River feeds the Szczecin Lagoon which is connected to the coastal Baltic Sea via three inlets: two located in Poland (Swina and Dziwna) and one in Germany (Peene). The shallow Szczecin Lagoon acts as a buffer and biogeochemical reactor, where photochemical, microbial and physical (flocculation) transformation of CDOM may occur leading to effective decreased absorption values recorded on the marine side of the estuary.



Figure B2.1: April climatology (top) and snapshot (01.04.2004) (bottom) of CDOM absorption at 443 nm (adapted

from Röhrenbach, 2019).



Figure C1: Modelled surface water constituent concentrations in 2018 at Oder Bank, Darß Sill, Arkona Sea and
 Bornholm Basin.



805 Figure D1: Modelled monthly mean surface irradiance in the Western Baltic Sea, ROMS-BioOptic versus Dera &
806 Wozniak, 2010 (Dashed green lines represent Dera & Wozniak +/- one standard deviation).

809 Code Availability:

810 The ROMS-Ecosim/BioOptic model code can be accessed at https:// <u>www.myroms.org</u>. The MOMO model code is 811 available upon request from Jürgen Fischer, <u>juergen.fischer@fu-berlin.de</u>

812 Data availability:

- 813 The version of the Bio-Optic model code including the bio_shortwave feedback, and the initial conditions, river and 814 boundary forcing are archived on Zenodo (10.5281/zenodo.7215110).
- 815 The atmospheric forcing data can be acquired for scientific research purposes upon request from Ulf Gräwe
- 816 (ulf.graewe@io-warnemuende.de).
- 817 The MERIS FRS L2 CDOM absorption monthly climatology for the Western Baltic Sea used in this study is archived
 818 on Zenodo (10.5281/zenodo.7753425).
- 819 The NOAA OI SST V2 High Resolution Dataset is available here:
- 820 https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.noaa.oisst.v2.highres.html
- OLCI Level 3 300m Baltic Sea Ocean Colour Plankton, Transparency and Optics NRT daily observations were obtained
 from the Copernicus Marine Service, https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00294.
- The in situ CDOM absorption data can be acquired for scientific research purposes upon request from Piotr Kowalczuk
 (piotr@iopan.pl).

825 Author contributions:

BC conceived the study, extended the ECOSIM model code and set up the regional deployment of ROMS-BioOptic in
the Western Baltic Sea. BC also performed all simulations and analysis, and wrote the manuscript with input from all
co-authors. PK provided the in situ CDOM absorption data used in the study and made significant contributions to the
manuscript. LK and JF provided support setting up the MOMO model code and expertise on radiative transfer theory in
the ocean. UG provided model grid bathymetry, atmospheric forcing, as well as initial and boundary conditions. UG and
JW provided support setting up and troubleshooting the regional deployment of ROMS in the Western Baltic Sea.

832 Competing interests:

833 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

834 Acknowledgements:

- BC was supported by funding from the German Research Foundation (Grant No. CA 1347/2-1, 2018 to 2021,
- 836 Temporary Position for Principal Investigator). PK was supported by the Statutory Research Program at the Institute of
- 837 Oceanology Polish Academy of Sciences no. II.5 and partially by project "Oceanographic Data and Information
- 838 System", eCUDO.pl (contract no. POPC.02.03.01-IP.01-00-0062/18) co-financed from European Regional
- 839 Development Fund, Digital Poland Operational Program, 2.2 Priority Axis. The authors gratefully acknowledge the
- 840 computing time granted by the Resource Allocation Board and provided on the supercomputer Lise and Emmy at
- 841 NHR@ZIB and NHR@Göttingen as part of the NHR (North German Supercomputing Alliance) infrastructure. The
- 842 calculations for this research were conducted with computing resources under the project ID bek00027. BC would like
- to thank the Free University of Berlin (FUB) for hosting her during the project. The following individuals are also
 gratefully acknowledged: Jakob Röhrenbach for compiling the MERIS CDOM absorption data archive, Rene Preusker
- 845 for providing additional expertise on radiative transfer theory, Jan El Kassar for providing data management support,
- 846 Hernan Arango and David Robertson at Rutgers University for providing support with ROMS code development and
- troubleshooting, Thomas Neumann from the Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research for providing the biogeochemical
- 848 river forcing data used in the study and Frank Fell for making some very helpful comments on several iterations of the
- 849 manuscript.
- 850

851 References

- Aas, E.: Two-stream irradiance model for deep waters, Appl. Opt., 26, 2095 2101,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.26.002095</u>, 1987.
- Ackleson, S., Balch, W. and Holligan, P.: Response of water-leaving radiance to particulate calcite and chlorophyll *a* concentrations: A model for Gulf of Maine coccolithophore blooms, Journal of Geophysical Research, 99(C4), https://doi.org/10.1029/93JC02150, 1994.
- Alikas, K., Kratzer, S., Reinart, A., Kauer, T. and Paavel, B.: Robust remote sensing algorithms to derive the diffuse attenuation coefficient for lakes and coastal waters, Limnology and Oceaongraphy: Methods, 13(8), 402 415, https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10033, 2015.
- Alikas, K. and Kratzer, S.: Improved retrieval of Secchi depth for optically-complex waters using remote sensing data,
 Ecological Indicators, 77, 218 227, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2017.02.007, 2017.
- Belkin, I.: Rapid warming of large marine ecosystems, Progress in Oceanography, 81(1-4), 207 213, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POCEAN.2009.04.011, 2009.
- Bennartz, R. and Fischer, J.: A modified k-distribution approach applied to narrow band water vapour and oxygen absorption estimates in the near infrared, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 539 553, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4073(99)00184-3, 2000.
- Bennartz, R. and Fischer, J.: Retrieval of columnar water vapour over land from backscattered solar radiation using the
 Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer, Remote Sensing of Environment, 78, 274 283,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(01)00218-8, 2001.
- Bidigare, R.R., Ondrusek, M.E., Morrow, J.H. and Kiefer, D.A.: In vivo absorption properties of algal pigments. P.
 SPIE, 1302, 290-302, doi:10.1117/12.21451, 1990.
- Bissett, W., Walsh, J., Dieterle, D. and Carder, K.: Carbon cycling in the upper waters of the Sargasso Sea: I. Numerical simulation of differential carbon and nitrogen fluxes, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers, 46(2), 205 269, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00062-4, 1999a.
- Bissett, W., Walsh, J., Dieterle, D. and Carder, K.: Carbon cycling in the upper waters of the Sargasso Sea: II.
 Numerical simulation of apparent and inherent optical properties, Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic
 Research Papers, 46(2), 271 317, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00063-6</u>, 1999b.
- Cahill, B., Schofield, O., Chant, R., Wilkin, J., Hunter, E., Glenn, S. and Bissett, P.: Dynamics of turbid buoyant plumes
 and the feedbacks on near-shore biogeochemistry and physics, Geophysical Research Letters, 35(19), 1 6,
 <u>https://doi.org/.1029/2008GL033595</u>, 2008.
- Cahill, B., Wilkin, J., Fennel, K., Vandemark, D. and Friedrichs, M.: Interannual and seasonal variabilities in air-sea
 CO₂ fluxes along the U.S. eastern continental shelf and their sensitivity to increasing air temperatures and variable
 winds, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 121(2), 295 311,
 https://doi.org/ 10.1002/2015JG002939, 2016.
- Darecki, M., Weeks, A., Sagan, S., Kowalczuk, P. and Kaczmarek, S.: Optical characteristics of two contrasting Case 2
 waters and their influence on remote sensing algorithms, Continental Shelf Research, 23(3-4), 237 250, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343(02)00222-4, 2003.
- Barecki, M. and Stramski, D.: An evaluation of MODIS and SeaWiFS bio-optical algorithms in the Baltic Sea, Remote
 Sensing of Environment, 89(3), 326 350, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2003.10.012, 2004.
- B90 Dera, J., and Woźniak, B.: Solar radiation in the Baltic Sea, Oceanologia, 52(4), 533–582, 2010.
- Bickey, T. and Falkowski, P.: Solar energy and its biological-physical interactions in the sea, in *The Sea*, 12, eds. Allan
 R. Robinson, James J. McCarthy and Brian J. Rothschild, John Wiley & Sons, NY, ISBN 0-471-18901-4, 2002.
- B93 Doerffer, R. and Schiller, H.: The MERIS case 2 water algorithm, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 28(3-4),
 B94 517 535, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600821127, 2007.
- Butkiewicz, S., Hickman, A., Jahn, O., Gregg, W., Mouw, C. and Follows, M.: Capturing optically important constituents and properties in a marine biogeochemical and ecosystem model, Biogeosciences, 12(14), 4447 4481,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4447-2015, 2015.
- Fasham, M. J. R.; Ducklow, H. W.; McKelvie, S. M.: A nitrogen-based model of plankton dynamics in the oceanic mixed layer, Journal of Marine Research, 48 (3), 591–639, doi:10.1357/002224090784984678, 1990.

- 900 Fell, F. and Fischer, J.: Numerical simulation of the light field in the atmosphere-ocean system using the matrix-operator 901 Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 69(3), 351 method, _ 388. 902 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4073(00)00089-3, 2001.
- Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Levin, J., Moisan, J., O'Reilly, J and Haidvogel, D.: Nitrogen cycling in the Middle Atlantic
 Bight: Results from a three dimensional model and implications for the North Atlantic nitrogen budget, Global
 Biogeochemical Cycles, 20(3), https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002456, 2006.
- Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Previdi, M. and Najjar, R.: Denitrification effects on air-sea CO₂ flux in the coastal ocean:
 Simulations for the northwest North Atlantic, Geophysical Research Letters, 35(24),
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036147, 2008.
- Fennel, K. and Wilkin, J.: Quantifying biological carbon export for the northwest North Atlantic continental shelves,
 Geophysical Research Letters, 36(18), https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL039818, 2009.
- Fennel, K., Hu, J.; Laurent, A., Marta-Almeida, M and Hetland, R.: Sensitivity of hypoxia predictions for the northern
 Gulf of Mexico to seiment oxygen consumption and model nesting, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
 118(2), 990 1002, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20077, 2013.
- Fennel, W. and Sturm, M.: Dynamics of the western Baltic, Journal of Marine Systems, 3, 183-205,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0924-7963(92)90038-A</u>, 1992.
- Fischer, J. and Grassl, H.: Radiative transfer in an atmosphere-ocean system: an azimuthally dependent matrix-operator
 approach, Applied Optics, 23(7), https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.23.001032, 1984.
- Fournier, G.R. and Forand, J.L.: Analytic phase function for ocean water, Proc. SPIE 2258, Ocean Optics XII, https://doi.org/10.1117/12.190063, 1994.
- Freda, W. and Piskozub, J.: Improved method of Fournier-Forand marine phase function parameterization, Optics
 Express, 15(20), 12763-12768, <u>https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.012763</u>, 2007.
- Gallegos, C.L., Werdell, P.J and McClain, C.R.: Long-term changes in light scattering in Chesapeake Bay inferred from
 Secchi depth, light attenuation and remote sensing measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research, Oceans, 116,
 C7, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007160</u>, 2011.
- Gnanadesikan, A., Kim, G. and Pradal, M.: Impact of colored dissolved materials on the annual cycle of sea surface
 temperature: potential implications for extreme ocean temperatures, Geophysical Research Letters, 46(2), 861 869,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080695</u>, 2019.
- Goncalves-Araujo, R. and Markager, S.: Light in the dark: Retrieving underwater irradiance in shallow eutropic waters
 from AC-S measurements, Frontiers in Marine Science, 7, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00343, 2020.
- Gordon, H.R., Smith, R.C. and Zaneveld, J.R.V.: Introduction to ocean optics, Proc. SPIE 0208, Ocean Optics VI.,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1117/12.958262</u>, 1980.
- Gräwe, U., Holtermann, P., Klingbeil, K. and Burchard, H.: Advantages of vertically adaptive coordinates in numerical
 models of stratified shelf seas, Ocean Modelling, 92, 56 68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.05.008, 2015a.
- Gräwe, U., Naumann, M., Mohrholz, V and Burchard, H.: Anatomizing one of the largest saltwater inflows into the
 Baltic Sea in December 2014, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120(11), 7676 7697,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JC011269, 2015b.
- Gregg, W.W.: A coupled ocean-atmosphere radiative model for global ocean biogeochemical model, NASA Technical
 Report Series on Global modelling and Data Assimilation, 22 (NASA/TM-2002-104606), 2002.
- Guanter, L., Alonso, L., Gomez-Chova, L., Meroni, M., Preusker, R., Fischer, J and Moreno, J.: Developments for vegetation fluorescence retrieval from spaceborne high-resolution spectrometry in the O_{2-A} and O_{2-B} absorption bands, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115(D19), D19303, 10.1029/2009JD013716, 2010.
- Gregg, W.W. and Carder, K.: A simple spectral solar irradiance model for cloudless maritime atmospheres, Limnology and Oceanography, 35(8), 1657 1675, <u>https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1990.35.8.1657</u>, 1990.
- Gregg, W.W. and Rousseaux, C.S.: Directional and spectral irradiance in ocean models: effects on simulated global
 phytoplankton, nutrients and primary production, Front. Mar. Sci., 22, 3, <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00240</u>,
 2016.
- Haidvogel, D., Arango, H., Budgell, W., Cornuelle, B., Curchitser, E., Lorenzo, E., Fennel, K., Geyer, W., Hermann, A.,
 Lanerolle, L., Levin, J., McWilliams, J., Miller, J., Moore, A., Powell, T., Shchepetkin, A., Sherwood, C., Signell, R.,

- Warner, J. and Wilkin, J.: Ocean forecasting in terrain-following coordinates: Formulation and skill assessment of
 the Regional Ocean Modeling System, Journal of Computational Physics, 227(7), 3595 3624,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2007.06.016, 2008.
- Heege, T. and Fischer, J.: Mapping of water constituents in Lake Constance using multispectral airborne scanner data
 and a physically based processing scheme, Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing, 30(1), 77 86,
 https://doi.org/10.5589/m03-056, 2004.
- Hill, V.: Impacts of chromophoric dissolved organic material on surface ocean heating in the Chukchi Sea, Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 113(C7), C07024, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004119, 2008.
- Hollstein, A. and Fischer, J.: Radiative transfer solutions for coupled atmosphere ocean systems using the matrix
 operator technique, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 113(7), 536 548,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2012.01.010, 2012.
- Huang, B., Liu, C., Banzon, V., Freeman, E., Graham, G., Hankins, B., Smith, T. and Zhang, H.-M.: Improvements of
 the Daily Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (DOISST) Version 2.1, Journal of Climate, 34, 29232939. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-20-0166.1, 2021.
- 963 IPCC, 2019: Summary for Policymakers. In: IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate
 964 [H.-O. Pörtner, D.C. Roberts, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría,
 965 M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M. Weyer (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and
 966 New York, NY, USA, pp. 3–35. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.001.
- Isemer, H.J. and Rozwadowska, A.: Solar radiation fluxes at the surface of the Baltic Proper. Part 2. Uncertainties and comparison with simple bulk parameterization, Oceanologia, 41(2), 147-185, 1999.
- 969 Jerlov, N.G., Marine Optics, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1976.
- Jolliff, J. and Smith, T.: Biological modulation of upper ocean physics: Simulating the biothermal feedback effect in
 Monterey Bay, California, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 119(5), 703 721,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002522, 2014.
- Karhu, M., Elmgren, R. and Savchuk, O.: Changing seasonality of the Baltic Sea, Biogeosciences, 13(4), 1009 1018,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-1009-2016, 2016.
- Kim, G., Pradal, M. and Gnanadesikan, A.: Quantifying the biological impact of surface ocean light attenuation by
 colored detrital matter in an ESM using a new optical parameterization, Biogeosciences, 12(16), 5199 5132,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-5119-2015, 2015.
- Kim, G., Gnanadesikan, A. and Pradal, M.: Increased surface ocean heating by colored detrital matter (CDM) linked to
 greater northern hemisphere ice formation in the GFDL CM2Mc ESM, Journal of Climate, 29(24), 9063 9076,
 https://doi.org/ 10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0053.1, 2016.
- Kim, G., Gnanadesikan, A. Del Castillo, C. and Pradal, M.: Upper ocean cooling in a coupled climate model due to light
 attenuation by yellowing materials, Geophysical Research Letters, 45(12), 6134 6140,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077297, 2018.
- Kim, G., St-Laurent, P., Friedrichs, M. and Mannino, A.: Impacts of water clarity variability on temperature and biogeochemistry in the Chesapeake Bay, Estuaries and Coasts, 43(8) 1973 1991, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00760-x, 2020.
- 987 Kirk, J.T.O.: Light and Photosynthesis in Aquatic Systems, 3rd Edition, University Press, Cambridge, 649pp, 2011.
- Kowalczuk, P.: Seasonal variability of yellow substance absorption in the surface layer of the Baltic Sea, Journal of
 Geophysical Research Oceans, 104(C12), 30 047-30 058, 1999.
- Kowalczuk, P. And Kaczmarek, S.: Analysis of temporal and spatial variability of "yellow substance" absorption in the
 Southern Baltic, Oceanologia, 38(1), 3-32, 1996.
- Kowalczuk, P., Sagan, S., Olszewski, J., Darecki, M. and Hapter, R.: Seasonal changes in selected optical parameters in
 the Pomeranian Bay in 1996-1997, Oceanologia, 41(3), 309-334, 1999.
- Kowalczuk, P., Olszewski, J., Darecki, M. and Kaczmarek, S.: Empirical relationships between Coloured Dissolved
 Organic Matter (CDOM) absorption and apparent optical properties in Baltic Sea waters, International Journal of
 Remote Sensing, 26(2), 345-370, 2005a.

- 897 Kowalczuk, P., Stoń-Egiert, J., Cooper, W.J., Whitehead, R.F. and Durako, M.J.: Characterization of
 898 Chromophoric Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM) in the Baltic Sea by Excitation Emission Matrix fluorescence
 899 spectroscopy. Marine Chemistry, 96, 273-292, 2005b.
- Kowalczuk P., Stedmon, C.A. and Markager, S.: Modelling absorption by CDOM in the Baltic Sea from season,
 salinity and chlorophyll, Marine Chemistry, 101, 1-11, 2006.
- Kowalczuk, P., Sagan, S., Zablocka, M. and Borzycka, K.: Mixing anomaly in deoxygenated Baltic Sea deeps indicates
 benthic flux and microbial transformation of chromophoric and fluorescent dissolved organic matter, Estuarine,
 Coastal and Shelf Science, 163, 206 217, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.06.027, 2015.
- Kratzer, S., Hakansson, B. and Sahlin, C.: Assessing Secchi and photic zone depth in the Baltic sea from satellite data,
 Ambio, 32(8), 577 585, https://www.jstor.org/stable/4315443, 2003.
- Kratzer, S. and Moore, G.: Inherent optical properties of the Baltic Sea in comparison to other seas and oceans, Remote
 Sensing, 10(3), https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10030418, 2018.
- Kritten, L., Preusker, R. and Fischer, J.: A new retrieval of sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence in water from ocean
 colour measurements applied on OLCI L-1b and L-2, Remote Sensing, 12(23), 1 24,
 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12233949, 2020.
- Lee, Z., Du, K. and Arnone, R.: A model for the diffuse attenuation coefficient of downwelling, Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Oceans, 110(2) 1 10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002275, 2005.
- Lewis, M., Carr, M., Feldman, G., Esaias, W. and McClain, C.: Influence of penetrating solar radiation on the heat
 budget of the equatorial Pacific Ocean, Nature, 347, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/347543a0, 1990.
- Lindstrot, R., Preusker, R. and Fischer, J.: The retrieval of land surface pressure from MERIS measurements in the
 oxygen a band, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 26(7), 1367 1377,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JTECHA1212.1, 2009.
- 1019 Löptien, U. and Meier, H.E.M.: The influence of increasing water turbidity on the sea surface temperature in the Baltic 1020 sea: model sensitivity study, Marine Systems, 323 А Journal of 88(2), 331, 1021 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMARSYS.2011.06.001, 2011.
- 1022Lund-Hansen, L.: Diffuse attenuation coefficients $K_d(PAR)$ at the estuarine North Sea-Baltic Sea transition: time-series,1023partitioning, absorption and scattering, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 61(2), 251 259,1024https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECSS.2004.05.004, 2004.
- 1025 Lysiak-Pastuszak, E., Drgas, N. and Piatkowska, Z.: Eutrophication in the Polish coastal zone: the past, present status
 1026 and future scenarios, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 49 (3), 186–195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.02.007, 2004.
- Manizza, M., Quere, C., Watson, A. and Buitenhuis, E.: Bio-optical feedbacks among phytoplankton, upper ocean
 physics and sea-ice in a global model, Geophysical Research Letters, 32(5), 1 4,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020778, 2005.
- 1030 Manizza, M., Quere, C., Watson, A. and Buitenhuis, E.: Ocean biogeochemical response to phytoplankton-light 1031 feedback global model, Journal of Geophysical Research: 113(10), in а Oceans, 1032 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JC004478, 2008.
- Meier, H.E.M.: Modeling the pathways and ages of inflowing salt- and freshwater in the Baltic Sea, Estuarine Coastal
 Shelf Science, 74(4), 717-734, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.05.019, 2007.
- Meier, H. E. M., Kniebusch, M., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M., Zorita, E., Elmgren, R., Myrberg, K., Ahola, M. P.,
 Bartosova, A., Bonsdorff, E., Börgel, F., Capell, R., Carlén, I., Carlund, T., Carstensen, J., Christensen, O. B.,
 Dierschke, V., Frauen, C., Frederiksen, M., Gaget, E., Galatius, A., Haapala, J. J., Halkka, A., Hugelius, G., Hünicke,
- 1038 B., Jaagus, J., Jüssi, M., Käyhkö, J., Kirchner, N., Kjellström, E., Kulinski, K., Lehmann, A., Lindström, G., May,
- 1039 W., Miller, P. A., Mohrholz, V., Müller-Karulis, B., Pavón-Jordán, D., Quante, M., Reckermann, M., Rutgersson, A.,
- 1040Savchuk, O. P., Stendel, M., Tuomi, L., Viitasalo, M., Weisse, R., and Zhang, W.: Climate change in the Baltic Sea1041region: a summary, Earth Syst. Dynam., 13, 457–593, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-457-2022, 2022.
- Meler, J., Ostrowska, M., Stoń-Egiert, J. and Zabłocka., M.: Seasonal and spatial variability of light absorption by
 suspended particles in the southern Baltic: A mathematical description, Journal of Marine Systems, 170, 68 87,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMARSYS.2016.10.011, 2017.

- Meler, J., Ostrowska, M. and Stoń-Egiert, J.: Seasonal and spatial variability of phytoplankton and non-algal absorption
 in the surface layer of the Baltic, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 180, 123 135,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECSS.2016.06.012, 2016a.
- Meler, J., Kowalczuk, P., Ostrowska, M., Zabłocka, M. and Zdun, A.: Parameterization of the light absorption
 properties of chromophoric dissolved organic matter in the Baltic Sea and Pomeranian lakes, Ocean Science, 12(4),
 1013 1032, https://doi.org/ 10.5194/os-12-1013-2016, 2016b.
- Morel, A. and Prieur, L.: Analysis of variations in ocean color, Limnology and Oceanography, 2(4), 709 722, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1977.22.4.0709, 1977.
- Morel, A.: Optical modelling of the upper ocean in relation to its biogenous matter content (Case I waters), Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 93, 749 768, https://doi.org/10.1029/JC093iC09p10749, 1988.
- Morel, A. and Antoine, D.: Heating rate within the upper ocean in relation to its bio-optical state, Journal of Physical
 Oceanography, 24, 1652 1665, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1994)024%3C1652:HRWTUO%3E2.0.CO;2</u>,
 1057 1994.
- 1058 Murtugudde, R., Beauchamp, J., McClain, C., Lewis, M. and Busalacchi, A.: Effects of penetrative radiation on the 1059 upper tropical ocean circulation, Journal of Climate, 15, 470 – 486,
- 1060 <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015%3C0470:EOPROT%3E2.0.CO;2</u>, 2002
- Neumann, T., Siegel, H. and Gerth, M.: A new radiation model for Baltic Sea ecosystem modelling, Journal of Marine
 Science, 152, 83 91, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2015.08.001</u>, 2015.
- Neumann, T., Koponen, S., Attila, J., Brockmann, C., Kallio, K., Kervinen, M., Mazeran, C., Müller, D., Philipson, P.,
 Thulin, S., Väkevä. S. and Ylöstalo, P.: Optical model for the Baltic Sea with an explicit CDOM state variable: A
 case study with Model ERGOM (version 1.2), Geoscientific Model Development, 14(8), 5049 5062,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-5049-2021</u>, 2021.
- Ohlmann, J., Siegel, D. and Gautier, C.: Ocean mixed layer radiant heating and solar penetration: A global analysis,
 Journal of Climate, 9, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009%3C2265:OMLRHA%3E2.0.CO;2</u>, 1996.
- Ohlmann, J., Siegel, D. and Washburn, L.: Radiant heating of the western equatorial Pacific during TOGA-COARE,
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 103(C3), 5379 5395, https://doi.org/10.1029/97jc03422, 1998.
- 1071 Ohlmann, J., Siegel, D. and Mobley, C.: Ocean radiant heating. Part I: Optical Influences, Journal of Physical
 1072 Oceanography, 30, 1833 1848, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2000)030%3C1833:ORHPIO%3E2.0.CO;2</u>,
 1073 2000.
- 1074 Ohlmann, J. and Siegel, D.: Ocean radiant heating. Part II: Parameterizing solar radiation transmission through the
 1075 upper ocean, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 30, 1833 1848,
- 1076 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(2000)030%3C1849:ORHPIP%3E2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- 1077 Omstedt, A. and Nohr, C.: Calculating the water and heat balances of the Baltic Sea using ocean modelling and
 1078 available meteorological, hydrological and ocean data, Tellus A: Dynamic Meteorology and Oceanography, 56(4),
 1079 400 414, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v56i4.14428, 2004.
- 1080 Omstedt, A., Pettersen, C., Rodhe, J. and Winsor, P.: Baltic Sea climate: 200 yr of data on air temperature, sea level
 1081 variation, ice cover, and atmospheric circulation, Clim. Res., 25(3), 205–216, <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/24868400</u>,
 1082 2004.
- 1083 Oschlies, A.: Feedbacks of biotically induced radiative heating on upper-ocean heat budget, circulation and biological production in a coupled ecosystem-circulation model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 109(12), 1 12,
 1085 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002430</u>, 2004.
- Paulson, C and Simpson, J.: Irradiance measurements in the upper ocean, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 7,
 952 956, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1977)007%3C0952:IMITU0%3E2.0.CO;2</u>, 1977.
- Pefanis, V., Losa, S. N., Losch, M., Janout, M. A. and Bracher, A: Amplified Arctic surface warming and sea ice loss
 due to phytoplankton and colored dissolved material, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL088795,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088795, 2020.
- 1091 Röhrenbach, J.: Seasonal variability in the absorption of coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM) in the western and
 1092 southern Baltic Sea, Bachelor Thesis, Department of Earth Sciences, Free University Berlin, November 2019.

- Rozwadowska, A. and Isemer, H.J.: Solar irradiation fluxes at the surface of the Baltic Proper. Part 1. Mean annual
 cycle and influencing factors, Oceanologia, 40(4), 307-330, 1998.
- Sathyendranath, S. and Platt, T.: The spectral irradiance field at the surface and in the interior of the ocean: A model for
 applications in oceanography and remote sensing, Journal of Geophysical Research, 93(C8),
 https://doi.org/10.1029/JC093iC08p09270, 1988.
- Sathyendranath, S., Prieur, L and Morel, A.: A three-component model of ocean colour and its application to remote sensing of phytoplankton pigments in coastal waters, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 10(8) 1373 1394, https://doi.org/ 10.1080/01431168908903974, 1989.
- Shchepetkin, A. and McWilliams, J.: The regional oceanic modelling system (ROMS): A split-explicit, free-surface, topography-following-coordinate ocean model, Ocean Modelling, 9(4), 347 404,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2004.08.002</u>, 2005.
- Siegel, H., Gerth, M., Ohde, T. and Heene, T.: Ocean colour remote sensing relevant water constituents and optical properties of the Baltic Sea, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 26(2), 315 330, https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160410001723709, 2005.
- Simis, S., Ylöstalo, P., Kallio, K., Spilling, K. and Kutser, T.: Contrasting seasonality in optical biogeochemical
 properties of the Baltic Sea, PLOS ONE, 12(4), <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173357</u>, 2017.
- Simpson, J. and Dickey, T.: Alternative parameterizations of downward irradiance and their dynamical significance,
 Journal of Physical Oceanography, 11, 876 882,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1981)011%3C0876:APODIA%3E2.0.CO;2, 1981.
- Skákala, J., Bruggeman, J., Ford, D., Wakelin, S., Akpınar, A., Hull, T., Kaiser, J., Loveday, B.R., O'Dea, E., Williams,
 C.A.J. and Ciavatta, S.: The impact of ocean biogeochemistry on physics and its consequences for modelling shelf
 seas, Ocean Modelling, 172, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2022.101976</u>, 2022.
- Soppa, A., Pefanis, V., Hellmann, S., Losa, S., Hölemann J., Janout, M., Martynov, F., Heim, B., Dinter, R., Rozanov, V.
 and Bracher, A.: Assessing the influence of water consitutents on the radiative heating of Laptev Sea shelf waters,
 Frontiers in Marine Science, <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00221</u>, 2019.
- Stedmon, C., Markager, S. and Kaas, H.: Optical properties and signatures of chromophoric dissolved organic matter
 (CDOM) in Danish coastal waters, Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 51(2), 267 278, https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2000.0645, 2000.
- Stoń-Egiert, J. and Ostrowska, M.: Long-term changes in phytoplankton pigment contents in the Baltic Sea: Trends and
 spatial variability during 20 years of investigations, Continental Shelf Research 236 (2022) 104666,
 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2022.104666, 2022.
- Taucher, J. and Oschlies, A.: Can we predict the direction of marine primary production change under global warming?
 Geophysical Research Letters, 38(2), https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045934, 2011.
- Terzic, E., Lazzari, P., Organelli, E., Solidoro, C., Salon, S., D'Ortenzio, F. and Conan, P.: Merging bio-optical data from Biogeochemical-Argo floats and models in marine biogeochemistry, Biogeosciences, 16(12), 2527 – 2542, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017690, 2019.
- Terzic, E., Miro, A., Organelli, E., Kowalczuk, P., D'Ortenzio, F and Lazzari, P.: Radiative transfer modelling with
 Biogeochemical –Argo float data in the Mediterranean Sea, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 126(10),
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017690, 2021a.
- Terzic, E., Salon, S., Cossarini, G., Solidoro, C., Teruzzi, A., Miro, A. and Lazzari, P.: Impact of interannually variable
 diffuse attenuation coefficients for downwelling irradiance on biogeochemical modelling, Ocean Modelling, 161,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.OCEMOD.2021.101793</u>, 2021b.Wetzel, P., Maier-Reimer, E., Botzet, M., Jungclaus, J.,
- Keenlyside, N. and Latif, M.: Effects of ocean biology on the penetrative radiation in a coupled climate model, Journal of Climate, 19, 3973 3987, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3828.1</u>, 2006.
- Wilkin, J., Zhang, W. G., Cahill, B. and Chant, R. C.: Integrating coastal models and observations for studies of ocean dynamics, observing systems and forecasting, In Operational Oceanography in the 21st Century, A. Schiller and G. Brassington (Eds.), Springer, doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-0332-2 19, 2011.

- Wohlers, J., Engel, A., Breithaupt, P., Jü, K., Hoppe, H., Sommer, U. and Riebesell, U.: Changes in biogenic carbon
 flow in response to sea surface warming, PNAS, 106 (17) 7067-7072, <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0812743106</u>,
 2009.
- Zaneveld, J. and Spinrad, R.: An arc tangent model of irradiance in the sea, Journal of Geophysical Research, 85(C9),
 https://doi.org/ 10.1029/JC085iC09p04919, 1980.
- Zängl, G., Reinert, D., Rípodas, P. and Baldauf, M: The ICON (ICOsahedral Non-hydrostatic) modelling framework of
 DWD and MPI-M: Description of the non-hydrostatic dynamical core, Quarterly Journal of the Royal
 Meteorological Society, 141(687), 563–579, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.2378</u>, 2015.
- Zdun, A., Stoń -Egiert, J., Ficek, D and Ostrowska, M.: Seasonal and Spatial Changes of Primary Production in the Baltic Sea (Europe) Based on in situ Measurements in the Period of 1993–2018, Front. Mar. Sci. 7:604532., doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.604532, 2021.
- 1151 Zhang, T., Fell, F., Zhi-Shen, L., Preusker, R., Fischer, J. and Ming.Xia, H.: Evaluating the performance of artificial neural network techniques for pigment retrieval from ocean color in Case I waters, Journal of Geophysical Research, 1153 108(C9), https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JC001638, 2003.
- Zielinski, O., Llinas, O., Oschlies, A. and Reuter, R.: Underwater light field and its effect on a one-dimensional
 ecosystem model at station ESTOC, north of the Canary Islands, Deep Sea Research II; 49, 17,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00096-6</u>, 2002.